AGENDA FOR THE
SPRINGVILLE COMMUNITY BOARD
110 South Main Street
Multi-Purpose Room
Thursday, July 13, 2023, 7:00 PM – 9:00 PM

CALL TO ORDER

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Motion to approve minutes from the June 01, 2023 meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT

The public is invited to make comments or bring issues before the board for discussion.

Thomas Jones graduated from Springville High School and accepted an appointment to be a cadet at the US Naval Academy. Congratulations and thank you for serving on the Community Board. https://shs.nebo.edu/news/shs-student-appointed-cadet-us-naval-academy

DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Partnerships established in the last meeting will summarize the two missing sections in a presentation.
2. Sort/score sections by 0,1 and 2 and discuss what to do and decide if 3's belong in the next general plan.
3. Evaluate score results and where to hone and prioritize weaknesses as well as address the good sections.
4. ADJOURNMENT BY CONSENSUS

THIS AGENDA IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITH A MINIMUM OF 24-HOURS NOTICE

This meeting was noticed in compliance with Utah Code 52-4-202 on May 30, 2023. Agendas and minutes are accessible through the Springville City website at www.springville.org/agendas-minutes. Springville Community Board meeting agendas are available through the Utah Public Meeting Notice website at www.utah.gov/pmn/index.html. Email subscriptions to Utah Public Meeting Notices are available through their website; In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City will make reasonable accommodations to ensure accessibility to this meeting. If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Administration Department at (801) 491-7833 at least three business days prior to the meeting.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY COMMUNITY BOARD HELD ON WEDNESDAY, JUNE 01, 2023, AT 7:00 P.M. AT THE CIVIC CENTER, 110 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SPRINGVILLE, UTAH, IN THE MULTI-PURPOSE ROOM.

Board Members and Staff in Attendance: Bryan BJ Smith, Carla Wiese, Cason Acor, Dave Cook, Deborah Hall, Jennifer Grigg, Josh Yost, Kelly Norman, Mariah Hurst, Patrick Monney, and Scott Duncan,

Excused: Alex Dahl, Byran Korth, Liz Crandall, Michael Florence, Chelsey Rosander, Mayor Matt Packard, Thomas Jones, and Todd Fischo.

Call to Order 7:06 P.M.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Motion to approve minutes from the meeting on May 11, 2023.

Cason moved to approve the amended minutes and Dave seconded the motion. All voted aye. Scott complimented the minutes and Mariah thanked me.

PUBLIC COMMENT

The public is invited to make comments or bring issues before the board for discussion.

Cason summed up the previous meeting when the board reviewed the ideology of the grading rubric, made sure the board is on the same page, and defined the system to grade the former general plan (henceforth known as the FGP). He said this rubric brings up some very current trends and the FGP is 14 years old.

DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Partnerships established in the last meeting will summarize an assigned section in a presentation.

Mariah explained the groups were assigned principles of the FGP and asked each group for summaries including final scores and then referred to the big idea spreadsheet. Deborah started her presentation by asking the board to pull it up,

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1rQXtKdbM0-Z_AzjeVDPwbZ5KTudDzrBVPouesh9Iz3M/edit#gid=1486020375

and showed her scoring for her assigned section, *Livable Built Community*. She expressed that the FGP covered multi-modal transportation well, but did not have a specific implementation plan. BJ said he scored each objective based on if it included a strategy. Deborah noticed strategies by the end of her assignment. Her next section is *Transit-Oriented Development* which she scored a 2. Mariah suggested adding a mechanism to the general plan instead of just the supportive word, *encourage*, (used 56 times in the FGP.) Josh explained *encourage* is the specific statutory language that meets state code requiring each city to create a general plan. Cason suggested language should be more measurable. Deborah said this new general plan will be a high-level document that will operate over future documents with more umpf. BJ asked about the tenure of the next plan. Carla answered 20-25 years with updates every 5 years. Josh added it is also a living document. BJ suggested the board not be so granular that it needs adjustment every few years because this document is a place for broad strokes and structural language.

Mariah agreed with not being too granular. BJ said defining the community ideology is the most important. Mariah said some people have different priorities than the FGP lists. Scoring was further discussed. Scott said the role of the board is to give feedback to community leaders who will prioritize community objectives and implementing objectives is the job of the legislative body. He encouraged revisiting and prioritizing community objectives before discussing implementation. Cason asked about TOD (Transit-Oriented Development), which is included in current city planning.

Deborah moved on to job clusters which Josh defined as areas of concentrated employment. Kelly read from the FGP about transportation. Cason asked if job clusters are similar to commercial nodes. Josh said job clusters are on a bigger scale. Kelly pointed out commercial nodes that are well-served by transportation. Josh said job clusters and commercial nodes (an employment center that accommodates a mix of office, retail, and commercial development including traditional office buildings, big box stores, grocery stores, and restaurants with strong access to transit and a pedestrian network) should also be served by multi-modal transportation and Springville has very little. Kelly said there is not a big demand for multi-modal transportation and doubts Springville City will invest in transportation because everyone has a car. Deborah continued her section and explained that complete streets include curb, gutter, and sidewalks and scored that section a 2.

Mariah asked about all the zero scores for the FGP and if those sections should continue to be included or if they are not important in our community. Cason asked Deborah about her scores and continued to his section *Harmony with Nature.* He explained he was more critical of semantics and phrases in this rubric because our community identity revolves around the mountains and the creek. His section discussed protecting sensitive lands and providing green infrastructure like a greenway through a neighborhood to manage stormwater. He continued to natural topography, air quality standards, climate change, renewable energy, water conservation, solid waste reduction, and carbon footprint reduction. His scores are on the Google doc. Scott suggested air quality is federally enforced. Josh added that based on federal standards, municipalities control the dials for air quality and Springville City has progressed in regards to purchasing renewable energy. Cason added the FGP scored low because it does not promote reducing solid waste even though the city implemented the yard waste facility. He said the FGP addresses managing the streams as recreation elements of the community, not consumable resources, conservation, or the impact people have on the water and the environment.

Kelly explained retail/commercial acreage to be developed as explained in the FGP with no solid plan to carry out the objectives. He noted there is no plan for post-disaster economic recovery in the FGP. Carla explained the new general plan can prioritize business and economic recovery after a disaster and there are other plans like an emergency plan in addition to the general plan. Mariah said the FGP scored low on environmental issues. Kelly scored the FGP low on promoting green jobs. Josh said Springville City does not incentivize businesses to move to Springville.

BJ presented on the *Healthy Community* section which again covers air quality as well as noise and light pollution. He scored the public safety objective in the FGP a 3. He continued to the section about Springville does not have land designated as a brownfield (a federal designation of environmental contamination.) The rest of his scores are in the Google doc including recreation, open space, and locally grown foods. Mariah suggested a neighborhood/community garden. Josh suggested zoning for small neighborhood markets. BJ said the city is doing better than the FGP and suggested the new plan should do better at promoting access to equable healthcare providers. Carla said MAG (Mountainland Association of Governments) has some responsibility to provide equitable health care in rural and urban areas. BJ called himself classically liberal compared to Cason, permanently noted in the minutes of Springville forever. Josh said our population has a broad base of ages.

BJ volunteered to figure out scoring on the Google doc that Kelly created. Mariah said we make a great team and closed the meeting by asking about the next agenda items—1) partnerships established in the last meeting will summarize the two final sections in a presentation for forty minutes; 2) because all scores are finalized before arriving at this meeting, the rest of the time will be spent prioritizing sorting and ranking and discuss which ones we want to improve on. Cason established the board can take at least one more meeting to finalize scoring before the RFP goes out. Scott said he appreciates these great people in Springville and all the hard work of this board.

ADJOURNMENT BY CONSENSUS 8:56 P.M.

This document constitutes the official minutes for the Springville City Community Board Meeting held on Thursday, June 01, 2023.

I, Jennifer Grigg, do hereby certify that I am the duly appointed, qualified, and acting Deputy Recorder for Springville City, of Utah County, State of Utah. I do hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true and accurate, and complete record of this meeting held on Thursday, June 01, 2023.

DATE APPROVED: _____ _____

Jennifer Grigg
Deputy Recorder