

Murray History Advisory Board
Minutes for February 25, 2014

Attendance: Peter Steele, Ian Wright, Reed Wahlquist, Sara Roach, Wendy DeMann
Mary Ann Kirk (staff), Diane Tibolla Kurz (visitor), Neil and Jamie Fockel (visitors).

Excused: Susan Wright, Brittany Bremer

1. Minutes for January 28, 2014 were approved.
2. Sara said the Powell Farm buildings situation has not changed. Fred Jones was frustrated about some city requirements and was not interested in moving the buildings. The county planners have not followed up further.
3. The Hillside District information meeting has been scheduled for April 12 at 11 am at the Murray Library. Peter will explain why we are proposing the district and the process, Korral will describe the district and its history, and state preservation staff will explain what the national register does and does not do and how tax credits work. A flyer will be mailed to each homeowner in the district. Korral Broschinsky is currently working on 10 ILS surveys to accompany the national register nomination. One of the owners of the 10 proposed homes did not want to participate so Korral is planning to add the Godfrey home as the replacement.
4. Thirteen homes were reviewed for the local Murray Historic Register. Mary Ann asked for clarification for the home at 331 E Winchester. They made a positive recommendation last month but Mary Ann reviewed the photos again. Board members agreed several significant alterations had been made. Peter said he would have given it a "C" on an RLS survey which would be non-contributing. Mary Ann also noted that the address of 4760 South 900 East was on the agenda last month but we didn't review it and she thinks it was a mistake. Ian said the address is associated with buildings in the Kmart area.

Mary Ann said one owner called and did not want their home at 1141 E 6385 S Jeanne Ave on the register. Joseph Parton also called and indicated he may be demolishing his home at 4755 Plum but didn't care as long as the register doesn't restrict his choice. Board members felt it was appropriate to put it on the register since plans sometimes change and we can remove it if plans to demolish the building move forward. Mary Ann introduced Diane Tibolla Kurz who was attending for her mother who lives at 135 W Winchester. They didn't realize the front porch had been filled in. They were surprised the home was being nominated but had no objections to the nomination. Mary Ann asked Diane to bring a history of the Tibolla family for our museum files. Neil and Jamie Fockel met with Mary Ann just prior to the meeting. They were pleased their home was nominated for the registry. They have restored many of the historic features and materials both interior and exterior. They removed multiple layers of materials on the exterior and roof. They removed the paint. They added to the rear but retained the original brick as part of the interior wall. They were interested in being considered for an individual multiple property nomination. They were willing to be part of a home tour in the future.

The following were formally approved to be listed on the Murray Historic Registry.

1203 E Vine	5638 S 1300 East	4370 S 300 West
337 E Winchester	5802 S 1300 East	4320 S 300 West
135 W Winchester	6450 S 1300 East	
4910 S 900 East	4755 Plum	
4159 South 700 West	4757 Plum	

5. Potential nominations for the National Register Multiple Property listing were reviewed. We need to choose 7 with 3 alternates. She asked board members if they had a preference on types of buildings that are not currently on the national register. Peter said we have commercial and residential but not so much industrial. Peter said sometimes nominations focus on a “theme”. We probably didn’t have enough of the same type of buildings. Ian suggested we just pick the ones we like. Reed asked if we could include more than 7. Mary Ann said we only have funding for 7, but we can apply for another grant and add more. Mary Ann suggested we include a mix of types. Board members liked the Labrum log house, Murray Power Building, Bennion Flour Mill, Bradford home and Miller home. The Ore Sampling Mill is important but Peter thought they could probably pay for the nomination if they are going to benefit from tax credits. Mary Ann thought the Ereksen, Bishop, and Howe homes were some of the best preserved and represented different types. Board members suggested we email them photos of all the buildings. Mary Ann will work with Korral on providing the photos. Wendy said we probably should place a priority on those that are not as protected. Reed thought that we sometimes need to protect the buildings from the LDS church. Peter said they have typically preserved those that have church wide significance rather than local history. Mary Ann thought their attitude has been changing a little. Wendy didn’t think the Relief Society Granary was in jeopardy because of its small space and location.

The following were reviewed:

- *Labrum log house
- *Murray Power Building
- *Bennion Flour Mill
- *Bradford Home (the family asked us to include several years ago)
- *Miller Home (Lake Pines Clubhouse)

Murray Park/Freeze Barn – better to focus on the west end of the park
Ore Sampling Mill (wait to see what happens)
Murray Laundry Towers (work with the county since it is not in Murray’s boundaries)
Cemetery (not sure if cemeteries are allowed)
County Fire Depart (BMW) – (too altered)
Relief Society Granary (difficult to get permission from LDS church, already protected)
Baptist Church (1926, relocated in 1987) - likely ineligible
Howe Home on 5600 South
5819 S 900 East - Ereksen House

121 W 5900 South - Bishop House
1203 East Vine
Mackay home (Bullion Street)
261 E 4500 South (owners have not wanted to be on local register)
296 E 4500 South
6450 S 1300 East
1704-1776 East 6400 South (enclave of homes) – owners not very cooperative
1235 E 6600 South
1697 E Vine
6348 Mt Vernon - Litson house – has been stuccoed
198 W Winchester - Swenson House
4760 S 4800 South - Healy Home
4656 S Cherry Street
585 W Germania Lester House
mom and pop motel on State
300E Winchester - Amundsen House (may not be eligible with its changes)

Ian suggested some of these buildings would make a cool tour. We could discuss this as a potential activity for May or September.

6. Reed asked how far we have come on the condition reporting at the museum. Mary Ann said we have finished the pioneer agriculture story, so we are probably about one-third done. Reed asked if anyone will review the condition reports and recommendations. Mary Ann said our staff will go through all of the reports and bring in appropriate professionals to address the various issues. Board members could also be involved in that process. Sara said she could help. Reed was worried about preserving leather, addressing rust on several objects, and adjusting the exhibit with the large projector so it doesn't block the other artifacts. Sara said that rust usually does not progress further in our dry climate. Reed agreed it adds authenticity if the rust doesn't destroy the object. Mary Ann didn't know where to move the projector except to the hallway and she was worried it would be vandalized there. The exhibit space where it stands now was technically designed as a temporary exhibit. When we move to a new location as the city hall is replaced, we can address that issue more effectively with additional room. Wendy wondered if we will move with the city hall or somewhere else. Mary Ann didn't know that answer. Ian liked the idea of the power building. Mary Ann agreed it would make a great space.