

Minutes of the meeting of the Logan Municipal Council convened in regular session on Tuesday, November 1, 2022, in the Logan Municipal Council Chambers located at 290 North 100 West, Logan, Utah 84321 at 5:30 pm. Logan Municipal Council Meetings are televised live as a public service on Channel 17 and the City of Logan YouTube channel at: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCFLPAOK5eawKS_RDBU0stRQ

Council Members present at the beginning of the meeting: Chair Jeannie F. Simmonds, Vice Chair Ernesto López, Councilmember Amy Z. Anderson, Councilmember Mark Anderson and Councilmember Tom Jensen. Administration present: Holly H. Daines, City Attorney Craig Carlston, Finance Director Richard Anderson, City Recorder Teresa Harris and Deputy Recorder Esli Morales.

Councilmember Amy Z. Anderson was not in the Council Chambers at the start of Council meeting.

Chair Jeannie F. Simmonds welcomed those present. There were approximately 7 in attendance at the beginning of the meeting.

OPENING CEREMONY:

Logan City Economic Development Director Kirk Jensen, provided the opening ceremony and led the audience in the pledge of allegiance.

Meeting Minutes. Minutes of the Council meeting held on October 18, 2022, were approved with no corrections.

Meeting Agenda. Chair Simmonds announced there are two public hearings scheduled for tonight's Council meeting.

ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Jensen seconded by Councilmember M. Anderson to approve the October 18, 2022, minutes and approve tonight's agenda. Motion carried (4-0).

A. Anderson: Absent

M. Anderson: Aye

Jensen: Aye

López: Aye

Simmonds: Aye

Meeting Schedule. Chair Simmonds announced that regular Council meetings are held on the first and third Tuesdays of the month at 5:30 pm. The next regular Council meeting is Tuesday, November 15, 2022.

QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FOR MAYOR AND COUNCIL:

Chair Simmonds explained that any person wishing to comment on any item not otherwise on the agenda may address the City Council at this point by stepping to the microphone and giving his or her name and address for the record. Comments should be limited to not more than three (3) minutes unless additional time is authorized by the Council Chair.

Citizen groups will be asked to appoint a spokesperson. This is the time and place for any person who wishes to comment on non-agenda items. Some items brought forward to the attention of the City Council will be turned over to staff to respond to outside of the City Council meeting.

There were no comments or questions for the Mayor or Council.

MAYOR/STAFF REPORTS:

Board Appointment (Forestry Board) – Mayor Daines

Mayor Daines asked the Council for ratification of Jamie Buttars to serve on the Forestry Board which, is a new appointment.

ACTION. Motion by Councilmember M. Anderson seconded by Vice Chair López to ratify Jamie Buttars to serve on the Forestry Board as presented. Motion carried (4-0).

A. Anderson: Absent

M. Anderson: Aye

Jensen: Aye

López: Aye

Simmonds: Aye

Councilmember A. Anderson joined the meeting at this time.

Utah Wellbeing Project – Professor Courtney Flint, USU Natural Resource Sociology

Professor Flint addressed the Council regarding the results of the Utah Wellbeing Project.

The project goals of the Utah Wellbeing project are to provide Utah city leaders with information on the well-being and perspectives of residents to inform planning processes and decision-making; to support comparative research on well-being, and to bridge efforts by the UT Dept of Health, Get Healthy Utah, UT, Dept of Transportation, & Huntsman Cancer Institute. (The report can be viewed online at <https://www.usu.edu/utah-wellbeing-project>).

The Logan Wellbeing (Online Qualtrics) Survey concluded that there are 96.6% full time residents. The Average length of residence is 19.4 years. 25.8% have lived in Logan for 5 years or less. The survey underrepresents those without a college degree, those unmarried, those who rent, those who are unemployed, those without children, those with incomes under \$25,000, and those age 18-29.

A reminder that the average household income for Logan is \$43,056. The median household income for a similar sized area is \$62,354. There is a correlation between income and well-being.

The overall personal wellbeing of the community is 3.89 on a scale of 1 = poor to 5 = Excellent. The residents of Logan value mostly is scenery and outdoor recreation, open spaces, a sense of safety, positive social climate, smaller-town feel, and adequate amenities.

The next survey will not be until 2024 and Professor Flint stated they are mindful of the community. And also want to spend more time with the data. There is also a need for fundraising. The cost of the project is \$15,000 a year and more and more cities want to participate.

Councilmember Jensen asked if the standard of living going down is a direct consequence of inflation.

Professor Flint replied that the cost of living, and housing is going up which makes it more difficult economically moreover dependent on income. A valuable resource is the Health & Places Index has just become available. It is indicators put out by the Department of Health & Transportation with the objective to compare these findings.

Chair Simmonds remarked that a further in-depth presentation would be well received. She would like to see the data be directly applied to the community.

The Council expressed similar sentiments.

Mayor Daines and the Council thanked Professor Flint for her report.

Proclamation: 2022 Small Business Saturday – Mayor Daines

Mayor Daines addressed the Council and read a portion of a proclamation she signed designating Saturday, November 26, 2022, as Small Business Saturday. Our small businesses contribute so much to our economy, Mayor Daines reminded everyone to shop small and support our local businesses, this Saturday and throughout the year.

No further Mayor/Staff Reports were presented.

COUNCIL BUSINESS:

Planning Commission Update – Chair Simmonds

Chair Simmonds reported that three items were presented at the last Planning Commission meeting. The Watermark Rezone in the area near Nibley and letters expressing sentiments have already been received. The proponent is requesting a rezone from Commercial to Multi-family. The Fairway Lofts design review and rezone was also presented. The proponent, Tony Johnson owns property near the fairgrounds and would like to rezone to Neighborhood Commercial. Lastly, the Moderate-Income Plan which, will be discussed later in tonight's meeting.

Utah Watershed Council Act – Andy Rasmussen and Dan Adams – Langdon Group

Andy Rasmussen and Dan Adams from the Langdon Group addressed the Council regarding the Watershed Act as of present. The Local Watershed Council is in ‘a balance appropriate for the watershed’ and ‘where feasible’ members shall represent the following interests: Agriculture, Industry, Indian Tribes, Public Water Suppliers, Water planning and Research Intuitions, Water Quality, Fish and Wildlife, Water Dependent Habitat and Environments, Watershed Management, Mutual Irrigation Companies, and Local Sponsors of Reclamation projects.

A local council may also invite state and federal agencies to designate a liaison to the local Watershed Council. The local Watershed Council members MUST “reside or work within or own or control the right to divert water within the watershed.”

Local Watershed Councils Role:

Similar to the Utah Watersheds Council, but at a local level will encourage and facilitate discussion and collaboration. Facilitate communication and coordination among state and federal agencies in the implementation of water-related activities. Communicate local issues, concerns, and priorities to the Utah Watershed Council. Furthermore, the local Watershed Council will NOT be a replacement for existing organizations NOR regulatory.

Current Process Update:

Organize documents and policy templates including the council formation and certification of process drafted.

Bear River will meet with representatives from the Cache Water District. (Staff acknowledges the tremendous amount of work that has been down with these and other groups). There are plans to create a Watershed Council for the Great Salt Lake itself.

Next Steps:

Ratify Year One Council onboarding process and schedule. Continue to organize and convene Bear River, Jordan, and Weber stakeholders. And establish dates and events to launch local Watershed Council.

Chair Simmonds said the Weber, Jordan, and Bear Watershed Councils have already been created. With the creation of the Great Salt Lake Watershed Council she asked if members of the previously mentioned councils be part of the Watershed Council.

Mr. Rasmussen responded that there will be a representative from each of these watershed councils as part of the Great Salt Lake watershed council.

Mr. Adams added that there will be representation from each of the 5 local Watershed Councils, who will at least have one seat on the Great Salt Lake Watershed Council. All

12 of the local Watershed Councils will have a seat at the statewide Watershed Council. In this manner, all local Watershed Councils will have a direct path to the state Watershed Council. An official avenue from the state to (our elected officials in the) legislature and then to the governor.

Chair Simmonds inquired who determines or rather makes the final decision of who will serve on the council.

Mr. Adams replied that the initiative or rather the recommendations will be locally driven. Information will be shared likely via virtual meetings to the local level. The local Watershed Councils need to be as diverse as possible. Names will be submitted to the state to ensure they fit the needs. The council will then go to the state to be certified, a final check by the state will ensure that the local Watershed Council meets all the needs of the area.

Chair Simmonds asked if the local Watershed Council is static once formed.

Mr. Adams answered there will be a change over time. The local council can have flexibility on how to go about doing so. There is great importance in the creation as the local Watershed Council reflects what is ongoing in the state. There is a need to communicate and efficiency for the state to go to one meeting and communicate everything to the local Watershed Councils, so everyone is aware of the topic and issues.

Chair Simmonds agreed on the importance of having a direct pathway to the legislature.

No further Council Business were presented.

ACTION ITEMS:

PUBLIC HEARING - Budget Adjustments FY 2022-2023: \$1,275 a reimbursement received from the US Department of Justice for federal cases worked by Logan City Police Officers – Resolution 22-43 – Richard Anderson, Finance Director

At the October 18, 2022, Council meeting, Finance Director Richard Anderson addressed the Council regarding the proposed budget adjustment.

Chair Simmonds opened the meeting to a public hearing.

There were no comments and Chair Simmonds closed the public hearing.

ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Jensen seconded by Vice Chair López to approve Resolution 22-43 as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

A. Anderson: Aye

M. Anderson: Aye

Jensen: Aye

López: Aye

Simmonds: Aye

PUBLIC HEARING – Consideration of a proposed sale and transfer of approximately one acre of undeveloped real property owned by Logan City and located at approximately 650 North 800 West. Said property is proposed to be sold to an adjoining property owner to be incorporated into a proposed development – Kirk Jensen, Economic Development Director

Economic Development Director Kirk Jensen addressed the Council regarding the proposed property sale.

PURCHASING ENTITY & PRINCIPALS

The purchasing entity will be 2U Logan LLC, a Utah limited liability company. The principal behind the entity is Redrock Realty Investments and the principals are John Chen and Eric Higuchi.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The purchase of the city-owned parcel of property will allow 2U Logan LLC to construct an approximately 250,000 square feet manufacturing, warehousing, and office facility. The preliminary site plan is attached ("Exhibit A"). Please note this is only a preliminary site plan and final details may change.

The reason for the construction of this facility is for the reshoring of Skywalker Trampolines manufacturing, which is currently located in China. Since the current warehousing and administration office of Skywalker Trampolines is in Brigham City, 2U Logan LLC has decided it would be more efficient to streamline the entire process in Logan, Utah.

Additionally, the facility will also be used to expand Dutro's current production capacity as the CEO Justin Kartchner plans to add additional production lines and to be less dependent on foreign manufacturing and logistic challenges.

Finally, a portion of this facility will be used to expand production of modular barns which are sold under the name MD Barnmaster and shipped throughout the United States. The production of these barns is currently located in China and California. The company would be able to manufacture in both Utah and California based on each location's capacity.

The companies listed above are affiliates of 2U Logan LLC.

PROJECT TIMELINE

2U Logan LLC has engaged Cartwright Architects to assist with the design and entitlement of this project. Our estimated timeline is to have the design finalized within two-months and three-months to process and obtain all proper entitlement. Thereafter, construction documents will take approximately six (6) to nine (9) months. Once we secure all necessary permits, construction should take 16 to 18-months.

Once we have an executed contract with the City of Logan, Cartwright will begin to assemble a list of necessary engineers and contractors required for this project. We have

asked Cartwright to utilize as many firms located in Logan and the State of Utah as feasibly possible.

Councilmember M. Anderson inquired when the city acquired the property and the reason for doing so.

Kirk Jensen, Economic Development Director responded the property was purchased in 2015.

Sam Odd, Public Works Program Manager replied the property was purchased in 2015-2016. Public Works built the connection at 800 West. The parcel is left over from the construction of the road.

Chair Simmonds asked if the road is adequate for the additional building and activity in the area in the near future.

Mr. Odd answered that 800 West was built to the Master Plan at the time. It is not needed according to the Master Plan and the road is sufficient for our needs. There are no plans for the parcel.

Chair Simmonds inquired where the location is in relation to Costco.

Mr. Odd responded that Costco is two blocks south of the parcel.

Chair Simmonds opened the meeting to a public hearing.

Dr. Gail Yost resident of Logan asked if the manufacturers are national, international, or local for the process and sales that will be done.

Mr. Jensen replied Dutro's is primarily national but is uncertain about Skywalker or MD Barnmaster. He will confirm if that is the case or not.

There were no further comments and Chair Simmonds closed the public hearing.

The Council gave their approval for the proposal.

WORKSHOP ITEMS:

Moderate Income Housing Plan Amendment – Consideration of a proposed resolution amending the Logan General Plan to comply with UCA 10-9A-401 & 10-9A-403 by adopting the Logan City 2022 Moderate Income Housing Plan – Resolution 22-46 – Mike DeSimone, Community Development Director

Community Development Director Mike DeSimone addressed the Council regarding the proposed amendment to the Moderate Income Housing Plan.

Mr. DeSimone stated that on October 27, 2022, the Planning Commission recommended the Municipal Council **approve** the Moderate-Income Housing Plan as an amendment to the Logan City General Plan.

This is a proposal to amend the Logan City General Plan by adopting the 2022 Moderate Income Housing Plan. The State Legislature mandates that every five years, all cities are required to adopt a Moderate Income Housing Plan that meets the needs of people of various income levels living, working, or desiring to live or work in the community; allows people with various incomes to benefit from and fully participate in all aspects of neighborhood and community life; and includes an analysis of how the municipality will provide a realistic opportunity for the development of moderate income housing with the next five years.

The State requirements were changed in 2022 requiring that municipalities select their specific Moderate Income Housing Strategies from a list contained in Utah State Statute 10-9a-403(2)(b)(iii) and include implementation plans detailing how the City will follow through on the provision of Moderate-Income Housing. The Commission's recommendation include eight (8) strategies along with a number of strategies recommended by staff. Planning Commissioners vote (6-0).

What is Moderate Income Housing? It is housing for households with a gross income equal to or less than 80% of the Area Median Income (AMI).

What is Moderate Income Housing Plan? A plan that provides a reasonable opportunity to meet the need for additional moderate income housing (10-9a-403(2)(a)(iii)).

Logan City adopted the 2019 Moderate Income Housing Plan, but the State passed HB (House Bill) 462 in 2022 changing MIH (Moderate Income Housing) requirements. An updated plan and annual reporting are due on October 1, 2022. (And at present, we are slightly late in submitting).

HB 462 Highlights:

- Clarifies MIH requirements and timing to amend the City's General Plan (MIH is an element of the Logan General Plan).
- Requires the inclusion of an implementation plan to bring the MIH element to life (2019 MIHP did not include this).
- Amends the list of strategies to use (2019 MIHP not consistent with the modified State strategies).
- Outlines the annual reporting requirements (Updated requirements).
- Adds priority incentives/restrictions for compliance with the MIH requirement (UDOT Transportation Funding potentially at risk).

What is the Priority Consideration in HB 462 and what is at stake if we do not comply? Transportation Project Funding (TIF & TTIF) and propriety consideration from the Transportation Consideration. The recommendation is that the 2022 Logan City MIHP

include at least 5 Moderate Income Housing strategies and associated implementation plan & timeline for each strategy.

Area Median Income (AMI) vs Logan Median Household Income:

- 2020 AMI Region: \$71,000 (HUD)
- 2020 Median Household Income: \$43,056
- 2020 Per Capita Income: \$19,353 (lowest in Cache County)

Approximately 27% of Logan Residents are below the poverty level. The 2020 Median HH Income in Logan is \$43,056 from 2016 at \$39,445.

Summary of Demographics:

- 1.2% Average Growth Rate (population)
- The population is Younger and Lower Income than other CV Cities
- Median Age – 23.8
- Household Size – 2.8
- 52% of the Population in the 18 – 34 & under 5 Age Groups
- 9% of the Population is considered Disabled
- 2020 Median Household Income \$43,056 vs Countywide \$60,530 & Statewide \$74,197
- Approximately 25% of residents live below the poverty line
- 11,294 Households, or approximately 65.4%, are below the <80% AMI threshold (\$59,300)

Summary of Housing Trends:

- 2020 Rate of Owner Occupancy Declining - 38.6% vs. 2000 at 44%.
- 2020 Rate of Renter Occupancy Increasing – 61.4%
- 18,592 Residential Units in 2020
- Added 2,996 Residential Units (2010 – 2020)
- 41% of the 18,592 Residential Units are detached SFR's (Single Family Residences)
- 1.8% Average Growth Rate of Residential Units vs 1.2% Average Population Growth
- Housing Demand and Prices UP vs Housing Supply DOWN
- Costs exceeding Income = Increase #'s of Cost Burdened Renters and Homeowners

Conclusions Regarding Impacts of Zoning on Moderate Income Housing:

- Logan currently has a wide range of Zoning.
- Current Zoning allows for a Wide Range of Potential Densities suitable for Moderate Income Housing.

- Current Zoning includes Multiple “Mixed-Use” Zones with Higher Density Potential (MU, COM, CC, TC, NC).
- Multiple Opportunities for Housing for most Income Ranges throughout the City.
- Current Zoning permits Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) but not citywide.
- The biggest issue (from a zoning perspective) is a lack of vacant property zoned for multi-family residential.

PC Recommended Strategies and Implementation Plans:

(A) demonstrate investment in the rehabilitation of existing uninhabitable housing stock into moderate income housing;

(B) create or allow for, and reduce regulations related to, internal or detached accessory dwelling units in residential zones;

(C) zone or rezone for higher density or moderate income residential development in commercial or mixed-use zones near major transit investment corridors, commercial centers, or employment centers;

(D) amend land use regulations to allow for single room occupancy developments;

(E) implement zoning incentives for moderate income units in new developments;

(F) preserve existing and new moderate income housing and subsidized units by utilizing a landlord incentive program, providing for deed-restricted units through a grant program, or, notwithstanding Section 10-9a-535, establishing a housing loss mitigation fund;

(G) eliminate impact fees for any accessory dwelling unit that is not an internal accessory dwelling unit as defined in Section 10-9a-530;

(H) develop a moderate income housing project for residents who are disabled or 55 years old or older;

Chair Simmonds requested confirmation that detached single-family housing is actually detached single-family homes.

Mr. DeSimone confirmed that is correct.

Councilmember A. Anderson asked if the recommended strategies and implementation plans are based on recommendations, development, etc.

Mr. DeSimone answered the recommendations are a mix, some from the original general plan or new, but all leading towards short-term, medium, and long-term goals.

Chair Simmonds inquired if one or all recommendations are the responsibility of the city to develop and implement a plan or mere framework.

Mr. DeSimone responded that recommendations can be developed and implemented or merely used as a framework. The council can determine how to proceed going forward. Councilmember Jensen commented that he has seen cities that develop housing projects or incentives. He asked, what are surrounding cities doing.

Mr. DeSimone replied that the city of Ogden receives federal funding and its own funding to rehab existing homes or purchase foreclosed homes and bring them back up to code. With the current economy, Ogden may have difficulties repairing and selling these properties. In addition, the city in the past has incentivized to improve the value of property many homeowners flipped and sold their homes for a higher value. It takes a lot of cash to buy the property, upgrade it, and then turn it.

Councilmember A. Anderson expressed concerns about how to ensure the home remains moderate-income housing.

Vice Chair López asked if much of the data for the report is from 2020. And if so, when can we expect to have current data.

Mr. DeSimone answered the up-to-date data will be available by 2025 (for the current year). The census data is in 10-year increments and the American Community Survey (ACS) (data is updated every year, but on a rotational basis to ensure no household will receive the survey more often than every five years).

Councilmember A. Anderson inquired if from the list of recommendations options that are already being done and were not included.

Mr. DeSimone replied that is not the case. Options that are already being done are included to receive credit for completion.

Mayor Daines quoted the League of Cities and Towns:, “Cities permit housing, cities don’t build housing.”

Councilmember Jensen asked if wages have increased taking into account the AMI for housing. A portion is to encourage better-paying jobs for the population.

Mr. DeSimone responded the AMI of income has risen from \$39,445 (in 2016) to \$43,056 (in 2020). We should encourage industrial job growth as they are higher-paying jobs. However, these jobs are not strictly limited to residents residing in Logan but countywide applicants.

The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the November 15, 2022, Council meeting.

Budget Adjustments FY 2022-2023 appropriating: \$6,800 donated funds toward police taser replacement; \$154,105 a grant for 911 operational improvements; \$600,000 commercial connection fee revenue to increase the budget for the cost of the associated construction; \$435,000 funds for the completion of Substation 9 and

Substation 10 projects; \$792 a grant the Library received from the State of Utah to pay for the renewal of Wi-Fi hotspots at the Library – Resolution 22-44 – Richard Anderson, Finance Director

Finance Director Richard Anderson addressed the Council regarding the proposed budget adjustments.

Chair Simmonds inquired if the increase is a result of higher costs or is it planned.

Mr. Anderson replied there is a budget for X amount, a base level of connection fees. If there is a year where there is sufficient ongoing commercial construction, we return to the council. The cost associated with the new development is the connection fee.

Councilmember A. Anderson asked what the 911 operational improvements are for.

Shelley Peterson, 911 Dispatch Communication Director replied this is the second year we have received grant funding from Utah Communications Authority (UCA). It is a sort of grant, (a revenue share would be appropriate wording). The UCA sets a minimum of standards and best practices that they establish for 911 services (PSPA - Public Safety Preservation Act) for the state of Utah. If the PSPA meets the minimum requirements and completes the best practice, they receive a portion of additional 911 revenue that is divided throughout the state. We received 7% of the funds.

She is certainly proud that all the requirements have been met and we qualify for the funding. They intend to use the funds on several projects including a live feed of the UDOT (Utah Department of Transportation) to have a better picture of situational awareness, especially in the winter such as Sardine canyon, traffic issues in Logan, etc. And automate the paging system of EMS partners, when there are calls for fires and emergency services, it will streamline the process.

The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the November 15, 2022, Council meeting.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:

There were no further considerations addressed by the Council.

There being no further business, the Logan Municipal Council adjourned to a meeting of the Logan Redevelopment Agency.

The Logan Redevelopment Agency convened on Tuesday, November 1, 2022, at 7:08 pm, in the Logan Municipal Council Chambers located at 290 North 100 West, Logan, Utah 84321. Logan Municipal Council Meetings are televised live as a public service on Channel 17 and the City of Logan YouTube channel at:
https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCFLPAOK5eawKS_RDBU0stRQ

Council Members present at the beginning of the meeting: Chair Jeannie F. Simmonds, Vice Chair Ernesto López, Councilmember Amy Z. Anderson, Councilmember Amy Z. Anderson, Councilmember Tom Jensen, and Councilmember Mark A. Anderson. Administration present: Mayor Holly H. Daines, City Attorney Craig Carlston, Finance Director Richard Anderson, Economic Development Director Kirk Jensen, City Recorder Teresa Harris and Deputy City Recorder Esli Morales.

Chair Jeannie F. Simmonds welcomed those present. There were approximately 7 in attendance at the beginning of the meeting.

WORKSHOP ITEM:

Budget Adjustment FY 2021-2022 appropriating: \$500,000 additional funds for the completion of the Center Block Project – Resolution 22-45 RDA – Kirk Jensen, Economic Development Director

Economic Development Director Kirk Jensen addressed the Council regarding the proposed budget adjustment for the Center Block project. Since March 2021 when we appeared before the council and entered into a construction contract in June of 2022, we tried to be conservative in our budget. However, with inflation, costs have increased by roughly 33%. Tearing into old buildings, we have encountered unexpected issues including requiring weatherproofing, structural support, totaling \$500,000llars.

Mayor Daines was able to approach potential donors and secured \$2.2 million in private donations to enhance the plaza. With the private donations, additional design features were able to be added to improve the plaza including design fees, (\$800,000). The good news it that leaves \$1.4 million dollars in excess that can help mitigate problems. Thanks to the generous donations we are in a much better situation.

The plaza is in early construction phases, and crews are trying to ensure we are amply covered. Mayor Daines has been working on finding additional donors. The appropriation is \$500,000 to reach the finish line.

Mayor Daines stated that she will provide additional information regarding donations at the next council meeting.

Councilmember M. Anderson requested confirmation on the sale of the Emporium and that the funds will go back to the RDA.

Mr. Jensen confirmed that is indeed the case.

The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the November 15, 2022, Council meeting.

ADJOURNED. There being no further business, the Logan Municipal Council adjourned at 7:15 pm.

Esli Morales, Deputy City Recorder