

recommended approval on January 27. The Development Review Committee and Chief Spencer have reviewed the application, and it meets code.

MOTION: Councilmember Hulet – To approve the commercial condominium plat for the Mountain View Hospital located at 15 South 1000 East with findings and fixing any redlines.

Motion seconded by Councilmember Hiatt. Those voting yes: Linda Carter, Brett Christensen, Taresa Hiatt, Brian Hulet, Bob Provstgaard. The motion carried.

2. Amendments to Henline Subdivision, Plat B, Lots 1 and 3, including the vacation of public utility easements and the creation/approval of the preliminary plan and final plat of Henline Subdivision, Plat C, along with new easements at approximately 1145 West and 800 South (7:00 p.m.)

Staff Presentation:

Chris Van Aken stated this is the former Big O Tire location and affects lots 1 and 3 of Henline Subdivision, Plat B. It adjusts the property line between the two lots; the utility easements will be vacated and relocated. This approval bypasses the planning commission, and the utility companies have been notified of the change. This is in the S-1 Zone. The change doesn't affect any compliance requirements or the general plan.

Jill Spencer noted nothing on the site will change but gives more access to overflow parking for the business.

MOTION: Councilmember Hulet – To approve the amendments to the Henline Subdivision, Plat B, Lots 1 and 3 and creation approval of the preliminary plan and final plat for Henline Subdivision, Plat C, at 1145 West and 800 South.

Motion seconded by Councilmember Hiatt. Those voting yes: Linda Carter, Brett Christensen, Taresa Hiatt, Brian Hulet, Bob Provstgaard. The motion carried.

3. Review of dog park to be located at Hollow Park (7:05 p.m.)

Mayor Wright noted there are many in attendance regarding the dog park. He reassured everyone that the council and staff have read and understand the concerns voiced through city comments, Facebook, and emails. His recommendation is to table the dog park and exclude Hollow Park from further consideration for a dog park. The PARC tax funding will be returned so the PARC Tax Committee can distribute the funds to another project.

Staff Presentation:

Tracy Zobell thanked the citizens for all their interest. He has spoken to many, and it was nice to get their involvement. The assumption that anything was decided was 100% inaccurate; there have been a lot of mistruths. Nothing has been purchased or bought such as fencing. The Park Department is very busy in the spring with growth and maintenance, which is why he asked the council for a decision at the last meeting that would then lead into a lot of planning. He apologized if that led people in the wrong way. The mayor, city council, and city manager have been very transparent and upfront through the process. They have tried to accommodate dog lovers who are in favor of a dog park as well as juggle complaints from those who are against a dog park. Many like the idea of a dog park but not by there house. The Parks Department thought Hollow Park would be the best fit. Items such as cutting down trees, design, no waste stations, 2.5 acres, and a road through the park is all misinformation.

Additional parking for Hollow Park has always been in the plan with or without a dog park. The Park Department feels it's best to put this on the shelf and plan a dog park in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan and a future development project.

Council Discussion:

Councilmember Christensen noted there are people who are for and people who are against the dog park with the majority against. He appreciates those who organized the petition, the areas it discussed, and those who signed. Many had great suggestions on where to locate a dog park. The Parks and Recreation Master Plan still needs to be completed. His recommendation is to leave Hollow Park as a nature sanctuary. He does have concerns about the heavy underbrush and dog feces in Hollow Park. He suggested Dog waste stations be installed along city trails for dog walking because dogs aren't allowed in any city parks.

Councilmember Hulet stated he appreciates everyone who wanted to get involved in being part of the community and expressing their opinions. The council wanted to make sure everyone had an opportunity to address this. From his perspective, the dog park will not be put in Hollow Park. To help with misconceptions and inaccurate information, he suggested the City put out the information on the city website, which the city is getting a new website in June. This way people can be directed to the website and all the facts are the same. A dog park should be part of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

Councilmember Provstgaard stated last week was the first time he heard about the dog park. The council wants to be as transparent as possible; it's the way successful governments work by listening and doing the will of the people. It's a victory for everyone tonight; their voices have been heard. The City will find another place for the dog park.

Councilmember Hiatt agreed with everything that has been said. This is the most public input the city has received on anything. She really appreciates the citizens for their work because it's their city. The Council needs to know what the residents like or dislike. She thanked everyone for attending the meeting and being involved.

Councilmember Carter echoed what Councilmember Hiatt stated. It's important for the citizens to be involved and hopes they have some satisfaction on what they have done. It shows what can happen when citizens get involved. She thinks about the council meetings that occur two weeks and the average attendance is pretty bare. She challenged citizens to continue to stay involved, attend meeting, and help make the decisions of the City. The Council makes decisions for the residents, not against them. The Council wants to make everyone in the City happy even the dog lovers.

Mayor Wright agreed, the City needs to supply a place for the dog owners. He reassured residents the City will not pursue the dog park in Hollow Park.

Councilmember Hulet stated because lots and lots of comments were made on line and Facebook, he suggested allowing one spokesperson for and one against be allowed to speak.

Public Comments:

Deavin Weaver stated it sounds like the Council has paid attention to what the residents have said on line, email, and Facebook. There are plenty of arguments that could be made that weren't in the petition. The petition has over 600 signatures, which is well beyond the reach of the immediate

neighborhood of Hollow Park. Hollow Park is a valuable resource to the community, City, and even the county and should be preserved.

Steve Fisk stated with 40 years of veterinary medicine and biomedical research background on animal behavior, he offered his services to the City to address a dog park or anything that relates to animals. There are a lot of aspects to animal behavior pet owners and others are simply not aware of. He commended the Council for taking the time to look at this and explore other options.

MOTION: Councilmember Christensen – To not use Hollow Park for a dog park and that the PARC funds allocated for the purpose of a dog park be returned to the PARC Tax Committee to be reallocated as a project with the City and incorporate of a dog park into future planning.

Motion seconded by Councilmember Carter. Those voting yes: Linda Carter, Brett Christensen, Taresa Hiatt, Brian Hulet, Bob Provstgaard. The motion carried.

4. Ordinance – Amendments to the Payson City Code, Title 10, Water (7:30 p.m.)

Mayor Wright stated Cameron Phillips asked that this item be tabled to allow for more study.

MOTION: Councilmember Hulet – To table the ordinance amendments to the Payson City Code, Title 10, Water. Motion seconded by Councilmember Hiatt. Those voting yes: Linda Carter, Brett Christensen, Taresa Hiatt, Brian Hulet, Bob Provstgaard. The motion carried.

5. Resolution – 1st amendment to the residential recycling agreement with Allied Waste Services (7:32 p.m.)

Staff Presentation:

Dave Tuckett stated the City entered into a recycling agreement almost five years ago, which expires this summer.

Applicant Presentation:

Reece DeMille stated recycling has been discussed in the past, which is not going away but is changing. Republic is asking for a three-year extension. The price to dispose of recycling has gone up. Republic continues to take recycling materials to the South Utah Valley Transfer Station, which is then separated, bailed, and sold at the Rocky Mountain Recycling Center and the Waste Management's recycling center in South Jordan. About 25% of the materials is not recycled because it is contaminated materials. Payson is pretty average with the amount of contamination that goes into containers. If the Council extends the contract, there will not be a price increase in 2021, which saves the City about \$6,700 per year. Then there is a safety net if recycling goes busy that takes \$1 over the baseline over \$120 to increase the rate one penny. So, if it went from \$120 to \$140, the City would see a twenty-cent per month rate increase for the next twelve months. This extension keeps the rate of \$7.95 per household per month. If the City gets recycling participation up over 50%, which is currently at 43%, Republic will waive the next year price increase as well. Currently, the average rate is \$120 per ton, which fluctuates every month so an average is used.

Council Discussion:

Councilmember Christensen stated he's glad to see the contract reevaluated with the changes. He likes the recycling program and wants to see it succeed. He questioned if it's possible to create centralized dumpsters for recycling as well as continuing the curbside recycling. Recycling does extend the life of