

MINUTES of the regular **City Council** meeting of Wellsville City held **September 07, 2022**, at the Wellsville City Offices at 75 East Main. City officials present were Mayor Thomas G. Bailey; Councilwomen Kaylene Ames and Denise Lindsay; Councilmen Bob Lindley, Chad Poulsen, and Austin Wood. Also present were City Planner Jay Nielson and City Manager Scott Wells. A copy of the Notice and Agenda was mailed to the Mayor and Council members and emailed and faxed to the Herald Journal on September 02, 2022. The meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Mayor Thomas G. Bailey.

Others Present:	Kylie Bartholomew	Landon Bartholomew	Jed Clark
	David Cook	Clair Cooper	Paul Cooper
	Lee Gray	Heber Hammer	James Hammer
	Thomas Hammer	Ty Lewis	Megan Petersen
	Jason Spahr	Brian Tarbert	Daniel Tarbert
	Linda Wursten		

Opening Ceremony: Wellsville Scout Troop Pack 21

The Council reviewed the agenda. **Councilman Chad Poulsen made a motion, seconded by Councilman Bob Lindley, to approve the agenda as presented.**

<u>YEA 5</u>	<u>NAY 0</u>
Kaylene Ames	
Bob Lindley	
Denise Lindsay	
Chad Poulsen	
Austin Wood	

The Council reviewed the minutes of the July 20, 2022 regular City Council meeting. **Councilman Austin Wood made a motion, seconded by Councilwoman Denise Lindsay, to approve the minutes of the July 20, 2022 regular City Council meeting.**

<u>YEA 3</u>	<u>NAY 0</u>	<u>ABSTAIN 2</u>
Denise Lindsay		Kaylene Ames
Chad Poulsen		Bob Lindley
Austin Wood		

The Council reviewed the minutes of the August 03, 2022 regular City Council meeting. **Councilwoman Denise Lindsay made a motion, seconded by Councilwoman Kaylene Ames, to approve the minutes of the August 03, 2022 regular City Council meeting.**

<u>YEA 4</u>	<u>NAY 0</u>	<u>ABSTAIN 1</u>
Kaylene Ames		Austin Wood
Bob Lindley		
Denise Lindsay		
Chad Poulsen		

The Council reviewed the minutes of the August 17, 2022 special Truth in Taxation meeting. **Councilwoman Kaylene Ames made a motion, seconded by Councilwoman Chad Poulsen, to approve the minutes of the August 17, 2022 special Truth in Taxation meeting.**

<u>YEA 5</u>	<u>NAY 0</u>
Kaylene Ames	
Bob Lindley	
Denise Lindsay	
Chad Poulsen	
Austin Wood	

Payroll is approved through the budget, therefore, the checks approved in the City Council minutes are not in numerical order from City Council meeting to City Council meeting as the city uses the same checking account for payroll and accounts payable. After review and discussion, **Councilman Chad Poulsen made a motion, seconded by Councilman Austin Wood, to approve the City's accounts payable bills for payment, represented by check number 27318 through 27360.**

YEA 5

NAY 0

Kaylene Ames
Bob Lindley
Denise Lindsay
Chad Poulsen
Austin Wood

Payroll is approved through the budget, therefore, the checks approved in the City Council minutes are not in numerical order from City Council meeting to City Council meeting as the city uses the same checking account for payroll and accounts payable. After review and discussion, **Councilman Chad Poulsen made a motion, seconded by Councilman Austin Wood, to approve the City's accounts payable bills for payment, represented by check number 27363 through 27420.**

YEA 5

NAY 0

Kaylene Ames
Bob Lindley
Denise Lindsay
Chad Poulsen
Austin Wood

At 6:13 p.m., Mayor Thomas G. Bailey opened the meeting for citizen input. Linda Wursten thanked the Mayor, City Council, and City Manager for a beautiful Founders' Day celebration. At 6:14 p.m., citizen input was closed.

Beginning at 6:15 p.m., the City Council shall receive public input, then along with input from the Planning Commission, consider for possible approval a **Code Amendment 10-35-2**, amending **Title 10, Chapter 15, "Residential Site Development Standards,"** dealing with methods for calculating density in developments that do not require dedication of public rights of way. City Planner Jay Nielson presented the proposed amendment. He explained this amendment would give relief to certain properties with a one-acre or one half-acre lot within the ROS1 and ROS ½ zoning districts by not requiring open space set-asides. City Planner Nielson also reminded the Council of recent **Code Amendment 2021-03**, in **Chapter 10-35-040** titled **"Open Space Standards,"** a repeal of ownership of open space, **4. Type 4 "Individual Private Ownership within a Subdivision"**. Preceding this code amendment, developers had the option to proceed with **"Individual Private Ownership within a Subdivision,"** or pursue a cash in lieu open space substitution. Therefore, small subdivisions which are currently required to have open space cannot have open space within a private lot(s). **Amendment 2021-03** inadvertently created a situation where a small subdivision cannot have open space within private lots and would need to pay cash in lieu for open space which is very costly; or place the open space in a Homeowner Association (HOA) which is cumbersome. City Planner Nielson read aloud from **Attachment A, 10-35-2, "Land Set Aside Required,"**

- "C. *Exception: Open Space set-aside for legal lots within the Residential Open Space One Acre (ROS1) and Residential Open Space One Half Acre (ROS 1/2) zoning districts may not be required when one of the following conditions exist:*
1. *A two (2) lot subdivision, including a lot split, leaving a remainder parcel/lot. This applies to existing, approved, subdivisions involving two (2) lots. However, if sensitive or critical lands are involved the open space set-aside shall include all of the sensitive or critical lands within the lots, or;*
 2. *A legally existing single lot containing less than two (2) acres in the ROS1 zone and less than one (1) acre in the ROS 1/2 zone, or;*
 3. *When more than 40% or more of a one (1) acre lot is required to be reserved as*

critical lands, or;

4. *The Planning Commission determines that open space in a legally existing or proposed 3 lot subdivision meets all of the following requirements:*
 - a. *Open space cannot be located in the subdivision to have some future connectivity or functional purpose to future open space within the neighborhood.*
 - b. *Open space cannot be located in the subdivision to provide any future connection to the community trail system,*
 - c. *Open space in the subdivision is not near or adjacent to any critical lands or existing or planned future open space as shown in the Parks, Recreation, and Trails Master Plan of the city."*

City Planner Nielson reported Commissioner Clair Cooper was concerned that existing subdivisions would then ask to be granted use of established open space. City Planner Nielson noted giving back established open space is not something Wellsville City wants to make a habit of. However, he felt an exception to retroactively remove open space, with strict criteria, is reasonable in this scenario.

"D. Exception to retroactively remove open space. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to abandon open space set asides on a private lot if open space has been set aside and recorded on the final plat of the lot, if all of the following criteria are achieved:

1. *The lot is a legally existing and recorded as part of a subdivision, and;*
2. *The lot is legally established with a limit of two (2) total lots, or a lot split where one (1) lot was established leaving a remainder lot, and;*
3. *The lot was never part of a larger subdivision of more than 2 lots, where open space was recorded over the lot and privately owned by the lot owner, and;*
4. *A portion of the lot was not recorded as open space held in common by a homeowner's association.*

To finalize an exception to remove open space on a lot an amendment to the subdivision shall be processed as specified in 11-1-10 Amendments @: https://codelibrary.amlegal.com/codes/wellsvilleut/latest/wellsvilleut/0-0-0_6607. The proposed amended plat shall be prepared, recommended by the Planning Commission, and approved by the City Council, and signed by the Mayor and recorded at the Cache County Recorder's Office. Once recorded, the property owner shall be free to use the entire property."

City Planner Jay Nielson added the city does not want to fragmentize existing open space. The Planning Commission could grant removal of open space if the property met those four criteria with no limit to the size of the subdivision. It is not a simple process to amend a subdivision plat he explained.

- "E. Exception to Calculate Density. A density multiplier of 1.0 may be used to calculate the allowed density of a property, whenever one of the following conditions exist (see table 10-15-1): 1. A lot or lots are legally existing and the lot size equals or exceeds 1.0 acre, or; 2. No dedication of public right-of-way is required for subdivision approval."*

City Planner Nielson stated the density multiplier adjustment should have been made long ago.

- "E. General Requirement in all zoning districts. Open space shall be required if any lot or subdivision is part of a larger parcel that can be subdivided in the future. The provision to waive an open space set-aside shall not be used to circumvent open space requirements when it is apparent that lot(s) can be or attempts are being made to split-off lot(s), in small increments, to avoid setting aside open space. Existing open space, in all zoning districts, that has been set-aside, as required by ordinance, shall not be waived, removed, recorded, or modified in any way that is contrary to the original recording of the open space, except as provided in 10-35-2-C."*

City Planner Nielson explained that Wellsville City has a long history of establishing open space because residents desired that the city remains rural. He stated Wellsville's rural nature is largely defined by open space. He stated three large subdivisions have made important use of required open space. Wellsville City has also purchased meaningful open space property with cash paid in lieu of open space by developments.

At 6:30 p.m., Mayor Thomas G. Bailey opened the public hearing

Commissioner Clair Cooper addressed the Council in a nonofficial capacity. He stated that all subdivisions should be required to have open space set-aside. Mr. Clair Cooper stated it is not fair for a two-acre parcel (Tax Id. #10-041-0037), adjoining his 25-acre parcel (Tax Id. #10-041-0001), to be exempt from open space yet Mr. Clair Cooper's adjoining larger property must dedicate 40-percent open space. He urged the Council to require open space or waive open space regardless of acreage.

Lee Gray encouraged the Council to make meaningful use of available open space to connect and create additional trail systems throughout the city. She stated many areas throughout the city do not have sidewalks at all or the existing sidewalk is in horrible condition. Ms. Gray encouraged the Council to plan ahead and to add beauty to Wellsville with additional trail systems.

Mr. Clair Cooper addressed the Council again. He described a resident purchasing a lot with open space for full price 15-years ago. That resident paid full price for their lot despite only having unhindered access to 60-percent of that lot since the remainder was dedicated open space. Mr. Clair Cooper believes there would be an unfair advantage for those purchasing a lot if open space were not dedicated. He mentioned 40-percent of his son Cortney Cooper's lot is dedicated open space.

Paul Cooper told the Council he has two-acres zoned as ROS1. He asked if he would be required to have open space if the proposed amendment is approved. City Planner Nielson answered he would not. Mr. Paul Cooper asked if his son would be able to rescind his open space set-aside. City Planner Nielson answered yes *if* the proposed amendment is approved and *if* the property meets the previously outlined criteria.

At 6:39 p.m., **Councilman Chad Poulsen made a motion, seconded by Councilman Bob Lindley, to close the public hearing.**

YEA 5

NAY 0

Kaylene Ames
Bob Lindley
Denise Lindsay
Chad Poulsen
Austin Wood

Councilman Chad Poulsen informed the Council that the Planning Commission had not issued a recommendation for approval or denial. City Manager Scott Wells stated that the Commission wanted to continue discussing the ability to rescind established open space. City Planner Nielson explained item "*D. Exception to retroactively remove open space*" was added to address the Commission's concerns. Councilman Poulsen stated that the Commission was also concerned that parties would attempt to circumvent open space requirements by splitting off one small subdivision at a time from a large parcel. Councilman Bob Lindley clarified that changing the building area limitations of 35-percent for RSF zones, and 25-percent for ROS1 zones, is not being considered. City Planner Nielson expounded that 25-percent of an acre lot is 16,000-square feet of buildable area. He continued that open space is not required in the RSF zone unless it is a nine-lot subdivision or larger. Councilman Austin Wood asked how an open space abandonment could benefit residents such as Mr. Cortney Cooper. City Manager Wells answered that the three-lot subdivision in which Mr. Cortney Cooper lives could ask to abandon open space. If approved, Mr. Cortney Cooper would then have full ownership of that 40-percent of his lot (which previously had open space restrictions and only allowed agricultural buildings) and build a personal shop. Councilwoman Denise Lindsay questioned what would happen if only one resident in a three-lot subdivision had a desire to abandon the open space within their lot. Their open space, and the open space of the neighboring two lots, may have been one of their incentives to purchase the property initially. City Planner Nielson recognized that possibility should be examined further. He estimated retroactive open space abandonment may be a possibility for as few as 20 properties within the city. City Manager Wells countered he believes it would affect as few as ten properties. Councilwoman Lindsay emphasized that the open space in those existing 10-20 properties is not meaningless and helps to keep Wellsville rural. Councilman Poulsen stated he worries any repeal of open space will hurt Wellsville's rural nature. Mr. Clair Cooper repeated open space should be consistently required, or waived, regardless of acreage. Councilman Poulsen agreed. Mr. Paul Cooper said he feels open space in small lots is nothing but a hinderance to the owner. Mayor Bailey

stressed that open space required within a 25-acre parcel in the ROS1 zone does not result in any fewer homes on that property. Homes are condensed closer together within the acreage to allow for required open space. Councilman Poulsen replied in that instance the lots would lose value as they decrease in size. Mayor Bailey disagreed and stated open space provides great amenities such as parks which have great appeal to potential buyers. Councilwoman Lindsay referred back to item "E. General Requirement in all zoning districts. Open space shall be required if any lot or subdivision is part of a larger parcel that can be subdivided in the future." She asked how it is possible to differentiate that item since any property was most likely split from a larger property in the past. City Planner Nielson responded that would be determined by inspection of county records.

Councilman Poulsen stated he would like the open space set-aside and density multiplier split into two separate amendments. Councilman Lindley agreed. Ms. Kylie Bartholomew reminded the Council that **Amendment 2021-03** inadvertently created a situation where a small subdivision cannot have open space within private lots. She stressed that their proposed subdivision (Cooper Subdivision Tax Id. #10-041-0037) cannot be granted final approval until the Council remedies the contradiction between **Amendment 2021-03** and the proposed **Code Amendment 10-35-2**. City Planner Nielson emphasized that an HOA for a two-lot subdivision is cumbersome and purchasing open space is exorbitant for a two-lot subdivision. City Manager Wells stated that final approval of the Cooper Subdivision is also being hindered by the density multiplier. **Councilman Chad Poulsen made a motion, seconded by Councilman Bob Lindley, to continue the discussion regarding a possible Code Amendment 10-35-2, amending Title 10, Chapter 15, "Residential Site Development Standards," dealing with methods for calculating density in developments that do not require dedication of public rights of way; until the land set aside and density multiplier are split into separate amendments and recommendations are received from the Planning Commission.**

YEA 5

NAY 0

Kaylene Ames
Bob Lindley
Denise Lindsay
Chad Poulsen
Austin Wood

Jason Spahr met with the Council a third time to request a building permit for property located at 1050 South 200 West (Tax Id. #10-039-0003). Mr. Spahr is requesting a building permit for a 20-foot expansion of an existing garage/shop. If approved, the 20-foot addition would include a bathroom which must connect to the City's culinary system. The addition would span eight-feet past 4,650-feet of elevation. **Temporary Land Use Regulation 2022-02** is a *moratorium* which currently prohibits residential and commercial building permits and subdivision applications in those parts of Wellsville City located above 4,650-feet in elevation. At the August 03, 2022 City Council meeting Mr. Spahr read aloud from the **Wellsville City Corporation Resolution 2022-02**,

"WHEREAS Jones and Associates determined on or about November 29, 2021 that the City does not have sufficient water to meet the requirements of the City's water system in those parts of the City located above 4,650 feet in elevation (the "Upper Pressure Zone"); and

WHEREAS, based upon the findings of Jones & Associates, the City Council and the Mayor have determined that the ongoing drought poses a significant risk to the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of the City if some action is not taken to limit the use of water in the Upper Pressure Zone; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Utah Code Ann. § 10-9a-504, the City Council has determined and found there is a compelling countervailing public interest that warrants an immediate cessation of subdivision and building applications requiring a water hook-up in the Upper Pressure Zone until such time as the City can adequately evaluate its water system; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City Code § 8-1E-17 and Utah Code Ann. § 10-7-14(5), the Mayor and City Council have judged it necessary to limit the use of water from the City's water system in the Upper

Pressure Zone and integrates a proclamation to that effect within the body of this Ordinance;"

At the August 03, 2022 City Council meeting Mr. Spahr presented three reasons he does not believe the proposed garage addition conflicts with the moratorium. First, he is not requesting a new water hook up. He would be attaching the proposed line to his home's existing water connection. Second, Mr. Spahr's house and existing water connection is within the Upper Pressure Zone. He emphasized he is the last house to access water on that water line *from the Lower Pressure Zone*. Third, the majority of Mr. Spahr's property is below 4,650-feet of elevation. He informed the Council the proposed garage addition would sit at 4,658-feet of elevation. Eight-feet above the moratorium line. For these three reasons, Mr. Spahr asked the Council to grant an exception and give him a building permit. He repeated he is not asking for a new water hook up, and his existing water connection is sourced from the Lower Pressure Zone. Mr. Spahr suggested "dry plumbing" the bathroom, and not connecting to water until the moratorium expires at the end of the year. Mr. Spahr said his request is no different than if he was asking to build an additional bathroom onto his house since he has an existing water connection to the Lower Pressure Zone. Councilwoman Ames disagreed and underscored that the moratorium explicitly prohibits issuing building permits for *any* property of the City located above 4,650-feet in elevation. She added the Council's decision will be thoroughly scrutinized.

Following the August 03, 2022 discussion Councilman Bob Lindley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Chad Poulsen, to grant Jason Spahr's request for a building permit at property located at 1050 South 200 West (Tax Id. #10-039-0003) with the following findings; with the interpretation that this building permit is related to an existing structure and the majority of the Spahr's property is within the Lower Pressure Zone; the granting of this building permit allows for construction of the proposed addition only; no water will be hooked up or used at this addition until further review of the next moratorium if extended. Councilwoman Kaylene Ames and Councilwoman Denise Lindsay voted nay. It was then up to Mayor Bailey to cast the deciding vote. Mayor Thomas G. Bailey stated he was not comfortable with a building permit being issued for property above 4,650-feet or an existing water line being extended above 4,650-feet until the moratorium is lifted. His interpretation of the moratorium is that the City will not provide *any* new water above 4,650-feet of elevation regardless of the water's source. "To avoid anyone misjudging the intent of Wellsville City regarding the moratorium I vote in denial of the building permit." he concluded. Mayor Thomas G. Bailey, Councilwoman Kaylene Ames, and Councilwoman Denise Lindsay denied Jason Spahr's request for a building permit at property located 1050 South 200 West (Tax Id. #10-039-0003). Following the Council's decision Mr. Spahr asked to appeal. Mr. Nielson told Mr. Spahr that was his right as a citizen to appeal the decision with the district court.

At this current meeting Jason Spahr restated his request from previous City Council meetings. A building permit for a 20-foot expansion of an existing garage/shop which would span eight-feet past 4,650-feet of elevation. Mr. Spahr suggested "dry plumbing" the bathroom, and not connecting to water until the moratorium expires. Councilwoman Kaylene Ames questioned why this request has been brought before the Council again after a decision was made at the August 03, 2022 City Council meeting. Mr. Spahr responded that a full council was not in attendance at either of the previous meetings. Councilman Bob Lindley pointed out that the Council regularly make decisions without a full council in attendance. Councilman Austin Wood referenced the August 03, 2022 meeting minutes which read that a motion was made, and Mr. Spahr was told it was his right to appeal the decision with the district court. Councilman Wood asked City Planner Jay Nielson where an appeal of a City Council decision should be lawfully reviewed. City Planner Nielson reported Wellsville City Code has no direct mandate where an appeal is evaluated after City Council. He continued, once a Council has made a decision, they can be asked to reaffirm or rescind that decision. If a denial is reaffirmed, then the next course of action would be to the Board of Adjustments. City Manager Scott Wells stated that the City's legal counsel has stated an interpretation made by the Council in this circumstance is defensible. He stressed the Council needs to make findings for the record which justify the Council's interpretation. Whatever the Council determines will be the City's policy moving forward.

Councilman Bob Lindley read aloud from the moratorium, "*... is hereby temporarily prohibited, and a temporary land use regulation (moratorium) on the filing and approving of any application for a subdivision, building permit, or water connection, requiring a water hook-up of any kind is hereby imposed*

on all areas within the Upper Pressure Zone.” He told Mr. Spahr a building permit *and* water connection are *both* prohibited by the moratorium. Mr. Spahr said it is common sense that the moratorium’s purpose was to limit water usage in the Upper Pressure Zone which he is not apart of. Councilman Wood noted the 4,650-foot elevation was the best educated guess by City Engineer Chris Breinholt. Councilman Wood said he feels allowing Mr. Spahr to build his addition and wait to connect to water following the moratorium will not violate the intent of the moratorium and be defensible.

After further study, City Planner Nielson read aloud, “If a local government’s interpretation of its ordinances is disputed there should be a process to appeal and review the interpretation. Often this process begins with a formal interpretation from a local governmental official. That interpretation may usually be appealed to the entity’s appeal authority and the appeal authority’s decision could ultimately be appealed to the district court.” City Planner Nielson believes Mr. Spahr would need to appeal the Council’s denial within 30-days to the local Board of Adjustments. City Planner Nielson would be required to prepare a staff report for the Board of Adjustment’s records. Mr. Spahr asked for the official written application to request an appeal to the Board of Adjustments. City Manager Wells stated he will email the appeal application to Mr. Spahr. City Planner Nielson clarified that the Board of Adjustment’s decision is final. If Board of Adjustments denies Mr. Spahr request, he would then have to appeal to the District Court with an attorney. Mr. Spahr asked the Council what the likelihood is of fine tuning the moratorium (once it expires) to eliminate restrictions of existing homes within the Lower Pressure Zone regardless of elevation. Councilman Lindley stated if a person finishes plumbing and construction of a bathroom it is most likely they will hook the bathroom to a water source. Councilman Poulsen stated he would follow whatever was recommended by the city attorney. Councilwoman Lindsey emphasized how thoroughly the Council’s decision on this request will be scrutinized. Councilwoman Ames agreed. She stressed how the drought affects Wellsville City and the state of Utah as a whole. Mayor Bailey reaffirmed his decision to deny Mr. Spahr’s request. He interprets the moratorium as the City will not provide *any* building permits *or* new water above 4,650-feet of elevation regardless of the water’s source. City Planner Nielson repeated the Council’s decision on this request will be thoroughly scrutinized. He called modifying the moratorium a “risky slippery slope.” Mr. Spahr asked if the Council’s decision would change if there was not a threat of a lawsuit. Councilman Wood replied that is not something the Council can speculate on. Councilwoman Ames agreed and repeated drought conditions are affecting Utah as a whole, not Wellsville alone. Councilwoman Lindsay suggested Mr. Spahr build a shop below the 4,650-feet elevation. Councilman Wood asked if the 20-foot addition could go on the opposite side of the shop. Mr. Spahr asked for the application to appeal to the Board of Adjustments. City Manager Wells stated he would email the application later this evening. Mr. Spahr asked who his contact would be with the District Courts. City Planner Nielson replied any attorney. After discussion, **Councilman Bob Lindley made a motion, seconded by Councilwoman Kaylene Ames, to ignore Item 9. “Jason Spahr- Discuss Building Permit @ 1050 South 200 West (Tax Id. #10-039-0003)” since that motion has already been made and voted on.**

YEA 5

Kaylene Ames
Bob Lindley
Denise Lindsay
Chad Poulsen
Austin Wood

NAY 0

City Manager Scott Wells discussed the fourth quarter financial report for April 2022. He reported to the Mayor and Council that Wellsville City is in good financial standing. The Council determined to hold the city Christmas party in person rather than a drive-thru. After discussion, **Councilman Chad Poulsen made a motion, seconded by Councilman Bob Lindley, to approve the fourth quarter financial report for April 2022.**

YEA 5

NAY 0

Kaylene Ames
Bob Lindley
Denise Lindsay
Chad Poulsen
Austin Wood

The City Council discussed culinary and secondary water restrictions and notification processes for Wellsville City. City Manager Scott Wells reported water levels are maintaining. Wellsville City is using 100,000-gallons less a day than this time last year from Leatham Springs. He believes the citizen's water conservation efforts are working. The Council discussed how invaluable the August rainstorms were. **Councilman Chad Poulsen made a motion, seconded by Councilman Bob Lindley, to continue the discussion about water restrictions for culinary and secondary water for Wellsville City and the notification process.**

YEA 5

NAY 0

Kaylene Ames
Bob Lindley
Denise Lindsay
Chad Poulsen
Austin Wood

Department Reports:

Mayor Thomas G. Bailey-

- 1) Expressed appreciation for everyone's Founders' Day efforts. The Sham Battle, parade, Patriotic Meeting, fireworks display, and Music in the Park were very well organized and enjoyable.
- 2) Councilwoman Kaylene Ames will continue serving as the Founders' Day Chairman. The Council thanked Councilwoman Ames for all her hard work. Mayor Bailey commended Councilwoman Ames for the Tabernacle's clean interior.

Denise Lindsay-

- 1) Margo Pierce won the Founders' Day quilt raffle.
- 2) Councilwoman Lindsay suggested asking Kathleen Howell, recently retired Cache County Assessor, to attend next year's Truth in Taxation meeting.
- 3) The Council discussed the benefits and disadvantages of the proposed Restricted Crossing U-Turn Intersection (RCUT) at the intersection of US 89/91 and Highway 23.
- 3) Councilwoman Lindsay stated she is concerned that Wellsville City pays the Mount Sterling Stampede Rodeo \$3,500.00 a year for which the City has no accounting of. Wellsville City is responsible and liable for that \$3,500.00. Councilwoman Lindsay asked to have a review with the Mount Sterling Stampede Rodeo committee to be included in the next meeting's agenda. Accounting should be standardized for everyone.
- 4) Expressed appreciation to local businesses who contributed to the Founders' Day fireworks display.

Kaylene Ames-

- 1) Expressed appreciation for everyone's Founders' Day efforts.
- 2) Tickets sales for amusement rides were lower this Founders' Day.
- 3) Would like to explore reinforcing the city float.
- 4) Councilwoman Ames would like drinking water to be available at the city square during Founders' Day.

Chad Poulsen-

- 1) Expressed appreciation for everyone's Founders' Day efforts. Fireworks display was impressive.
- 2) The Council discussed options for paying the horse teams which participated in the Founders' Day parade.

Bob Lindley-

- 1) Received requests for a Movie in the Park Saturday evening before the Founders' Day fireworks display.
- 2) Expressed appreciation to city employees for their Founders' Day efforts.
- 3) The Fun Run, softball tournament, and golf tournament were enjoyable.
- 4) Flag football in conjunction with Nibley City is beginning tonight.
- 5) Would like there to be more supervision for youth handling shotguns in the parade.

Austin Wood:

- 1) Expressed appreciation for everyone's Founders' Day efforts.
- 2) The Council discussed options for paying the horse teams which participated in the Founders' Day parade.
- 3) Expressed disappointment so few citizens attended this City Council meeting after Councilman Wood's invitation to those citizens at the Truth in Taxation meeting.

City Manager/Recorder's Report:

- 1) Expressed appreciation for everyone's Founders' Day efforts.
- 2) Ear plugs should be made available along with the blank shotgun shells.
- 3) Lights at the Wellsville Reservoir restroom were not working. Need additional soap, toilet paper, and paper towels during Founders' Day. Update drinking fountain to have a bottle filler.
- 4) Circuit breakers were not able to meet the food truck's needs.

At 8:49 p.m., Councilman Bob Lindley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Chad Poulsen, to adjourn the meeting.

YEA 5

Kaylene Ames
Bob Lindley
Denise Lindsay
Chad Poulsen
Austin Wood

NAY 0


Thomas G. Bailey
Mayor


Scott Wells
City Manager