

Formal Meeting
Thursday, May 5, 2022
5:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.

Join Via Webex: <https://saltlakecity.webex.com/saltlakecity/j.php?MTID=m28d75f61340d6db5f0ade1de58187dea>

Or Join at the Public Lands Administrative Building: 1965 W. 500 S. Salt Lake City, UT 84104
Upstairs Parks Training Room

Join by phone
1-408-418-9388
Access code: 2492 310 3544

Minutes (approved)

1 – Convening the Meeting	5:00 PM
Call to order - Polly Hart - Brianna Binnebose - Samantha Finch - Jenny Hewson - Melanie Pehrson - Phil Carroll - CJ - Clayton Scrivner	
Chair comments Polly Hart updated the board on the donation of two Bristlecone Pine caliper trees received last month from Deb Sawyer. Ms. Hart announced that the trees will be planted tomorrow in Memory Grove and that she would be attending the planting along with children from Washington School.	
New board members update and/or introductions Ms. Hart asked staff whether any new board members were on Zoom for today's board meeting. Luke Allen responded that there were no new board members in attendance, but that there is a new member that was just appointed on Tuesday, May 3rd to the board. The new board member's name is Frances Ngo. Mr. Luke read Frances Ngo's biography and stated that Frances will be attending the June board meeting. Ms. Hart confirmed with Mr. Luke that Frances' appointment fills the at-large appointment.	

Ms. Hart asked staff for an update on the District 1 candidate appointment as well as the second at-large candidate appointment Mr. Luke informed the board that there is a Council interview with a potential District 1 candidate on May 17th. Kristin Riker explained that the other at-large candidate appointment was paused due to the discovery that the candidate was married to a Public Lands Department employee, which is against ordinance. That at-large position is now re-opened, and Ms. Hart will stay on the board for one more month until this position is filled. Ms. Riker further explained that Ashley Cleveland, Salt Lake City Deputy Director of Community Outreach, is aiming to have Indigenous representation on the board and would like to put together a Salt Lake City ordinance that requires the board to have two Indigenous members on the board to fill the two at-large positions in the future.	
2 – Approval of Minutes	5:10 PM
Approve April 7, 2022, meeting minutes Samantha Finch motioned to approve the April meeting minutes. Jenny Hewson seconded the motion. The minutes were unanimously approved by the PNUT Board.	
3 – Public Comment Period	5:15 PM
Verbal comments are limited to no more than 3 minutes; 15 minutes total. Written comments are welcome. Dan Schelling Dan Schelling, 28-year resident of Salt Lake City who spends a lot of time in the foothills, explained that they were present at the April 19th City Council work session. Dan stated that they heard Public Lands staff Tyler Fonarow and Tyler Murdock provide an update on the Foothills Trail System to the Council and wanted to let the PNUT Board know that they are pleased and supportive of the requests submitted at the meeting, including: 1) to extend the pause on trail construction on the foothills so that the city has time to complete essential studies related both to Foothills natural environments and its recreational use by Salt Lake City residents. 2) a request funds to restore the Adventures [Avenues] Ridgeline Trail that was closed lats year. 3) a request for funds to maintain existing “legacy trails and recently-constructed trails where necessary without the use of machinery.” Dan stated that they are also pleased and fully supportive of the Public Lands Department’s request to expand the initially planned review of the Phase I trail construction to include a review and inventory of previously existing trails, a review of present and future trail needs, and an increase in community outreach efforts. Dan stated that they do know that there are a number of folks in the Salt Lake community who are quite upset that there was a pause in the construction in trails and Dan	

expects they will be equally upset to learn about the request to continue to pause until 2023, but they would like to remind the PNUT Board and anyone else listening that the Salt Lake City foothills are a precious resource that should and can be shared, not only by the humans that live in Salt Lake City but by the wildlife that lives in the foothills and nearby wilderness including elk, deer, moose, fox, and owls. Dan further stated that the foothills are not going anywhere soon; they will still be here in 2023 and later years, so let us not be hasty and instead take the time and effort as requested by Public Lands to maintain our presently existing trails and build additional trails where necessary in order to preserve the environmental integrity of this precious urban wildlands interface. Lastly, in the words of Tyler Fonarow at the meeting, “The reason we are pausing is so we can get it done right.” Dan concluded their comment period by thanking the board. Hilary Jacobs Hilary Jacobs, long-time resident of Salt Lake City, wished to thank the Public Lands Department for extending the pause in the trail construction in the Salt Lake City Foothills for at least another year. Hilary stated that it was heartening to hear the Public Lands Department’s intention to complete environmental and cultural studies to gain a greater understanding of these complex lands and spaces before moving forward. Hilary further thanked the board for expand the Request for Proposal to find a consultant to do a comprehensive study and analysis of Phase I work, as well as an analysis to find a balance between human activities and a need to protect these fragile ecosystems. Hilary commented that we are but transient visitors in these desirable habitats. Further, Hilary commended the completion of your new Master Plan, Reimagine Nature. Hilary stated that it is clear that this document is the result of considerable effort by many dedicated people and it’s high time that the 1992 Plan—now 30 years-old—be replaced with this new vision. Hilary mentioned one area of concern, which is that Reimagine Nature is being presented as a guidepost for all of the city lands, urban and natural, including the foothills. However, Hilary emphasized that planning for these distinctly different spaces must be two distinct different endeavors because the parameters for managing manicured urban parks should not be the same parameters used to manager vulnerable natural environments. In short, Hilary believes that Reimagine Nature is great for urban spaces but that it should not be used as a substitute for a comprehensive land use management plan for the foothills; they believe we need a regional land use management plan to envision a comprehensive recreation plan, and without both of those our lands will not be protected from the increasing urban pressure that is degrading the very qualities that we seek and need. Hilary concluded their comment period by thanking the board. The Board thanked the public commenters and Ms. Hart asked if there was anyone else listening who would like to contribute public comment. Hearing none, Ms. Hart moved to the Staff Discussion and Agenda Items portion of the meeting.	
4 – Staff Discussion and Agenda Items	5:30PM
Trailheads update – Tyler Fonarow	10 mins

Mr. Fonarow reviewed the five funded trailhead options for the foothills and the Bonneville Shoreline Trail and provided updates. Mr. Fonarow explained that he would start his project presentation moving from North to South, and they have about 10% conceptual designs of these different locations. He stated that Alta Planning Design is the firm that has been working on this moving towards 40% designs.

1. Victory Road is on Salt Lake Public Utilities property, so they have been working with them on agreements and memorandums of understanding related to their utility infrastructure. For this reason, the process is taking a bit longer as they work to ensure that the City is not disrupting any critical water infrastructure at this site. This is a trailhead of about twelve parking spots. The city is also working with the Utah Department of Transportation regarding right-of-way permissions, as this particular trailhead is a bit farther into UDOT's easement property than other trailheads.
2. Bonneville Boulevard (Salt Dome) trailhead is the furthest along in the process due to the parking lot that currently exists there being a wonderful footprint already in place, making extra redesigning efforts unnecessary. Mr. Fonarow explained that a lot of folks already use it as a trailhead; the plan will be to stripe the existing parking lot space to folks can use that as part of the trails.

Ms. Hewson asked a clarifying question pertaining to the Bonneville Boulevard Trailhead and any facilities being planned for public use.

Mr. Fonarow stated that they are looking at a possible comfort station/restroom at that location. He proceeded to further explain the layout of the area and movement of the gate location, as well as whether a bathroom would be viable at that location.

Mr. Fonarow further explained that he intentionally did not bring designs to this meeting as it was too early to critique the plans as viable options are still being explored.

3. 18th Avenue is one of the more complicated trailheads since it is a minor trailhead. Additionally, all that space is owned by SLC Public Utilities, including critical water infrastructure with Morris Reservoir. There are a few possibilities in the works for this site, including expanding the current spot to fit additional parking. The goal is to put together multiple conceptual designs to solicit community engagement before proceeding any further.

CJ asked Mr. Fonarow how many cars he expected to be able to fit up in this area. Mr. Fonarow mentioned that they were planning for approximately twenty cars but will only fully understand this upon further community engagement. Mr. Fonarow further stated that after speaking with neighbors he estimates that it will be split between those neighbors who want a larger parking lot for trailhead users to park instead of being on the street versus those residents who want a smaller parking lot with a lesser footprint and for parking to land where it may.

Mr. Fonarow added that one thing to keep in mind about all trailhead design is keeping up with increasingly large car bike racks. Other factors to keep in mind are looping in

Public Safety on all plans in regard to backcountry rescue and firefighting as well as Public Utilities being able to access their water infrastructure.

4. Popperton Trailhead is one of the highest volume in current trail access. This one will definitely include a bathroom likely toward the West side of the trailhead area and in between the playground and soccer areas. The city is already in the process of a better signed access through Federal point due to neighbor concern. Mr. Fonarow has been working with the HOA president at Federal Point to include better signage and public accessibility points.
5. Emigration Trailhead is Utah State Parks property (This is the Place) and is also an important burial site for one of Utah's Tribal communities towards the Eastern edge of that lot. Mr. Fonarow and Ashely Cleveland have been working with the Utah Division of Indian Affairs and Native American Remains Council about an appropriate buffer zone between the trailhead and the burial vault in order to ensure a respectful coexistence in that space.

Mr. Fonarow gave the Board a heads up regarding Lakeline Drive. The city is exploring the parcel of land between the H Rock and the new segment of the BST, what has been traditionally known as Jack's Peak. Folks have approached the City about potential property available for a trailhead in this area.

Ms. Hart asked whether the Board had any further questions of Mr. Fonarow.

Phil Carroll stated that he had recently driven around to a few trailheads to observe the different parking situations. Mr. Carroll wondered if they could obtain an intern to go around at the same time and day of each week to survey folks about how they are accessing the trails and from where. Mr. Carroll also mentioned that there were a variety of bikes being used, such as e-bikes, and that might also be useful analysis pending there is room in the budget for it.

CJ responded that this might be a good time and space to get some of the differing parties together, such as the Wasatch Backcountry Alliance and the Save Our Foothills groups, since they share a common goal and both groups have access to data and resources for counting trail users.

Mr. Carroll responded that this confirmed some of his research as well. He also mentioned a few other trails he had walked and noticed about parking.

Ms. Hewson added that she had also done a few drives to check out the parking at various trailhead locations and seconds Mr. Carroll's recommendation of doing a weekend survey. Ms. Hewson added doing an afterwork survey as well would help ensure we are capturing all demographics.

CJ mentioned that the Board should probably also take into account the time of year and season as the user types and number of users will fluctuate month-to-month, so such a study would be a year-long process. CJ asked Mr. Fonarow what the consideration was for taking into account the volume of traffic.

Mr. Fonarow mentioned that there are two items he felt were important that Ms. Hewson and Mr. Carroll shared, which are time of day and parking as close to the trailhead as possible. Further discussion on the issue continued. Mr. Carroll asked Mr. Fonarow if he had spoken with Shriner's to use their parking lot on Saturdays. Mr. Fonarow stated that he would follow up with Shriner's about that. Ms. Hart mentioned that between 300N and 500N there are at least fifty spaces that recreators use. Mr. Fonarow confirmed that the State Capitol is a great partner for parking spaces since the capitol is not busy on weekends and that is Parks' busiest time of the week. Ms. Hart called for any further questions. Seeing none, the Board moved onto the next agenda item.	
Park signage project update – Kat Maus Kat Maus introduced herself and began the slide presentation on the Community Parks Signage Project. Ms. Maus explained that Landmark Design is the firm they have chosen to complete the \$335,125 project. The first part of the project is to design a multilingual signage standard primarily for English and Spanish as an addendum to the Salt Lake City Parkes, Open Space and Trail Signage Guidelines. The second part of the project is to implement the English/Spanish signage standard in ten community parks citywide. Ms. Maus finished the presentation and asked if the Board had any further questions. Mr. Carroll asked clarifying questions related to the QR codes and languages displayed on Ms. Maus' slide presentation, and also provided recommendations, such as including educational information about the sacred nature of Memory Grove and City Creek areas. Ms. Maus replied that her team had been doing demographic studies about park users as well as dominant languages spoken amongst those users and stated that she would explore the recommendations posed by Mr. Carroll. Ms. Maus also mentioned that they were looking into doing a separate master plan for Memory Grove, which would capture the signage for that site. Melanie Pehrson inquired about public engagement for signs and referenced Poplar Grove specifically. Ms. Maus stated she would follow up with Mr. Fonarow on the public engagement piece. Brianna Binnebose asked clarifying questions about the types of signage.	10 mins

Ms. Riker spoke to potential future funding related to unique signs. Ms. Maus referenced a few past Public Lands Parks projects. Ms. Hart called for further questions for Ms. Maus. Seeing none, Ms. Hart moved onto the next agenda item.	
Donation proposals (action items): • Backman open space – Kat Maus Ms. Maus confirmed that the Salt Lake Education Foundation donation for the Backman Open Space project is \$20,000. Ms. Maus stated that they are using the funding to develop the open space on the West side of the bridge between the housing area and Jordan River into a community outdoor classroom and safety upgrades for the area. She stated that they are hoping to begin construction on this with BioWest Summer 2022. Ms. Maus further stated that including this donation from SL Education Foundation and Backman Elementary, they will have close to \$250,000 to fund this project. Now a PNUT board recommendation to move forward with the donation agreement is needed. Ms. Maus completed her presentation and opened it up for questions. Clayton Scrivner asked whether there are other partners involved in managing and programming the space. Ms. Maus responded that the main programmer will be Backman Elementary to use as an outdoor playground; however, when not in use as an open classroom, it will be available for the general public to utilize. Samantha Finch asked whether this project had any relation to a past project presented to the Board years ago that she recalled being similar in concept, though that particular project was never finalized. Ms. Riker and Ms. Maus responded to Ms. Finch's question. Ms. Maus provided further details about the space and continued to answer questions from the Board. Mr. Carroll and Ms. Hewson asked clarifying questions related to the bridge, design, and concept. Ms. Riker and Ms. Maus responded to Mr. Carroll's and Ms. Hewson's questions. Ms. Finch asked further questions related to the funding sources and Ms. Maus responded. Mr. Scrivner made a motion to approve the Backman Open Space Project. Ms. Finch seconded the motion. The project was approved unanimously by the PNUT Board.	15 mins

- Liberty Bell dedication plaques – Kezia Lopez

Kezia Lopez introduced herself and began presenting the Liberty Bell dedication plaques item to the Board.

Ms. Lopez stated that the Beta Sigma Phi sorority is proposing to replace or repair the original plaques for the Liberty Bell. The current plaques that were donated in 1978 are very damaged. The sorority group would like to remove one of the plaques and replace it with a new one that is a replica of the plaque that states, “The children of Utah present the tower of the liberty bell,” which Ms. Lopez referred to as the second photo in the Board Packet memo. Ms. Lopez mentioned that if they cannot buff out the scratches on the first plaque (represented by the first picture), they will move to replace it. Ms. Lopez also mentioned that the group is hoping to have everything completed by June and will be working with Kyle Shields and the metal work company to ensure everything goes smoothly. Ms. Lopez stated that the total estimated value of the donation is \$1600 and mentioned that the new plaque would be made of steel for increased durability and enhanced care.

Ms. Hart asked a clarifying question regarding the donor. Ms. Lopez confirmed that only Beta Sigma Phi sorority is the sole party responsible for the donation.

Mr. Carroll made a motion to accept the donation for the Liberty Bell dedication plaques. Ms. Binnebose seconded the motion. The donation was approved unanimously by the PNUT Board.

- International Peace Gardens Tree & Bench Donation – Kezia Lopez

Ms. Lopez proceeded to present the second donation from a private donor. This is a family who would like to plant a tree and place a bench inside the International Peace Gardens in memory of their late sister. The area will be in the Scotland Garden and the trees they have a choice of are birch, elm, hornbeam, or hawthorn. It needs to be a two-inch caliper for better chances of survival. The family will also be donating a bench made of granite and installed near a tree. Ms. Lopez mentioned that at first, the family wanted to installation in the German Garden; however, they changed to the Scotland Garden due to many similar installations already in place at the German Garden. The family is requesting a small engraving on the side of the bench that will say, “In memory of Julie Wagner, 1985-2021, ‘I’ll never say goodbye.’” Ms. Lopez affirmed that the family will be paying for all costs and working with Aaron Benzon to ensure that the installation goes smoothly.

Mr. Scrivner asked Ms. Lopez whether the memorial installation was in-line with regulatory code.

Ms. Lopez stated that currently, the City does not have any memorial plaque regulations; however, she deferred to Ms. Riker and Mr. Bollwinkel to provide more information on this topic.

Mr. Bollwinkel stated that Public Lands has historically backed away from memorial plaques and encouraged 3-4 inch by 7-inch plaque mounted to the bench versus in the ground. This has been the standard for quite a while. Mr. Bollwinkel mentioned that one discussion that he, Tony Gliot, and other staff have been having is regarding potentially having memorial groves designated in each park; in such an instance, policies and guidelines would then follow.

Ms. Finch asked if it was somewhere in City Code.

Ms. Riker replied that Public Lands has created this policy since, when we accept memorial plaques, there is an expectation that the plaque will be there forever, though the reality is that plaques often get vandalized and stolen, and then Public Lands is expected to pay and replace that. Ms. Finch confirmed that it is more of an internal policy and adopted practice, and there is nothing in code or regulation.

Mr. Carroll confirmed with Mr. Bollwinkel the reasons that this particular plaque was acceptable by internal policy, which range from the fact that it is small and made of durable material, less likely to be vandalized.

Ms. Riker mentioned that in the donation agreement, there is also an understanding between the signing donor and Public Lands that the department is not responsible for any damage or theft; furthermore, the bench is like other benches in the area.

Ms. Hart pointed to the Board packet where there were examples of similar benches already in the German Garden. She asked whether engraving directly into the granite was an option.

Ms. Lopez confirmed that this is exactly what the donor is proposing.

Ms. Finch expressed some concern related to consistency and referenced a family wanting to memorialize something at the ballpark that was for their son who had passed away. Ms. Finch's memory was that the PNUT Board was willing to accept the donation but no plaque. Mr. Scrivner stated that this was exactly why he raised the question regarding memorial plaque regulations.

Ms. Riker stated that the distinction was that there was no plaque or bench requested, and asked Ms. Lopez to weigh in on what was communicated to the family at that time.

Ms. Lopez stated that they wanted to do improvements to the park itself and then a large plaque and picture with bio.

Ms. Finch asked if the family were presented with an alternative, and Ms. Lopez stated that the family worked with Kyle Shields on different options that would fit within their budget, though she did not believe that a granite bench was presented in the list of options.

Mr. Carroll asked how Public Lands can get the policy pertaining to memorial plaques, and Ms. Riker replied that it is on the list of items that staff is working towards. Ms. Hart called for final questions, comments, or actions pertaining to the agenda item. Mr. Carroll made a motion to accept the International Peace Gardens Tree & Bench Donation. Ms. Binnebose seconded the motion. The donation was unanimously approved by the PNUT Board.	
5 – Board Discussion and Action Items	6:05 PM
Finalize board discussion about updated bylaws Ms. Hart asked Board members if everyone had a chance to re-read the updated bylaws and whether there were any questions or comments at this time. Ms. Finch and Ms. Hewson both provided comments; Ms. Hewson asked whether the code of conduct portion needs to be added to the agenda or read at the beginning of each meeting. Mr. Allen stated that the language could be added as an anchor statement on the agenda. The Board discussed this option and Ms. Hart proceeded to call for a motion to approve the bylaws. Ms. Finch made a motion to approve the bylaws. Ms. Hewson seconded the motion. The bylaws were approved unanimously by the PNUT Board. Ms. Hart thanked Ms. Finch and Ginger Cannon for their work on formulating the updated bylaws.	10 mins
Board discussion about formation of a foundation subcommittee Ms. Finch referred to the PNUT Board bylaws, “board member responsibilities” listed in the final paragraph of page 5, which states that, “a subcommittee to the board shall be established by the chair whenever proposed by a board member.” Ms. Finch explained that the point of this subcommittee is to be exploratory and comprised of a few PNUT Board members with the purpose of investigating some sort of outside funding vehicle for the Public Lands Department in its mission. Ms. Finch mentioned that she would propose an ad-hoc committee versus a standing committee, which would culminate to a final report and presentation to the PNUT Board illustrating what the subcommittee learned. Ms. Finch is happy to take the lead on the subcommittee and mentioned that both Ms. Hewson and Ms. Pehrson had expressed interest in joining her on the subcommittee.	15 mins

Ms. Hart called for questions or comments by the PNUT Board on the proposed subcommittee. Ms. Hewson mentioned that they have met with four different community members: Amy May of Tree Utah, City Community Council, Turner Bitton, and Jake, a local real estate developer who is now leaving the state. Ms. Finch stated that she believes the first steps for the proposed subcommittee would be to reach out to members of the community who they believe would provide the subcommittee with some direction, advice, and connections for the subcommittee to follow up on. Ms. Finch stated that she is collecting names and if any other PNUT members are interested in joining the subcommittee or have suggested folks that the subcommittee should connect with, please let her know. Ms. Hewson and Ms. Finch stated that they have had many interesting ideas suggested to them. Ms. Hewson shared that Amy May suggested it could be under the PNUT umbrella for now, and then as time goes on, the subcommittee could become a larger initiative or project. Ms. Hewson's first concern is whether it is appropriate for the subcommittee to be solely a PNUT-driven initiative; Ms. Hewson's other concern is the time commitment and PNUT member capacity. Ms. Hart confirmed that the PNUT Board had a quorum and called for a vote to create an exploratory ad-hoc subcommittee. The PNUT Board voted to unanimously approve the creation of the subcommittee. Ms. Hart mentioned that the PNUT Board would look forward to hearing back from the subcommittee with their first update during the June PNUT meeting. Ms. Binnebose asked Ms. Riker if there are any grant opportunities – such as through CIP or other pathways – to fund a paid executive director role to lead such an initiative. Ms. Riker replied that although CIP funding or other City funding would not apply in such a situation, she is uncertain if there are others out there. Ms. Riker mentioned the Project for Public Lands and the Trust for Public lands, which are national nonprofits that assist with natural space conservation. She recommended reaching out to them to inquire about funding. Ms. Hewson stated that exploring such funding is another item that the subcommittee could explore and update the PNUT Board on. Mr. Allen and Ms. Pehrson mentioned internship and apprenticeship initiatives. Ms. Riker replied that city-sponsored apprenticeships are not likely to apply to this situation due to the subcommittee's purview not being city-specific.	
6 – Confirmation of Next Meeting, Board Comments & Future Agenda Items	6:25 PM
Board subcommittee updates as needed Trails subcommittee	

Ms. Hart will be leaving the PNUT Board but would still like to remain on the trails subcommittee. Mr. Fonarow also mentioned having Rick Edelman, who holds a PhD in soils engineering, on the trails subcommittee. Ms. Hart mentioned they will also expand the non-member board opportunities to include more folks in the trails subcommittee. Also discussed at the trails subcommittee was off-leash user groups. • Bylaws subcommittee The bylaws subcommittee has winded up due to passing the PNUT Board bylaws. • Communication subcommittee Ms. Binnebose stated key takeaways from the communication subcommittee were envisioning key takeaways about future roles and what they can provide to the city, as well as looking at strategic communications plans and processes, and looking at the communications plan. Ms. Binnebose also mentioned the importance of discussing as a larger group the sharing of Board members' personal information as well as keeping track of what we are doing as a board for continuity and historical knowledge once PNUT board members leave and so forth, such as digitizing all board packets and important records. Other topics were making the board more accessible and enhanced community engagement opportunities with City Council. The last items discussed by the communication subcommittee was developing PNUT Board member bios online and parking ambassadors. Mr. Carroll also mentioned getting the PNUT Board onto an internal communications platform, and Mr. Allen stated that he had email the City Recorder's Office about getting the members Microsoft Teams account.	
Board comment and question period Mr. Carroll stated that it is very important to replace trees in Memory Grove. Ms. Riker mentioned that Tree Utah provides the Department with a lot of trees. Tony Gliot updated the PNUT Board on the status of Memory Grove tree replacement. He stated that the current issue is identifying the tree species most desired by the community, as this is common historical practice. However, they are not going to plant any tree species susceptible to verticillium wilt, which some of the previous community choices, such as maples, were susceptible to. Mr. Gliot stated that he has been working with Kyle Shields on this.	

Mr. Carroll stated that he would follow-up with Kyle tomorrow on this issue. Mr. Gliot assured the PNUT members that they do have the money for it and are prepared to plant the trees, and one more final question is whether the community wants a single species of tree or to alternate between two different trees, as they have heard both. Mr. Carroll referenced the federal legislation proposed for public lands that would require shooting ranges on public lands across the country. Mr. Carroll asked if the city has plans to address that. Ms. Riker mentioned that it is probably more of a state government issue. Carmen Bailey mentioned that there are several rural communities that are very active about fire mitigation around shooting ranges, as well as various ordinances in place regulating shooting range activities on public lands. Mr. Carroll stated he simply wanted to bring it to the Board's attention as it was of concern to him. Ms. Riker also announced that Mr. Allen will be on parental leave and Ms. Lopez would also be out leading up to the next meeting. Ms. Riker will be working with Sarah Balland, Carly Anderson, and Brandon Fleming to prepare for the PNUT Board's June and July meetings while both Ms. Lopez and Mr. Allen are out of office. Ms. Hewson thanked staff for the online layout and accessible preparation of board meeting documents. Ms. Hewson will be in England in June.	
Next meeting: June 2, 2022	
Request for future agenda item Ms. Finch stated that she would like to hear more from staff about the Public Lands Budget, specifically the information on the \$80M bond. Ms. Riker stated that on May 24th at the 2pm work session, she will be giving a presentation to the City Council on the proposed Public Lands Budget. She would be happy to do the same presentation again for the PNUT Board. She reminded the board that there is no guarantee that the Council will accept the full budget. Ms. Hart reminded the members that the May 24th work session meeting will be recorded. Ms. Riker stated that Public Lands submitted a transmittal for the \$80 million bond and would love to share that with the PNUT board once it is scheduled with the City Council. She also announced that the mayor had recommended \$2 million in	

maintenance funds from Funding our Future to go to Public Lands maintenance. She stated that the \$2 million does not include equipment or staff, so the Department will have to request some other items to actually spend the \$2 million. Ms. Riker stated that the public has expressed what is most important is taking care of what we have. Ms. Riker informed the Board that the Department had conducted a statistically randomized community survey about the bond and what resident interests are, and the results are very interesting. She offered to present the results at a future agenda. Ms. Bailey also mentioned that the new Park Ranger Program Manager Janessa Edwards will be introduced at the next meeting. Suzy Lee is the Park Ranger Program Coordinator. Ms. Bailey provided a quick status update on the Park Ranger positions and interview process. The Park Ranger Program will be able to provide an update to the PNUT Board in either is June or July meeting.	
7 – Adjourn	6:45PM

Ms. Hart moved to adjourn the May PNUT Board meeting. Ms. Finch seconded the motion. The board voted unanimously to adjourn the meeting.