

**PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE
SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT**

**WILL HOLD A PUBLIC MEETING
IN THE COMMISSION CHAMBERS - ROOM 1400
OF THE UTAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
100 East Center Street, Provo, Utah
November 12, 2020 – 1:00 P.M.**

Board members may participate electronically at will, with the anchor location as stated above.

In accordance with the High Transmission Area restrictions instituted by the Department of Health in Utah County, members of the public are strongly encouraged to participate electronically. To accommodate physical distancing requirements, physical attendance will be limited to 20 people (including staff) at the anchor location. Once the room has reached capacity the doors will be locked, and additional attendees will be invited to participate online. All individuals in attendance must comply with State Public Health Order 2020-22 requiring face coverings in indoor spaces where social distancing is not possible, with certain exemptions

The public may participate electronically by joining via zoom at the following link <https://zoom.us/j/8249859146> or calling (669) 900-6833 or (346)248-7799, Meeting ID: 824 985 9146 Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per individual unless otherwise approved by the Board. Please state your name at the beginning of the comment. Please no foul or abusive language.

If a member of the public is unable to provide comment electronically then they may contact the commission clerk to submit written comments for the record by emailing commissionclerk@utahcounty.gov or by calling 801-851-8111

~PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES (approved at 2/10/2021 Meeting)~

Commissioner Ivie (Chair) in Attendance (Mike Taylor arrived at meeting at 1:12 P.M.)
Spencer Park in Attendance via Zoom
(Called to Order: 1:08 P.M.)

PUBLIC HEARING

Commissioner Ivie Suspended the motioning rules due to a member of the board absent
Commissioner Ivie Opened the Public Hearing

PUBLIC HEARING TO DISCUSS THE 2021 BUDGET FOR SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT

NO PUBLIC COMMENTS

**SPENCER PARK: MOTION TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING
COMMISSIONER IVIE: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 2/0**

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

AGENDA

1. WELCOME AND CALL TO ORDER

BY COMMISSIONER IVIE

2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE AUGUST 12, 2020 SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT PUBLIC MEETING.

SPENCER PARK: MOTION TO APPROVE

COMMISSIONER IVIE: SECOND

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 2/0

3. DISCUSS A REQUEST FROM JONI M. HATCH FOR CERTAIN WATER RIGHTS.

ADAM BECK, UTAH COUNTY ATTORNEY – THIS IS A RESPONSE TO AN EMAIL THAT JONI HATCH HAD SENT TO YOUR OFFICE. SHE OWNS PROPERTY ON THE OLD TOWN OF SOLDIER SUMMIT, AND SHE’S BEEN TOLD THAT SHE’S NOT ABLE TO BUILD BECAUSE THE PIPE BRINGING WATER ACROSS THE HIGHWAY IS TOO SMALL TO SERVICE ANOTHER HOME. SHE DOES HAVE WATER RIGHTS, BUT SHE SAYS THAT SHE NEEDS ONE OF THE TWO OWNERS LISTED ON THE DEEDED RIGHTS TO TRANSFER, MEANING SHE OWNS SOME RIGHTS AND SOLDIER SUMMIT OWNS ANOTHER PORTION OF THE RIGHTS. SHE WANTS SOLDIER SUMMIT TO DEED ONE-ACRE FOOT OF WATER TO HER SO THAT SHE CAN THEN SEGREGATE HER AMOUNT AND THEN SHE CAN DIG A WELL AND BUILD ON HER PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - IS SHE LOOKING TO PAY FOR THESE OR ARE WE JUST GIVING THEM AS A GIFT? I DON’T SEE HOW THIS IS BENEFICIAL TO THE DISTRICT.

ADAM BECK - SHE DOESN’T OFFER TO PAY, IT JUST SAYS, ‘PLEASE SEND ME SOMETHING OFFICIALLY DEEDING ME ONE-ACRE FOOT OF WATER’.

SPENCER PARK - WHERE WOULD THIS ONE-ACRE FOOT OF WATER COME FROM? DOES THE DISTRICT HAVE WATER FROM THAT SITE?

ADAM BECK - SHE DID PROVIDE A DEED BUT I’M NOT EXACTLY SURE WHERE THAT WATER WOULD COME FROM.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - THE DISTRICT ITSELF CARRIES A CERTAIN NUMBER OF WATER RIGHTS. DO YOU KNOW THE NUMBER?

DANENE JACKSON, FINANCE MANAGER – ELEVEN.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - I KNEW WE HAD A FEW.

SPENCER PARK - WE’D HAVE TO BE FAIR ALL THE WAY. IF WE SOLD HER ONE,

DO WE HAVE ENOUGH TO SELL TO OTHER LOT OWNERS ON THAT SIDE? COMMISSIONER IVIE - MY INCLINATION HERE, ESPECIALLY WHERE SHE'S NOT OFFERING ANYTHING AT ALL, WOULD BE TO JUST RESPOND TO HER SAYING THE DISTRICT'S NOT INTERESTED AT THIS TIME. I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT AN OFFER TO PUT TOGETHER.

SCOTT BIRD - I SPOKE WITH RICHARD NIELSON THIS MORNING ABOUT IT AND THE CONSENSUS WAS THAT THEY WOULD NEED TO BRING IN THEIR OWN WATER FOR THE LOT OVER IN THE TOWN SITE RATHER THAN HAVING THE DISTRICT PROVIDE THE WATER. SO, THEY WOULD NEED TO PROVIDE ANOTHER SHARE OF WATER EITHER FROM BUYING IT FROM ANOTHER SOURCE OR WHATEVER.

SPENCER PARK - THAT'S GENERALLY HOW WE TAKE CARE OF IT TOO.

SCOTT BIRD - THE CONSENSUS IS THAT YOU'VE GOT SO MANY LOTS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT UP THERE RIGHT NOW AND TO BRING MORE IN, THEY WOULD NEED TO BRING IN MORE WATER RIGHTS TO BE ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE RATHER THAN TRANSFERRING OR ALLOWING MORE TO COME IN UTILIZING THE EXISTING RIGHTS.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE PROBABLY COULD GIVE ADAM (BECK) DIRECTION TO REACH BACK OUT TO HER....

(MIKE TAYLOR – 3RD BOARD MEMBER, ARRIVED AND COMMISSIONER IVIE EXPLAINED TO HIM WHAT THE SITUATION WAS WITH THIS AGENDA ITEM)

SPENCER PARK - CAN WE CAN JUST SEND HER THAT LETTER SAYING THAT THE DISTRICT AT THIS TIME DOESN'T HAVE ANY WATER SET ASIDE FOR VACANT PROPERTIES AND SHE WOULD NEED TO BRING WATER INTO THE DISTRICT TO HAVE WATER SERVICE?

COMMISSIONER IVIE – THAT WOULD MAKE SENSE TO ME.

4. DISCUSSION ON EMERGENCY CONTACTS FOR SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT.

JAME MCCLURE - THIS CAME UP ABOUT 8 YEARS AGO. WE HAD A MEMORIAL WEEKEND WHERE WE WEREN'T ABLE TO GET A HOLD OF BRADY (CHRISTENSEN) OR ANY OF THE PEOPLE THAT HANDLE THE WATER UP THERE, SO WE DECIDED TO GET A PROGRAM WITH THE NON-EMERGENCY DISPATCHER THAT THEY COULD CALL THE PEOPLE THAT WERE ON-CALL FOR THE DISTRICT. THAT PHONE NUMBER LIST HASN'T BEEN UPDATED FOR 8 YEARS. THAT'S WHY I ASKED TO HAVE IT PUT ON HERE. WE JUST NEED BRADY (CHRISTENSEN) TO GET THE PHONE NUMBERS TO THE DISPATCHERS IN THE EVENT THAT WE CAN'T GET HOLD OF THEM.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - THANK YOU. WE'LL GO AHEAD AND GIVE DIRECTION TO PUBLIC WORKS AND MAKE SURE THAT WE GET THAT UPDATED WITHIN THE NEXT COUPLE OF WEEKS.

5. REVIEW, APPROVE, AND AUTHORIZE PAYMENT OF INVOICES AND OTHER CURRENT OBLIGATIONS FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND OTHER EXPENSES.

DANENE JACKSON, FINANCE MANAGER – WE HAVE A LIST IN YOUR BACKUP (BELOW) THAT HAS 7 EXPENDITURES TO APPROVE:

Approve Payment of Invoices and Other Current Obligations for
 Professional Services, Operations, Maintenance, and Other Expenditures
 Fiscal Year 2020
 Board Meeting Held November 12, 2020

PAYMENTS TO BE APPROVED:			
Vendor Name	Description	Amount	Notes
Professional Engineer TBD	Feasibility study (tank vs line replacement)		Discussed during July 2019 board meeting.
TBD	Fencing		Discussed during July 2019 board meeting.
Utah County Public Works	Payroll-Related Expenses	\$ 10,000.00	
Utah County Treasurer	Postage	\$ 100.00	
Rocky Mountain Power	Electricity	\$ 5,000.00	
Whiting Construction	Fire Hydrant Repair	\$ 2,000.00	
Sunpro (purchasing card)	Marking Wand, Spray Paint	\$ 55.96	
Mountainland Supply	Meter Rings, Lids	\$ 91.18	
Mike Zimmerman Well Service	SCADA	\$ 11,524.00	Monthly fee above this amount

PAYMENTS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY BOARD:			
Vendor Name	Description	Amount	Notes
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER	Electricity	\$ 11,000.00	Board approved 5/13/2020.
2019-41	January	\$ (788.81)	
	February	\$ (723.33)	
	May	\$ (2,705.77)	
	June	\$ (1,006.33)	
	July	\$ (1,369.81)	
	August	\$ (1,763.02)	
	September	\$ (1,269.94)	
	October	\$ (1,074.38)	
	Balance	<u>\$ 298.81</u>	
UTAH COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS	Payroll-Related Expenses	\$ 20,000.00	Board approved 5/13/2020.
	PP2 - Wages	\$ (281.09)	
	PP2 - Benefits	\$ (147.72)	
	PP3 - Wages	\$ -	
	PP3 - Benefits	\$ -	
	PP4 - Wages	\$ (384.00)	
	PP4 - Benefits	\$ (161.90)	
	PP5 - Wages	\$ (24.42)	
	PP5 - Benefits	\$ (18.85)	
	PP6 - Wages	\$ (1,398.53)	
	PP6 - Benefits	\$ (877.41)	
	PP7 - Wages	\$ -	
	PP7 - Benefits	\$ -	
	PP8 - Wages	\$ (443.35)	
	PP8 - Benefits	\$ (128.35)	
	PP9 - Wages	\$ (586.62)	
	PP9 - Benefits	\$ (239.82)	
	PP10 - Wages	\$ (828.52)	
	PP10 - Benefits	\$ (239.85)	
	PP11 - Wages	\$ (708.45)	
	PP11 - Benefits	\$ (287.89)	
	PP12 - Wages	\$ (1,086.52)	
	PP12 - Benefits	\$ (497.76)	
	PP13 - Wages	\$ (498.89)	
	PP13 - Benefits	\$ (276.04)	
	PP14 - Wages	\$ (1,131.48)	
	PP14 - Benefits	\$ (606.10)	
	PP15 - Wages	\$ (1,037.31)	
	PP15 - Benefits	\$ (752.84)	
	PP16 - Wages	\$ (928.10)	
	PP16 - Benefits	\$ (272.77)	
	PP17 - Wages	\$ (1,013.19)	
	PP17 - Benefits	\$ (345.22)	
	PP18 - Wages	\$ (782.42)	
	PP18 - Benefits	\$ (423.09)	
	PP19 - Wages	\$ (422.38)	

PAYMENTS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY BOARD:

Vendor Name	Description	Amount	Notes
	PP19 - Benefits	\$ (164.86)	
	PP20 - Wages	\$ (338.67)	
	PP20 - Benefits	\$ (102.41)	
	PP21 - Wages	\$ (1,052.49)	
	PP21 - Benefits	\$ (799.78)	
	PP22 - Wages	\$ (563.85)	
	PP22 - Benefits	\$ (359.87)	
	Balance	<u>\$ (212.36)</u>	
UTAH COUNTY TREASURER	Postage	\$ 350.00	Board approved 5/13/2020.
	January	\$ (34.50)	
	February	\$ (15.00)	
	March	\$ (18.00)	
	April	\$ (27.50)	
	May	\$ (22.00)	
	June	\$ (28.50)	
	July	\$ (28.50)	
	August	\$ (27.50)	
	September	\$ (31.00)	
	October	\$ (52.70)	
	Balance	<u>\$ 64.80</u>	
CHEMTECH-FORD	Water Testing	\$ 300.00	Board approved 5/13/2020.
	2020-303-1	\$ (20.00)	
	2020-303-2	\$ (20.00)	
	2020-303-3	\$ (20.00)	
	2020-303-4	\$ (20.00)	
	2020-303-6	\$ (21.00)	
	2020-303-7	\$ (20.00)	
	2020-303-9	\$ (20.00)	
	2020-7315-1	\$ (20.00)	
	2020-8481-1	\$ (20.00)	
	2020-9831-1	\$ (20.00)	
	2020-9849-1	\$ (21.00)	
	2020-11130-1	\$ (20.00)	
	Balance	<u>\$ 58.00</u>	
UTAH COUNTY RECORDER	Liens	\$ 100.00	Board approved 5/13/2020.
	Balance	<u>\$ 100.00</u>	
VARIOUS			
Vendor Name	Description	Amount	Notes
Utah County Attorney's Office	Legal services	\$ -	Board approved \$6000 5/13/2020.
Utah County Auditor's Office	Clerk and accounting services	\$ -	Board approved \$6000 5/13/2020.
Olympus Insurance	Liability Insurance	\$ 1,500.00	Board approved \$1596 5/13/2020.
MediaOne	Public notices	\$ -	Board approved \$100 5/13/2020.
Freeway Propane	Propane	\$ 450.85	Board approved \$600 5/13/2020.
Office of the Lt. Governor	Entity registration fee	\$ 25.00	Board approved \$25 5/13/2020.
Border/Electrical Wholesale	Fuses	\$ 24.80	Board approved \$24.80 5/13/2020.
Sunpro	Marking paint	\$ 31.98	Board approved \$31.96 5/13/2020.
Mountainland Supply	Gripper plug	\$ 42.67	Board approved \$42.67 5/13/2020.
CAL Ranch	PVC Boot	\$ 29.98	Board approved \$29.98 8/12/2020.
Freeway Propane	Propane Tank Rentals	\$ 140.00	Board approved \$140 8/12/2020.
Whiting Construction Inc	Repair of Water Line & Fire Hydrant	\$ 17,560.28	Board approved \$17,560.28 8/12/2020.
Mountainland Supply	Pond Liner	\$ 533.78	Board approved \$533.78 8/12/2020.
Mountainland Supply	Sensus SR11 ECRWP Reg	\$ 81.63	Board approved \$81.63 8/12/2020.
Red Rhino	Spray Paint	\$ 59.40	Board approved \$59.40 8/12/2020.
Johnstone Supply	Thermostat, Cable Ties	\$ 60.86	Board approved \$60.86 8/12/2020.
	Total Expenditures	\$ 52,077.96	

- PAYROLL-RELATED EXPENSE FOR PUBLIC WORKS. ORIGINALLY THE BOARD APPROVED \$20,000 IN EXPENSES BACK IN MAY AND THAT'S ALMOST BEEN EXHAUSTED SO THIS WOULD BE ANOTHER \$10,000 JUST TO GET THROUGH THE END OF THE YEAR.**
- POSTAGE: THERE'S BEEN SOME ADDITIONAL POSTAGE COSTS DUE TO CERTIFIED LETTERS AND WE'VE JUST HAD AN INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF LOTS THAT HAVE BEEN SOLD SO MORE POSTAGE NEEDED.**
- ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER: THE ELECTRICITY BILL IS A LITTLE BIT HIGHER.**
- FIRE HYDRANT REPAIR: THIS WAS DISCUSSED A BIT AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING THAT THERE WAS AN ADDITIONAL \$2,000 CHARGE**

5 & 6. MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES FOR LINE MAINTENANCE.

7. SCADA SYSTEM WHICH I THINK THE BOARD WAS AWARE OF FOR \$11,000. THAT IS JUST THE PURCHASE OF THE EQUIPMENT ITSELF. BRADY (CHRISTENSEN) INDICATED THAT THERE WOULD BE A MONTHLY FEE BUT I'M NOT SURE HOW MUCH THAT IS BUT THAT WOULD BE AN ADDITIONAL FEE THAT WILL COME ON A SUBSEQUENT MEETING.

**MIKE TAYLOR: MOTION TO APPROVE
SPENCER PARK: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0**

6. REVIEW AND APPROVE OR DENY OUTSTANDING RECEIVABLES, PAST DUE ACCOUNTS, AND PLACE OR REMOVE LIENS AND TAKE NECESSARY CORRECTIVE ACTION.

DANENE JACKSON – TWO THINGS I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH THE BOARD ABOUT:

1. LOT 60C HAS A NEW OWNER THIS YEAR. THEY ARE PAID IN FULL NOW, BUT THEY HAD SOME PROBLEMS. THEY PAID IN CASH AND SO THEY HAD TO COME PHYSICALLY INTO THE OFFICE. THEY WERE GIVEN BAD DIRECTIONS. THEY JUST HAD SOME PROBLEMS TRACKING DOWN WHERE WE WERE SO THERE WERE LATE FEES THAT HAD ACCRUED OF \$75. THEY SENT AN EMAIL REQUESTING THOSE TO BE WAIVED. I'M COMFORTABLE RECOMMENDING AS A FIRST-TIME SORT OF THING THAT WE WAIVE THOSE AND AGAIN, THEY ARE PAID CURRENT UP-TO-DATE RIGHT NOW.

2. THERE WAS A METER READING FROM NOVEMBER 2018 – IT'S A \$9 CHARGE. THE CUSTOMER THEMSELVES QUESTIONED THAT CHARGE BECAUSE THEY HADN'T USED WATER AND I THINK THERE WAS SOME FOLLOW-UP AND I DON'T KNOW WHERE IT STANDS. I MIGHT ALSO RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD WRITE OFF THE \$9 CHARGE.

THE REST OF THE INFORMATION IS JUST INFORMATIONAL. WE DO HAVE SOME LIENS THAT WERE PLACED AND THERE'S SEVERAL OTHER CUSTOMERS WHO WE HAVE MAILED EITHER A NOTICE OF LATE FOR OR A SECOND NOTICE OF LATE PAYMENT. JUST BE AWARE THAT THERE MAY BE SOME ADDITIONAL LIENS COMING IN.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - OBVIOUSLY FOR ME, THE \$9 SEEMS VERY REASONABLE AND ON THE OTHER, IF THEY PAID IN FULL AND IT WAS THE FIRST TIME, I WOULD BE OKAY WITH THE OTHER ISSUE.

MIKE TAYLOR - I THINK WE'LL TAKE HER RECOMMENDATIONS.

**SPENCER PARK: MOTION TO APPROVE PER DANENE JACKSON'S
 RECOMMENDATIONS
 MIKE TAYLOR: SECOND
 AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
 PASSED: 3/0**

7. REVIEW FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

DANENE JACKSON – THE FIRST ITEM IS OUR BUDGET-TO-ACTUAL (*BELOW*). THERE ARE NO EXTRAORDINARY EXPENSES. I THINK THE DISTRICT IS GOING TO BE ON TRACK WITH NOT OVERSPENDING ANY BUDGET. THE REVENUE HAS BEEN COMING IN AS EXPECTED SO NO REAL UPDATE ON THE BUDGET-TO-ACTUAL COMPARISONS.

**SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT
 BUDGET-TO-ACTUAL COMPARISON**
(Balances as of November 9, 2020)

		2018		2019		2020		
		ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL		
GENERAL FUND								
Revenues:								
31XXX	TAXES	\$22,281	\$27,000	\$33,821	\$28,000	\$3,227		12.41%
34XXX	CHARGES FOR SERVICES	\$74,238	\$78,194	\$74,384	\$77,000	\$84,413		109.63%
36XXX	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	\$1,179	\$1,000	\$3,451	\$1,000	\$1,849		184.87%
38900	APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE	\$2,111	\$0	\$0	\$15,000	\$0		0.00%
Total Revenues:		\$99,819	\$104,194	\$111,636	\$119,000	\$89,288		75.03%
Expenditures:								
49251-1XXX	SALARIES AND BENEFITS	\$28,851	\$16,950	\$44,338	\$30,000	\$20,212		67.37%
49251	MATERIALS, SUPPLIES, AND SERVICES	\$20,868	\$20,880	\$25,538	\$25,000	\$14,305		57.22%
49251-7410	CAPITAL OUTLAY	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
49251-9100	TRANSFER TO CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	\$50,000	\$68,364	\$35,000	\$49,000	\$0		0.00%
49251-9200	CONTRIBUTION TO FUND BALANCE	\$0	\$0	\$8,762	\$15,000	\$0		0.00%
Total Expenditures:		\$99,819	\$104,194	\$111,636	\$119,000	\$34,518		29.01%
CAPITAL PROJECTS								
Revenues:								
38100	TRANSFER FROM GENERAL FUND	\$50,000	\$68,364	\$35,000	\$49,000	\$0		0.00%
38900	APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	\$153,364	\$0		0.00%
Total Revenues:		\$50,000	\$168,364	\$35,000	\$202,364	\$0		0.00%
Expenditures:								
49251	CAPITAL PROJECTS	\$0	\$74,150	\$20,481	\$114,650	\$19,560		17.06%
49251-9200	CONTRIBUTION TO FUND BALANCE	\$50,000	\$92,214	\$14,519	\$87,714	\$0		0.00%
Total Expenditures:		\$50,000	\$166,364	\$35,000	\$202,364	\$19,560		9.67%

THE BALANCE SHEET AND INCOME STATEMENT (*BELOW*) – THE DISTRICT IS IN A HEALTHY FINANCIAL POSITION. THERE'S BEEN MONEY THAT'S BEEN SET ASIDE FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS THAT'S ACTUALLY HAD TO BE UTILIZED THE LAST 2 YEARS AND THE DISTRICTS IN A FAVORABLE FINANCIAL POSITION.

SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT
 Balance Sheet

2019 BALANCE SHEET							
GENERAL FUND	1/1/2019	1/31/2019	2/28/2019	3/31/2019	4/30/2019	5/31/2019	6/30/2019
Assets:							
Cash	\$ 4,277	\$ 20,768	\$ 32,895	\$ 33,519	\$ 39,308	\$ 41,023	\$ 51,716
Accounts receivable	13,979	25,362	16,359	17,340	14,923	14,192	4,446
Taxes receivable	7,152	2,256	2,256	2,016	1,993	1,993	1,993
Total assets	\$ 25,408	\$ 48,385	\$ 51,510	\$ 52,875	\$ 56,225	\$ 57,208	\$ 58,155
Liabilities and fund balances:							
Liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$ 1,065	\$ 146	\$ 337	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,967
Deferred revenue	2,256	2,014	2,036	2,036	2,036	2,036	2,036
Fund balance	22,087	46,225	49,137	50,839	54,189	55,172	52,153
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 25,408	\$ 48,385	\$ 51,510	\$ 52,875	\$ 56,225	\$ 57,208	\$ 58,155
CAPITAL PROJ FUND	1/1/2019	1/31/2019	2/28/2019	3/31/2019	4/30/2019	5/31/2019	6/30/2019
Assets:							
Cash	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000
Accounts receivable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total assets	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000
Liabilities and fund balances:							
Liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fund balance	85,000	85,000	85,000	85,000	85,000	85,000	85,000
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 85,000
2020 BALANCE SHEET							
GENERAL FUND	1/1/2020	1/31/2020	2/28/2020	3/31/2020	4/30/2020	5/31/2020	6/30/2020
Assets:							
Cash	\$ 25,739	\$ 34,138	\$ 49,406	\$ 52,746	\$ 58,210	\$ 61,671	\$ 63,795
Accounts receivable	3,656	22,701	11,865	10,718	9,701	8,008	7,493
Taxes receivable	7,091	2,675	2,676	1,286	1,286	1,286	1,286
Total assets	\$ 36,486	\$ 59,515	\$ 63,946	\$ 64,751	\$ 69,197	\$ 70,966	\$ 72,575
Liabilities and fund balances:							
Liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$ 6,213	\$ 5,042	\$ 5,042	\$ 5,042	\$ 5,042	\$ 5,042	\$ 6,779
Deferred revenue	1,424	1,402	1,402	1,432	1,432	1,432	1,422
Fund balance	28,849	53,072	57,503	58,277	62,723	64,492	64,374
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 36,486	\$ 59,515	\$ 63,946	\$ 64,751	\$ 69,197	\$ 70,966	\$ 72,575
CAPITAL PROJ FUND	1/1/2020	1/31/2020	2/28/2020	3/31/2020	4/30/2020	5/31/2020	6/30/2020
Assets:							
Cash	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519
Accounts receivable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total assets	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519
Liabilities and fund balances:							
Liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fund balance	99,519	99,519	99,519	99,519	99,519	99,519	99,519
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519

Note: Balances as of November 6, 2020

SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT
 Balance Sheet

2019 BALANCE SHEET				
GENERAL FUND	7/31/2019	8/31/2019	9/30/2019	10/31/2019
Assets:				
Cash	\$ 47,477	\$ 47,549	\$ 43,569	\$ 37,046
Accounts receivable	7,035	4,278	4,439	4,904
Taxes receivable	1,993	1,993	1,993	1,993
Total assets	<u>\$ 56,505</u>	<u>\$ 53,819</u>	<u>\$ 50,001</u>	<u>\$ 43,943</u>
Liabilities and fund balances:				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Deferred revenue	2,066	2,045	2,151	2,131
Fund balance	54,439	51,775	47,850	41,812
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$ 56,505</u>	<u>\$ 53,819</u>	<u>\$ 50,001</u>	<u>\$ 43,943</u>
CAPITAL PROJ FUND				
Assets:				
Cash	\$ 85,000	\$ 64,519	\$ 64,519	\$ 64,519
Accounts receivable	-	-	-	-
Total assets	<u>\$ 85,000</u>	<u>\$ 64,519</u>	<u>\$ 64,519</u>	<u>\$ 64,519</u>
Liabilities and fund balances:				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fund balance	85,000	64,519	64,519	64,519
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$ 85,000</u>	<u>\$ 64,519</u>	<u>\$ 64,519</u>	<u>\$ 64,519</u>
2020 BALANCE SHEET				
GENERAL FUND	7/31/2020	8/31/2020	9/30/2020	10/31/2020
Assets:				
Cash	\$ 64,183	\$ 66,917	\$ 69,385	\$ 73,170
Accounts receivable	6,417	8,579	8,405	7,456
Taxes receivable	1,286	1,286	1,286	1,286
Total assets	<u>\$ 71,886</u>	<u>\$ 76,782</u>	<u>\$ 79,077</u>	<u>\$ 81,913</u>
Liabilities and fund balances:				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Deferred revenue	2,016	1,676	1,809	1,931
Fund balance	69,870	75,107	77,267	79,981
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$ 71,886</u>	<u>\$ 76,782</u>	<u>\$ 79,077</u>	<u>\$ 81,913</u>
CAPITAL PROJ FUND				
Assets:				
Cash	\$ 99,519	\$ 81,959	\$ 79,959	\$ 79,959
Accounts receivable	-	-	-	-
Total assets	<u>\$ 99,519</u>	<u>\$ 81,959</u>	<u>\$ 79,959</u>	<u>\$ 79,959</u>
Liabilities and fund balances:				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fund balance	99,519	81,959	79,959	79,959
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$ 99,519</u>	<u>\$ 81,959</u>	<u>\$ 79,959</u>	<u>\$ 79,959</u>

Note: Balances as of November 9, 2020

SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
GENERAL FUND

2020----->	1/31/2020	2/28/2020	3/31/2020	4/30/2020	5/31/2020	6/30/2020	7/31/2020
Revenues:							
Taxes	\$ 0	\$ 1,081	\$ 17	\$ 278	\$ 294	\$ 479	\$ 187
Charges for Service	26,035	4,485	4,093	5,186	3,219	6,028	10,963
Miscellaneous Revenue	267	278	(298)	449	388	355	212
Total revenues	26,302	5,844	3,812	5,913	3,900	6,862	11,363
Expenditures:							
Salaries & Wages	\$ 281	\$ 408	\$ 1,399	\$ 1,030	\$ 1,537	\$ 2,717	\$ 1,965
Benefits	148	181	877	368	528	1,380	1,026
Memberships	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public Notices	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mileage	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Postage	35	15	18	28	22	29	29
Equipment Supplies	-	-	-	-	-	-	451
Utilities	-	789	723	-	-	2,706	2,376
Water Testing	20	20	20	41	20	20	20
Travel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Special Department Supplies	-	-	-	-	25	130	-
Insurance	1,596	-	-	-	-	-	-
Miscellaneous Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minor Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures	2,079	1,413	3,037	1,467	2,131	6,980	5,866
Net change in fund balance	24,223	4,431	775	4,446	1,769	(119)	5,496
Transfers to capital projects fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fund balances - beginning	28,849	53,072	57,503	58,277	62,723	64,492	64,374
Fund balances - ending	\$ 53,072	\$ 57,503	\$ 58,277	\$ 62,723	\$ 64,492	\$ 64,374	\$ 69,870

SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances
CAPITAL FUND

2020----->	1/31/2020	2/28/2020	3/31/2020	4/30/2020	5/31/2020	6/30/2020	7/31/2020
Revenues:							
Transfers from General Fund	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total revenues	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Expenditures:							
Water Line Maintenance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total expenditures	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net change in fund balance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers to capital projects fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fund balances - beginning	99,519	99,519	99,519	99,519	99,519	99,519	99,519
Fund balances - ending	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519	\$ 99,519

8. RATIFY THE CHANGE OF DATE OF THE PUBLIC HEARING PREVIOUSLY NOTICED ON NOVEMBER 4, 2020.

SPENCER PARK: MOTION TO APPROVE
MIKE TAYLOR: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

9. ADOPT A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE 2021 BUDGET FOR SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT.

DANENE JACKSON – THE PROPOSED FINAL BUDGET HAS NO CHANGES FROM THE TENTATIVE BUDGET. THE WAY THE DISTRICT RECEIVES MONEY IS THROUGH PROPERTY TAXES THAT ARE COLLECTED AND THEN THE MAJORITY OF REVENUE COMES FROM CHARGES FOR SERVICES BEING THE WATER BILLINGS. WE DON'T FORESEE ANY EXTRAORDINARY INCREASES IN THAT AMOUNT.

THEN YOU HAVE YOUR EXPENSES WHICH THAT'S GOING TO BE PUBLIC WORKS. THERE'S GOING TO BE SUPPORT TIME FOR THE CLERK/AUDITOR'S OFFICE AND THE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, ELECTRICITY – THAT SORT OF OPERATIONAL BUDGET IS BUDGETED AT \$141,500.

WE ALSO HAVE OUR CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND WHICH IS BUDGETED AT \$217,500.

THIS IS PRESENTED AS A BALANCED BUDGET AS REQUIRED BY STATE LAW. I MIGHT ALSO INDICATE THAT I FEEL THIS IS A STRUCTURALLY BALANCED BUDGET, MEANING THAT YOU AREN'T USING FUND BALANCE TO FUND ONGOING EXPENSES.

COMMISSIONER IVIE – I APPRECIATE THAT. ARE THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS? (PAUSE) SEEING NON, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

**MIKE TAYLOR: MOTION TO APPROVE
SPENCER PARK: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0**

10. DISCUSS, REVIEW AND APPROVE CHANGE OF WATER FEE SCHEDULE 1

ADAM BECK, UTAH COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE – LAST MEETING WE HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT CHANGING THE FEE SCHEDULE. THERE'S A COUPLE OF LANDOWNERS UP THERE WHO USE CONSIDERABLY MORE WATER THAN OTHERS AND WE'VE BEEN GIVEN SOME DIRECTION TO LOOK AT SOME OF THE OTHER SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICTS AND WATER DISTRICTS TO SEE HOW THE RATES SHOULD BE AND HOW THE FEE SCHEDULE SHOULD BE CHANGED. THIS IS A PROPOSED FEE SCHEDULE (BELOW).

PREVIOUSLY THE LANDOWNER HAD PAID THEIR FEE AND THEN PAID A \$5 PER THOUSAND GALLONS FOR THE WATER THEY USED. NOW YOU'LL SEE THAT IT'S WHAT IS CALLED AN INCREASED 'BLOCKED RATE' FEE. SO, FOR MOST OF THE LANDOWNERS THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE REALLY A CHANGE FOR THOSE WHO HAVE BEEN USING LESS THAN 3,000 GALLONS OF WATER PER MONTH. HOWEVER, THE RATE STARTS TO GO UP AFTER 3,000 GALLONS. BETWEEN 3,000-10,000 GALLONS, THEY PAY \$10 THEN 10,000-25,000 THEY START PAYING \$20.



**SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT
 FEE SCHEDULE**

Effective January 01, 2021

Water User Fee

Type of Connection	Monthly Fee	Metered Usage
Non-connected	\$29.50 per month	na
Connected non-commercial	\$46.50 per month	Yes
Connected commercial	\$64.50 per month	Yes
Standby (cannot be connected) *	\$10.00 per month	na

*Only applies to the two connections associated with (1) Lots 9-11 in Block 11 and (2) Lot 1 in Block 11.

Consumption Rate (Metered): Unit Rate per 1,000 Gallons of Water Consumed
\$5.00 – up to 3,000 gal.
\$10.00 – from 3,000 to 10,000 gal.
\$20.00 – from 10,001 to 25,000 gal.
\$35.00 – from 25,001 to 100,000 gal.
\$50.00 – over 100,001 gal.

Connection Fees

1. Where meter exists: \$300 plus current cost of District-approved meter, if needed
2. Where no meter exists: Current cost of connection from main water line to, and including, the District-approved meter

All new services must provide for all water mains and fire hydrants to service their facilities.

Property owner is responsible for water line from meter to point of usage.

Late Fees

Accounts 30 days or more past due will be assessed a late fee of \$25 per month.

Disconnection visit charge: \$200

Reconnection charge: \$200

This fee schedule was approved and adopted during the Board meeting on [XXXXXXXX] November 12, 2021.

THIS RATE SYSTEM HELPS PROMOTE WATER CONSERVANCY. I WAS ABLE TO RUN THIS PAST DANENE (JACKSON) AND BRADY (CHRISTENSEN) AND THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT WE FELT COMFORTABLE WITH. HOWEVER, THERE WAS SOMETHING THAT BRADY ADDRESSED THAT I WANTED TO MAKE SURE I BROUGHT UP HERE AND THAT IS OUR COMMERCIAL TENANTS AS IT WERE, LIKE NAMELY THE ALLREDS. THE ALLREDS RUN CATTLE OR SHEEP UP THERE AND WHAT WE DON'T WANT TO DO IS HAVE THIS NEW FEE SYSTEM PUT THEM IN

FINANCIAL RUIN OR MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR THEM TO CONTINUE DOING THEIR BUSINESS. AS YOU MAY KNOW, THEY ALLOW US TO BE IN GREENBELT STATUS IN THE AREA AND SO WE JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE CAREFUL ABOUT THAT. BRADY PROPOSED THAT MAYBE CARVING OUT A COMMERCIAL WATER USE PIECE SCHEDULE ON THIS. WHAT WE DON'T KNOW, AND I TALKED WITH JASON (WARNER) A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THIS IS, WE DON'T KNOW EXACTLY HOW MUCH WATER THE ALLREDS USE UP THERE, SO MAYBE THIS PROPOSED FEE SCHEDULE WON'T BE A BIG DEAL BUT MAYBE IT WILL. THOSE ARE SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT WE HAVE AND WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE CLEARED UP BEFORE WE PULL ANY TRIGGERS.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - I THINK IT MAKES SENSE TO TRY AND DIVIDE THESE INTO THE COMMERCIAL AND NON-COMMERCIAL BASED UPON USE. THAT'S VERY STANDARD PRACTICE ANYWHERE YOU GO.

HOW WERE THESE FIGURES DERIVED – HOW DID WE REACH THIS PARTICULAR FIGURES? SO, LIKE THIS \$64.50 PER MONTH FOR CONNECTED COMMERCIAL. DO WE KNOW THE FORMULA THAT WAS USED FOR THAT? WANTING TO KNOW FOR WHEN SOMEONE FROM THE DISTRICT CALLS ME AND ASKS WHY THIS IS BEING PROPOSED OR HOW THIS WAS DETERMINED TO BE A FAIR MARKET VALUE FOR THE WATER COSTS.

DANENE JACKSON - THE MONTHLY FEES AREN'T CHANGING. THOSE HAVE BEEN THE SAME THAT HAVE BEEN APPROVED IN THE PAST. WE COULD DO A FINANCIAL STUDY IF YOU WANTED. IT'S REALLY JUST THE PER GALLON RATES THAT HAVE CHANGED. THAT WAS BASED UPON RESEARCH THAT WAS DONE FOR OTHER LIKE DISTRICTS.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - THAT ANSWERS MY QUESTION. THAT WAY THE PUBLIC OR MEMBERS OF THE DISTRICT UNDERSTAND THAT THESE ARE COMPETITIVE RATES BASED ON SIMILAR DISTRICTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF UTAH.

DANENE JACKSON - I'LL ALSO MENTION THAT THE ALLREDS SEEM LIKE IT WOULDN'T BE IMPACTED BY THE CHANGES IN THE FEE SCHEDULE BECAUSE THAT'S GOVERNED BY A SEPARATE CONTRACT SINCE THEY DON'T ACTUALLY HAVE A CONNECTION WITH SOLDIER SUMMIT SO I THINK THAT THE DISTRICT WOULD STILL BE ABLE TO NEGOTIATE THE RATE THAT THEY PAID. THAT WOULD BE OUTSIDE OF THIS FEASIBILITY.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - DO WE HAVE OTHER ENTITIES UP THERE THAT WOULD BE ON THE COMMERCIAL?

DANENE JACKSON - THE ONLY ONES THAT I COULD THINK OF WOULD BE THE GAS STATION AND THE RAILROAD. THOSE ARE THE TWO THAT WE BILL COMMERCIAL BUT RIGHT NOW THEY DON'T HAVE METERS I BELIEVE, ON THE TOWN SIDE.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - THIS IS ONLY A DISCUSSION ITEM, SO IT GIVES US THE OPPORTUNITY TO GIVE STAFF DIRECTION BEFORE ACTUALLY APPROVING THIS.

MIKE TAYLOR - I JUST WENT THROUGH A MAJOR PROCESS WITH WOODLAND HILLS IN DETERMINING WATER RATES. I THINK AT THIS JUNCTURE, WE ENGAGED THE UTAH RURAL WATER ASSOCIATION. WE ENGAGED THEM TO DO A FULL ANALYSIS ON WATER RATES AND USAGE AND THEY HELPED US DETERMINE THOSE RATES. THERE ARE NO COMPARISONS ON THESE TO THE SIDE OF THIS THAT I'M SEEING.

SO, WE'RE DOING IT IN A RANGE OF GALLONS, NOT PER GALLON, RIGHT?

ADAM BECK - CORRECT. PREVIOUSLY IT WAS FOR PER 1,000 GALLONS – JUST A FLAT FEE AND THIS IS A BLOCK RATE DEPENDING ON HOW MUCH THEY USE.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - MY UNDERSTANDING IS THE REASON THAT WE WENT FOR THE BLOCK RATING IS THIS IS STANDARD PRACTICE NOW IN THE STATES, PARTICULARLY UTAH, NEVADA, AND ARIZONA. PART OF IT IS MOTIVATION TO DECREASE WATER CONSUMPTION – TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE DOING ALL WE CAN AS AN ENTITY TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO BE CONSERVATIVE WITH THEIR WATER USE AND SUCH WHERE IT IS A LIMITED RESOURCE. THEY'VE FOUND THIS TO BE EFFECTIVE.

MIKE TAYLOR – TO ME A PER GALLON RATE WOULD BE A MORE EFFECTIVE WAY TO DISCOURAGE HIGH USAGE OF WATER. THAT'S THE WAY WE'RE BILLED WOODLAND HILLS IS BY THE GALLON.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - MY UNDERSTANDING IS THEY'LL BE BILLED BY THE GALLON BUT IF YOU USE 10,000-25,000 GALLONS, YOU'D PAY \$20 PER 1,000.

MIKE TAYLOR - I DON'T THINK ANYBODY USES WATER FROM HYDRANTS EXCEPT FOR THE ALLREDS AND POSSIBLY OTHER THINGS THAT COMMERCIALLY TRANSPIRE UP THERE. I DON'T KNOW OF ANY OTHER THAN THE ALLREDS BUT MOST EVERYONE IS METERED OTHER THAN THOSE THAT ARE PULLING FROM THE HYDRANT AND NOBODY'S METERED SO I AGREE WITH JAMES (MCCLURE) IN THAT I THINK THAT WE NEED TO KNOW HOW MUCH WATER THEY'RE USING. WE HAVE NO CLUE AT THIS POINT.

IS THERE A WAY YOU CAN PUT A METER ON A HYDRANT?

SPENCER PARK - YES. PUBLIC WORKS HAS THEM

MIKE TAYLOR - THAT MIGHT BE AN OPTION TO PROVIDE A METER FOR THEM TO PUT ON.

SPENCER PARK - WE PROVIDE THEM UP HERE IN OUR COUNTY FOR ANYBODY THAT NEEDS ONE LIKE ROAD CONSTRUCTION TO FILL UP THEIR WATER TRUCKS AND STUFF.

MIKE TAYLOR - NATHAN, CAN WE LOOK INTO THAT?

COMMISSIONER IVIE - ABSOLUTELY. SO, WE'LL GIVE PUBLIC WORKS FROM DIRECTION YOU'VE GOT A RESOURCE THEN AND THEN ADDITIONALLY THROUGH WEBER COUNTY. SO, IF YOU CAN REACH OUT TO THEM AND GET

SOME ESTIMATES ON THAT AND IT KIND OF TIES INTO OUR NEXT DISCUSSION BECAUSE I WAS DISCUSSING THE ALLRED WATER USAGE AND POTENTIAL AGREEMENTS AND SO IF WE COULD GET AN ESTIMATE ON THAT FOR THE NEXT MEETING THAT WOULD ALLOW US THE OPPORTUNITY TO CRAFT THAT INTO THEIR CONTRACT.

ADAM BECK - DO THE ALLREDS PULL FROM MULTIPLE HYDRANTS? AND IF THEY DO, IS THAT GOING TO REQUIRE.....

SPENCER PARK - THESE ARE METERS YOU JUST TAKE OFF . THEY SCREW ONTO THE HYDRANT THEN YOU CAN MOVE THEM FROM HYDRANT TO HYDRANT.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - SO ANYTIME THEY DRAW WATER THEY JUST HAVE TO PUT IN ON.

SPENCER PARK - AND KEEP IT WITH THEIR WATER TRUCK.

MIKE TAYLOR - THAT WOULD BE A TRUST FACTOR, BUT I THINK WE CAN DO IT.

ADAM BECK - SO, JUST TO BE CLEAR, WE WOULDN'T INSTALL A TAMPER-PROOF METER ON THE FIRE HYDRANT. WE WOULD JUST ASK THE ALLREDS TO TAKE THE METER WITH THEM WHEN THEY USE IT. THEY HOOK IT UP, THEY METER IT THEMSELVES AND THEN THEY'RE NOT RESTRICTED TO ONE HYDRANT.

SPENCER PARK - YES, THAT'S HOW WE DO IT DOWN HERE.

ADAM BECK - JUST GOING BACK TO THE FEES; AT THIS POINT WE'RE NOT THINKING ABOUT CARVING OUT A COMMERCIAL FEE? WE WOULD STICK WITH THE BLOCK RATE THAT WE HAVE HERE.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - YES.

MIKE TAYLOR – THAT'S HOW I SEE IT.

SPENCER PARK – YES, I FEEL GREAT ABOUT THAT.

11. DISCUSS ALLRED WATER USAGE AND POTENTIAL AGREEMENTS.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - WE KIND OF SPILLED INTO #11 (SEE #10) WHICH WAS A DISCUSSION ON THE ALLRED WATER USAGE AND POTENTIAL AGREEMENTS.

12. DISCUSSION ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF A POND ON THE BAIRD PROPERTY.

ADAM BECK – I CAN INTRODUCE THIS. I'VE BEEN IN DISCUSSION WITH SCOTT AT PUBLIC WORKS AND THEY'LL BE ABLE TO FILL US IN ON SOME OF THE DETAILS. I BELIEVE ROBERT BAIRD OWNS PROPERTY UP THERE AND IS INTERESTED IN PUTTING IN A POND. IT'S A FAIRLY SIZABLE POND THAT'S GOING TO REQUIRE AROUND 165,000 GALLONS OF WATER SO THERE'S SOME CONCERNS ON WHETHER WE WOULD HAVE ENOUGH WATER TO DO THAT AND THEY'RE

LOOKING TO DETERMINE WHETHER THEY SHOULD GRANT A PERMIT TO HAVE A POND.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - IS THIS A RECREATIONAL POND OR STOCK WATERING POND?

SCOTT BAIRD, PUBLIC WORKS - HE'S DONE THE ENGINEERING STUDIES WITH DESIGNS SHOWING THAT ALL OF THAT IS FEASIBLE. IT'S A MATTER OF THE DISTRICT PROVIDING THE WATER FOR IT. I BELIEVE IT'S MORE OF A WATER FEATURE. IT POSSIBLY COULD BE USED FOR SOME OF THE OUTSIDE IRRIGATION BUT RIGHT NOW, IT JUST BASICALLY WOULD HAVE THE WATER FEEDING INTO THAT POND AND THAT WOULD BE THE DETERMINATION USE OF IT. THEN YOU HAVE TO ADDRESS WHATEVER EVAPORATION THAT WILL BE GOING ON DURING THE SUMMER MONTHS BUT THAT'S ULTIMATELY THE ONLY QUESTION WE HAVE IS DO THEY HAVE THE WATER TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE TO DO THE POND?

COMMISSIONER IVIE - WHAT ARE THE ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS ON A POND LIKE THIS? IS IT REQUIRED TO BE LINED? WHAT DO THEY DO FOR LOSS OF WATER SEEPAGE? OBVIOUSLY FOR ME IN THIS AREA, CONSERVATION OF THE WATER WOULD BE A TOP PRIORITY AND SO HOW DO WE MEASURE EVAPORATION OFF OF IT? WHAT TYPE OF ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS ARE IN THERE FOR LINING OR SEEPAGE? IS THAT EVEN SOMETHING THAT THE DISTRICT CAN CONTROL OR REQUIRE AS PART OF THE APPROVAL?

SCOTT BAIRD - THAT'S WHAT I'M NOT SURE OF OTHER THAN THE ACTUAL USAGE OF THE WATER BECAUSE IN LOOKING THROUGH THE CAPACITY ASSESSMENT THAT THE DIVISION OF DRINKING WATER, WHEN THEY DETERMINED THE SYSTEM UP THERE, THAT THE DRINKING WATER SYSTEM WAS ONLY TO SERVE THE INDOOR CULINARY NEEDS. INITIALLY WE HAD THEM PROVIDE AN ENGINEERING ASSESSMENT SHOWING THAT THE DYKES AND THE DAM ITSELF IS STABLE AND FEASIBLE TO DO. HE IS GOING TO HAVE THE LINING SO ENGINEERING-WISE, HE'S PROVIDED EVERYTHING, I BELIEVE, TO BE ABLE TO GO FORWARD WITH THAT. IT'S JUST A MATTER OF THE OUTSIDE WATER USE FOR THE WATER TO BE UTILIZED FOR IT.

MIKE TAYLOR - THIS IS A BIG CONCERN AND IT'S A CONCERN FOR THE ASSOCIATION UP THERE. I HAVE SPOKEN WITH THE HOA PEOPLE AND THEY'RE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE AMOUNT OF WATER AND HOW IT WOULD TAX OUR TOTAL WATER SYSTEM. BRADY (CHRISTENSEN) EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT THAT SAME THING ABOUT THE TAXING OF OUR WATER SYSTEM AND TO HAVE ONE INDIVIDUAL UP THERE USE THIS MUCH WATER IS REALLY OUT OF COMPLIANCE WITH OUR CCR'S AND THE REGULATIONS THAT WE HAVE ESTABLISHED.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - I WOULD BE VERY RELUCTANT.

SPENCER PARK - YES, ESPECIALLY WHERE THIS IS A CULINARY GRADE WATER. I'M NOT COMFORTABLE WITH IT EITHER.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - ADAM (BECK), I THINK YOU COULD GO BACK WITH

DIRECTION THAT THERE'S PROBABLY AT THIS TIME, NOT AN APPETITE FROM THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL.

13. APPROVE THE PLACEMENT OF LIENS ON THE PROPERTIES OF MONICA L. MAC ARTHUR.

ADAM BECK – DANENE (JACKSON) SPOKE ABOUT THIS EARLIER AND WE TALKED ABOUT PUTTING THESE LIENS ON IN OUR LAST BOARD MEETING. WE JUST NEEDED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE WENT THROUGH THE PROPER PROCEDURES TO DO SO.

SINCE WE LAST MET, WE'VE GIVEN THEM THEIR *LATE NOTICE*, THEIR SECOND *COURTESY LATE NOTICE*, THEIR *NOTICE OF DISCONNECT*, WHICH REQUIRES CERTAIN SPACE BETWEEN EACH. THE *NOTICE OF LIEN* IS ALL DRAFTED. I ALSO HAVE DRAFTED THE CERTIFICATION LETTER TO THE TREASURY SO THAT THOSE WILL BE READY TO GO. JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE GOOD TO GO AND WE CAN PUSH THOSE THROUGH.

**MIKE TAYLOR: MOTION TO APPROVE
SPENCER PARK: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0**

14. RATIFY THE ZIMMERMAN AGREEMENT FOR THE PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF A SCADA/TELEMETRY SYSTEM.

**SPENCER PARK: MOTION TO RATIFY/APPROVE
MIKE TAYLOR: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0**

15. RATIFY THE WHITING AGREEMENT FOR REPAIRS OF THE WELL.

ADAM BECK – I THINK WE WILL WANT TO CONTINUE BECAUSE I WANTED TO INCLUDE IT. IT DIDN'T FORMALLY GET RATIFIED. WHAT HAD HAPPENED IS THERE WAS A LEAK IN THE WELL AND IT WAS AN EMERGENCY IN NATURE SO MR WHITING HAD TO COME UP AND FIX IT. HE FIXED IT BUT DUE TO SOME ILLNESS IN THE FAMILY, HE HAS NOT BEEN ABLE TO GET US THE INFORMATION THAT WE NEEDED TO GET AN AGREEMENT TOGETHER. I WAS HOPING THAT WE COULD HAVE IT DONE AND RATIFIED BEFORE THIS MEETING BUT WAS NOT ABLE TO.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - WE DID GIVE APPROVAL FOR THE REPAIR TO OCCUR, CORRECT?

ADAM BECK - RIGHT, BUT THERE WAS NO AGREEMENT MADE SO THERE'S NO AGREEMENT TO RATIFY.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - I REMEMBER SENDING AN EMAIL APPROVAL FOR THIS.

SPENCER PARK - AND I THOUGHT WE DID APPROVE IT.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - RIGHT, SO WE DID APPROVE THE EMERGENCY.

SPENCER PARK: MOTION TO STRIKE

MIKE TAYLOR: SECOND

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

16. DISCUSS CONNECTED LOT SALES PROCEDURES AND RELATED FEES FOR NEW OWNERS.

ADAM BECK – WE JUST WANTED TO DISCUSS THE PROPER WAY TO GO ABOUT WHEN THERE’S A SELL OF PROPERTY. I’LL GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE: A PROPERTY OWNER HAS A CONNECTED LOT AND HE SELLS IT. WILL THE NEW OWNER CONTINUE PAYING THE CONNECTED RATE OR DO WE HAVE IT, BY DEFAULT, DISCONNECTED AND HAVE THEM PAY THE DISCONNECTED RATE UNLESS THEY OPT INTO THE CONNECTED RATE?

COMMISSIONER IVIE - HOW DO WE DO THAT CURRENTLY?

DANENE JACKSON - I DON’T THINK WE’VE ACTUALLY HAD THAT SITUATION ARISE UNTIL THIS YEAR. THERE WAS SOMEONE WHO HAD A CONNECTED RATE AND THEY APPROACHED THE BOARD BECAUSE THEY HADN’T USED WATER. THEY ASKED TO ONLY BE BILLED AT THE NON-CONNECTED RATE SO WE SET THAT PROPERTY BACK TO A NON-CONNECTED RATE AND THEN PROMPTLY, AFTER THE BOARD APPROVED THAT, THE NEXT DAY THE PROPERTY WAS SOLD TO A NEW OWNER WHO WANTED TO HAVE A CONNECTION TO THE WATER SYSTEM.

I’M HAPPY TO HANDLE IT HOWEVER THE BOARD WANTS TO. I THINK THAT THERE SEEMS LIKE THERE MIGHT BE SOME WISDOM IN RESETTING THAT RATE BECAUSE THE NEW OWNERS WHO PURCHASE IT MAY NOT WANT TO CONNECT TO THE WATER SYSTEM.

I THINK IT’S SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT SO IT’S CLEAR SO THAT WHENEVER A PROPERTY IS SOLD THAT THERE’S NOT A MISUNDERSTANDING ABOUT HOW WE’RE BILLING. IT’S PROBABLY JUST GOOD TO HAVE SOME RULES SET UP.

SO THE SUGGESTION WOULD BE IF IT WAS CONNECTED WHEN IT WAS SOLD THAT WOULD REVERT BACK TO A NON-CONNECTED RATE AND THE NEW OWNERS WOULD HAVE TO COME IN AND PAY A CONNECTION FEE IN ORDER TO START USING THE WATER AND BEING CHARGED THE CONNECTED RATE.

MIKE TAYLOR - I THINK THAT WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE THING TO DO.

MIKE TAYLOR - HOW DO THEY DISCONNECT? I DON’T UNDERSTAND HOW THEY WOULD DISCONNECT UP THERE.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - DON'T YOU PUT A LOCK ON IT?

SPENCER PARK - YOU REMOVE THE METER AND TURN OFF THE YOKE.

DANENE JACKSON - I THINK PART OF THE WAY THAT WE COULD CONTROL THAT IS IF WE GET A BILLING FOR USING WATER THAT WE NOTICE THEY HAVEN'T PAID THE CONNECTION FEE, THEN WE'D FOLLOW UP AT THAT TIME TO PAY THAT.

SPENCER PARK - I COULD SEE THAT.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - THERE'S A GOOD TRACKING AND ENFORCEABILITY MECHANISM IN PLACE.

DANENE JACKSON - I ALSO THINK THE WAY IT HELPS, BUT IF THERE WERE TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR THAT CONNECTION - YOU GET THAT SIGNATURE OF THE NEW OWNER ON ANY PAPERWORK THAT MAY BE REQUIRED WHICH MIGHT BE IMPORTANT FOR THE DISTRICT.

COMMISSIONER IVIE – ADAM (BECK), SOUNDS LIKE WE NEED TO GO AHEAD AND DRAFT UP SOMETHING FOR THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING TO PUT A PROCEDURE INTO PLACE. WHERE WOULD WE PUT THAT?

DANENE JACKSON – SO A FEW YEARS AGO THE DISTRICT PAST BILLING PROCEDURES SO I MIGHT SUGGEST PUTTING IT IN OUR BILLING PROCEDURES.

COMMISSIONER IVIE – ADAM (BECK), WE'LL WANT TO INCORPORATE THAT NEW PROCEDURE FOR THE SALE OF PROPERTY INTO THAT SECTION.

17. SET A DATE, TIME AND LOCATION FOR THE NEXT BOARD MEETING – (10:00 AM, FEBRUARY 10, 2021 @ UTAH COUNTY COMMISSION CHAMBERS, 100 EAST CENTER STREET, ROOM 1400, PROVO, UTAH 84606)

**MIKE TAYLOR: MOTION TO SET A TIME, DATE AND LOCATION AS STATED
SPENCER PARK: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0**

COMMISSIONER IVIE – I WILL NOTE THAT I WILL NOT BE JOINING YOU FOR THAT MEETING. YOU WILL HAVE A NEW REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE COUNTY, BUT I CERTAINLY HAVE ENJOYED MY TIME WORKING WITH THE DISTRICT. WHEN I TOOK OVER AS CHAIR OF THIS COMMITTEE 4 YEARS AGO, WE WERE IN SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL TROUBLE IN THE DISTRICT. IT'S NICE TO PASS A STRUCTURALLY BALANCED BUDGET AND BE BUILDING TOWARDS OUR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS SO THAT WE CAN EVENTUALLY GET THE REPAIRS UP THERE THAT WE NEED AND THE WELL IMPROVEMENTS IN PLACE.

I APPRECIATE ALL THE HARD WORK OF THE BOARD MEMBERS. IT'S BEEN A

PLEASURE TO WORK WITH YOU AND TO SEE THE DISTRICT IN A FINANCIALLY STABLE SITUATION WITH THOSE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS IN THE NEAR FUTURE AND I HOPE THAT TREND WILL CONTINUE.

MIKE TAYLOR - NATHAN, I'D JUST LIKE TO SAY I'VE APPRECIATED WORKING WITH YOU. THANK YOU FOR THE GENUINE WORK.

SPENCER PARK – I'D LIKE TO SAY THAT AS WELL NATHAN, I COMPLETELY APPRECIATE IT. OUR VERY FIRST MEETING TOGETHER WAS QUITE HECTIC BECAUSE THE PREVIOUS BOARD HAD RAISED THE RATES AND EVERYBODY FOUND OUT ABOUT IT AND SHOW UP TO THE FIRST ONE AND WE'VE HANDLED IT VERY WELL. SO, I APPRECIATE IT.

COMMISSIONER IVIE - IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE AND THANK YOU TO THE MEMBERS OF THE DISTRICT AS WELL.

18. PUBLIC COMMENT

NO PUBLIC COMMENTS

SPENCER PARK: MOTION TO ADJOURN

MIKE TAYLOR: SECOND

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

MEETING ADJOURNED

(Meeting adjourned time: 1:57 P.M.)

In compliance with the Americans With Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting should notify Alice Black at (801) 851-8111 at least three days prior to the meeting.

Those in attendance via zoom:

Spencer Park

Scott Bird

SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT
PUBLIC MEETING ATTENDANCE SHEET
November 12, 2020 - 1:00 p.m.

PRINT CLEARLY

Name:

Agency:

James M. Clark
Jason Warner
Danene Jackson
Jackie Karsies

Utah County Res.
UCPW
Utah County Auditor
Utah County PR