



PROVO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Work Meeting

12:30 PM, Tuesday, March 29, 2022

Hybrid meeting: <https://www.youtube.com/provocitycouncil> or 351 W. Center Street, Provo, UT 84601

The in-person meeting will be held in the **Council Chambers**. The meeting will be available to the public for live broadcast and on-demand viewing on YouTube and Facebook at: [youtube.com/provocitycouncil](https://www.youtube.com/provocitycouncil) and [facebook.com/provocouncil](https://www.facebook.com/provocouncil). If one platform is unavailable, please try the other. If you do not have access to the Internet, you can join via telephone following the instructions below.

To listen to the meeting by phone: March 29 Work Meeting: Dial 346-248-7799. Enter Meeting ID 856 9454 7634 and press #. When asked for a participant ID, press #.

Agenda

Roll Call

Prayer

Approval of Minutes

March 10, 2022 Joint Meeting with Orem City Council

February 1, 2022 Council Meeting Minutes

Business

1. A presentation regarding Downtown Provo Inc.'s yearly plans and budget. (22-035)
2. A presentation regarding the FY2023 Budget- Parks & Recreation (including Golf, Peaks, Recreation Center, Covey, Parks Administration and Maintenance, etc.) (22-016)
3. A presentation regarding the FY2023 Budget- Customer Service. (22-016)
4. A presentation regarding the FY2023 Budget-Council Office. (22-016)
5. A resolution adopting the Mountainland Pre-Disaster Hazard Mitigation Plan. (22-036)
6. A discussion regarding redistricting adjustments to City Council District maps. (22-003)

Closed Meeting

The Municipal Council or the Governing Board of the Redevelopment Agency will consider a motion to close the meeting for the purposes of holding a strategy session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation, and/or to discuss the purchase, sale, exchange, or lease of real property, and/or the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual in conformance with 52-4-204 and 52-4-205 et. seq., Utah Code.

Adjournment

If you have a comment regarding items on the agenda, please contact Councilors at council@provo.org or using their contact information listed at: provo.org/government/city-council/meet-the-council

Materials and Agenda: agendas.provo.org

Council meetings are broadcast live and available later on demand at youtube.com/ProvoCityCouncil

To send comments to the Council or weigh in on current issues, visit OpenCityHall.provo.org.

The next Council Priorities Retreat will be held on Tuesday, April 12, 2022. The meeting will be held in the Council Chambers, 351 W. Center Street, Provo, UT 84601 with an online broadcast. Work Meetings generally begin between 12 and 4 PM. Council Meetings begin at 5:30 PM. The start time for additional meetings may vary. All meeting start times are noticed at least 24 hours prior to the meeting.

Notice of Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

In compliance with the ADA, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting are invited to notify the Provo Council Office at 351 W. Center, Provo, Utah 84601, phone: (801) 852-6120 or email evanderwerken@provo.org at least three working days prior to the meeting. Council meetings are broadcast live and available for on demand viewing at youtube.com/ProvoCityCouncil.

Notice of Telephonic Communications

One or more Council members may participate by telephone or Internet communication in this meeting. Telephone or Internet communications will be amplified as needed so all Council members and others attending the meeting will be able to hear the person(s) participating electronically as well as those participating in person. The meeting will be conducted using the same procedures applicable to regular Municipal Council meetings.

Notice of Compliance with Public Noticing Regulations

This meeting was noticed in compliance with Utah Code 52-4-207(4), which supersedes some requirements listed in Utah Code 52-4-202 and Provo City Code 14.02.010. Agendas and minutes are accessible through the Provo City website at agendas.provo.org. Council meeting agendas are available through the Utah Public Meeting Notice website at utah.gov/pmn, which also offers email subscriptions to notices.

Pending minutes – awaiting approval



PROVO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Joint Meeting with Orem Council Minutes

12:00 PM, Thursday, March 10, 2022

Hybrid meeting: [youtube.com/provocitycouncil](https://www.youtube.com/provocitycouncil) or 351 W. Center Street, Provo, UT 84601

Agenda ([0:00:00](#))

Roll Call

The following elected officials participated:

Councilor Shannon Ellsworth, conducting
Councilor Rachel Whipple
Councilor Katrice MacKay
Councilor David Shipley
Councilor Bill Fillmore
Council Chair Travis Hoban joined 12:09 PM
Orem Mayor David Young
Debby Lauret, Orem City Councilor
LaNae Millett, Orem City Councilor
Terry Peterson, Orem City Councilor

Excused: Mayor Michelle Kaufusi and Councilor George Handley

Prayer

Councilor Katrice MacKay offered the prayer.

Business

1. A discussion on Utah Lake ([0:09:30](#))

Councilor Shannon Ellsworth introduced this item. She highlighted background information about the item and the Provo City Council and Mayor's recent joint resolution encouraging the State Legislature to support the ecological integrity of Utah Lake and a more transparent process.

Mayor David Young, Orem, said that many Orem citizens were concerned about development of Utah Lake. City officials liked some elements of the Utah Lake Authority bill but had concerns about other things like creating a new taxing entity. Orem Councilor Debby Lauret shared insight about some of the work they did behind-the-scenes to advocate for better local representation on the Lake Authority and to remove a monthly tax from the bill provisions. Orem Councilor LaNae Millett acknowledged their attorney Steve Earl, who was very proactive in collaborating with legislators on the Utah Lake Authority bill. Ms. Ellsworth noted that the Provo City Council did not take a position on the Lake Authority bill; she noted it would be an issue that both cities should continue to watch as things often change rapidly at the state level and there is ongoing concern about some of the independent authorities created by the state. Councilor Bill Fillmore

Pending minutes – awaiting approval

suggested that Provo and Orem co-sponsor a forum in which scientists and representatives of the developer could present information in a public manner. Ms. Ellsworth suggested that a non-profit organization might be a better arbiter of such an event. ***Discussion only.***

2. A discussion on Provo's form of government (0:17:34)

Orem Mayor David Young shared some of his basic questions about Provo's form of government. Wayne Parker, CAO, introduced this item and he gave a detailed summary of Provo's background information and history on Provo's form of government.

Orem Councilor Debby Lauret asked about how the Mayor's veto power works. Mr. Parker responded to this question and explained that the Mayor can veto any city ordinance, but cannot veto a resolution if it comes only from the Council as a form of expressing their intent. Councilor Shannon Ellsworth made the comparison to state legislature and the governor. One challenge of Provo's form of government is that it can be harder to communicate information between the branches of government.

Mayor Young asked more about what the Council thinks about relationship with the Mayor. Councilor David Shipley said it is really great having a fulltime mayor and that Mayor Kaufusi does a great job. He noted there are sometimes challenges with getting different branches of government on the same page, but that was a reality of any form of government. In response to a question from Ms. Lauret, Ms. Ellsworth highlighted the role of each of the Council staff members. Ms. Lauret asked for a copy of the Council office budget to understand the staffing.

Councilor Bill Fillmore shared additional comments about the role of the Council executive director and complimented the leadership of Cliff Strachan who was the former Provo Council Executive Director. Mr. Fillmore also commented on Provo's form of government, and he likened it to a constitutional model. He thought that the size and complexity of Provo really demands a full-time mayor. Mr. Fillmore noted that the reasons he prefers this form of government for Provo is also the same reason he prefers this form of government for Utah County and why he advocated for it in the past. He felt that more diverse representation and the checks and balances of this system are really critical for Utah County.

Terry Peterson, Orem City Council, asked some practical questions about how something (such as a funding request) gets approved. Mr. Parker and Ms. Ellsworth responded to this question and explained how things work in Provo's form of government. ***Discussion only.***

3. A discussion on Provo's school district structure (0:47:30)

Council Chair Travis Hoban introduced this item and invited questions from Orem. Mr. Hoban shared insight about some of the benefits of having a co-terminus school district. Being able to coordinate, prioritize, and plan together is helpful, but the overlap can often be confusing for citizens who may not necessarily distinguish between the two entities. Councilor Bill Fillmore also shared comments about what he perceived as benefits of the system. Councilor Shannon Ellsworth offered several suggestions to Orem City about individuals they could contact at the Provo School District who could offer their perspectives. Mr. Hoban noted that the Provo School

Pending minutes – awaiting approval

District was created in 1898. Mr. Hoban also shared a comment from Isaac Paxman, Deputy Mayor, about some of the benefits to citizens of a co-terminus district. ***Discussion only.***

4. A discussion on effective or successful affordable housing initiatives (0:53:08)

Councilor Shannon Ellsworth introduced this item and shared that Provo is home to about 60% of qualifying affordable housing in Utah County. Orem Mayor David Young commented that many of the units deemed affordable in Orem were market-rate apartments which were still out of reach for many individuals and did not facilitate housing ownership for many citizens who wished to establish themselves as homeowners. Orem Councilor Debby Lauret asked whether Provo had considered inclusionary zoning. Ms. Ellsworth indicated that Provo has not pursued this; one of the challenges in Provo is that most of the city is built out, so most projects are infill development. Councilor Bill Fillmore hoped Provo could obtain more middle-range housing.

Bill Peperone, Development Services Director, commented on affordable housing projects in Provo in recent years and currently under construction which utilized federal tax credits. He thought that Provo's having higher density zoning in place for those particular properties made the process smoother for developers to do these types of projects without much of the guesswork that is often inherent in the entitlement process. ***Discussion only.***

5. A discussion on other items of mutual interest as time permits

Additional items were deferred for discussion at a future meeting. Councilor Shannon Ellsworth thanked all for their participation and encouraged everyone to attend the spring conference of the Utah League of Cities and Towns, which was a tremendous resource on municipal issues.

Adjournment

Adjourned by unanimous consent.

Draft Minutes

Please Note – These minutes have been prepared with a timestamp linking the agenda items to the video discussion. Electronic version of minutes will allow citizens to view discussion held during council meeting.



PROVO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Regular Meeting Agenda

5:30 PM, Tuesday, February 1, 2022

Hybrid meeting: youtube.com/provocitycouncil or Council Chambers, 351 W. Center Street, Provo, UT 84601

Roll Call

THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL AND ADMINISTRATION WERE PRESENT:

Councilor Shannon Ellsworth

Councilor Bill Fillmore

Councilor George Handley

Councilor Travis Hoban

Councilor Katrice MacKay

Councilor David Shipley

Councilor Rachel Whipple

Council Executive Director Cliff Strachan

Chief Administrative Officer Wayne Parker

City Attorney Brian Jones

Conducting: Chair Travis Hoban

Prayer – Councilor Fillmore

Pledge of Allegiance – Chair Hoban

Public Comment [\(11:00\)](#)

Chair Hoban opened public comment. With no comments, Chair Hoban closed public comment.

Action Agenda

1. **Resolution 2022-06 adding the structure known as the Milner-Wright Home, located at 1115 East 640 South, to the Provo Landmarks Register. Provost Neighborhood. (PLLN20210394) [\(13:20\)](#)**

Motion: An implied motion to approve Resolution 2022-06, as currently constituted, has been made by council rule.

Dustin Wright, Planner, discussed the outcome of the meeting held by the Landmarks Commission and reported that the commission was in support.

With no council discussion, Chair Hoban opened public comment. With none, public comment was closed, and Chair Hoban called for a vote.

Vote: The motion was approved 7:0 with Councilors Ellsworth, Fillmore, Handley, Hoban, Mackay, Shipley, and Whipple in favor.

2. **Resolution 2022-07 appropriating \$75,734 in the Economic Development Division in the General Fund for a sales tax increment post-performance payment to the East Bay**

Draft Minutes

Please Note – These minutes have been prepared with a timestamp linking the agenda items to the video discussion. Electronic version of minutes will allow citizens to view discussion held during council meeting.

Shopping Center. (22-008) [\(28:51\)](#)

Motion: An implied motion to approve Resolution 2022-07, as currently constituted, has been made by council rule.

Keith Morey, Economic Development Director, gave history of payments. He stated the reason we do these increments is to help developers make improvements that will benefit area. He said that the East Bay Shopping Center has been successful and that he recommends the appropriation.

Councilor Shipley asked for clarification on the ten-year agreement that was entered in 2018.

Mr. Morey responded that the first payment was 2019 and that the agreement would go into effect at that point.

Chair Hoban opened item for public comment. With none, Chair Hoban closed public comment.

With no council discussion, Chair Hoban called for a vote.

Vote: The motion was approved 7:0 with Councilors Ellsworth, Fillmore, Handley, Hoban, Mackay, Shipley, and Whipple in favor.

3. An ordinance amending Provo City Code Title 15 regarding the process and requirements for appeals related to impact fees. Citywide application. (PLOT20210273) [\(17:29\)](#)

Motion: An implied motion to approve Ordinance, as currently constituted, has been made by council rule.

Brian Jones, City Attorney, provided summary of information that was provided in work session.

Councilor Whipple requested to view the updated version of the ordinance.

Brian Jones reminded staff that the ordinance needed to be approved prior to March.

Councilor Whipple confirmed she is comfortable with the content but wanted to review the language in the updated version.

Chair Hoban opened item for public comment. With none provided, Chair Hoban closed public comment.

Motion to continue by Councilor Whipple, seconded by Councilor Ellsworth.

4. Ordinance 2022-03 amending the Zone Map Class. of approx. 1.10 acres at 669 S 1600 W from the A1.1 (Agricultural) to R1.8 (One-Family Residential) to create four residential building lots & authorizing a development agreement. Sunset Neighborhood. PLR20210383 [\(22:20\)](#)

Draft Minutes

Please Note – These minutes have been prepared with a timestamp linking the agenda items to the video discussion. Electronic version of minutes will allow citizens to view discussion held during council meeting.

Motion: An implied motion to approve Ordinance 2022-03, as currently constituted, has been made by council rule.

Aaron Ardmore, Planner, provided summary and said the planning commission is in full support of the rezone.

Councilor Ellsworth asked how the West Side Housing and Development policy statement impacts the site and how it has been considered.

Aaron responded that the staff considered that policy statement. He said that due to the size of this lot, the staff felt like it was okay to move forward.

Councilor Shipley asked about concerns of traffic flow.

Aaron responded that there were meetings with the city engineer and other city staff members and mentioned the concept plan accounts for those things.

Councilor Whipple asked what right of way would be.

Aaron responded that there would be a shared driveway with access to 1600 W.

Chair Hoban opened public comment.

Jeff Whitlock of Provo asked if the water usage impact is considered when areas are rezoned.

Chair Hoban answered.

Chair Hoban called for vote.

Vote: The motion was approved 7:0 with Councilors Ellsworth, Fillmore, Handley, Hoban, Mackay, Shipley, and Whipple in favor.

5. An ordinance amending the Zone Map Class. of 1.39 acres located 46 W to 90 W 800 N from Residential Conservation (RC) to Campus Mixed Use (CMU) to allow for a 62-unit apartment complex & authorizing a development agreement. North Park Neighborhood. PLR220210112 (33:55)

Motion: An implied motion to approve Ordinance, as currently constituted, has been made by council rule.

Aaron Ardmore, Planner, provided summary of what was discussed in work session. He added that staff feels comfortable moving forward if there is a development agreement in place.

Councilor Ellsworth asked if there is a timeline for phase two.

Draft Minutes

Please Note – These minutes have been prepared with a timestamp linking the agenda items to the video discussion. Electronic version of minutes will allow citizens to view discussion held during council meeting.

85 Aaron responded that there is not a timeline however phase one would need to be built, occupied, and
86 stable prior to starting phase two.

87
88 Councilor Ellsworth asked about concerns of non-conformity.

89
90 Aaron responded he is not concerned with it.

91
92 Brian Jones suggested that an end date be determined for the development agreement even if phase
93 two is not completed.

94
95 Councilor MacKay asked if it was normal to zone things that are separate properties together.

96
97 Aaron responded that zoning gives idea to developers of what city wants to see in that specific area. He
98 responded that CMU makes sense at this site.

99
100 Councilor MacKay asked about the naming of CMU when there are not commercial components.

101
102 Aaron said there has been some discussion about renaming and mentioned CMU does not force the
103 developer to have commercial components.

104
105 Chair Hoban asked for clarification on parking and design.

106
107 Aaron responded that phase one is ten stalls short but the completion of phase two would be within
108 code guidelines. He also said that parking was not the driving force for the rezoning of the area.

109
110 Chair Hoban asked about the expansion of 800 N.

111
112 Aaron responded.

113
114 Councilor Handley asked what the price range of apartments would be.

115
116 Chair Hoban clarified question regarding fitting the current need for affordable housing.

117
118 Councilor Whipple asked if we could rezone for phase one.

119
120 Aaron disclosed that rezoning only for one would reduce the number of apartments.

121
122 Councilor Fillmore asked Aaron to articulate the concerns that the planning commission provided and
123 why the department feels like it can be overridden.

124
125 Aaron stated the planning commission was not comfortable with the parking reduction, zoning changes
126 to Foxwood apartments, and not having a commercial component as part of the concept plan. He
127 mentioned some of the items they liked about the proposal. Aaron provided responses to each concern
128 and disclosed how the city staff members felt about each. Aaron expressed the staff's recommendation
129 that a concept plan is needed

Draft Minutes

Please Note – These minutes have been prepared with a timestamp linking the agenda items to the video discussion. Electronic version of minutes will allow citizens to view discussion held during council meeting.

131 Councilor Ellsworth asked when the Foxwood apartment were built.

132
133 Aaron answered that they were built in 1972.

134
135 Chair Hoban invited developer to make a 5-minute presentation.

136
137 Tim Metler of Metler Real Estate presented the project considerations and provided answers to
138 Council's questions regarding cost, parking, and rental contracts.

139
140 Chair Hoban asked for Eric Chase's opinion on the matter since he is the neighborhood chair of the area.

141
142 Eric Chase, neighborhood chair of the North Park neighborhood, discussed some of the changes he
143 would make if he were the developer. He said there is high turnover in the area and almost all residents
144 are students. He shared his belief that more housing, even with less parking, would be a greater benefit
145 to the area and City.

146
147 Councilor MacKay asked if Mr. Chase had received any negative feedback regarding parking.

148
149 Councilor Ellsworth asked if the loss of historic character and detached single family homes were a
150 concern for the neighborhood.

151
152 Mr. Chase said part of living in an evolving city is to adapt to the changes. He explained that the area
153 needs more density housing.

154
155 Chair Hoban opened public comment.

156
157 Jeff Whitlock of Provo agreed that there is a need for more affordable married housing. He expressed
158 his concern for the limited parking in the area. He asked if Council has authority to enforce conditions
159 discussed.

160
161 Zeke Peters of Provo shared his experience of finding an apartment as a newly married couple. On the
162 other hand, he described the minimal effort it has taken to sell his current apartment due to the high
163 demand of married housing. He also mentioned that high density does not always equate to parking
164 issues.

165
166 Chris West of Provo echoed that there is a short supply of housing, and he does not think parking will be
167 as big of an issue as others presume.

168
169 Chair Hoban closed public comment.

170
171 Councilor Handley shared his support for this ordinance.

172
173 Brian Jones mentioned transportation demand management (TDM) plans and explained how city code is
174 set up to handle them. He also provided information on the process planning commission takes
175 regarding development agreements and denials.

Draft Minutes

Please Note – These minutes have been prepared with a timestamp linking the agenda items to the video discussion. Electronic version of minutes will allow citizens to view discussion held during council meeting.

177 Chair Hoban asked what developer plans to limit within the contracts.

178
179 Mr. Metler responded.

180
181 Brian Jones restated and clarified response. He also mentioned the inability to enforce after phase two is
182 complete based on the development agreement.

183
184 Councilor Whipple asked how the advertising would be for the number of cars.

185
186 Mr. Metler said they would ask at the time of application and only applicants with one car would be
187 allowed if others are filled. He also mentioned that screening applicants based on the number of cars
188 they have is not discrimination.

189
190 Councilor Ellsworth and Councilor Shipley asked questions about enforcement.

191
192 Bill Peperone, Development Services Director, answered that TDMs provide a solution for enforcement.

193
194 Brian Jones clarified that TDMs could legally force developer to remove building space to provide
195 parking if they are violating the terms of the agreement.

196
197 Councilor Fillmore made motion to approve on conditions. Seconded by Councilor Shipley.

198
199 Mr. Jones evaluated the motion by Councilor Fillmore and stated that the implied motion covered the
200 conditions he mentioned.

201
202 Councilor Fillmore withdrew his motion for further discussion.

203
204 Chair Hoban asked about the process of creating a development agreement.

205
206 Mr. Jones gave insight to the full timeline of a development agreement. He also provided options that
207 the Council has as far as passing the ordinance or choosing to continue.

208
209 Councilor MacKay mentioned there is an opportunity for phase two to provide more spaces.

210
211 Chair Hoban stated his reservations regarding parking.

212
213 Mr. Metler explained the difference of daytime vs nighttime parking.

214
215 Chair Hoban made substitute motion to continue item until a development agreement could be drafted
216 to include enforceability.

217
218 Councilor Whipple asked how long it would take to draft the document.

219
220 Brian Jones responded that it depends on the responsiveness of the developer. He said he anticipates it
221 taking a week.

Draft Minutes

Please Note – These minutes have been prepared with a timestamp linking the agenda items to the video discussion. Electronic version of minutes will allow citizens to view discussion held during council meeting.

Councilor Shipley seconded the motion to continue.

6. Resolution 2022-08 of the City of Provo declaring support for the locally preferred alternative for the South Valley Transit Project (22-010). [\(1:55:11\)](#)

Motion: An implied motion to approve Resolution 2022-08, as currently constituted, has been made by council rule.

Dixon Holmes, Assistant CAO, presented gave summary of request.

Chair Hoban asked for clarification on which line on the map they are referencing.

Todd Provost of UTA clarified that the darkest color is the road they are referring to. He also clarified that the other lines are routes that were being reviewed by UTA.

Councilor Fillmore asked where on the map the other stations can be seen.

Dixon Holmes responded that the only station identified on the map is the one in Provo and the others have not been determined yet.

Councilor Ellsworth shared her concern with proposed plan regarding access for residents who live on the other side of the railroad.

Mary De La Mare-Schaefer of UTA replied that this project was not intended to solve all transportation issues but to focus on high-capacity transit in a major corridor.

Mr. Holmes added that there are over 3,500 rooftops currently built or will be built that fall within a half mile radius of the current station.

Chair Hoban opened item for public comment.

Mike Fugal of Provo asked what the resolution obligates the city to do.

Chair Hoban responded.

With no other comments, Chair Hoban closed public comment.

Councilor Fillmore stated he is in favor of this resolution.

Mayor Kaufusi said the administration in the southern cities are in support and shared her support as well.

Chair Hoban called for a vote.

Vote: The motion was approved 7:0 with Councilors Ellsworth, Fillmore, Handley, Hoban, Mackay, Shipley, and Whipple in favor.

Draft Minutes

Please Note – These minutes have been prepared with a timestamp linking the agenda items to the video discussion. Electronic version of minutes will allow citizens to view discussion held during council meeting.

7. Reviewing Provo City General Plan- Part 2 of 3 (22-011). [\(2:08:40\)](#)

Chair Hoban invited public to view General Plan online.

Brandon Larsen, Planner, presented.

Councilor Fillmore suggested moving to public comment due to extensive discussion during the work session.

Chair Hoban opened public comment.

Mike Fugal of Provo said he did not see his main concerns were addressed. He stated we need to fix businesses in Provo and find out why we are losing so many businesses. He provided a couple of suggestions and asked for council to keep the freedoms of residents.

Zeke Peters of Provo referenced letter sent to council and Mayor Kaufusi last week. He explained that the words equity and equitable were removed from general plan. He urged council to revisit.

Comment provided for item 5: Cynthia Weeks of Orem shared that she works at Provo Dental and is concerned that their employees and patients are struggling to find parking in that area. She asked what the consequences will be for on-street parking.

Jennifer Griffin of Provo said she is glad that the words equity and equitable were removed from the plan. She also expressed concern that the word family is not in plan.

Councilor Ellsworth responded to the previous comment and confirmed that after doing a quick search, family is used thirty-four times in the general plan. She said she hopes that gives the previous caller some piece of mind.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned by unanimous consent at approximately 7:51 PM.

PROVO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL STAFF REPORT



Submitter: MDAYLEY
Department: Council
Requested Meeting Date: 03-29-2022

SUBJECT: A presentation regarding Downtown Provo Inc.'s yearly plans and budget.
(22-035)

RECOMMENDATION: Presentation and discussion.

BACKGROUND: As Provo City works on its FY22-23 budget, Downtown Provo Inc. would like to update the Council on their recent activities and upcoming plans.

FISCAL IMPACT: Dependent on Council's budgetary decisions.

PRESENTER'S NAME: Quinn Peterson, Executive Director of Downtown Provo Inc.

REQUESTED DURATION OF PRESENTATION: 25 minutes

COMPATIBILITY WITH GENERAL PLAN POLICIES, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES:

CITYVIEW OR ISSUE FILE NUMBER: 22-035

PROVO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL STAFF REPORT



Submitter: MDAYLEY
Department: Council
Requested Meeting Date:

SUBJECT: A presentation regarding the FY2023 Budget- Parks & Recreation (including Golf, Peaks, Recreation Center, Covey, Parks Administration and Maintenance, etc.) (22-016)

RECOMMENDATION: Presentation and discussion.

BACKGROUND: In preparation for the drafting and approval of the FY 2022-2023 budget, each department has been asked to present to the Council. In addition to identifying their priorities, needs, wants, and potential costs, their presentations should address the following questions:

- What important needs are currently unfunded or underfunded in your department?
- If you received supplemental money last year, what did you do with those dollars? How did those dollars make it easier to achieve your department/division goals?
- Considering the Implementation Action Plans in the proposed General Plan where you feel like you could do more if given more budget? If so, what are they?
- Are there other requests related to FY2023 budget you'd like to bring before the Council?

The full budget that was approved for Provo City for FY 2020-2021 can be found here: <https://www.provo.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=18366>

FISCAL IMPACT: Unknown at this time will depend on future decisions made by the Council.

PRESENTER'S NAME: Scott Henderson, Director of Parks and Recreation

REQUESTED DURATION OF PRESENTATION: 45 minutes

COMPATIBILITY WITH GENERAL PLAN POLICIES, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES:

CITYVIEW OR ISSUE FILE NUMBER: 22-016

PROVO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL STAFF REPORT



Submitter: MDAYLEY
Department: Council
Requested Meeting Date: 03-29-2022

SUBJECT: A presentation regarding the FY2023 Budget- Customer Service. (22-016)

RECOMMENDATION: Presentation and discussion.

BACKGROUND: In preparation for the drafting and approval of the FY 2022-2023 budget, each department has been asked to present to the Council. In addition to identifying their priorities, needs, wants, and potential costs, their presentations should address the following questions:

- What important needs are currently unfunded or underfunded in your department?
- If you received supplemental money last year, what did you do with those dollars? How did those dollars make it easier to achieve your department/division goals?
- Considering the Implementation Action Plans in the proposed General Plan where you feel like you could do more if given more budget? If so, what are they?
- Are there other requests related to FY2023 budget you'd like to bring before the Council?

The full budget that was approved for Provo City for FY 2020-2021 can be found here: <https://www.provo.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=18366>

FISCAL IMPACT: Unknown at this time will depend on future decisions made by the Council.

PRESENTER'S NAME: Amanada Ercanbrack, Customer Service Director

REQUESTED DURATION OF PRESENTATION: 25 minutes

COMPATIBILITY WITH GENERAL PLAN POLICIES, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES:

CITYVIEW OR ISSUE FILE NUMBER: 22-016

FY 2023 Budget

March 29, 2021



311

CUSTOMER SERVICE

Provo City 311 Customer Service Goal

Provo311 is committed to serving the public interest of all Provo City residents, business owners and visitors by providing efficient service delivery to all customers. 311 provides access to government resources while maintaining the highest possible level of quality service for all.

Ongoing enhancement efforts have strengthened the focus on availability and customer experience through a diverse range of access points to ensure consistent delivery and quality service.

311 continues to promote ease of access and transparency in making government services more available to all citizens and effectively serving the needs of all Provo residents and visitors.

Services Provided by 3-1-1

- Provo311 opened March 2012
- Customer Service is an Internal Service Department
- Hours: M-F, 8am – 6pm

City Services

- 311 Call Center
- Utility billing – Electric, Water, Waste Water, Solid Waste, Storm Water, Security Lighting
- Licensing & Special Events (Applications & Payments)
- Airport Security Badging
- Parking Citations (Payments)
- Fingerprinting
- Passport Services
- Park Pavilion Reservations
- General City Information
- Non-emergency Service Requests



Billing

7 Employees

- 1 Billing Manager
- 1 Billing Analyst
- 1 Accounting Technician
- 4 Utility Billing Editors

102 years combined service & experience!

The city currently has 18 billing cycles.

We bill and pull meter reads every day.

An average work month of 16 workdays, means we are often billing on weekdays and holidays.



Unique Billing Services

-Net Metering
-Budget Billing

-Renew Choice
-Shared Solar

-Group Billing
-Landlord Agreements

-Time of Use

Billing

- Implementation and launch of Neptune 360 project.
 - Greatly increased the accuracy of all water reads, ability to identify continuous consumption, simplified water meter upload into the Cayenta billing system.
 - Continually working to improve work process and communication with Public Works to identify areas we can improve to focus on becoming more proactive vs. reactive.
- Implementation and launch of SharedSolar and Time of Use program.
 - Designed a new rate and bill print to accommodate SharedSolar and Time of Use.
 - Designed a workflow through Cayenta to coordinate contact with customers, update of billing rates, and communication with Energy and UMPA contact.
- Currently working on designing the rate and bill print for the Commercial Shared Solar program.
- Plans to condense and combine billing cycles Fall 2022.


Call Center

17 Employees:

- 11 full time customer service reps
- 4 part time customer service reps
- 1 Supervisor/Trainer
- 1 Manager

Training Program: 5-6 weeks

- Utilities
- Business Licensing & Special Events
- Pavilion Reservations
- Parking Citations
- Airport Badging
- Passports
- Fingerprinting
- Other City Services



Call Center Metrics


Call Volume (Calls answered)

	Calendar Year					
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Calls Answered	95,196	97,929	102,650	111,278	91,036	11,683
Increase		2.9%	4.8%	8.4%*	-18.2%**	

* Increase in calls due to utility rate increases, weather, Covid-19 and new billing system.

* Decrease in calls due to stabilized billing questions, online traffic use and increase in IVR payments due to new Covid-19 business habits.

Note: Reason for calls average 75% utility related and 25% other.
(Other = General City information, Licensing, Parking Tickets, Permitting, Sanitation, Parks, etc...)



Call Center Metrics

PASSPORT SERVICES


	FY2019		FY2020		FY2021		FY2023	
	qty	\$	qty	\$	qty	\$	qty	\$
Year Total	1140	\$39,983	1172	\$41,071	980***	\$34,406		
July - Feb	650	\$22,793	1013	\$35,506	326**	\$11,450	925*	\$32,465

* Increase in Service and Revenue of 42% from FY2019 to FY2023
(FY2019 First year Passport Services offered)

** Services not available, resumed January 2021

*** 3 months no services offered due to Covid.

TOTAL PASSPORTS PROCESSED PER MONTH



Call Center Metrics

PASSPORT PHOTO SERVICES


	FY2019		FY2020		FY2021		FY2023	
	qty	\$	qty	\$	qty	\$	qty	\$
Year Total	492	\$7,365	476	\$7,175	591***	\$8,900		
July - Feb	285	\$4,250	404	\$6,090	185**	\$2,795	573*	\$8,630

* Increase in Service and Revenue of 100% from FY2019 to FY2022
(FY2019 First year Pasport Services offered)

** Services not available, resumed January 2021

*** 3 months no services offered due to Covid.

TOTAL PASSPORT PHOTOS PER MONTH YEAR YEAR



Call Center Metrics


FINGERPINTING SERVICES

	FY2019		FY2020		FY2021		FY2022	
	qty	\$	qty	\$	qty	\$	qty	\$
Year Total	4953	\$49,540	4804	\$48,070	3823***	\$38,254		
July - Feb	3171	\$31,725	3679	\$36,810	2009**	\$20,109	2360*	\$23,605

* Decrease in Service and Revenue of 26% from FY2019 to FY2022
Increase of 17% from FY2021 to FY2022

** Services not available, resumed January 2021

*** 3 months no services offered due to Covid.



Budget

Customer Service Department New Budget Year Comparrison

	Adopted FY 21-22	Proposed FY 22-23	Change	Notes
Administration	\$1,179,505	\$827,496		
Personel	\$385,010	\$388,719		
O&M	\$568,599	\$303,420	(\$265,179)	Decrease of Provo 360 project, move budget for monthly newsletter, decrease in office supplies.
Chage Backs	\$120,896	\$135,357		
Debt Service (ERP)	\$105,000	\$0	(\$105,000)	Payback schedule complete.
Utility Billing	\$1,023,506	\$1,266,753		
Personel	\$584,426	\$540,173		
O&M	\$439,080	\$726,580	\$287,500	Rasised printing costs, 40% increase in paper. Collections agency contract.
Information Technology	\$270,772	\$248,513		
O&M	\$270,772	\$248,513	(\$22,259)	some maintenace contracts eliminated, reduction in training / travel, reduction in supplies.
Call Center	\$989,722	\$987,770		
Personel	\$960,962	\$953,710		
O&M	\$28,760	\$34,060	\$5,300	New buidling equipment, misc.
TOTAL CUSTOMER SERVICE	\$3,463,505	\$3,330,532	(\$99,638)	
Increase / Decrease		(\$132,973)	Decrease	

Observations:

Department total personnel	\$2,201,170	\$2,131,115	(\$70,055)	Reducton in staff and retiring staff
Collection agency contract change.		\$135,000	\$135,000	
Materials for bill print increase.		\$130,000	\$130,000	

PROVO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL STAFF REPORT



Submitter: MDAYLEY
Department: Council
Requested Meeting Date:

SUBJECT: A presentation regarding the FY2023 Budget-Council Office. (22-016)

RECOMMENDATION: Presentation and discussion.

BACKGROUND: In preparation for the drafting and approval of the FY 2022-2023 budget, each department has been asked to present to the Council. In addition to identifying their priorities, needs, wants, and potential costs, their presentations should address the following questions:

- What important needs are currently unfunded or underfunded in your department?
- If you received supplemental money last year, what did you do with those dollars? How did those dollars make it easier to achieve your department/division goals?
- Considering the Implementation Action Plans in the proposed General Plan where you feel like you could do more if given more budget? If so, what are they?
- Are there other requests related to FY2023 budget you'd like to bring before the Council?

The full budget that was approved for Provo City for FY 2020-2021 can be found here: <https://www.provo.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=18366>


FISCAL IMPACT: Unknown at this time will depend on future decisions made by the Council.

PRESENTER'S NAME: Melia Dayley, Policy Analyst

REQUESTED DURATION OF PRESENTATION: 30 minutes

COMPATIBILITY WITH GENERAL PLAN POLICIES, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES:

CITYVIEW OR ISSUE FILE NUMBER: 22-016



Council Office **FY2023 Budget Priorities**

General Plan Goals

- 1.4.2.2 Encourage strong neighborhood organizations.
- 1.4.7 Empower neighborhood by giving families, individuals, and businesses opportunities to participate in neighborhoods.
- 1.4.10 Help neighborhoods become well informed and educated on city-related issues
- 7.4.5 Determine the appropriate types of businesses for Provo to foster and grow.
- 7.4.10 Facilitate participation by Provo business leaders in the political process.


Ongoing

Council Achievements

Preservation of Open Space
Redistricting
General Plan Update
Southwest Intent Statement



FY2022 Restorations

- 
- Internship
 - Contract Services
 - Training & Conferences
 - Council Programs
 - Neighborhood Program
 - Neighborhood Matching Grants

A stylized background graphic within a light gray square. It features a yellow sun with white rays at the top, green jagged mountains in the middle, and two blue wavy lines representing water at the bottom.

FY2023 Requests

Upgrade Council Chamber for Zoom Meetings
Small inflationary increase for goods and services
Reclassify Policy Analyst

PROVO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL STAFF REPORT



Submitter: CBLINZINGER
Department: Fire
Requested Meeting Date: 03-29-2022

SUBJECT: A resolution adopting the Mountainland Pre-Disaster Hazard Mitigation Plan. (22-036)

RECOMMENDATION: Adopt this plan by resolution. The Hazard Mitigation Plan has been updated and submitted to FEMA for signature. Adopting this plan and updating it is a requirement for Provo City to receive funding during a natural disaster.

BACKGROUND: MAG receives a grant to manage the update of this Plan every 5 years. Each governmental subdivision in Utah County has a portion of the plan that must be adopted. Provo's portion is on pages 191-195.

FISCAL IMPACT: Not having this plan adopted could effect the ability of Provo City to receive the 75% reimbursement to the cost of the event

PRESENTER'S NAME: Chris Blinzinger, Emergency Management Coordinator

REQUESTED DURATION OF PRESENTATION: 15 minutes

COMPATIBILITY WITH GENERAL PLAN POLICIES, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES:
The Hazard Mitigation Plan is adopted in place for a time when the Blue Skies turn Gray in Provo due to an event that disrupts government, utilities, transportation and life in Provo. This plan allows Provo to receive federal funds to recover from the event. The plan also identifies vulnerabilities and offers strategies to mitigate them.

CITYVIEW OR ISSUE FILE NUMBER: 22-036

1 RESOLUTION 2022-
2

3 A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE MOUNTAINLAND PRE-DISASTER
4 HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN. (17-092)
5

6 WHEREAS, Provo City Council recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people
7 and property within Provo City; and
8

9 WHEREAS, Provo City has participated in the creation of a multi-hazard mitigation plan,
10 hereby known as the Mountainland Pre-Disaster Hazard Mitigation Plan in accordance with the
11 Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and
12

13 WHEREAS, the Hazard Mitigation Plan is revised every five years and adoption of this
14 plan is a requirement for jurisdictions who apply for pre-disaster mitigation grants; and
15

16 WHEREAS, the plan was last adopted by Resolution 2017-36 on August 8, 2017; and
17

18 WHEREAS, Mountainland Pre-Disaster Hazard Mitigation Plan identifies mitigation
19 goals and actions to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to people and property in Provo City from
20 the impacts of future hazards and disasters; and
21

22 WHEREAS, adoption by Provo City Council demonstrates their commitment to hazard
23 mitigation and achieving the goals outlined in the Mountainland Pre-Disaster Hazard Mitigation
24 Plan; and
25

26 WHEREAS, after considering the facts presented to the Municipal Council, the Council
27 finds (i) the Hazard Mitigation Plan should be approved and (ii) such action will reasonably
28 further the health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of Provo City.
29

30 NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Municipal Council of Provo City, as follows:
31

32 PART I:
33

34 The Mountainland Pre-Disaster Hazard Mitigation Plan, attached hereto as Exhibit A, is
35 hereby adopted to serve as an advisory and visioning document for decision making and policy
36 formation within Provo City.
37

38 PART II:
39

40 This resolution shall be effective on the date it is adopted.

41

42 END OF RESOLUTION.

PROVO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL STAFF REPORT



Submitter: MDAYLEY
Department: Council
Requested Meeting Date: 01-18-2022

SUBJECT: A discussion regarding redistricting adjustments to City Council District maps. (22-003)

RECOMMENDATION: Presentation and discussion

BACKGROUND: Counties and some cities are also required to re-divide legislative areas based on the 2020 census data. Cities must complete any redistricting of municipal legislative areas within six months of the state's adoption of new maps; that deadline is in May 2022. Provo's new Municipal Council District map will be created using the 59 precincts outlined by Utah County with the new 2020 census data.

In meetings earlier this year, the Provo Municipal Council voted to maintain the current Council makeup of 5 district elected seats and 2 at-large elected seats; however, new district boundaries will need to be drawn to accomodate population growths and shifts accounted for in the 2020 census data.

For these Council areas, any redistricting will affect any election or mid-term vacancies after the ordinance is adopted.

The public has now submitted ~120 potential new Council District maps. Staff has provided information on each of the maps and Councilors have submitted their top choices. The Council will select which maps will be placed on Open City Hall for public input. Selection of the final map is anticipated at the March 29 Council meeting.

FISCAL IMPACT:

PRESENTER'S NAME: Melia Dayley- Policy Analyst & Karen Tapahe-Community Relations Coordinator

REQUESTED DURATION OF PRESENTATION: 30 minutes

COMPATIBILITY WITH GENERAL PLAN POLICIES, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES:

CITYVIEW OR ISSUE FILE NUMBER: 22-003


Provo City Municipal Council Districts

with Precinct Boundaries




- District 1 - Bill Fillmore
- District 2 - George Handley
- District 3 - Shannon Ellsworth
- District 4 - Travis Hoban
- District 5 - David Harding

City-wide District I - Dave Sewell
City-wide District II - David Shipley






- ★ Council Members
- City Wide
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5






- ★ Council Members
- City Wide
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5






★ Council Members
● City Wide

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5





- ★ Council Members
- City Wide
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5




64 - Center and Westside District




★ Council Members
● City Wide

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5






- ★ Council Members
- City Wide
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5





- ★ Council Members
- City Wide
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5






★ Council Members


● City Wide

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5






- ★ Council Members
- City Wide
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5






- ★ Council Members
- City Wide
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5





- ★ Council Members
- City Wide
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5





These are preliminary results from Open City Hall. Input is being collected through March 27 and updated results will be distributed on March 28.

What is presented here is public engagement, much like public comments at Council meetings or emails sent, and is not scientific research. Responses from registered users of OCH show some demographic information.

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

March 24, 2022, 11:59 AM

Contents

i.	Summary of responses	2
ii.	Survey questions	8
iii.	Individual responses	11

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Summary Of Responses

As of March 24, 2022, 11:59 AM, this forum had:		Topic Start
Attendees:	130	March 17, 2022, 7:30 AM
Responses:	49	
Hours of Public Comment:	1.6	

QUESTION 1

Considering the concepts listed above, which ones would be your top priorities in choosing a map? (Required items are not listed) Please select in order of preference.

1. Create westside district
2. Balanced number of registered voters
3. Communities of interest
4. Preserving central/downtown area district
5. Preserving southeast area district
6. Split college student population into multiple districts
7. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts

QUESTION 2

There is not enough population west of the freeway to make a district without including some precincts east of the freeway. If you think that creating a westside district is a priority, what is your preference for which precincts to add in?

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
3. Grandview area (north)
4. No preference

QUESTION 3

Any other thoughts on a westside district?

Answered	18
Skipped	31

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

QUESTION 4

The following maps were selected by the Council to show some of the concepts being considered. Please choose the map(s) you prefer the most.

You can view all the maps here:

https://oth.opengov.com/production/uploads/portals/258/forum/issue/11680/issue_asset/asset/10159/All_maps.pdf.

1. Map 34
2. Map 75
3. Map 85
4. Map 28
5. Map 31
6. Map 113
7. Map 64
8. Map 33
9. Map 100
10. Map 93
11. Map 67

QUESTION 5

Which map attributes do you like least?

Answered	26
Skipped	23

QUESTION 6

What do you like best about the map(s) you chose?

Answered	28
Skipped	21

QUESTION 7

Certain concepts were discussed when reviewing the submitted maps. Please rate the importance of each of each concept in evaluating the City Council District maps (1=not important, 5=very important)?


Preserving central/downtown area district

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22


How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?



Preserving southeast area district




Balancing number of registered voters




Splitting college student population across districts

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22


How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?



Grouping by communities of interest



Creating a westside district



Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

QUESTION 8

Are there other criteria you think should be used to evaluate the maps?

Answered 10











Skipped 39

QUESTION 9

Are there other maps you prefer to the ones that we've selected as the top maps (pick up to three)? See all maps here:

https://oth.opengov.com/production/uploads/portals/258/forum/issue/11680/issue_asset/asset/10159/All_maps.pdf

See all maps here: <https://redistricting.provo.org/report.html>

		%	Count
best		10.0%	1
Better Balanced 2		10.0%	1
Big Difference		20.0%	2
BYU and Joaquin get		10.0%	1
Corner		10.0%	1
lines		10.0%	1
n s		10.0%	1
Plan 12		10.0%	1
West Side Represent		10.0%	1
Westside Growth		20.0%	2

QUESTION 10

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Are you a Provo resident?

		%	Count
Yes		100.0%	49

QUESTION 11

Do you have any other comments on the redistricting maps or how to choose the final one?

Answered	18
Skipped	31

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Survey Questions

QUESTION 1

Considering the concepts listed above, which ones would be your top priorities in choosing a map? (Required items are not listed) Please select in order of preference.

- Preserving central/downtown area district
- Preserving southeast area district
- Balanced number of registered voters
- Split college student population into multiple districts
- Have college student population in only 1-2 districts
- Communities of interest
- Create westside district

QUESTION 2

There is not enough population west of the freeway to make a district without including some precincts east of the freeway. If you think that creating a westside district is a priority, what is your preference for which precincts to add in?

- Grandview area (north)
- East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
- Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
- No preference

QUESTION 3

Any other thoughts on a westside district?

QUESTION 4

The following maps were selected by the Council to show some of the concepts being considered. Please choose the map(s) you prefer the most.

You can view all the maps here:

https://oth.opengov.com/production/uploads/portals/258/forum/issue/11680/issue_asset/asset/10159/All_maps.pdf.

- Map 28
- Map 31
- Map 33
- Map 34
- Map 64
- Map 67
- Map 75

- Map 85
- Map 93
- Map 100
- Map 113

QUESTION 5

Which map attributes do you like least?

QUESTION 6

What do you like best about the map(s) you chose?

QUESTION 7

Certain concepts were discussed when reviewing the submitted maps. Please rate the importance of each of each concept in evaluating the City Council District maps (1=not important, 5=very important)?

Row choices

- Preserving central/downtown area district
- Preserving southeast area district
- Balancing number of registered voters
- Splitting college student population across districts
- Grouping by communities of interest
- Creating a westside district

Column choices

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

QUESTION 8

Are there other criteria you think should be used to evaluate the maps?

QUESTION 9

Are there other maps you prefer to the ones that we've selected as the top maps (pick up to three)? See all maps here: https://oth.opengov.com/production/uploads/portals/258/forum/issue/11680/issue_asset/asset/10159/All_maps.pdf

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

See all maps here: <https://redistricting.provo.org/report.html>

- A Great
- A west side district
- Balanced Council
- Best makeover
- best
- Better Balanced 2
- Better Balanced
- Big Difference
- BYU 1 Shift 2
- BYU and Joaquin get
- C5
- Center & Westside Di
- City centered 2
- City centered
- Comp 01
- Corner
- Council District
- counterclockwise s1
- Counterclockwise s2
- Email 01
- Email 02
- Email 03
- Email 04
- Even voter counts
- Foothills 2
- Foothills
- Fun Times
- Great Idea
- horses
- horsey
- Interesting
- Jet
- lines
- Map A
- Map B
- Map C
- map z
- Master
- mostly west side
- n s
- NE
- Nearly Equal Pop
- Neighborhood Focus
- new districts bound
- North Grandview
- Number 01
- Optimal plan
- Perfectly Balanced
- Pick this map
- Plan 01
- Plan 2 central
- Plan 2 East v2
- Plan 2 East
- Plan 2
- Plan 3 reps west
- Plan 3
- Plan 3N
- Plan 4 rep west
- Plan 5
- Plan 6
- Plan 7
- Plan 8
- Plan 9
- Plan 10
- Plan 11
- Plan 12
- Plan 13
- Plan 14
- Plan 15
- Plan 16
- Plan 17
- Plan 18
- Plan 19
- Plan 20
- Plan 21
- Plan 22
- Plan 23
- Plan 24
- Plan 25
- Plan 26
- Plan 27
- Plan 28
- Plan 29
- Plan A
- Plan AA
- Plan B
- Plan C

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

- Plan D
- Plan E
- Plan F
- Plan G
- Plan H
- Plan I
- Plan J
- Plan K
- Pretty good
- S
- SE
- Sharing BYU
- South & west deserve
- south district
- South
- Southern
- southwest
- Super
- Surprise
- SW1
- The
- W
- well done
- West & Central
- west of I-15 better
- West side map
- West Side Represent
- Westish
- Westside district w
- Westside Growth
- Westside
- wow
- Yet another plan

QUESTION 10

Are you a Provo resident?

- Yes
- No

QUESTION 11

Do you have any other comments on the redistricting maps or how to choose the final one?

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Individual Responses

David Knecht

inside District 3

March 17, 2022, 10:45 AM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Communities of interest
3. Preserving central/downtown area district
4. Preserving southeast area district
5. Balanced number of registered voters

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

If you are trying to create a "Westside District", then it makes absolutely no sense to include anything east of University Ave.

Question 4

1. Map 75
2. Map 113

Question 5

Map 93. It destroys the Central district/community, along with breaking up the South East district.

Question 6

Map 75. Besides the reasons listed alongside the map, I would add that this map keeps the 3 SE Neighborhoods together. Spring Creek, Provost and Provost South were all master planned together as the South State Street Corridor. It would be a shame to break up these 3 neighborhoods. This map is the best overall compromise.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 1
Preserving southeast area district: 2
Balancing number of registered voters: 2
Splitting college student population across districts: 5
Grouping by communities of interest: 1
Creating a westside district: 1

Question 8

- Keeping neighborhoods together that were General Planned together, like the Provost, Provost South and Spring Creek neighborhoods that make up the South State Street corridor.

Question 9

- Plan 12

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Map 75 and 12 are very similar, but I think I would go with map 12, since it puts the Provo Canyon area in the NE district.

Name not shown

inside District 3

March 17, 2022, 11:10 AM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Preserving central/downtown area district

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 85

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 3
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 3
Grouping by communities of interest: 4
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 17, 2022, 12:07 PM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Balanced number of registered voters
3. Split college student population into multiple districts
4. Preserving central/downtown area district
5. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts
6. Preserving southeast area district
7. Communities of interest

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
3. Grandview area (north)

Question 3

They need their own representation

Question 4

1. Map 100
2. Map 85

3. Map 75

4. Map 93

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not shown

inside District 4

March 17, 2022, 12:17 PM

Question 1

1. Communities of interest
2. Preserving central/downtown area district

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)
2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

Please combine the west side with Grandview instead of splitting off chunks of central Provo

Question 4

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

1. Map 34
2. Map 64
3. Map 28
4. Map 33

Question 5

Maps that combine Franklin/Dixon/Northpark with the west side

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 1

Question 8

- Compactness of district

Question 9

- best
- lines
- n s

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Putting emphasis on equalizing active voters negatively impacts representation for neighborhoods with more children, poor people, immigrants, college students and other demographics that dont turn out to vote as often as older, wealthier, whiter neighborhoods.

michael Tuvell

inside District 4

March 17, 2022, 12:54 PM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Balanced number of registered voters
3. Split college student population into multiple districts
4. Preserving central/downtown area district
5. Preserving southeast area district

6. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts
7. Communities of interest

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. Grandview area (north)
3. No preference
4. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

the west side needs are specific to the west side , as are other areas of the town that have their own voices , it's voice should be diluted as little as possible and in coming years with the presumed growth of the south west side it will easily have enough population to not need other districts....

Question 4

1. Map 85
2. Map 113
3. Map 75
4. Map 100
5. Map 93

Question 5

putting Grandview in the west side ...

Question 6

that the west side has a stronger voice...

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3
Preserving southeast area district: 3
Balancing number of registered voters: 3
Splitting college student population across districts: 5
Grouping by communities of interest: 3
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

- common needs of certain areas of Provo , like the west side.

Question 9

- West Side Represent

Question 10

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

• Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 17, 2022, 1:15 PM

Question 1

1. Preserving southeast area district
2. Communities of interest
3. Split college student population into multiple districts
4. Balanced number of registered voters
5. Preserving central/downtown area district
6. Create westside district

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. Grandview area (north)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 67
2. Map 75
3. Map 34
4. Map 113

Question 5

I strongly dislike all of the maps that put the very most southeast area (the panhandle shape) in a district with the westside. It is in the southeast and has the same concerns as everyone else in the Spring Creek and Provost/Provost South neighborhoods. Those residents should be represented by one of their neighbors, not by someone over by Broadview Shores who will never drive over to the SE area. (I live in Provost South.)

Question 6

I think it makes the most sense for a westside district to include portions of the Franklin area. The Franklin residents will have similar needs and concerns as the rest of the southwest part of town. That whole area will be more likely to get good representation with Franklin in their district rather than Grandview or southeast Provo.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3
Preserving southeast area district: 4
Balancing number of registered voters: 3
Splitting college student population across districts: 3
Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 3

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

• Yes

Question 11

Please immediately rule out all of the maps that include the southeast panhandle with the westside or the central districts. They need to be with their neighborhood. Thank you!

Name not available

March 17, 2022, 2:20 PM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Preserving central/downtown area district
3. Balanced number of registered voters

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

Yes do it!

Question 4

1. Map 33
2. Map 28
3. Map 31
4. Map 64

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Question 5

No response

Question 6

Preserves west side and central

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 5
Preserving southeast area district: 1
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 1
Grouping by communities of interest: 3
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

No response

Question 9

- Westside Growth

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Please Westside Growth!

Ben McMurry

inside District 1

March 17, 2022, 6:17 PM

Question 1

1. Balanced number of registered voters
2. Create westside district
3. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts
4. Communities of interest
5. Split college student population into multiple districts
6. Preserving central/downtown area district
7. Preserving southeast area district

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)

2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
3. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
4. No preference

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 75
2. Map 34
3. Map 31

Question 5

I like Edgemont being split.

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Grant Baldwin

inside District 1

March 17, 2022, 6:37 PM

Question 1

1. Communities of interest
2. Create westside district

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

3. Balanced number of registered voters
4. Split college student population into multiple districts
5. Preserving central/downtown area district
6. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts
7. Preserving southeast area district

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
3. No preference
4. Grandview area (north)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 85
2. Map 34
3. Map 33

Question 5

Some of the maps are much more compact than others. I would prefer if we shy away from long, skinny, or stretching districts like those seen in 23, 75, 31, 64.

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3
Preserving southeast area district: 3
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 4
Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 4

Question 8

- Racial/Ethnic composition. If possible, maybe prioritize the creation of a majority-minority district. (or a near majority-minority)

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

William Lund

inside District 1

March 17, 2022, 7:10 PM

Question 1

1. Communities of interest
2. Balanced number of registered voters

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 1
Balancing number of registered voters: 5
Splitting college student population across districts: 3
Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 3

Question 8

No response

Question 9

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Joshua Matthews

inside District 5

March 17, 2022, 7:41 PM

Question 1

1. Communities of interest
2. Balanced number of registered voters

Question 2

1. No preference

Question 3

I think we need to ensure adequate representation for further growth on the westside.

Question 4

1. Map 34
2. Map 67
3. Map 28
4. Map 75

Question 5

I don't like the idea of diluting the influence of any voting population. Encouraging student participation in local politics should be a key factor in the map design.

Question 6

I like combining Joaquin and Maeser neighborhoods.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 1
Balancing number of registered voters: 3
Splitting college student population across districts: 2

Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 3

Question 8

- Balance the composition of the council so that the ratio of students to older residents is equally represented
- I would even consider adding seats to the council and splitting districts if it could make the council more representative

Question 9

- Big Difference

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Please don't let more vocal older residents' opinions about the way things used to be and their desires to preserve property value overshadow the needs of the large young family and college-age population. Focus more on potentials for growth in voter participation and economic development than only the people currently participating in government.

Daniel Friend

inside District 3

March 17, 2022, 8:34 PM

Question 1

1. Preserving central/downtown area district
2. Create westside district
3. Communities of interest
4. Preserving southeast area district

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)
2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

The west side of I-15 is very suburban in character; whatever precincts are added to it should be as well. To me, this eliminates Franklin, Dixon, and Franklin South as viable options. Grandview is probably the best choice.

Question 4

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

1. Map 34
2. Map 28
3. Map 64
4. Map 31
5. Map 33

Question 5

I dislike maps that pair Dixon and Franklin neighborhoods with the West Side.

Question 6

The central/downtown district is compact and reasonable.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 5
Preserving southeast area district: 3
Balancing number of registered voters: 2
Splitting college student population across districts: 2
Grouping by communities of interest: 4
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

I wish the State Legislature had given you better State House and Senate maps so that precincts didn't split neighborhoods so much. I also wonder if many of the problems and compromises with the current maps could have been avoided if we were drawing 7 districts instead of 5. More representation on the City Council could be a good thing for Provo in general. Finally, the metric of "core preservation" is not remotely relevant to making GOOD districts in the city. Preserving old districts preserves the status quo, nothing more. Redistricting is a time to IMPROVE cores and make districts more representative. Old, bad cores should be discarded without apology. We must not be held back by the past when we are planning for the future.

Name not shown

inside District 5
March 17, 2022, 10:52 PM

Question 1

1. Balanced number of registered voters
2. Create westside district
3. Preserving central/downtown area district
4. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
2. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 113

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Laurel Galli

inside District 1

March 17, 2022, 11:42 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

- Amplifying minority voices

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 18, 2022, 12:33 AM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Preserving central/downtown area district
3. Balanced number of registered voters

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 33
2. Map 28
3. Map 31
4. Map 64

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 5
Preserving southeast area district: 1
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 1
Grouping by communities of interest: 1
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

No response

Question 9

- Westside Growth

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

R. Steven Turley

inside District 5

March 18, 2022, 4:33 AM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Balanced number of registered voters
3. Split college student population into multiple districts
4. Communities of interest
5. Preserving central/downtown area district

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

It is an important constituency of the city. For their interests to be well-represented, they should be combined with other similar neighborhoods (which Grandview North isn't, for instance).

Question 4

1. Map 85
2. Map 113
3. Map 75

Question 5

Combining communities with very different interests and needs.

Question 6

Keep common communities of interest together. Roughly equal number of registered voters.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3
Preserving southeast area district: 3
Balancing number of registered voters: 2
Splitting college student population across districts: 1
Grouping by communities of interest: 1
Creating a westside district: 1

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 18, 2022, 7:29 AM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 31

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 1
Preserving southeast area district: 2
Balancing number of registered voters: 2
Splitting college student population across districts: 2
Grouping by communities of interest: 2
Creating a westside district: 2

Question 8

No response

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not shown

inside District 5

March 18, 2022, 7:45 AM

Question 1

1. Balanced number of registered voters

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 34

Question 5

Trying to divide college students, we're still residents of the city and should have an voice equal to long-term residents

Question 6

It seems like what I know to be a highly-populated student area has not been as divided

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3
Preserving southeast area district: 3
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 4
Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 1

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 18, 2022, 8:17 AM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Preserving southeast area district
3. Balanced number of registered voters
4. Communities of interest
5. Split college student population into multiple districts
6. Preserving central/downtown area district
7. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)
2. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
3. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 34

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3
Preserving southeast area district: 2
Balancing number of registered voters: 3
Splitting college student population across districts: 5
Grouping by communities of interest: 4
Creating a westside district: 1

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No

Name not available

March 18, 2022, 9:42 AM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Balanced number of registered voters
3. Preserving central/downtown area district
4. Communities of interest

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)

Question 3

The needs of the west side are unique and often seem distant from current representatives. The primary growth of the city will be in south/south west. In order for the residents there to have adequate voice in its development we need a dedicated council member committed to our interests specifically.

Question 4

1. Map 34
2. Map 113

3. Map 85

4. Map 100

Question 5

Those that maintain or reinforce socio-economic boundaries.

Question 6

They create a west side district and include varying levels of income diversity, especially map 113.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 2
Preserving southeast area district: 1
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 3
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

No response

Question 9

- Better Balanced 2

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 18, 2022, 10:13 AM

Question 1

1. Balanced number of registered voters
2. Create westside district

Question 2

1. No preference

Question 3

No response

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Question 4

1. Map 113
2. Map 100

Question 5

Disparate number of voters

Question 6

Fairly equal number of voters
Creation of West side district

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 4
Balancing number of registered voters: 1
Splitting college student population across districts: 3
Grouping by communities of interest: 3
Creating a westside district: 2

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 18, 2022, 10:21 AM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Split college student population into multiple districts
3. Communities of interest

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 31

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3
Preserving southeast area district: 1
Balancing number of registered voters: 1
Splitting college student population across districts: 4
Grouping by communities of interest: 4
Creating a westside district: 4

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Dylan Cindrich

inside District 3

March 18, 2022, 11:39 AM

Question 1

1. Preserving central/downtown area district

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 28

Question 5

No response

Question 6

I like how it preserves the central district and more or less allows the west side to have their own district

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 5
Preserving southeast area district: 2
Balancing number of registered voters: 1
Splitting college student population across districts: 1
Grouping by communities of interest: 2
Creating a westside district: 4

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

I don't think that the number of registered voters should be equalized. Areas of the city that have larger numbers of poor people or minorities who are less likely to be registered voters shouldn't be punished with less representation in the city council because of that

Name not available

March 18, 2022, 9:04 PM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Split college student population into multiple districts

3. Preserving central/downtown area district

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 33
2. Map 100
3. Map 93
4. Map 85
5. Map 64
6. Map 28

Question 5

College student areas in one district

Question 6

West side district

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 2
Balancing number of registered voters: 2
Splitting college student population across districts: 4
Grouping by communities of interest: 1
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Quit trying to predict future growth. You will be doing this again in 10

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

years

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2022, 6:47 PM

Question 1

1. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts

Question 2

No response

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

64

Question 6

I like keeping the districts close to what is current.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 4
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 1
Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 1

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Name not available

March 21, 2022, 1:03 PM

Question 1

1. Preserving southeast area district
2. Communities of interest
3. Preserving central/downtown area district

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)
2. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 34
2. Map 75

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 5
Balancing number of registered voters: 1
Splitting college student population across districts: 2
Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 2

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

• Yes

Question 11

No response

Matt Peterson

inside District 4

March 21, 2022, 1:17 PM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Communities of interest
3. Preserving central/downtown area district

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
2. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
3. Grandview area (north)

Question 3

I think it would be preferable to have the west side district exclude areas east of the freeway, even if the district will have lower population than other districts. This area has unique needs and is poised for more growth than other areas. Including areas east of the freeway will be a disservice to both west-siders and those who are adopted into the west side district.

Question 4

1. Map 64
2. Map 31
3. Map 28
4. Map 33

Question 5

Bleed-over of the west side district to the east side of the freeway. The further inbound it bleeds the worse. I have a preference for bleeding over on the southern end of the map though as I presume that the distance of these areas from the city center is better in alignment with the west side's experience compared to those at the north end who are near the commercial services in Orem.

Question 6

A predominantly west side district.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 1
Preserving southeast area district: 5
Balancing number of registered voters: 3
Splitting college student population across districts: 5
Grouping by communities of interest: 2
Creating a westside district: 1

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

• Yes

Question 11

I really only have strong feelings about the west side district layout and have put little effort into the division of other districts. I'm concerned that the aggregate data may not be very useful for making city-wide decisions as most people are likewise going to be focused primarily on their own area of the city.

Name not shown

inside District 3

March 21, 2022, 2:07 PM

Question 1

1. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts
2. Preserving central/downtown area district
3. Communities of interest
4. Balanced number of registered voters
5. Create westside district
6. Preserving southeast area district
7. Split college student population into multiple districts

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)
2. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
3. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
4. No preference

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 34
2. Map 67

Question 5

Intentional division of BYU students into as many districts as possible. It is obvious that this is done in an attempt to prevent "outside influence," but Provo is a university town. Let it be that.

Question 6

More BYU students in one district than the others

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 2
Balancing number of registered voters: 5
Splitting college student population across districts: 1
Grouping by communities of interest: 4
Creating a westside district: 2

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Intentionally splitting up communities with shared interest (such as students) is bad.

Name not shown

inside District 1

March 21, 2022, 2:50 PM

Question 1

1. Create westside district

2. Balanced number of registered voters
3. Preserving southeast area district
4. Communities of interest
5. Preserving central/downtown area district

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
2. Grandview area (north)

Question 3

I feel like the Dixon, Franklin options as part of the Westside just don't quite fit as contiguous interests - they seem like they fit better with the downtown. Either the East Bay or Grandview seem a little better fits with the westside options. I kind of favor the South State Street being included with the Downtown as all part of a major corridor and maybe leaning to similar zoning (if not similar interests of current residents) of a little more dense housing along there.

Question 4

1. Map 113
2. Map 64
3. Map 100
4. Map 85
5. Map 28

Question 5

75

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 4
Balancing number of registered voters: 3
Splitting college student population across districts: 2
Grouping by communities of interest: 4
Creating a westside district: 4

Question 8

No response

Question 9

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

- Big Difference

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Good luck with this. It definitely looks like a daunting task. Thanks to all for all the hard work going into this.

Name not available

March 21, 2022, 4:49 PM

Question 1

1. Communities of interest

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)
2. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 34

Question 5

No response

Question 6

It keeps the panhandle with its neighbors, seems to preserve communities of interest (as far as I'm aware), and has a high rate of continuity of representation.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3
Preserving southeast area district: 5
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 2
Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 4

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Christi Leman

inside District 3

March 21, 2022, 5:00 PM

Question 1

1. Preserving southeast area district

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)
2. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 34

Question 5

No response

Question 6

It keeps the panhandle with our neighbors, preserves high rate of continuity, and seems to keep communities of interest together.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3
Preserving southeast area district: 5
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 2
Grouping by communities of interest: 5

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Creating a westside district: 4

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 21, 2022, 8:02 PM

Question 1

1. Preserving southeast area district
2. Balanced number of registered voters
3. Create westside district
4. Split college student population into multiple districts
5. Communities of interest
6. Preserving central/downtown area district
7. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
2. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
3. Grandview area (north)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 75
2. Map 85
3. Map 64
4. Map 31

Question 5

Adding Grandview and the west side in one district.

Question 6

Southeast has one district.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 1
Preserving southeast area district: 3
Balancing number of registered voters: 3
Splitting college student population across districts: 3
Grouping by communities of interest: 1
Creating a westside district: 3

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Jess Haddow

inside District 3

March 21, 2022, 8:54 PM

Question 1

1. Preserving southeast area district
2. Balanced number of registered voters

Question 2

1. No preference

Question 3

I think that districts balanced by population is important and that should take priority over creating a Westside district

Question 4

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

1. Map 34
2. Map 75
3. Map 113

Question 5

Splitting up Southeast district

Question 6

Preserving southeast district

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3
Preserving southeast area district: 5
Balancing number of registered voters: 3
Splitting college student population across districts: 1
Grouping by communities of interest: 1
Creating a westside district: 1

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Laura Leavitt

inside District 5

March 21, 2022, 9:22 PM

Question 1

1. Communities of interest
2. Create westside district
3. Balanced number of registered voters
4. Preserving central/downtown area district
5. Preserving southeast area district

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

I think we should have 7 districts to facilitate the west side's representation.

Question 4

1. Map 85
2. Map 100

Question 5

I don't like seeing the west and southeast sides of the city under-represented. I live downtown, slightly NE of Center, and I don't like seeing my district chopped up either.

Question 6

I would really prefer 7 districts so the west can represent themselves. I also like the idea of being united to residents in the SE & Maeser. I find we have similar concerns when it comes to the city.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 5
Balancing number of registered voters: 3
Grouping by communities of interest: 1
Creating a westside district: 2

Question 8

No response

Question 9

- BYU and Joaquin get

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

I often feel there's too much representation from the wealthier parts of town. Districts should be split in a manner where a diversity of Provo residents are represented on the city council per their district's needs. City council members should be living in diverse areas in Provo.

Name not available

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

March 21, 2022, 9:29 PM

Question 1

1. Balanced number of registered voters
2. Create westside district
3. Preserving central/downtown area district
4. Split college student population into multiple districts
5. Preserving southeast area district
6. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts
7. Communities of interest

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
3. No preference
4. Grandview area (north)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 113
2. Map 100
3. Map 85

Question 5

The large range of voters per area (6,000-12,000). The little south tail being put on the west side instead of the east side.

Question 6

The west side lumping in combo with the # of voters per area and the percent core preservation.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 3
Balancing number of registered voters: 5
Splitting college student population across districts: 4
Grouping by communities of interest: 2
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 21, 2022, 10:02 PM

Question 1

1. Communities of interest
2. Preserving central/downtown area district
3. Create westside district

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
2. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 31
2. Map 75
3. Map 28

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 5
Preserving southeast area district: 3
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 3

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Everyone in Provo should feel represented rather than ignored or, worse, cut out.

Kristina Davis

inside District 3

March 21, 2022, 11:24 PM

Question 1

1. Balanced number of registered voters
2. Split college student population into multiple districts
3. Create westside district
4. Preserving southeast area district

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. No preference

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 75
2. Map 93

Question 5

North Provo in only one district.

Question 6

I like when the north Provo area is split into multiple districts. It gives multiple districts an option for active public engagement. I also like when the southeast Provo district includes Spring Creek.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 4
Balancing number of registered voters: 5
Splitting college student population across districts: 4
Creating a westside district: 4

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 22, 2022, 3:17 PM

Question 1

1. Preserving southeast area district
2. Create westside district
3. Balanced number of registered voters
4. Split college student population into multiple districts
5. Communities of interest
6. Preserving central/downtown area district
7. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
3. Grandview area (north)
4. No preference

Question 3

No response

Question 4

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

1. Map 75
2. Map 85
3. Map 33

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 2
Preserving southeast area district: 5
Balancing number of registered voters: 5
Splitting college student population across districts: 5
Grouping by communities of interest: 3
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

No response

Question 9

- Corner

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not shown

inside District 4

March 22, 2022, 4:43 PM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Split college student population into multiple districts

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 33
2. Map 64
3. Map 31
4. Map 28

Question 5

No response

Question 6

East Bay, a potential vibrant economic area, is added to the West Side

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 1
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 5
Grouping by communities of interest: 1
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

- A varying range of income levels in each district

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 22, 2022, 5:19 PM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Communities of interest

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

3. Preserving central/downtown area district
4. Preserving southeast area district
5. Balanced number of registered voters
6. Split college student population into multiple districts
7. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. Grandview area (north)
3. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
4. No preference

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 34
2. Map 85
3. Map 93
4. Map 75
5. Map 100
6. Map 113
7. Map 33
8. Map 28
9. Map 31
10. Map 64
11. Map 67

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 1
Preserving southeast area district: 1
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 3
Grouping by communities of interest: 1
Creating a westside district: 1

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

Tanei Henry

inside District 5

March 22, 2022, 9:51 PM

Question 1

1. Preserving central/downtown area district
2. Create westside district
3. Balanced number of registered voters
4. Communities of interest

Question 2

1. Grandview area (north)

Question 3

How many developments are in the works on the west side now?

Question 4

1. Map 67
2. Map 75
3. Map 31
4. Map 34

Question 5

Downtown being combined with southeast

Question 6

Maintaining a strong downtown area.
District 1 being split East and west.
Strong west side District.

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 5
Preserving southeast area district: 2
Balancing number of registered voters: 3
Splitting college student population across districts: 1
Grouping by communities of interest: 2
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Thank you for all you do!

Name not available

March 23, 2022, 6:36 AM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Communities of interest
3. Preserving southeast area district
4. Balanced number of registered voters

Question 2

1. No preference

Question 3

No response

Question 4

No response

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response

David Wright

inside District 1

March 23, 2022, 11:49 AM

Question 1

1. Split college student population into multiple districts
2. Communities of interest
3. Balanced number of registered voters
4. Create westside district
5. Preserving central/downtown area district
6. Preserving southeast area district
7. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

Grandview deserves to be the center of a district. South/southeast doesn't match well with the westside.

Question 4

1. Map 93

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

2. Map 85
3. Map 31
4. Map 75
5. Map 100
6. Map 28
7. Map 113
8. Map 33
9. Map 34
10. Map 64
11. Map 67

Question 5

It is impossible to balance the number of ballots cast, but Plan 100, Plan 113, Plan 33, Plan 64, and Plan 167 do a terrible job of balancing ballots cast. In these plans one district would have 3 to 3.5 times the ballots cast of another district.

Question 6

Best for preserving areas that belong together and for balancing ballots cast.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 4
Preserving southeast area district: 4
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 5
Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 4

Question 8

- We should look at how many ballots were cast in the 2019 and 2021 general elections for each of the proposed districts.

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Plan ☐
Overall Grade ☐
Grade for Balance of Active Voters ☐
Grade for Balance of Ballots Cast ☐

Grade for Preserving Areas that Belong Together

93-Sharing BYU ~~B~~~~A~~~~C~~~~A~~-

Best for preserving areas that belong together and for balancing ballots cast.

85-Better Balanced ~~B~~ ~~A~~~~C~~~~B~~+

The Dixon Area doesn't fit with Southeast Provo.

31-Map ~~C~~~~B~~~~A~~~~C~~ ☐

Grandview doesn't fit with the Riverbottoms. Grandview should be a center for a district.

75-Plan 10 ~~B~~~~B~~~~A~~ ☐ ☐

Grandview doesn't fit with the Riverbottoms. Grandview should be a center for a district.

100-Plan 26 ~~C~~~~A~~~~D~~~~C~~+

District 1 would have over three times the ballots cast as District 5.

28-Plan 24 ~~C~~~~A~~~~C~~ ☐

The Westside doesn't fit with the Southeast.

113-BYU Shift 2 ☐+~~B~~+~~D~~-~~B~~

District 1 would have 3.5 times the ballots cast as District 5.

33-Westside Growth ~~C~~~~A~~~~D~~ ☐

The Westside doesn't fit with the Southeast.. District 2 would have over three times the ballots cast as District 5.

34-A Great Plan ~~C~~~~B~~~~C~~~~C~~-

Grandview should be the center of a district. The BYU District contains some of Pleasant View and should go north.

64-Center and Westside District ~~C~~~~B~~+~~D~~ ☐

The Westside and the Southeast Side don't seem to go together. District 1 would have over three times the ballots cast as District 5.

67-Close to Current Map ~~C~~~~B~~~~D~~~~C~~-

Grandview should be the center of a district. The BYU District contains some of Pleasant View and should go north. District 1 would have over three times the ballots cast as District 3.

Name not shown

inside District 1

March 23, 2022, 3:50 PM

Question 1

1. Split college student population into multiple districts
2. Balanced number of registered voters

Question 2

1. No preference

Question 3

No response

Question 4

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

1. Map 93

2. Map 85

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 3

Preserving southeast area district: 2

Balancing number of registered voters: 5

Splitting college student population across districts: 5

Grouping by communities of interest: 4

Creating a westside district: 2

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

• Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 23, 2022, 3:54 PM

Question 1

No response

Question 2

No response

Question 3

Based on what I currently am aware of, Map 93 appears to be the most appropriate.

I would be happy to share my name and comments with others, but my thoughts may not be as credible as normal because I have not had lots of time to study these maps and their consequences because my wife and I are currently serving our 4th mission together after my retirement from my teaching and research at BYU in the Mechanical Engineering Department. We are currently serving in the Temple at Nauvoo Illinois for The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

Sincerely,

Elder Robert H. Todd
2345 N. 1060 E. Provo, Utah (Home)
todd@byu.edu

Question 4

1. Map 93

2. Map 85

Question 5

No response

Question 6

Although Map 93 is not perfect. 93 shares BYU students, is best for balancing active voters and preserves areas that are similar together.

Hope this feedback is helpful!

Thank you for all of the hard work you do in helping to govern our special and wonderful Provo City!

Sincerely,

Robert H. Todd
todd@byu.edu

Question 7

No response

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

• Yes

Question 11

No response

Name not available

March 23, 2022, 4:03 PM

Question 1

1. Preserving southeast area district
2. Create westside district

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 34
2. Map 67

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 1
Preserving southeast area district: 5
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 2
Grouping by communities of interest: 2
Creating a westside district: 4

Question 8

- Remember that BYU is changing it's housing policy beginning Fall 2022 which will affect the college student population.

Question 9

No response

Question 10

• Yes

Question 11

No response

Susan Rugh

outside Council Districts

March 23, 2022, 7:10 PM

Question 1

1. Create westside district
2. Preserving central/downtown area district
3. Balanced number of registered voters
4. Communities of interest

Question 2

1. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)
2. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

The west side is growing quickly and suffers from lack of multiple routes across Provo, and is bisected by train lines that make accessing Provo more difficult than Orem. That hurts commerce and makes west siders feel like second class citizens. The west side deserves a strong voice on the council to represent the needs of those citizens.

Question 4

1. Map 64

Question 5

I don't like splitting up neighborhoods.

Question 6

It gives a voice to the West side, and mostly follows neighborhood boundaries.

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 1
Preserving southeast area district: 4

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

Balancing number of registered voters: 1
Splitting college student population across districts: 4
Grouping by communities of interest: 2
Creating a westside district: 1

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No. You can certainly do a better job than our state legislature in redistricting.

Name not shown

inside District 5

March 24, 2022, 12:47 AM

Question 1

1. Communities of interest
2. Preserving central/downtown area district
3. Create westside district
4. Preserving southeast area district

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)

Question 3

I picked the priority I did guessing it might have similar concerns (need for more grocery stores, rapid development, proximity to high-traffic transit areas). But I'm not from either area so I think people from those areas should be given the most weight in the decision--whichever area seems to have the most shared common interests and least objections.

Question 4

1. Map 64
2. Map 28

Question 5

When areas of interest are split (West side in two parts, etc), when physical boundaries don't seem natural

Question 6

Communities of interest, physical boundaries make sense to me

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 5
Preserving southeast area district: 5
Balancing number of registered voters: 2
Splitting college student population across districts: 2
Grouping by communities of interest: 5
Creating a westside district: 5

Question 8

- Racial/ethnic/language minority equity -- minority groups are not diluted (see 3 Gingles conditions, rough proportionality <https://redistricting.ils.edu/redistricting-101/where-are-the-lines-drawn/#minority+representation>)
- Non-dilution/proportionality of population living near the poverty line

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

Residents from the West side and other affected neighborhoods should have greater say in the specifics of how the West side district is created

Name not shown

inside District 5

March 24, 2022, 10:39 AM

Question 1

1. Preserving central/downtown area district
2. Balanced number of registered voters
3. Create westside district
4. Have college student population in only 1-2 districts
5. Communities of interest

Council Redistricting OCH comments 3-24-22

How should the Provo City Council select a new map to update the Council districts?

6. Preserving southeast area district

Question 2

1. East Bay/Spring Creek, etc. (south/southeast)
2. Parts of Dixon, Franklin, and/or Franklin South (central)

Question 3

No response

Question 4

1. Map 33
2. Map 34
3. Map 67
4. Map 28
5. Map 31
6. Map 75

Question 5

No response

Question 6

No response

Question 7

Preserving central/downtown area district: 5
Preserving southeast area district: 4
Balancing number of registered voters: 4
Splitting college student population across districts: 4
Grouping by communities of interest: 4
Creating a westside district: 4

Question 8

No response

Question 9

No response

Question 10

- Yes

Question 11

No response