

Minutes of the regular meeting of the Syracuse City Council held on August 10, 2021 at 7:08 p.m., held in a hybrid in-person/electronic format via Zoom, meeting ID 886 4462 4997, in-person in the City Council Chambers at 1979 W. 1900 S., and streamed on the Syracuse City YouTube Channel in accordance with House Bill 5002, Open and Public Meetings Act Amendments, signed into law on June 25, 2020.

Present: Councilmembers: Lisa W. Bingham
Corinne N. Bolduc
Dave Maughan
Jordan Savage
W. Seth Teague

Mayor Mike Gailey
City Manager Brody Bovero
City Recorder Cassie Z. Brown

City Employees Present:

Administrative Services Director Stephen Marshall
City Attorney Paul Roberts
Fire Chief Aaron Byington
Parks and Recreation Director Kresta Robinson
Public Works Director Robert Whiteley
Community and Economic Development Director Noah Steele
Assistant Police Chief Heath Rogers

1. Meeting Called to Order/Adopt Agenda

Mayor Gailey called the meeting to order at 7:08 p.m. as a regularly scheduled meeting, with notice of time, place, and agenda provided 24 hours in advance to the newspaper and each Councilmember.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MOVED TO ADOPT THE AGENDA. COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

2. Public comment:

Mayor Gailey invited in person public comments.

Patrick Martinez stated he appreciates the work the Mayor and Council do for the City. Most of the questions he has had were answered during the Truth in Taxation meeting. He has paid close attention to the drought conditions impacting the City and referenced development plans for the City that will further impact the availability of water. He asked if the residents have been advised to install slow flow valves in their showers at their homes to help conserve water.

Mayor Gailey then invited Zoom participants to provide public comments. There were no Zoom participants wishing to provide public comments.

Mayor Gailey stated that tonight's meeting agenda provided instructions for residents to email their public comments to City Recorder Brown by 5:00 p.m. tonight in order for them to be read into the record of the meeting. Ms. Brown read the following emailed public comment:

"Hi council,

I am unable to attend the meeting tonight, but I wanted to comment on the agenda item regarding the property east of Smiths. As you all know, the developers worked with some citizens to change the previous development to something that would be a better compromise and fit for that specific location. I just wanted to show my support for this proposal with townhomes and wanted to express that the developers are upholding their agreement they made with the citizens by sticking to the townhome/commercial mix and we appreciate that they are keeping their end of the agreement. We know these townhomes (and the increased commercial footprint) are a much better fit for the location and the surrounding community. Thanks.

Rachel Page"

Mayor Gailey then invited public comments from those in attendance in person. There were no in-person public comments.

3. Report/update on drought conditions and secondary water provision.

Public Works Director Whiteley provided the Governing Body and those in attendance at the meeting with an update regarding drought conditions and secondary water service in the City. He reported on the levels of reservoirs that serve the area, noting Echo Reservoir is 18 percent full, East Canyon is 51 percent full, and Rockport is 27 percent full. He then presented a graph illustrating the usage of the water allotted to the City throughout the summer season and indicated that residents have done a good job at complying with drought regulations and helping to conserve water.

Councilmembers commented on the manner in which recent rainstorms, though small, have helped the situation; they thanked residents for being responsible and shutting off their sprinkler systems following those rainstorms.

4. Possible reconsideration of July 13, 2021 vote to adopt Ordinance 2021-19, zone change for property located at approximately 2400 W. 2700 S., Agricultural (A-1) to Residential (R-3).

An Administrative staff memo explained on July 13, 2021, the City Council voted to adopt Ordinance 2021-19 to change the zoning of property at 2400 W. 2700 S. from Agricultural to Residential (R-3). During the meeting there was discussion about issues such as road connectivity, storm water drainage, and sewer improvements on the subject property. Councilmember Teague has since requested an opportunity for continued discussion of the issues raised and/or possible reconsideration of the vote that was taken on July 13. The City Council's Rules of Order and Procedure provide for the Council to reconsider an action taken either during the same meeting that the action was taken or at the time the minutes for the meeting are approved. Item five on the agenda includes approval of the July 13 minutes; if the Council chooses to reconsider the action on Ordinance 2021-19, such a motion could be made in conjunction with the minutes approval. The applicant has been notified of this possible reconsideration and will be in attendance to discuss any issues with the Council. Staff will also be present and prepared to continue discussion of the issues mentioned above. The memo concluded the goal of this discussion is to gather additional information regarding storm water drainage and sewer improvements associated with the proposed project and determine if reconsideration of the action taken on July 13 is appropriate.

Councilmember Teague summarized past discussions of the rezone application and indicated that he feels the proposed project is good and appropriate for the area, but he wants to take a bit more time to consider some of the issues that were raised regarding storm water drainage, sewer fall, and transportation connectivity in the project area. He would like to reconsider the action to approve the rezone that was taken during the July 13 meeting and table additional action until the August 24 special meeting. Public Works Director Whiteley stated that during the July 13 meeting he did express his concerns about the issues mentioned by Councilmember Teague; he has further evaluated the elevation and grades in the area and within the future project and he feels that those matters can be appropriately addressed in a development agreement for the project. He stated he can work with the City Attorney and others City staff members involved in the pre-development review process for the project to evaluate the best way to handle the matter and be prepared for further discussion during the August 24 meeting.

Mayor Gailey invited input from the developer of the project.

Brad Frost from Ovation Homes stated a development agreement would not typically be required for a R-3 zoning designation, but he would be happy to work with City staff to communicate in writing his commitments for addressing the issues raised by Mr. Whiteley.

COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE MOVED TO RECONSIDER ORDINANCE 2021-19, ZONE CHANGE FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 2400 W. 2700 S., AGRICULTURAL (A-1) TO RESIDENTIAL (R-3).

Council discussion of the motion centered on the procedure for engaging in additional discussion regarding the rezone application.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN SECONDED THE MOTION, ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE MOVED TO TABLE CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE 2021-19, ZONE CHANGE FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 2400 W. 2700 S., AGRICULTURAL (A-1) TO RESIDENTIAL (R-3) UNTIL AUGUST 24, 2021. COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN SECONDED THE MOTION, ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

5. Approval of minutes.

The following minutes were reviewed by the City Council: Work Session and Special Business Meeting of June 22, 2021, and the City Council Business Meeting of July 13, 2021.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES LISTED ON THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER SAVAGE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED AYE.

6a. Committee appointments: Proposed Resolution R21-38 appointing Jeremy Turner to the Syracuse City Architectural Review Committee.

An administrative staff memo explained there is currently a vacancy in the Architectural Review Committee. Mayor Gailey recommends the appointment of Jeremy Turner to fill the vacancy.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MADE A MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R21-38 APPOINTING JEREMY TURNER TO THE SYRACUSE CITY ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE. COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED AYE.

6b. Committee appointments: Proposed Resolution R21-39 appointing Andy Gill to the Syracuse City Board of Adjustments.

An administrative staff memo explained there is currently a vacancy on the City's Board of Adjustments. Mayor Gailey recommends that Andy Gill be appointed to fill the vacancy, with his term to expire June 30, 2026.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MADE A MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R21-39 APPOINTING ANDY GILL TO THE SYRACUSE CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTS. COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED AYE.

6c. Committee appointments: Proposed Resolution R21-40 appointing Robert Kirk to the Syracuse City Parks Advisory Committee.

An administrative staff memo explained there is currently a vacancy on the Syracuse City Parks Advisory Committee. Mayor Gailey recommends the appointment of Robert Kirk to fill the vacancy, with his term to expire June 30, 2025.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MADE A MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R21-40 APPOINTING ROBERT KIRK TO THE SYRACUSE CITY PARKS ADVISORY COMMITTEE. COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED AYE.

7. Public hearing: Proposed Resolution R21-36 amending the Syracuse City Consolidated Fee Schedule by making adjustments to hydrant meter rental general use fees.

A staff memo from the Administrative Services Director explained several contractors are building in Syracuse. They are required to maintain dust control for their own project. They use a water truck to moisten the ground to prevent dust. The water is culinary water and is metered. It is coming from temporary meters attached to fire hydrants. The volumes of water that is being used is averaging 80k to 100k gallons each construction day. Public works staff is reviewing the city water supply monthly from Weber Basin. The water use report indicates that the water use is doing okay so far but is getting on the high side from the use that we typically have seen in the past. If Syracuse goes over its limit of contracted water for the year, a surcharge will be assessed. The surcharge amount is a complex formula based upon actual peak summer use. The cost of water for construction dust control in Syracuse is less than some of the neighboring cities. This lower rate may create a higher demand for contractors purchasing water in Syracuse and using it on projects that may be inside or outside the city. Dust control is a requirement of the Utah department of air quality. It applies to all projects in the city that are in construction and are ¼ acre or larger. So, water is mandatory to fulfill that requirement. Water also is necessary to achieve soil compaction for road construction. Fees for construction water in north Davis County area are as shown.

City	Monthly	\$/kgal	Deposit	Initial fee
Clearfield	\$30.00	\$1.11	\$2,500	\$30
Clinton	\$22.50	\$3.38	\$500	\$50
Kaysville	\$30.00	\$6.40	\$950	\$100
Layton	\$0.00	\$5.00	\$0	\$50
Syracuse	\$30.00	\$2.00	\$1,700	\$30
West Point	\$40.00	\$1.60	\$0	\$50

Layton has a bulk fill water station, which is why there is no monthly fee. A bulk water fill station would provide a benefit in accounting for water used, billing accurately and regularly, prevents lost or damaged equipment rentals, eliminates the possibility of stealing water from another renter, protects from the potential of a cross contamination of the drinking water. A bulk water fill station is similar to using a gas pump. The user signs up for an account, uses a keypad to enter a PIN and license plate, then fills water. The billing software is included in the cost. Each month invoices will be generated to the users. This reduces administrative time in tracking down meter locations, getting readings, and logging the information in spreadsheets, before creating an invoice. It also saves the contractor time from coming into public works office each time they get water from a designated hydrant or to rent a hydrant. This would also eliminate the need for a deposit of the rented equipment. A few other cities in the county are using a bulk fill water station, so it is not a new concept to the construction industry in our area. A bulk water fill station could easily be installed at public works. Public works employees can perform the installation to save some labor costs. The station would cost around \$65,000. This is a sample cost breakdown and the return on investment.

100	kgal/day	
3000	kgal/month	
\$ 6,000	\$/mo Syracuse	
\$ 10,163	\$/mo Clinton	
\$ 15,000	\$/mo Layton	
\$ 19,230	\$/mo Kaysville	
\$ 65,000	Cost for bulk water station	
11	months ROI at current rate	
6	months ROI at Clinton rate	
4	months ROI at Layton rate	
3	months ROI at Kaysville rate	

The memo concluded the action items before the Council tonight are to determine whether to approve an increase in the water rate for bulk water for construction. If so, determine the rate desired. And, whether to give approval to purchase a bulk water fill station. If this option is approved, administration could get started on the project and bring back a budget opening later in the year (October) to increase the budget amount for culinary water revenues and expenses.

Public Works Director Whiteley reviewed the staff memo.

Councilmember Maughan stated he supports the adjustments and indicated he feels they create fairness for all water users in the City.

Mayor Gailey opened the public hearing at 7:33 p.m. There were no persons appearing to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MADE A MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R21-36 AMENDING THE SYRACUSE CITY CONSOLIDATED FEE SCHEDULE BY MAKING ADJUSTMENTS TO HYDRANT METER RENTAL GENERAL USE FEES. COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC SECONDED THE MOTION.

Councilmember Savage addressed the increased demand on City staff to manage and enforce the fee adjustments. He prefers that a bulk water fill station be a primary source of water for construction water and that connection to a hydrant be a secondary source. Councilmember Maughan stated that he can support those recommendations and suggested that in a future meeting the Council consider a code amendment that would offer applicants the ability to secure an elevated tank onsite if they can offer compelling reasons. Councilmember Bolduc added that one of the compelling reasons should be that all water charges have been paid for actual water usage. Councilmember Savage indicated he would like the fee schedule document to be adjusted to include clarification regarding the late fee associated with late payment for metered water and a penalty for losing or failing to return the meter to the City.

There was then brief high-level discussion regarding the amount of water used for construction purposes on a monthly basis and the amount of money the City receives for that water usage.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN AMENDED HIS MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R21-36 AMENDING THE SYRACUSE CITY CONSOLIDATED FEE SCHEDULE BY MAKING ADJUSTMENTS TO HYDRANT METER RENTAL GENERAL USE FEES, WITH AN ADDITIONAL ADJUSTMENT TO CLARIFY THE LATE FEE ASSOCIATED WITH LATE PAYMENT FOR METERED CONSTRUCTION WATER AND A PENALTY FOR LOSING OR FAILING TO RETURN THE METER TO THE CITY. COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC SECONDED THE AMENDED MOTION, ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

8. Proposed Ordinance 2021-21, application to rezone from Agricultural (A-1) to Mixed Use Development (MXD) and General Commercial (GC), at approximately 817 W. 1700 S., and authorization to execute development agreement.

A staff memo from the Community and Economic Development (CED) Department provided the following information regarding the application:

Location: 817 W. 1700 S.
Current General Plan: Commercial
Current Zoning: Agricultural (A-1)
Proposed Zoning: Mixed Use Development (MXD)/General Commercial (GC)
Acres: 4.47 for townhomes (MXD) proposed, .848 for GC offsite
of Units: 54 townhomes
Units/acre: 12

Sq. Feet Commercial: 0 'onsite', but 5,300 square feet future multi-tenant one-story commercial 'offsite'.

Logan Johnson with Wright Development group is requesting the mixed-use zoning designation for a townhome development on the 'Briggs North' site. The city previously approved a mixed-use development on this site with approximately 117 units of three-story apartments and a vertical mixed use building. The developer desires to amend the plans in order to reduce density and building height which will appease some neighbors. They are also wanting to avoid mixed use architectural standards on the commercial building by utilizing the 'off site' option. Application to the mixed-use zone requires architecture, landscape, and site concept plans be reviewed simultaneously with the rezone request. Staff has some concerns with the roadway design and concerns over considering the commercial portion as 'offsite'. Please find attached the proposed plans and development agreement. The Planning Commission is forwarding a conditional recommendation for approval. They felt that the townhomes were a much more compatible use but had some concerns with the specifics of the plans. They recommended that parking in front of each unit's garage be provided, no residential traffic back into commercial traffic, and concluded that the front porches provided were undersized and not in conformance with the zone requirements. The developer has since modified plans to resolve the backing and porch concerns but not the perceived parking shortage.

CED Director Steele reviewed the staff memo and noted the project plan has not changed since the Council's last review.

Councilmember Maughan voiced his opposition to three-story buildings in the project and indicated he would rather the building design be adjusted to include the same number of units in two-story buildings.

Councilmember Savage stated that he appreciated the comments made earlier in the meeting by Rachel Page; she was a resident who was initially opposed to the project and she and several other residents in the area were vocal about their opposition to the project. The fact that she has been involved in amending the design of the project and she now supports it is very meaningful to him. He feels the project plan is much better than originally proposed. Councilmember Bolduc agreed and indicated she is pleased to see the reduced density in the project.

Councilmember Bingham asked if there is still concern about whether the project meets the definition of mixed-use. Councilmember Bolduc noted that was the main focus on the Council's last discussion of the project. Mr. Steele agreed and noted that the Council discussed five options for the project, and they supported the option that allowed for off-site commercial development to be considered as part of the project for the purposes of meeting the definition of a mixed-use project.

COUNCILMEMBER SAVAGE MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 2021-21, APPLICATION TO REZONE FROM AGRICULTURAL (A-1) TO MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT (MXD) AND GENERAL COMMERCIAL (GC), AT APPROXIMATELY 817 W. 1700 S., AND AUTHORIZATION TO EXECUTE A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED AYE, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN, WHO VOTED IN OPPOSITION.

9. Proposed Ordinance 2021-22, Amendment to Development Agreement for the Holt Mixed Use Development, located at approximately 1225 W. 1700 S., related to architectural requirements for the commercial portion of the development.

A staff memo from the Community and Economic Development (CED) Department provided the following information regarding the application:

Location:	Approximately 1225 W. Antelope Drive
Acreage:	1.145 acres
Current Zoning:	Mixed Use Development (MXD)
Proposed Use:	Office condos

The Holt Garden Homes development was approved by the city in 2020 and its corresponding development agreement was signed in October of 2020. The development consists of 309 apartment units and 43 townhomes. The required commercial component of the project is located on the northeast corner of the property and is proposed to be 16,800 sf. The commercial portion of the development has undergone site plan review with the Planning Commission but was denied because the proposed architecture for both buildings was not two stories as required by ordinance. The Planning Commission reviewed the potential of amending the mixed-use ordinance to allow for the proposed design but decided that was not recommended. The applicant then petitioned the City Council to amend the development agreement asking for an exception to the architectural requirements which was met with a favorable outlook. Council found the proposed development to be attractive even though it did not meet all of the architectural requirements. Anytime a development agreement approves an exception to the ordinance, it must be routed through the same process as an ordinance amendment, which includes holding a public hearing, receiving recommendation from Planning Commission, and final approval by City Council. The memo concluded the Planning Commission is recommending approval of this item with a five to zero vote following a public hearing where no comments were received.

CED Director Steele reviewed the staff memo and facilitated high level discussion among the Council and Mr. Hughes regarding the agreements he has secured and is still working to secure to allow for cross-parking with other commercial property owners in the area. There was also brief discussion regarding the timing of Mr. Hughes securing building permits for the project.

COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 2021-22, AMENDMENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE HOLT MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT, LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 1225 W. 1700 S. COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED AYE, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF COUNCILMEMBER SAVAGE, WHO VOTED IN OPPOSITION.

10. Proposed Ordinance 2021-23, application for rezone from General Commercial (GC) to Planned Residential Development (PRD) at approximately 2376 W. 1700 S., and authorization to execute development agreement.

A staff memo from the Community and Economic Development (CED) Department provided the following information regarding the application:

Location:	Approximately 2376 W. Antelope Drive
Acreage:	3.56
Current Zoning:	General Commercial
Proposed Zoning:	Planned Residential Development (PRD)
Project Name:	Aspire at Canterbury Park
Units/Acre:	9

Peter Matson with Ovation Homes has applied to rezone approximately 3.56 acres of land located between Canterbury Park and Walmart for a 32-unit townhome project. The City recently approved a general plan amendment to 'High Density Residential' in preparation for the rezone. The PRD zone requires that an increased level of detail be provided with the rezone, including architectural elevations and a development agreement. Each of the units will feature a two-car garage and corresponding driveway totaling 4 stalls per unit. The townhomes are proposed to be a maximum of two stories tall. The plans call for a central shared grassy open space and a drive isle connection to the park. The memo concluded the City Council is the land use authority for amendments to the zoning map. The Planning Commission voted five to zero on July 20, 2021, to recommend conditional approval of this item. Conditions included adding a rail fence between the roundabout and play field for safety.

CED Director Steele reviewed the staff memo and facilitated Council review of the application documents to orient them to the changes that have been made to the plan since the Council's last review of the matter; the main change is a temporary dead-end at the end of 2400 West to address concerns expressed during the last meeting regarding the length of the roadway exceeding the City's maximum block lengths.

COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 2021-23, APPLICATION FOR REZONE FROM GENERAL COMMERCIAL (GC) TO PLANNED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT (PRD) AT APPROXIMATELY 2376 W.1700 S., AND AUTHORIZATION TO EXECUTE A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL VOTED AYE.

11. Proposed Resolution R21-37 amending the City Council Rules of Order and Procedure related to electronic meetings.

A staff memo from the City Attorney explained this item has been discussed over the course of the last several City Council Work Session meetings; as discussed during the July 27 work session, the proposed rule change has been amended to include an expectation that Councilmembers will attend in person if they are both in town and well enough to do so. A provision is included that indicates that extenuating circumstances will be accommodated when they arise. The resolution also includes a rescission of the previous Electronic Meeting Policy that was adopted in 2017, due to the same ground being covered by these changes.

City Attorney Roberts reviewed the staff memo.

COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R21-37 AMENDING THE CITY COUNCIL RULES OF ORDER AND PROCEDURE RELATING TO ELECTRONIC MEETINGS. COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED AYE.

12. Public comment.

Josh Hughes applauded City Manager Bovero for the quality of his presentation earlier this evening during the Truth in Taxation meeting; he has worked with several City Managers in the State of Utah, and particularly in Davis County, and has found Mr. Bovero to get great at balancing the administration of the City and letting staff do the jobs they were hired to do while keeping a great handle on things. He stated that he has worked closely with City Manager Alex Jensen in Layton, and he is considered to be one of the best City Managers in the State; he would rank Mr. Bovero alongside Mr. Jensen. He reiterated Mr. Bovero has a great track record of hiring good employees and trusting them to do their jobs.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MOVED TO RECONSIDER THE ACTION TAKEN ON AGENDA ITEM 9, ORDINANCE 2021-22, AMENDMENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE HOLT MIXED USE

DEVELOPMENT, LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 1225 W. 1700 S., AND AUTHORIZATION TO EXECUTE A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, IN ORDER TO CHANGE HIS VOTE ON THE APPLICATION TO ONE OF OPPOSITION.

Councilmember Maughan noted the development agreement for the project allows for building heights in the project to exceed what he is comfortable with, and he is not in favor of that.

COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE SECONDED THE MOTION; VOTING AYE: COUNCILMEMBERS MAUGHAN, SAVAGE, AND TEAGUE. VOTING NAY: COUNCILMEMBERS BINGHAM AND BOLDUC.

COUNCILMEMBER TEAGUE MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 2021-22, AMENDMENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE HOLT MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT, LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 1225 W. 1700 S., AND AUTHORIZATION TO EXECUTE A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM SECONDED THE MOTION. VOTING AYE: COUNCILMEMBERS BINGHAM, BOLDUC, AND TEAGUE. VOTING NAY: COUNCILMEMBERS MAUGHAN AND SAVAGE.

13. Mayor/Council announcements.

The Council and Mayor provided announcements about upcoming community events and other opportunities for public involvement. The Council also discussed requests for future agenda items.

Mayor Gailey also invited City Manager Bovero to make any necessary announcements.

At 8:11 P.M. COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN. COUNCILMEMBER SAVAGE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

Mike Gailey
Mayor

Cassie Z. Brown, MMC
City Recorder

Date approved: September 14, 2021