

### **Planning and Development Services**

2001 S. State Street N3-600 • Salt Lake City, UT 84190-4050 Phone: (385) 468-6700 • Fax: (385) 468-6674

#### **MEETING MINUTE SUMMARY**

# EMIGRATION METRO TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION SPECIAL WORKING MEETING Wednesday, January 6, 2021 6:00 p.m.

## \*\*Meeting minutes approved on September 16, 2021\*\*

**Approximate meeting length:** 2 hours 12 minutes

**Number of public in attendance**: 2 **Summary Prepared by:** Wendy Gurr

Meeting Conducted by: Commissioner Pinon

<u>\*NOTE:</u> **Staff Reports** referenced in this document can be found on the State and County websites, or from Planning

& Development Services.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

#### **Commissioners and Staff:**

Commissioners	Public Mtg	Business Mtg	Absent
Andrew Wallace		х	
Alex Pacanowsky			х
Jim Karkut		х	
Robert Pinon		х	
Dale Berreth		х	
Tim Harpst		Х	

Planning Staff / DA	Public Mtg	Business Mtg
Wendy Gurr		х
Kate Davies		х
Jim McNulty		х
Adam Long		х

#### **BUSINESS MEETING**

Meeting began at -6:00 p.m.

Commissioner Pinon read the Chairs Opening Statement.

1) General Plan Review and Revisions

Ms. Davies provided an update and advised of feedback on five main items to address in the plan.

- 1. Too long and repetitive Ms. Davies is working on reducing it. Went through the items removed and reference on SWOT and APAE analysis. Cut out images and pages with only a small amount of information to condense into fewer pages.
- 2. Too much context and background Turned more context into information boxes.
- 3. Opinions vs. Facts Larger issues to be worked through with the Council. Value statements are appropriate. Implementation and projections are published facts. Commissioner Karkut said he supports the plan, and this is a values-based document and would like to see the community going forward in the future. Mr. Long said this has been a long process. Recommendation to the

Council and ball is out of the Planning Commission court unless council sends it back. Council has responsibility to do with it as they please. Recognize the Planning Commissioners scope of responsibility. Met legal obligation and additional comment is not required.

4. Water issues — Commissioner Karkut said in favor of actions that maintain or promote water quality. This is an admiral goal. None of their jurisdictions can manage water quality. Should use words like manage, but can use the word promote, it is values based. Instead of water rights and quantity equality, instead maybe addressing concerns of water rights and quantity. Discussing challenges, maybe use considering and addressing water quality concerns.

Recognition of issues raised by the community. Write up of recommendations have not included water and moved under the topic of sustainability. Character areas include a vision, main canyon road character area, with possible neighborhood zone. Neighborhood descriptions were moved to the appendix. All the language was left in that includes the neighborhood descriptions. Helpful to have description of each area in the body of the text, followed by the vision implementation. Adding additional pages in the main document is supported, modify paragraph instead of neighborhood descriptions included in the Main Canyon Road Character Area. Can be included in the glossary appendix and add a hotlink.

Environment chapter is where water will live since it's been pulled out of other places. Remove or rework build limits have been under consideration based on water access for many years (reference to docs where this is discussed) limitations highlighted sentence on page 128 regarding watershed, as the figure is aged.

5. Working groups – Could remove reference to working group. If it doesn't make sense to keep it, the Council can organize the groups at a later date. Language to suggest implement working groups. Something will happen to implement the working group and if the council wants to say how this will move forward and the planning commission should say it the way they see appropriate.

Land Use Work Program - Implementation of working groups is up to the Council to determine. Need to be conscious of avoiding requirements and need to establish a working group as appropriate.

Action c – Develop a working group at the councils discretion.

*Action f - In adopting ordinances, consider working group recommendations.* 

Action h – Limit rather than prohibit.

Ms. Davies said image and map revisions aren't in the plan yet, but should be finished by Monday,  $January 11^{th}$ .

2) Other Business Items. (As needed)

Confirmed next meeting is on January 14th.

Please visit: <a href="https://ec-lrp-hub-gslmsd.hub.arcgis.com/">https://ec-lrp-hub-gslmsd.hub.arcgis.com/</a> to view a copy of the 2020 Emigration Canyon Metro Township General Plan.

# **MEETING ADJOURNED**

 $Time\ Adjourned-8:12\ p.m.$