

Agenda

VETERINARIAN PHYSICIAN LICENSING BOARD

October 3, 2013 – 9:00 a.m.

Room 474

Heber M. Wells Building

160 E. 300 S. Salt Lake City, Utah

This agenda is subject to change prior to 24 hours of the meeting.

ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

1. Sign Per Diem
2. Approval of the January 17, 2013 Board Meeting Minutes
3. Nominations for Chair

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

4. Attorney General's Opinion on Rabies Vaccinations
5. Changes to the Open and Public Meetings Act

CORRESPONDENCE

6. 2013 Nominating Committee Report
7. 2012-2013 Technical Report

NEXT SCHEDULED MEETING:

January 2, 2014

Note: In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting should notify, Dave Taylor, ADA Coordinator, at least three working days prior to the meeting. Division of Occupational & Professional Licensing, 160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84115, 801-530-6628 or toll-free in Utah only 866-275-3675

Posted to Web 10/2/2013 4:16 PM

Posted to Bulletin Board October 2, 2013

REVISED CHECKLIST FOR PUBLIC MEETINGS

(Fill in the blanks to correspond to each respective board, commission, or committee.)

I am, _____, chairperson of the Veterarian Physician Licensing Board.

I would like to call this meeting of the Board to order.

It is now (time) _____ on _____ October 3, 2013.


This meeting is being held in room 474 of the Heber Wells Building in Salt Lake City, Utah.

Notice of this meeting was provided as required under Utah's Open Meeting laws.

In compliance with Utah's Open Meetings laws, this meeting is being recorded in its entirety. The recording will be posted to the Utah Public Notice Website no later than three business days following the meeting.

In compliance with Utah's Open Meeting laws, minutes will also be prepared of this meeting and will be posted to the Utah Public Notice Website. Appropriately marked "pending approval" minutes will be posted no later than 30 days after the close of the meeting and "approved" minutes no later than three business days after approval.

The following Board members are in attendance:

	YES	NO
<u>Kerry Rood, DVM</u>  Chairperson	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Jason Pozzuoli, DVM</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Charles Heaton, DVM</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Chad Andrews Dalton</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

The following (Board / Committee / Commission) members are absent: (Refer to the above list.)

The following individuals representing DOPL and the Department of Commerce are in attendance:

	YES	NO
<u>Mark B. Steinagel</u> , Division Director	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>April Ellis</u> , Bureau Manager	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Yvonne King</u> , Board Secretary	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Lon Neader</u> , Compliance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____ , Compliance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

We welcome any visitors and interested persons at this time. Please be sure to sign the attendance report for the meeting and identify yourself before speaking.

As a courtesy to everyone participating in this meeting, at this time we ask for all cell phones, pagers, and other electronic devices to be turned off or changed to silent mode.

Board motions and votes will be recorded in the minutes.

Let us now proceed with the agenda.

(End of the Meeting) It is now (time) _____ : _____ (am / pm), and this meeting is adjourned.



MEMORANDUM

To: AAVSB Member Board Administrators for distribution to Board Members and Delegates to the 2013 AAVSB Annual Meeting and Conference
From: AAVSB Nominating Committee Members including Dr. Dan Danner (Chair), Dr. Joni Edwards, and Ms. Wendy Parrish
Date: August 9, 2013
Subject: 2013 Nominating Committee Report

The Nominating Committee would like to thank the Member Boards of California, Colorado, Florida, Kansas, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, and South Carolina that submitted nominations for the open AAVSB elected positions. All of the candidates were outstanding and we compliment them on their desire to serve.

Pursuant to the AAVSB Bylaws, the Nominating Committee submits the following slate of officers, directors, NBVME representative and Nominating Committee member for consideration. **The Delegates at the 2013 AAVSB Annual Meeting & Conference will vote on the slate of candidates. Please note that nominations from the floor are also accepted.** Attached is the information for the following candidates: Drs. Lawrence, Walker, Kendall, Olson, Redman and Louderback.

Slate of Candidates
To be voted on at the Delegate Assembly

Board of Directors

- **President-Elect** John Lawrence, DVM from Minnesota (currently the Treasurer completing second year of a first 2-year term)
- **Treasurer** Frank Walker, DVM from North Dakota (currently a Director completing the first year of a first 2-year term)
- **Director #1*** Tom Kendall, DVM from California (currently a Director completing the second year of a first 2-year term)
- **Director #2*** Mark Olson, DVM from Kansas
- **Director #3*** Roger Redman, DVM from Ohio

** The terms for the Director #1 and #2 positions are two years. The term for the Director #3 position is one year to fulfill an unexpired term.*

NBVME Representative

- Bruce Louderback, DVM from Colorado

Nominating Committee

- Nicole Oria, Executive Director from Texas

Pursuant to the AAVSB Bylaws, the following members of the Board of Directors are reported to have moved from their current positions to the ones indicated. Therefore, the Delegates **will not** vote on these members.

Ms. Anne Duffy (IA)	Immediate Past President
Dr. Beckey Malphus (GA)	President
Dr. Michael Gotchey (CO)	Director

Should you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Ms. Daphne Tabbytite, staff liaison for the AAVSB Nominating Committee, at dtabbytite@aavsb.org or 1-877-698-8482 ext. 223.

RECEIVED

AUG 13 2013

DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL PROFESSIONAL LICENSING

2012-2013 TECHNICAL REPORT

North American Veterinary Licensing Examination

National Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners

P.O. Box 1356
Bismarck, ND 58502
701-224-0332
www.nbvme.org

I. INTRODUCTION

The National Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners (NBVME) produces and provides the North American Veterinary Licensing Examination (NAVLE®) to assist the State Boards of Veterinary Medicine, the Canadian National Examining Board, and the individual Provincial Boards of Veterinary Medicine in assessing the practice competence of candidates for licensure as veterinarians. The primary objectives of the NAVLE are as follows:

- To provide a comprehensive objective examination to state or provincial boards charged with the licensing of veterinarians;
- To protect the public by ensuring that veterinarians demonstrate a specified level of knowledge and skills before entering veterinary practice;
- To assess the professional competency of veterinarians in terms of their qualifications to enter practice;
- To provide a common standard in the evaluation of candidates that will be comparable from jurisdiction to jurisdiction;
- To contribute to the veterinary profession through the development of improved definitions of the relationship between knowledge and professional practice; and
- To facilitate interstate/interprovincial licensing reciprocity for practicing veterinarians.

The NAVLE is administered as a computer-based examination. Beginning in November 2000, it replaced the National Board Examination (NBE: Part A) and the Clinical Competency Test (CCT: Part B) as the uniform licensing examination for veterinary medicine in North America.

The NAVLE is owned and operated by the NBVME. The NBVME has contracted with the National Board of Medical Examiners (NBME®) for testing services. This report documents the development, administration, and psychometric analysis of the 2012-2013 NAVLE.

II. TEST DEVELOPMENT

The NBVME identified 22 veterinarians to write new items for the NAVLE; 19 veterinarians submitted items. An item-writing workshop was conducted at the NBME office in Philadelphia on February 23, 2011 to train 10 new NAVLE item writers and five new NBVME Qualifying Examination writers, as well as participants from the American College of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care. The purpose of the workshop was to provide new item writers with guidelines for writing well-structured items and to hold a mock item-review meeting to demonstrate how to review items effectively. At the workshop, each new committee member received an item-writing guide and was asked to write items to be reviewed during the workshop. Following the workshop, NBME staff prepared item-writing assignments based on species, organ system, and

activity code. An item-writing assignment and a list of guidelines for completing assignments were mailed to each item writer two weeks after the meeting.

All items received from the committee members were edited and reviewed for technical flaws by NBME staff. Each author received his/her edited items for review and approval prior to inclusion in the meeting draft. A draft of edited materials was sent to the committee members for use at the item review meeting, which was held at the NBME offices on November 9-10, 2011.

At the item-review meeting, the committee was divided into four groups: two small animal groups, an equine/professional behavior, communication, and practice management group, and a bovine/porcine/ovine/caprine/public health group. A total of 734 new items were reviewed. Of the new items, 689 items were approved for addition to the pool. A total of 32 new pictorials associated with the approved items were also approved for use. The list of item writers and reviewers is shown in Appendix A.

After the meeting, new items were updated by NBME staff and entered in the test item library. Multiple 300-item examination forms were generated by test construction engine using content and statistical constraints. Each form also contained 60 pretest items selected randomly by species and organ system. Twenty-four participants, including NBVME members, members of the NBVME's Examination Development Advisory Board (EDAB), representatives of the American Association of Veterinary State Boards, and recent veterinary graduates, met on April 18-19, 2012 to review the forms. Small groups of the committee reviewed complete forms for quality and content overlap and to ensure content equivalence. Approximately 10% of the items in each form were replaced to accomplish this goal. Following the meeting, NBME staff replaced the items and created updated forms. The list of participants for the form-review meeting is shown in Appendix B.

After the forms were finalized, live and pretest items sufficient to prepare French forms of the NAVLE were proofread and sent to the Canadian National Examining Board for translation. The final step in the test development process was the creation of resource files for both the English and French versions of the examination for delivery by Prometric. Quality control procedures were implemented at each stage of the test development process to ensure that standards were being met.

III. TEST ADMINISTRATION

A. Pre-Administration

Bulletin of Information: The 2012-13 NAVLE Bulletin of Information for Candidates was produced by the NBVME and a copy was sent to each NAVLE candidate who applied to take the examination through a US licensing board.

Seat Blocking: The NBME worked with the NBVME and Prometric to reserve seats at selected testing centers domestically and internationally. Data from the previous year were used to forecast the number of seats required for candidates during the 2012-13 testing cycle. Seats were reserved at centers in Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, the Dominican Republic, Egypt, Germany, Great Britain, Guam, Hawaii, Hong Kong, India, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Philippines, Puerto Rico, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan, Turkey, and United Arab Emirates for the November-December testing window. Seats were reserved at centers in Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, the Dominican Republic, Egypt, France, Germany, Great

Australia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, the Dominican Republic, Egypt, France, Germany, Great Britain, Hawaii, Hong Kong, India, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Jordan, Kuwait, Malaysia, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Puerto Rico, Singapore, South Korea, Switzerland, Turkey, and United Arab Emirates for the April window.

Eligibility Processing: The NBVME and the NBME processed eligibilities for 4,003 candidates for the November-December 2012 testing window and for 1,005 candidates for the April 2013 testing window. Special accommodations according to the ADA requirements were approved by the licensing boards and the NBVME and were processed by NBME for 71 individuals for November-December and 32 individuals for April.

B. Examination Summary

November-December 2012: Of the 4,003 eligible candidates, 3,978 examinees tested at Prometric test centers during the November-December 2012 NAVLE administration. The majority of these examinees (3,972) took the examination during the scheduled testing window of November 12 - December 8, 2012. Six examinees who were granted eligibility extensions by the NBVME tested after the official testing window closed. All examinees completed testing by January 15, 2013.

April 2013: Of the 1,005 eligible candidates, 978 examinees tested at Prometric test centers during the April 2013 NAVLE administration. The majority of these examinees (974) took the examination during the published testing window of April 8 - 20, 2013. Four examinees who were granted eligibility extensions by the NBVME tested after the published testing window closed. All examinees completed testing by May 3, 2013.

A summary of Prometric test center information from the November-December 2012 and April 2013 NAVLE testing windows is presented in Appendix C.

C. Test Administration Issues

Proctor Reports: Prometric test center staff filed 521 Center Problem Reports (CPRs) for the November-December testing window and 171 CPRs for the April testing window, each reporting problems experienced by examinees on test day. These reports were forwarded to NBME for review and follow-up before forwarding to the NBVME. A spreadsheet summarizing the incidents reported during the administration was forwarded to the NBVME after each administration.

D. Exit Survey Information

Examinees were asked to complete an optional post-test survey after completing the last block of examination items. Test administration statistics and selected survey results are shown in Appendix E. The full report of survey results was provided to the NBVME in August 2013.

IV. SCORING AND ANALYSIS

A. Key Validation

Prior to the administration, four items were deleted from scoring on the French forms. Based on the results of an item analysis, live and pretest items were selected to be reviewed at the annual

January pool review. After reviewing the items and their associated statistics, content experts had the opportunity to either re-key items before scoring or delete the items from scoring.

B. Scoring Procedures

The automated test assembly procedures used to generate the test forms ensured that forms were as similar as possible in difficulty. However, because forms had different items, their difficulties varied to some small degree. Therefore, it was necessary to estimate examinee proficiency on a common scale using equating methods. This included a calibration of responses given by senior students of accredited schools taking the examination for the first time, in English, under standard testing conditions.

These proficiency estimates were then translated to the reported scale scores that ranged from 200 to 800. The proficiency estimate corresponding to a minimum passing score had a scale score value of 425. These three-digit scores were also translated into two-digit, locally derived scores that ranged from 0 to 99. The three-digit passing score (425) was set equal to 70 on one locally derived scale and 75 on the other.

C. Summary Statistics

Performance of Examinee Groups: Summary statistics describing the performance of candidates on the November-December 2012 and the April 2013 NAVLE administrations are shown in Tables 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3. These tables present the mean three-digit scaled scores and the standard deviations for three primary groups:

- (1) Criterion Group: senior students in accredited veterinary schools who took the NAVLE for the first time under standard testing conditions;
- (2) Non-Criterion Group: senior students in accredited veterinary schools who have previously taken the NAVLE or took the examination with special accommodations, and graduates of accredited schools; and
- (3) Non-Accredited Group: senior students and graduates of veterinary schools that are not accredited by the American Veterinary Medical Association's Council on Education.

Test characteristics: Mean percent correct scores reflect the average percentage of items answered correctly by the candidates. They may also be interpreted as average difficulties of the examination. They are influenced by the inherent difficulty of the items as well as the proficiency of the examinees. The average difficulty (p-value) of all scored items in the test was 0.73. This means that, on average, items were answered correctly by 73% of criterion-group examinees. The average item difficulties for criterion candidates ranged from 0.67 to 0.79 across content areas.

The reliability coefficient (KR_{20}) is a measure of internal consistency that provides an estimate of the accuracy or stability of scores. Scores of an examination are reliable to the extent that administration of a different, random sample of items of the same size (number of items) and from the same content area would result in no significant change in a candidate's rank order in the group. The reliability coefficient depends, among other things, on number of the items and the homogeneity of the group. The mean reliability for the total test was 0.90 (range 0.89 to 0.92).

D. Passing Standard

Two standard-setting exercises were held in Philadelphia in January 2001. Nineteen panelists used a content representative subset of items from one of the NAVLE forms administered in November and December 2000, in a modified Angoff procedure. The results of the standard-setting exercises were presented to the NBVME on January 20, 2001. The NBVME decided on a passing standard of 425 on the reported three-digit score scale (.50 logits), and continued to apply this standard through the 2003-2004 NAVLE cycle.

On December 14, 2004, another standard setting exercise was held in Philadelphia. Twenty-two panelists reviewed one form of the 2003-2004 examination in a modified Angoff procedure. The results of the standard setting procedure were presented to the NBVME Executive Committee during a conference call in January 2005. The NBVME decided to apply a standard of 425 on the reported three-digit score scale (.64 logits) for the 2004-2005 cycle. For the 2005-2006 cycle, the standard was set at .67 logits and the scores were rescaled so they would be equal to 425. For the 2006-2007 cycle, the standard was set at .72 logits and the scores rescaled so they would be equal to 425. For the 2007-2008 cycle, the standard was set at .72 logits and the scores rescaled so that they would be equal to 425.

On July 9, 2008, another standard setting exercise was held in Philadelphia. Fourteen panelists reviewed one form of the 2007-08 examination in a modified Angoff procedure. The results of the standard setting procedure were presented to the NBVME at its January 2009 meeting in Alexandria, Virginia. The NBVME decided to apply a standard of 425 on the reported three-digit score scale (.72 logits) for the 2008-09 cycle. The same standard was applied to scoring the 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 examinations. Application of this standard resulted in a failure rate of 5.3% for the criterion group and 14.7% for the total group of candidates who took the 2010-2011 NAVLE.

The most recent standard setting study was undertaken on December 12, 2011. Fifteen judges participated in the study. Based on the results of the study and other information, the NBVME set a new passing standard at .83 logits that failed 7% of the November-December 2011 criterion group. Failure rates resulting from applying the standard to the 2012-2013 NAVLE administrations are indicated in Tables 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3.

V. SCORE REPORTING

Performance reports for candidates were generated by NBME and distributed to licensing boards. Reports were sent to agencies in all 50 states, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Puerto Rico, and Canada. Appendix D provides samples of the following 2012-2013 NAVLE reports:

- NAVLE Candidate Performance Report: 3-Digit Score
- NAVLE Candidate Performance Report: 70 Score
- NAVLE Candidate Performance Report: 75 Score
- NAVLE Diagnostic Performance Report
- Canadian Diagnostic Performance Report

Table 1.1
Performance on November-December 2012 NAVLE by Examinee Group

	Mean Scale Score	SD Scale Score	Number of Examinees Failing	Percent of Examinees Failing	Total Examinees
Criterion Group ¹	517.6	66.6	272	8.0	3410
Non-Criterion Group ²	444.9	69.1	109	42.7	255
Non-Accredited Group ³	412.8	67.7	189	60.4	313
Total Group	504.7	74.2	570	14.3	3978

Table 1.2
Performance on April 2013 NAVLE by Examinee Group

	Mean Scale Score	SD Scale Score	Number of Examinees Failing	Percent of Examinees Failing	Total Examinees
Criterion Group	492.6	62.3	27	10.6	255
Non-Criterion Group	440.7	57.9	150	37.9	396
Non-Accredited Group	402.7	68.3	211	64.5	327
Total Group	441.5	71.5	388	39.7	978

Table 1.3
Performance on Both Administrations by Examinee Group

	Mean Scale Score	SD Scale Score	Number of Examinees Failing	Percent of Examinees Failing	Total Examinees
Criterion Group	515.8	66.6	299	8.2	3665
Non-Criterion Group	442.4	62.5	259	39.8	651
Non-Accredited Group	407.6	68.1	400	62.5	640
Total Group	492.2	77.8	958	19.3	4956

¹ The criterion group consists of senior students in accredited veterinary schools who took the NAVLE for the first time under standard testing conditions.

² The non-criterion group consists of senior students in accredited veterinary schools who had previously taken the NAVLE or who took the NAVLE with test accommodations, and graduate veterinarians from accredited veterinary schools.

³ The non-accredited group consists of senior students and graduates of veterinary schools that are not accredited by the American Veterinary Medical Association's Council on Education

APPENDIX A

**North American Veterinary Licensing Examination
Item Writers/Reviewers for the 2012-2013 Examination Cycle**

Dr. Rodney Auffet (Bovine/Beef)
Dr. Dale Boyle (Public Health)
Dr. Ruthann Chun (Professional Behavior, Communications, and Practice Management)
Dr. Alan Corber (Canine)
Dr. Lais Costa (Equine)
Dr. Marion Desmarchelier (Pet Bird)
Dr. Alex Gallagher (Canine)
Dr. Tom Graham (Bovine/Dairy)
Dr. Locke Karriker (Swine)
Dr. Lauren Kleine (Equine)
Dr. Joe Klopfenstein (Bovine/Dairy)
Dr. Fernando Marqués (Equine)
Dr. Sandra Mitchell (Other Small Animal)
Dr. B. Anthony Nanton (Feline)
Dr. Elizabeth Snead (Canine)
Dr. Vicki Thayer (Feline)
Dr. David Van Metre (Small Ruminant)
Dr. Joie Watson (Professional Behavior, Communications, and Practice Management)
Dr. Drew Weigner (Feline)

APPENDIX B

**North American Veterinary Licensing Examination
April 18-19, 2012 Form Review Meeting Participants**

Dr. Linda Blythe (NBVME)
Dr. Dale Boyle (EDAB)
Dr. Jason Coggeshall (Recent Graduate)
Dr. Benjamin Darien (EDAB)
Dr. Dennis Feinberg (NBVME)
Ms. Joyceanne Fick (NBVME)
Dr. Julie Fixman (EDAB)
Dr. Benjamin Franklin (NBVME)
Dr. Gary Gackstetter (NBVME)
Dr. Meg Glattly (NBVME)
Dr. Jay Hedrick (NBVME)
Dr. Joanna Hughes (Recent Graduate)
Dr. Tom Kendall (AAVSB)
Dr. Norman LaFaunce (EDAB)
Dr. Karen Lehe (EDAB)
Dr. Susan Little (EDAB)
Dr. Beckey Malphus (AAVSB)
Dr. Lila Miller (NBVME)
Dr. Joan Norton (Recent Graduate)
Dr. Patricia Provost (EDAB)
Dr. Darcy Shaw (EDAB)
Dr. Charly Stansbery (Recent Graduate)
Dr. Rick Tubbs (NBVME)
Dr. Helen Tuzio (EDAB)

APPENDIX C

*Fall Administration Prometric Test
Center Information*

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
0335	Abilene, TX	1
0067	Alameda, CA	3
5110	Albany, NY	8
1900	Albuquerque, NM	3
1313	Alexandria, LA	1
1710	Allentown, PA	5
8020	AMSTERDAM	10
0529	Anaheim, CA	4
0081	Anaheim, CA	13
8703	ANKARA	1
0057	Ann Arbor, MI	3
1608	Asheville, NC	3
4913	Ashwaubenon, WI	1
5803	Athens, GA	88
0072	Atlanta, GA	18
0073	Atlanta, GA	6
5340	Atlanta, GA	8
2331	Auburn Hills, MI	1
8425	AUCKLAND	25
0010	Austin, TX	2
0012	Austin, TX	1
5001	Baltimore, MD	2
5119	Bangor, ME	1
5233	Baton Rouge, LA	109
1419	Beavercreek, OH	1

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------

5302	Bedford, TX	1
5303	Bedford, TX	4
8034	BERLIN	1
0091	Bethesda, MD	1
3512	Bethesda, MD	6
3301	Bettendorf, IA	1
5123	Birmingham, AL	26
5134	Boca Raton, FL	11
5135	Boston, MA	1
5174	Bristol, VA	2
4902	Brookfield, WI	5
5331	Brookline, MA	4
5332	Brookline, MA	1
5203	Brooklyn, NY	8
5204	Brooklyn, NY	1
4219	Buffalo, NY	5
5855	Burlington, MA	14
5129	Burnaby, BC	7
8708	CAIRO	1
6017	Calgary, AB	20
0522	Camarillo, CA	8
3225	Cartersville, IL	1
3003	Champaign, IL	94
5102	Charleston, SC	2
5224	Charleston, WV	1
5253	Charlotte Amalie, VI	1
0045	Charlotte, NC	2

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
5475	Charlotte, NC	2
7992	Charlottetown, PEI	50
1010	Chattanooga, TN	2
8909	CHENNAI	1
0059	Chesapeake, VA	1
4709	Chesapeake, VA	4
0035	Chicago, IL	2
5230	Chicago, IL	6
0518	Chico, CA	1
0007	Cincinnati, OH	2
5858	Clark/Union, NJ	9
5871	Clark/Union, NJ	1
5400	College Station, TX	140
5116	Colorado Springs, CO	5
0084	Columbia, MD	6
0049	Columbia, SC	2
5002	Columbus, GA	44
3805	Columbus, NE	1
5148	Concord, NH	2
1701	Conshohocken, PA	15
1702	Conshohocken, PA	4
3305	Coralville, IA	1
0001	Culver City, CA	3
0002	Culver City, CA	11
0591	Culver City, CA	2
3903	Cumberland, RI	5
3001	Dahlonega, GA	5

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
0051	Dallas, TX	3
0377	Dallas, TX	1
5811	Dallas, TX	2
3203	Deerfield, IL	5
5140	Des Moines, IA	130
0533	Diamond Bar, CA	9
2611	Dothan, AL	3
8044	DUBLIN	26
0087	Duluth, MN	2
4204	East Syracuse, NY	30
0064	Edina, MN	31
0065	Edina, MN	10
8104	EDINBURGH	34
6018	Edmonton, AB	26
0344	El Paso, TX	2
1730	Erie, PA	1
0110	Eugene, OR	45
1807	Evansville, IN	1
4102	Fair Lawn, NJ	1
4119	Fair Lawn, NJ	2
0033	Fair Lawn, NJ	4
0053	Fair Oaks, CA	45
0508	Fair Oaks, CA	89
0028	Falls Church, VA	2
5365	Falls Church, VA	6
4300	Fargo, ND	2
5368	Flagstaff, AZ	2

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
5170	Florence, SC	2
5022	Fort Myers, FL	2
2801	Fort Smith, AR	3
5152	Fresno, CA	2
0629	Gainesville, FL	76
0596	Gardena, CA	4
8105	GLASGOW	18
0048	Glastonbury, CT	5
5115	Glen Allen, VA	4
5863	Glendale, CA	1
5815	Glendale, CA	19
5307	Grand Junction, CO	1
2301	Grand Rapids, MI	2
0058	Greensboro, NC	3
5474	Greensboro, NC	1
1618	Greenville, NC	7
2100	Greenville, SC	6
0054	Greenwood Village, CO	8
0055	Greenwood Village, CO	2
5812	Greenwood Village, CO	1
5221	Guaynabo, PR	9
8911	GURGAON	10
6032	Halifax, NS	3
2403	Hamden, CT	2
4113	Hamilton, NJ	5
4114	Hamilton, NJ	1
5374	Hamilton, ON	41

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
1718	Harrisburg, PA	6
3702	Helena, MT	1
8591	HONG KONG	3
5103	Honolulu, HI	3
5118	Houston, TX	2
5117	Houston, TX	1
0309	Houston, TX	2
0083	Houston, TX	1
0307	Houston, TX	1
2618	Huntsville, AL	6
8916	HYDERABAD	1
1800	Indianapolis, IN	3
1802	Indianapolis, IN	2
1803	Indianapolis, IN	3
5005	Iowa City, IA	2
3600	Jackson, MS	20
0601	Jacksonville, FL	17
0718	Jefferson City, MO	30
5573	Kansas City, MO	5
8587	KAOHSIUNG	1
5108	Kearney, NE	3
1001	Knoxville, TN	80
1810	Lafayette, IN	71
0513	Lake Forest, CA	3
0507	Lake Forest, CA	3
1731	Lancaster, PA	2
3514	Landover, MD	4

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
2306	Lansing, MI	93
2201	Las Vegas, NV	3
4101	Laurel Spring, NJ	8
0705	Lee's Summit, MO	7
1104	Lexington, KY	5
3804	Lincoln, NE	2
2312	Livonia, MI	2
2321	Livonia, MI	1
0076	Lombard, IL	7
3210	Lombard, IL	3
8021	LONDON	1
8101	LONDON	13
5361	London, ON	28
1201	Longmont, CO	138
1101	Louisville, KY	3
4723	Lynchburg, VA	2
3012	Macon, GA	7
5323	Madison, WI	74
8479	MANILA	2
5008	Medford, OR	2
8410	MELBOURNE	25
5823	Melville, NY	1
5872	Melville, NY	5
5479	Memphis, TN	4
1427	Mentor, OH	1
2002	Meridian, ID	2
5164	Merrillville, IN	5

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------

0061	Metairie, LA	4
5397	Metairie, LA	1
0070	Miami, FL	15
0071	Miami, FL	3
8169	MILAN	1
0111	Milwaukie, OR	4
1811	Mishawaka, IN	1
2601	Mobile, AL	3
0082	Monroeville, PA	1
2603	Montgomery, AL	60
5259	Montreal, QC	83
5354	Morgantown, WV	2
0016	Mountlake Terrace, WA	3
0015	Mountlake Terrace, WA	8
1015	Nashville, TN	2
1018	Nashville, TN	6
5130	New Castle, DE	18
5846	New York, NY	3
5880	New York, NY	1
5879	New York, NY	4
0042	New York, NY	1
0040	New York, NY	1
0041	New York, NY	3
5844	New York, NY	8
2504	North Andover, MA	3
5142	North Augusta, SC	2
2416	Norwalk, CT	3

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
0902	Oklahoma City, OK	70
3800	Omaha, NE	6
0080	Orlando/Maitland, FL	10
6024	Ottawa, ON	6
5338	Overland Park, KS	17
8183	PARIS	1
3207	Peoria, IL	6
8409	PERTH	5
0021	Philadelphia, PA	2
0020	Philadelphia, PA	54
1726	Philadelphia, PA	18
0075	Phoenix, AZ	1
0074	Phoenix, AZ	5
1706	Pittsburgh, PA	2
5004	Pocatello, ID	1
0105	Portland, OR	20
4003	Portsmouth, NH	5
0085	Poughkeepsie, NY	4
4203	Purchase, NY	8
0211	Puyallup/Seattle, WA	8
0019	Queens, NY	5
0027	Raleigh, NC	69
1602	Raleigh, NC	14
0531	Rancho Cucamonga, CA	10
0532	Rancho Cucamonga, CA	6
5007	Redmond, OR	2
8326	RIO DE JANEIRO	2

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
4718	Roanoke, VA	89
5404	Rochester, MN	1
4218	Rochester, NY	8
5171	Rock Hill, SC	1
1907	Roswell, NM	1
4511	Saint George, UT	1
3519	Salisbury, MD	2
5121	San Antonio, TX	1
5339	San Antonio, TX	1
0342	San Antonio, TX	4
0066	San Bruno, CA	3
0525	San Diego, CA	10
0527	San Diego, CA	4
5185	San Francisco, CA	1
5849	San Francisco, CA	1
5869	San Francisco, CA	4
0047	San Jose, CA	12
0014	San Jose, CA	3
0515	Santa Rosa, CA	1
5184	Sante Fe, NM	2
8336	SANTO DOMINGO	1
0606	Sarasota, FL	7
6063	Saskatoon, SK	77
2302	Sault Ste. Marie, MI	1
8693	SEOUL MAPO-GU	11
8694	SEOUL MAPO-GU	1
8875	SINGAPORE	1

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
5506	Sioux City, IA	1
4400	Sioux Falls, SD	4
0026	Smyrna, GA	4
0024	Smyrna, GA	2
1736	South Abington Township, PA	5
0200	Spokane, WA	77
3204	Springfield, IL	1
0700	Springfield, MO	9
0702	St. Louis, MO	6
0704	St. Louis, MO	40
1402	Stow, OH	4
1421	Strongsville, OH	1
3201	Sycamore, IL	4
8413	SYDNEY	11
8581	TAIPEI	1
0617	Tallahassee, FL	1
0657	Tampa, FL	9
5006	Tampa, FL	1
5143	Tempe, AZ	2
0620	Temple Terrace, FL	2
1804	Terre Haute, IN	2
8601	TOKYO	1
1504	Topeka, KS	83
5113	Toronto, ON	80
0092	Towson, MD	2
2305	Troy, MI	5
5472	Tulsa, OK	27

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
3603	Tupelo, MS	40
0079	Tyler, TX	2
0063	Vestal, NY	46
0320	Waco, TX	1
5805	Warwick, RI	3
5216	Washington, DC	2
0603	West Palm Beach, FL	6
2525	West Springfield, MA	5
4215	Westbury, NY	5
4214	Westbury, NY	4
5124	Wichita Falls, TX	3
1502	Wichita, KS	7
5209	Williston, VT	3
6021	Winnipeg, MB	4
9056	Woodbury, MN	64
0005	Worcester, MA	57
1409	Worthington, OH	69
1437	Worthington, OH	76

*Fall Administration
Test Administration Dates*

<i>Date of Examination</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
11/12/2012	217
11/13/2012	134
11/14/2012	138
11/15/2012	205
11/16/2012	51
11/17/2012	16
11/18/2012	17
11/19/2012	245
11/20/2012	256
11/21/2012	195
11/22/2012	33
11/23/2012	48
11/24/2012	116
11/25/2012	24
11/26/2012	206
11/27/2012	147
11/28/2012	124
11/29/2012	201
11/30/2012	211
12/1/2012	14
12/2/2012	21
12/3/2012	277
12/4/2012	242
12/5/2012	288

*Date of Examination**Number of Candidates*

12/6/2012	445
12/7/2012	87
12/8/2012	11
12/10/2012	1
12/15/2012	1
12/16/2012	1
12/19/2012	2
12/21/2012	1
12/22/2012	1
1/14/2013	1
1/15/2013	1

**Spring Administration Prometric Test
Center Information**

Center ID	Location	Number of Candidates
0067	Alameda, CA	1
5110	Albany, NY	3
1900	Albuquerque, NM	1
1313	Alexandria, LA	2
8020	AMSTERDAM	12
0081	Anaheim, CA	7
0529	Anaheim, CA	1
0057	Ann Arbor, MI	1
5308	Arkadelphia, AR	1
1608	Asheville, NC	2
5803	Athens, GA	16
0073	Atlanta, GA	8
5340	Atlanta, GA	2
2331	Auburn Hills, MI	1
8425	AUCKLAND	5
0010	Austin, TX	2
5119	Bangor, ME	1
5233	Baton Rouge, LA	22
1419	Beavercreek, OH	1
5302	Bedford, TX	4
5303	Bedford, TX	1
3512	Bethesda, MD	2
5123	Birmingham, AL	6
5134	Boca Raton, FL	8
5235	Boston, MA	1
5194	Brockton, MA	2
5332	Brookline, MA	1
5203	Brooklyn, NY	4
5855	Burlington, MA	2

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
5129	Burnaby, BC	11
8708	CAIRO	2
6017	Calgary, AB	5
0522	Camarillo, CA	5
5189	Casa Grande, AZ	3
3003	Champaign, IL	13
5102	Charleston, SC	1
5475	Charlotte, NC	2
4709	Chesapeake, VA	1
0034	Chicago, IL	5
0035	Chicago, IL	2
0007	Cincinnati, OH	3
5858	Clark/Union, NJ	2
1406	Cleveland, OH	1
5400	College Station, TX	15
5116	Colorado Springs, CO	2
0084	Columbia, MD	4
0049	Columbia, SC	1
5002	Columbus, GA	5
1701	Conshohocken, PA	2
1702	Conshohocken, PA	1
3305	Coralville, IA	2
0002	Culver City, CA	2
0591	Culver City, CA	2
0001	Culver City, CA	1
3001	Dahlonega, GA	1
3203	Deerfield, IL	1
5140	Des Moines, IA	15
0533	Diamond Bar, CA	1
2611	Dothan, AL	1
8733	DUBAI	2

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
8044	DUBLIN	36
4204	East Syracuse, NY	2
0064	Edina, MN	8
0065	Edina, MN	2
8104	EDINBURGH	17
6018	Edmonton, AB	16
0312	El Paso, TX	2
0033	Fair Lawn, NJ	1
4102	Fair Lawn, NJ	1
4119	Fair Lawn, NJ	1
0053	Fair Oaks, CA	6
0508	Fair Oaks, CA	8
0028	Falls Church, VA	2
5365	Falls Church, VA	3
4300	Fargo, ND	1
5368	Flagstaff, AZ	1
1112	Florence, KY	1
5170	Florence, SC	2
3605	Flowood, MS	6
5022	Fort Myers, FL	3
2801	Fort Smith, AR	1
8040	FRANKFURT	1
5152	Fresno, CA	3
0629	Gainesville, FL	19
0596	Gardena, CA	1
8099	GENEVA	1
8105	GLASGOW	16
0048	Glastonbury, CT	3
5480	Glastonbury, CT	1
5115	Glen Allen, VA	1
5112	Glen Allen, VA	1

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
5863	Glendale, CA	5
5815	Glendale, CA	4
0417	Goodyear, AZ	1
2301	Grand Rapids, MI	3
0058	Greensboro, NC	1
5474	Greensboro, NC	1
1618	Greenville, NC	1
2100	Greenville, SC	1
0054	Greenwood Village, CO	3
5812	Greenwood Village, CO	2
0052	Guaynabo, PR	5
5221	Guaynabo, PR	2
8927	GURGAON	11
6032	Halifax, NS	7
2403	Hamden, CT	1
4113	Hamilton, NJ	2
5374	Hamilton, ON	6
1718	Harrisburg, PA	1
5336	Hays, KS	1
8591	HONG KONG	2
0309	Houston, TX	1
5117	Houston, TX	1
0307	Houston, TX	2
0083	Houston, TX	1
5118	Houston, TX	2
8908	HYDERABAD	1
8917	HYDERABAD	2
1803	Indianapolis, IN	1
0601	Jacksonville, FL	1
0718	Jefferson City, MO	7
3212	Joliet, IL	1

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
5573	Kansas City, MO	1
1001	Knoxville, TN	4
8851	KUALA LUMPUR	1
8714	KUWAIT CITY	1
1810	Lafayette, IN	14
1311	Lake Charles, LA	1
0507	Lake Forest, CA	2
1731	Lancaster, PA	1
3514	Landover, MD	1
2306	Lansing, MI	13
2201	Las Vegas, NV	2
4101	Laurel Spring, NJ	2
0705	Lee's Summit, MO	3
1104	Lexington, KY	3
3804	Lincoln, NE	2
5212	Little Rock, AR	1
2321	Livonia, MI	1
2312	Livonia, MI	1
0076	Lombard, IL	1
3210	Lombard, IL	2
8021	LONDON	25
8101	LONDON	16
5361	London, ON	6
1201	Longmont, CO	13
1101	Louisville, KY	1
4723	Lynchburg, VA	1
5323	Madison, WI	4
5836	Maitland, FL	4
0078	McAllen, TX	1
5008	Medford, OR	1
8410	MELBOURNE	18

Center ID *Location* *Number of Candidates*

5872	Melville, NY	2
5479	Memphis, TN	1
5164	Merrillville, IN	2
0061	Metairie, LA	1
5397	Metairie, LA	1
8361	MEXICO CITY	3
0071	Miami, FL	9
0070	Miami, FL	6
8169	MILAN	1
2603	Montgomery, AL	4
5259	Montreal, QC	8
0015	Mountlake Terrace, WA	1
0016	Mountlake Terrace, WA	3
5130	New Castle, DE	4
5846	New York, NY	2
5879	New York, NY	2
5880	New York, NY	1
5845	New York, NY	3
0041	New York, NY	1
5844	New York, NY	2
2504	North Andover, MA	2
2416	Norwalk, CT	1
0902	Oklahoma City, OK	18
3800	Omaha, NE	2
6024	Ottawa, ON	1
8183	PARIS	1
3207	Peoria, IL	1
8409	PERTH	18
0021	Philadelphia, PA	1
1726	Philadelphia, PA	4
0020	Philadelphia, PA	2

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
0074	Phoenix, AZ	2
0105	Portland, OR	3
4203	Purchase, NY	1
0211	Puyallup/Seattle, WA	2
0019	Queens, NY	2
0027	Raleigh, NC	7
1602	Raleigh, NC	9
0531	Rancho Cucamonga, CA	4
0532	Rancho Cucamonga, CA	2
2203	Reno, NV	2
8326	RIO DE JANEIRO	1
4718	Roanoke, VA	9
3501	Salisbury, MD	2
5121	San Antonio, TX	1
0066	San Bruno, CA	3
0525	San Diego, CA	2
0526	San Diego, CA	3
0527	San Diego, CA	5
5869	San Francisco, CA	1
0008	San Jose, CA	3
0047	San Jose, CA	3
0515	Santa Rosa, CA	1
8329	SANTIAGO	1
0606	Sarasota, FL	2
6063	Saskatoon, SK	4
8693	SEOUL MAPO-GU	9
4400	Sioux Falls, SD	3
0024	Smyrna, GA	4
0026	Smyrna, GA	1
3400	South Portland, ME	1
0200	Spokane, WA	8

<i>Center ID</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------

0702	St. Louis, MO	1
0704	St. Louis, MO	2
1421	Strongsville, OH	1
3201	Sycamore, IL	2
8413	SYDNEY	15
0617	Tallahassee, FL	1
0657	Tampa, FL	3
0086	Taylorsville, UT	1
8150	TEL AVIV	2
5143	Tempe, AZ	2
1504	Topeka, KS	7
5113	Toronto, ON	37
8033	TOULOUSE	1
0092	Towson, MD	3
2305	Troy, MI	2
5472	Tulsa, OK	10
3603	Tupelo, MS	3
0079	Tyler, TX	2
3024	Valdosta, GA	1
0063	Vestal, NY	6
0062	Washington, DC	1
0603	West Palm Beach, FL	3
4214	Westbury, NY	2
4215	Westbury, NY	2
1502	Wichita, KS	2
6021	Winnipeg, MB	2
9056	Woodbury, MN	8
0005	Worcester, MA	1
1437	Worthington, OH	15
1409	Worthington, OH	3

*Spring Administration
Test Administration Dates*

<i>Date of Examination</i>	<i>Number of Candidates</i>
4/8/2013	46
4/9/2013	41
4/10/2013	42
4/11/2013	57
4/12/2013	33
4/13/2013	11
4/14/2013	3
4/15/2013	99
4/16/2013	88
4/17/2013	159
4/18/2013	163
4/19/2013	153
4/20/2013	79
4/25/2013	1
5/1/2013	1
5/2/2013	1
5/3/2013	1



NATIONAL BOARD OF
VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Post Office Box 1356 Bismarck, North Dakota 58502
Phone: (701) 224-0332 Fax: (701) 224-0435 www.nbvme.org

APPENDIX D

North American Veterinary Licensing Examination (NAVLE)

SCORE REPORT

Name:

NAVLE ID:

Test Administration

Date: 11/24/2012

Location: Fair Oaks, CA

Licensing Board: California Veterinary Medical Board

The NAVLE is designed to provide a comprehensive objective measurement of your knowledge of veterinary medicine as it relates to entry-level private clinical practice. The examination assesses knowledge of veterinary practice involving all animal species commonly seen by private practitioners in North America as well as skills necessary to carry out groups of tasks that veterinarians perform in practice (veterinary practice roles). NAVLE results are reported to state and provincial boards responsible for the licensing of veterinarians. This report includes your results for the administration date shown above.

PASS	The minimum criterion-referenced passing score of 425 is established by the National Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners (NBVME) and recommended to licensing boards.
------	---

481	This scaled score ranges from 200 to 800, with a minimum criterion-referenced passing score of 425. This score does not represent the actual number of correct answers.
-----	---



NATIONAL BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Post Office Box 1356 Bismarck, North Dakota 58502
Phone: (701) 224-0332 Fax: (701) 224-0435 www.nbvme.org

North American Veterinary Licensing Examination (NAVLE)

SCORE REPORT

Name:
NAVLE ID:

Test Administration
Date: 11/27/2012
Location: Montgomery, AL

Licensing Board: Alabama State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners

The NAVLE is designed to provide a comprehensive objective measurement of your knowledge of veterinary medicine as it relates to entry-level private clinical practice. The examination assesses knowledge of veterinary practice involving all animal species commonly seen by private practitioners in North America as well as skills necessary to carry out groups of tasks that veterinarians perform in practice (veterinary practice roles). NAVLE results are reported to state and provincial boards responsible for the licensing of veterinarians. This report includes your results for the administration date shown above.

PASS	The minimum criterion-referenced passing score of 425 is established by the National Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners (NBVME) and recommended to licensing boards.
607	This scaled score ranges from 200 to 800, with a minimum criterion-referenced passing score of 425. This score does not represent the actual number of correct answers.
84	This score ranges from 0 to 99, with a minimum criterion-referenced passing score of 70 (which is equivalent to the passing score of 425 on the scale described above). This score does not represent the actual number of correct answers and is not a percentage of correct responses.



NATIONAL BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Post Office Box 1356 Bismarck, North Dakota 58502
Phone: (701) 224-0332 Fax: (701) 224-0435 www.nbvme.org

North American Veterinary Licensing Examination (NAVLE)

SCORE REPORT

Name:

NAVLE ID:

Test Administration

Date: 11/12/2012

Location: Longmont, CO

Licensing Board: Arizona State Veterinary Medical Examining Board

The NAVLE is designed to provide a comprehensive objective measurement of your knowledge of veterinary medicine as it relates to entry-level private clinical practice. The examination assesses knowledge of veterinary practice involving all animal species commonly seen by private practitioners in North America as well as skills necessary to carry out groups of tasks that veterinarians perform in practice (veterinary practice roles). NAVLE results are reported to state and provincial boards responsible for the licensing of veterinarians. This report includes your results for the administration date shown above.

FAIL

The minimum criterion-referenced passing score of 425 is established by the National Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners (NBVME) and recommended to licensing boards.

351

This scaled score ranges from 200 to 800, with a minimum criterion-referenced passing score of 425. This score does not represent the actual number of correct answers.

70

This score ranges from 0 to 99, with a minimum criterion-referenced passing score of 75 (which is equivalent to the passing score of 425 on the scale described above). This score does not represent the actual number of correct answers and is not a percentage of correct responses.



NATIONAL BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Post Office Box 1356 Bismarck, North Dakota 58502
Phone: (701) 224-0332 Fax: (701) 224-0435 www.nbvme.org

North American Veterinary Licensing Examination (NAVLE)

DIAGNOSTIC SCORE REPORT

Name:
NAVLE ID:

Test Administration
Date: 11/29/2012
Location: Birmingham, AL

Licensing Board: Alabama State Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners

Your total test score for the administration of the NAVLE on the test date shown above was below the minimum passing score recommended to the licensing boards by the National Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners (NBVME). The table below is provided to aid in self-assessment. The scores provided by Species and Activity may be compared to determine areas of strength and weakness in your performance. See the NAVLE candidate bulletin for more information on the NAVLE content.

The table shows the percentage of correct items for each major content area, for you and for all candidates who took the same form. Some of these areas have relatively few items, so the percentage of correct items is only a rough estimate of your knowledge. Some content areas have been excluded from this report, because they contain too few items to yield meaningful data.

Content Area	Percent Correct Score	
	Your Examination	All Candidates Who Took Same Form
Species		
Small Animal	63	73
Canine	59	74
Feline	75	74
Food Animal	58	70
Bovine	67	74
Porcine	59	70
Equine	49	65
Activity		
Data Gathering and Interpretation	59	71
Health Maintenance and Problem Management	60	70



NATIONAL BOARD OF
VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Post Office Box 1356 Bismarck, North Dakota 58502
Phone: (701) 224-0332 Fax: (701) 224-0435 www.nbvme.org

North American Veterinary Licensing Examination (NAVLE)

DIAGNOSTIC SCORE REPORT

Name:
NAVLE ID:
NEB ID:

Test Administration
Date: 11/27/2012
Location: Hamilton, ON

Licensing Board: Canadian National Examining Board

Your total test score for the administration of the NAVLE on the test date shown above was below the minimum passing score recommended to the licensing boards by the National Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners (NBVME). The table below is provided to aid in self-assessment. The scores provided by Species and Activity may be compared to determine areas of strength and weakness in your performance. See the NAVLE candidate bulletin for more information on the NAVLE content.

The table shows the percentage of correct items for each major content area, for you and for all candidates who took the same form. Some of these areas have relatively few items, so the percentage of correct items is only a rough estimate of your knowledge. Some content areas have been excluded from this report, because they contain too few items to yield meaningful data.

Content Area	Percent Correct Score	
	Your Examination	All Candidates Who Took Same Form
Species		
Small Animal	53	72
Canine	49	73
Feline	59	73
Food Animal	52	70
Bovine	55	72
Porcine	59	65
Equine	45	68
Activity		
Data Gathering and Interpretation	55	69
Health Maintenance and Problem Management	49	72

2012-2013 NAVLE Technical Report

APPENDIX E

Test Administration Statistics	Nov-Dec 2012	April 2013
Eligible examinees (permits sent)	4,003	1,005
Examinees tested	3978	978
Examinees tested outside US and Canada	209	221
Examinees with test accommodations	71	32
Number in Criterion Group	3410	255
Percent in Criterion Group	86%	26%
Proctor-reported issues	521	171
Examinee Responses to Post-Test Survey	Nov-Dec 2012	April 2013
Received first choice of test site	89%	82%
Received first choice of test date	75%	63%
Traveled more than 50 miles to test site	20%	20%
Spent one or more nights away to take examination	20%	17%
Good or excellent registration services at test site	83%	84%
No computer difficulties were encountered	74%	72%
Would recommend test site	85%	76%
No distractions in testing area, site, or outside site	47%	53%
Not enough time for test	19%	26%
Found test "very difficult"	49%	45%