



PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE
SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT
WILL HOLD A PUBLIC MEETING
IN THE COMMISSION CHAMBERS - ROOM 1400
OF THE UTAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
100 East Center Street, Provo, Utah
TUESDAY August 3, 2021 – 6:00 p.m.

Board members may participate electronically at will, with the anchor location as stated above.

*The public may participate electronically by joining via zoom at the following link:
<https://zoom.us/j/97975457799> or calling (669)900-6833, Webinar ID: 979 7545 7799. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per individual unless otherwise approved by the Board. Please state your name at the beginning of the comment. Please no foul or abusive language.

~MEETING MINUTES *(Approved at August 17, 2021 Meeting)~*

Board Members in Attendance: Commissioner Sakievich (Chair), Mike Taylor in Attendance. Spencer Park in Attendance via Zoom

Others in Attendance: See Attached Attendance Sheet and Zoom List

(Meeting Called to Order: 6:05 P.M.)

AGENDA

1. CALLED TO ORDER

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER BY COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH AT 6:05 P.M.)

2. DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION REGARDING PROPOSED TIERS FOR WATER RATES TO PROMOTE CONSERVATION AND COVER SYSTEM COSTS

BEN STANLEY, UTAH COUNTY ATTORNEY – AS YOU MAY RECALL WE HELD A MEETING IN JUNE WHERE WE TALKED ABOUT A PROPOSED WATER RATE AND THE STATE LAW THAT REQUIRES RETAIL WATER PROVIDERS TO TAKE STEPS AND MEASURES TO TRY TO CONSERVE WATER. IN JUNE WE HAD OUR FIRST ROUND OF DISCUSSIONS WHERE WE EXPLORED THE TIERS AND THE BOARD CONTINUED THAT MATTER. WE SENT OUT AN ADDITIONAL NOTICE ABOUT TODAY MEETING. WE CONVENE HERE TODAY TO DISCUSS WHAT THE FINAL RATES SHOULD LOOK LIKE UNDER A TIERED SYSTEM. AS A REFERESHER FOR EVERYONE HERE IS THE FOLLOWING PROPOSED WATER RATE TIERS (GAVE PRESENTATION)

Proposed Water Rate Tiers

Tier	Monthly Volume (gallons)	Monthly Current Rate (\$ / 1,000 gallons)	Monthly Proposed Rate (\$ / 1,000 gallons)
1	0-3,000	\$5.00	\$5.00
2	3,001-10,000	\$5.00	\$10.00
3	10,001-25,000	\$5.00	\$20.00
4	25,001-100,000	\$5.00	\$35.00
5	100,001+	\$5.00	\$50.00

Example Water Charge

If a consumer uses 75,000 gallons of water in a month, this is how the water usage would be charged under the proposed tiered system:

The first 3,000 gallons of the 75,000 gallons would be charged based on the Tier 1 Rate. The next 7,000 gallons (10,000 - 3,000) would be charged the Tier 2 Rate. The next 15,000 gallons (25,000 - 10,000) would be charged the Tier 3 Rate. The next 50,000 gallons (75,000 - 25,000) would be charged the Tier 4 Rate. In effect, this is what the calculation would be under the proposed fee schedule if 75,000 gallons of water were used in a month:

Tier 1:	3,000	gallons x (\$5.00 / 1,000 gallons)	= \$	15.00
Tier 2:	7,000	gallons x (\$10.00 / 1,000 gallons)	= \$	70.00
Tier 3:	15,000	gallons x (\$20.00 / 1,000 gallons)	= \$	300.00
Tier 4:	50,000	gallons x (\$35.00 / 1,000 gallons)	= \$	1,750.00
Tier 5:	0	gallons x (\$50.00 / 1,000 gallons)	= \$	0.00
Total:	75,000	gallons	= \$	2,135.00

SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT WATER BILLING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. Introduction

The Soldier Summit Special Service District ("DISTRICT") has implemented the following water billing policies and procedures. The provisions in this section are policy procedures only and constitute guidelines which do not create any requirements or standards which, upon non-compliance, would give rise to any claim, cause of action, or basis for non-payment for services rendered. The failure of the DISTRICT to comply strictly with any of these policy procedures and guidelines is not a waiver or relinquishment of any of the rights of the DISTRICT to seek payment for water services rendered nor does such failure create any defense to the obligation of the user to pay for water services rendered.

B. Issuance of water bills

Water bills are issued monthly for water user fees at the beginning of each month. Property owners may choose to receive water user fee bills either annually or quarterly by contacting the Utah County Auditor's Office (Accounts Receivable). Bills for metered water usage are generally issued monthly at the end of each month. During winter months, meters may not be read monthly. If the meter is not read for more than one month, then the subsequent meter reading will be divided by the number of months included in the meter reading to determine an average monthly usage. The metered usage fee will be applied to the monthly average usage. All water accounts will be established in the name of the property owner where service is rendered. Bills are mailed in the name of the property owner to the property address. The DISTRICT will, however, upon written request by the property owner, mail bills to the property owner to such other address as designated by the property owner and will also mail bills in the name of the property owner but in the care of a designated property management company at that company's address. No accounts will be established and no bills will be mailed in the name of tenants. Late notices, disconnection notices, and any other notices will be mailed in the name of the property owner to the property address or to such other address or to such property management company as has been designated by the property owner for the mailing of bills.

C. Payment of water bills

Payments of water bills are due in full on or before thirty (30) days from the date of the bill. All bills may be paid at any of the following locations or in the following manner:

1. In person: Utah County Auditor, 100 E Center Street, Suite 3600, Provo, Utah, [84606](#);
2. By mail: Soldier Summit SSD, Attn: Accounts Receivable, 100 E Center Street, Suite 3600, Provo, Utah, [84606](#);
3. Online by card (with a fee) or by [echeck](#)/ACH (without a fee):
<https://clerk.utahcounty.gov/online-pay>

D. Late Penalties on unpaid bills

Late penalties at the rate of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) per month shall be charged on and added to the amount of bill(s) for connection fees and meter usage that remain unpaid more than thirty (30) days after the date of the issuance of the bill. Additional late penalties of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) shall continue to be charged for each month that the bill(s) remains unpaid.

E. Late Notices

A Notice of Late Payment will be issued for any bill which remains unpaid for more than thirty (30) days after the date of the issuance of the bill. A second Courtesy Notice of Late Payment will be issued for any bill which remains unpaid for more than forty-five (45) days after the date of the issuance of the bill. A Notice of Disconnection of Service will be issued for any bill which remains unpaid for more than sixty (60) days after the date of the issuance of the bill. The Notice of Disconnection of Service will state the date by which payment of the water and sewer bill must be made in full in order to avoid the disconnection of service. The Notice of Disconnection of Service will conform with Utah Code Annotated § 178-1-902 and will notify the Property Owner that a Lien for past due services will be placed on the property if payment is not received in full within fifteen (15) days of mailing the Notice of Disconnection of Services. No further notices will be provided prior to disconnection of service. Notices will be mailed to the property owner, or if applicable, the designated property management company, at the address to which bills are mailed pursuant to sub-section B. The Notice of Disconnection of Service will be mailed by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested. A Notice of Lien will be issued for any bill which remains unpaid for more than ninety (90) days after the date of the issuance of the bill and a Lien for past due services fees will be placed on the property pursuant to Utah Code § 178-1-902.

F. Disconnection and Reconnection Policy

1. Payment in full of the water bill must be made prior to the disconnection date stated in the Notice of Disconnection of Service. If payment is presented on the disconnection date stated in the Notice of Disconnection of Service, payment must be paid in cash and/or money order in person at the Utah County Auditor's Office by 9:30 a.m. of that date. If such payment is not received by that time, Soldier Summit has the right to disconnection of water service beginning at 10:00 a.m. and a two-[hundred dollar](#) (\$200.00) disconnection visit fee will be billed to the customer. No service will be disconnected if the balance due on the bill is less than fifty dollars (\$50.00).
2. For service to be reconnected after disconnection, the unpaid balance of the bill and a two-[hundred dollar](#) (\$200.00) reconnection fee must be paid, in full, by cash and/or money order in person at the Utah County Auditor's Office.

G. Returned Payments

Check payments not honored by financial institutions for any reason, including, but not limited to, non-sufficient funds are sent to a collection agency and a \$20.00 returned check fee is added to the amount due. Chargebacks for card and [Echeck](#) (ACH) payments are assessed a \$25.00 returned payment fee. If a non-payable check submitted in payment of a bill is returned to and received by the DISTRICT after the date on which the service was to be disconnected as stated in a Notice of Disconnection of Service, then water service will be disconnected immediately without any further notice, including the notices provided for in sub-section E.

H. Rental Properties

Property owners are responsible for the payment of any overdue bills or disconnection, reconnection, and late fees, and the DISTRICT will not seek payment of such expenses from tenants of the property owner.

I. Meter Reading

When a meter cannot be easily and clearly read due to obstructions such as weeds, structures, excavations, animals or other impediments, an estimate of water usage will be made based upon prior usage at that location. In that event, the DISTRICT will enclose a letter with the following bill requesting that the owner correct the problem prior to the next meter reading. If an obstruction problem remains present at the time of the next reading, an estimate of water usage will again be made based upon prior usage at that location. In that event, the DISTRICT will mail a letter by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested with the following bill stating that the property owner has fifteen (15) business days in which to correct the problem, or the water service will be disconnected.

J. Broken Meters

If at the time of reading the meter a meter is not operating and recording no usage, then an estimate of water usage will be made based upon prior usage at that location. In that event, the DISTRICT will mail a letter by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested with the following bill stating that the property owner has fifteen (15) working days to schedule an appointment for meter repair or the water service will be disconnected.

K. Replacement Meters

If a customer contends that his or her water meter is defective and informs the DISTRICT of this contention, the DISTRICT's Public Works personnel will examine and test the meter. If the meter is greater than ten (10) years old, it will be replaced at no cost to the customer. If the meter is ten (10) years old or less and determined by DISTRICT Public Works personnel to be working properly, the meter will be replaced upon request of the customer, however the customer must pay three hundred dollars (\$300.00) for the cost and installation of the new meter if the manufacturer of the meter confirms that the meter was working properly. If the manufacturer determines that the meter was defective, there will be no cost to the customer.

L. Unusually Excessive Water Use

In the event that a meter reading reflects an unusually high-water usage which is due to a broken pipe or leak in the plumbing on the property, then the DISTRICT will allow, for a first such occurrence during a five (5) year period, a reduction in the amount of the water bill. In order to be granted the reduction, the property owner must submit a written request to the DISTRICT for the reduction and state the nature of the cause of the high-water usage and the action taken to correct and resolve the problem. The revised bill will be established as based upon two (2) times the average of the water usage for the property for the four (4) quarters immediately preceding the high-water reading.

In the event that there is a second occurrence within five (5) years of an unusually high meter reading for a same property, then the property owner shall be responsible for the entire water bill.

M. Payment Plans

The DISTRICT will allow payment plans for financial hardship cases. The terms of the payment plan will be negotiated by the DISTRICT BOARD based upon the financial circumstances of the property owner requesting the payment plan.

N. New Owner Rate Policy

A new property owner will be billed at a non-connected rate. The new property owner will not be billed at the rate of the previous property owner.

O. Connection Fees

To connect to the water system, property owners must visit Utah County Public Works, 2855 South State Street, Provo, Utah, 84606. Where a meter exists, the property owner will need to pay a three-hundred dollar (\$300.00) connection fee plus the current cost of a District-approved meter, if needed. Where there is not a meter, the property owner will need to pay for the current cost of connection from the main water line to, and including, the District-approved meter. All new services must provide for all water mains and fire hydrants to service their facilities. Property owners are responsible for the water line from the meter to point of usage.

P. Tiered Rate Billing Procedure

Metered water usage will be billed on a tier rate system where lower usage is billed at a lower rate and higher usage is billed at increasingly higher rates.

BENJAMIN STANLEY – THIS PROPOSAL TODAY IS JUST GOING TO APPLY TO RESIDENTIAL USERS AT THIS POINT. AT SOME POINT IN THE FUTURE, BOARD WILL HAVE AS THEY HAVE INDICATED, TO HAVE A CONVERSATION CONCERNING COMMERCIAL, BUT THIS IS FOR RESIDENTIAL USERS ONLY AT THIS TIME.

MIKE TAYLOR – I HAD A DISCUSSION WITH RYAN OLLERTON, THE PRESIDENT OF THE HOA AND THEY AS A BOARD WOULD LIKE US TO CONSIDERING RAISING THE BASE RATE TO 0-8,000 GALLONS.

BEN STANLEY – WE THINK IT’S IMPORTANT TO HAVE THE BOARD FULLY DISCUSS THE DIFFERENT POSSIBILITIES. THE CLERK/AUDITOR’S OFFICE AND OTHERS HAVE HELPED PUT THIS PARTICULAR PROPOSAL TOGETHER . STATE LAW HAS SOME REQUIREMENTS: YOU CAN’T GENERATE SIGNIFICANTLY MORE REVENUE THAN YOUR SYSTEM REQUIRES, OR YOU WILL HAVE TO REEVALUATE AND RATES AND LOWER AND GIVE BACK; YOU CAN’T GENERATE TOO LITTLE FOR THE SYSTEM NEEDS. BUT IT IS UP TO THE BOARD TO EVALUATE, CONSIDER AND WEIGH ALL THE DIFFERENT CONSIDERATIONS AND YOU CAN DEVIATE FROM THE PROPOSAL. YOU JUST NEED TO BE VERY CLEAR IN THE MOTION YOU MAKE, WHAT YOU WANT THE TIERS TO BE AND HOW YOU WANT THAT TO BE ENACTED AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH -WHEN THEY WERE SAYING 8,000, DID THEY ALSO TALK ABOUT THE PRICE?

MIKE TAYLOR - 8,000, BUT THERE WAS NO FURTHER DISCUSSION AS TO THE OTHER TIERS.

GALEN STEWART, SOLDIER SUMMIT PROPERTY OWNER AND MEMBER OF THE HOA – I WANT TO THANK THE BOARD AND EVERYONE TALKING ABOUT

CONSERVANCY OF WATER WHICH I THINK THAT'S THE MAIN FOCUS THAT WE ALL HAVE UP THERE. WHEN WE TALKED TO RYAN AND FEW MEMBERS OF THE BOARD AND RESIDENTS THAT ARE UP THERE, I'M NOT SPEAKING FOR EVERYONE BUT SPEAKING FOR THE FEW AND WHAT I'VE DONE THROUGH AND GONE THROUGH THE TIER SYSTEM AND TRIED TO CALCULATE IT MYSELF. I WENT BACK AND WE USED OF WHAT THE AVERAGE PERSON MAY BE USING AND WHAT WOULD BE FAIR THROUGH WHAT I LIVE IN LEHI, I CALCULATED ALL MY GALLONS I'VE NEVER DONE THAT BEFORE TILL NOW, JUST SO I COULD SEE WHAT I WAS USING AND WHAT MIGHT BE AN AVERAGE WATER USE. THE AVERAGE USE THAT I SEE THAT THEY'RE USING IS EXTREMELY HIGH BECAUSE I THINK IS THAT THEIR LANDSCAPING IS USED FOR CULINARY AND THINGS LIKE THIS AND WHERE THESE ARE SECONDARY HOMES AND SOME PEOPLE LIVE UP THERE FULL-TIME AND USE MORE WATER THAN NORMAL, BUT THEY DON'T HAVE THE LANDSCAPING. WHY WE PROPOSE THE 6-8,000 GALLON TIER SYSTEM WAS TO TRY AND GET BACK TO WHAT WE THOUGHT WE WERE USING ON AVERAGE LIVING FULL-TIME AT OUR RESIDENCE. SO AS JUST AN EXAMPLE: TIER ONE AT 6,000 GALLONS; TIER 2 AT 10,000 GALLONS; TIER 3 AT 20,000 GALLONS; TIER 4 AT 35,000 GALLONS AND THEN TIER 5 AT 50,000. IF ANYONE IS USING OVER 50,000 GALLONS A MONTH, THERE HAS TO BE A PROBLEM AND LIKELY MORE GOING ON THAN REALLY NEEDS TO BE DONE. THERE'S GOT TO BE ACCOUNTABILITY IN CONSERVING THAT WATER AND IF YOU DON'T WANT TO CONSERVE, THEN YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO PAY FOR IT IN MY OPINION AND THE MORE CABINS WE GET UP THERE, THE MORE OF PROBLEM IS GOING TO BECOME.

BEN STANLEY – ONE THING TO KEEP IN MIND, WE WOULD JUST CAUTION THE BOARD TO CONSIDER CERTAINLY IN OUR BILLING PROCEDURES AND POLICIES, WE RESERVE THE RIGHT TO CUT PEOPLE OFF IF THEY'RE LATE ON THEIR PAYMENTS FOR A SUFFICIENT AMOUNT OF TIME IN OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES. AND THERE'S A POWERFUL DISINCENTIVE IF YOU'VE GOT THE RISK OF BEING CUT OFF. AS A PRACTICAL REALITY, GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES ALMOST NEVER ACTUALLY CUT SOMEBODY OFF FROM WATER – THEY'RE WORRIED ABOUT LIABILITY IF SOMEONE HAS AN EMERGENCY AND THE WATER IS COMPLETELY SHUT OFF. THEY DON'T WANT SOMEBODY TO DIE OF DEHYDRATION OR HEAT EXHAUSTION OR WHO KNOWS WHAT. SO, GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES RARELY ACTUALLY CUT SOMEONE OFF. WE DO HAVE THE RIGHT TO CUT PEOPLE OFF BUT THERE ARE TRANSACTIONAL COSTS. IT TAKES STAFF TIME TO GET UP THERE AND ACTUALLY SHUT OFF VALVES AND THERE ARE SOMETIMES EXIGENT CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MIGHT JUSTIFY LETTING PEOPLE STILL GET SOME WATER AND SO THE DISINCENTIVE THAT IS IN THE STAFF PROPOSAL IS AN ESCALATING COST. WE RECOGNIZE THAT TO SOME PEOPLE THEY MAY JUST HAVE NO PAIN POINT ASSOCIATED WITH MONEY AND THEY CAN SPEND \$500,000 ON WATER IN A MONTH AND NOT CARE. I SUSPECT MOST PEOPLE CARE IF THEY GET TOO LARGE OF A WATER BILL BUT THAT'S SOMETHING TO KEEP IN MIND. IF YOU WANT TO IMPOSE A MANDATORY SHUTOFF, WHAT WE HAVE HISTORICALLY DONE IS RESERVE THE RIGHT TO CUT PEOPLE OFF AND THAT WOULD BE FROM THE LEGAL SIDE, WE WOULD RECOMMEND IS THAT YOU RETAIN THE RIGHT TO CUT SOMEONE OFF AT A CERTAIN POINT IF THAT'S THE DIRECTION YOU'RE INCLINED TO GO RATHER THAN SAYING THAT WE ARE GOING TO ALWAYS CUT PEOPLE OFF BECAUSE

THERE MAY BE TIMES WHEN THAT JUST DOESN'T SEEM PRUDENT IN THE MOMENT TO SHUT SOMEBODY'S WATER OFF.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - ON THE TIER YOU SAID YOU WORKED WITH THE AUDITOR'S OFFICE ON THAT PRICING SCHEDULE?

BEN STANLEY - THIS PROPOSAL CAME BACK FROM THE CLERK/AUDITOR'S OFFICE.

DANENE JACKSON, FINANCE MANAGER – MOSTLY JUST LOOKING AT WHERE THE NATURAL CUTOFFS WERE WITH THE MONTHLY BILLINGS ALREADY. FOR EXAMPLE: 77% OF THE MONTHLY BILLINGS ARE FOR 1,000-3,000 GALLONS. SO KEEPING THE RATE FOR THE SAME FOR THOSE 3,000 GALLONS WOULDN'T MAKE A CHANGE FOR 77% OF THE BILLINGS. FROM 4,000-8,000, WE'VE ONLY HAD 9 MONTHLY BILLINGS THIS YEAR THAT HAVE BEEN THAT. THERE'S ONLY BEEN 1 AT 9,000 AND 1 AT 10,000 GALLONS. IF YOU WERE TO GO 0-10,000, THAT'S NOT GOING TO CHANGE MUCH.

MIKE TAYLOR - DO WE KNOW WHAT THE AVERAGE CABIN OWNER USES? I ASKED THAT QUESTION BECAUSE ONCE CABINS GET MORE AND MORE DEVELOPED UP THERE, THAT WOULD BE IMPORTANT TO KNOW AND UNDERSTAND. (LOT 47 SAID THEY COULD HAVE THEIR WATER USAGE LOOKED UP – THEY ARE FULL-TIME RESIDENTS.)

BRADY CHRISTENSEN, UTAH COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS – I'VE HAD A COUPLE PHONE CALLS TODAY. PEOPLE CONCERNED THAT WE CANNOT READ DECEMBER-MAY. SO THERE'S A 6-MONTH TIME SPAN AND EVEN AT THAT 6-MONTH SPAN, I THINK I CALCULATED THAT THERE WERE ONLY 2-3 PEOPLE THAT HAVE HIT THAT MAX AND WHERE WE'RE ONLY DOING THAT FOR 6 MONTHS, WE DIVIDE IT SO SOMEBODY AT 8,000 GALLONS FOR THE WHOLE WINTER, THEY WOULDN'T HAVE TO PAY THE HIGHER RATE BECAUSE WE WOULD DIVIDE THAT BY THE NUMBER OF MONTHS. I THINK THAT WOULD BE THE FAIR WAY TO DO IT.

I'VE DONE SOME RESEARCH AND I'M NOT A WATER GURU OR AN ATTORNEY THAT DEALS WITH WATER, BUT WE HAVE 38.5 ACRE-FEET OF WATER THAT THE DISTRICT OWNS ON THAT WELL WHICH AN ACRE-FOOT OF WATER IS ROUGHLY 326,000 GALLONS. YOU'RE ABOUT 12 MILLION GALLONS OF WATER ON THE AVERAGE. LAST YEAR WE WERE 3.5 MILLION FOR WHAT FEW PEOPLE WE'VE GOT UP THERE NOW. WITH THAT SAID, THERE'S SOME WATER GOING TO SOLDIER SUMMIT IN THAT OLD SYSTEM THAT IS GOING INTO THE GROUND NO DOUBT. I WAS READING THROUGH THE STATE STATUTE ON BUILDING LOTS AND ON WHAT IS EXPECTED AND ON A RECREATIONAL LOT THAT IS USED PART-TIME FOR CAMPING OR FOR CABINS PART-TIME PEOPLE, THEY GIVE YOU A ¼ ACRE-FOOT OF WATER WHICH IS 80,000 GALLONS FOR THE WHOLE YEAR. SO, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU GET TO A POINT WHERE YOU SAY IF YOU'VE USED YOUR 100,000 GALLONS, THEN YOU'RE OVER YOUR ALLOTMENT. THAT GIVES YOU AN IDEA.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - LAST TIME WHEN WE MET, WE WERE TALKING ABOUT PEOPLE USING THEIR ACREAGE FOR CAMPING AND IN THAT STATUE

IT'S ALLOWABLE AND SOME ARE USING WATER TO WATER THE PLANT LIFE AND GARDENING AROUND THEIR PROPERTIES AS WELL WHICH WAS NOT APPROVED SO WE HAVE THOSE ISSUES.

BRADY CHRISTENSEN - I THINK THAT COMES BACK TO THE CC&R'S (COVENANTS, CONDITIONS & RESTRICTIONS) OF THE BOARD AND WHAT THEY'VE DECIDE PLUS WHEN THIS WAS FIGURED AS WE DISCUSSED LAST TIME, THERE WAS NO OUTSIDE WATERING INCLUDED IN THESE FIGURES TO HOW MUCH WATER AND WE STILL NEED TO DRILL A 2ND WELL ONCE WE HIT 100 PAID HOOKUPS. I BET WE HIT OUR 100 CONNECTIONS BY THE END OF THE YEAR THE WAY IT'S GOING.

DANENE JACKSON –THE AVERAGE NUMBER WAS 4,000 GALLONS. THAT NUMBER IS A LITTLE MISLEADING BECAUSE OF WHAT BRADY WAS TALKING ABOUT. SO, THE FIRST BILLING OF THE YEAR INCUDES THE PRIOR 6 MONTHS. SO, IF I SPLIT UP THAT FIRST READING INTO 6 MONTHS, THE AVERAGE IS ONLY ABOUT 2,500 GALLONS A MONTH.

MIKE TAYLOR – THE WHOLE PURPOSE OF THIS IS NOT TO PUNISH ANYONE. IT'S TO ENCOURAGE CONSERVATION ON A MOUNTAIN THAT IS PUMPING WATER UP A SIZABLE MOUNTAIN TO TANKS, AND TO USE IT WISELY. THAT'S THE ONLY REASON WE'RE DOING THIS IS FOR THIS PURPOSE.

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS

ROBERT BAIRD, PROPERTY OWNER – I HAVE 11 IN MY FAMILY AND AS WE'VE BEEN UP THERE, I PUT A METER ON AND AFTER THE COUNTY'S METER, I HAVE AN ELECTRONIC THAT RE-METERS IT SO I COULD ACTUALLY SEE WHAT I USE INSIDE OF THE STRUCTURE VS. THE OUTSIDE WATERING. I'VE BEEN USING ON AN AVERAGE 20,000 ON MY INSIDE METER. I'VE GOT A SEPARATE METER ON EACH OF MY STRUCTURES. I KNOW IT MAY SEEM LIKE A LOT, BUT I THINK WHEN YOU LOOK AT ANYTHING ON A BELL CURVE, 2 TIMES THE STANDARD DEVIATION IS WHAT? I DON'T EXPECT THAT TO BE AVERAGE, BUT THAT IS WHAT I USE RIGHT NOW AND SO THIS CHANGE FROM \$5 WOULD BE A SIGNIFICANT CHANGE ON COSTS FOR ME AND AGAIN THAT'S THE ABILITY TO COMPLETELY MEASURE SEPARATELY THE OUTSIDE WATERING THAT I HAVE DONE THAT I'M TRYING TO FIND A BETTER SOLUTION FOR.

MANY PEOPLE DON'T UNDERSTAND AS I'VE CALLED THE COUNTY – I'VE DONE A LOT OF RESEARCH IN THE LAST YEAR ON WATER. I RECEIVED AN EMAIL FROM ADAM BECK (UTAH COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE) THAT SAID TO STOP USING ALL THE WATER AND SO I STOPPED LAST FALL. I'VE BEEN TRYING TO LOOK FOR SOLUTIONS SINCE THEN. THERE'S A LOT OF STUFF WE DON'T KNOW AND I'M AFRAID AS THE PROPOSAL HAS BEEN MADE AND FROM WHAT I'VE HEARD IS THEY BASICALLY LOOKED AT OTHER SUBDIVISION AND JUST COPIED WHAT THEY HAD. WELL, THAT'S A GOOD STANDARD. I DON'T FEEL THAT'S GOING TO GET YOU TO WHAT YOUR COSTS ARE. IN FACT, IN THE HISTORY, I'VE BEEN ABLE TO FIND OUT, THE SYSTEM WASN'T PROFITABLE IN THE PAST AND WHEN THEY MADE THE CHANGE TO \$5 GALLONS IS WHAT MADE THIS SPECIAL

SERVICE DISTRICT PROFITABLE AND HOPEFULLY PUT US IN A BETTER FINANCIAL SITUATION. I'M CONCERNED WHENEVER WE'RE MAKING ESTIMATES HERE BECAUSE AS THE FUTURE PROGRESSES AND WE TRY TO PUT WATER ACROSS TO THE TOWN OF SOLDIER SUMMIT – THOSE ARE FULL-TIME RESIDENTS – THEY'RE ALLOWED TO BE THERE AND I JUST FEEL BAD THAT WE'RE MAKING ESTIMATES ON PROPERTIES THAT ARE USED ONLY OCCASIONALLY AS CAMPGROUNDS AND OTHER PEOPLE ARE GOING TO BE FACING THESE RATES. I FEEL LIKE THE BIGGEST PROBLEM HAS BEEN SOLVED BY A PROBLEM THAT WAS IN THE CC&R'S THAT HAS BEEN PATCHED AND I THINK THE HOA HAS DONE A GREAT JOB OF THAT. PEOPLE DON'T REALLY REALIZE HOW MANY ACRE-FEET ARE IN OUR WELL RIGHT NOW. THERE'S ACTUALLY JUST UNDER 100 ACRE-FEET THAT ARE ALLOWED BY THE STATE WE BUMPED OUT OF THAT WELL. IF YOU DIVIDE THAT BY THE ACREAGE THAT WE HAVE UP THERE IN SERVICE, IT ACTUALLY COMES DOWN TO 63,000 PER MONTH FOR A 13-ACRE PROPERTY. I DON'T PROPOSE THAT EVERYBODY USE THAT BUT THAT IS WHAT IS ACTUALLY ALLOWED.

IN LAS VEGAS RIGHT NOW, I COULD BUY 100,000 GALLONS FOR \$435/MONTH. THEIR MAXIMUM RATE IS \$3.55 FOR 100,000 GALLONS.

BROOKE DESOUSA, PROPERTY OWNER – WE BOUGHT JUST A YEAR AGO IN JULY. WE DID CONNECT WATER. WE DO NOT HAVE A CABIN. WE HOPE TO HAVE A CABIN BUT ARE NOT UTILIZING THE WATER NOW. WE DO PAY THE BASE RATE FOR NO WATER USAGE. I AM NOT OPPOSED TO A TIER SYSTEM. I FOLLOW THE WATER CONVERSATIONS ALL OVER THE STATE. I'M INVOLVED IN THE LOCAL POLITICS HERE WITH THE CITY THAT I LIVE IN WHICH IS SANDY. WE'RE PART OF METRO WATER. THEY'VE TALKED ABOUT WATER RATE INCREASES. WE'VE DONE OUR PART HERE RESIDENTIALLY TO REDUCE OUR WATER USAGE WITH OUR OUTSIDE LANDSCAPING. WE RIPPED OUT ALL OF THE LAWN IN OUR BACKYARD AND WE'RE WORKING ON SOME DIFFERENT ACTIVITY ZONES USING THE LOCAL SCAPES METHODOLOGY AND NATIVE LANDSCAPING SO I'M ALL FOR WATER CONSERVATION AND UNDERSTAND THE NEED TO TRY TO INCENTIVIZE MORE WATER CONSERVATION IN THIS SPECIFIC AREA. I'M CONCERNED WITH THE NUMBER OF GALLONS PER TIER AND THE RATE INCREASE FROM EACH TIER, ESPECIALLY SINCE THE FIRST TIER DOES FEEL VERY LOW, PLUS THE INCREASE IS ALMOST 100% PER TIER. I'D LIKE TO NOT BE PENALIZED FOR MY FUTURE CABIN BASED OFF OF WATER THAT I'M NOT USING. THIS DOES FEEL INCREDIBLY EXCESSIVE. AGAIN, I'M NOT OPPOSED TO A TIER SYSTEM AND NOT OPPOSED TO AN INCREASE, THIS JUST FEEL EXCESSIVE.

SCOTT KIMBALL, PROPERTY OWNER - I'M UP ON LOT C33. WE HAVE BEEN THERE SINCE ABOUT 2013. WE ARE NOT UP THERE FULL-TIME BUT FROM OUR USAGE, WE'VE NEVER EXCEEDED 2,000-3,000 GALLONS A MONTH EVEN DURING HEAVY USAGE. I JUST WANT TO EXPRESS SUPPORT FOR A TIERED SYSTEM. I'M FINE WITH THE RATES AS YOU PROPOSE AND I WANT TO REITERATE MY SUPPORT FOR WHAT WAS STATED AT THE BEGINNING WHICH WAS SIMPLY TO ENCOURAGE CONSERVATION.

MIKE TAYLOR – ROBERT (BAIRD), DO YOU KNOW THE GALLONS OF INSIDE USES VS OUTSIDE USE AT YOUR PROPERTY?

ROBERT BAIRD – 20,000 GALLONS IS MY INSIDE USAGE AND I’VE JUST UP TO ABOUT 80,000 TOTAL SO I’VE USED 60,000 OUTSIDE MONTHLY (THE OUTSIDE GALLONS WAS FOR THE MONTH OF JUNE).

DANENE JACKSON – THE COMPARATIVE, I UNDERSTAND IS TIMBERLAKES - THEIR RATES ARE 2,000 GALLONS AND THEY HAVE A LOT OF TIERS:

0-2,000 GALLONS = \$1.00 PER 1,000 GALLONS

2,000-4,000 GALLONS = \$2.00 PER 1,000 GALLONS

4,000-5,000 GALLONS = \$13.00 PER 1,000 GALLONS

5,000-6,000 GALLONS = \$16.50 PER 1,000 GALLONS

6,000-7,000 GALLONS = \$17.00 PER 1,000 GALLONS

7, 000-8,000 GALLONS = \$17.50 PER 1,000 GALLONS

8,000-9,000 GALLONS = \$20.50 PER 1,000 GALLONS

IT KEEPS GOING UP FROM THERE ANOTHER 9 TIERS. THEIR HIGHEST TIER IS OVER 18,000 GALLONS = \$32.00 PER 1,000 GALLONS.

SPENCER PARK – TIMBERLAKES HAS OVER 500 HOMES. THEY ARE A GOOD EXAMPLE FOR US BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT ALLOWED TO HAVE ANY OUTSIDE WATERING. ANOTHER THING WE NEED TO BE THINKING ABOUT DURING THIS PROCESS IS, I HEARD SOMEONE SAY THAT THESE MOUNTAIN COMMUNITIES ONLY HAVE 0.25 ACRE-FEET WHERE A REGULAR HOME WOULD HAVE 0.45 ACRE-FEET WHICH IS JUST OVER 12,000 GALLONS A MONTH. THAT’S REALISTICALLY ALL THE WATER RIGHT WE HAVE. I UNDERSTAND THE TIERS BUT WE CAN’T HAVE THE AVERAGE HOME USING MORE THAN WHAT WE HAVE WATER RIGHTS FOR.

DAVID SUDWEEKS, SOLDIER SUMMIT RESIDENT – I HAVE BEEN A MEMBER OF THE BOARD IN THE PAST AND HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN WRITING THE CURRENT CC&R’S. IN DOING SO WE REVIEWED THE OLDER CC&R’S. ABOUT THE TIME I THAT BOUGHT MY PROPERTY, THE CC&R’S WERE DELIVERED TO MY TITLE COMPANY AND THEY STATED THAT THERE WAS NO OUTSIDE WATERING. THE NEXT SET OF CC&R’S THAT WERE REWRITTEN DID NOT MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT OUTSIDE WATERING. HOWEVER, WHEN I BOUGHT, I WAS A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THE WATER SITUATION JUST BECAUSE I’M BUYING A MOUNTAIN LOT AND I WANT TO MAKE I HAVE WATER SO DURING MY DUE DILIGENCE, I ASKED MY TITLE COMPANY TO FIND OUT ABOUT THE WATER. I WAS DELIVERED A LETTER THAT STATED VERY CLEARLY THAT THERE IS NO OUTSIDE VEGETATION TO BE WATERED AND NONE OF THE CULINARY WATER SHOULD BE USED FOR IRRIGATION. THAT WAS A COUNTY LETTER SET UP BY THIS BOARD AND THIS SITUATION TO CURB AND LIMIT. AS THE CURRENT CC&R’S WERE REWRITTEN THIS LAST YEAR, WE REINTRODUCED THE NO OUTSIDE WATERING AND OTHER VEGETATION SO THAT’S KIND OF THE RUNDOWN ON IT. THERE WAS A VERY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME, ABOUT 2 ½ YEARS, THAT IT WAS NOT IN THE CC&R’S. HOWEVER, THAT SAME PERIOD OF TIME STILL HAD IT IN THE COUNTY MANDATE FOR RECREATIONAL USE AS A ¼ ACRE OF WATER. IF I WANT TO USE THAT CABIN OR THAT PROPERTY FOR FULL-TIME USE, I HAVE TO GET ANOTHER ¼-ACRE OF WATER. IT CAN’T BE MY PRIMARY RESIDENCE UNTIL I HAVE THAT OTHER ¼-ACRE OF WATER TO USE. THE CURRENT CC&R’S WERE SIGNED JUNE 2020.

MIKE TAYLOR – THE 8,000 GALLONS IS SOMETHING I WOULD CERTAINLY CONSIDER AS THE FIRST TIER. FROM THERE, I THINK IT BECOMES UP TO US AS A BOARD TO DECIDE WHO THESE OTHER TIERS WORK AND HOW THEY FIT. I WANT TO WORK IN THE SPIRIT OF FAIRNESS FOR EVERYONE. CONSERVATION IS PARAMOUNT ON THE MOUNTAIN. I WOULD PROPOSE THAT WE MAKE:

TIER 1:0-8,000 GALLONS

TIER 2: 8,000-16,000 GALLONS

TIER 3: 16,000-32,000 GALLONS

TIER 4: 32,000-100,000 GALLONS

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – COULD THE 100,000 BE TOO MUCH? DO WE HAVE ANYONE HERE THAT LIVES UP IN SOLDIER SUMMIT FULL-TIME THAT COULD SPEAK TO THAT?

BEN STANLEY – WHILE SHE COMES UP, IF I COULD JUST MAKE SOME SUGGESTIONS. FROM THE LEGAL SIDE, IT MIGHT HELP THE MEETING RUN SMOOTHLY IF YOU HAVE THIS PERIOD OF PUBLIC COMMENT AND LET ALL THE PUBLIC THAT WANTS TO SPEAK, COMMENT NOW AND THEN CLOSE PUBLIC COMMENT AND GO INTO DISCUSSION.

ROBIN KIERA, FULL-TIME RESIDENT AT SOLDIER SUMMIT – I BELIEVE WE ARE THE ONLY FULL-TIME RESIDENTS. OUR USAGE IS AROUND 2,500-3,000 GALLONS A MONTH. WE HAVE 3 KIDS THAT COME UP AND VISIT US AS WELL AS GRANDCHILDREN THAT COME UP QUITE OFTEN. IT'S QUITE MANAGEABLE TO LIVE WITHIN THE PROPOSED AMOUNTS. I'M A PROPONENT OF WHAT'S BEEN PROPOSED HERE TODAY. I FEEL THE NUMBERS I'VE HEARD FROM OTHER PEOPLE ON THE MOUNTAIN ARE EXCESSIVE AND I DON'T BELIEVE THAT WE NEED TO MAKE SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS FOR THOSE EXCESSIVE USES. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE TIER RATES PROPOSED ARE EXCESSIVE. I THINK THAT PEOPLE THAT USE THE WATER SHOULD PAY FOR IT. I THINK THE MORE AGGRESSIVE THE SCHEDULE THE BETTER.

BRADY CHRISTENSEN –I CAN SAY WE'RE ONE PUMP BURN OUT AWAY UP THERE AND WE DON'T HAVE ANY WATER IF THE WELL FAILS AND OUR TANKS ARE EMPTY BECAUSE WE CAN'T KEEP THEM UP BECAUSE OF EXCESSIVE USE. THIS PUTS ALL THESE PEOPLE IN JEOPARDY WITH THEIR CABINS AND PROPERTY. WE NEED TO TAKE THAT INTO CONSIDERATION. WE DON'T HAVE A LOOP SYSTEM LIKE WE DO IN THE CITY WHERE IF A LINE FAILS, THEY CAN BRING THE WATER FROM ANOTHER DIRECTION.

SEAN TEDROW, SOLDIER SUMMIT CABIN OWNER – WE'RE UP THERE OFTEN. I WORK FROM THERE A LOT, ESPECIALLY DURING COVID. MY FAMILY IS UP THERE ALL THE TIME AS WELL AS EXTENDED FAMILY. WE HOST GATHERINGS. I JUST LOOKED THROUGH MY LAST TWO INVOICES AND IT SAID WE USED 7,000 GALLONS FROM DECEMBER THROUGH JUNE AND THEN IN JULY WE USED 1,000 GALLONS. WE'RE DOING DISHES, TAKING SHOWERS EVERY DAY, ETC. I'M FIND IT REALLY DISAPPOINTING THAT WE HAVE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY THAT THINK IT'S OKAY TO CONSISTENTLY USE EXCESSIVE AMOUNTS OF

WATER, SO I'M A PROPONENT OF THE TIERS. IN TERMS OF THE FIRST TIER, I THINK IT GIVES PEOPLE A LITTLE BIT OF A BUFFER. I THINK 100,000 IS WAY TOO HIGH ON THE TOP END. I THINK THAT SHOULD BE COMPRESSED DOWN TO 50,000 AT THE MOST. THERE'S NO REASON THAT WE SHOULD BE THAT IRRESPONSIBLE WITH OUR USAGE.

SCOTT PROCTOR – WE HAVE HAD A SOLDIER SUMMIT PROPERTY FOR 4 YEARS. WE ARE AN INTELLIGENT ENOUGH GROUP THAT YOU DON'T HAVE TO TAKE A PUNITIVE APPROACH TO TELL EVERYONE AND SLAP THEIR HANDS THAT YOU CAN'T USE THIS. WE CAN TALK ABOUT IT AS FELLOW NEIGHBORS AND SAY WE HAVE AN ISSUE HERE AND WE NEED TO BE WISE IN THE USE OF OUR WATER. I THINK THE TIER METHOD IS COMMONLY USED BUT THE PROPOSED TIERS TODAY IS EXTREMELY PUNITIVE. I DON'T WANT TO BE PUNISHED FOR HAVING A LARGE FAMILY AND THIS IS OUR DREAM GETAWAY. I WOULD PROPOSE THAT WE DO A MUCH MORE CONSERVATIVE CHANGE.

MAUREEN PROCTOR – WE ALL HAVE DIFFERENT NEEDS BECAUSE OF THE SIZES OF OUR FAMILIES. WE HAVE 11 CHILDREN AND 24 GRANDCHILDREN AND THEY WILL CERTAINLY COME UP DURING THE SUMMER AND THAT MEANS AUTOMATICALLY WE'RE GOING TO BE PUNISHED FOR THAT SIZE OF FAMILY. WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS THERE WILL BE NO OPPORTUNITY FOR US ON THE MOUNTAIN BECAUSE OF THE COST. THE WATER WILL BECOME SO PUNITIVE.

AL KARA, IF SOMEBODY IS CAUSING US TO PUMP MORE WATER AND WE GO THROUGH PUMPS AND WE GO THROUGH ELECTRICITY AND THE SYSTEM NEEDS TO BE REBUILT, WE HAVE TO SPEND MORE MONEY ON IT, THAT IS GOING TO AFFECT ALL OF US. I KNOW I WOULD RATHER PAY A HIGHER TIER THAN PAY A \$6,000 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT BECAUSE WE NEED TO REBUILD THE WATER SYSTEM. TIMBERLAKES HAD TO DO THAT. I'M ALL FOR THE TIERS.

GALEN STEWART – I JUST WANTED TO GO THROUGH A CALCULATION AND I DON'T KNOW IF ANYBODY ELSE HAS DONE THIS. 0-6,000 GALLONS IS GOING TO COST US \$30. IF YOU USE 10,000 GALLONS, YOUR TOTAL BILL WILL BE \$100.00. IF YOU USE 20,000 GALLONS, THAT WOULD BE A MONTHLY BILL OF \$370. IF YOU USE 35,000 GALLONS IT WOULD BE \$1,165. IF YOU USE 50,000 GALLONS, THAT'S \$1,500. SO, UP TO 10,000 GALLONS IT'S NOT THAT MUCH BUT IT MAY BE A DETERRENT TO HELP AID THE CONSERVATORY OF OUR WATER.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – THE MAIN QUESTION ON THIS NOTICE IS WHY IS THE BOARD PROPOSING A FEE INCREASE. THE UTAH LEGISLATURE PASSED A LAW THAT REQUIRES RETAIL WATER SUPPLIERS SUCH AS SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT TO CREATE PRICING THAT PROMOTES WATER CONSERVATION AND THAT'S THE INTENT OF ALL OF THIS – IS NOT TO BE PUNITIVE, BUT JUST TO CONSERVE WATER.

DAVID SUDWEEKS – MY BROTHER HAS A CABIN. I'M A FAMILY OF 6 ADULT KIDS AND EVERYONE OF US HAVE A BUNCH OF KIDS. EVERYBODY COMES TOGETHER EVERY THANKSGIVING TO PINE HOLLOW WHICH IS IN WASATCH COUNTY. IT'S A PRIVATE WATER DISTRICT. THEY SHUT THE WATER OFF BEFORE THANKSGIVING BECAUSE THEY ONLY HAVE 3' GROUND WATER LINES. MY

BROTHER HAS TWO 1,800 GALLON TANKS AND WE ALL HAVE A GREAT TIME ON THANKSGIVING THERE. WE THEN GO BACK FOR CHRISTMAS. EVERYONE SHOWERS. THEN HE CONTINUES TO USE THAT WATER USUALLY UNTIL MAY 1 AROUND MOTHER'S DAY.

4. APPROVE OR REJECT PROPOSED WATER RATES

BOARD DISCUSSED DIFFERENT OPTIONS OF TIERS AND SHUT OFFS.

BEN STANLEY – JUST TO CLARIFY, SOME OF THE COMMENTS TODAY SPOKE TO PUNITIVE - THE LAW DOESN'T PROHIBIT PUNITIVE RATES, BUT AS YOU MENTIONED COMMISSIONER, THESE ARE NOT INTENDED TO BE PUNITIVE, THEY'RE JUST DISINCENTIVES. IT'S ALL SEMANTICS BUT ITS REAL INTENT IS TO DISINCENTIVIZE EXCESSIVE USE. THE LAW DOESN'T RESTRICT YOUR POLICY DISCRETION. YOU CAN SET IT AT VARIOUS RATES. WHAT THE LAW DOES REQUIRE IS THAT IF THE AMOUNTS GENERATED FAR EXCEED THE SYSTEM COSTS OVER TIME, THEN WE WILL NEED TO REVISIT IT AND ADJUST THEM DOWNWARDS OVERALL. BUT YOU CAN HAVE QUITE SIGNIFICANT DISPARITY BETWEEN TIERS - THAT'S POLICY DECISION AND THE DISCRETION OF THIS BOARD SO YOU CAN SET THEM AT VARIOUS NUMBERS, VARIOUS RATES. YOUR GOAL SHOULD BE TO MAKE FINDINGS THAT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE RESIDENTS AND SOLDIER SUMMIT AND THAT PROMOTES CONSERVATION AND TRY TO GET CLOSE TO WHAT YOU THINK THAT SYSTEM COSTS WILL LOOK LIKE SO THAT WE CAN FINE-TUNE FROM THERE. IT'S NOT SET IN STONE. YOU ARE ALLOWED TO HAVE DIFFERENT AMOUNTS. IN FACT, BY STATE LAW, ARE EXPECTED TO HAVE DIFFERENT AMOUNTS FOR DIFFERENT TIERS AND DIFFERENT RATES.

BEN STANLEY – TO THE OTHER POINT, YOU DO NEED TO THINK ABOUT WAYS TO DISINCENTIVIZE BUT THE HIGH NUMBERS AT THE HIGHER END OF THE USAGE SHOULD BE A SUFFICIENT DETERRENT IN OUR VIEW. YOU SHOULD RETAIN THE RIGHT AND WE DO IN OUR POLICIES TO CUT OFF PEOPLE THAT DO FAIL TO PAY THEIR BILLS. WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO THAT. WE WOULD RECOMMEND THAT IT NOT BE MADE PART OF THE POLICY THAT AT THIS EXACT GALLON USAGE WE'RE GOING TO SHUT PEOPLE OFF BECAUSE THERE ARE TRANSACTIONAL COSTS AND OTHER PROCEDURAL STEPS THAT HAVE TO HAPPEN BEFORE YOU CUT SOMEONE OFF. BUT THAT IS THE OTHER WAY TO DO IT – BY CUTTING THEM OFF.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – HOW MUCH IS THE ELECTRICITY AT SOLDIER SUMMIT?

DANENE JACKSON – IN 2020, THE ELECTRICITY WAS \$12,472.

BOARD, PUBLIC WORKS, FINANCE AND LEGAL COUNSEL DISCUSSED TIER OPTIONS.

SPENCER PARK – I WOULD PROPOSE THAT WE KEEP THE RATES AS WHAT WE PROPOSED BUT DROP THE BOTTOM TIER TO 0-7,000 GALLONS.

MIKE TAYLOR – I THINK WE NEED TO CHANGE THE 4TH TIER TO 25,001-50,000 GALLONS.

MIKE TAYLOR: MOTION TO MAKE THE ADOPT THE FOLLOWING WATER RATES TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION THE PUBLIC COMMENTS TAKEN TODAY, COMPARATIVES REVIEWED AND THAT IT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE RESIDENTS OF SOLDIER SUMMIT AND TO PROMOTE CONSERVATION AND THAT THESE RATES WILL TAKE EFFECT IN THE NEXT BILLING CYCLE OF AUGUST 15, 2021:

**TIER 1: 0-7,000 GALLONS = \$5.00 PER 1,000 GALLONS
TIER 2: 7,001-10,000 GALLONS = \$8.00 PER 1,000 GALLONS
TIER 3: 10,001-25,000 GALLONS = \$15.00 PER 1,000 GALLONS
TIER 4: 25,001-50,000 GALLONS = \$30.00 PER 1,000 GALLONS
TIER 5: 50,000 + GALLONS = \$40.00 PER 1,000 GALLONS
THEN TO HAVE THE RATES REEVALUATED IN AUGUST OF 2022.
SPENCER PARK: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0**

5. ADJOURN

**MIKE TAYLOR: MOTION TO ADJOURN
SPENCER PARK: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0**

MEETING ADJOURNED

(Meeting Adjourned.: 7:31 P.M.)

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting should notify Alice Black at 801-851-8111. Handicap parking is available, as well as a level entrance to the building. Interpretation services are available with prior notice for the hearing disabled.

Those in Attendance Via Zoom:

Spencer Park
Trevor Eckersley
Scot Proctor
Andrew

D'Sousa
Scott Kimball
Dan Telschow
John Nielson

Scott Peterson
Robert Baird
Kristie Bayles

