



PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE
SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT
WILL HOLD A SPECIAL PUBLIC MEETING
IN THE COMMISSION CHAMBERS - ROOM 1400
OF THE UTAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
100 East Center Street, Provo, Utah
THURSDAY June 17, 2021 – 6:00 p.m.

Board members may participate electronically at will, with the anchor location as stated above.

*The public may participate electronically by joining via zoom at the following link <https://zoom.us/j/95674181923> or calling (669)900-6833, Meeting ID: 956 7418 1923. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per individual unless otherwise approved by the Board. Please state your name at the beginning of the comment. Please no foul or abusive language.

~MEETING MINUTES *(Approved at August 17, 2021 Meeting)*~

Commissioner Sakievich (chair) and Mike Taylor in Attendance
Spencer Park in Attendance via Zoom
Others in Attendance: See attached Attendance Sheet

(Meeting Called to Order: 6:02 P.M.)

AGENDA

1. CALLED TO ORDER
2. DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION REGARDING PROPOSED TIERS FOR WATER RATES TO PROMOTE CONSERVATION AND COVER SYSTEM COSTS

BEN STANLEY, UTAH COUNTY DEPUTY ATTORNEY – WE’RE CONVENING THIS MEETING TODAY BASED UPON A REQUEST OF THE BOARD TO CONSIDER PROPOSED WATER RATES IN TIERS RATHER THAN AS A FLAT FEE ACROSS ALL WATER USAGE. THERE’S A STATE LAW – UTAH CODE ANNOTATED 73-10-32.5 CALLED ‘WATER SYSTEM CONSERVATION PRICING’ WHERE THE STATE LEGISLATURE HAS ASKED THAT WHAT ARE DEFINED AS RETAIL WATER PROVIDERS, CONSIDER WAYS TO ADJUST WATER RATES TO MAKE PRICING TIER-BASED RATHER THAN FLAT FEE BASED AND SO WHAT THAT ACCOMPLISHES PARTICULARLY IN A TIME OF DROUGHT LIKE WE’RE IN NOW, IS A WAY TO ENCOURAGE AND INCENTIVIZE CONSERVATION OF WATER. SO STAFF HAD PROVIDED THESE PARTICULAR PROPOSED WATER RATES THAT I’LL GO

THROUGH IN JUST A LITTLE BIT OF DETAIL FOR YOU AND THEN YOU CAN ASK ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE AND LET THE PUBLIC COMMENT.

ONE OF THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER STATE LAW FOR SPECIAL MEETINGS LIKE THIS IS TO HAVE NOTICE PROVIDED IN SPECIFIC WAYS AND BECAUSE OF SOME MISCOMMUNICATIONS, WE BELIEVE THERE MAY BE SOME GAP IN THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT GOT NOTICED. A TREMENDOUS NUMBER OF RESIDENTS OF SOLDIER SUMMIT GOT NOTICE OF THIS MEETING TONIGHT AND THEY ARE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE AND WE SEE THAT THERE ARE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC HERE. WE'RE GRATEFUL TO HAVE THAT PARTICIPATION. WE WANT TO BE FULLY TRANSPARENT AND SO TO THAT END BECAUSE OF THAT GAP ALTHOUGH THE TOPICS THAT WE'LL DISCUSS TODAY ARE IN THE BOARD POLICY DISCRETION OF THE BOARD OF SOLDIER SUMMIT, WE WOULD RECOMMEND AFTER THE CONCLUSION OF THE PRESENTATION AND PUBLIC COMMENTS TODAY THAT YOU MIGHT WANT TO CONSIDER CONTINUING THIS MATTER AND ALLOWING SOME SUBSEQUENT NOTICE TO GO OUT TO A FEW RESIDENTS WHO MAY NOT HAVE RECEIVED NOTICE PREVIOUSLY.

SO WITH THAT SAID, LET ME JUST LOOK BRIEFLY AT THIS PROPOSED WATER RATE TIERS THAT HAVE BEEN PROVIDED BY THE STAFF HERE WITH UTAH COUNTY TO ASSIST IN ANALYZING A PROPOSAL THAT THE BOARD MIGHT WANT TO IMPLEMENT:

Proposed Water Rate Tiers

Tier	Monthly Volume (gallons)	Monthly Current Rate (\$ / 1,000 gallons)	Monthly Proposed Rate (\$ / 1,000 gallons)
1	0-3,000	\$5.00	\$5.00
2	3,001-10,000	\$5.00	\$10.00
3	10,001-25,000	\$5.00	\$20.00
4	25,001-100,000	\$5.00	\$35.00
5	100,001+	\$5.00	\$50.00

Example Water Charge

If a consumer uses 75,000 gallons of water in a month, this is how the water usage would be charged under the proposed tiered system:

The first 3,000 gallons of the 75,000 gallons would be charged based on the Tier 1 Rate. The next 7,000 gallons (10,000 - 3,000) would be charged the Tier 2 Rate. The next 15,000 gallons (25,000 - 10,000) would be charged the Tier 3 Rate. The next 50,000 gallons (75,000 - 25,000) would be charged the Tier 4 Rate. In effect, this is what the calculation would be under the proposed fee schedule if 75,000 gallons of water were used in a month:

Tier 1:	3,000	gallons x (\$5.00 / 1,000 gallons)	= \$	15.00
Tier 2:	7,000	gallons x (\$10.00 / 1,000 gallons)	= \$	70.00
Tier 3:	15,000	gallons x (\$20.00 / 1,000 gallons)	= \$	300.00
Tier 4:	50,000	gallons x (\$35.00 / 1,000 gallons)	= \$	1,750.00
Tier 5:	0	gallons x (\$50.00 / 1,000 gallons)	= \$	0.00
Total:	75,000	gallons	= \$	2,135.00

SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT WATER BILLING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. Introduction

The Soldier Summit Special Service District ("DISTRICT") has implemented the following water billing policies and procedures. The provisions in this section are policy procedures only and constitute guidelines which do not create any requirements or standards which, upon non-compliance, would give rise to any claim, cause of action, or basis for non-payment for services rendered. The failure of the DISTRICT to comply strictly with any of these policy procedures and guidelines is not a waiver or relinquishment of any of the rights of the DISTRICT to seek payment for water services rendered nor does such failure create any defense to the obligation of the user to pay for water services rendered.

B. Issuance of water bills

Water bills are issued monthly for water user fees at the beginning of each month. Property owners may choose to receive water user fee bills either annually or quarterly by contacting the Utah County Auditor's Office (Accounts Receivable). Bills for metered water usage are generally issued monthly at the end of each month. During winter months, meters may not be read monthly. If the meter is not read for more than one month, then the subsequent meter reading will be divided by the number of months included in the meter reading to determine an average monthly usage. The metered usage fee will be applied to the monthly average usage. All water accounts will be established in the name of the property owner where service is rendered. Bills are mailed in the name of the property owner to the property address. The DISTRICT will, however, upon written request by the property owner, mail bills to the property owner to such other address as designated by the property owner and will also mail bills in the name of the property owner but in the care of a designated property management company at that company's address. No accounts will be established and no bills will be mailed in the name of tenants. Late notices, disconnection notices, and any other notices will be mailed in the name of the property owner to the property address or to such other address or to such property management company as has been designated by the property owner for the mailing of bills.

C. Payment of water bills

Payments of water bills are due in full on or before thirty (30) days from the date of the bill. All bills may be paid at any of the following locations or in the following manner:

1. In person: Utah County Auditor, 100 E Center Street, Suite 3600, Provo, Utah, 84606;
2. By mail: Soldier Summit SSD, Attn: Accounts Receivable, 100 E Center Street, Suite 3600, Provo, Utah, 84606;
3. Online by card (with a fee) or by ~~check~~ACH (without a fee):
<https://clerk.utahcounty.gov/online-pay>

D. Late Penalties on unpaid bills

Late penalties at the rate of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) per month shall be charged on and added to the amount of bill(s) for connection fees and meter usage that remain unpaid more than thirty (30) days after the date of the issuance of the bill. Additional late penalties of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) shall continue to be charged for each month that the bill(s) remains unpaid.

E. Late Notices

A Notice of Late Payment will be issued for any bill which remains unpaid for more than thirty (30) days after the date of the issuance of the bill. A second Courtesy Notice of Late Payment will be issued for any bill which remains unpaid for more than forty-five (45) days after the date of the issuance of the bill. A Notice of Disconnection of Service will be issued for any bill which remains unpaid for more than sixty (60) days after the date of the issuance of the bill. The Notice of Disconnection of Service will state the date by which payment of the water and sewer bill must be made in full in order to avoid the disconnection of service. The Notice of Disconnection of Service will conform with Utah Code Annotated § 178-1-902 and will notify the Property Owner that a Lien for past due services will be placed on the property if payment is not received in full within fifteen (15) days of mailing the Notice of Disconnection of Services. No further notices will be provided prior to disconnection of service. Notices will be mailed to the property owner, or if applicable, the designated property management company, at the address to which bills are mailed pursuant to sub-section B. The Notice of Disconnection of Service will be mailed by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested. A Notice of Lien will be issued for any bill which remains unpaid for more than ninety (90) days after the date of the issuance of the bill and a Lien for past due services fees will be placed on the property pursuant to Utah Code § 178-1-902.

F. Disconnection and Reconnection Policy

1. Payment in full of the water bill must be made prior to the disconnection date stated in the Notice of Disconnection of Service. If payment is presented on the disconnection date stated in the Notice of Disconnection of Service, payment must be paid in cash and/or money order in person at the Utah County Auditor's Office by 9:30 a.m. of that date. If such payment is not received by that time, Soldier Summit has the right to disconnection of water service beginning at 10:00 a.m. and a two-~~hundred dollar~~ (\$200.00) disconnection visit fee will be billed to the customer. No service will be disconnected if the balance due on the bill is less than fifty dollars (\$50.00).
2. For service to be reconnected after disconnection, the unpaid balance of the bill and a two-~~hundred dollar~~ (\$200.00) reconnection fee must be paid, in full, by cash and/or money order in person at the Utah County Auditor's Office.

SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT

Public Meeting Minutes

June 17, 2021

4 | Page

G. Returned Payments

Check payments not honored by financial institutions for any reason, including, but not limited to, non-sufficient funds are sent to a collection agency and a \$20.00 returned check fee is added to the amount due. Chargebacks for card and Echeck (ACH) payments are assessed a \$25.00 returned payment fee. If a non-payable check submitted in payment of a bill is returned to and received by the DISTRICT after the date on which the service was to be disconnected as stated in a Notice of Disconnection of Service, then water service will be disconnected immediately without any further notice, including the notices provided for in sub-section E.

H. Rental Properties

Property owners are responsible for the payment of any overdue bills or disconnection, reconnection, and late fees, and the DISTRICT will not seek payment of such expenses from tenants of the property owner.

I. Meter Reading

When a meter cannot be easily and clearly read due to obstructions such as weeds, structures, excavations, animals or other impediments, an estimate of water usage will be made based upon prior usage at that location. In that event, the DISTRICT will enclose a letter with the following bill requesting that the owner correct the problem prior to the next meter reading. If an obstruction problem remains present at the time of the next reading, an estimate of water usage will again be made based upon prior usage at that location. In that event, the DISTRICT will mail a letter by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested with the following bill stating that the property owner has fifteen (15) business days in which to correct the problem, or the water service will be disconnected.

J. Broken Meters

If at the time of reading the meter a meter is not operating and recording no usage, then an estimate of water usage will be made based upon prior usage at that location. In that event, the DISTRICT will mail a letter by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested with the following bill stating that the property owner has fifteen (15) working days to schedule an appointment for meter repair or the water service will be disconnected.

K. Replacement Meters

If a customer contends that his or her water meter is defective and informs the DISTRICT of this contention, the DISTRICT's Public Works personnel will examine and test the meter. If the meter is greater than ten (10) years old, it will be replaced at no cost to the customer. If the meter is ten (10) years old or less and determined by DISTRICT Public Works personnel to be working properly, the meter will be replaced upon request of the customer, however the customer must pay three hundred dollars (\$300.00) for the cost and installation of the new meter if the manufacturer of the meter confirms that the meter was working properly. If the manufacturer determines that the meter was defective, there will be no cost to the customer.

L. Unusually Excessive Water Use

In the event that a meter reading reflects an unusually high-water usage which is due to a broken pipe or leak in the plumbing on the property, then the DISTRICT will allow, for a first such occurrence during a five (5) year period, a reduction in the amount of the water bill. In order to be granted the reduction, the property owner must submit a written request to the DISTRICT for the reduction and state the nature of the cause of the high-water usage and the action taken to correct and resolve the problem. The revised bill will be established as based upon two (2) times the average of the water usage for the property for the four (4) quarters immediately preceding the high-water reading.

In the event that there is a second occurrence within five (5) years of an unusually high meter reading for a same property, then the property owner shall be responsible for the entire water bill.

M. Payment Plans

The DISTRICT will allow payment plans for financial hardship cases. The terms of the payment plan will be negotiated by the DISTRICT BOARD based upon the financial circumstances of the property owner requesting the payment plan.

N. New Owner Rate Policy

A new property owner will be billed at a non-connected rate. The new property owner will not be billed at the rate of the previous property owner.

O. Connection Fees

To connect to the water system, property owners must visit Utah County Public Works, 2855 South State Street, Provo, Utah, 84606. Where a meter exists, the property owner will need to pay a three-hundred-dollar (\$300.00) connection fee plus the current cost of a District-approved meter, if needed. Where there is not a meter, the property owner will need to pay for the current cost of connection from the main water line to, and including, the District-approved meter. All new services must provide for all water mains and fire hydrants to service their facilities. Property owners are responsible for the water line from the meter to point of usage.

P. Tiered Rate Billing Procedure

Metered water usage will be billed on a tier rate system where lower usage is billed at a lower rate and higher usage is billed at increasingly higher rates.

(No questions from the Board)

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS

GALEN STEWART– WE'RE HERE TO REPRESENT THE SOLDIER SUMMIT HOA AND THE OWNER'S ASSOCIATION UP THERE AND WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT THE WATER THAT'S BEING USED THROUGH SOME OF OUR USERS AND WHAT WE CAN DO TO HELP RECTIFY SOME OF THE USE THAT'S GOING ON BY EITHER IMPOSING MORE STRICT FINES FOR THE USE OR COMPLETELY SHUTTING THE WATER OFF WITH OVERUSE. WE HAVE A LOT OF NEW HOMEOWNERS COMING IN. EVERY LOT IS SOLD NOW. WE HAVE PROBABLY 20 CABINS AND PROBABLY 20 MORE GOING IN WITHIN THE NEXT YEAR SO OUR WATER IS GOING TO BE OUR MOST IMPORTANT ASSET UP THERE AND ALSO MAINTAINING WHAT OUR CC&R's (*Covenants, Conditions & Restrictions*) STATED FOR THESE HOMEOWNERS TO ABIDE BY.

DARREN DEWSNUP, PROPERTY OWNER ON BOTH SIDES OF SOLDIER SUMMIT ESTATES AND OWNER OF APPROXIMATELY 4,000 ACRES. I AM HERE TO STRONGLY ADVOCATE FOR WHAT IS BEING PROPOSED HERE. I THINK THE THEME OF CONSERVATION IS EVER-PRESENT AND MORE IMPORTANT THAN EVER BEFORE. I FEEL THAT THIS IS A CORRECT PATH TOWARDS CONSERVATION AS MORE PEOPLE LOOK TO COME OUT OF THE VALLEY AND RECREATE UP THERE, THE USAGE WILL NEED TO BE CONTROLLED AND I LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THIS SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT AS AN ADDITIONAL WELL IS DRILLED AND WE'LL BE A GOOD PARTNER WITH THIS DISTRICT IN THE YEARS TO COME. THANK YOU.

BRADY CHRISTENSEN, UTAH COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS – I'M INVOLVED IN THE WATER UP THERE AND I JUST WANT TO GIVE EVERYBODY THAT'S EITHER ZOOMING OR HERE TONIGHT JUST A DETAIL OF WHY WE'RE DOING THIS. BACK WHEN THE DEPARTMENT OF DRINKING WATER DID THEIR CALCULATION FOR THIS SUBDIVISION, THERE WERE 154 LOTS INCLUDED AND IT SAYS IS THE DRINKING WATER USED FOR OUTDOOR IRRIGATION AND IT STATES 'NO'. SO, THIS SUBDIVISION WAS BUILT WITH THE INTENTION OF NOBODY WATERING OUTSIDE. THE SYSTEM IS NOT BUILT TO HANDLE THAT. THESE ARE SECOND HOMES. IT IS BUILT TO HANDLE THE USE THAT THOSE PROVIDE AND NOT ANY OUTSIDE WATERING. DARREN THAT JUST SPOKE, OWNS THE MAJORITY OF THE WATER IN THE WELL THAT WE HAVE UP THERE SO THE HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION ONLY HAS 35-37% OF THE WATER IN THAT WELL SO WE ARE LIMITED OF WHAT WE CAN DO UP THERE. ONCE WE HIT 100 CONNECTIONS, WE HAVE TO DRILL ANOTHER WELL. THAT HAS BEEN PUT IN PLACE I BELIEVE THE WATER. I DON'T KNOW THE DETAILS OF HOW ALL THAT WAS DONE WHEN THIS WAS BUILT. THAT'S THE MAIN REASON THAT THIS IS COMING TO A PROPOSAL AS WE'VE GOT LIMITATIONS AND WE HAVE TO STICK WITH HOW IT WAS DESIGNED OR WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE THE WATER UP THERE. WE TRY TO KEEP THE TANKS FULL SO THAT WE'VE GOT THEM FOR FIRES. WHEN THE SYSTEM WAS PUT IN, WE HAD TO PUMP AT LEAST 85 GALLONS A MINUTE TO KEEP UP WITH THE CALL FOR THAT WELL. WE'RE PUMPING ABOUT 90 NOW SO WE'RE DOING PRETTY GOOD THAT WAY BUT AS THE

SUMMER WEARS ON IT WILL BE INTERESTING TO SEE HOW THE PUMP DOES. IT'S A GOOD WELL BUT WE'VE GOT TO WATCH IT AND THAT'S WHY THIS WAS ALL BROUGHT TO THE BOARD TO ASK IF WE COULD CONSIDER A RATE INCREASE.

MIKE TAYLOR - YOU HAD A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL CC&R.

BRADY CHRISTENSEN - YES, THIS IS A COPY OF THE AMENDMENT TO PROTECTIVE COVENANTS FOR THE SOLDIER SUMMIT ESTATES SUBDIVISION THAT WAS BACK IN 2005. IT STATES, 'IN ORDER TO PRESERVE THE NATURAL APPEARANCE OF THESE LOTS AND TO CONSERVE WATER IN AN ARID CLIMATE, LAWN OWNERS MAY NOT IRRIGATE OR OTHERWISE PROVIDE WATER FOR LANDSCAPING AND VEGETATION ON THEIR LAWNS'.

MIKE TAYLOR - HOW DOES THIS AFFECT THE STATION COMMERCIALY OVER THERE WITH THESE WATER RATES?

BRADY CHRISTENSEN - THE STATION HAS A COMMERCIAL WATER RATE SO IT'S A LITTLE BIT HIGHER THAN THE RESIDENTIAL RATES AND RIGHT NOW THEY DO NOT HAVE METERS OVER THERE. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH WITH SOME FUNDING AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN GET THAT TAKEN CARE OF AND GET A SYSTEM OVER THERE THAT IS TRUSTWORTHY. HE IS CHARGED A FLAT RATE FOR HIS CONNECTION OF \$64.50 PER MONTH AND THAT MAY BE SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE LOOK AT AS WELL. WHERE WE DON'T HAVE METERS, MAYBE THERE NEEDS TO BE ANOTHER CHARGE THERE FOR THE COMMERCIAL RATES. THAT MAY BE ANOTHER TIME IN ANOTHER MEETING, BUT THEY NEED TO PAY THEIR FAIR SHARE.

ABOUT THE STATION – THEY PUMP TO THE FIRST TANK SO THEY'RE NOT USING THE ELECTRICITY AND THE RESOURCES THAT THE FOLKS THAT ARE UP ON THE TOP OF THE MOUNTAIN ARE USING. THEY PUMP THE TANK AND THEN IT RUNS DOWN INTO THEIR TANK AND IT FLOWS FROM THEIR TANK DOWN SO THEY'RE NOT USING THE POWER THAT THE OTHER PLACES ARE, BUT THEY ARE USING THE WATER.

MIKE TAYLOR - THANK YOU. I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE MEMBERS TO UNDERSTAND THE COST OF PUMPING WATER FROM THE BOTTOM CLEAR TO THE TOP. WE'RE FILLING THREE TANKS WE GOT CLOSE TO A MILLION GALLONS OF WATER AND I UNDERSTAND THEY'RE FULL RIGHT NOW FOR FIRE PROTECTION WITH THE PENDING BENION CREEK FIRE UP THERE AND SO WE'RE ALL CONCERNED ABOUT THAT.

BRADY CHRISTENSEN - I DID GET A COUPLE OF PHONE CALLS. SOME PEOPLE ARE ON THEIR WAY THAT ARE IN TRAFFIC AND THEY WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO SPEAK.

BEN STANLEY - WE RECEIVED AT LEAST ONE COMMENT BY EMAIL EARLIER IN THE DAY TODAY AND SO IF I COULD READ THAT. THIS IS FROM ROBERT BAIRD. HE ASKS A SERIES OF QUESTIONS THAT ARE BULLET POINTED:

- * WHAT IS YOUR INTENTION OF THIS MEETING AND SERVICE ON THIS BOARD. ONE OF YOUR BOARD MEMBERS ASKED ME THAT HE SAW MY COMPLETED PROJECT THAT WAS 100% COMPLIANT WITH COUNTY AND HOA RULES AT THE TIME. I FEEL IT IS UNFAIR TO COMPARE WATER USAGE OF A FEW USERS TO THAT OF LARGELY UNUSED CAMPSITES.**
- * IS YOUR GOAL TO JUST LIMIT OR DO YOU HAVE PARAMETER STANDARDS, COMPARISONS?**
- * HOW CAN YOU MAKE A DECISION IF YOU DO NOT KNOW HOW MUCH WATER YOU OWN HAVE TO DIVVY UP IN ACRE FEET. YOU WILL NOT JUST HURT REGULAR WATER USERS WITH MANY PARTS OF CONSTRUCTION. DO YOU KNOW HOW MUCH WATER AN EXCAVATION COMPANY USES TO COMPACT A PROPERLY MADE GRAVEL ROAD.?**
- * DO YOU KNOW HOW THESE PROPOSED RATES COMPARE TO YOUR OWN PERSONAL RATES AT YOUR OWN HOME WHERE THE EVAPORATION RATES ARE 70% HIGHER AND AVERAGE DAILY TEMPERATURE IS 15 DEGREES WARMER. IT SEEMS HYPOCRITICAL TO ASK FOR HIGHER RATES HERE THAN YOU PAY AT YOUR HOME.**
- * THE WATER COMES FROM UP HERE AND IS LESS WASTEFUL THAN IN THE VALLEY.**
- * I SUBMITTED MY ENTIRE PLAN TO COUNTY AND HOA OVER 2 YEARS AGO AND NO CONCERNS WERE RAISED AS I BEGAN THE PROJECT. I SPENT OVER \$100,000 ON LANDSCAPING AND LION SIGHT DEVELOPMENT. AS SOON AS I FINISHED, THE HOA THEN CHANGED THEIR RULES AND THIS BOARD MET WITHOUT REACHING OUT TO ME AND SPECIFICALLY TALKED ABOUT ME AND MADE A DECISION ABOUT ME WITHOUT EVER EVEN TALKING TO ME FOR INFORMATION. DO YOU FEEL THAT IS FAIR AND REPRESENT THE GOAL OF TRANSPARENCY?**
- * I SIMPLY WANT TO USE THE SAME WATER AS ANY ¼ ACRE HOUSE IN SPANISH FORK.**
- * I PUT IN FIRE PREVENTION ON MY CABIN ROOF SPRINKLERS TO FEED FROM THE SMALL POND SO I WOULDN'T BURN THE WATER SYSTEM THAT I CAN'T USE NOW.**
- * DO YOU KNOW COMPARABLE RATES IN THE VALLEY THAT HAS HIGHER TEMPERATURES AND EVAPORATION RATES IN CALIFORNIA AND RATES IN LAS VEGAS? THEY ARE MUCH LESS THAT WHAT YOU ARE PROPOSING TODAY.**
- * DO YOU FEEL THIS IS FAIR AND YOU HAVE DEALT WITH THE PROCESS FAIRLY BECAUSE YOU NEED TO KNOW YOUR POWER AND DECISIONS TODAY WILL AFFECT OTHER PEOPLE IN SIGNIFICANT WAY AND WE ARE TRUSTING YOU THAT YOU WILL USE YOUR STEWARDSHIP FAIRLY.**
- * I COULD HAVE RUSHED TO FILL MY POND AS I COMPLETED ALL ENGINEERING AND WORK REQUIRED BY COUNTY LAST SUMMER HOWEVER, I HAVE NOT MOVED FORWARD BECAUSE I RESPECT THE PROCESS AND WANT TO DO THIS RIGHT AND FIND AN APPROPRIATE AND FAIR SOLUTION .**
- * I FEEL IF THIS BODY MOVES FORWARD TODAY WITH THIS DECISION, THEY WILL HAVE VIOLATED OUR PUBLIC TRUST.**
- * AS BASIC QUESTIONS HAVE YET TO BE ANSWERED AND PRESENTED ALONG WITH THE PROPOSED RATES, ANSWERS TO THE ABOVE QUESTIONS ARE VERY RELEVANT TO A WISE AND THOUGHTFUL DECISION WHICH I BELIEVE IS ALL OUR GOAL.**

*** I 100% SUPPORT A TIERED SYSTEM BUT ASK YOU TO TAKE TIME TO ANSWER THE ABOVE QUESTIONS FIRST SO WE CAN ALL FEEL GOOD ABOUT A COMMUNITY SOLUTION AS I BELIEVE IS THE GOAL WE EACH DESIRE.
-ROBERT BAIRD**

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - IS THIS SCHEDULE ONLINE SO PEOPLE CAN SEE IT?

BEN STANLEY - THIS SCHEDULE WAS PROVIDED IN THE NOTICE THAT WAS RECEIVED BY THE VAST MAJORITY OF COMMUNITY MEMBERS BUT HAS NOT BEEN RECEIVED BY EVERYONE. I DON'T KNOW IF THE RESOLUTION ITSELF HAS BEEN POSTED ONLINE YET.

THAT'S WHY WE'RE RECOMMENDING A CONTINUANCE AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S NO QUESTION THAT EVERY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC RECEIVED THIS SCHEDULE.

GALEN STEWART – I'D LIKE TO NOTE FOR THE RECORD THAT WHEN EVERY HOMEOWNER, EVERY LOT OWNER THAT IS IN SOLDIER SUMMIT RECEIVES A COPY OF OUR CC&Rs AND AS BRADY EXPLAINED OURS STATE THAT THERE IS NO LANDSCAPING SO FOR ANYONE TO SAY ANYTHING OTHERWISE IS WRONG AND SO EVERY HOMEOWNER HAS THAT AND SHOULD BE ABIDING BY THE WATER RULES THAT WE HAVE IN PLACE AND WE HAVE LOT OWNERS THAT ARE EXCEEDING THOSE EXPECTATIONS FOR SURE.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - THE CC&R WAS FIRST PUBLISHED IN 2005 AND IT IS RENEWED EACH YEAR?

GALEN STEWART – NOT SO MUCH RENEWED EACH YEAR BUT IT HAS BEEN PRETTY MUCH THE SAME EVERY YEAR I THINK WE HAD IN 2016 AND THEN IN 2020 WE DID SOME AMENDMENTS TO IT AND THEN HAVE THEM REVISED BUT THE WATER AND LANDSCAPING VERBIAGE HAS NOT CHANGED SINCE THE DEVELOPMENT.

BRADY CHRISTENSEN - YOU MENTIONED THAT UTAH COUNTY HAD APPROVED THE POND IN THE ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT, BUT UTAH COUNTY HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE WATER USAGE. THAT IS CONTROLLED BY THE BOARD SO THE BOARD SHUT HIM DOWN AND TOLD HIM HE COULDN'T FILL HIS POND, NOT UTAH COUNTY. HE SAID UTAH COUNTY IS APPROVING HIS PROJECT, BUT THEY HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH WHAT WATER HE CAN USE.

MIKE TAYLOR – IF I COULD MAKE A COMMENT ONTO THAT. THAT WAS AN EMAIL THAT WAS SENT TO ME PERSONALLY. I PASSED IT ON TO OUR COUNTY ATTORNEY AND WANTED TO MAKE IT PART OF THE RECORD OF THIS MEETING AND I THANK MR. BAIRD FOR SENDING IN HIS QUESTIONS AND THAT WE WOULD TRY TO ADDRESS THEM TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITY.

THERE IS A COMMENT IN THERE THAT I THINK IT WAS REFERRING TO THIS BOARD BUT SAYING THIS BOARD MET WITH HIM AND APPROVED THE PROJECT

AND I DID NOT BE WITH HIM. SPENCER (PARK) DID YOU EVER MEET WITH ROBERT BAIRD ON HIS PROPERTY?

SPENCER PARK – I’VE NEVER MET WITH ANYBODY.

MIKE TAYLOR - SO NONE OF US FROM THIS BOARD HAD MET WITH HIM AND APPROVED ANYTHING. I DID MEET WITH HIM UP ON THE PROPERTY AND GO THROUGH IT WITH HIM AND EXPRESSED OUR CONCERN FOR HIS WATER USAGE.

GALEN STEWART - THE HOA PRIOR TO MY BEING ON THE BOARD - THERE WAS A MIX-UP WHEN HE TRIED TO SUBMIT HIS BUILDING PLANS THAT THE HOA NEVER RECEIVED THEM SO THE HOA HAS NEVER SEEN ACCESS PLANS. WE’VE NEVER SEEN HOME PLANS. WE HAVE NOT SEEN ANY IOTA OF INFORMATION FROM THAT OWNER.

MIKE TAYLOR - DOES THE HOA UP THERE HAVE AN ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION STILL.

GALEN STEWART - THEY DO HAVE AN ARCHITECTURAL CALLED THE ARB AND I’VE BEEN ON THAT BOARD FOR ALMOST 2 YEARS NOW AND I RECEIVE ALL OF THAT INFORMATION, SO IT GOES TO OUR PROJECT MANAGEMENT COMPANY, PMI AND THEN THEY FORWARD THAT OVER TO MYSELF AND DAVE SUDWEEKS. WE REVIEW EVERY PLAN, EVERY ACCESS PLAN, EVERY HOME PLAN THAT IT MEETS THE GUIDELINES OF THE CC&Rs AND IF IT DOES NOT, THEN WE MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS AND IF THEY’RE NOT, THEN WE DON’T APPROVE THOSE PLANS FOR CONSTRUCTION. THE CURRENT BOARD DID NOT HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW, EVEN PRIOR ONES FROM 10 YEARS AGO AND AS A COURSE AND UP THROUGH PROBABLY 2017. AS THE PROPERTY STARTED TO BE PURCHASED AND MORE HOMES WERE BEING BUILT, THE HOA TOOK A LITTLE MORE STRINGENT COURSE BECAUSE WE COULD SEE THE COMPLEXITIES OF A LOT MORE HOMEOWNERS COMING IN AND WE NEEDED TO BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN WHAT THE CC&Rs ARE TELLING US TO DO AND ADVOCATE FOR THOSE RULES AND FOR THE GOOD OF THE WHOLE COMMUNITY.

DAVID SUDWEEKS, RESIDENT – THE ONLY CONCERN THAT I HAVE IS IF IT’S AN ACCIDENTAL WATER USAGE I WOULD LIKE THE WATER BOARD TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS. BUT IF IT’S INTENTIONAL USE, I ACTUALLY THINK THAT WHAT’S PROPOSED IS A LITTLE LIGHT. I THINK WE’VE GOT A LOT OF CABINS GOING IN. WHEN I BOUGHT MY LOT IT WAS INTERESTING BECAUSE WE DIDN’T KNOW ANYTHING. WE BOUGHT IT FROM AN OWNER. I PUT MY TITLE COMPANY TO WORK AND DID MY DUE DILIGENCE. I FOUND OUT THAT IF I WANTED TO LIVE THERE FULL-TIME, I HAD TO HAVE ANOTHER ½ SHARE OF WATER AND I ALSO KNEW THAT I COULDN’T WATER OUTSIDE. THAT WAS VERY CLEAR AND IT WASN’T THE ARB OR SOLDIER SUMMIT ESTATES BOARD THAT SAID THAT, IT WAS THE COUNTY. SO AFTER MY DUE DILIGENCE, I PURCHASED THE PROPERTY WITH THE EXPECTATIONS THAT WE HAD TO BE CAREFUL WITH WATER AND WE CAN’T WATER OUTSIDE. WE CAN’T HAVE GRASS, TREES, ETC. SO I DID THAT WHEN I BOUGHT MY PROPERTY AND IT’S A LITTLE FRUSTRATING THAT SOME OF MY NEIGHBORS FEEL LIKE THEY CAN DO THAT EVEN THOUGH

THEY HAVE THE SAME OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT THE SITUATION AS I DID AND I BOUGHT BEFORE A LOT OF THEM.

I PERSONALLY WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE COUNTY JUST SHUT THEIR WATER OFF IF THEY GO OVER 50,000 A MONTH. IT'S RIDICULOUS TO USE THAT MUCH WATER. THAT MEANS YOU'RE DOING SOMETHING COMMERCIAL OR SOMETHING EXTRAVAGANT THAT'S NOT MEANT TO BE ON THAT MOUNTAIN. I THINK THAT WE NEED TO PROTECT THAT MOUNTAIN AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOMETHING MORE STRINGENT HAPPEN.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - DOES HOA HAVE AN INFLUENCE ON EXCESSIVE WATER OR WATERING OUTSIDE?

DAVE SUDWEEKS - SO THE HOA IS PRETTY LIMITED. THEY'VE GOT TO ANNOUNCE A COUPLE DAYS BEFORE COMING ON A PROPERTY. WE CAN COME DOWN AND LOOK AT IT BUT WE DON'T HAVE ACCESS TO SEE WHAT KIND OF WATER IS USED UNLESS WE LOOK AT PUBLIC RECORDS, BUT WE DON'T REALLY KNOW WHO'S USING WHAT UNLESS WE TALK TO THE COUNTY AND TRY AND FIGURE THAT OUT. WE DEFINITELY HAVE IN OUR CC&Rs THAT THOSE THINGS AREN'T SUPPOSED TO HAPPEN SO IF WE WALKED ONTO A LOT AND SEE GRASS AND TREES AND SPRINKLER SYSTEMS THEN WE CAN ASSESS FINES. PEOPLE HAVE PLENTY OF TIME TO GET RID OF THOSE TYPES OF THINGS BEFORE WE WALK ONTO THEIR PROPERTY. METERS TELL ALL SO THAT'S WHAT WE REALLY NEED.

THIS COMMUNITY IS SUPPOSED TO BE SINGLE DWELLING, IT'S NOT SUPPOSED TO BE CAMPGROUNDS AND I'M CONCERNED BECAUSE THERE'S A PARTICULAR CAMPGROUND THERE THAT'S ADVERTISED ONLINE AND YOU CAN MAKE RESERVATIONS AND THIS IS ONE THAT IS USING A TON OF WATER AND THEY HAVE QUITE A FEW CAMPSITES, QUITE A BIT OF ROAD AND A LOT OF STRUCTURES. IT'S NOT ALLOWED IN THE CC&Rs AND NOT ALLOWED IN THE COUNTY. I HOPE I GET THIS RIGHT, BUT THE URBAN WILDLIFE INTERFACE ALLOWS US TO HAVE ONE FIRE PIT, NOT 6-7. I'M CONCERNED AND I THINK THE FIRE MARSHAL NEEDS TO TAKE A CLOSE LOOK AT THAT BECAUSE IF SOMETHING HAPPENS, WE ALL HAVE HYDRANTS, BUT THOSE HYDRANTS ARE FOR SINGLE FAMILY DWELLINGS, THEY'RE NOT FOR CAMPGROUNDS.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - HAS THE FIRE MARSHAL BEEN INVOLVED IN ANY OF THIS?

BEN STANLEY - NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE BUT I WILL NOTE THAT ONE OF THE EXHIBITS THAT IS PROVIDED WITH THE RESOLUTION IS SOME RECOMMENDED UPDATES TO THE SOLDIER SUMMIT SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT WATER BILLING PROCEDURES AND THAT DOCUMENT DOES CONTAIN AND REFLECT SOME OF THE CURRENT PRACTICES AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS RELATED TO THINGS LIKE EXCESSIVE WATER USE. IT DOES ALLOW FOR A FIRST EXCESSIVE USE IN A 5-YEAR PERIOD TO BE REVIEWED. IT COULD BE A BROKEN LINE OR SOME OTHER PROBLEM AND NOT AN INTENTIONAL OVERUSE. THERE ARE CLAUSES IN THAT BILLING POLICY AND PROCEDURES THAT DO ALLOW FOR CUTTING OFF SERVICE AND THINGS LIKE THAT. AS A PRACTICAL MATTER

THAT'S RARELY EVER DONE BUT THE RIGHT IS THERE TO CUT OFF SERVICE IF THE BOARD DECIDES TO GO THAT DIRECTION.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - IS EXCESSIVE DEFINED AS A CERTAIN GALLON AMOUNT?

BEN STANLEY - IN SECTION L IT SAYS, 'IN THE EVENT OF A METER READING REFLECTS AN UNUSUALLY HIGH-WATER USAGE WHICH IS DUE TO A BROKEN PIPE OR LEAK OR IN THE PLUMBING OF THE PROPERTY, THEN THE DISTRICT WILL ALLOW FOR FIRST SUCH OCCURRENCE A REDUCTION IN THE AMOUNT OF THE WATER BILL' AND IT DETAILS SOME OF THE PROCEDURE.

SO IT IS NOT DEFINED BY A SPECIFIC GALLON AMOUNT, IT'S DEFINED BY WHAT CAUSED IT AND THAT WOULD JUST BE UNUSUALLY HIGH.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - SO NO ALLOWANCES FOR DELIBERATE EXCESSIVE USE AS TO WHAT THAT MIGHT MEAN?

BEN STANLEY - THERE'S NO ALLOWANCE FOR THAT AS I READ THE POLICIES.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - I MIGHT NEED TO LOOK AT THAT.

BEN STANLEY - THESE ARE ALL POLICY DECISIONS BY THIS BOARD AND SO AS YOU TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT AND CONSIDER WHAT YOU WANT TO ADOPT AS THE POLICIES, THE STAFF PROPOSALS ARE SIMPLY THAT - WHAT WE'VE LOOKED AT AND WHAT WE'VE RECOMMENDED - YOU CAN CERTAINLY ENACT SOMETHING DIFFERENT WITH PROPER FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS.

DAVE SUDWEEKS - I'D LIKE TO MAKE ONE MORE COMMENT ABOUT THE STRICTNESS OF THE ASSESSMENT. I BELIEVE THAT IT IS ALSO TOO LIGHT. I BELIEVE THAT IF SOMEBODY HAS ENOUGH MONEY, THEY'RE GOING TO KEEP PAYING IT NO MATTER WHAT. IT MAY MEAN NOTHING TO SOMEBODY, BUT IT MEANS A LOT TO EVERYBODY ELSE. WE NEED TO LOOK AT A SHUTDOWN PERIOD AND IF THEY CONTINUE TO EXCEED THAT LIMIT, THEY CAN BE TERMINATED FROM THE SYSTEM AT SOME POINT.

SPENCER PARK - WE HAVE SEVERAL MOUNTAIN COMMUNITIES UP IN WASATCH COUNTY AND THIS SEEMS VERY RELEVANT TO WHAT WE HAVE AND WE HAVE SOME THAT OBVIOUSLY STILL PROVIDE LANDSCAPING EVEN THOUGH THEY'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO. IF YOU'RE A LOT OWNER, YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF ACRE FOOT AND ANYTHING YOU USE ABOVE THAT ACTUALLY HURTS EVERYBODY ELSE.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - IS THIS SOMETHING WE NEED TO MAKE A MOTION THAT THEY ADD SOME KIND OF CLAUSE THAT ADDRESSES DELIBERATE EXCESSIVE USES OF WATER AND WHAT DEFINES EXCESSIVE USE AND WHAT ARE THE PENALTIES THAT GO WITH THOSE EXCESSIVE USES?

MIKE TAYLOR - I WOULD LIKE TO CONSIDER WHAT WE CAN DO THERE TO BE FAIR WITH EVERYONE.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - DOES THIS REQUIRE A MOTION OR JUST A REQUEST?

BEN STANLEY - YOU COULD MAKE A MOTION IN THIS MEETING. OUR RECOMMENDATION IS GIVEN THAT THERE MAY BE A GAP OF PEOPLE WHO DIDN'T RECEIVE THE NOTICE SO WE RECOMMEND THAT YOU USE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO USE THIS AS LIKE A WORK SESSION TO GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF TO LOOK AT CERTAIN THINGS OR INQUIRE ON CERTAIN SUBJECTS OR IF IT'S JUST THINGS YOU WANT TO THINK ABOUT AS BOARD MEMBERS IN THE COMING DAYS AND THEN HAVE A MORE FULL DISCUSSION WITH POTENTIALLY MORE OF THE PUBLIC HERE. THAT WOULD BE OUR RECOMMENDATION BUT IT'S YOUR MEETING – YOU'RE THE POLICY MAKERS.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - SO WE WANT TO PERHAPS CONTINUE THIS DISCUSSION WITH ADDITIONS THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED BY THE RESIDENTS AND COUNCIL?

MIKE TAYLOR - AND THAT WOULD REQUIRE A MOTION, WOULD IT NOT?

BEN STANLEY - YES, A MOTION TO CONTINUE AND WE WOULD REQUEST TO A SPECIFIC DATE SO THAT WE CAN MAKE FURTHER PUBLIC NOTICE ABOUT THAT SPECIFIC DATE.

ALICE BLACK, UTAH COUNTY COMMISSION CLERK - NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING IS AUGUST 17TH.

MIKE TAYLOR - I THINK TO GIVE NOTICE AND FAIRNESS TO THE ASSOCIATION, WE COULD PROBABLY DO IT THROUGH STEVE FRITZCHE THE PMI, AND HAVE HIM NOTICE THE WHOLE ASSOCIATION. HE HAS THE LIST OF EVERYONE. AND THEN POST FOR THE ONES ACROSS THE STREET IN THE CITY COMMUNITY AT THE SERVICE STATION THERE.

BEN STANLEY - WHAT STATE LAW REQUIRES UNDER UTAH CODE 17B-1-643 IS IT GIVES TWO OPTIONS FOR NOTICE: IT CAN EITHER BE MAILED OUT TO ALL AFFECTED PROPERTY OWNERS OR IT CAN BE POSTED IN A PROMINENT LOCATION IN THE COMMUNITY PER 1,000 RESIDENTS AND EITHER WAY THERE HAS TO BE SOME ONLINE POSTING AND OTHER THINGS AND THE DISTRICT DID ALL THE ONLINE POSTING AND DID SOME PROMINENT POSTING BUT NOT IN THE DISTRICT AND MAILED TO A NUMBER OF RESIDENTS BUT WE'RE JUST NOT CONFIDENT THAT EVERY LAST PROPERTY OWNER RECEIVED A MAILED OUT NOTICE BECAUSE IT WAS INCLUDED WITH UTILITY BILLS AND SOME RESIDENTS ARE ON ANNUAL OR QUARTERLY CYCLES AND SO THEY DON'T GET THE MONTHLY BILL. SO, OUR RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE THAT ALL THOSE AVENUES OUGHT TO BE PURSUED. WE BELIEVE FIRMLY IN GOING ABOVE AND BEYOND THE CALL OF DUTY AS BEING AS TRANSPARENT AS POSSIBLE.

ALSO, THE AUGUST 17TH MEETING IS NOT AFTER 6:00 P.M. AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THE STATE LAW REQUIRES IS THESE KIND OF SPECIAL MEETINGS HAVE TO BE AFTER 6:00 P.M., SO WE WOULD RECOMMEND AN EARLIER

MEETING BE SCHEDULED SPECIFICALLY TO HEAR THE REMINDER OF THIS CONTINUED ITEM.

4. APPROVE OR REJECT PROPOSED WATER RATES

SPENCER PARK: MOTION TO CONTINUE TO AUGUST 3, 2021 AT 6:00 P.M. AT THE SAME LOCATION AND TO ALSO INCLUDE LANGUAGE ABOUT WHAT DEFINES EXCESSIVE, DELIBERATE USE OF WATER AND WHAT THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT WOULD BE AND ANY PENALTIES THAT CAN BE IDENTIFIED WITH THAT.

MIKE TAYLOR: SECOND

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – I DO HAVE ONE OBSERVATION THAT I WANTED TO BRING UP AND BRADY (CHRISTENSEN) AND I TALKED TO RICHARD NIELSON EARLIER TODAY ON THIS – ARPA FUNDS HAVE BEEN RELEASED I THINK LAST WEEK, AND WE ARE WITHIN 20 RESIDENTS TO BEING STATE REQUIRED TO HAVE A SECOND WELL. EVEN THOUGH IT’S NOT AN AGENDA ITEM BUT WE’RE LOOKING AT WORKING WITH THE WASATCH COUNTY FOR THEIR PERCENTAGE RATIO TO WORK AND USING ARPA FUNDS TO GET A PROPOSAL TOGETHER FOR THAT SECOND WELL. IT’S GOING TO COST ROUGHLY \$250,000 TO DIG THE WELL AND THEN ANOTHER \$1.5 MILLION TO SET UP A PUMPING STATION AND DRIVE THE LINE UNDER THE FREEWAY TO THE REST OF THE COMMUNITY. THOSE ARE ROUGH NUMBERS. IF WE CAN START LOOKING AT THAT BETWEEN NOW AND WE CAN MAKE THAT AN AGENDA ITEM ALSO FOR AUGUST 3RD.

DAVID SUDWEEKS – I JUST HAVE ONE THING. FOR THE GAS STATION ACROSS THE STREET, IT MAKES SENSE TO TREAT THEM A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY THAN A SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING ON THE WATER USAGE AND THE WATER SCHEDULES. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE’RE THINKING OF THAT BECAUSE THEY HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT USE THE RESTROOMS, AND WE LIKE THEM THERE.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - SO THE AMENDMENTS THAT WE PROBABLY BE RESIDENTIAL SPECIFIC THOUGH.

DANENE JACKSON, UTAH COUNTY FINANCE MANAGER – CURRENTLY THAT SIDE ISN’T METERED SO THEY DON’T PAY. THIS FEE WOULDN’T IMPACT THEM AT ALL.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - WE MIGHT WANT TO LOOK AHEAD THEN AND MAYBE CONSIDER THAT AS ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE. I DON’T KNOW IF WE WANT TO INCLUDE COMMERCIAL.

BEN STANLEY - OUR RECOMMENDATION FROM THE LEGAL SIDE WOULD BE THAT THAT’S CERTAINLY A POLICY DECISION THAT OUGHT TO BE CONSIDERED IF YOU WANT TO CHANGE THE WAY THE COMMERCIALS ARE TREATED. WE WOULD BE HAPPY TO INTEGRATE LANGUAGE INTO WHAT WE’RE TALKING ABOUT IN THE MEETING ON AUGUST 3RD WOULD BE RESTRICTED TO THE

RESIDENTIAL. IT WOULD PROBABLY MAKE SENSE TO NOT CONFLATE TOO MANY ISSUES INTO ONE SPECIAL MEETING.

DANENE JACKSON - THE ONLY THING I WOULD WONDER THOUGH IS I JUST HEARD SOME INFORMATION THAT THERE IS SORT OF A COMMERCIAL-RUN PROPERTY THAT POSSIBLY SHOULD BE PAYING HIGHER RATES.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - LET'S GO AHEAD AND CONTINUE THAT FOR MAYBE AUGUST 3RD DISCUSSION ITEM AND IF THERE'S SOME INFORMATION WE CAN BRING UP AT THAT TIME, WE CAN BRING IT UP. IF NOT, WE'LL HAVE TO BRING UP LATER.

BRADY CHRISTENSEN, UTAH COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS – ONE MORE THING WE MAY WANT FOR THE NEXT MEETING IS ONCE WE HIT OUR 100 PEOPLE UP THERE AND IT'S PROBABLY SOMETHING THAT WE AT THE PUBLIC WORKS ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT NEED TO GET INTO AND FIND OUT IS ONCE WE HIT OUR 100, ARE WE ALLOWED TO HOOK MORE PEOPLE TO IT UNTIL THIS NEW WELL IS DRILLED BECAUSE I CAN SEE A HORNET'S NEST IF YOU START TELLING PEOPLE THEY CAN'T TIE TO WATER SO THAT'S SOMETHING WE NEED TO GET DETERMINED AND KNOW WHAT WE'RE DOING RIGHT UP FRONT.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – IF YOU COULD WORK WITH THE CIVIL DIVISION BETWEEN NOW AND AUGUST 3RD ON THOSE QUESTIONS AND WE CAN ADDRESS THOSE.

**MIKE TAYLOR: MOTION TO ADJOURN
SPENCER PARK: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0**

MEETING ADJOURNED

(Meeting Adjourned: 6:53 P.M.)

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting should notify Alice Black at 801-851-8111. Handicap parking is available, as well as a level entrance to the building. Interpretation services are available with prior notice for the hearing disabled.

Those in Attendance Via Zoom:
Spencer Park (Board Member)
Dan Telschow
Don Wilconico
Sean Tedrow
Rob Moore

