

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
1 | Page



PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
WILL HOLD A PUBLIC MEETING IN THE
Commission Chambers Room 1400
100 E CENTER ST, PROVO, UT 84606
02/10/2021 3:00 PM

Board members may participate electronically at will, with the anchor location as stated above.

*In accordance with the High Transmission Area restrictions instituted by the Utah State Dept of Health in Utah County & CDC guidelines, the following restrictions apply to this meeting: 1) Members of the public are strongly encouraged to participate electronically; 2) To accommodate physical distancing requirements, physical attendance will be limited to 20 people (including staff) at the anchor location; 3) Once the room has reached capacity the doors will be locked, and additional attendees will be invited to participate online; 4) All individuals in attendance must comply with State Public Health Order 2020-1927 requiring the wearing of face masks in indoor spaces, with certain exceptions, and maintaining at least six feet physical distance from any individual from a separate household.

The public may participate electronically by joining via zoom at the following link <https://zoom.us/j/9938818466> or calling (346) 248-7799 or (669)900-6833, Meeting ID: 993 881 8466. Comments will be limited to three (3) minutes per individual unless otherwise approved by the Board. Please state your name at the beginning of the comment. Please no foul or abusive language.

~COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES~

*Commissioner Lee (Chair) and Commission Sakievick in Attendance
Commissioner Ainge in Attendance Via Zoom*

(Meeting Called to Order: 3: 03 P.M.)

PRAYER/READING/THOUGHT: **Peter Brown**
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: **Jeanne Bowen**

REGULAR

1. RATIFICATION OF WARRANT REGISTER FOR 2.8.2021 INCLUDING NOTES AND COMMENTS FROM THE COMMISSIONERS AS RECORDED ON THE INTERNAL SYSTEM

-Alice Black, Clerk/Auditor

APPROVED ON CONSENT

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021

2 | Page

2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE JANUARY 27, 2021 BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS BOARD MEETING

-Alice Black, Clerk/Auditor

APPROVED ON CONSENT

3. APPROVE TAX CREDITS AND REFUNDS RECOMMENDED BY THE COUNTY ASSESSOR'S OFFICE IN CANCELLATION AND CORRECTION LETTER 60428 DATED 02/05/2021

-Jim Stevens, Assessor

APPROVED ON CONSENT

4. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE SIGNING OF AMENDMENT # 9 TO 2016-451 WITH UTAH DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH FOR THE BREAST AND CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING PROGRAM.

-Julie Dey, Health

APPROVED ON CONSENT

5. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE SIGNING OF AN AGREEMENT WITH UTAH DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH FOR COVID-19 VACCINE SUPPLEMENTAL SUPPORT FUNDING.

-Julie Dey, Health

APPROVED ON CONSENT

6. RATIFY THE APPROVAL AND EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT WITH ALLIED USA.

-Julie Dey, Health

APPROVED ON CONSENT

7. UTAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSION TO TAKE ACTION TO SET A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF THE FARMLAND RESERVE, INC 2,2004 AGRICULTURE PROTECTION AREA FOR APPROXIMATELY 117.006 ACRES LOCATED IN THE ELBERTA AREA OF UNINCORPORATED UTAH COUNTY, SECTIONS 8 & 9, T10S R1W. PROPOSED DATE: WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3, 2021 AT 3:00 P.M. IN ROOM 1400 OF THE UTAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AT 100 EAST CENTER STREET, PROVO, UTAH.

-Peggy Kelsey, Community Development

BRYCE ARMSTRONG, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT – (REFERRING TO ITEMS 7-13) THESE ARE JUST FORMALITY THAT STATE LAW REQUIRES.

**COMMISSIONER AINGE: MOTION TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING AS SPECIFIED
COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: SECOND**

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
3 | Page

8. UTAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSION TO TAKE ACTION TO SET A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE CREATION OF THE PROPOSED CPB 2020-1 AGRICULTURE PROTECTION AREA FOR APPROXIMATELY 210 ACRES LOCATED IN THE ELBERTA AREA OF UNINCORPORATED UTAH COUNTY, SECTION 13, T9S R2W. PROPOSED DATE: WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3, 2021 AT 3:00 P.M. IN ROOM 1400 OF THE UTAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AT 100 EAST CENTER STREET, PROVO, UTAH.

-Peggy Kelsey, Community Development

COMMISSIONER AINGE: MOTION TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING AS SPECIFIED
COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

9. UTAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSION TO TAKE ACTION TO SET A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE CREATION OF THE PROPOSED CPB 2020-2 AGRICULTURE PROTECTION AREA FOR APPROXIMATELY 48.64 ACRES LOCATED IN THE ELBERTA AREA OF UNINCORPORATED UTAH COUNTY, SECTION 26, T10S R2W. PROPOSED DATE: WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3, 2021 AT 3:00 P.M. IN ROOM 1400 OF THE UTAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AT 100 EAST CENTER STREET, PROVO, UTAH.

-Peggy Kelsey, Community Development

COMMISSIONER AINGE: MOTION TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING AS SPECIFIED
COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

10. UTAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSION TO TAKE ACTION TO SET A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE CREATION OF THE PROPOSED CPB 2020-3 AGRICULTURE PROTECTION AREA FOR APPROXIMATELY 170.67 ACRES LOCATED IN THE NORTH ELBERTA AREA OF UNINCORPORATED UTAH COUNTY, SECTIONS 34 & 35, T7S R1W. PROPOSED DATE: WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3, 2021 AT 3:00 P.M. IN ROOM 1400 OF THE UTAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AT 100 EAST CENTER STREET, PROVO, UTAH.

-Peggy Kelsey, Community Development

COMMISSIONER AINGE: MOTION TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING AS SPECIFIED
COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

11. UTAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSION TO TAKE ACTION TO SET A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF THE CPB LDS PHASE 1 2000 AGRICULTURE PROTECTION AREA FOR APPROXIMATELY 205.21 ACRES LOCATED IN THE ELBERTA AREA OF UNINCORPORATED UTAH COUNTY, SECTIONS 10 & 34, T9S R1W AND SECTION 4, T10S R1W. PROPOSED DATE: WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3, 2021 AT 3:00 P.M. IN ROOM 1400 OF THE UTAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AT 100 EAST CENTER STREET, PROVO, UTAH.

-Peggy Kelsey, Community Development

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
4 | Page

COMMISSIONER AINGE: MOTION TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING AS SPECIFIED
COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

12. UTAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSION TO TAKE ACTION TO SET A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF THE CPB LDS PHASE 2 2000 AGRICULTURE PROTECTION AREA FOR APPROXIMATELY 320 ACRES LOCATED IN THE ELBERTA AREA OF UNINCORPORATED UTAH COUNTY, SECTION 1, T10S R2W AND SECTION 36, T9S R2W. PROPOSED DATE: WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3, 2021 AT 3:00 P.M. IN ROOM 1400 OF THE UTAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AT 100 EAST CENTER STREET, PROVO, UTAH.

-Peggy Kelsey, Community Development

COMMISSIONER AINGE: MOTION TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING AS SPECIFIED
COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

13. UTAH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSION TO TAKE ACTION TO SET A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE PROPOSED MODIFICATION OF THE CPB LDS PHASE 3 2000 AGRICULTURE PROTECTION AREA FOR APPROXIMATELY 245.338 ACRES LOCATED IN THE ELBERTA AREA OF UNINCORPORATED UTAH COUNTY, SECTIONS 17, 19, 27, & 30, T10S R1W. PROPOSED DATE: WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3, 2021 AT 3:00 P.M. IN ROOM 1400 OF THE UTAH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AT 100 EAST CENTER STREET, PROVO, UTAH.

-Peggy Kelsey, Community Development

COMMISSIONER AINGE: MOTION TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING AS SPECIFIED
COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

14. APPROVE UNICE RANCHES SUBDIVISION, PLAT "A," SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS IN THE STAFF REPORT

-Greg Robinson, Community Development

APPROVED ON CONSENT

15. APPROVE SUNDANCE REC RESORT, PLAT "M", SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS IN THE STAFF REPORT.

-Greg Robinson, Community Development

APPROVED ON CONSENT

16. AUTHORIZE A TEMPORARY INCREASE TO THE STAFFING PLAN OF UP TO TWENTY (20) FULL-TIME, CAREER SERVICE, GRANT-FUNDED, CLINICAL ASSISTANT II

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021

5 | Page

POSITIONS IN THE NURSING DIVISION OF THE UTAH COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT.
[ADD TWENTY (20) CAREER SERVICE, GRANT-FUNDED POSITIONS OF JOB CODE 6604
TO NANCY FLAKE'S SUPERVISORY ORG; ACCOUNT NO. 230-43120.]

-Elicia Edwards, Human Resources

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – (REFERRING TO ITEMS 16, 17, 23 AND 25) – THESE ALL APPEAR TO ALL BE EITHER FEMA OR COVID-RELATED AND I JUST WANTED TO CONFIRM THAT THAT IS CORRECT.

ERIC EDWARDS, HEALTH DEPARTMENT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR – ITEM #16 IS A CONTRACT THIS GOING THROUGH THE UTAH DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. ALL FEDERAL FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF INCREASING VACCINE DELIVERY TO THE PUBLIC.

ITEM 17 IS OUR ONGOING NEED TO HIRE MORE NURSES AS WELL AS CLINICAL ASSISTANTS. 16 AND 17 ARE STAFFING NEEDS FOR THE VACCINE CLINICS.

ITEM 25 IS THE NEED TO LEASE AN ADDITIONAL 10,000 SQUARE FEET OF SPACE. YOU SAW THE FRIDAY WHERE WE HAD INDIVIDUALS SO PACKED IN THE BUILDING THAT THEY COULD NOT WAIT IN LINE IN A SOCIALLY DISTANCED AND SAFE MANNER. THIS ADDITIONAL SPACE WILL ALLOW US TO ACCOMMODATE LARGER NUMBERS TO VACCINATE AT OUR SHOPKO FACILITY. SO, 25 IS THE RATIFICATION FOR THE ADDITIONAL 10,000 SQUARE FEE OF SPACE THAT WE NEED.

IF YOU RECALL, THERE IS A FENCED OFF AREA WHERE ANOTHER CONTRACTOR HAD RENTED AND LEASED SPACE. THERE WAS IDENTIFIED SPACE THAT WASN'T NEEDED BY THAT LEASE AND SO WE ARE THEN TAKING THAT ADDITIONAL SPACE AND MOVING THAT FENCE AGAINST THAT AREA TO ALLOW FOR THE LINES. IT NEEDS TO BE CLEANED AND PREPARED.

ITEM 23 IS THE CLEANING FOR THAT ADDITIONAL SPACE

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – CAN ALL FOUR OF THESE CAN BE FUNDED THROUGH FEMA BECAUSE THEY'RE VACCINATION SUPPORT ITEMS?

ERIC EDWARDS - THEY HAVE IDENTIFIED THAT THE PROJECT PUBLIC ASSISTANCE GRANT/FEMA CAN PAY FOR THIS.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: MOTION TO APPROVED

COMMISSIONER AINGE: SECOND

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

17. AUTHORIZE A TEMPORARY INCREASE TO THE STAFFING PLAN OF FOUR (4) FULL-TIME, CAREER SERVICE, GRANT-FUNDED, COMMUNITY HEALTH OUTREACH WORKER - COVID POSITIONS IN THE NURSING DIVISION OF THE UTAH COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT [ADD FOUR (4) FULL-TIME, CAREER SERVICE, GRANT-FUNDED POSITIONS OF JOB CODE 5634, GRADE 505, TO SONIA DALE'S SUPERVISORY ORG; ACCOUNT NO. 230-43120].

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
6 | Page

-Elicia Edwards, Human Resources

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: MOTION TO APPROVED
COMMISSIONER AINGE: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

18. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE COMMISSION CHAIR TO SIGN AN AGREEMENT WITH QUALTRICS FOR THEIR EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT PLATFORM

-Brandon Chambers, Human Resources

CONTINUED TO NEXT MEETING ON CONSENT

19. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE AN ADDENDUM TO AGREEMENT NO. 2013-718 WITH THE HOWARD E. NYHART COMPANY, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6000 FOR AN EXPERIENCE STUDY RELATED TO THE COUNTY'S OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB).

-Danene Jackson, Clerk/Auditor

APPROVED ON CONSENT

20. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE SIGNING OF A PARTIAL RELEASE OF SUBDIVISION BOND IN THE AMOUNT OF \$7,250 FOR LITTLE ZION PLAT A AS PER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT #2020-87

-Gina Tanner, Public Works

APPROVED ON CONSENT

21. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE SIGNING OF A PARTIAL RELEASE OF SUBDIVISION BOND IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,158.13 FOR CJC ARABIAN FARMS PLAT A AS PER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT #2020-68

-Gina Tanner, Public Works

APPROVED ON CONSENT

22. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE SIGNING OF AN AGREEMENT WITH STEWARTS SERVICE GROUP TO IMPLEMENT THEIR LAWN SERVICE PROGRAM QUARTERLY FOR 2021 FOR THE COURTHOUSE/ADMIN AREA AND PREPAY FOR THE SERVICES TO SAVE THE COUNTY 10% OR \$164 A YEAR VERSUS PAYING \$328 FOR EACH QUARTERLY VISIT.

-Gina Tanner, Public Works

APPROVED ON CONSENT

23. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE SIGNING OF AMENDMENT #3 TO THE RBM CLEANING CONTRACT #2019-918 TO ADD ADDITIONAL SPACE IN THE SHOPKO

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
7 | Page

**BUILDING FOR ONE TIME CLEANING OF FLOORS AND MONTHLY CLEANING SERVICES
@ \$25.45 AN HOUR.**

-Gina Tanner, Public Works

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: MOTION TO APPROVED

COMMISSIONER AINGE: SECOND

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

24. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE SIGNING OF CHANGE ORDER #4 WITH PROJECT ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS (PEC) TO EXTEND CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION SERVICES FOR PG CANYON ROAD THROUGH THE SUMMER OF 2021 FOR AN ADDED COST OF \$74,300.

-Gina Tanner, Public Works

APPROVED ON CONSENT

25. RATIFY THE APPROVAL AND AUTHORIZATION OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE LEASE AGREEMENT WITH THOMPSON ASSOCIATES, INC. FOR SPANISH FORK SHOPKO.

-Aileen Conder, Attorney

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: MOTION TO APPROVED

COMMISSIONER AINGE: SECOND

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

26. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE SIGNING OF AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN UTAH COUNTY, UTAH, AND IDENTISYS, INC.

-Aileen Conder, Attorney

APPROVED ON CONSENT

27. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE SIGNING OF A CONTRACT WITH THE STATE OF UTAH FOR PREDATOR CONTROL FUNDING.

-Aileen Conder, Attorney

APPROVED ON CONSENT

28. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE SIGNING OF AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN UTAH COUNTY, UTAH, AND CELLEBRITE, INC.

-Aileen Conder, Attorney

APPROVED ON CONSENT

29. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE COMMISSION SIGNATURE ON ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW RECORD PERTAINING TO THE PAYSON CITY 500 SOUTH SIDEWALK PROJECT (CDBG)

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021

8 | Page

-Terri Eisel, Attorney

APPROVED ON CONSENT

30. APPROVE AND ADOPT AN ORDINANCE AMENDING UTAH COUNTY CODE SECTIONS 23-1-1 AND 23-6-2 RELATING TO STATE REGULATIONS UPDATING STATUTORY REFERENCES AND CLARIFYING PARKING INFRACTONS

-Terri Eisel, Attorney

APPROVED ON CONSENT

31. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE COMMISSION TO SIGN AN AGREEMENT WITH THE UTAH VALLEY CONVENTION AND VISITORS' BUREAU, INC.

-Paul Jones, Attorney

COMMISSIONER AINGE – THIS ITEM IS SIMPLY SETTING THE ANNUAL BUDGET. THAT'S BEEN THE HISTORICAL PROCESS UNDER A MASTER AGREEMENT THAT WE HAVE WITH THE CONVENTION AND VISITOR'S BUREAU EVERY YEAR. THIS CONTRACT WAS ENTERED INTO OVER 15 YEARS AGO.

THEN EACH YEAR THERE'S A SMALLER CONTRACT WHICH SETS THEIR ANNUAL BUDGET. I HAVE DISCUSSED IT WITH THE CONVENTION AND VISITOR'S BUREAU. THIS ULTIMATELY IS THE BUDGET THAT THEY REQUESTED THAT THAT IS WHAT THE ITEM IS IN THE CONTRACT. THE ONE THING THAT IS WORTH NOTING IS IT IS ALSO SERVING AS A TERMINATION NOTICE TO THAT BROAD MASTER CONTRACT. THIS IS NOT MEANT TO BE A CAUSE FOR ALARM. THE TERMINATION IS EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 31, 2021. I WOULD ANTICIPATE THAT THE CONVENTION AND VISITOR'S BUREAU WOULD BE OUR PROMOTIONAL AND TOURISM PARTNER LIKE THEY ALWAYS HAVE BEEN. IT'S JUST AN OPPORTUNITY TO REFRESH THAT CONTRACT. THERE ARE SOME THINGS OUTDATED ON IT FROM BACK IN 2005. SO AGAIN, THIS PUT THEM WITH THE FUNDING THEY NEED TO GET THROUGH 2021 AND GIVES US ALL OF THIS YEAR TO NOT ONLY PUT IN THE BUDGET FOR 2022 FOR NEXT YEAR, BUT ALSO KIND OF RESET THE MASTER AGREEMENT.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: MOTION TO APPROVE

COMMISSIONER AINGE: SECOND

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

32. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE COMMISSION SIGNATURE ON AGREEMENT OF REFER TO THE COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGREEMENT AND ANNUAL OPERATING PLAN WITH FOREST SERVICE UINTA-WASATCH-CACHE NATIONAL FOREST FOR "AMERICAN FORK CANYON LAW ENFORCEMENT PATROL & SEARCH & RESCUE OPERATIONS".

-LaRhea Reynolds, Sheriff

APPROVED ON CONSENT

33. APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE COMMISSION SIGNATURE ON A SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH JULIE-ANNE LIECHTY (ILLYRIUM PRODUCTIONS) TO PROVIDE SECURITY SERVICES AT THE HISTORIC COURTHOUSE ON FEBRUARY 21, 2021, FROM APPROXIMATELY 08:00 A.M. TO 10:00 P.M., FOR THE

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
9 | Page

AESOP PILOT SERIES FILM PROJECT. BEGINNING AND ENDING TIMES MAY VARY FROM 1-2 HOURS.

-Yvette Rice, Sheriff

APPROVED ON CONSENT

34. ADOPT A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE UTAH COUNTY POLICY FOR FOOD AND GIFTS FOR COUNTY BUSINESS.

-Bill Lee, Commission

COMMISSIONER LEE – THE INTENT OF THIS FOOD AND GIFT POLICY IS TO BRING MORE CLARIFICATION INTO IT.

COUNTY EMPLOYEES ARE BASICALLY THE SAME. THERE’S NOT A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A COUNTY EMPLOYEE AND AN ELECTED OFFICIAL. THEY ARE TREATED THE SAME IN THE WAY OF THEIR LEAVING OF EMPLOYMENT FROM UTAH COUNTY.

IT DOES CHANGE A FEW THINGS SUCH AS 2.1: AUTHORIZING AMOUNTS. I PUT THAT INTO THE GENERAL CATEGORY IN THE SENSE OF JUST EXPLANATION UNDER FOODS AND THEN STARTED WITH THE COUNTY BOARDS BECAUSE IN SOME CASES I WAS SEEING 2.1 AS THE CLARIFICATION OR JUSTIFICATION FOR WHAT WAS BEING ASKED FOR IN THE WAY OF THE FOOD POLICY WHEN THE REST OF THOSE UNDERNEATH ARE REALLY THE ONES THAT SHOULD BE TAGGED. SO THOSE NUMBERS ARE JUST CHANGED AS THEY DO GOWN THROUGH 9.

ON THE GIFTS SIDE, THERE WERE SOME CHANGES IN THE SENSE OF WHAT THAT MEANS. GIFTS SHOULD NOT BE OF CASH OR CASH EQUIVALENT MEANING THAT THEY SHOULD BE A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT.

DAVE SHAWCROFT - 2.1 – THIS IS BASICALLY DIRECTED TO THE COVID VACCINATION PROGRAM. IT JUST ALLOWS FOR THAT SPECIFICALLY UNDER THE ‘EMERGENCIES’ SPECIFYING THAT IF IT’S AN EXPEDITED PUBLIC VACCINATION PROGRAM THEN THAT WOULD BE DEFINED AS AN EMERGENCY SUCH THAT THE REFRESHMENTS AND/OR MEALS COULD BE PROVIDED.

COMMISSIONER LEE - WE’VE HAD THIS CONVERSATION WITH ERIC (EDWARDS) AND OTHERS. SO, UNDER THE EMERGENCIES, IF YOU GO BACK UP TO 2.2 AND TANNER, WE HAVE SOME DOCUMENTATION HERE. IT SAYS UNDER ‘EMERGENCIES’: A DEPARTMENT MAY PROVIDE REFRESHMENTS AND/OR MEALS TO ANY EMPLOYEE OR VOLUNTEER WHO IS REQUIRED OR ENCOURAGED TO STAY AT OR NEAR HIS/HER WORK SITE IN ORDER TO RESPOND TO AN EMERGENCY, TO ASSIST IN AN EXPEDITE PUBLIC VACCINATION PROGRAM, TO ASSIST IN A SEARCH AND RESCUE OPERATION, OR TO SUPPORT A WILDLAND FIRE EVENT. THE CHANGE IS EITHER ‘REQUIRED’ OR ‘ENCOURAGED’ AND THEN THE UNDERLYING PART IS ‘TO STAY AT OR NEAR HIS/HER WORK SITE AND TO ASSIST IN THE EXPEDITED PUBLIC VACCINATION PROGRAM’ HAS BEEN ADDED UNDER ‘EMERGENCIES’.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
10 | Page

THEN GOING TO 3.1 - LEFT ALL THE WORDS IN THAT AND MOVE THAT UP UNDER THE GENERAL COMMENTS AND THEN CHANGE THE WORDING FROM 3.1 STARTING WITH 'CLIENT DIGNITARY OR AGENDA HOUSE HOUSING'. THOSE WERE ALL CHANGED AND THEN PART OF THE THINGS THAT WERE FORMATTED OR CHANGED IN HERE TALKS ABOUT WHAT IT MEANS IF YOU'VE BEEN HERE FOR 5 YEARS, AFTER 5 YEARS OF SERVICE, UTAH COUNTY WILL PROVIDE AN APPRECIATION AND RECEPTION WITH REFRESHMENTS AND CROSSED OUT ' AND/OR GIFT NOT TO EXCEED A TOTAL COST OF \$100' AND THAT MEANS FOR EVERYBODY. THERE'S THAT OPTION WITH A, B, C, AND D FOR 5, 10, 15, 20 YEARS SO THAT ONE WAS MODIFIED AND OF COURSE, THESE ARE ALL FOR DISCUSSION.

THE NEXT PARAGRAPH GOES TO PUBLIC SAFETY. UNDER PUBLIC SAFETY THAT THE DEPARTMENTS WILL PROVIDE A GIFT AND IN THIS CASE WITH PUBLIC SAFETY, THERE IS THE FIREARM THAT IS GIVEN TO THOSE WHO CARRY FIREARMS AFTER 20 YEARS OF SERVICE.

I CROSSED OUT SECTION F WHICH IS TALKING ABOUT THE COMMISSION MAY PROVIDE ELECTED OR APPOINTED OFFICIAL LEAVING UTAH COUNTY EMPLOYMENT AT THE POINT OF APPRECIATION A RECEPTION AND IT TALKS ABOUT A GIFT NOT TO EXCEED \$500.WE CROSSED THAT OUT AND ROLLED IT ALL INTO EVERYONE IN THE COUNTY ON THE YEARS BASIS WITH NO DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN ELECTED OFFICIALS AND COUNTY EMPLOYEES SINCE WE'RE ALL TECHNICALLY COUNTY EMPLOYEES.

THEN THERE'S SOME OTHER CLARIFICATIONS IN THE WAY OF COMMISSIONERS. THERE ARE, FOR COMMISSIONERS, TO GIVE CERTAIN GIFTS.

I WANT TO POINT OUT TOO IS THAT SURPLUS PROPERTIES - WE SHOULD NOT USE SURPLUS PROPERTIES AS ANY GIFT. OF COURSE, IF WE'RE NOT DOING THE GIFT THAT SEEMS TO BE A GIVEN.

HOPEFULLY, WE HAD A CHANCE TO READ THROUGH IT AND I FIGURED THAT THERE WAS GOING TO BE SOME CONVERSATION ON THIS TO MASSAGE THIS AROUND. AGAIN, THIS IS JUST MY THOUGHTS AND WITH A FEW OTHERS WORKING ON THIS SUCH AS DAVE SHAWCROFT AND I ASKED DANENE (JACKSON) AND A FEW OTHERS TO GIVE SOME PERSPECTIVE ON THIS.

COMMISSIONER AINGE - THANK YOU FOR WORKING ON THIS. I ALSO SHARE THIS AS A PRIORITY, SO I THINK THIS IS A GREAT SUGGESTION AND A GREAT UPDATE TO THE POLICY. I'M SUPPORTIVE.

AS WE'RE GOING THROUGH THE EMERGENCIES - I THINK THAT WE NEED TO PROVIDE SOME LATITUDE SO I'M LOOKING AT THIS SECTION, 'MAY PROVIDE REFRESHMENTS OR MEALS AS REQUIRED OR ENCOURAGED TO STAY AT THE WORKPLACE'. I THINK THAT ALMOST ADDING IN THE VACCINE LANGUAGE HAS THE EFFECT OF NARROWING THIS RATHER THAN EXPANDING IT. I THINK THERE ARE OTHER SITUATIONS. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD TRY TO DELINEATE EVERY SINGLE CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE THIS APPLIES. OTHERWISE, I THINK THIS MAKES SINCE.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
11 | Page

COMMISSIONER LEE – TO THAT I THINK IS A FAIR POINT. I WONDER IF WE COULD PROBABLY PUT IN THERE SOMETHING SUCH AS EXAMPLES TO IT.

DAVE SHAWCROFT – WE DO HAVE IF THEY'RE RESPONDING TO AN EMERGENCY THAT STILL WOULD BE THERE BUT IF YOU WANT TO BE MORE EXPANSIVE BECAUSE THE VACCINATION PROGRAM WAS NOT INTENDED TO DESCRIBE THE ONLY EMERGENCY. YOU STILL WOULD HAVE LATITUDE THAT IN ANY EMERGENCY YOU COULD STILL PROVIDE THOSE MEALS, BUT WE COULD EXPAND IT IF YOU'D LIKE.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – THE DEFINITION OF EMERGENCY DOES MEAN A SERIOUS UNEXPECTED AND OFTEN DANGEROUS SITUATION REQUIRING IMMEDIATE ACTION SO COVID IS AN UNEXPECTED ENVIRONMENT THAT WE'RE IN AND OUR RESPONSE TO IT.

COMMISSIONER AINGE - I'M NOT THAT CONCERNED. I THINK WE'RE ALL ON THE SAME PAGE. IT'S JUST WORDS BUT AGAIN THE OVERALL POINT HERE THAT COMMISSIONER LEE HAS PRESENTED THAT WE SHOULD NOT BE USING TAXPAYER DOLLARS TO JUST GIVE GIFTS TO ELECTED OFFICIALS WHO HAVE BEEN PAID BY TAXPAYER DOLLARS DURING THEIR TERMS OR EVEN APPOINTED EMPLOYEES. I AGREE WITH THAT AND I WANT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH IT. I THINK THERE MAY BE A DISCUSSION ABOUT EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION, AND I KNOW COMMISSIONER LEE SUPPORTS THAT AS WELL. I'M SURE COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH DOES AS WELL AND SOME OF THAT'S STILL IN HERE IN TERMS OF THE RECEPTION BUT WE DO EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH RECOGNITION AND MAYBE THERE'S A WAY TO JUST MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE RECOGNIZING EMPLOYEES. I KNOW THAT IT HAD BEEN MENTIONED THAT THERE'S A PLAQUE OR SOMETHING OF SERVICES LIKE THAT AND I WOULD HATE TO LOCK THAT INTO THE POLICY BUT I'M CERTAINLY OKAY WITH EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION AND THAT WOULD FALL UNDER THIS \$75 PER GIFT THAT'S IN THERE BUT I THINK IT'S A SMART MOVE TO GET RID OF THE GENERAL GIFT.

COMMISSIONER LEE - I'M GLAD YOU BROUGHT THAT UP. I WAS TEETERING BACK AND FOR ON THAT AND I FEEL THE SAME WAY. I DIDN'T KNOW QUITE HOW TO PUT THAT IN THERE. I KNOW THAT THE PUBLIC'S SERVICE WITH THEIR FIREARMS THEY GET IN ESSENCE A CERTAIN GIFT THAT GOES WITH THEM AND I'M ALL IN SUPPORT OF SOMETHING THAT CAN BE USED LIKE A PLAQUE OR A CRYSTAL OR SOMETHING THAT RECOGNIZES YEARS OF SERVICE. I THINK THAT'S FANTASTIC TO DO. THAT'S WHY IT'S OPEN FOR DISCUSSION. IF THAT'S SOMETHING WE JUST LET THE DEPARTMENTS USE SOME OF THOSE FUNDS TO DO THAT I THINK THAT WOULD BE GREAT OR IF WE WANT TO LOOK AT IT AND SAY AS A COUNTY IT JUST COMES ALONG WITH IT IF YOU'RE HERE 5, 10, 15, 20 YEARS OR LONGER THAT THERE'S A CERTAIN THING THAT YOU GET THAT EVERYONE GETS THAT IS THE SAME. I'M OPEN FOR ANY CONVERSATION ON THAT.
MIKE SMITH, UTAH COUNTY SHERIFF - I APPRECIATE YOU LEAVING IN THE FIREARM. JUST READING THE WORDING, IT SAYS, 'THE GUN MUST BE GIVEN AS A GIFT,' AND I THINK I UNDERSTAND WHAT THE PURPOSE OF THAT IS IT'S NOT THE GUN AND YOU KNOW ANOTHER GIFT, THE GUN IS THE GIFT.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
12 | Page

THE 'MUST' IS WHAT BUGS ME. THERE MAY BE A CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE SOMEBODY'S RESIGNING OR RETIRING IN LIEU OF SOME SORT OF DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND MAYBE WE DON'T WANT TO GIVE THEM A GUN AND SO TO HAVE SOMETHING IN THERE THAT SAY THE GUN *MUST* BE GIVEN IS A CONCERN FOR ME.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - DO WE NEED TO INCLUDE THAT WORD?

DAVE SHAWCROFT – WE CAN EASILY CHANGE THAT TO WHERE IT'S NOT MANDATORY BUT JUST AN OPTION.

SHERIFF MIKE SMITH - I THINK DOING THAT 'MAY' FIXES IT FOR ME.

COMMISSIONER LEE - I GUESS THE THOUGHT IN THAT WAS THAT THE 'MAY' IS SUBJECTED TO PERSONAL PREFERENCE OR IS IT SUBJECTIVE TO TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT AT THE END OR IF THEY HAVE SERVED 10 OR 20 YEARS IN THIS CASE? LIKE FOR 20 YEARS, IS THAT A GIVEN THAT THEY WILL GET THE GUN IF THEY LEAVE EMPLOYMENT WITH THE COUNTY ON GOOD TERMS?

DAVE SHAWCROFT – IT IS 10 YEARS TO GET THE SIDEARM BUT IF THEY'VE BEEN HERE FOR 20 YEARS, THEY GET THEIR SIDEARM PLUS AN APPRECIATION RECEPTION. THAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 10 AND 20 YEARS.

COMMISSIONER LEE- THE QUESTION IS THAT IF A DEPUTY LEAVES FOR EITHER RETIREMENT OR IN A NEW EMPLOYMENT AND THERE'S NO DISCIPLINARY ACTION, THEY WILL GET THE GUN OR IS IT SUBJECT TO A SUPERVISOR OR SOMEBODY ELSE WHO MAKES THAT DETERMINATION?

SHERIFF MIKE SMITH - THE HISTORY HERE HAS BEEN THAT THEY WILL GET THE GUN AND I'M OKAY WITH THAT BEING THE GIFT. I'M FINE WITH THE INTENT. I WAS JUST CONCERNED WITH THE WORD 'MUST' JUST FOR THAT EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCE WHERE MAYBE GIVING THEM THE GUN ISN'T SOMETHING WE WANT TO DO.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – I WONDER IF YOU COULD STICK THE WORD 'HONORABLE' TO READ, 'AFTER 10 YEARS OF *HONORABLE* SERVICE'. WOULD THAT CLARIFY THE CONDITIONS THAT YOU MAY GIVE A GIFT OF A SIDEARM?

DAVE SHAWCROFT - IF WE JUST CHANGE IT TO 'MAY' INSTEAD OF 'SHALL' OR 'WILL' THAT WILL MAKE IT WHERE IT'S OPTIONAL.

SHERIFF MIKE SMITH - I GUESS I WOULD LEAVE 'HONORABLE' UP TO INTERPRETATION.

DAVE SHAWCROFT - THE CONCERN WOULD BE IF SOMEONE DOESN'T GET A GIFT AND THEY CLAIM IT WAS AN HONORABLE DISCHARGE – THAT THEY HAD BEEN HONORABLE AND SOMEONE ELSE DISAGREES.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - THEN NORMALLY IT IS A LEGAL CATION IF IT'S GOING TO BE DISHONORABLE.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021

13 | Page

DAVE SHAWCROFT - WE COULD PUT AFTER '10 YEARS OF HONORABLE SERVICE TO UTAH COUNTY' IF YOU'D LIKE.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - THEN DO WE INCLUDE THE WORD 'WILL' OR 'MAY' OR JUST NOT INCLUDE EITHER OF THOSE BUT JUST PUT IN, 'A DEPARTMENT PROVIDES UPON HONORABLE AS A GIFT TO AN EMPLOYEE.....'?

DAVE SHAWCROFT - YOU CAN CHANGE IT TO JUST 'THE DEPARTMENT PROVIDES' IF YOU'D LIKE.

JEANNE BOWEN (UCEA PRESIDENT) - I'M HERE ON BEHALF OF THE UCEA (UTAH COUNTY EMPLOYEE ASSOCIATION). I HAD A COUPLE OF PEOPLE REACH OUT TO ME ABOUT THIS SPECIFIC ISSUE. THEY WERE A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT CUTTING OUT THE GIFT FOR SOME OF THE EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE BEEN HERE QUITE A WHILE.

ALSO, I'VE JUST BEEN THINKING WITH COVID AND SUCH WE'RE NOT EVEN USUALLY HAVING MANY OF THE LITTLE PARTIES AND THINGS AND SO WE'RE NOT EVEN DOING THAT FOR PEOPLE AND SO SOMETIMES A LITTLE TOKEN GIFT CAN REALLY MATTER TO SOMEONE. A LOT OF EMPLOYEES FEEL THAT A SMALL TOKEN GIFT WHEN YOU RETIRE SPEAKS TO BEING APPRECIATED. EVEN WHEN WE GET OUR APPRECIATION GIFTS IN DECEMBER, IT MIGHT BE SMALL, BUT IT GIVES YOU THIS WARM FEELING OF BEING NOTICED THAT WE ARE DOING OUR WORK AND TRYING TO DO OUR BEST.

COMMISSIONER LEE - I TOTALLY AGREE WITH THAT. I THINK THIS ALLOWS FOR THE DEPARTMENTS TO BUDGET FOR THAT IN EXPENSE OF NO MORE THAN \$75 FOR A GIFT ON THAT AND IF WE KNOW SOMEBODY'S RETIRING, THEY COULD USE THAT.

I THINK THAT THAT'S A GOOD POINT.

COMMISSIONER AINGE - I'D LIKE TO GO A STEP FURTHER AND I SUPPORT COMMISSIONER LEE IN THIS. I THINK WE ALL WANT TO MAKE SURE EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION REMAINS A BIG PART. THERE HAVE BEEN ISSUES WHERE ELECTED OFFICIALS OR LONG-TERM DEPARTMENT HEADS ARE LOOKING TO GET SOME SORT OF SPECIAL GIFT AND AS THEY DEPART OFFICE AND IT'S JUST NOT APPROPRIATE. SO, I 100% SUPPORT THESE CHANGES. I THINK WE'VE BEEN IN THE PROCESS OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS, OF NOT REDUCING BUT ENHANCING THE AMOUNT OF EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION.

JOSH DANIELS, CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK/AUDITOR - TO THAT POINT, I'D LIKE TO SHARE A COUPLE OF COMMENTS AND MAYBE SOME QUESTIONS.

IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT IF YOU WIN EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH, IT COMES WITH NOTHING OF VALUE. IS THAT ACCURATE?

COMMISSIONER LEE - NO, THERE IS SOME STUFF IN THE ENVELOPE THAT WE GIVE TO THEM.

JOSH DANIELS - OKAY, BECAUSE I WAS GOING TO SAY IF YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT THE AMOUNTS AND RECOGNITION, THERE'S NOTHING GREATER IN TERMS OF RECOGNITION THAN EXTRAORDINARY PERFORMANCE THAT BECOMES RECOGNIZED THROUGH EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH SO THAT'S IMPORTANT.

THE OTHER THING IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 3.5 AND 3.8. 3.5 IS INCENTIVE AND 3.8 IS STAFF APPRECIATION GIFT. ONE THING TO NOTE ABOUT 3.8 AND THE DOLLAR AMOUNTS THROUGHOUT THE POLICY IS, AS FAR BACK AS WE CAN TELL THIS POLICY, IN TERMS OF THE AMOUNTS, HASN'T BEEN CHANGED SINCE AT LEAST 2011, MAYBE A LITTLE LONGER. SO, YOU'RE LOOKING AT ABOUT A DECADE OF POTENTIAL INFLATIONARY CHANGE TO THE VALUE.

I THINK MOST DEPARTMENTS USE 3.8 TO CONDUCT SOME KIND OF A HOLIDAY PARTY, USUALLY AT THE END OF THE YEAR AND MAYBE A PORTION OF THAT GOES TO THE ACTUAL COST OF THE PARTY OR MAYBE IT GOES TOWARDS A GIFT THAT'S GIVEN TO EVERYBODY AND THEN THEY JUST DO THE PARTY ON THEIR OWN DIME LIKE A POTLUCK. ONE YEAR, OUR DEPARTMENT WANTED TO GIVE EVERYBODY 2 MOVIE TICKETS AS THE APPRECIATION AND WE WERE GOING TO LEVERAGE UCEA'S DISCOUNTED PRICING FOR EMPLOYEES TO GET THESE VOUCHERS FOR THE MOVIE TICKETS. THEN WE FOUND OUT THAT THE TICKET PRICE HAD GONE UP. IT USED TO BE CUSTOMARY IN OUR DEPARTMENT TO GIVE 2 MOVIE TICKETS AND NOW WE CAN'T AFFORD TO DO THAT BECAUSE THE TICKETS HAVE GONE UP DUE TO INFLATION. SO, IT'S JUST A LITTLE DEFECTIVE THERE BUT SOMETHING TO THINK ABOUT WOULD BE THE AMOUNTS AND WHETHER THE AMOUNTS ARE THE RIGHT AMOUNT AND MAYBE YOU TAKE THAT INTO CONSIDERATION.

THEN, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 3.5 AND 3.8 - LET'S SAY SOMEBODY DOES A GREAT JOB AND WE REALLY WANT TO RECOGNIZE THEM, WE WANT TO GIVE THEM A \$50 GIFT CERTIFICATE. WE DO THIS MAYBE IN OUR DEPARTMENT ONCE A YEAR OR ONCE A QUARTER OR WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE, THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN 3.8 AS I EXPLAINED. FUNCTIONALLY MOST DEPARTMENTS ARE USING 3.8 FOR KIND OF A BROAD ANNUAL APPRECIATION WHEREAS 3.5 IS BEING USED FOR PEOPLE WITH EXTRAORDINARY EFFORT BUT THEY DIDN'T WIN EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH BUT THEY'RE THE TYPE OF EMPLOYEE THAT SHOULD HAVE WON EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH.

COMMISSIONER LEE - THERE'S SOME BIG DIFFERENCES BETWEEN 3.5 AND 3.8. THE 3.5 IS AN INCENTIVE PROGRAM THAT THE COMMISSION HAS APPROVED. FOR INSTANCE, OUR SAFETY PROGRAM THAT WE HAVE THAT IS GOING ON RIGHT NOW WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. THERE ARE INCENTIVES THAT ARE GIVEN ON A PRETTY REGULAR BASIS TO OCCUR AND TO HAPPEN SO I THINK WHAT THE INTENT IS WITH THE STAFF INCENTIVE AWARDS - THAT WE HAVE SOMETHING THAT IS ACTUALLY OUT THERE FOR A PURPOSE AND IN THIS CASE, WE'RE TRYING TO FIND A WAY TO GET OUR SCORES DOWN ESPECIALLY WHEN IT COMES TO OUR LIABILITIES AND OUR INSURANCES AND THAT ONE WAS SOMETHING THAT WE CAN MEASURE.

THEN THE OTHER ONE THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT WITH THE STAFF APPRECIATION GIFTS, I THINK IT'S NOTED AT \$15. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021

15 | Page

DEPARTMENTS HAVE DONE TYPICALLY WITH THAT MONEY IN THE PAST. THAT DEFINITELY CAN BE FOR DISCUSSION.

JOSH DANIELS - I THINK YOUR 3.8 AMOUNT OF \$15 PROBABLY OUGHT TO BE AT LEAST \$18 OR \$20. I THINK IT TRANSLATES INTO A DEPARTMENT HOSTING AN INTER-DEPARTMENT END OF THE YEAR KIND OF PARTY OR A PARTY ALIGNED WITH A HOLIDAY.

COMMISSIONER LEE – RALF (BARNES), COULD YOU GIVE US YOUR THOUGHTS ON THIS? THIS IS AN APPRECIATION GIFT, BUT WE ALSO HAVE OTHER THINGS THAT IN SOME CASES, IT'S NOT REALLY AN APPRECIATION GIFT BUT IT MIGHT BE EXTRA TIME OFF. I KNOW LAST YEAR OR THE YEAR BEFORE WE TALKED ABOUT GETTING RID OF THE ONE FLOATING DAY AND GIVE CHRISTMAS EVE OFF.

RALF BARNES, DIRECTOR OF HUMAN RESOURCES - WE DID GET A LOT OF INFORMATION ON THE SURVEY FROM EMPLOYEES THAT THEY'D LIKE TO SEE MORE, AND WE HAVE DONE MORE. WE GAVE OUT ABOUT \$10,000 LAST YEAR IN SNAPPY GIFTS. WE ARE CHANGING THAT A LITTLE BIT TO CORPORATE TRADITIONS WHICH GIVE US MORE OF THAT.

I DO AGREE THAT THE \$15 NEEDS TO GO UP JUST BECAUSE OF INFLATIONARY ISSUES AND THAT'S BEEN THE SAME FOR A LONG TIME. I DO SEE THE NEED FOR THIS AND THE NEED FOR DEPARTMENTS TO HAVE THE FLEXIBILITY BECAUSE THIS IS SOMETHING THAT I'VE SEEN AS WELL. IT WOULD BE NICE TO UNTIE HANDS A BIT AND LET THOSE DEPARTMENTS MAKE DECISIONS. I CAN SAY THE FEEDBACK ON THE RECOGNITION THAT WE DID LAST YEAR WAS REALLY GOOD. THE EMPLOYEES REALLY LIKED IT. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE. BUT WE ALSO NEED TO HAVE CONTROLS.

I WOULD PROBABLY GO \$20. IT'S SOMETHING WE CAN ROLL WITH AND WE WOULDN'T HAVE TO MAKE A CHANGE FOR A WHILE AND IT'S A NICE, ROUND NUMBER. IT'S NOT A TON OF MONEY.

COMMISSIONER AINGE - I WONDER IF IT WOULDN'T BE HELPFUL FOR US TO TAKE ANOTHER WEEK TO LOOK AT THE EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION PORTION OF IT BEFORE WE PASS IT.

COMMISSIONER LEE - I'M FINE WITH THAT. I DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – I DON'T MIND THAT EITHER. IS THERE A STANDARD THAT WE COULD GAUGE FROM OR IS THERE ANOTHER COUNTY THAT WE COULD SEE WHAT THEY ARE DOING?

ROB MOORE, DEPUTY COUNTY ATTORNEY - LET ME JUST MENTION ALSO 3.3 WHICH HAS THE EMPLOYEE LEAVING FOR THE APPRECIATION RECEPTION – THOSE NUMBERS OF 5, 10, 15 AND 20 YEARS HAVE BEEN THE SAME FOR THE LAST DECADE AS WELL WHICH IS \$100, \$150, \$200, AND \$300. YOU MIGHT WANT TO LOOK AT THAT WHILE WE'RE LOOKING AT THESE OTHERS. THEY WERE SAME NUMBERS FROM THE 2011 POLICY.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
16 | Page

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: MOTION TO CONTINUE TO NEXT MEETING

COMMISSIONER AINGE: SECOND

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

35. ADOPT A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE UTAH COUNTY POLICY REGARDING EXPEDITED SIGNING OF DOCUMENTS.

-Bill Lee, Commission

COMMISSIONER LEE – THIS IS A RATIFICATION POLICY PROCESS. THERE HAS BEEN QUITE A BIT OF CONVERSATION BACK AND FORTH ESPECIALLY BETWEEN DAVE (SHAWCROFT) AND I ON THIS. IF YOU READ DOWN THROUGH THIS PROPOSAL, IT FIRST DETAILS OUT WHAT THE POLICY SHOULD BE IN THE SENSE OF WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE. SOME OF THE PROBLEMS WE'RE BUMPING UP AGAINST IS THAT THERE ARE TIMES IN WHICH INDIVIDUALS OR DEPARTMENTS WILL BE LOOKING FOR RATIFICATION OUTSIDE OF OUR COMMISSION MEETINGS OR BEFORE BECAUSE OF AN EMERGENCY BASIS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT AND THEN I'M GETTING EMAILS AND I'M SURE THE OTHER COMMISSIONERS ARE GETTING AS WELL, ASKING IF WE SUPPORT THIS OR SUPPORT THAT. WE'RE ALWAYS HAD THIS UNDERLYING UNDERSTANDING THAT EVERYTHING OF THIS NATURE WOULD GO THROUGH THE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND THE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE WOULD BE THE KEEPER OF THAT PROCESS. THIS IS KIND OF SOLIDIFYING THAT AND PUTTING IT INTO A FORMAT THAT WRITES THAT IN.

I TRULY BELIEVE THAT THE RATIFICATION PROCESS IS THE LOWEST FORM OF AN UNDERSTANDING OR AN AGREEMENT DUE TO PROBLEMS THAT COME UP WITH IT. THE BEAUTY IS THAT SOMETHING CAN GET DONE OUTSIDE OF A COMMISSION MEETING. THE PROBLEMS THAT I SEE WITH IT IS THAT THERE ARE POSSIBLE TIMES IN WHICH THE DISCUSSION WILL HAPPEN AND NOT ALL COMMISSIONERS ARE INVOLVED IN THAT DISCUSSION OR HAVE HAD DISCUSSION ON THAT TOPIC BECAUSE IT'S A RATIFICATION WHERE THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S WILL ASK US ON AN INDIVIDUAL BASIS WITHOUT COMMENT OR CONSIDERATION AND I ACTUALLY FIND IT VERY HELPFUL GOING THROUGH AND MAKING DECISIONS AND LOOKING AT WHAT'S GOING ON AND TO HEAR DIFFERENT OPINIONS, ESPECIALLY FROM THE OTHER TWO COMMISSIONERS. I THINK IT ELEVATES THE CONVERSATION AND IT GETS US TO A POINT WHERE WE CAN HAVE BETTER CHOICES OR MAKE BETTER DECISIONS TOGETHER AS A GROUP.

SOME OF THE PROBLEMS THAT WERE POINTED OUT IS THAT WITH A RATIFICATION PROCESS IS THAT WE'LL FIND OURSELVES AT TIMES, IN WHICH THERE IS AN EMERGENCY BECAUSE A LOT OF TIMES THESE WILL COME UP IN BETWEEN COMMISSION MEETINGS.

THIS PROCESS, AS YOU READ DOWN THROUGH IT, IT DOES SAY THAT IF ONE COMMISSIONER OBJECTS TO THAT, THAT IT SHOULD GO ON TO THE NEXT COMMISSION MEETING FOR DISCUSSION AND FOR A VOTE.

THE QUESTION CAME UP AS I WAS TALKING ABOUT IT WITH DAVE (SHAWCROFT) ABOUT THAT IF YOU HAVE TWO COMMISSIONERS WHO ARE IN FAVOR OF IT, _____

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
17 | Page

HOW CAN THEY MOVE FORWARD IF IT LOOKS LIKE ONE COMMISSIONER IS NOT FOR IT. I THINK THAT'S A LEGITIMATE QUESTION.

WE DO HAVE AN EMERGENCY MEETING PROCESS THAT CAN HAPPEN AND THIS SHOULD HAPPEN IF THIS COMES UP TO WHERE COMMISSIONERS CAN SAY WHETHER TO HOLD AN EMERGENCY MEETING ON A CERTAIN ISSUE IF IT'S TRULY AN EMERGENCY AND THEN CAN CALL FOR A VOTE ON THE ISSUE.

I THINK DOING THIS ALLOWS FOR BETTER COMMUNICATION AND MORE OPPORTUNITY FOR TRANSPARENCY AS WELL. I HEAR PEOPLE SAY THAT RATIFICATIONS THROW SOME CHAOS AND SOME CONFUSION WITH CITIZENS AS THEY LOOK AT THEM.

DAVE SHAWCROFT – I THINK THAT COVERS MOST OF THE ISSUES. THERE'S ALSO THE POSSIBILITY THAT ONLY TWO COMMISSIONERS ARE AVAILABLE, OR ONE MIGHT BE OUT OF THE COUNTRY OF OTHERWISE UNAVAILABLE SO THERE IS A PROVISION I HERE THAT DEALS WITH THAT. SO, IF THE THIRD COMMISSIONER IS UNAVAILABLE BECAUSE OF TRAVEL, ILLNESS, MILITARY SERVICE OR OTHER REASONS, THEN THAT WOULD NOT PRECLUDE THE ABILITY TO MOVE FORWARD.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - COULD IT BE SHORTENED BY JUST SAYING THAT IF THE CIVIL DIVISION RECEIVES A RESPONSE FROM THE MAJORITY OF THE COMMISSION AUTHORIZING THE REQUEST TO IMMEDIATELY SIGN THE DOCUMENT, THE DOCUMENT SHALL BE SIGNED BY THE COMMISSION CHAIR, VICE-CHAIR OR BY ONE OTHER COMMISSIONER? COULDN'T WE JUST SIMPLY SAY THAT INSTEAD OF ALL THESE OTHERS BECAUSE YOU MAY HAVE ONE THAT DOESN'T WANT TO BUT IF IT'S AN EMERGENCY CONDITION, GENERALLY THOSE REQUIRE EXPEDITED ACTIONS AND IF WE HOLD UP FOR A DAY TO DISCUSS, IT MIGHT BE PAST TIME WHEN WE SHOULD HAVE RESPONDED. SO, I'M WONDERING IF THE MAJORITY VOTE WOULD BE APPLICABLE AS IF YOU WERE IN A NORMAL COMMISSION SETTING.

DAVE SHAWCROFT - THAT WOULD BE AN OPTION, BUT I THINK THE PURPOSE OF THIS POLICY IS TO PRECLUDE THAT UNLESS IT FALLS WITHIN ONE OF THOSE EXCEPTIONS. I THINK THE INTENT HERE IS SAYING IF YOU DON'T HAVE ALL THREE IN FAVOR, THEN IF YOU NEED TO TAKE IMMEDIATE ACTION, YOU NEED TO SCHEDULE AN EMERGENCY MEETING OF THE COMMISSION. YOU COULD STILL HAVE AN EMERGENCY MEETING EVEN IF YOU ONLY HAVE TWO COMMISSIONERS.
COMMISSIONER AINGE - I'LL JUST POINT OUT FOR ME, THIS IS AN INTERESTING ONE. I ACTUALLY APPRECIATE THE TRANSPARENCY THAT THIS POLICY IS TRYING TO BRING FORWARD AND I CAN SEE SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE'VE BEEN THROUGH BUT FROM MY PERSPECTIVE AND I KNOW THAT THERE'S A DIFFERENT OF OPINION AMONG THE COMMISSION WHICH IS FINE, BUT I THINK IT SHOWS ONE OF THE CHALLENGES OF THE 3-COMMISSION FORM A GOVERNMENT WHICH IS THAT IF WE'RE ACTING IN OUR LEGISLATIVE CAPACITY, THEN I THINK THIS IS EVEN MORE APPROPRIATE BECAUSE THE LEGISLATIVE BODY SHOULD NOT BE TAKING ANY ACTION UNLESS IT'S IN A PUBLIC FORUM AND IT'S VOTED ON. BUT IN AN EMERGENCY ACTION AND SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT MAYBE WE'VE HAD IN THE PAST ON THIS WHERE THE STATE HAS DESIGNATED A CERTAIN ACTION TO BE TAKEN BY THE EXECUTIVE AND IN MOST OTHER

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
18 | Page

SETTINGS, WHETHER IT WAS IN A CITY OR IN A COUNTY WITH AN EXECUTIVE, THAT EXECUTIVE WILL BE ABLE TO SIGN AN ORDER OR MAKE A DECISION IN THE HEAT OF THE MOMENT OR WHETHER IT'S AN EMERGENCY OR IT'S JUST URGENT, BUT BECAUSE WE'RE A 3-MEMBER COMMISSION, THAT ACTION ON OUR SIDE, REQUIRES IT STILL TO HAPPEN IN A PUBLIC BODY AS IF WE ARE STILL OUR LEGISLATIVE BODY AND THEN IT'S A LITTLE BIT SLOWER AND CLUNKIER. I THINK THAT GIVES ME A LITTLE BIT OF PAUSE.

I LIKE THE PROTECTION THAT COMMISSIONER LEE IS TALKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF IT HAS TO BE UNANIMOUS, BUT REALLY THE EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY IS HOUSED IN THE MAJORITY ACTION OF THE 3 COMMISSIONERS AND SO THIS IS REALLY KIND OF WEAKENING THAT EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY IN THE SITUATION I DESCRIBED I THINK. MAYBE I'M WRONG THERE. I MEAN YOU MAY BE EVEN BE ABLE TO QUESTION WHETHER THIS IS EVEN ALLOWABLE UNDER LAW BECAUSE STATE LAW SAYS THE EXECUTIVE CAN ACT WITH 2 OF THE 3. IT DOESN'T REQUIRE 3 OF THE 3.

COMMISSIONER LEE – AND WE CAN DO THAT WITHOUT A VOTE. WE'LL HAVE TO HAVE SOME SORT OF CONSENSUS OR CONVERSATION.

DAVE SHAWCROFT - FOR CLARIFICATION THIS IS NOT INTENDED TO ADDRESS LEGISLATIVE ACTION. THIS IS JUST IN THE CAPACITY AS AN EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY, APPROVING AN AGREEMENT AND YOU'RE RIGHT, THIS COULD BE CHANGED TO WHERE IT ONLY REQUIRES THE APPROVAL OF 2 OUT OF THE 3 IF YOU'D LIKE BECAUSE 2/3 DOES CONSTITUTE THE ACTION OF THE BOARD, BUT THIS JUST IDENTIFIES THOSE SITUATIONS WHERE YOU COULDN'T DO IT IN THIS MANNER - YOU WOULD HAVE TO SCHEDULE AN EMERGENCY MEETING AND THE OBVIOUSLY 2 OUT OF THE 3 COULD APPROVE THAT ACTION.

COMMISSIONER LEE - SO THE EMERGENCY MEETING IS SOMETHING THAT CAN BE SET UP FAIRLY SIMPLE. TWO COMMISSIONERS CAN CALL AN EMERGENCY MEETING AND THAT CAN TAKE PLACE. IT OBVIOUSLY HAS TO BE RECORDED. I GET THAT THERE'S SOME HICCUPS TO THAT. I'M GLAD THAT TANNER BROUGHT UP LAST YEAR. FOR ME IT SHOWED SOME OF THE INEFFICIENCIES OF RATIFICATION AND THE RATIFICATION PROCESS IN THAT THERE WERE TIMES IN WHICH I WOULD SEE A RATIFICATION ON AN AGENDA OR ON OUR AGENDA SYSTEM AND WONDERED WHEN I EVEN HAD ANY CONVERSATION ABOUT THAT AND AS ONE OF THE EXECUTIVES IN THE COUNTY, THAT ALWAYS SURPRISED ME THAT I'VE NEVER EVEN HAD THAT CONVERSATION BEFORE. THAT'S THE REASON WHY I THOUGHT THERE'S GOT TO BE A PROCESS.

AGAIN, IN MY BOOK A RATIFICATION IS ONE OF THE LOWEST FORMS OF COMING TO AN UNDERSTANDING OR GIVING DIRECTION TO AN AGREEMENT AND IT DOES NOT ALLOW FOR A REAL OPEN DIALOGUE AND DISCUSSION WHICH I THINK IN A PUBLIC SETTING IT'S TRANSPARENT ENOUGH THAT WE CAN AT LEAST HAVE THAT CONVERSATION. WE HAD THEM LAST YEAR WHERE THERE WERE 2 TO 1'S ON RATIFICATION JUST BECAUSE NO DISCUSSION WAS HAD WHICH CUTS OUT PART OF THE BODY.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
19 | P a g e

I KNOW IN THE SENATE, THEY CAN SAY THEY'RE GOING TO CONSENT ON AN ITEM AND IF EVERY SINGLE MEMBER OF THAT BODY SAYS YEA, THEN NOT A PROBLEM, BUT IF ONE SENATOR OUT OF 100 SAYS WAIT, THEN IT COMES BEFORE THE WHOLE BODY FOR DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION.

SO, AS I LOOK AT THAT I THINK JUST MAJORITY OR MINORITY DEPENDING ON WHAT IT IS NOW. OBVIOUSLY, WE'VE GOT 3 REPUBLICANS THAT ARE IN THE COMMISSION NOW BUT THERE MAY BE TIMES AND THERE ARE TIMES IN OTHER COUNTIES THAT THAT'S NOT ALWAYS THE SAME WITH DIFFERENT PHILOSOPHIES OR WHATEVER OR DIFFERENT PARTIES AND IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY TO ACTUALLY PROTECT, IN MY OPINION, A MINORITY VOICE IN THE PROCESS AND I THINK IT GIVES US OPPORTUNITY.

COMMISSIONER AINGE – COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH, DO YOU HAVE THOUGHTS ON THIS?

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – I'M JUST THINKING OF THE NATURE FOR EXPEDITED SIGNINGS. IF IT'S ADMINISTRATIVE IT'S ONE THING; IF IT'S AN EMERGENCY, IT'S A DIFFERENT THING. IF IT'S AN EMERGENCY AND YOU CAN'T GET THE 3RD COMMISSIONER, IT SEEMS LIKE THAT WOULD HANDICAP THE PROCESS OF GETTING THE DOCUMENT SIGNED.

COMMISSIONER LEE - CAN WE WALK THROUGH A SCENARIO?

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - WE HAD AN EARTHQUAKE IN BLUFFDALE LAST YEAR. IF WE HAD A LARGE-SCALE EARTHQUAKE AND IT REQUIRED AN EXPEDITED SIGNING FOR EMERGENCY RESOURCES TO BE RELEASED TO THE SHERIFF'S EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT TEAM OR THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT AND WE ARE SEPARATED EITHER BECAUSE OF VACATIONING OR COMMUNICATION LOSS AND YOU NEED SOMETHING TO BE DONE NOW. YOU NEED ALL 3 TO CONCUR WITH THE SIGNING BUT ONE IS NOT AVAILABLE OR IF THERE IS ONLY 1 AVAILABLE.

BUT I WAS THINKING THAT IF YOU HAD AT LEAST TWO , YOUR MAJORITY VOTE FOR SOMETHING TO BE DONE FOR AN EMERGENCY CONDITION, THEN THAT MAY BE A VALUE TO APPROACH AND IT MAY NOT NECESSARILY SAY IN FIRST LINE ON SUBPARAGRAPH ONE WHEREBY EMAIL MIGHT HAVE TO BE A HAND-DELIVERED DOCUMENT MIGHT NOT BE LIMITED TO EMAIL. I SEE BOTH ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE SIDE, I SEE ON THE EMERGENCY SIDE.

COMMISSIONER LEE - SO ON THE EMERGENCY SIDE, WE HAVE A PROCESS IN PLACE RIGHT NOW FOR EMERGENCIES AND IF THERE WAS AN EMERGENCY OF THAT KIND OF MAGNITUDE THEY WOULD NEED FOR ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES AND SO FORTH. WE DO HAVE AN EMERGENCY PROCESS THAT WE GO THROUGH WHICH OVERSTEPS AND OVER YOU KNOW OVER OUR NORMAL PROCESS AND SO I DON'T SEE THAT AS A PROBLEM BECAUSE THAT WOULD TAKE PLACE. IF WE HAD A BIG ENOUGH EMERGENCY THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT UNDER THE EOC WOULD COME LOOKING FOR US TO GET US TO A COMMAND CENTER SO THAT WE CAN MAKE THOSE DECISIONS. SO, IF ONE OF US IS DISABLED FOR ONE REASON OR IN THE HOSPITAL, THE OTHER TWO WOULD STILL UNDERNEATH THE

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
20 | Page

EMERGENCY POWERS, BE ABLE TO DO IT. THIS ONE HERE IS FOR MORE OF THE 'RUN OF THE MILL' IN WHICH THERE'S OTHER ISSUES THAT COME UP FOR WHATEVER REASON A RATIFICATION IS SOUGHT AND THAT IN SOME CASES YOU MIGHT LOOK AT IT AND THINK IF IT REALLY NEEDS TO BE DONE BEFORE THE NEXT MEETING. SOME OF THEM LEGITIMATELY DO AND SOME OF THEM DON'T AND SO I THINK THAT IF IT IS TRULY AN EMERGENCY, THE OTHER TWO COMMISSIONERS COULD SAY LET'S JUST HOLD THE MEETING AND THEY WOULD STILL INVITE THE 3RD COMMISSIONER TO BE AT THAT MEETING FOR DISCUSSION.

COMMISSIONER AINGE - DAVE SHAWCROFT, DO YOU HAVE A VIEW ON WHETHER THIS RESTRAINT ON THE EXECUTIVE VIOLATES STATE LAW?

DAVE SHAWCROFT - WELL, I DON'T THINK IT PROBABLY DOES BECAUSE YOU COULD JUST CALL IT AN EMERGENCY MEETING BUT THERE IS THAT ISSUE THAT'S THERE. ONE THING WE COULD DO IS WE COULD SPECIFY THAT WITH REGARD TO OUR EMERGENCY PROVISIONS THAT THIS POLICY IS NOT INTENDED TO SUPPLEMENT OR CHANGE THOSE. THERE ARE ALSO PROVISIONS BY STATUTE WHERE THE CHAIR CONNECTS UNILATERALLY WITH REGARD TO EMERGENCY DECLARATION. WE COULD ADD A PROVISION THAT SPECIFIES THAT THIS WOULD NOT INTERFERE WITH THAT EITHER.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - I GUESS ADD THE STATE STATUTE OR SITE TO STATE STATUTE FOR THOSE - MAKE THAT PART OF THE DOCUMENT.

DAVE SHAWCROFT - WE CAN ADDRESS IT MAYBE A LITTLE MORE GENERICALLY SO THAT IF THE STATUE CHANGES OR SOMETHING, WE STILL WOULD BE COVERED BUT WE CAN PROPOSE SOMETHING THAT WOULD COVER THOSE ISSUES.

COMMISSIONER AINGE - I'M HAPPY TO SUPPORT THIS. I DO THINK THAT MOST THESE SITUATIONS YOU COULD CALL AN EMERGENCY MEETING IF IT'S REALLY AN EMERGENCY AND SO YOU KNOW THERE'S NO NEED. ON THE OTHER HAND, I'M ALSO NOT AWARE OF ANY SITUATIONS IN WHICH TRULY A COMMISSIONER WAS OR COULD BE TRULY SURPRISED. MAYBE THERE'S NOT DISCUSSION IN A PUBLIC MEETING BUT I THINK THAT IT'S ALWAYS BEEN THE POLICY OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE TO SEND IT TO ALL 3 COMMISSIONERS, SO ALL COMMISSIONERS ARE AWARE OF THE AGREEMENT, AWARE OF THE ITEM. THEY MAY HAVE NOT HAD A CHANCE TO DISCUSS IT IN A PUBLIC MEETING THEY HAD FULL ACCESS TO STAFF AND TO ASK QUESTIONS AND RESPOND. THEN IF IT GOES TO RATIFICATION IN THE MEETING, THEY HAVE THE CHANCE IN THAT MEETING TO LET THEIR VOICE BE HEARD. HOWEVER, I UNDERSTAND THAT THAT WOULD BE FRUSTRATING IF YOU'RE THE ONE WHO DISAGREES, AND EVERYONE KNOWS IT'S GOING TO BE RATIFIED BY THE TWO WHO PREVIOUSLY APPROVED IT.

COMMISSIONER LEE - IT'S INTERESTING BECAUSE BEFORE EITHER ONE OF YOU TWO WERE ON BOARD, WE HAD A RATIFICATION PROCESS THAT CAME ONTO THE AGENDA AND THERE WAS QUITE A LENGTHY DISCUSSION IN A COMMISSION MEETING ON THE RATIFICATION AND I WAS ONE OF THEM THAT WAS SAYING HOLDING ON AND ASKING QUESTIONS AND ONE OF THE OTHER COMMISSIONERS STARTED TO QUESTION THEIR RATIFICATION PROCESS BECAUSE WE NEVER HAD

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH

Public Meeting Minutes

February 10, 2021

21 | Page

THAT DISCUSSION AND THEN WE WERE REMINDED THAT TO GO AGAINST A RATIFICATION IN WHICH WE'VE ALREADY AGREED TO, CAUSES ALL KINDS OF PROBLEMS AND I AGREE WITH THAT. BUT I SAT DURING THAT MEETING IN WHICH WE DID NOT EVEN HAVE THAT DISCUSSION AMONGST US AND ONCE WE STARTED THAT DISCUSSION THERE WAS SOME THERE WAS SOME QUESTION AS TO WISHING THEY WOULD HAVE HAD THIS DISCUSSION BEFORE. EVEN IF IT WOULD HAVE BEEN AN EMERGENCY MEETING, WE STILL COULD HAVE HAD THAT DISCUSSION. WE STILL COULD HAVE HAD THAT DISCUSSION THAT MIGHT HAVE STILL TURNED OUT TO BE A 2 TO 1 VOTE.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH – I SUPPORT THE OBJECTIVE OF THIS. DAVE (SHAWCROFT), DO WE NEED TO ADD ANYTHING ELSE TO THIS TO TIGHTEN IT UP OR IS IT OKAY THE WAY IT IS?

DAVE SHAWCROFT – I WOULD ADD THE PROVISION THAT STATES THAT THIS POLICY DOES NOT LIMIT ACTIONS WHICH ARE OTHERWISE AUTHORIZED TO BE TAKEN BY THE COMMISSION CHAIR AND IT DOES NOT INTERFERE OR CHANGE THE PROCEDURES IN PLACE FOR EMERGENCIES. I THINK WE HAVE A WHOLE DOCUMENT THAT ADDRESSES THOSE ISSUES BUT I COULD ADD THAT IN.

COMMISSIONER AINGE: MOTION TO APPROVE WITH ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE AS STATED BY DAVE SHAWCROFT

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: SECOND

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

36. APPROVE AND SET A DATE, TIME AND LOCATION FOR A CLOSED MEETING TO DISCUSS THE CHARACTER, PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE, OR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH OF AN INDIVIDUAL OR INDIVIDUALS (SUGGESTED FOR TODAY'S DATE, AT THIS LOCATION, FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF THE REGULAR AGENDA)
(ANNOUNCE THE VOTE OF EACH COMMISSIONER)

-Alice Black, Clerk/Auditor

COMMISSIONER AINGE: MOTION TO SET A DATE, TIME AND LOCATION AS SPECIFIED

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: SECON

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR

PASSED: 3/0

37. APPROVE AND SET A DATE, TIME AND LOCATION FOR A CLOSED MEETING FOR A STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE, OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY, WATER RIGHTS, OR WATER SHARES (SUGGESTED FOR TODAY'S DATE, AT THIS LOCATION, FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF THE REGULAR AGENDA)
(ANNOUNCE THE VOTE OF EACH COMMISSIONER)

-Alice Black, Clerk/Auditor

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: MOTION TO STRIKE

COMMISSIONER AINGE: SECOND

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
22 | P a g e

AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

38. APPROVE AND SET A DATE, TIME AND LOCATION FOR A CLOSED MEETING FOR A STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS THE SALE OF REAL PROPERTY, WATER RIGHTS, OR WATER SHARES, PREVIOUSLY PUBLICLY NOTICED FOR SALE (SUGGESTED FOR TODAY'S DATE, AT THIS LOCATION, FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF THE REGULAR AGENDA) (ANNOUNCE THE VOTE OF EACH COMMISSIONER)

-Alice Black, Clerk/Auditor

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: MOTION TO STRIKE
COMMISSIONER AINGE: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

39. APPROVE AND SET A DATE, TIME AND LOCATION FOR A CLOSED MEETING FOR A STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS PENDING OR REASONABLY IMMINENT LITIGATION (SUGGESTED FOR TODAY'S DATE, AT THIS LOCATION, FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF THE REGULAR AGENDA) (ANNOUNCE THE VOTE OF EACH COMMISSIONER)

-Alice Black, Clerk/Auditor

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: MOTION TO STRIKE
COMMISSIONER AINGE: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0

WORK SESSION

1. DISCUSS THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE EMERGENCY RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

-Brian Voeks, Commission

PETER BROWN, FINANCE MANAGER/COVID – THE COUNTY RECEIVED \$19.2 MILLION IN EMERGENCY RENTAL ASSISTANCE FUNDS THAT WILL HELP A LOT OF OUR RESIDENTS COVER UTILITIES, RENTS AND OTHER TYPES OF HOUSING COSTS BUT NOT MORTGAGE PAYMENTS. THERE ARE SOME INCOME LIMITATIONS AND SOME OTHER DATA POINTS THAT ACCOUNT TO ABOUT A 15 DATA POINT ASSESSMENT APPLICATION PROCESS THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED. THE COUNTY CAN SPEND ABOUT 10% OF THIS MONEY ON IT OUTLAYS SO ANYTIME WE SEND A PAYMENT OUT TO A CITIZEN WE CAN SPEND UP TO 10% OF THAT ON ADMINISTRATION COSTS, BUT THEY HAVE TO BE DIRECT COSTS. IT CANNOT BE SOME SORT OF ASSESSMENT OR INDIRECT COSTS. WE HAVE TO SPEND 65% OF THIS MONEY BY SEPTEMBER 30TH TO BASICALLY KEEP WHATEVER WE HAVEN'T SPENT OTHERWISE THE TREASURY STARTS CLIMBING BACK SOME OF THOSE MONIES AND THEN WE'LL HAVE UNTIL DECEMBER 31ST TO FINISH PAYING FOR THIS PROGRAM. THERE IS AN EXTENSION THEY'RE SAYING THAT CAN BE MADE AVAILABLE.

WHAT HAT WE'VE DONE IS IDENTIFIED 4 DIFFERENT STEPS THAT ARE OF PART OF THIS PROCESS:

- 1. SETTING UP OF AN INFORMATION PORTAL**
- 2. PROVIDING CITIZEN APPLICATION SUPPORT**
- 3. ASSESSING THE APPLICATION**
- 4. PAYMENT FULFILLMENT**

WE HAVE LOOKED AT A BUNCH OF DIFFERENT OPTIONS. WE'VE HAD HELP FROM A COUPLE OF OTHER COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS THAT WE'VE HAD SOME RELATIONSHIPS WITH AS WELL AS I HAVE BEEN IN DIRECT CONTACT WITH THE STATE OF UTAH ALMOST ON A DAILY BASIS TO FLESH OUT SOME OF THESE ISSUES.

1. LET'S START WITH THE INFORMATION PORTAL: THE STATE OF UTAH HAS SET UP AN INFORMATION PORTAL BECAUSE THEY HAVE EXISTING PROGRAMS WHICH ALLOWS THEM TO DO THIS SO THEY'VE ALREADY KIND OF HAVE SOMETHING THAT'S ALREADY THERE AND THEY'RE ADVOCATING THAT. ALL JURISDICTIONS COME UNDER THAT PORTAL UMBRELLA. A COUPLE OF REASONS WHY IS THEY'RE LOOKING TO NOT CONFUSE THE CITIZENS WITH MULTI-MARKETING SORT OF PUSH ALTHOUGH THERE'S SOME MITIGATIONS WE COULD DO IF WE WANTED TO DO IT ON OUR OWN. I HAD TALKED A COUPLE OF DAYS AGO ABOUT THE OPTION OF WHEN WE ACTUALLY FULFILL A PAYMENT TO A CITIZEN FOR RENTAL ASSISTANCE, IF IT COMES FROM UTAH COUNTY, THEY'LL BILL US 45% OF THAT WHICH WE THINK ALLOWS FOR US TO SPEND MORE DOLLARS ON MORE CITIZENS OVERALL, BUT WE HAVE TO USE THEIR PORTAL SYSTEM. THAT'S THE KEY INGREDIENT HERE. IT'S NOT THAT WE HAVE TO USE THEIR ASSESSMENT PROCESS - IT'S THAT WE HAVE TO USE THEIR PORTAL. SO, IF WE DON'T USE THEIR PORTAL AND WE DECIDE TO SET UP OUT OWN PORTAL, THEN ESSENTIALLY, WE JUST SPENT 100% OF WHAT WE'RE GIVEN TO RUN OUR OWN PROGRAM.

COMMISSIONER AINGE - I'M JUST CURIOUS - HAS THIS HAS REALLY BEEN DOUBLED CHECKED THAT THE STATE WOULD INDEED OFFER 45% OFF ANY CHECKS?

PETER BROWN - SO THEY'RE BILLING US OF 45% IS WHAT THEY'RE SAYING THEY WILL DO IF THEY HAVE A UTAH COUNTY RESIDENT THAT APPLIES THROUGH THEIR PORTAL. THEY'RE NOT CALLING IT A MATCH. IT'S NOT A MATCH.

I ASKED ABOUT THEIR LIMITATION ON THAT, AND THEY SAID NO. AGAIN, THERE'S STILL SOME MOVING TARGETS ON THIS THAT THEY HAVEN'T COMPLETELY FLESHED OUT BUT IN MY LAST DISCUSSION WITH THEM IT WAS SAID IT WAS A FIRST-COME, FIRST-SERVED BASIS AND THAT'S THE LIMIT. THERE'S A LIMITATION .

COMMISSIONER AINGE - ARE THEY SAYING THAT THEY'RE GOING TO BILL US NO MATTER WHAT?

PETER BROWN - NO. IF A UTAH COUNTY RESIDENT APPLIES AND WE DECIDE TO FULFILL THAT, THEY'LL BILL US 45% OF THAT COST.

COMMISSIONER AINGE - NO MATTER WHAT WE DECIDE TO DO?

PETER BROWN – NO, THAT’S SO THAT STEP NUMBER 3 AND I’LL GET THERE. I’M JUST SAYING IF THERE’S A DECISION TO BE MADE THROUGH AN ASSESSMENT THAT WE’RE GOING TO GIVE THAT CITIZEN THAT GRANT MONEY AND THE FULFILLMENT IS NOW WE’RE GOING TO TRIGGER THAT FULFILLMENT MEASURE, THEN IF IT’S A UTAH COUNTY RESIDENT THAT GOES THROUGH THE STATE PORTAL, THEY’LL PAY FOR IT AND THEN BILL US 45% OF THAT.

COMMISSIONER AINGE - LET ME TRY ANOTHER WAY OF ASKING THIS. SO, WE HAVE \$19 MILLION AS A COUNTY FOR EMERGENCY RENTAL ASSISTANCE. IF WE DON’T PARTNER WITH THE STATE IN ANY WAY AND WE PLAN TO DO SOME OTHER PATH WITH OUR \$19 MILLION IN TRYING TO REACH THE RESIDENTS OF UTAH COUNTY, BUT THE STATE PORTAL IS OPEN TO EVERYONE, IF SOMEONE IN UTAH COUNTY APPLIES THROUGH THAT PORTAL AND THE STATE DECIDES TO FULFILL, ARE THEY GOING TO BILL US FOR A PORTION OF THAT?

PETER BROWN - NO, BUT THEN WE GET A PROBLEM OF DUPLICATION OF BENEFITS THAT WE HAVE TO FLESH OUT. THAT’S ONE OF THE OTHER DRAWBACKS OF HAVING OUR OWN SYSTEM IS THAT THEY HAVE TO CHECK WITH US TO ENSURE THAT THERE’S NOT A DUPLICATION OF BENEFIT AND THEY WILL NOT BILL US FOR THAT. IT’S ONLY IF WE DECIDE TO USE THEIR PORTAL. THAT’S THE DECISION-MAKING TRIGGER – THE USE OF THE PORTAL.

2. CALL CENTER – THIS HAS TO DO WITH HELPING CITIZENS WITH SUPPORT WHO ARE HAVING DIFFICULTY WITH THE APPLICATION PROCESS. SOME OF THE ESTIMATIONS ARE SAYING 40-60% OF CITIZENS WILL STRUGGLE WITH THIS AND SO THEY ARE GOING TO NEED SOMEWHERE TO CALL TO GET SOMEONE TO WALK THEM THROUGH THAT SO AGAIN, THE STATE ALREADY HAS OR ARE PLANNING ON SETTING UP A CALL CENTER RELATED TO THIS TO HANDLE ALL OF THESE CALLS AS THEY COME IN. THEY ARE LOOKING INITIALLY AT A 5-PERSON PHONE CALL BANK TO START DOING THIS AND THEN THEY’LL EXPAND IF NECESSARY.

WE ALSO HAVE THE OPTION OF DOING THAT OURSELVES BUT AGAIN, THEN WE’RE TALKING ABOUT TWO DIFFERENT NUMBERS, TWO DIFFERENT SUPPORT SYSTEMS AND WE CAN DEFINITELY LOOK AT THAT AS AN OPTION. THEY HAVEN’T GIVEN US THE PRICE POINT FOR THAT YET AND SO ONCE WE GET PRICE POINTS ON THOSE THINGS, WE CAN LOOK AT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT THEY’RE DOING AND WHAT WE COULD DO INTERNALLY. WE ARE CURRENTLY LOOKING AT POSSIBLY USING THE VACCINATION CENTER AS A PLACE THAT ALREADY HAS INFRASTRUCTURE THAT’S BEING SET UP BECAUSE THEY’RE TAKING PHONE CALLS INBOUND FOR VACCINES TO JUST KIND OF PEEL OFF SOME PHONE NUMBERS AND HIRE SOME TEMPORARY FOLKS THAT COULD HELP WALK PEOPLE THROUGH THIS PROCESS.

MOUNTAINLAND ALSO HAS A SYSTEM THEY’VE USED. THEY HAVE A PROCESS AND AN INFRASTRUCTURE AS WELL SO WE HAVE 3 DIFFERENT OPTIONS THAT WE CAN LOOK AT FOR THE CALL CENTER. THAT DOESN’T AFFECT THE 45/55. IT’S JUST A MATTER AT THAT POINT, OF EVALUATING PRICE AND FULFILLMENT AND WHAT WE THINK WOULD BE THE BEST WAY TO HELP OUR RESIDENTS WITH CUSTOMER SERVICE ISSUES AND THEN THERE’S A WHOLE ISSUE OF DUPLICATION OF A PHONE NUMBER. I THINK THERE IS A BENEFIT OF HAVING ONE PHONE

NUMBER TO CALL IF YOU'VE GOT A PROBLEM VERSUS THEM CALLING THE STATE AND THE STATE SAYING THEY CAN'T HELP YOU AND GIVING YOU THE COUNTY NUMBER.

3. APPLICATION ASSESSMENT - THIS IS WHERE IT GOES TO YOUR QUESTION COMMISSIONER AINGE ABOUT WHERE WE ACTUALLY MAKE THAT DECISION. THE STATE AGAIN, HAS A SYSTEM SET UP WHERE THEY ARE CONTRACTING THROUGH COMMUNITY ACTION SERVICES HERE IN PROVO TO DO THE STATE RENTAL AGREEMENT. I DON'T KNOW IF COMMUNITY ACTION STATEWIDE OR IF IT IS JUST PROVO. I'M NOT SURE ABOUT THAT BUT THEY CONTRACT WITH THEM TO DO ALL OF THEIR APPLICATIONS AND IF IT'S A UTAH COUNTY ONE RIGHT NOW, THAT'S NOT PART OF WHAT THEIR AGREEMENT IS BUT THE STATE SAID THEY COULD EXPAND THAT TO INCLUDE US IF WE WANTED TO ESSENTIALLY GO THROUGH THE STATE TO DO THE APPLICATION ASSESSMENTS. THEY'RE SETTING UP A PORTAL AND THEN WE JUST GIVE THEM ACCESS AND THEY'D HAVE PEOPLE GO IN LOOK AT THE APPLICATIONS AND MAKE DETERMINATION WHETHER THEY'RE ELIGIBLE AND THEN GIVE THEM AN AMOUNT THAT WOULD RELATE TO THAT ASSESSMENT. WE COULD DO IT IN-HOUSE. WE COULD ALSO AGAIN USE MOUNTAINLAND FOR THIS PROCESS IF THAT MAKES THE BEST SINCE PRICE AND THE SORT OF ANALYZING. THE ABILITY TO WORK THROUGH THAT I THINK ARE THE DECISION POINTS.

4. PAYMENT FULFILLMENT - THIS IS WHERE THE STATE IS ESSENTIALLY GOING TO CHARGE \$6 A CHECK TO US TO SENT IT OUT TO THE CITIZEN. MAG HAS A PROCESS TO DO THIS AS WELL FOR SENDING PAYMENT OUT. THAT WASN'T THE MAIN PART OF THEIR PROPOSAL. I THINK IT WAS MORE ON THE FRONT OR THE MIDDLE END BUT THEY DO HAVE THAT SYSTEM SET UP IN PLACE AND OF COURSE, WE COULD SET SOMETHING UP SIMILARLY I THINK FROM AS I'VE TALKED TO OUR INTERNAL FOLKS HERE, THAT THEY'RE NOT NECESSARILY SET UP TO BE A PAYMENT PROCESSING SEND OUT AS WELL AS MAG DOES FOR THESE KINDS OF THINGS BECAUSE THEY HAVE THEIR RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS ALREADY SET UP TO DO THAT. THEY'VE ALREADY GOT THE RENTAL LANDLORD VENDORS IN THEIR SYSTEM. THAT'S THE ISSUE. THEY'VE ALREADY GOT THAT SET UP THAT WAY SO THAT IS THE FINAL PART OF IT IS THAT FULFILLMENT.

WHATEVER WE DECIDE, IF WE CONTRACT EVERYTHING WITH THE STATE OR WE JUST DO PIECEMEAL, AND WE GET A PRICING STRUCTURE, THEY'LL JUST INVOICE US ON AN ONGOING BASIS FOR WHATEVER THEY'VE SENT OUT AND THEN THEY'LL BILL US THAT AMOUNT.

THIS IS AN OVERVIEW OF THE PROGRAM AND THE PROCESSES THAT WE HAVE AVAILABLE AND THE DIFFERENT OPTIONS THAT WE HAVE ALONG WITH THE DECISION POINTS WE HAVE TO RECEIVE IN ORDER TO MAKE AN ADEQUATE DECISION.

THE NUMBER ONE THING WE'RE WAITING ON RIGHT NOW IS THE STATE PRICING FOR EVERYTHING AND THEN I'LL NEED PRICING FROM OTHER PARTNERS AS THAT COME THROUGH AS WELL, THEN WE CAN MAKE AN ADEQUATE DETERMINATION OF WHAT'S IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER LEE - WHEN ARE WE GOING TO GET THESE PRICE POINTS SO THAT WE CAN START MAKING DECISIONS ON IT INSTEAD OF JUST WORK SESSION

ITEMS SO THAT WE CAN START MOVING FORWARD? ALSO, DO YOU THINK MARCH 1 IS GOING TO BE THE ROLLOUT WITH THE STATE?

PETER BROWN - THE LAST DATE THEY GAVE ME WAS THE MIDDLE OF FEBRUARY FOR THE DECISIONS ON PRICING AS WELL AS EVEN HAVING THE PORTAL OPEN. LAST TIME THEY TALKED IT WAS FEBRUARY 8. ONE OF THE CHALLENGES IS THAT I THINK THEY DECIDED TO GO IN A LITTLE BIT OF A DIFFERENT DIRECTION. WHEN THEY FIRST STARTED DOWN THIS ROAD THEY WERE GOING TO DO THE PORTAL AND THE FULFILLMENT AND THEN WE WERE GOING TO DO THE MIDDLE PART AND THEN THEY DECIDED TO LOOK AT OPTIONS OF DOING THE WHOLE THING AND GIVING PEOPLE OPTIONS TO DO THAT AND SO NOW A LOT OF US WERE MARCHING DOWN ONE PATH AND THEN THEY CAME BACK WITH SOME DIFFERENT OPTIONS SO NOW WE'RE HAVING TO GO BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD AND REASSESS WHETHER THAT'S GOING TO WORK FOR US IN THE LONG RUN TO JUST GO ALL THE WAY WITH THE STATE OR PIECEMEAL IT OUT.

COMMISSIONER LEE - WHEN THE STATE COMES IN AND THEY FINALLY HAVE A DESIGNATION, HOW FAST DO YOU THINK WE CAN RAMP UP THE REST OF IT AND BE READY TO GO?

PETER BROWN - THEY HAVE A LOT OF THEIR SYSTEM SET UP IN PLACE. WHAT THEY'RE HAVING TO DO RIGHT NOW IS THEY'RE HAVING TO RESTRUCTURE THEIR INDIRECT COSTS BECAUSE THE GRANT WON'T ALLOW THEM TO JUST SEND A BILL FOR INDIRECT COST. EVERYTHING HAS TO BE TAGGED TO A PARTICULAR PIECE OF THE PROCESS. THAT'S THE #1 ROADBLOCK THAT I GOT FROM THEM WAS HAVING TO RE-ENGINEER THEIR PRICING MODEL THAT THEY HAD SET UP. THEY HAVE TO REDIRECT IT SO THAT IT'S A DIRECT COST MODEL.

COMMISSIONER LEE - MORE THAN LIKELY THESE THINGS AREN'T GOING TO FALL ACCORDING TO OUR SCHEDULE FOR PUTTING IT ON AN AGENDA FOR AN OPEN PUBLIC MEETING DISCUSSION AND MAKING A DECISION. DO YOU ANTICIPATE THAT WE'LL PROBABLY HAVE TO PULL AN EMERGENCY MEETING TO PULL THIS TOGETHER OR DO YOU THINK THERE WILL BE TIME IN BETWEEN THAT WE CAN MAKE STEPS OF DECISIONS AS WE GET TO THE END OR TO GET TO THE START OF THE PROCESS?

PETER BROWN - THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION. I DON'T HAVE A GOOD SENSE RIGHT NOW OF THEIR DEADLINES AND WHEN THEY'RE GOING TO GIVE ME STUFF. I CAN ASK AGAIN AND SEE WHERE WE'RE AT. WE'RE IN DAILY CONVERSATION MODE WITH THEM RIGHT NOW SO I CAN KEEP PUSHING THAT ROCK TO SEE WHAT WE'RE GOING TO GET AS FAR AS DATES ARE CONCERNED BUT I THINK FOR OUR PURPOSES, IF WE HAVE PRICING MODELING FROM ALL OF THE ACTORS, I THINK WE CAN MAKE A DECISION PRETTY EASILY. SOME OF THESE DECISIONS WE MIGHT WANT TO GO AHEAD AND LOOK AT SUCH AS THE PORTAL. I THINK WE'VE GOT ALMOST ENOUGH DECISION ON THAT TO SAY EVEN IF PRICING IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT FROM ONE OPTION TO THE OTHER, DOESN'T MAKE SENSE FOR US TO GO WITH THE 45/55 SPLIT OR JUST DO THE 100%. I THINK WE CAN MAKE THAT DECISION WHEN YOU WANT. WE HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION THERE. I JUST CAN'T SEE THE PRICING SO OUT OF WHACK THAT THAT'S GOING TO OVERRIDE THAT BENEFIT.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
27 | Page

COMMISSIONER LEE - SHOULD WE CONTINUE A WORK SESSION LIKE THIS TO A CERTAIN DATE OR SHOULD WE JUST WAIT AND THEN PUT IT ON FOR A 24-HOUR NOTICE EITHER FOR A DECISION POINT OR FOR MORE DISCUSSION?

WE CAN EASILY CONTINUE THIS WORK SESSION RIGHT NOW SINCE WE'RE IN A PUBLIC MEETING AND SAY OUR NEXT ADMIN MEETING AND THEN IF WE DON'T NEED IT, WE CAN STRIKE IT OR MOVE IT, OTHERWISE WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO PUT IT ON 24-HOURS BEFORE SO WE CAN HAVE DISCUSSION OR NOT.

COMMISSIONER AINGE - I'M NOT SURE WHAT YOU'RE SUGGESTING BECAUSE I THINK NO MATTER WHAT, OUR ONLY OPTION IS TO CONTINUE IT TO ANOTHER PUBLIC MEETING WHICH IS ONLY SCHEDULED FOR NEXT WEDNESDAY. IF WE NEED ANOTHER MEETING, THEN WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO KNOW BETWEEN NOW AND NEXT WEDNESDAY. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO NOTICE THAT NO MATTER WHAT.

COMMISSIONER LEE - UNLESS WE CONTINUE THIS ONE TO A CERTAIN DATE, RIGHT?

COMMISSIONER AINGE - WE HAVE A HARD TIME MAKING A DECISION UNTIL THE STATE IS BEING CLEAR WITH THEIR DIRECTION AND MAYBE THAT'S UNFAIR BECAUSE I HAVEN'T PERSONALLY SPOKEN WITH ANYONE AT THE STATE. MAYBE THEY FEEL LIKE THEY ARE BEING CLEAR AND IT'S ON OUR SIDE, BUT WHEN I TALK TO ALL OF THE PARTIES AT THE TABLE; OUR CLERK/AUDITOR'S OFFICE, THE COMMISSIONER, MOUNTAINLAND ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENT, IT SOUNDS LIKE IT'S A LITTLE BIT OF A MOVING TARGET OF HOW THIS IS OPERATING AND SO I THINK THAT WE NEED TO LOCK THAT IN FIRST BEFORE WE CAN STRUCTURE AN AGREEMENT OF HOW WE'RE GOING TO PROCESS THESE PAYMENTS.

IF I KNEW WE WOULD GET THAT ANSWER BY TOMORROW, THEN WE COULD CONTINUE THIS MEETING TO FRIDAY. I DON'T KNOW IF WE'RE GOING TO GET IT TOMORROW.

COMMISSIONER LEE - THAT'S THE PROBLEM. I GUESS IF WE NEED SOMETHING FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION WE CAN ALWAYS JUST REACH OUT AND PUBLICLY NOTICE IT - THAT'S EASY ENOUGH TO DO.

PETER BROWN - JUST A NOTE OF CLARIFICATION TOO IS THAT WE ARE PURSUING LOGISTICS TO DO THIS INTERNALLY IN THE CASE THAT WE JUST GET TO THE POINT WHERE WE'RE NOT GETTING ENOUGH INFORMATION AND THEY KEEP CHANGING THE GOAL POSTS AND THE DATES KEEP DRAGGING. WE ARE INVESTIGATING AND WE'VE ALREADY GOT THE CALL CENTER SIDE OF THIS. WE'RE LOOKING AT IT RIGHT NOW. WE'RE LOOKING AT OPTIONS TO DO THIS OURSELVES AS WELL SO, IF WE HAVE TO MAKE THAT DECISION, WE'RE TRYING TO GET SOME PROCESSES IN PLACE SO WE CAN TRIGGER THAT IN CASE THAT'S THE WAY WE DECIDE TO GO.

COMMISSIONER LEE - FAIR ENOUGH.

ROB MOORE - I WOULD JUST LIKE TO MENTION ALSO WHAT WE'RE HERE FOR IS A WORK SESSION SO EVEN IF YOU WERE CONTINUING A WORK SESSION, YOU COULDN'T TAKE ANY ACTION. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT LIKELY IF YOU HAVE TO

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
28 | Page

TAKE EMERGENCY ACTION, YOU'RE GOING TO BE SETTING UP A MEETING MOST LIKELY.

COMMISSIONER LEE - I THINK THE PART OF THE WORK SESSION WAS JUST TO HAVE DIALOGUE AND BECAUSE THERE'S SO MUCH GOING ON THAT SOMETIMES IF YOU HAVE CONVERSATIONS OVER HERE THEN OVER HERE AND OVER HERE, PEOPLE ARE NOT IN THE SAME STREAM OF CONVERSATION.

I THINK THAT WORK SESSION THAT WE HAD LAST WEEK WAS VERY BENEFICIAL BECAUSE WE HAD A LOT OF PEOPLE HERE. THIS ONE WAS CONTINUED JUST TO CONTINUE THE CONVERSATION. IF WE NEED TO, WE CAN HOLD ANOTHER WORK SESSION AND CALL IT 24 HOURS. I DON'T SEE ANY REASON WHY WE CAN'T DO THAT.

COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH - I'M OPEN TO ANOTHER WORK SESSION OR YOU COULD EVEN PUT IT ONTO THE REGULAR SCHEDULE AGENDA AND THEN IF WE STILL DON'T HAVE THE ANSWERS BY THE TIME HE'S READY TO GIVE US THE SPECIFICS, MAYBE A WEEK'S TIME AND WE HAVE THE SPECIFICS, THEN WE COULD HAVE ONE ON THE REGULAR ITEM TOO AND IF WE DON'T THEN CONTINUE IT. WE'VE TALKED THIS NOW A COUPLE TIMES THROUGH AND IT'S JUST A MATTER OF WAITING TO SEE WHERE WHO'S MOVING PAST THE GOAL LINE.

ROB MOORE - YOU COULD CONTINUE THIS ITEM TO NEXT COMMISSION MEETING AND THEN IF IT BECOMES NECESSARY TO HAVE ONE IN THE MEANTIME, YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND SET ANOTHER ONE, BUT YOU COULD CERTAINLY HAVE THIS ITEM ALREADY ON THE AGENDA FOR NEXT WEEK BY CONTINUING.

COMMISSIONER LEE - WE COULD DO THAT. IT COULD EITHER BE DONE NOW OR IT COULD BE DONE WHEN WE'RE BUILDING THE AGENDA ON OUR AGENDA SYSTEM.

ROB MOORE - IF WE'RE WORKING WITH THE STATE, DO WE ANTICIPATE THEM PREPARING AN AGREEMENT AND SENDING IT TO THE COUNTY FOR REVIEW?

PETER BROWN - YES. THEY'RE IN THE PROCESS OF DOING IT FROM THEIR END. I'M SURE YOU'RE GOING TO WANT TO LOOK AT IT AS WELL, BUT THEY'RE IN THE PROCESS OF DOING THAT.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

NO PUBLIC COMMENTS

*(Moved to Closed Meetings: 4:45 P.M.)
(Reopened Public Meeting: 4:49P.M.)*

**COMMISSIONER SAKIEVICH: MOTION TO ADJOURN
COMMISSIONER AINGE: SECOND
AYE: ALL IN FAVOR
PASSED: 3/0**

MEETING ADJOURNED

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
29 | Page

(Meeting Adjourned: 4:49 P.M.)

NOTICE OF SPECIAL ACCOMMODATION DURING PUBLIC MEETINGS In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting should notify Alice Black at 801-851-8111. Handicap parking is available, as well as a level entrance to the building. Interpretation services are available with prior notice for the hearing disabled.

Those in Attendance Via Zoom:

Commissioner Ainge

Ben Van Noy

Christine H

Diana Flores

Charlene/Explore Utah Valley

Joseph Hellewell

Michael Smith

Mark Brady

Brian Voeks

Richard Nielson

Benjamin Young

Dalene H

Elicia Edwards

Jeremy Walker

LAdamson

Paul Jones

Robert B

Samanthah

Adam Beck

Burt Garfield

Dave Shawcroft

James Stevens

John Garfield

Lisa Nielson

Peggy Kelsey

Sallsup

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
30 | Page

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS FOR UTAH COUNTY
PUBLIC MEETING ATTENDANCE SHEET
February 10, 2021 - 3:00 p.m.**

PRINT CLEARLY

Name:

Agency:

Wayne Keith
Andrea Allen
Jeannie Bowen
Eric Edwards

UCSO
Recorder's
UCEA president
UCHD

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF UTAH COUNTY, UTAH
Public Meeting Minutes
February 10, 2021
31 | Page

Meetings Approved at 3/10/2021 Commission Meeting.

DocuSigned by:

William C. Lee

WILLIAM C. LEE
Commission Chair

ATTEST:

DocuSigned by:

Alice Black

293707509607408
Deputy Clerk/Auditor

