



**MINUTES**

**Oakley City Council – Work Session**

**Monday, November 23, 2020**

**Oakley City – Electronic Meeting**

**Zoom Platform**

**6:00 pm**

**In Attendance:**

**City Administration:** Mayor Wade Woolstenhulme, Councilmembers: Steve Wilmoth, Dave Neff, Tom Smart, Joe Frazier, Ron Bowen

**City Staff:** City Recorder, Amy Rydalch

**Others in Attendance: None**

1. **Mayor Woolstenhulme** opened the meeting. Councilmember Bowen offered the invocation and Councilmember Frazier led the Council in the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. **Request for Closed Session – RFP responses for Engineering Services**

**Mayor Woolstenhulme** requested a Closed Session to discuss responses received by the city to the RFP for engineering services and gave direction on how the discussion should proceed.

**Oakley City in accordance with Utah State Statute sections 52-4-205(1)(a) and 63G-7-707(9) may vote to hold a closed session for this item on the agenda.**

**Councilmember Wilmoth** made a motion to move into closed session to discuss the responses to the RFP for engineering services. **Councilmember Smart** seconded the motion.

**Roll Call Vote:**

<b>Ron Bowen</b>	<b>Aye</b>
<b>Dave Neff</b>	<b>Aye</b>
<b>Joe Frazier</b>	<b>Aye</b>
<b>Tom Smart</b>	<b>Aye</b>
<b>Steve Wilmoth</b>	<b>Aye</b>

**All voted in favor. Motion passed. Council entered a closed session.**

41 ***\*\*The Closed Session was Adjourned and the open session was reconvened as posted on the noticed***  
42 ***agenda for this meeting.\*\****  
43

44 **3. Possible Action regarding RFP responses.**  
45

46 **Councilmember Frazier** made a motion that the city move forward and interview Franson Engineering,  
47 Sunrise Engineers, Epic Engineering, and Aqua Engineering candidates as discussed in the closed session.  
48 **Councilmember Wilmoth** seconded the motion. **All voted in favor. Motion passed.**  
49

50 **4. South Bench Ditch Company – Fee Discussion**

51 **Recorder Rydalch** stated that the South Bench Ditch Co. has countered to the city and is holding  
52 firm on the \$50,000 connection fee with an option of amortizing the fee over 5-7 years. In  
53 addition, the city would have to secure the ditch company's authorization for any additional  
54 secondary water connections to the New Lane extension line. **Councilmember Wilmoth** asked if  
55 this would trigger an additional connection fee. Discussion regarding implications of additional  
56 future connection fees. **Mayor Woolstenhulme** asked for thoughts from the council.  
57 **Councilmember Smart** indicated that he felt this connection was necessary but was concerned  
58 about future development. It was discussed that for developments such as subdivisions it would  
59 be the developer's responsibility to sort out a secondary water system as part of the  
60 development process and to potentially negotiate with the ditch co.  
61

62 **Councilmember Neff** expressed concern regarding the need for authorization from the ditch  
63 company for additional connections to the New Lane line. He stated that a clause in the  
64 agreement ought to include language that exempts the city from additional connection fees.

65 **Recorder Rydalch** stated that the intent behind the required ditch company's authorization is to  
66 protect the ditch company from additional private connections that may impact their ability to  
67 bring their water through their pipe to their users. **Mayor Woolstenhulme** provided further  
68 clarification that the city will not be using water from the ditch company, only their pipeline as a  
69 vehicle to transport the city's water derived from an outside source. The city will be responsible  
70 and own the New Lane pipeline to the complex, but it will be connected to the South Bench  
71 Ditch Co.'s main line. Further discussion on the possibility and conditions that would allow for  
72 additional connections along New Lane. **Recorder Rydalch** recommended to Council that if they  
73 would like to participate in the South Bench Ditch Co. pipeline project, that the City's legal  
74 counsel prepare an agreement that includes language regarding the city connection fee, volume  
75 of water, possible future connections and that fees related to these are to be negotiated at a  
76 future date.  
77

78 **Councilmember Wilmoth** made a motion to agree to the \$50,000 connection fee with the condition that  
79 the City's legal counsel draft an agreement with the terms specifying the city connection fee, volume,  
80 and conditions as discussed regarding future additional connections. **Councilmember Bowen** seconded  
81 the motion. **All voted in favor. Motion passed.**  
82

83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110

**Councilmember Smart** informed the Council that representatives from the RAP Tax grant committee had reached out to him and wanted to know if the City would be willing to take less than what was requested on the grant application, or if the city had the resources to contribute more to the project. **Councilmember Smart** stated that the city's resources are already quite tapped but that the project could be scaled back with only two courts this year and possible re-application for additional funds for courts the following year. **Mayor Woolstenhulme** stated that the County needs to recognize the projects that Oakley City uses the RAP Tax funds for serve a much broader community than many of the RAP Tax projects funded in other municipalities. He stated that this should be part of the committee's consideration. General agreement that if necessary, the project could be extended.

**Councilmember Bowen** made motion to adjourn the meeting.

Adjournment.

Approval is to form this 11<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2020



Wade Woolstenhulme, Mayor



Amy Rydalch, City Recorder