

GENERAL FUND REVENUES

10-3870	Revenue	Transfer of Surplus	<u>75600</u>	94650	Extra cost coverage
		GF Revenue TOTAL	<u><u>75600</u></u>		

GENERAL FUND EXPENSES

10-4948	Prof/Tech	Unemployment Equip	11000	15800	Extra cost
10-5125	City Bldg	Supplies/Maint	11000	43242	Extra repair/maint costs
10-5127	"	Utilities	12000	55500	Extra costs
10-5811	Cross Guard	Salaries Street	4500	35200	Extra costs
10-6056	Public Works	lights/installation	21000	21000	new street lights
10-6811	Recreation	Salaries	12000	268260	Extra costs
10-6813	"	Benefits	4000	108950	Extra costs
10-7163	Heritage Day	Sound Systems	100	2500	Extra costs
		GF Expense TOTAL	<u><u>75600</u></u>		

Revenue Expense
Increase Increase

SEWER FUND

REVENUE

52-3720		Fund Balance Surplus	10000	263798	Transfer to cover extra costs
---------	--	----------------------	--------------	--------	-------------------------------

EXPENSE

52-4039		Sewer Dist payment	10000	82050	Extra costs
---------	--	--------------------	--------------	-------	-------------

TOTAL 10000 10000

SOLID WASTE FUND

REVENUE

54-3720		Fund Balance Surplus	4000	36146	Transfer to cover extra costs
---------	--	----------------------	-------------	-------	-------------------------------

EXPENSE

54-4045		Special Clean-ups	4000	26000	Extra costs
---------	--	-------------------	-------------	-------	-------------

4000 4000

MOTOR POOL

REVENUE

41-3720		Fund Balance Surplus	41000	221621	added costs coverage
---------	--	----------------------	--------------	--------	----------------------

EXPENSE

41-4025		Equip, supplies, maint	27000	155000	extra costs
---------	--	------------------------	--------------	--------	-------------

41-4029		Fuel	14000	158000	extra costs
---------	--	------	--------------	--------	-------------

TOTAL 41000 41000

SPECIAL ROAD & STREET FUND			
REVENUE			
37- 3720	Fund Balance Surplus	120056	188056 Audit numbers
EXPENSE			
37- 4073	Improvements	<u>120056</u>	414556 added project funding
		<u>120056</u>	<u>120056</u>
COMMUNITY ARTS			
REVENUE			
24- 3311	Donations	17000	21000 added donations
EXPENSE			
24- 4046	Activities and Events	<u>17000</u>	17680 extra expenditures
		<u>17000</u>	<u>17000</u>
Public Comment	<p>Mayor Adams opened the public hearing at 7:29 p.m. and asked for public comment. There was none, therefore he closed the public hearing at 7:30 p.m.</p> <p>Councilmember Bateman said he appreciates how economically Mr. Cluff handles the budget.</p> <p>Mayor Adams commented that there are times when costs cannot be predicted, he used snow removal as an example.</p>		
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Hansen moved to adopt Resolution 15-13, amending the budget for FY 2012-13. Councilmember Reed seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.</p>		
C. PRESENTATION – UPDATE ON THE WEST DAVIS CORRIDOR EIS STUDY			
Petitioner	Randy Jefferies, Dennis Cluff		
Discussion	<p>Randy Jefferies of the Utah Department of Transportation provided the City Council with a presentation on the West Davis Corridor EIS study. He explained the EIS Study is to evaluate future placement of a transportation corridor in western Davis County. It will take into consideration the transportation needs through the year 2040, while at the same time taking into account local concerns. The study’s intent has been to find a workable solution to the location of the West Davis Corridor that will benefit the West Davis and Weber areas.</p> <p>He provided the City Council with a presentation regarding the study which is included in the staff report in addition to being located on line at www.udot.utah.gov/westdavis.</p> <p>Mr. Jefferies said that Alternative B is preferred by UDOT because B carries more traffic than A; has fewer home impacts; and fewer impacts to farms and historic properties. On the north end, 4100 West is preferred for the transportation performance; fewer impacts to farms and historic properties. There will be an interchange on 1800 North. The 4100 West Alternative will be just west of the golf course.</p> <p>Councilmember Reed said she feels it would be better for the citizens who live in the area if the further west option were chosen.</p>		

	<p>Mr. Jefferies reminded the Council the route is in anticipation of future traffic.</p> <p>Councilmember Stanton said she would prefer the 4800 West option as well.</p> <p>Councilmember Bateman said the Council has submitted their preference for the most westerly option. He asked who will make the final decision.</p> <p>Mr. Jefferies replied Federal Highways will make the final decision.</p> <p>He explained this one project will decrease the regional congestion level by 60%. Air quality needs to be considered. All the EPA standards are met. The noise level has been evaluated and it has been determined that no noise walls will be required. The intent is also to connect all the local trail networks.</p> <p>Councilmember Bateman, Reed and Stanton expressed concern that public comments were not necessarily considered before choosing the route.</p> <p>Mr. Jefferies stated that UDOT has done their best to evaluate the best alternative to meet the transportation needs.</p>
--	--

D. CONTRACT WITH PARLANT TECHNOLOGY – MASS COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES

<p>Petitioner</p>	<p>Dennis Cluff</p>
<p>Discussion</p>	<p>Mr. Cluff identified that as discussed and budgeted for in FY 2013-14, staff would like to begin coverage with Parlant Technology (a nation wide communications provider) to provide enhanced communications with the City residents pertaining to both emergency type situations and non-emergency functions. Initially staff is only looking at the basic service which communicates through phone and emails. This base rate is a \$1.50 per household per year. Additionally an application program is available to add "social media" formats to the communication ability, which costs an additional \$.75 per household per year.</p> <p>He went on to explain that this communication system has great flexibility; once the citizens phone and email information is gathered, we will be able to give instant notice to all or part of the City on emergencies, road closures, water/sewer disruption issues, evacuation needs, publications, newsletters, information dissemination, surveys, past due reminders (billing), shut-off notices, business license renewals, and all kinds of other informational items. The flexibility allows for a single household message or an entire City message. One great advantage of this system is that this information can be quickly initiated by the City and sent out to the public within minutes.</p> <p>Staff will need to get started on collecting citizen phone and email information in order to get this system up and running. Once the contact information has been collected, there will be a series of training sessions with staff and the City Council to familiarize us all on how we can utilize it.</p> <p>This initial annual contract is for approximately \$9,763 (based on household connections). This includes a start-up initialization cost of \$500 and an annual FCC surcharge cost of \$.07 per user (household) cost.</p>
<p>City Council Comments</p>	<p>Councilmember Hansen said she has seen this used in school and is impressed. She feels it would benefit the City.</p> <p>Councilmember Reed said she is not in favor of texting citizens due to a potential cost of the texts to the citizens.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff said that phone or e-mail can be specified.</p> <p>Councilmember Stanton said she feels this will benefit the City however she is</p>

	<p>not in favor of social media at this time.</p> <p>Councilmember Bateman expressed concern if the system were to go down for some reason; however he said he is in favor of the contract.</p> <p>Councilmember Mitchell said he is in favor.</p> <p>Debbie Barlow commented that 95% of text messages do get opened.</p> <p>The Council asked that a representative from Parlant Technology come to a City Council meeting to discuss the service once it gets up and running in Clinton.</p>
Public Comment	<p>Karen Peterson commented that citizens may be more in favor of this if they can determine the information they receive.</p> <p>Barbara Patterson commented that she feels this is a good idea as long as the elderly who do not have computers will be notified as well.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Stanton moved to approve the contract with Parlant Technology effective after July 1, 2013, for one year for \$9,763 which does not include the social media option. Councilmember Hansen seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion.</p>
E. 8:00 PM PUBLIC HEARING – 2014 CDBG SECOND PUBLIC HEARING	
Petitioner	Community Development
Discussion	<p>Mr. Vinzant identified this is the second public hearing for the CDBG program. This hearing is necessary to allow all citizens to provide input concerning the project that was awarded under the 2013 Community Development Block Grant Program. The city has amended its capital investment plan and decided to apply for funds on behalf of the 2400 North Reconstruction Project Phase 2, which includes 2350 N 2400 N and 890 W, located approximately 2300 N and 2600 N. Lynn Vinzant is the Project Manager for this project. The application was successful in the County rating and ranking process for a one-year award of \$25,000. The project will be explained to those in attendance. Staff will respond to all public comments, either this evening or in writing if necessary.</p> <p>Mr. Vinzant went on to say that his question is when does a grant cost more than you are getting? As a rule you can only use 10% of the grant for administrative costs, \$2,500 in this case. Historically the City hasn't used grant funds for any of the staff time but there is a considerable amount of staff time required to manage a CDBG. I checked with Scott Lindsey, a project estimator with Staker Parsons, to see how much of an increase is added to a CDBG project. Apparently they do not consider the fact that it is a CDBG project, however he indicated that subcontractors may add between 2% and 5% to cover the administrative costs associated with the requirements of FLSA and Davis Bacon Wages.</p> <p>The \$25,000 grant is just over 5% of the project cost.</p> <p>He said he does not feel it is cost efficient for the City and his recommendation is to not go forward with this project and re-apply in 2014.</p> <p>Mayor Adams agreed it would be better to re-apply next year.</p>
Public Comment	<p>Mayor Adams opened the public hearing at 8:43 p.m. and with no public comment, closed the public hearing at 8:44 p.m.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Reed moved to not move forward with the 2400 North Reconstruction Project Phase 2 CDBG and to reapply in 2014. Councilmember Bateman seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.</p>
F. CONTRACT AWARD – 2012 CDBG – 2400 NORTH AREA STREET RECONSTRUCTION PHASE 1	
Petitioner	Community Development

<p>Discussion</p>	<p>Mr. Vinzant reported that on June 18, City Staff opened sealed bids for the 2400 North Area Street Reconstruction Phase 1 project after an appropriate advertising notice. There were five companies that submitted bids and Granite Construction Company was the low bidder for the project.</p> <p>Low Bid was \$ 307,307.00 Engineer's Estimate was \$ 360,353.50 CDBG Funding is \$130,000.00</p>
<p>CONCLUSION</p>	<p>Councilmember Hansen moved to Authorize the City Manager to execute a contract with Granite Construction Company for the 2012-13 CDBG Project, 2400 North Street Reconstruction Phase 1. Councilmember Bateman seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion.</p>
	<p>Councilmember Bateman moved to enter into closed session at 9:16 p.m. Councilmember Stanton seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion.</p>
<p>G. ACTION AFTER CLOSED SESSION</p>	
<p>ITEM 1</p>	<p>LAND PURCHASE</p>
<p>CONCLUSION</p>	<p>Councilmember Bateman moved to submit the following counter offer in regards to the purchase of property as discussed in closed session:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full appraised price • Standard split on closing costs • Seller will have first right of refusal concerning any resale of the land at the market value at the time. • City property will be guaranteed access along the full frontage of the property off of future roadway • When the pond is fully engineered, if the City determines that it is the best design to locate the storm drain outlet, from the pond, under the future curb line, running north, an easement for the pipe will be granted, at no charge to the City. City will schedule installation to minimize interference with farming if possible. • If the corner lot, on the city property, is determined to be available, when the subdivision is developed, and the City decides to sell the property back to the developer the developer will include the lot in the subdivision plat. • City will participate in 50% of the right-of-way improvements fronting the City property. • City and developer will be willing to participate in a payback agreement for the payment to the developer of the right-of-way. Payback will be dependent upon applicable impact fees collected from building permits issued in the subdivision. • Any work the City accomplished within the future right-of-way prior to the subdivision being developed will be credited toward the City's portion of the 50% right-of-way payback. • City will be allowed to survey the property and establish corner stakes. The southwest corner stake will be set below grade to allow farming. • Clinton City will maintain the detention pond, when developed, by keeping native grasses trimmed and the site cleaned up in the same manner that it has other undeveloped properties within the city. • Clinton City intends on improving this detention pond, at some time, into a city park; however, due to financial unpredictability the City can not commit to a timeline concerning potential development. • As discussed in our initial letter the City is willing to allow continued

	<p>farming this year and will work with whoever is farming your property to farm this property until the City develops the pond.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The property is to be turned over to the City with clear title and free of encumbrances. • Closing of transfer is to take place within 30-days of your accepting this offer and this offer is good for 30-days from the date of this letter. <p>Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion.</p>
ITEM 2	Mr. Cluff made the recommendation that Clinton City hire Bruce Logan to be the new Recreation Director at a rate no higher than step 3.
CONCLUSION	Councilmember Hansen moved to ratify Mr. Cluff's recommendation of Bruce Logan as the new Recreation Director. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, no; Councilmember Stanton, no.
Approval of Minutes	<p>Councilmember Hansen moved to approve the June 11, 2013 City Council Meeting minutes as amended. Councilmember Stanton seconded the motion. Councilmember Bateman and Councilmember Mitchell abstained because they were not present at the meeting. All others voted in favor of the motion.</p> <p>Councilmember Stanton moved to approve the June 11, 2013 City Council Closed Session Meeting minutes as written. Councilmember Hansen seconded the motion. Councilmember Bateman and Councilmember Mitchell abstained because they were not present at the meeting. All others voted in favor of the motion.</p>
Accounts Payable	Councilmember Stanton moved to pay the bills. Councilmember Hansen seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion.
Planning Commission Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr. Vinzant reported that the Planning Commission did not meet in lieu of a General Plan Committee meeting.
City Manager Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campaign signs for the City Council Primary Election can be put up as of June 29, 2013. The signs for Mayoral candidates will not be allowed to be put up until October.
Mayor Adams Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nothing at this time.
Councilmember Bateman	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reported he has had a request from an individual seeking a venue to hang pictures for sale to raise funds for Parkinson Research. <p>The Council said they would like to help, but expressed concern about setting a precedent for other situations; there are too many requests to accommodate all of them.</p>
Councilmember Hansen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nothing at this time.
Councilmember Mitchell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nothing at this time.
Councilmember Reed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nothing at this time.
Councilmember Stanton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nothing at this time.
ADJOURNMENT	Councilmember Stanton moved to adjourn. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. All those present voted in favor of the motion. The meeting adjourned at 10:44 p.m.

