

Presentation to the South Weber City - City Council - 13Oct20

Comments by Paul A. Sturm

I have several comments regarding the Packet for this 13Oct20 City Council Meeting as follows:

- 1) Regarding Agenda Item #5 - the Presentation on Ranked Choice Voting:
 - a) How does the process work in a case where there are 5+ candidates for three positions?
 - b) How are abstentions from voting considered i.e., someone only wants to vote for one candidate ?

- 2) Regarding Agenda Item #8 - the request by Mosquito Abatement District-Davis (MAD-D) for funds:
 - a) The charge for MADS-D services should be based on the size of the area of the city, that is, the area serviced by the mosquito abatement district. For example, South Weber has an area of 4.67 square miles, whereas Layton City has 22.65 square miles, a factor 4.85 times larger.
 - b) I was unable to find any reference the area of unincorporated Davis County for comparison. It is surely much larger than South Weber City, may be a larger area than any Davis County city, and requires significantly more treatments due to the wet/marshy environment.
 - c) Although the funds requested (\$1,739) do not appear to be that much in the larger scheme of things, fair is fair!

- 3) The Youth City Council Charter document is very thorough and well presented, but:
 - a) There are missing and inaccurate Roman Numerals for paragraph headings.
 - b) There are inconsistent fonts throughout the document, especially in the paragraph headings.
 - c) I would be willing to assist in reworking the document so the Youth City Council has a clean document that would better represent their significant efforts and support to South Weber City, and a document for which the YCC would be proud!