

PLANNING COMMISSION

5580 W. 4600 S. Hooper, UT 84315

Thursday, October 08, 2020

7:00 PM

AGENDA

WORK MEETING WILL BEGIN AT 6:30 PM

The agenda for the meeting consists of the following:

CALL TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND REVERENCE

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

- [1.](#) Planning Meeting Minutes September 10th, 2020, draft
- [2.](#) Planning Meeting Minutes September 22nd, 2020, draft

CITY BUSINESS

- [3.](#) **Public Hearing** - Conditional Use Permit- Oversized Structure- McCormick, Todd – 5340 W. 4600 S. (5,400 sqft)
- [4.](#) **Public Hearing** - Conditional Use Permit- Oversized Structure- Wright, Jordan – 6227 W. 4700 S. (2,352 sqft)
- [5.](#) **Public Hearing** - Conditional Use Permit- Oversized Structure- Lemus, Jorge – 4909 W. 5850 S. (1,920 sqft)
- [6.](#) **Public Hearing**- Rezone- R1 to R2 - H.L. Parker Farms, approx. 6050 S. 6300 W., 18.1 acres
- [7.](#) Final Approval Morning Meadows

CITIZENS COMMENTS *(Limited to (3) minutes per speaker)*

ADJOURNMENT

(If you need assistance in understanding or participating in the meeting, please contact Hooper City at 801-732-1064 at least 24 hours in advance, and we will try to provide assistance.)

PLANNING COMMISSION

5580 W. 4600 S. Hooper, UT 84315

Thursday, September 10, 2020

7:00 PM

MINUTES

WORK MEETING WILL BEGIN AT 6:30 PM

PRESENT:

Commissioner Nathan Platt, Commissioner Amanda Prince, Commissioner Bryce Wilcox,
Commissioner Jacob Draper, Attorney - Brandon Richards,

Excused: Chairman Mary Simpson, City Engineer – Tracy Allen

Note: The time stamps indicated in blue corresponds to the audio recording of this meeting, which can be found by clicking the link

<https://youtu.be/PO-pl8aHEs4>

or by requesting a copy from the office of the Hooper City Recorder.

WORK MEETING: Agenda and line items reviewed. [0:00:01](#)

The agenda for the meeting consists of the following:

CALL TO ORDER

Commissioner Wilcox called the meeting to order. [0:25:50](#)

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND REVERENCE

Reverence: Commissioner Prince

Pledge: Commissioner Platt

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

The minutes for the August 13th, 2020 Planning Commission meeting were reviewed.

MOTION [0:28:30](#) made by Commissioner Platt to approve the August 13th, 2020 meeting minutes.

Seconded by Commissioner Draper.

Voting Yea: Commissioner Platt, Commissioner Prince, Commissioner Wilcox, Commissioner Draper
Motion carried.

CITY BUSINESS

2. Public Hearing- Conditional Use Permit- Oversized Structure – Lunt, Steve – 3563 S. 5425 W. (2.400 sqft)

Staff Overview: [0:28:58](#)

Steve Lunt, property owner, not available for discussion.

PUBLIC HEARING:

Commissioner Wilcox opened the Public Hearing. No comments. Comment closed.

MOTION 0:31:10 made by Commissioner Prince to approve the Conditional Use Permit for the oversized structure; Steve Lunt; 3563 S. 5425 W., 2,400 sqft.

Seconded by Commissioner Draper.

Voting Yea: Commissioner Draper, Commissioner Prince, Commissioner Wilcox and Commissioner Platt.

Motion Carried.

3. Public Hearing- Rezone- R1 to R2 – Fielding; 4600 S. 6300 W., 2.5 acres

Staff Overview and Discussion: [0:31:50](#)

Robb Miles, Developer, not available for discussion.

PUBLIC HEARING:

Commissioner Wilcox opened the Public Hearing. No comments. Comment closed.

MOTION 0:34:37 made by Commissioner Draper to table discussion until the November 12th, 2020 Planning Commission meeting.

Seconded by Commissioner Prince.

Voting Yea: Commissioner Draper, Commissioner Prince, Commissioner Wilcox and Commissioner Platt.

Motion Carried.

4. Consideration of Recommendations to Complete an Amended Comprehensive General Public.

Staff Overview and Discussion: [0:35:31](#)

PUBLIC COMMENT: [0:44:53](#)

Commissioner Wilcox opened the Public Comment:

George Buck made the following comments: [0:44:55](#)

- Clarification on the timeline for upcoming General Plan discussion.

Wayne Widdison made the following comments: [0:46:26](#)

- Spoke about ideas for development on Fremont Island.

Michelle Edwards made the following comments: [0:50:19](#)

- Would like to see Hooper maintain larger lot sizes.

Ranee Johnson made the following comments: [0:52:36](#)

- Spoke on finding a solution to allow farmers to sell ground to developers.

Ada Parker made the following comments: [0:54:48](#)

- Spoke on finding a solution to allow farmers to sell ground to developers.

Lela Vervaet made the following comments: [0:56:38](#)

- Spoke on choosing Hooper for as her home.

Beverly Parker Bailey made the following comments: [1:01:58](#)

- Spoke on finding a solution to allow farmers to sell ground to developers.

Eric Young made the following comments: [1:06:08](#)

- Would like to make sure that this process will create a “vision” for Hooper City.

Angie Erickson made the following comments: [1:08:27](#)

- Would like to make sure that this process will create a “vision” for Hooper City.

Andrea Widdison made the following comments: [1:11:35](#)

- Spoke about including places for Parks and Trail improvements.

Matt Malone made the following comments: [1:14:46](#)

- Would like to make sure that this process will create a “vision” for Hooper City that can be sustained with current tax base.

Amber Kelso made the following comments: [1:17:27](#)

- Would like to preserve and protect the rural feel for Hooper.

Mark Bower made the following comments: [1:20:34](#)

- Concerned that developers are not paying enough impact fees to cover the costs of future city needs.

Natalie Zuech made the following comments: [1:23:10](#)

- Concerned about the safety of fast growth and development.

Bruce Taylor made the following comments: [1:25:30](#)

- Concerned about the possible development of a future water tank near is property.

Blake Marigoni made the following comments: [1:29:09](#)

- Would like to make sure that this process will create a “vision” for Hooper City.

Reed Chambers made the following comments: [1:31:27](#)

- Concerned that larger lots are not taken care of.

Scott Nye made the following comments: [1:35:14](#)

- Would like to maintained larger lots sizes in Hooper City.

Steve Whipple made the following comments: [1:37:25](#)

- Would like to maintained larger size lots in Hooper City.

Jace Snider made the following comments: [1:37:25](#)

- Would like council to have consideration for people that would like to develop parts of their land.

Susie Roundy made the following comments: [1:41:55](#)

- Concerned about the safety of fast growth and development.

Janice Robinson made the following comments: [1:44:05](#)

- Concerned about the safety of fast growth and development.

Commissioner Wilcox called for additional comments. No comments. Comment closed.

Commission Discussion: [1:47:06](#)

Commission continued discussion on items brought up in public comment.

MOTION 1:52:16 made by Commissioner Prince that the Planning Commission complete a comprehensive study to amend the Hooper City General Plan.

Seconded by Commissioner Draper.

Voting Yea: Commissioner Draper, Commissioner Prince, Commissioner Wilcox, Commissioner Platt

4. Consideration on a Temporary Land Use Regulation.

Staff Overview and Discussion: [1:52:55](#)

PUBLIC COMMENT: [1:55:58](#)

Commissioner Wilcox opened the Public Comment:

Chris Paulson made the following comments: [1:56:00](#)

- Spoke against consideration for land use restrictions.

Beverly Parker Bailey made the following comments: [2:03:06](#)

- Spoke against land use restrictions..

Wayne Widdison made the following comments: [2:05:25](#)

- Spoke about general plan decisions and violate current laws.

Andrew Warwood made the following comments: [2:09:08](#)

- Make a consideration for already submitted application requests.

Commissioner Wilcox called for additional comments. No comments. Comment closed.

Commission Discussion: [2:10:55](#)

Commission continued discussion on items brought up in public comment.

MOTION 2:22:01 made by Commissioner Prince to recommend that City Council put in place a temporary land use regulation, putting a halt to all rezones until the comprehensive study of the general plan is complete and the amended general plan is adopted and put in place. With the understanding that the developments that we have discussed in last and current planning commission meeting find resolution on the November 12th, 2020 Planning Commission meeting.

Seconded by Commissioner Platt.

Voting Yea: Commissioner Draper, Commissioner Prince, Commissioner Wilcox, Commissioner Platt

ADJOURNMENT

8:58 PM

Motion made by Commissioner Draper, Seconded by Commissioner Platt.

Voting Yea: Commissioner Platt, Commissioner Draper, Commissioner Prince, and Commissioner Wilcox.

PLANNING COMMISSION- GENERAL PLAN WORK SESSION

5580 W. 4600 S. Hooper, UT 84315

Tuesday, September 22, 2020

6:00 PM

MINUTES

PRESENT

Councilman Cindy Cox
Commissioner Simpson
Commissioner Prince
Commissioner Wilcox
Commissioner Platt
City Engineer – Tracy Allen
Committee Member Venable
Committee Member Barnes
Committee Member Northrop
Committee Member Malone
Committee Member Harris

Note: The time stamps indicated in blue corresponds to the audio recording of this meeting, which can be found by clicking the link

<https://youtu.be/m-WobJRlcwg>

or by requesting a copy from the office of the Hooper City Recorder.

The agenda for the meeting consists of the following:

CALL TO ORDER

Commission Chair – Mary Simpson called the work session meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. [0:00:01](#)

WORK SESSION DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Discussion on Hooper City's General Plan scope and timeline details.

Discussion on the details of the scope for the General Plan with Wasatch Front Regional Council.

[0:03:42](#)

ADJOURNMENT

7:28 p.m.

Hooper City
5580 W. 4600 S.
Hooper, UT 84315
Office 732-1064 - Fax 732-0598

□ Fee: \$200.00
Total sq. ft. of Structure 5400^{ft}2
Date Submitted _____

Conditional Use Permit Oversized Structure

Print Applicant Name: Todd McCormick
Address: 5340 W. 4600 S Hooper UT 84315
Phone #: _____

Day Time Phone #: SAME Email: _____

□ **Provide site plan drawings including all of the following:** (Site plan must be to scale).

- Map of property showing adjacent streets
- Building dimensions and distance from other structure
- Distance from property lines. (The drip edge must be at least 3 feet from property lines. If you are on a corner lot or have easements attached to your property it may be more than the 3 feet.)
- List any easements on property
- Roof pitch, roof height, roofing material and drip line distance to other structure and property lines
- Concept drawing of what structure will look like
- Building materials
- Driveway materials
- Landscaping design

□ What will the structure be used for? See Attached

□ Will any plumbing be installed in the structure? Yes X No _____

□ Will any electricity be installed in the structure? Yes X No _____

□ Will structure be used for a business? Yes _____ No X
If yes, have you applied for a business license with Hooper City? Yes _____ No X

Explain: _____

- Provide stamps and **mailing addresses** not parcel addresses for all property owners within 600 feet from your property. (front, sides and back). **The addresses must be typed and printed on address labels, not hand written.** Mailing Addresses are available on the Weber County Web-Site at www.co.weber.ut.us, then go to Geo Gizmo. It will pull up a map. Outline the area you want, then use the tool bar at the bottom of the screen to get parcel information and **mailing addresses**. **Also, bring in a copy of the map you used with the parcels marked that the addresses came from.**

Please address these issues on the back of this application.

Traffic problems	Safety issues	Noise	Parking
Fencing	Pollution	Odors	Design
Business operation	Use of structure	Easements	

I hereby certify that the above information is accurate to the best of my knowledge. I certify that I will comply with all state and local requirements before and after building this structure. I understand that if the conditional use does not start within (12) months and also if the conditional use is discontinued for (12) consecutive months, the Conditional Use Permit will expire. If my conditional use changes I will notify Hooper City for a Conditional Use Permit review. I or a representative will be present at the Planning Commission Meeting.

Signature: Todd McCormick Date: 9/12/20

Name of Applicant

Approval Date: _____ Disapproval Date: _____

Planning Commission Chairman

Comments/Conditions: _____

Completed application, fee and all other documents must be submitted three weeks before a Planning Commission Meeting which is the 2nd Thursday of the month unless otherwise specified.

Easement on the property---There is a water company underground ditch at the very back of the property. They have an easement so they can maintain and repair if necessary this underground ditch.

What will the structure be used for?

-The building will be used for storage, family gatherings, shop space, and basketball

Will any plumbing be installed in the structure?

-Yes

Will any electricity be installed in the structure?

-Yes

Will structure be used for business?

-No

Traffic Problems—I don't foresee any traffic problems.

Safety Issues---No safety issues will arise from the construction of this building

Noise---NA


Parking---NA

Fencing---NA

Pollution---NA

Odors---NA

Design---The design of the building is typical of most outbuildings in the area



NORTH
SITE LAYOUT PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 20'-0"

4600 SOUTH STREET

IGNITION GROUP

iv. See the architectural plans for all stair information including, but not connection details.

- | INDEX OF DRAWINGS | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 01 | GENERAL STRUCTURAL NOTES |
| F-1 | FOUNDATION & ANCHOR BOLT PLAN |
| F-2 | FOUNDATION DETAILS |
| R-1 | ROOF FRAMING PLAN |
| S-2 | FRAMING / SHEETING ELEVATIONS |
| S-3 | FRAMING / SHEETING ELEVATIONS |
| S-4 | FRAMING / SHEETING ELEVATIONS |
| S-5 | BUILDING SECTIONS |
| S-6 | MEZZANINE FRAMING & SHEAR WALL PLAN |
| S-7 | ERECTION DETAILS |
| S-8 | ERECTION DETAILS |
| S-9 | STRUCTURAL DETAILS |

DATE

BY

DESCRIPTION

T.M.

FOR PERMIT

DATE

BY

DESCRIPTION

T.M.

FOR PERMIT

GN

SHEET NUMBER

DATE

BY

DESCRIPTION

T.M.

FOR PERMIT

DATE

BY

DESCRIPTION

T.M.

FOR PERMIT

SHEET TITLE: **GENERAL STRUCTURAL NOTES**

JOB NAME: **LB BUILDING**

LOCATION: **HOOPER, UTAH**

CONTRACTOR: **IGNITION GROUP**



MOUNTAIN VIEW
ENGINEERING, INC.


Structural Engineering Consulting Design

345 North Main Street Ste. A, Brigham City, Utah 84302 (435) 734-8700 Fax (435) 734-8519



O
 1996
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541








TWIN TABLE		TWOSEAT TABLE	
CODE	DESCRIPTION	CODE	DESCRIPTION
1	HEAD TRIM	1	HEAD TRIM
2	UPPER END TRIM	2	UPPER END TRIM
3	LOWER END TRIM	3	LOWER END TRIM
4	HEAD TRIM	4	HEAD TRIM
5	UPPER END TRIM	5	UPPER END TRIM
6	LOWER END TRIM	6	LOWER END TRIM
7	HEAD TRIM	7	HEAD TRIM
8	UPPER END TRIM	8	UPPER END TRIM
9	LOWER END TRIM	9	LOWER END TRIM

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION
1	8-9-20	T.M.	FOR PERMIT

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION
1	8-9-20	T.M.	FOR PERMIT



NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION
1	8-9-20	T.M.	FOR PERMIT

NO.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION
1	8-9-20	T.M.	FOR PERMIT

S-4

SHEET NUMBER

200039

PLAN ISSUE DATES

DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION
8-9-20	T.M.	FOR PERMIT

SHEET TITLE: FRAMING / SHEETING ELEVATIONS

JOB NAME: LB BUILDING

LOCATION: HOOPER, UTAH


CONTRACTOR: IGNITION GROUP

MOUNTAIN VIEW ENGINEERING, INC.


Structural Engineering Consulting Design

345 North Main Street, Suite A, Brigham City, Utah 84302 (435) 734-8700 Fax: (435) 734-8618

姓名	学号
第1-2	02113
第1-2	02114
第1-2	02115



ROOF FRAME ELEVATION: FRAME LINE 2 3 4



PG00 FRAME ELEVATION: FRAME LINE 5

Year	Gr	Sp	Aut	Win	Sum	Yr	Large
20-1	4	4	2	125	470	120	
20-2	4	4	2	125	475	120	

MARK	65-3
W-2-1	W-2-1
W-2-2	W-2-2
W-2-3	W-2-3

DESIGN #77	K.Y.
EXPERIMENT	A. SIMONIS
REF. JOB NUMBER	200039

SHEET NUMBER:
S-5




PLAN ISSUE DATES			
DATE:	BY:	DESCRIPTION:	
8-6-20	T.W.	FOR PERMIT	


SHEET TITLE: **BUILDING SECTIONS**
JOB NAME: **LB BUILDING**
LOCATION: **HOOVER, UTAH**
CONTRACTOR: **IGNITION GROUP**












**MOUNTAIN VIEW
ENGINEERING, INC.**








Structural Engineering Consulting Design
345 North Main Street Ste. A, Brigham City, Utah 84302 (435) 734-8700 Fax (435) 734-8519





21 1/2" x 2" EAVE GUTTER DETAIL	22 1/2" x 2" RAKE TRIM DETAIL	23 1/2" x 2" ROOF CAP DETAIL	24 1/2" x 2" WALL PER PANEL FASTENER SPACING	25 1/2" x 2" ROOF PER PANEL FASTENER SPACING
26 1/2" x 2" OUTSIDE CORNER TRIM DETAIL	27 1/2" x 2" HEADER & JAMB TRIM DETAIL	28 1/2" x 2" BASE TRIM DETAIL	29 1/2" x 2" UPRIFT BRACE TO 2 PURIN	30 1/2" x 2" RAFTER TO COLUMN DETAIL
31 1/2" x 2" RAFTER TO COLUMN DETAIL	32 1/2" x 2" ROOF CONNECTION DETAIL			





Hooper City
5580 W. 4600 S.
Hooper, UT 84315
Office 732-1064 - Fax 732-0598

□ Fee: \$200.00
Total sq. ft. of Structure 2352
Date Submitted 09-10-20

Conditional Use Permit Oversized Structure

Print Applicant Name: Jordan Wright
Address: 6227 W 4700 S Hooper UT 84315
Phone #: [REDACTED]
Day Time Phone #: [REDACTED] Email: [REDACTED]



□ **Provide site plan drawings including all of the following:** (Site plan must be to scale).

- Map of property showing adjacent streets
- Building dimensions and distance from other structure
- Distance from property lines. (The drip edge must be at least 3 feet from property lines. If you are on a corner lot or have easements attached to your property it may be more than the 3 feet.)
- List any easements on property
- Roof pitch, roof height, roofing material and drip line distance to other structure and property lines
- Concept drawing of what structure will look like
- Building materials
- Driveway materials
- Landscaping design

□ What will the structure be used for? Storage - R.V., ATV, UTV, Automobiles, trailer parking

□ Will any plumbing be installed in the structure? Yes No X

□ Will any electricity be installed in the structure? Yes No X

□ Will structure be used for a business? Yes No X
If yes, have you applied for a business license with Hooper City? Yes No X

Explain:

□ Provide stamps and **mailing addresses** not parcel addresses for all property owners within 600 feet from your property. (front, sides and back). ***The addresses must be typed and printed on address labels, not hand written.*** Mailing Addresses are available on the Weber County Web-Site at www.co.weber.ut.us, then go to Geo Gizmo. It will pull up a map. Outline the area you want, then use the tool bar at the bottom of the screen to get parcel information and **mailing addresses**. ***Also, bring in a copy of the map you used with the parcels marked that the addresses came from.***

Please address these issues on the back of this application.

Traffic problems	Safety issues	Noise	Parking
Fencing	Pollution	Odors	Design
Business operation	Use of structure	Easements	

I hereby certify that the above information is accurate to the best of my knowledge. I certify that I will comply with all state and local requirements before and after building this structure. I understand that if the conditional use does not start within (12) months and also if the conditional use is discontinued for (12) consecutive months, the Conditional Use Permit will expire. If my conditional use changes I will notify Hooper City for a Conditional Use Permit review. I or a representative will be present at the Planning Commission Meeting.

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 09-10-2020

Name of Applicant

Approval Date: Disapproval Date:
Planning Commission Chairman

Comments/Conditions:

Completed application, fee and all other documents must be submitted three weeks before a Planning Commission Meeting which is the 2nd Thursday of the month unless otherwise specified.

Traffic Problems - N/A

Fencing - Existing Fence will be modified to fit steel building.

Business operation - N/A

Safety Issues - N/A

Pollution - N/A

use of structure - Storage for R.V., ATV's, UTV's, Automobiles, and trailer parking.

Noise - N/A


Odors - N/A

Easements - No easements on property.

Parking - N/A

Design - See attached pictures

4700 South Street



- Roof Pitch is 4/12
- Roof Height is 23^{ft} 8 inches
- Built with lumber, steel, concrete
- no driveway

no easements on property

Property line


PART OF THE SE.1/4, OF SECTION 12, T.5N., R.3W., S.L.B. & M.
THOMAS S LOWE SUBDIVISION PH 03

75

TAXING UNIT: 376

IN HOOPER CITY
 SCALE 1" = 100'


SEE PAGE 10



SEE PAGE 10


10' UTILITY & DRAINAGE EASEMENTS EACH
 SIDE OF PROPERTY LINES AS INDICATED
 BY DASHED LINES EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE
 SHOWN.

FOR COMPLETE ENG DATA SEE
 ORIGINAL DEDICATION PLAT IN
 BOOK 48, PAGE 96 OF RECORDS.



Live Price
Erected
(Cash Payment Term)

Custom View



Custom View





ROBIN HARRIS
Cell Phone: (435) 512-3543
Phone Number: (435) 257-4578
Email: rharris@clearybuilding.com

9/3/2020
WRIGHT, JORDAN
Doc ID: 4060020200903064044

Cleary Buildings Project Estimate

Client Proposal - Erected

Project Name: WRIGHT, JORDAN
Site Address: UNKNOWN HOOPER, UTAH 84315
Email: jzwcamaro@gmail.com
Cell Phone: (801) 603-4317





ROBIN HARRIS
Cell Phone: (435) 512-3543
Phone Number: (435) 257-4578
Email: rharris@clearybuilding.com

9/3/2020
WRIGHT, JORDAN
Doc ID: 4060020200903064044

Commercial 42' 0"x56' 0"x16' 8" with 15' 0" truss clearance from 100'-0" mark. (7 Bays at 8' o.c.)

Details:

Truss (Standard Lower Chord) with 4/12 roof pitch.
Default Ceiling Design: Not Designed to Support a Ceiling
Purlin Blocking: Both Endwalls are purlin blocked
Type Foundation: In Ground
Concrete Floor: Yes - Supplied by Cleary (Concrete not included unless otherwise noted in writing in the Additional Building Components section)
Upgrade to 2x6 Corner Bracing
30 psf Roof Snow Load with 2"x4" Continuous 2' 0" inch on center purlins.

Exterior Finishes:

Roof/Siding: Grand Rib 3
- Lifetime Film Integrity Warranty, 30 Year Fade and Chalk Warranty, and G-60 standard galvanized coating.
Wainscot: S1: Grand Rib 3. S2: None. E1: Grand Rib 3. E2: None

Accessories:

Standard Ridge Cap: Not vented
Side Wall Overhang: None on S1 & S2
End Wall Overhang: None on E1 & E2

- (1) - Overhead frame out. Size: 12' 0" Width x 10' 0" Height (Door not included unless shown in Additional Building Components or Clopay Building Products)
- (2) - Overhead frame out. Size: 14' 0" Width x 13' 6" Height (Door not included unless shown in Additional Building Components or Clopay Building Products)
- (1) - 3' WHITE continuous eavelites
- (1) - Plyco Series 20 Standard 3'-0"x6'-8" with 2x6 frame
- (3) - Plyco CTB500 Horizontal Step SaverHorizontal (Single Slider) 5'-0"x4'-0" with 2x6Step-SaverScreen
Features: Insulated Double Pane

Manually Priced Items

Code	Name
60.2	2" x 6" *

Additional Building Components

Overhead Doors
2 14x13'9" overhead
Concrete Floor
2352 sf floor 4 rb

work shop and storage building

Bonnie Weaver <bonitakweaver@gmail.com>

Wed 9/30/2020 8:29 AM


To: hoopercity@hotmail.com <hoopercity@hotmail.com>

My name is Gary A Weaver, I live at 6240 W 4800 S Hooper Ut. 84315. I am sending this email because of my concern of my neighbor who is planning to build a building that is just too large for the space in his backyard. It's too high, too large, and too close to my property. It also cuts off any view from my backyard. My property is listed under Weaver Family Trust. Thank you I will be attending the Oct 8th meeting. His property 6227 W. 4700 S Jordan Wright is the owner.

Hooper City
5580 W. 4600 S.
Hooper, UT 84315
Office 732-1064 - Fax 732-0598

□ Fee: \$200.00
Total sq. ft. of Structure 1920
Date Submitted _____

Conditional Use Permit Oversized Structure



Print Applicant Name: JORGE LEMUS
Address: 4909 W. 5850 SO. HOOPER UT 84314
Phone #: [REDACTED]
Day Time Phone #: [REDACTED] Email: [REDACTED]

□ Provide site plan drawings including all of the following: (Site plan must be to scale).

- Map of property showing adjacent streets
- Building dimensions and distance from other structure
- Distance from property lines. (The drip edge must be at least 3 feet from property lines. If you are on a corner lot or have easements attached to your property it may be more than the 3 feet.)
- List any easements on property
- Roof pitch, roof height, roofing material and drip line distance to other structure and property lines
- Concept drawing of what structure will look like
- Building materials
- Driveway materials
- Landscaping design

- What will the structure be used for? GARAGE STORAGE
- Will any plumbing be installed in the structure? Yes _____ No ✓
- Will any electricity be installed in the structure? Yes _____ No ✓ FUTURE
- Will structure be used for a business? Yes _____ No ✓
- If yes, have you applied for a business license with Hooper City? Yes _____ No _____

Explain: _____

- Provide stamps and *mailing addresses* not parcel addresses for all property owners within 600 feet from your property. (front, sides and back). The addresses must be typed and printed on address labels, not hand written. Mailing Addresses are available on the Weber County Web-Site at www.co.weber.ut.us. then go to Geo Gizmo. It will pull up a map. Outline the area you want, then use the tool bar at the bottom of the screen to get parcel information and *mailing addresses*. Also, bring in a copy of the map you used with the parcels marked that the addresses came from.

Please address these issues on the back of this application.

Traffic problems	Safety issues	Noise	Parking
Fencing	Pollution	Odors	Design
Business operation	Use of structure	Easements	

I hereby certify that the above information is accurate to the best of my knowledge. I certify that I will comply with all state and local requirements before and after building this structure. I understand that if the conditional use does not start within (12) months and also if the conditional use is discontinued for (12) consecutive months, the Conditional Use Permit will expire. If my conditional use changes I will notify Hooper City for a Conditional Use Permit review. I or a representative will be present at the Planning Commission Meeting.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 9-16-20


Name of Applicant Jorge Lemus 9-16-20

Approval Date: _____ Disapproval Date: _____

Planning Commission Chairman

Comments/Conditions: _____

Completed application, fee and all other documents must be submitted three weeks before a Planning Commission Meeting which is the 2nd Thursday of the month unless otherwise specified.



5850 So. Street.

Each D = 2.5 Feet.

Site Plan Jorge Lemus
Total H. eght 2'6" 4909 W. 5850 So.
metal roof 4/12 p. tch. Hooper Utah

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. OVERSIZED STRUCTURE

JORGE LEMUS
4909 W. 5850 SO.
HOOPER UTAH

1. NO TRAFFIC PROBLEMS PERSONAL RESIDENCE
2. PROPERTY IS CURRENTLY FENCED
3. PERSONAL USE NO BUSINESS OPERATION
4. NO SAFETY ISSUES SEEN.
5. NONE (POLLUTION ISSUES)
6. GARAGE & STORAGE
7. NO NOISE ISSUES
8. NO ANIMALS STORAGE ONLY NO. OTHER ISSUES
9. NO EASEMENT FOUND.
10. PERSONAL USE. ONLY NO PARKING ISSUES
ON PROPERTY
11. DESIGN POST FRAME STRUCTURE TO MATCH EXISTING HOME


JORGE LEMUS



1. BUILDING PAD SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED ON LEVEL SOIL.
2. COLUMN FOOTING CONCRETE BACKFILL SHALL BE CASTE AGAINST EXISTING UNDISTURBED SOIL THE SIZE AND SHAPE SHOWN ON DRAWINGS. (U.O.N.)
3. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES AND THE LATEST EDITION OF THE I.B.C.
4. BOLT HEADS & NUTS BEARING ON WOOD SHALL HAVE STD. PLATE WASHERS. BOLTS SHALL BE ASTM A307. BOLT HOLE DIA. SHALL BE 1/16" LARGER THAN BOLT DIA.
5. STRUCTURAL STEEL SHAPES AND PLATES SHALL BE ASTM A36 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
6. REBAR SHALL BE GRADE 40 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. (U.O.N.)
7. CONCRETE FOR FOOTINGS & SLABS $f'_c=2500$ PSI
8. BEARING BLOCKS SHALL BE PRE-DRILLED PRIOR TO SETTING 20d NAILS
9. 20d NAILS SHALL BE GALV. BOX TYPE
10. BOLT HOLES SHALL BE DRILLED STRAIGHT AND PERPENDICULAR TO THE COLUMN FACE TO ASSURE FULL BOLT BEARING
11. SEE TRUSS DRAWINGS FOR DOUBLE TRUSS BLOCKING REQUIREMENTS
12. ALL 6x TIMBERS SHALL BE ROUGH SAWN AND TREATED TO 0.60 RET W/ CCA TYPE C.
13. NO OTHER MATERIALS SHALL BE USED W/O M&W BUILDING APPROVAL


NOTES

1




2 HAIR PIN (TYP)
ALL EXCEPT CORNER COLUMNS

3




3 TYP. PANEL
W/O EXTENDED LEG

N.T.S.




4 "T" BRACING DETAIL



5 PURLIN BLOCK NAILING


13-20d TOTAL

6




6 PURLIN BLOCK NAILING

CONTINUOUS 2x6 (SPF C1650F 1.5E) SOLID MEMBER BETWEEN BOTTOM CHORDS




7 BOTTOM CHORD
SOLID BLOCKING

PURLIN BLOCK TO TRUSS/ RAFTER/OUTRIGGER W/ 3-20d EA SIDE (TYP)



8 OVERHANG DETAIL




METAL SALES PRO-PANEL II(TM) OR EQUAL. 0.0165"± GRADE E STEEL SIDING & ROOFING. FASTEN W/ 1 1/2" CAD PLATED #10 SCREWS @ 9" O.C. (TYP)

FOAM CLOSURES

RIDGE CAP FASTEN W/ STITCH SCREWS AT ALTERNATE RIBS


2x3 "T" BRACE

12 4




5/8" BOLT THRU TRUSS (DO NOT DAMAGE TRUSS PLATE) & W/ 6-20d EA SIDE (TYP)

2x6x24" BLOCK W/ 5-5/8" DIA MBs W/ WASHERS EA SIDE (TYP)




2" EDGE DISTANCE



4" CONCRETE SLAB W/ #4 "L" BAR IN FOOTING

24" DIA CONCRETE BACKFILL ALL COLUMNS (TYP)



POST & FRAME STRUCTURE

GEORGE LEMUS
4909 WEST 5850 SOUTH
HOOPER UTAH

40' WIDE x 48' LONG x 16' EAVE

ROOF LOAD: LIVE 30 PSF
DEAD LOAD: 3 PSF
WIND LOAD: 105MPH EXP. C
SEISMIC ZONE: D
FOUNDATION PRESSURE: 1500 PSF
LAT. SOIL BEARING: 150 PSF
BUILDING DESIGN: 2018 IBC
CLOSED BUILDING

COUNTY: WEBER

ENGINEERS DESIGN RESPONSIBILITIES ARE LIMITED EXCLUSIVELY TO THOSE DOCUMENTS BEARING HIS SEAL AND SIGNATURE. ANY ALTERATIONS TO THESE DOCUMENTS OR THE PHYSICAL STRUCTURE BY ANY PERSONS OR AGENCY SHALL VOID SAID DOCUMENTS AND RELIEVE ENGR OF DESIGN RESPONSIBILITY, AND MAY CREATE LIABILITY ISSUE FOR THEMSELVES. DRAWINGS ARE FOR STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS ONLY. THEY ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS HAVING BEEN PREPARED FOR BUILDING USE, OCCUPANCY AND/OR FIRE & LIFE-SAFETY REQUIREMENTS OF LOCAL CODES OR AGENCIES. SLAB & HAIRPINS SHOWN FOR CONSTRUCTION ONLY. DESIGN MIX, THICKNESS, C/J'S, & REINFORCEMENT BY OTHERS. THIS STRUCTURE HAS NOT BEEN DESIGNED FOR USE WITH INTERIOR FINISH MATERIALS SUBJECT TO DAMAGE OR FAILURE DUE TO LATERAL MOVEMENT


BSB ENGINEERING


3532 SOUTH 3500 WEST
WEST HAVEN, UT 84401
(801) 731-4597
(801) 731-2576 (FAX)

SCALE NONE

BSB 5/12/20

KIT Z25256





NOTES:
SEE TRUSS DRAWINGS FOR DOUBLE TRUSS
BLOCKING REQUIREMENTS AND WEB BLOCKING
LOCATIONS, IF APPLICABLE.

POST & FRAME STRUCTURE	
40' WIDE x 48' LONG x 16' EAVE	
ROOF LOAD: LIVE 30 PSF	
DEAD LOAD: 3 PSF	
WIND LOAD: 105MPH	EXPI C
SEISMIC ZONE: D	
FOUNDATION PRESSURE: 1500 PSF	
LAT. SOIL BEARING: 150 PSF	
BUILDING DESIGN: 2018 IBC	
CLOSED BUILDING	

GEORGE LEMUS 4909 WEST 5850 SOUTH HOOPER UTAH	
COUNTY: WEBER	
ENGINEERS DESIGN RESPONSIBILITIES ARE LIMITED EXCLUSIVELY TO THOSE DOCUMENTS BEARING HIS SEAL AND SIGNATURE. ANY ALTER- ATIONS TO THESE DOCUMENTS OR THE PHYSICAL STRUCTURE BY ANY PERSONS OR AGENCY SHALL VOID SAID DOCUMENTS AND RELIEVE ENGR OF DESIGN RESPONSIBILITY, AND MAY CREATE LIABILITY ISSUE FOR THEMSELVES DRAWINGS ARE FOR STRUCTURAL COMPLIANCE ONLY. THEY ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS HAVING BEEN PREPARED FOR BUILDING USE, OCCUPANCY AND/OR FIRE & LIFE-SAFETY REQUIREMENTS OF LOCAL CODES OR AGENCIES. SLAB & HAIRPINS SHOWN FOR CONSTRUCTION ONLY. DESIGN MIX, THICKNESS, C.J.'S & REINFORCEMENT BY OTHERS. THIS STRUCTURE HAS NOT BEEN DESIGNED FOR USE WITH INTERIOR FINISH MATERIALS SUBJECT TO DAMAGE OR FAILURE DUE TO LATERAL MOVEMENT	

BSB ENGINEERING	
3532 SOUTH 3500 WEST WEST HAVEN, UT 84401 (801) 731-4597 (801) 731-2576 (FAX)	
SCALE	NONE
BSB	5/12/20
KIT	Z25256



HOOPER CITY INC.

5580 W. 4600 S. APPLICATION TO AMEND THE Hooper
City, UT 84315 HOOPER CITY

Date Submitted – **August 19, 2020**

Petition No. _____ Parcel No. 100240020 and 240070008

Date Submitted – August 19, 2020

Address of Site - approximately 6050 S. 6300. W. Hooper, Utah

Applicant's Name - H. L. Parker Farms, (Luella J. Parker Family
Trust) - Beverly Parker Bailey Trustee

Phone Number - [REDACTED]

Address - 179 Peachtree Drive, Centerville, Utah 84014

Email: [REDACTED]

FEE SCHEDULE \$250.00 plus \$5 per acre the cost for publishing the notice in the Standard Examiner. The publishing cost will be determined and the applicant will be billed. The bill must be paid before it will be heard by the Planning Commission and Council.

NOTE: The Applicant must submit a plat map from the County Recorder's Office which accurately delineates the property being considered and a legal description (see requirements listed on the back).

***** Present Zoning of Property:
_____ Present Use of Property: agriculture

Proposed Zoning of Property: _____ Proposed use of Property if Property is Re-zoned (explain in detail)
_____ The Parker Family wishes to develop this property for building lots. Beverly Parker Bailey, trustee of the
Luella Parker Trust, and H. L. Parker Farms

Acreage of Property: _____ 18.1 _____ acres _____ Reason why Re-zoning is Requested: _The Parker
family is requesting rezoning in order to be able to develop building lots.

Will the proposed Re-zoning conform to the City Master Plan? X Yes _____ No (Explain and attach)

Signature of Applicant: Beverly Parker Bailey

Signature of Property Owner: Luella J Parker Family Trust

Trustee,

Beverly Parker Bailey 

I authorize Scott Carlson to act as my representative in all matters relating to this applications.

Beverly Parker Bailey Trustee,

Luella J. Parker Family Trust
Signature of Property
Owner

***** Please answer the following questions with specifics and attach a separate sheet. This information will be forwarded to the city Planning Commission members for review.

a. Why should the present zoning be changed to allow this proposal? b. How is the proposed change in harmony with the City Master Plan for this area? c. If it is not, what conditions and circumstances have taken place in the general area since the

Master Plan was adopted to warrant such a change? d. How is the change in the public interest as well as the applicant's desire?

I. APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR ZONING MAP CHANGE The property owner

shall submit to the City Recorder of the Planning Committee the following: A. An **application** formally requesting a zoning change and stating the reasons for the request. B. A **property plat** of the area of the requested zone change. Plats are available at the Weber County

Recorder's Office. C. A **legal description** of the subject property. D. A **re-zoning fee** as indicated on the zoning applications. E. **Mailing addresses of all the neighboring property owners within 600 feet of the area to be re-**

**zoned front, sides, and back of property lines of proposed property. F. ADDRESSES
NEED TO BE TYPED ON ADDRESS LABELS AND NOT HAND**

WRITTEN. The City will supply envelopes. G. Postage stamps for each address. The City will send out the letters to the property owners using the addresses and stamps provided by the applicant. You must show proof that all addresses were obtained. You may get on to the Weber County Website and go to Geo Gizmo. You must have mailing addresses not property addresses. You are responsible to make sure any returned letters are delivered to the property owners before the meeting.

- II. The Planning Committee requires any zoning application to be submitted (1) one month prior to any City Planning Commission meeting. The zoning ordinance change request is then scheduled to be heard at the first Planning Commission meeting following the one month period. It is recommended the property owner/applicant be present at the meeting.
- III. The Planning Commission will make a recommendation at their meeting to the City Council on the proposed change. The City Council may schedule a public hearing to discuss the proposed change. This hearing will be held 15 to 21 days following the recommendations from the Planning Commission to the Council.
- IV. The City Council, following the public hearing, will pass a motion either approving or denying the requested zone change. Their decision is final. If the request is approved, an official zoning map/ordinance will be signed and filed.
- V. The Re-zoning becomes effective 15 days after publication of the ordinance.

_____ Planning District scheduled to hear this application for zone map change on:

Date: _____ Decision of Commission:

City Council sets public
hearing:

Date: _____ Decision of Council:

Forms: Zoning Map: 01202016W

Parker Rezone Request

a. Why should the present zoning be changed to allow this proposal?

The surrounding area is being developed to allow residential subdivisions. The adjacent area is already residential. The land use plan identifies surrounding area as Medium Density Residential. Infrastructure and utilities in the area are sufficient to support an R-2 zone on this property. The requested zone will provide opportunity to build homes on lots sized for the current market, providing individual open space on each lot.

b. How is the proposed change in harmony with the City Master Plan for this area?

The master plan already identifies the surrounding area as Medium Density Residential. The proposed change will fill in between the existing residential areas. Recent subdivisions in the area are already converting the infill areas to residential. This proposed zone change will be in harmony with development occurring in the area.

c. If it is not, what conditions and circumstances have taken place in the general area since the Master Plan was adopted to warrant such a change?

NA

d. How is the change in the public interest as well as the applicant's desire?

The proposed change will benefit the public interest by providing residential homes meeting the current demand. There are many small lots ($\frac{1}{4}$ acre and smaller) available on the market, but much fewer available in the $\frac{1}{2}$ acre range. This proposed change will meet that market demand and do so at an affordable price. This lot size is large enough for families to have plenty of space, but not so large as to be unmanageable for most families. Homes built on lots of this size typically bring great families which are an asset to the city and to individual neighborhoods and property values increase. Applicant desires to provide a residential area that will be well cared for and an asset to the community. This requested rezone area will accommodate approximately 30 residential lots. No noticeable impact to environmental issues is to be expected. Roads in the area can still function safely and at high levels of service, even with 30 additional lots. Road frontage will be widened to city standard with sidewalks added.

Parker Rezone Request
Legal Description

The following property is requested to be rezoned to R-2

A parcel of land lying and situate in Section 24, Township 5 North, Range 3 West, Salt Lake Base and Meridian, Weber County, Utah. Basis of Bearing for Subject Parcel being North 89°53'29" West 2726.84 feet measured coincident with the centerline of 5900 South Street between 5900 West (Weber County Survey Monument 95-6) and 6300 West (Weber County Survey Monument 95-5) Streets. Subject Parcel being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the 3" Weber County brass cap number 95-6 monumenting the center of intersection of 5900 West and 5900 South Streets, Thence North 89°53'29" West 33.00 feet coincident with the centerline of said 5900 South Street; Thence South 0°12'11" West 33.00 feet, Thence South 0°12'11" West 1330.56 feet coincident with the west right of way of said 5900 West Street, Thence North 89°22'38" West 706.75 feet, Thence North 89°43'11" West 615.10 feet to the True Point of Beginning;

Thence North 89°51'20" West 1338.37 feet to a point on the east right of way of said 6300 West Street; Thence North 00°16'32" West 300.06 feet coincident with said east right of way; Thence North 89°55'05" East 193.64 feet; Thence North 00°04'55" West 207.00 feet; Thence North 89°55'05" East 164.52 feet; Thence North 00°04'22" East 153.08 feet; Thence South 89°32'37" East 980.35 feet; Thence South 00°09'29" East 657 feet more or less to the Point of Beginning.



August 26, 2020

Hooper City
5580 West 4600 South
Hooper City, UT 84315

RE: Rezone Application - Parker

Shauna,

We are submitting the enclosed rezone application to Hooper City following discussion comments heard at the August 13 planning commission meeting.

Concerns were raised by some planning commission members about the size of a 52 acre development. We shared that the Parker family is only planning to develop the west portion in the near future, and that they would be satisfied with a positive recommendation to rezone only that west portion. There were concerns from some planning commission members that they were not able to recommend only a portion of the property and that they needed to take the entire rezone application as it was originally submitted.

We have prepared this submittal reflecting only the west portion (approximately 18.1 acres).

This west portion is estimated to provide for only 30 residential lots meeting the R-2 zone requirements. These large lots will provide enough for families to have plenty of space, but not so large as to be unmanageable for most families. Homes built on lots of this size typically bring great families which are an asset to the city and to individual neighborhoods and property values increase. The Parker family desires to provide a residential area that will be well cared for and an asset to the community. No noticeable impact to environmental issues is to be expected. Roads in the area can still function safely and at high levels of service, even with 30 additional lots. Road frontage adjacent to the project will be widened to city standard with sidewalks added.

Please accept this application for rezone.

Thank you

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "S. Scott Carlson".


S. Scott Carlson, PE, PLS
Twin Peaks, PC



PARKER FARMS
REZONE EXHIBIT
HOOPER, UTAH

TWIN PEAKS
Engineering & Land Surveying
2264 NORTH 1450 EAST LEHI, UTAH 84043
(801) 450-3511

DWG DATE:	JUNE 2020
PLOT DATE:	26 June 2020
SHEET	1
OF	1



**HOOPER CITY STAFF
SUBDIVISION PLAN REVIEW**

**MORNING MEADOWS
SUBDIVISION**

Owner: Bradley R. Beus
Developer: Bradley R. Beus
Location: 4000 S 5350 W

Date: 9/24/2020
Lots: 29
Zone: R-2

FINAL PLAT REVIEW COMMENTS:

Based on the plans received on 9/14/2020

(Items in bold must be re-submitted for review)

- 1- Proposed lots meet or exceed all minimum dimensions as outlined in Title 10 Table 10-2B-3.
- 2- Will serve letters were received from Rocky Mountain Power and Dominion Energy during the preliminary approval process.
- 3- Final approval letters have been received from Taylor West Weber Water Improvement District, Weber Fire District and Hooper Irrigation Company.
- 4- All items from the Public Works and Engineering Memo dated 9/22/2020 are now shown as completed.



J-U-B ENGINEERS, INC.
Engineers • Surveyors • Planners
466 North 900 West
Kaysville, Utah 84037
801/547-0393
Fax: 801/547-0397

Memo

To: Hooper City Community Development Coordinator
From: Public Works and Engineering
CC: Brad Beus, Mayor Fowers, Jared Hancock
Date: September 22, 2020
Re: Morning Meadows Subdivision - J-U-B Project No. 5518007-020

Public Works and Engineering have completed the fourth Final Plat review of the Morning Meadows Subdivision which will create 29 lots on 18.3 acres in the City's R2 zone. This review is based upon a revised improvement plans identified as Revision 10 which were delivered to the City office on September 14, 2020. The subdivision is located at approximately 4000 South and 5350 West. Comments from the previous reviews are shown below. Comments from this third review are shown in **green text**.

Final Plat

1. Lots 11 and 12 do not close to within acceptable tolerances. **OK**
2. Addresses will be provided in a subsequent memo. **Addresses were provided. The address for Lot 23 is incorrect on the plat. OK**
3. The Owners Dedication must also say "Hooper City" in connection with the dedication of streets. **OK**
4. The 15' Irrigation Easement should not be dedicated to the Taylor West Weber Water Improvement District. It should be dedicated to the Hooper Irrigation Company. **OK**
5. **New Comment: As shown in the improvement plans, 5350 West Street will change north of the intersection of 3825 South to be come 5250 West Street to connect into the subdivisions north of this location. Please add the street label to the plat. OK**
6. **New Comment: Lot 1 must be labelled as Lot 1-R with a note restricting access onto 4000 South. OK**

7. New Comment: It is our understanding that there is still a concern over the boundaries shown on the plat in the northeast corner of the subdivision. The City does not resolve boundary disputes, but expects that the developer will work with adjacent property owners for a resolution. **The developer has indicated that a boundary line agreement has been drafted and will be recorded before the final plat. This must be done before the Planning Commission can recommend final plat approval to the City Council. This executed agreement has been provided to the City. OK**

Improvement Plans

Sheet 3

1. The slope of the vacuum sewer main must be shown in the profile view. The slope of the vacuum sewer main has now been shown in profile. However, it is still not clear to Public Works or the future contractor who will build this pipeline exactly what the final design will look like because the point of connection in 4000 South is shown as “field verify.” The vacuum sewer hydraulics regarding number of lifts and slope are too critical to be left to the contractor to determine. If the elevation of the connection point can’t be verified through potholing ahead of time, be aware that the construction of the vacuum main will be delayed until a new plan can be reviewed after the connection elevation is determined. **The slope of the vacuum sewer has been noted on the plans. The developer has indicated that he is planning to identify the location of the existing vacuum sewer in the field by potholing in the highway at a later date to field verify. We are still strongly recommending potholing in order to prevent delays in the construction of the project. OK**
2. A city-standard street light is required at the intersection. OK
3. What is the anticipated elevation difference between the end of the sidewalk along the north side of the 5350 West near the intersection and the adjacent property to the north? Careful consideration must be given to how this is to be handled. This comment incorrectly identified the location of the concern as “along the north side of 5350 West.” The concern is actually with the fill and grade difference along the back of the sidewalk along the west side of 5350 West. The plan currently calls for a 3:1 slope to existing grade. How is that possible with only one foot between the back of sidewalk and to subdivision boundary. Will there be a permanent slope easement with the adjacent property owner? If so, a copy of that must be provided to the City. Please clarify. **The response provided is that the developer is in the process of purchasing the adjacent property to the west. The drawings have not been changed and Public Works cannot recommend final approval until there is a current method proposed to deal with the grade differences at this location. This comment is no longer applicable since the developer has purchased the adjacent property. I question. OK**
4. The following note was included for informational purposes in the Preliminary Plat Phase and will still apply:

Recent experience with UDOT regarding new connections to the vacuum main in 4000 South have been difficult. UDOT has required boring in of new utilities rather than open trench installation. The vacuum sewer can only be shut down for limited periods of time. A construction execution plan accommodating both UDOT and Public Works requirements will

take detailed planning and coordination with both entities in order to accomplish connection to the vacuum sewer main. Public Works will not approve an alternative method of construction that jeopardizes the sewer system as a result of UDOT's right-of-way requirements.

This note also applies to any of the utility connections in the state highway. It was recently overlaid and the City does not have any say in UDOT road cut requirements. The developer's response to this comment is that the UDOT permit will be provided. The permit requirements must match Public Works requirements. **The developer has indicated that a permit will be supplied. This comment from Public Works is intended to reinforce the need to ensure that the encroachment permit that will be obtained from UDOT to work in the highway will provide for an appropriate method for making the connection to the vacuum sewer and other utilities. OK**

5. **New Comment: The secondary waterline will not be permitted under the curb and gutter as currently shown on this sheet. OK**
6. **New Comment: Public Works does not maintain turf in the park strip in locations where it is not associated with lot frontage. This applies to the portion of the park strip south of Lot 29. The developer will need to provide low maintenance alternative in the park strip in this area, preferably concrete. The latest plans show concrete in this area. OK**

Sheet 4

1. The vacuum sewer is too shallow at the north end of this profile view. The slope has not been shown, but it is clear that it can be laid flatter (minimum slope of 0.2%). Please refer to Note 1 of Sheet 5. **OK**
2. The secondary water service and the storm drain comb box between Lots 28 and 29 are too close together to provide for proper future maintenance. A similar condition exists on the opposite side of the road. Please revise. **OK**
3. A street light will be required along 5350 West (600-foot maximum spacing). The preferred location is in the vicinity of the buffer tank shown on Sheet 5. **OK**
4. **New Comment: The secondary waterline will not be permitted under the curb and gutter as currently shown on this sheet. OK**

Sheet 5

1. The vacuum sewer is shown incorrectly in the profile view on this sheet. It is shown connected to a manhole. It is shown correctly in the plan view except that there are no 90-degree bends in the vacuum sewer. Two 45-degree bends are required. **OK**
2. Regarding the vacuum sewer profile, the maximum lift in the buffer tank is 8 feet, but less lift is preferred. The vacuum main itself typically has 4 to 5 feet of cover. It can be laid at a slope as low as 0.2%. Please consider this design criteria and adjust the vacuum sewer profile accordingly. **A profile view between SSMH#6 and the buffer tank is required in order to ensure that the buffer tank is installed correctly. Please clarify. This view has been provided. OK**

3. A street light will be required along 5350 West (600-foot maximum spacing). The preferred location is in the vicinity of the buffer tank shown on this sheet. **OK**
4. Public Works does not want to have the storm drain pipe between the combo box and the catch basin installed at an angle as shown on this sheet. Please revise. **OK**
5. Similar to Note 2 for Sheet 4 above, there are utility services and storm drain structures planned too close to each other that will not be acceptable to Public Works as shown. **OK**

Sheet 6

1. **New Comment: Public Works has notified Hooper Irrigation that the secondary water sump drain will not be permitted in the park strip. If it is still needed, it will have to be relocated well behind the sidewalk within the irrigation easement. Please modify. The sump has been removed and a drain valve has been provided elsewhere. OK**

Sheet 7

1. Please label the contours in the detention basin. **OK**
2. How will the improved temporary turn-around (pavement) interact with the detention basin embankment and landscaping? Please clarify. **The developer has indicated that the temporary turn-around will not encroach on the detention basin as shown in the Landscape Plans. There still appears to be a need for some additional grading between the sidewalk and the detention basin for the temporary turn-around. OK**
3. Provide warning signs at the end of the street. **OK**
7. The grading at the end of the roadway is shown transitioning back to adjacent grade on private property. Has that permission been granted? **The developer has indicated that the plan is to obtain permission from the adjacent landowner. The City will need to have a copy of a slope easement associated with this permission. The response provided is that the developer is in the process of purchasing the adjacent property to the west. The drawings have not been changed and Public Works cannot recommend final approval until there is a current method proposed to deal with the grade differences at this location. This comment is no longer applicable since the developer has purchased the adjacent property | question. OK**
- 8.
4. An electrical service will be required at the detention basin to run the irrigation system for the basin. **OK**
5. **New Comment: The detention basin is 4 feet deep which is typically right at the point where standing groundwater becomes a concern. Public Works is willing to sacrifice some depth in the basin in order to ensure that the turf in the basin is not always wet and can be mowed. This will be determined in the field during construction. OK**

Sheet 8

1. A street light is required at the intersection. **OK**
2. The secondary water drain sump is not acceptable in the park strip as shown due to utility space concerns associated with a 4-foot manhole and the proximity of the gravel sump to roadway improvements. It will also not be permitted in the roadway. We have notified Hooper Irrigation of this matter and asked them to respond in their review of the improvement plans. **The plan has been revised. OK**

Sheet 9

1. Hooper City does not want to receive runoff from UDOT's highway into its storm drain and detention basins. A plan to handle the highway drainage as it has historically been handled is required. **The plan has been updated. However, the plan shows the west end of the storm drain emptying into an irrigation ditch. The drain ditch is on the south side of 4000 South. Please clarify. Regarding the storm drain itself, the City will rely on UDOT for approval of the drainage plan in the state highway. The developer is planning to empty the storm drain into the existing ditch on the north side of the highway. This is acceptable to the City as long as UDOT has no objections. OK**

Sheet 10

1. We are unable to correlate the storm drain outfall line and the elevations shown for EXSDMH and the elevations shown for the corresponding storm drain manhole in the Silver Saddle Subdivision. Please clarify. **The response to this comment from the developer is to "see Sheet 11 on Silver Saddle Subdivision." Sheet 11 in the set of plans that the City has is part of the SWPPP. Sheets 7 and 9 show the storm drain piping through an easement in Lot 19 of Silver Saddle. We are still unable to correlate this with what is shown in Morning Meadows. It will be necessary to show a portion of Silver Saddle Subdivision in these plans so that the storm drain correlation between the two developments can clearly be seen. A new drawing was provided to show the relationship between the storm drain outfall from Morning Meadows and Silver Saddle Subdivisions. There is still a problem between the two sets of plans. The storm drain manhole at the property boundary on the Silver Saddle plans show a rim elevation of 4235.00 and an invert elevation of 4229.74. These elevations do not match the elevations shown on Sheet 10.1. Please clarify. This has been corrected. OK**
9. Has there been an agreement between the developers of the Silver Saddle Subdivision and the Morning Meadows Subdivision regarding the construction of the outfall piping mentioned in Note 1 above? **The response to this comment indicates that the developer is working on this agreement. It will be required for Final Plat approval. The developer has again indicated that the agreement is in progress. Without this agreement in place, this subdivision does have a storm drain outfall and Public Works cannot recommend Final Plat approval. This comment is not longer applicable since the developer has purchased the adjacent property I question. OK**
2. The control box detail does not match city standards. This has been a comment of other recent improvement plans. Revised details have been provided to the City that would apply here as well. **Per the City standards, there must be a slight elevation difference between the invert elevation of the pipe into the control box and inlet into the detention basin so that nuisance water (sprinkler runoff, etc.) will pass and not**

needlessly enter the detention basin. In addition, the slide gate must be galvanized.

OK

10. Regarding the raised roadway and the grading referenced in the Note 4 for Sheet 8, what additional improvements are being proposed to address the grade difference anywhere along the west side of the subdivision, in particular along the detention basin and Lot 20? The developer has indicated that the plan is to obtain permission from the adjacent landowner. The City will need to have a copy of a slope easement associated with this permission. The response provided is that the developer is in the process of purchasing the adjacent property to the west. The drawings have not been changed and Public Works cannot recommend final approval until there is a current method proposed to deal with the grade differences at this location This comment is not longer applicable since the developer has purchased the adjacent property I question.
OK
3. We know that Hooper Irrigation Company has provided comments regarding the irrigation pipeline that is proposed along the north and west sides of this subdivision. We do not see anything to indicate how the irrigators are supposed to gain access to their turnout boxes that are shown on private lots and will likely be on the opposite side of the fence from the irrigated field. This does not seem like a plan that will be acceptable to those who still run the piped irrigation ditches. The City has stated throughout the Preliminary Plat Phase that we expect to see written documentation stating that the proposed improvements are acceptable to the ditch users. This is still required. The response to this comment indicates that the developer is working on this issue with Hooper Irrigation and landowners. This will be required for Final Plat approval. The developer has indicated that this is in progress. Having written documentation from ditch users that the plans for handling irrigation ditches is still required for the Planning Commission to recommend Final Plat approval to the City Council. The City has received signed documentation from water users indicating that they have reviewed the plans and agree with the irrigation plans as shown. OK
4. New Comment: Based upon a meeting with the City, the developer, irrigators and others on May 14th, the control of irrigation water along the north side of the subdivision needs to be changed. IRRBOX#4 is still required, but will not house any of the control headgates. The west control headgate will be in IRRBOX#5 and the north control headgate will be located 10 feet into the Thompson property north of IRRBOX#4. This will allow for headgate access that does not require access through a subdivision lot. Any questions regarding this issue can be addressed by the developer or the irrigators. The plans have been updated. IRRBOX#5 is intended to be on the City's side of the fence so that access isn't needed through private property. Please modify. The written documentation noted in Comment 5 above applies to these changes as well. The location of IRRBOX#5 has been changed so that it is accessible through public property at the detention basin. OK
5. New Comment: IRRBOX#1 and IRRBOX#2 are actually drain boxes and should be labelled accordingly. OK
6. The box labelled "New Irrigation Box per Quail Cove" is shown on Sheet 19 with a note to "connect to existing" piping. The box needs to be replaced along with the piping around it. Please clarify. OK

7. **New Comment (for information only): The Morning Meadows Subdivision appears to be advancing in the approval process ahead of the Quail Cove Subdivision noted above. This may represent a delay in the construction of certain items and in the acceptance by Public Works of all subdivision improvements required for building permits. Both subdivisions are progressing. Quail Cove is ahead of Morning Meadows. Timing may still be an issue to be dealt with should it arise. OK**

Other Comments:

1. We do not see any land use separation fencing shown on the current plans. It is likely that the fencing requirements were provided by the City Council during the Preliminary Plat approval process. Contact Mike Fisher if there are any questions. **The developer has indicated that the fence has been added to the plans. We have been unable to identify the fencing on the plans. Please clarify. 6-foot vinyl fencing has been shown on all sides of the subdivision except the east side. Final fence requirements are to be recommended by the Planning Commission at the final approval stage. OK**
2. The following note was included in the Preliminary Plat review memos. We do not recall ever receiving a response:

*The City has received documentation indicating that the developer has applied to UDOT for approval for the new access onto SR_37 (4000 South) at 5350 West. A traffic study has also been submitted to UDOT with a copy to the City. Documentation indicating UDOT approval of the access and street frontage improvements is required for Final Plat approval. The developer has indicated that UDOT has given final approval. Written documentation is still required. **The developer has indicated the UDOT document was included in this submittal to the City. It is possible that the City staff did not receive this document. Apparently, it is available and will be provided to the City This comment will be addressed in the next review. This documentation from UDOT has been provided. OK***
3. Landscaping plans for the detention basin are being reviewed. Comments will be provided in a subsequent memo. Landscape plans are acceptable as submitted. OK
4. **New Comment: Please be aware that the City is now requiring that frontage along arterials such as the state highways be improved by developers to include vinyl fencing and rock mulch on weed fabric (or concrete) in the park strip. This will apply to this development as well. OK**



Public Works and Engineering recommend this subdivision for Final Plat approval.

Project Narrative/Notes/Revisions


- 11/04/19 KE - COMPLETED DESIGN FOR CLIENT & CITY REVIEW
- 12/19/19 KE - UDOT COMMENTS
- 01/06/20 KE - COMMENTS FROM TAYLOR WEST WEBER WATER
- 02/05/20 KE - FIRE HYDRANT UPDATE
- 02/05/20 NF - REVISED IRRIGATION PER COMMENTS.
- 03/03/20 NF - REVISED DRAINAGE ALONG 4000 S. PER UDOT COMMENTS.
- 03/13/20 NF - RELOCATED IRRIGATION TO NEIGHBORING PROPERTY.
- 03/24/20 KE - CITY COMMENTS DATED 3/19/20.
- 05/26/20 KE - CITY COMMENTS DATED 5/15/20.
- 07/29/20 NF - REVISED WATER CONNECTION TO EXISTING.

Morning Meadows Subdivision Improvement Plans

HOOPER CITY, WEBER COUNTY, UTAH
JANUARY 2020



Vicinity Map
NOT TO SCALE



Sheet Index Key Map
NOT TO SCALE

Sheet Index

- Sheet 1 - Cover/Index Sheet
- Sheet 2 - Notes/Legend/Street Cross-Section
- Sheet 3 - 5350 West 0+00.00 - 4+50.00
- Sheet 4 - 5350 West 4+50.00 - 9+30.00
- Sheet 5 - 5350 West 9+30.00 - 14+10.00
- Sheet 6 - 5350 West 14+10.00 - 16+45.10
- Sheet 7 - 3825 South 17+00.00 - 21+50.00
- Sheet 8 - 3825 South 21+50.00 - 23+20.00
- Sheet 9 - 4000 South 24+00.00 - 28+50.00
- Sheet 10 - Grading & Drainage Plan
- Sheet 10.1 - Storm Drain Outfall
- Sheet 11 - Utility Plan
- Sheet 12 - Details
- Sheet 12.1 - Details
- Sheet 13 - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan Exhibit
- Sheet 14 - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan Details
- Sheet 15 - Irrigation 4+75.00 - 9+50.00
- Sheet 16 - Irrigation 9+50.00 - 14+30.00
- Sheet 17 - Irrigation 14+30.00 - 19+00.00
- Sheet 18 - Irrigation 19+00.00 - 23+50.00
- Sheet 19 - Irrigation 23+50.00 - 27+18.00
- Sheet L1 - Detention Basin Design
- Sheet L2 - Irrigation Details
- Sheet L3 - Irrigation Details



Engineer's Notice To Contractors


THE EXISTENCE AND LOCATION OF ANY UNDERGROUND UTILITY PIPES OR STRUCTURES SHOWN ON THESE PLANS WERE OBTAINED FROM AVAILABLE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY OTHERS. THE LOCATIONS SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE AND SHALL BE CONFIRMED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR, SO THAT ANY NECESSARY ADJUSTMENT CAN BE MADE IN ALIGNMENT AND/OR GRADE OF THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT. THE CONTRACTOR IS REQUIRED TO CONTACT THE UTILITY COMPANIES AND TAKE DUE PRECAUTIONARY MEASURE TO PROTECT ANY UTILITY LINES SHOWN, AND ANY OTHER LINES OBTAINED BY THE CONTRACTOR'S RESEARCH, AND OTHERS NOT OF RECORD OR NOT SHOWN ON THESE PLANS.

Developer Contact:

Brad Beus
5666 West 4000 South
Hooper City, UT, 84315
PH: (801) 668-2723

Project Contact:

Project Manager: Nate Reeve
Project Engineer: Jeremy Draper



REVISIONS
DATE DESCRIPTION

Morning Meadows Subdivision
PART OF THE SE 1/4 OF SECTION 6, T.5N., R.2W., S.1B. & M., U.S. SURVEY
HOOPER CITY, WEBER COUNTY, UTAH

Cover/Index Sheet



Project Info.

Engineer: J. DRAPER
Drafter: K. EAVES
Begin Date: 10/14/2019
Name: MORNING MEADOWS SUBDIVISION
Number: 5497-03

Sheet 19
1

Sept. 14th 2020