

**MINUTES OF LAYTON CITY
COUNCIL MEETING**

MAY 16, 2013; 7:07 P.M.

**MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS
PRESENT:**

**MAYOR PRO TEM JORY FRANCIS, MICHAEL
BOUWHUIS, JOYCE BROWN, BARRY FLITTON
AND SCOTT FREITAG**

ABSENT:

MAYOR J. STEPHEN CURTIS

STAFF PRESENT:

**ALEX JENSEN, GARY CRANE, JIM MASON,
TRACY PROBERT, TERRY COBURN, BILL
WRIGHT, PETER MATSON AND THIEDA
WELLMAN**

The meeting was held in the Council Chambers of the Layton City Center.

Mayor Pro Tem Francis opened the meeting and excused Mayor Curtis. Boy Scout Greg Romney with Troop 596 led the Pledge of Allegiance. Sue Smedley gave the invocation. Scouts from Troops 525, 596 and 462 were welcomed.

MINUTES:

MOTION: Councilmember Bouwhuis moved and Councilmember Brown seconded to approve the minutes of:

**Layton City Council Work Meeting – March 21, 2013;
Layton City Council Meeting – March 21, 2013;
Layton City Council Meeting – April 4, 2013;
Layton City Council Work Meeting – April 18, 2013; and
Layton City Council Meeting – April 18, 2013.**

The vote was unanimous to approve the minutes as written.

MUNICIPAL EVENT ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Councilmember Brown said each year, during the July 4th celebration, the City recognized Hometown Heroes. She said this year residents had the opportunity to nominate Vietnam Veterans. Councilmember Brown said applications were available on the City website or at a First National Bank branch.

Councilmember Brown indicated that she had flyers from the Davis Arts Council with information about the performances in the amphitheater this summer.

Councilmember Brown said the Family Recreation Program activity for the month was this Monday. She said it was a family golf night at Swan Lakes Golf Course. Councilmember Brown said the activity would run from 4:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. and included discount rates for miniature golf, 9 holes of golf, the putting course, batting cages and food.

Councilmember Brown said on June 1st Layton would be joining with other cities that have trails that connect to the D&RG trail in celebrating National Trail Day. She said there would be activities at Ellison Park, including prizes and giveaways.

Councilmember Brown said June 8th was free fishing day in the State. She said there would be activities at Andy Adams pond. Councilmember Brown said a fishing license was not required to fish on that day.

Councilmember Brown said the Parks and Recreation Department had vouchers available for Salt Lake Bees games for \$7.

Councilmember Brown said in conjunction with the new splash pad being constructed at Ellison Park, children could go online to the City's website and submit a name for the dragon that was part of the splash pad. She said the City Council, in conjunction with the Parks and Recreation Commission, would be selecting the winner. Councilmember Brown said the winner would be involved in the ribbon cutting ceremony.

Mayor Pro Tem Francis said the naming contest could also be accessed through Facebook.

Councilmember Bouwhuis said the North Davis Sewer District Board recently approved a major 5.3 million dollar improvement of the sewer line along Gordon Avenue from Main Street to 2200 West. He said the pipe would go from 12 inches to 36 inches. Councilmember Bouwhuis said there would be some bypasses that would cause traffic issues on Gordon Avenue.

PRESENTATIONS:

WEST DAVIS CORRIDOR DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS) UPDATE

Alex Jensen, City Manager, introduced Randy Jeffries, with UDOT. He said Mr. Jeffries would be presenting information associated with the EIS.

Mr. Randy Jeffries indicated that the draft EIS was released to the public today, which indicated that alternative B1, or the Glovers Lane option, was the recommended alignment. He said the EIS had been a 3-year process. Mr. Jeffries said the West Davis Corridor would meet transportation needs through 2040. He said it was anticipated that in Davis County the population would increase 63%, housing would increase 90%, travel delays would double and congestion would quadruple. Mr. Jeffries said the West Davis Corridor would improve regional mobility and enhance peak period mobility.

Mr. Jeffries reviewed the EIS process. He indicated that they started the process with 46 different alignment alternatives. He said that was narrowed to 3 alternatives that minimized impact, which was further refined to 2 alternatives. Mr. Jeffries said the draft EIS was released today, which would begin a 90 day comment period. He said they would be working on the final EIS through the remainder of the year.

Mr. Jeffries said the B1 alternative was selected because it provided better transportation and avoided relocating the railroad and commuter rail lines; there were no historic properties involved; it avoided the Haight Creek corridor; 11 fewer homes would be impacted in Farmington; it avoided impacts to Oak Hills Golf Course; 25 fewer homes would be impacted in Syracuse; and there were fewer impacts to farm lands.

Mr. Jeffries said the estimated cost was \$587,000,000 and the project would be similar to Legacy Parkway. He said the next steps in the process included neighborhood meetings, public hearings, a 90 day comment period and the final EIS in December. Mr. Jeffries said maps could be viewed on the UDOT webpage.

Councilmember Flitton expressed appreciation for the ability to be involved. He asked if they anticipate opposition like there had been with the Legacy Parkway.

Mr. Jeffries said this was a draft EIS, which was designed for input. He said they would evaluate anything that was brought forward by the public.

Councilmember Flitton asked when construction would begin.

Mr. Jeffries said currently there was no funding for construction. He said that would depend on legislative funding priorities.

Councilmember Flitton asked why they didn't call it the extension of Legacy Parkway.

Mr. Jeffries said it would tie into Legacy Parkway and I-15, but it wasn't an extension even though it may end up being similar to the Parkway.

Councilmember Bouwhuis asked if it would be similar to the Mountain View Corridor in the West Valley and Provo areas.

Mr. Jeffries said that corridor was actually designed to be much bigger; four and five lanes in each direction. He said because of the scope of that project, they constructed the frontage roads and at grade signals, but left the center area open so that when future growth required expansion, the right of way was available.

URMMA (UTAH RISK MANAGEMENT MUTUAL ASSOCIATION)

Alex Jensen introduced Dean Steele and Carl Parker with URMMA.

Dean Steele expressed appreciation for Alex Jensen's involvement with URMMA. He said URMMA was an organization created by cities to provide third party liability coverage and to group purchase some other insurance coverage on behalf of the participating cities. Mr. Steele said their staff of seven worked together with member cities to provide those services. He said they had the opportunity to work with Layton's excellent Staff; it was always a pleasure to be in Layton and work with its very professional Staff. Mr. Steele said work was always done to the highest quality in Layton.

Carl Parker said he was the Lost Control Manager with URMMA. Mr. Parker explained that URMMA established inspection criteria to help limit loss. He said Layton City received the highest score in the organization; Layton was the beacon in the State for risk management. Mr. Parker said this was the 8th or 9th year in a row that Layton had achieved this honor. He presented a plaque to Mayor Pro Tem Francis.

CONSENT AGENDA:

AGREEMENT WITH WEBER BASIN WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT FOR A TURNOUT CONNECTION – 1200 NORTH EAST SIDE DRIVE – RESOLUTION 13-22

Gary Crane, City Attorney, said the City entered into an agreement with Weber Basin to assist them in repairing one of their major pipes. He said Layton provided water for one week to allow them time to repair the pipe. Gary said this was an urgent action that had already taken place. He said the City allowed Weber Basin to make a connection near Eastside Drive and 2100 West to allow them to bypass their pipe that needed repair. Gary said the advantage to the City was that Weber Basin paid for the connection and in the future the City would need to construct a tank at this approximate location. He said the connection would provide the City with the opportunity to be able to draw water from Weber Basin at this location in the future. Gary said Weber Basin would also pay for the water that would be used in the bypass operation. He said Resolution 13-22 would ratify that action. He said Staff recommended approval.

BID AWARD – HUNT ELECTRIC, INC. – FAIRFIELD ROAD AND CHERRY LANE TRAFFIC SIGNAL – RESOLUTION 13-24

Terry Coburn, Public Works Director, said Resolution 13-24 authorized the execution of an agreement with Hunt Electric for the Fairfield Road and Cherry Lane traffic signal project. He said the project included the construction of a traffic signal, radar and associated items. Terry said the project met the warrant for a traffic signal under the Federal Highway Administration regulations and would help mitigate traffic congestion and improve traffic flow at this intersection. He said three bids were received with Hunt Electric submitting the lowest responsive, responsible bid of \$81,499.68; the engineer's estimate was \$85,000. Terry said Staff recommended approval.

Councilmember Brown asked when the signal would be constructed.

Terry said it would be this summer.

BID AWARD – PRECISION CONCRETE CUTTING, INC. – SIDEWALK TRIP HAZARD REMOVAL – RESOLUTION 13-25

Terry Coburn said Resolution 13-25 authorized the executive of an agreement with Precision Concrete Cutting for the sidewalk removal project. He said the project included the cutting of all sidewalk hazards in the work area with displacement issues. Terry said the project would help improve pedestrian safety and help meet compliance with URMMA standards. He said three bids were received with Precision Concrete Cutting submitting the lowest responsive, responsible bid of \$59,750; the engineer's estimate was \$80,000. Terry said Staff recommended approval.

Councilmember Flitton asked who made the determination for what would be repaired.

Terry said a sidewalk inventory was completed every year.

Councilmember Freitag expressed appreciation for the work done by the Public Works Department and the great job they did maintaining the City's roads.

AMEND THE CONSOLIDATED FEE SCHEDULE – ORDINANCE 13-15

Tracy Probert, Finance Director, said Ordinance 13-15 would amend the consolidated fee schedule. He said there were four fees being proposed for consideration of implementation or change. Tracy said a street lighting fee was being proposed for installation of street lights. He said the City had a contractor to install those fixtures; the fees being proposed were for the costs of those installations. Tracy said this would help developers reduce their costs associated with installation of street lights and provide more conformity throughout the City.

Tracy said a credit card surcharge fee was being proposed. He said the City currently allowed customers to use credit cards to pay for services. Tracy said it was determined that the City was paying over 2% in credit card fees in each of those transactions. He said based on a settlement of a class action lawsuit, the City, as well as others, were now able to charge a surcharge on credit card transactions. Tracy said it was proposed that the City adopt a 2% surcharge on all credit card transactions over \$75. The \$75 limit was established so that those wanting to participate in youth, City sponsored, recreational activities would not incur an additional cost. He said additionally, someone registering for multiple youth activities that were over \$75 would not be required to pay the 2% surcharge.

Tracy said there was a proposed change to a fee relative to hotel sanitary sewer fees. He said City Staff reviewed the fees that were charged per room, per month, to hotels and motels in the City. Currently the City charged 70% of the residential rate per room. The purpose of the review was to determine what the equivalency rate was to a single family residence, which was the standard unit rates were based on. Tracy said the detailed review studied water usage patterns at four local hotels over the past 10 years. The review found that a hotel room used approximately 40% of the water of a single family residence. He said the 40% rate was in line with the State standard as well as the North Davis Sewer District standard. Tracy said it was Staff's recommendation that hotel and motel rooms be charged 40% of the standard residential rate, or \$6.38 per month, per room; currently that fee was \$10.86.

Tracy said the final fee for the Council's consideration related to the new fire training facility rental fees. He said the Fire Department recommended that fees be established for rental and use of the fire training tower facility by outside agencies. Tracy said two fees were being proposed; one fee of \$75 per hour for training without live fire, which would include one instructor from the Fire Department; and a second fee for training with live fire for use of the burn rooms and actual fire at the facility. He said outside agencies would be required to provide their own burn materials and the fee would be \$1,000 for a four hour session. During that

session the Fire Department would provide three live fire instructors.

Tracy said Staff recommended that the Council adopt Ordinance 13-15 amending the consolidated fee schedule as presented.

Councilmember Freitag said he was concerned that the fire training tower fees were not high enough. He said we didn't know what the usage of gas and water would be. Councilmember Freitag said he was willing to approve the rate but asked that it be watched closely and that the actual costs be monitored.

Alex said Staff had had that same discussion internally. He said the City wanted to cover costs but not generate additional revenue. Alex said the City wanted to encourage other cities in the area to utilize the facility, as a community service, to promote training. He said the City was very sensitive in making sure Layton residents were not inadvertently subsidizing the costs for another entity to use the facility, at the same time wanting to make it available and have it used so that the fire protection of everyone was improved.

Alex said with regard to the credit card surcharge fee; the reason for doing that was not to capture a few dollars that may be being paid on a utility bill. There was an increasing practice where those in the development community were using credit cards to pay very large impact fees or development fees that were sometimes in the hundreds of thousands of dollars because there were other benefits of using certain credit cards, such as travel incentives. Alex said after an analysis of that, it was discovered that it was costing the City a large amount in fees to provide that benefit, which was being paid by the taxpayers of Layton. He said the City didn't feel that that was appropriate.

MOTION: Councilmember Brown moved to approve the Consent Agenda as presented. Councilmember Freitag seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT AND REZONE REQUEST (TAYLOR/HAWKES-KAYS CREEK VILLAS) – LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL OVER 16 UNITS PER ACRE AND B-RP (BUSINESS AND RESEARCH PARK) TO R-H PRUD (HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL PLANNED RESIDENTIAL UNIT DEVELOPMENT) – APPROXIMATELY 312 WEST GENTILE STREET – ORDINANCES 13-12 AND 13-13

Bill Wright, Community and Economic Development Director, said there were two ordinances associated with this public hearing; Ordinance 13-12 and 13-13. He said the first ordinance involved a General Plan amendment to change the zoning on approximately five acres of property from a low density residential designation to a high density residential designation.

Bill said the second ordinance was a request to rezone the property from a B-RP zone that had an accompanying development agreement, to R-H PRUD. He said the property was located at 312 West Gentile Street, just west of the tracks on the north side of Gentile Street across from Layton Elementary. Bill said the surrounding neighborhood was zoned single family; the northeast side of the property abutted the railroad track with adjacent downtown properties.

Bill said the proposal was for a 168 unit senior housing project. He said the property was vacant property; the property was historically occupied by Tanner Clinic, which was later converted to a daycare center and then a church. Bill said in 2009 the existing buildings were demolished.

Bill said there had been several different development proposals for the property over the years. He said the current proposal was to place 2 three-story apartment buildings, 2 4-story apartment buildings and a two-story community center building on the property. Bill said the Planning Commission held a public hearing on April 23, 2013, and unanimously recommended that the General Plan amendment and rezone request be denied believing that there was not an error in the General Plan calling for this property to be in a low density residential designation and that significant changes had not occurred in the land uses surrounding the

property that would cause a need to change the General Plan. He said the Planning Commission also recommended that the Council not change the zoning on the property to a high density residential zone believing that the B-RP zone and development agreement in place on the property provided for viable development options on the property and that it allowed for a mix of uses that could be compatible yet provide for a transitional land use along the frontage of Gentile Street.

Bill said prior to 2008 the property was zoned R-S with a little bit of B-P zoning on the front portion of the property that accommodated the daycare and church that had been located on the property. He said the back portion of the property had been vacant. Bill said in July 2008 there was a request and an approval of an R-2 PRUD rezone for a proposed townhome project to accommodate a 56 unit townhome project. He said that project never moved forward to the development stage. Bill said following that proposal there was an R-M1 proposal that the Planning Commission recommended denial of, which the applicant decided not to pursue with the City Council.

Bill said in October 2009 there was consideration of a partial non-residential use with the B-RP zone that included a development agreement that restricted the intensity of size and traffic impact allowed on the property. He said that zoning would also allow for an assisted living facility on the back portion of the property. Bill said in order to keep the use compatible with the surrounding area, the development agreement restricted the trips generated from the development to a maximum of 800 average daily trips per day by whatever uses ended up on the site, whether they were medical offices, general offices or assisted living.

Bill said the property had since gone through a foreclosure and had been purchased by new owners. The new owners wanted to look at the opportunity of doing a high density development on the property. He said they first pursued a transit oriented development, but were informed that that zone was only available in the downtown area and was not compatible at this location. Bill said they then focused on a senior housing project that still had a density of 32 units per acre, which was a very high density that would require density bonus options for the property. He said a conceptual plan was included in the Council packet.

Bill said some of the concerns brought forward in the Planning Commission hearing dealt with compatibility and the size of the three and four story buildings. He said it was a significantly higher density project that was being proposed and there was a concern of compatibility with surrounding single family homes. Bill said there was also a concern about services that were generally needed to be provided to senior housing communities, including grocery stores or convenient neighborhood retail services, which were not located close to this location. He said there were many comments from citizens in the area about noise and vibration from the railroad tracks impacting the development. Bill said the Staff presented a recommendation to the Planning Commission to not approve the General Plan amendment and rezone, and the Planning Commission agreed with that recommendation.

Councilmember Brown said the Council recently approved a senior apartment project off of Rosewood Lane. She asked how much acreage was involved in that project.

Bill said it included 6 acres.

Councilmember Brown said this would be more units on fewer acres.

Bill said that was correct, and that was a different setting from the City's perspective in terms of the commercial development in the area and other high density residential adjacent to that property. He said the Planning Commission also pointed out the size of the roads in that area that were able to handle more traffic than Gentile Street on the west side of the tracks. Bill said there wasn't any opportunity to widen Gentile Street west of the tracks to accommodate more traffic.

Councilmember Brown said one of her concerns with the other senior housing project was with evacuation in the event of an emergency. She said the other project was connected to the facility on Fairfield Road and would have access to their buses to move residents in the event of an emergency. Councilmember Brown asked if this project would have a similar way of moving residents in the event of an emergency.

Bill said not that he was aware of. He said with a similar question, Mr. Hawkes had indicated that there would be a bus to transport residents to appointments or for shopping, but not likely for a mass evacuation.

Councilmember Brown said the proximity of the railroad tracks could create hazards that could cause the need for evacuation.

Councilmember Bouwhuis expressed concerns with the proximity to Layton Elementary.

Mayor Pro Tem Francis opened the meeting for public input.

Mr. Jeff Hawkes, 4309 Foothill Drive, Bountiful, said Hawkes Development had been hired by the landowners who were the lenders on the property that ended up foreclosing on the property. He said they thought the project was a good land use for the area. Mr. Hawkes indicated that they had looked at several options for the property, including projects under the current approved use.

Mr. Hawkes gave a brief history of their company. He reviewed the need and demand for this type of senior housing. Mr. Hawkes said the current B-RP zone would provide for greater impacts to the area than their proposal. He said they were willing to forego the four story building, which would lower the density to 154 units. The height would be 35 feet; the height in a single family residential zone was 30 feet and the current B-RP zone would allow 35 feet. Mr. Hawkes said senior housing projects were typically low impact housing projects.

Mr. Hawkes discussed Gentile Street and a deceleration lane requirement included in Staff notes to allow for access into and out of the project. He indicated the proximity of the project to the FrontRunner station and the new Kays Crossing project. Mr. Hawkes mentioned some of the amenities they would provide to their residents on site. He said they were within 300 feet of the highest density allowed in the City in the downtown area. Mr. Hawkes said this proposal would provide the lowest impacts to the area, including Layton Elementary. He said under the B-RP zone and the development agreement in place, it would be very difficult to limit the trips per day to 800.

Mr. Hawkes quoted some statistics about office space vacancies in Davis County. He discussed why a residential use would be a better use of the property, and the low impacts of senior housing. Mr. Hawkes explained the impacts of previously approved projects for the property, including the townhome project, which he explained would create higher impacts than their proposed senior project.

Councilmember Flitton said a letter from Debi Richards, Assistant City Engineer, indicated that one of the criteria would be to widen Gentile Street 12 feet to the north to provide acceleration and deceleration lanes for the two proposed entrances into the site. He said that concerned him because that was directly across the street from Layton Elementary. Councilmember Flitton asked Mr. Hawkes if that would be a concern to him.

Mr. Hawkes said he would view that widened area to provide a deceleration area so that the residents could get onto and off of Gentile Street more safely. He said it was not designed to be an acceleration lane.

Delanie Nalder, 600 West Gentile Street, expressed concerns with the impact of traffic specifically in relation to Layton Elementary. She said she did not want three story buildings in her neighborhood. Ms. Nalder said seniors would not want to live that close to the tracks with the noise from the trains.

Linda Mullany indicated that she lived in the house to the west of the proposal. She said no one would want to live on the second and third floors of a building that close to the railroad tracks. Ms. Mullany said the freight trains shook the homes in the area.

Bob Bennett, 418 West Gentile Street, expressed concerns with underground water in the area and with the train tracks.

Cynthia Gardner, 562 West Gentile Street, expressed concerns with an emergency and trying to get residents out of the development and children out of the school at the same time.

Adam Workman, 88 Ellison Street, said he felt that the current B-RP zone was the best use for the property. He expressed concerns with the slow response of older drivers. Mr. Workman recommended that the Council follow the advice of the Planning Commission and deny the proposed rezone.

Councilmember Brown said in the Staff information it indicated that the General Plan recommended that the R-H zone should accommodate no more than 5% of the City's housing stock. She said currently the City had 4.8%; this project would take the City above the recommended 5%. Councilmember Brown said she didn't think Layton City needed additional senior housing with what had already been approved.

Councilmember Freitag said with the history on the property, there was not a lot that made sense on the property. He said with his recent move, he traveled Gentile Street every day and had a greater appreciation for the traffic issues on that street. Councilmember Freitag said it would be difficult for the Council to find something that would be appropriate for the property that wouldn't be detrimental to the surrounding uses, particularly Layton Elementary. He said in reviewing land use issues, the Council had to look at the health, safety and welfare of the change. Councilmember Freitag said whether a proposal was economically viable or not, or whether it was needed or not, was not something the Council took into consideration on a zone change. He said looking at the narrow scope of what the Council could review as it pertained to the health, safety and welfare of the community, this particular proposal did not improve the health, safety or welfare of the area, and may in fact be a detriment to it.

MOTION: Councilmember Freitag moved to close the public hearing and deny the General Plan amendment and rezone request. Councilmember Flitton seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

CITIZEN COMMENTS:

Bob Newton, 949 West Gordon Avenue, expressed concerns with the Red Dot Shooting Range, which was 600 feet from his home. He said it made enough noise to be an issue at his home. Mr. Newton said when the project was approved by the Planning Commission, the owners indicated that the noise level would be almost nonexistent. He said he could hear the noise at his home all day long. Mr. Newton said Mr. Wright and Mr. King came to his home last Friday and were aware of the noise. He said the owner came to his home today, and with the windows closed and the air conditioner running, he could hear the noise. Mr. Newton asked Mr. Wright what the status was of the noise problem.

Bill Wright said Staff had met with Mr. Newton at his home and the owner had been given until next Tuesday to provide a sound attenuation plan. He said there was technology available to do that. Bill said this new building was functioning worse than the redesigned building used by the Salvo gun range on Antelope Drive and Main Street. He said some of the differences between the two buildings were insulation and sound attenuation. Bill said it was clear that there was technology available to sound attenuate this new building, it simply wasn't installed. He said Staff would continue to pursue this on a conditional basis and a business license basis, but Staff felt it was fair to give the owner some time to work with a consultant and resolve the problem. Bill said the owner was informed that if it was an extended period of time before the work could be done, they may have to reduce the use of the gun range. He said he would keep the Council and Mr. Newton informed.

Adam Workman, 88 Ellison Street, said he had used the vouchers available for the Salt Lake Bees games. He said you could get the vouchers today and then use them to pre-purchase tickets for a future game allowing for better seats. Mr. Workman said relative to the sidewalk trip hazard issue, on Park Street in his neighborhood there was a segment of sidewalk that was almost gone. He said it was on the corner of Park Street and Ellison Street. Mr. Workman suggested that this area should be on the list of repairs.

Mayor Pro Tem Francis said the City would be sure to follow up on that.

Jamie Prather-Newton, 949 West Gordon Avenue, said last May during the conditional use permit process for the Red Dot Shooting Range, she didn't understand that that process did not require the Council's approval. She said the Planning Commission, an appointed group, was making decisions on the citizens' behalf that were detrimental to health and benefit. Ms. Prather-Newton suggested that the City Council, an elected body, should be making those decisions.

Gary Crane said there were two types of uses in each zone, permitted and conditional. He said permitted uses were uses that were, as a matter of right, able to be granted over the counter. Gary said conditional uses were a little more intense and they were uses identified as needing specific mitigating factors to be imposed in order to get rid of the negative impacts of whatever the use was. He said conditional uses were specifically appealable to the City Council. Gary said if there was a condition that was unacceptable to either the neighbors or property owners it was appealable to the City Council, but it was an administrative determination that was made by the Planning Commission. He said the Planning Commission was an advisory committee in the case of a legislative decision; the Council was the legislative body, but typically didn't make administrative decisions.

Mayor Pro Tem Francis said the City would definitely follow up on the noise attenuation problem.

The meeting adjourned at 9:17 p.m.

Thieda Wellman, City Recorder