

CLINTON CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

2267 North 1500 W

Clinton UT 84015

MAYOR

L. Mitch Adams

CITY COUNCIL

Councilmember Blair Bateman

Councilmember Joanne Hansen

Councilmember Brice Mitchell

Councilmember Cheri Reed

Councilmember Anna Stanton

Clinton City Council	May 14, 2013	Call to Order: 7:01 P.M.
Staff Present	City Manager Dennis Cluff, Public Works Director Mike Child and Lisa Titensor recorded the minutes.	
Citizens Present	Ken Spencer, Ivan Ray, Steve Hubbard, Lynn Arave, Rob Elggren, Tom Fronberg, John Mizell, Bill Chilson, Cambrie Nelson, Dennis Nelson, Michael Teasdale, Debbie Barlow, Marc Guimond, McKenna Andelin, Linsey Howell, Travis Parkinson, Gwen Parkinson, Ashton Wilson	
Pledge of Allegiance	Ashton Wilson, Troop 289	
Prayer or Thought	Councilmember Brice Mitchell	
Roll Call & Attendance	Mayor Adams asked for a roll call of City Council members present. He then asked the public present to sign the meeting attendance record.	
Excused Were:	None	
A. LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH USAF FOR GROUND WATER MONITORING		
Petitioner	Dennis Cluff	
Discussion	<p>Mr. Cluff explained this license is a continuation of the monitoring agreement with HAFB pertaining to the groundwater contamination studies and monitoring which first began in October 1994. Over the years, the US Air Force has had a number of different types of agreements with the Clinton City over the placement, maintenance and monitoring of the test wells throughout the affected area of the City. They have this same type of agreement with all of the other affected cities. The test wells and markers have been placed within the City's right of ways. Currently there are 60 monitoring wells and 2 settlement markers which are being monitored.</p> <p>This new version of the license agreement <u>extends to June 14, 2018</u>, but must be renewed annually by the USAF if they want it to be continued. So in reality, this new Agreement is only for one year, but allows for continuation.</p>	
Council Comments	Councilmember Bateman said he would be interested in an update from HAFB.	
CONCLUSION	Mr. Cluff replied that HAFB generally does an update with the City once a year. Councilmember Bateman moved to approve the license number USAF-AFMC-KRSM-13-1-0027 with Hill Air Force Base for ground water monitoring. Councilmember Stanton seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.	
B. 7:30 PM PUBLIC HEARING – FY 2013-14 TENTATIVE BUDGET REVIEW		
Petitioner	Dennis Cluff	
Discussion	<p>Mr. Cluff explained that at a special workshop held on April 24th, the Council approved the Draft Tentative Budget. As advertised, the Tentative Budget is available to the public, at City Hall, through June 20th.</p> <p>This Tentative Budget differs from the Draft Tentative Budget because it includes the following expenditure changes: increase in Planning Commission training; increase in Public Works office supplies for certified mailing for Code Enforcement issues; and increase in most departmental benefits to cover retirement increase cost missed in the draft tentative budget. Total increase in the Tentative</p>	

	<p>Budget is \$15,860.</p> <p>He went on to explain that the Final Budget and Tax Rate Certification need to be approved by June 22nd, so a special council meeting needs to be called for Thursday June 20th for the Final Budget hearing. The Tentative Budget will be available for modification until the Final Budget is adopted on June 20th.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff identified that in an effort to save the City money, he has decided to change health insurance providers from Select Health to Regence Blue Cross Blue Shield.</p> <p>Mayor Adams added the City is trying to continue to provide insurance for employees, it is not their intent to take a way this benefit.</p>
<p>Public Hearing</p>	<p>Mayor Adams opened the public hearing at 8:14 pm.</p> <p>Debbie Barlow commented that she feels the 401K for new employees should be reduced. She feels that the extra stipend for the Planning Commission Chair should be removed from the budget for this budget year.</p> <p>With no additional public comment, Mayor Adams closed the public hearing at 8:17 pm.</p>
	<p>Councilmember Reed said she would like to see employees be required to match the cities 401K contribution.</p> <p>Councilmember Stanton said she feels the benefit should stay as it is.</p> <p>Councilmember Bateman said the City is working with a balanced budget which is a positive thing. He expressed appreciation to Mr. Cluff for the excellent job he does each year and the significant amount of time that goes into preparing the budget.</p>
<p>CONCLUSION</p>	<p>Councilmember Hansen moved to adopt the Tentative Budget for FY 2013-14 and set a public hearing for the Final Budget for Thursday, June 20th at 7 PM. Councilmember Stanton seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.</p>
<p>C. RESOLUTION 09-13 – WASTE WATER TREATMENT CONTRACT EXTENSION WITH NORTH DAVIS SEWER DISTRICT</p>	
<p>Petitioner</p>	<p>Dennis Cluff, Anna Stanton</p>
<p>Discussion 7:15 pm</p>	<p>Mr. Cluff provided the following information in the staff report. In 1955 the City contracted with the Sewer District for a 50 year period. In November 2002 that contract was extended through 2031. Now the Sewer District is requesting an extension through 2062. This current contract amendment is only to Section 7 of the existing contract, extending the contract ending date to midnight December 31, 2062, which extends the existing contract by 31 years. All the other portions of the existing contract will remain in force.</p> <p>He explained this time extension is to provide the Bonding agencies more assurance that the revenue stream from participating North Davis Sewer District customers will continue past the anticipated ending dates of the existing and potential future Bonds the District is planning to issue and re-fund; refinance of existing bond and potential renewal of the bond in the future.</p> <p>Councilmember Bateman commented that he is concerned with the 50 year term. He would like to see a clause that the agreement can be reassessed. He is also concerned that the bond may be intended to be renewed over and over again. He feels that it is not fiscally responsible for a business to operate under constant debt.</p> <p>Councilmember Stanton replied the North Davis Sewer District will only bond if</p>

	<p>necessary, the intent of the 50 year term is to allow them to maintain their excellent AA + rating so that in the event they do need to bond, they save the citizens money by getting the bond at a lower interest rate. They are planning for future growth; there are many unforeseen issues that could arise.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff commented that it can take up to five years to plan for capital improvements, it's a good idea to plan ahead to meet the capacity needs for anticipated growth.</p> <p>Mayor Adams said he feels that a bond may be the best option for anticipated growth so the current users are not burdened with the cost for the future growth.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Mitchell moved to adopt Resolution 09-13, authorizing an extension of the Waste Water Treatment Contract with North Davis Sewer District. Councilmember Stanton seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.</p>
D. PRESENTATION BY DAVIS AND WEBER COUNTIES CANAL COMPANY	
Petitioner	<p>Dennis Cluff, Ivan Ray – General Manager DWCCC, Ken Spencer</p>
Discussion	<p>Ivan Ray gave a presentation regarding a potential serious water shortage for the 2013-14 year. He explained there was a limited amount of snow pack in the mountains during the winter of 2012-13 which is approximately 70% of normal. He added that this is the second year of drought.</p> <p>He explained that Davis and Weber Counties Canal Company is asking its customers to reduce water use between 25% – 40%. They have made a determination that water shortages are inevitable for the water year 2013. This is due to the low snow pack in the high mountain elevations during the winter season of 2012-2013 and the past drought of 2011-2012. Water users are being asked to water two times a week for 20-30 minutes between the hours of 6 PM to 10 AM on two specified days depending on the last digit of the address.</p> <p>Those who abuse the water restriction policy or waste water will have their irrigation systems “locked down” for a period of time until they can show how they will comply. A fee will be charged for removal of the lock.</p> <p>If there is not sufficient compliance, there is a strong possibility the water could run out by mid-summer.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff stated that water pressure has been a big issue; DWCCC has a responsibility to make sure that if citizens are only allowed to water two days a week, they have sufficient water pressure during their watering turn.</p> <p>Mayor Adams said he feels it is necessary to support DWCCC’s request. He feels that using the last number of the house number is a good way to determine water days.</p> <p>Mayor Adams questioned if watering will take place on Sunday.</p> <p>Mr. Ray said Sunday will be a catch up day; Sunday is left open to allow for special circumstances on a case by case basis.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff said the City may need to consider culinary water use as either an alternative or as a supplement.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Hansen moved to accept the Davis and Weber Counties Canal Company plan to conserve water per their memo dated May 1, 2013 with the rationing associated to the house numbers and their plan to police it. Councilmember Bateman seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion.</p>

E. TO BE TABLED – 8:00 PM PUBLIC HEARING – ORDINANCE 13-03Z, REQUEST FROM DAVID STONE FOR A RECOMMENDATION TO THE CITY COUNCIL CONCERNING THE REZONE OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 3420 W 2300 N

Petitioner David Stone represented by Pete Gillwald and Jeffrey Kuhn

Discussion Mr. Cluff identified that the petitioner has requested the hearing be delayed to June 11.

CONCLUSION Councilmember Bateman moved to table Ordinance 13-03Z at the request of the petitioner to the June 11, 2013 City Council meeting. Councilmember Stanton seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion.

F. 8:10 P.M. PUBLIC HEARING - RESOLUTION 10-13 REQUEST FOR FINAL PLAT APPROVAL OF CLINTON ACRES SUBDIVISION, A MINOR SUBDIVISION, LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 3257 WEST 2300 NORTH.

Petitioner Matthew Meyer

The following information was included in the staff report: This is a low impact subdivision because the growth has been planned for. The infrastructure has been planned for and because it is on a collector street depreciation on improvements has been ongoing and this subdivision will not alter the depreciation numbers. The impact upon capacity and volume has been planned for in the technical plans of the General Plan.

This subdivision was originally requested in 2008 and all associated action is void. The Plat meets the requirements of the A-1 Zone which is the existing zone for the property. Staff has reviewed the application and plat.

 M E M O R A D I U M

DATE: May 2, 2013

TO: Matthew Meyer

CC: Clint H; Hansen & Associates

FROM: Lynn Vinzant; Community Development Director
Mike Child; Public Works Director
Dave Olsen; Fire Chief
Bryce Wilcox; JUB Engineering

RE: Comments concerning Clinton Acres

SUBMITTAL DATE: 3-27-13

Final Plat Drawing Planning

- Plat does not reflect parcel being subdivided. The “Lot 6” is included in the legal description, road dedication, and overall dimensions of the subdivision. The parcel being divided does not include Lot 6. OR The current owners of Lot 6 will need to sign the plat.
- Check with NDSB, I believe they have vacated the 30 foot wide utility easement that runs at an angle? If it is still a valid easement label it with the name of the owner of the easement. If Lot 6 is actually part of the subdivision, show the current NDSB easement.
- NDSB will need to have a signature block on the plat because you will be tying into the NDSB main in 2300 North.
- You have a “Note: Homes are to be slab on grade only, no basements will be allowed.” You should include this note in all of your sales material and advertisements.
- You are tying into the NDSB sewer main in 2300 North; they will have to have a signature block on the plat.
 - o North Davis Sewer District

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2013 by North Davis Sewer District

By:

- NDSO will need to approve the construction drawings.
- You will need to contact the Clearfield Post Office and initiate action to have a “Gang Box” installed.
- You will need to contact the Weber Davis County Canal Company concerning secondary water for the project, (801) 774-6373.
- An engineering drawing will need to be submitted showing laterals, street lights, and roadway reconstruction.

Public Works

- Submittal Date: 3/27/13
 - 2300 N Is a new road for the 374’ on the West end, and the South half of the road is new for the remaining 300’ On the West portion the road will need to be rebuilt the entire width. On the East 300’ section the South lane will need to be rebuilt and the North lane will need to be patched according to city standards, and overlaid to centerline. Please acknowledge this on the plans.
 - Contact Sewer District for permit to tie onto their main.
 - Include SWPPP.
 - Add street light between lots 2 & 3.
 - Secondary water connections?
 - Land Drain or slab on grade?
 - Lot #5 will need a 1” culinary service to provide adequate pressure and flow due to set back of home if the easement is not vacated.

Fire Department

- No Comments at this time, hydrant locations to come shortly

Engineering

- We calculate the area of lot 1 to be 47,049.8 sf.
- The overall boundary should be just around the 5 lots and lot 6 should be the remaining portion of the parcel. Otherwise, lot 6 will have to be vacated or amended when the rest of the parcel develops.

The Clinton City Planning Commission held a public hearing on April 7th, 2013 and by majority vote agreed to forward a recommendation of approval onto the City Council with the following conditions:

- Subdivision is to be located in the Agricultural Zone (A-1)
- All lots within the subdivision meet the minimum requirements of the A-1 Zone
- It is the developer/contractor’s responsibility to comply with all Clinton City Standards, Ordinances, Staff, and Engineer requirements established during the approval process. Wherever there is a discrepancy between these drawings and the City Standards the more stringent requirement will apply. If there is any doubt as to the requirement the developer is to seek clarification from the Community Development Department and obtain the determination in writing. Copies of the Standards are available at the Community Development Department.
- The developer/contractor is responsible for insuring that all required inspections are performed by the Clinton City Public Works Department. If the developer is unsure of what inspections are required he can obtain a list from Public Works. The developer is cautioned not to proceed past an inspection point without insuring that the inspection has been performed and work passed by Public Works.
- The developer/contractor shall comply with all notes added to the “Approved

	<p>for Construction” drawings issued by the City.</p> <p>Councilmember Reed questioned why there are no basements allowed.</p> <p>Debbie Barlow, Chair of the Planning Commission commented that the reason for no basements is that the developer does not want to tie into the land drain and the sanitary special service district; this will prevent water and sewer issues.</p> <p>Mr. Child said that the developer would need to install a pipe line deep enough to keep basements dry and also connect to the lift station and become a part of the Special Service District.</p>
Public Hearing	<p>Mayor Adams opened the public hearing at 8:34 PM and asked for public comment, there was none; therefore he closed the public hearing at 8:35 p.m.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>Councilmember Stanton moved to adopt Resolution 10-13, a request for Final Plat approval of Clinton Acres Subdivision, a minor subdivision, located at approx. 3257 W 2300 N. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.</p>
G. WIDTH OF SIDEWALK DISCUSSION	
Petitioner	<p>Public Works</p>
Discussion	<p>Mr. Child explained that during the April 23, City Council meeting, the Council directed staff to install a 4-foot sidewalk adjacent to the curb in a section of the 1500 W 2300 N to Roy project.</p> <p>The City Engineer’s brought the attached standard to the attention of staff. Staff wants to know if the Council would like to reconsider the direction based on the new information.</p> <p>Use of an AASHTO (American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials) standard is liability protection for a City, deviating from the standard should be a conscious decision on the part of the City.</p> <p>The issue is further complicated because the telephone poles, fire hydrants, and water valve boxes are installed.</p> <p>He provided the following reference from the AASHTO standards:</p> <p>4.17.1 Sidewalks Sidewalk widths in residential areas may vary from 1.2 to 2.4 m [4 to 8 ft]. Sidewalks less than 1.5 m [5 ft] in width require the addition of a passing section every 60 m [200 ft] for accessibility. The width of a planted strip between the sidewalk and traveled -way curb, if provided, should be a minimum of 0.6 m [2 ft] to allow for maintenance activities. Sidewalks covering the full border width are generally justified and often appropriate in situations such as commercial areas, through adjoining multiple-residential complexes, near schools and other pedestrian generators, and where border width is restricted. Where sidewalks are placed adjacent to the curb, the widths should be approximately 0.6 m [2 ft] wider than the minimum required width. This additional width provides space for roadside hardware and snow storage outside the width needed by pedestrians. It also allows for the proximity of moving traffic, the opening of doors of parked cars, and bumper overhang on angled parking.</p> <p>Mr. Child explained a 6 foot sidewalk most likely would clear by about 4” if a fire hydrant and one fence were moved.</p> <p>He said a five foot sidewalk could be installed and the fire hydrant and fence would not need to be moved.</p> <p>Councilmember Hansen expressed concern where snow from the sidewalk will be</p>

	<p>put in the winter. She expressed concern for the safety of the children who will have to walk in the road to avoid the snow. She said she feels a three foot park strip is needed.</p> <p>Councilmember Bateman said he would like to get advice from the Utah League of Cities and Towns before a decision is made. He would like to table this issue.</p> <p>Mayor Adams said he feels the standard should be followed.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff said that the curb and gutter can be installed and the sidewalk can be put in later after the Council makes a decision.</p> <p>Councilmember Reed stated that she feels that it is a safety hazard for the corner house because they have no frontage after the improvements are in.</p> <p>She said if there are changes made to the original decision; the citizens who attended the meeting when it was discussed should be notified so they can be present when it is discussed again. She said she would be in favor of a 6' sidewalk.</p> <p>Councilmember Stanton said she would be in favor of a 6' sidewalk; however she feels it is a good idea to seek advice from the Utah League of Cities and Towns.</p> <p>Mr. Child said the standard addresses convenience to walkers because of snow; cars parked in the gutter that would overhang the sidewalk and proximity to moving traffic. The travel lane is not moving. There will be a 9' shoulder that could be a concern. It is not intended for traffic.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff commented that snow will be an issue no matter what. A community mailbox could help the situation.</p>
<p>CONCLUSION</p>	<p>Councilmember Bateman moved to table to the June 11, 2013 City Council meeting to allow staff to seek advice from the Utah League of Cities and Towns. Councilmember Hansen seconded the motion. Voting by roll call: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, aye; Councilmember Reed, no, because she is in favor of the 6' sidewalk and following the guidelines; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.</p>
<p>H. ORDINANCE 13-03 – AMENDING TITLE 11, FIREARMS</p>	
<p>Petitioner</p>	<p>Clinton Police Department</p>
<p>Discussion</p>	<p>Mr. Cluff identified the changes in state code dealing with classification of weapons and practicality of the existing ordinance necessitated the changes which is practically a complete rewrite.</p> <p>The following is the existing ordinance:</p> <p>11-1-1 Discharge of Firearms Prohibited. It is unlawful for any person to discharge any firearm within the corporate limits of Clinton City. History: 6/82, 9/84, 9/85, 8/96</p> <p>11-1-2 Penalty for Violation. The violation of the provisions of this chapter shall constitute a class B misdemeanor. History: 6/82, 9/84, 9/85, 05/99</p> <p>The proposed ordinance is:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">TITLE 11. FIREARMS</p> <p>Chapter 1. Discharge of Firearms Prohibited</p> <p>Chapter 1. Discharge of Firearms Prohibited</p>

- 11-1-1 Discharge of Firearms Prohibited
- 11-1-2 Hunting.
- 11-1-3 Throwing Missiles.
- 11-1-4 Penalty for Violation

11-1-1 Discharge of Firearms Prohibited.

(1) It is unlawful for any person to discharge any gun, rifle, or pistol within the City limits, except:

- (a) In self defense when legally allowed to do so;
- (b) By any peace officer in the discharge of his duty;
- (c) In the case of target shooting, if in a proper place and breastwork or battery for the protection of the citizens and property has been erected, and written approval of such structure has been given by the Police Chief; and
- (d) In the case of the lawful slaughter of livestock in an area designated for agricultural use, for personal consumption, at a location that is more than six hundred feet (600') from any building, where the shooting is not in a direction and distance that would endanger persons, property, or motorists and provided that the person discharging the firearm has received written approval to do so by the City's Police Department.

(2) It is unlawful for any person to release any arrow, bolt, or similar projectile from a longbow, compound bow, crossbow or any similar implement within the City limits, except:

- (a) In self defense when legally allowed to do so; and,
- (b) In the case of target shooting, if in a proper place and breastwork or battery for the protection of the citizens and property has been erected, and written approval of such structure has been given by the Police Chief.

History: 6/82, 9/84, 9/85, 8/96, 05/13

11-1-2 Hunting.

(1) It is unlawful for any person to engage in the act of hunting or to carry an uncased firearm in the open under conditions which may reasonably be construed as hunting in the area within the corporate limits of Clinton City.

(2) As used in this Section, "hunting" is the search for or pursuit of any wild game animal, bird, or mammal, with the purpose of capturing or killing or attempting to capture or kill the animal, regardless of whether such kill or capture is actually effected. "Hunting" shall not be construed to mean the pursuit of such game animals through the use of snares or animal traps or when the game is to be taken by falconry.

(3) Nothing under this Chapter shall be construed so as to prevent the Department of Wildlife Resources (DWR) from controlling, maintaining, or otherwise managing wildlife within Clinton City.

(a) Persons having received written authorization from DWR to participate in a special management hunt within Clinton City, shall:

- (i) Not use any firearm while hunting;
- (ii) Not discharge any arrow, missile, or other projectile within six hundred feet (600') from any building, across any roadway, or in a direction which may endanger persons or property.
- (iii) Be responsible for any injury or damage caused while participating in the hunt;

(iv) Notify the Police Department of the specific dates and times that the hunt will

	<p>occur; and</p> <p>(v) Comply with all DWR regulations, rules, and instructions, and comply with all other statutes and ordinances.</p> <p>(b) Subsection (a) shall not apply to DWR officers or other peace officers acting within the course and scope of their enforcement responsibilities.</p> <p><i>History: 6/82, 9/84, 9/85, 8/96, 05/13</i></p> <p>Chief Chilson explained this ordinance will be a tool for the police to help prevent abuse. He said this ordinance includes projectiles such as bows and arrows, BB guns, pellet guns etc.; the concern is for safety. He explained this ordinance will allow officers to evaluate each situation to determine if there is malicious intent.</p> <p>Councilmember Stanton expressed concern for citizens’ rights in their own yard.</p> <p>Councilmember Reed suggested that the size of the property should be considered.</p> <p>Chief Chilson said direct supervision should be added if the ordinance is amended.</p> <p>Mayor Adams said if it is in the ordinance, the police have a tool to stop dangerous situations.</p>
<p>CONCLUSION</p>	<p>Councilmember Reed moved to adopt Ordinance 13-03, amending Title 11, Firearms. Councilmember Hansen seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.</p> <p>Councilmember Reed moved to reconsider the motion. Councilmember Stanton seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, no; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye;</p> <p>Councilmember Bateman moved to adopt Ordinance 13-03 amending Title 11 Firearms with a six month evaluation to determine if modification needs to be made based on the Chief of Police’s evaluation. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, No; she does not want a six month review, she was in favor of it as it was. Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, No because she feels citizens with enough property and adult supervision should be allowed. Councilmember Stanton, aye.</p>
<p>I. 2012-13 CDBG COUNTY CONTRACT</p>	
<p>Petitioner</p>	<p>Community Development</p>
<p>Discussion</p>	<p>Mr. Cluff clarified that the scope of the project is 720 W from 2550 N. The contract is necessary for the project to go forward. The contract has been reviewed by the City Attorney and despite the usual federal requirements, which we have had to deal with on every CDBG, he approved the contract.</p> <p>Mr. Cluff - clarification on scope of project - 720 W from 2550 N to Roy Border</p>
<p>CONCLUSION</p>	<p>Councilmember Mitchell moved to authorize the Mayor and City Manager to execute the Community Development Block Grant Agreement with Davis County. Councilmember Reed seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion.</p>
<p>J. RESOLUTION 11-13 – DESIGNATION OF PROJECT MANAGER FOR 2012-13 CDBG</p>	
<p>Petitioner</p>	<p>Community Development</p>
<p>Discussion</p>	<p>Mayor Adams explained Resolution 11-13 is to designate Lynn Vinzant as the Project Manager for the 2012-13 CDBG.</p>
<p>CONCLUSION</p>	<p>Councilmember Reed moved to designate Lynn Vinzant as the Project Manager for the 2012-13 CDBG and adopt Resolution 11-13. Councilmember</p>

	Bateman seconded the motion. Voting by roll call is as follows: Councilmember Bateman, aye; Councilmember Hansen, aye; Councilmember Mitchell, aye; Councilmember Reed, aye; Councilmember Stanton, aye.
K. ACTION AFTER CLOSED SESSION	
CONCLUSION	Councilmember Bateman moved to instruct staff to move forward with the item as discussed in closed session. Councilmember Reed seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion.
Approval of Minutes	Councilmember Stanton left the room prior to the approval of minutes. Councilmember Mitchell moved to approve the April 23, 2013 City Council Meeting Minutes as written. Councilmember Reed seconded the motion. All those present voted in favor of the motion. Councilmember Hansen moved to adopt the minutes of the April 24, 2013 Special City Council Budget Workshop as written. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. All those present voted in favor of the motion.
Accounts Payable	Councilmember Stanton returned to the discussion. Councilmember Hansen moved to pay the bills. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion. Councilmember Hansen commented how valuable the DARE program is and what a good job Officer DeCarlo does and Officer Jeppson did before her.
Planning Commission Report	Councilmember Hansen reported on the May 7, 2013 Planning Commission Meeting as recorded in the minutes. Councilmember Hansen commented on what a good job the Planning Commission does.
City Manager Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School lunch with local schools will be at Clinton City on Wednesday, May 15 at 11:45 p.m. • Monday May 27, 2013 is a holiday. The Mayor will be out of town on May 28, 2013 which is the next scheduled City Council meeting as well as other Councilmember's. <p>The Council directed staff to cancel the May 28, 2013 Clinton City Council Meeting.</p>
Mayor Adams Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nothing at this time.
Councilmember Bateman	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expressed appreciation for Councilmember Hansen
Councilmember Hansen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kids love "Lunch with the Mayor" • Parks Board is making progress on the Memorial Rock. • There are still two parks available for adoption. • The Parks Board will have a booth at Heritage Days.
Councilmember Mitchell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reported citizens are anxious for baseball uniforms.
Councilmember Reed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Received many complaints about the canal, the size of the pipe, the big mess, the east side full of weeds; citizens are disgusted. County has not followed through; did not give notice of new construction going on <p>Debbie Barlow commented that she has contacted County Commission Petroff; he said it was seeded under poor conditions and did not take; it is in the plans to re-seed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community Enhancement – Emergency class was informative, information from the class is available; new info on the Heimlich and CPR was presented. Texas Road House will be doing a presentation in June. • Arts Board will meet – Thursday at 6 p.m. • ACTION LIST Complaint about 2300 N east of the round about – weeds and

	cement. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• General Plan meeting is Tuesday.
Councilmember Stanton	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Requested that staff to order a plaque for LeeAnn Powell.
	At 10:00 PM Councilmember Bateman moved to enter into closed session. Councilmember Hansen seconded the motion. All voted in favor of the motion
ADJOURNMENT	Councilmember Stanton moved to adjourn. Councilmember Mitchell seconded the motion. All those present voted in favor of the motion. The meeting adjourned at 10:32 p.m.