

Midway City Planning Commission Regular Meeting March 10, 2020

Notice is hereby given that the Midway City Planning Commission will hold their regular meeting at 6:00 p.m., March 10, 2020, at the Midway City Community Center
160 West Main Street, Midway, Utah

6:00 P.M. Regular Meeting

- City Council Liaison Report, no action will be taken, and the public is welcome to attend.

Call to Order

- Welcome and Introductions; Opening Remarks or Invocation; Pledge of Allegiance

Regular Business

1. Review and possibly approve the Planning Commission Meeting Minutes of February 11, 2020.
2. Vote for Chair and Vicechair
3. Brad Pelo is petitioning for annexation of the Midway Crest subdivision which contains five lots on 24.16 acres. The property is located south of the Fox Pointe subdivision and is accessed from Fox Den Road. The proposed zoning for the property is RA-1-43 (rural-agricultural 1 acre).
 - a. Discussion of the proposed annexation
 - b. Possible recommendation to City Council
4. Non-entitlement review of a concept plan for the Zenger Property which contains 49 lots. The property is 84 acres and is located at 275 Luzern Road. The property is in the RA-1-43 zone
 - a. Discussion of the proposed concept

5. Review of a Conditional Use that was approved for Brooke and Christian Duncan for an in-home preschool on their property located at 425 East 600 North. The approval by the City Council required further review by the Planning Commission and City Council after the first school year. The property is in the R-1-22 zone.

- a. Review and discussion of the Conditional Use Permit

6. Midway City is proposing a code text amendment of Section 16:5: Commercial C-2 and C-3 Zones. The proposed code amendment would add commercial and residential accessory structures as a permitted use in the commercial zones. Setbacks would also be added to the code for accessory structures.

- a. Discussion of the proposed code text amendment
 - b. Public hearing
 - c. Possible recommendation to City Council

7. Closed Meeting to Discuss Pending or Reasonably Imminent Litigation

8. Adjournment

Review and possibly approve the
Planning Commission Meeting Minutes
of February 11, 2020.

Midway City Planning Commission Regular Meeting Minutes February 11, 2020

Notice is hereby given that the Midway City Planning Commission will hold their regular meeting at 6:00 p.m., February 11, 2020, at the Midway City Community Center
160 West Main Street, Midway, Utah

Attendance

Jim Kohler
Bill Ream
Natalie Streeter
Jeff Nicholas
Jon McKeon
Michelle Crawford
Craig Simons
Rob Bouwhuis

Staff

Michael Henke – City Planner
Melannie Egan – Admin. Assistant
Wes Johnson – City Engineer
Brad Wilson – City Recorder

Excused

Heather Whitney

6:00 P.M. Regular Meeting

Call to Order

- Welcome and Introductions; Opening Remarks or Invocation; Pledge of Allegiance
 - Invocation was given by Commissioner Nicholas
 - Chairman Kohler led the Pledge of Allegiance
 - Swearing in of new Planning Commissioners

Item 1:

Review and possibly approve the Planning Commission Meeting Minutes of January 14, 2020

Motion: Commissioner Ream: I make a motion to approve the regular planning commission minutes of January 14, 2020.

Seconded: Commissioner Streeter

Chairman Kohler: Any discussion the motion?

There was none

Chairman Kohler: All in favor.

Ayes: Commissioners: Streeter, Ream, Nicholas, McKeon, Bouwhuis

Nays: None

Motion: Passed

Item 2:

The State of Utah is petitioning for annexation of 349.7 acres that is part of the Wasatch Mountain State Park. The property is located along Warm Springs Road and Pine Canyon Road and includes the Wasatch Mountain State Park Visitor's Center, campground, and part of the golf course. The proposed zoning for the property is P-160 (Preservation 160 acres).

Planner Henke gave a presentation

Land Use Summary

- 349.7 acres
- County zoning: P-160
- Proposed Midway zoning: P-160
- Includes campground, visitors center, and part of golf course
- Includes Warm Springs Road and some of Pine Canyon Road
 - City will be responsible for maintenance of both roads

Midway Growth Boundary

- Reason for the proposal

Continue to charge the resort tax

Tax can be levied if a communities' "transient room capacity" is greater than 66% of its census population

In fiscal year 2019, this tax provided almost \$685,877 or 15% of the City's general fund revenue
Since 2006 the City has received almost \$6.13 million in revenue from the tax

This tax allows the City to keep other taxes lower for the residents of Midway

Proposed Findings

- Annexing the campground into the City limits will help the City to continue to collect the resort tax which is beneficial to all residents of Midway.
- The State is agreeable to the proposal and has worked with the City so that annexation can occur.
- Wasatch County Council has agreed to the annexation.
- The proposal is a legislative action.

Comments and Questions

There was a short discussion regarding the amount of campground units. Michael Henke didn't have the exact number on hand, but explained it was a lot and would help Midway very much. Rob Bouwhuis stated that more people go to a campground over renting a hotel room.

Motion: Commissioner Ream: I make a motion to recommend approval of annexation of 349.7 acres that is part of the Wasatch Mountain State Park. The property is located along Warm Springs Road and Pine Canyon Road and includes the Wasatch Mountain State Park Visitor's Center, campground, and part of the golf course. The proposed zoning for the property is P-160 (Preservation 160 acres). And that we approve the staff report and staff findings

Seconded: Commissioner Streeter

Chairman Kohler: Any discussion the motion?

There was none

Chairman Kohler: All in favor.

Ayes: Commissioners: Streeter, Ream, Nicholas, McKeon, Bouwhuis

Nays: None

Motion: Passed

Item 3:

Matthew and Chelsea Jory are requesting a Conditional Use Permit for a mixed-use development that will include a business and a residence. The property is located at 17 South and 580 East and is in the C-2 zone.

Planner Henke gave a presentation

Land Use Summary

- 0.32 acres
- C-2
- Mixed-use allowed as a conditional use
- Proven Moto
- Repair shops (other than auto) (no outside storage)
- Located in lot 1 of the Midway Lane subdivision

Mixed Use Requirements

- Door facing Main Street
- Mixed-use projects require that 20% of the gross floor area of all structures is deed restricted as commercial.
 - Dwelling sq. ft. is 3,598
 - Proposed commercial structure will be 1,200 sq. ft.
 - Combine equals 4,798 sq. ft.
 - Required area that must be deed restricted is 960 sq. ft.
- Parking must be located to the rear or side of the main structure. The applicant has proposed parking to the side of the proposed commercial structure. Staff has worked with the applicant to try to remove as much hard surface as possible from the parking area that will allow more landscaping and improve the view of the property from Main Street.

VAC Motion

Member Elizabeth Crittenden: I move that we recommend approval of the proposal for Proven Moto located at 17 S 580 E in the C2 zone due to the following:

- The business is of a small size and was reduced to 1,200 square feet.
- The business is adjacent to the home and appears more like an accessory building.
- The business is visually consistent with the existing single-family dwelling architecture and design.
- The home has a metal roof and having the metal roof on the business is consistent.
- We acknowledge that a change in the roof would also be a hardship for the applicants

due to the builders do not have a different roof alternative and doing so would require the applicants to sub out a roof separate from the one included in the cost of their building.

Possible Findings

- The proposed use is a conditional use in the C-2 zone.
- The proposal is consistent with the vision of the General Plan for Main Street.

Comments and Questions

There was a discussion regarding a use change to the business. Michael explained that if it changed to an ice cream shop, the new use or owners would not need to come back to the commission. However, if the use changed to a bar with liquor, then that would have to come back to the commission and re-submit for a new conditional use permit.

Chelsea Jory gave a presentation about her business and explained about the possibility of noise. She stated that they have never had a complaint about noise, and they have been in their business in Charleston for seven years, where they share walls with accountants and engineers. She also stated that they will be adding noise barriers to help mitigate any noise potential.

There was a question regarding about client's test driving down the street or if they ride their motorcycle to the shop and drive it out. Chelsea stated that most bikes are trailered in and not driven as they are not street legal. She stated that none of her customers do that now as they specialize in off road dirt bikes.

There was a discussion about backing a trailer into the parking lot and Wes Johnson stated that it would have to be done from the street and the road is one of the wider streets and is wide enough for it to be done. He anticipated no problems with that maneuver.

There was a discussion regarding the metal roof and the code and why the VAC approved the metal roof. Michael Henke stated that the code states that it is a guideline and not a "shall" not have a metal roof. He also stated that the business roof matches the home located on the property.

Motion: Commissioner Streeter: I make a motion that we approve a Conditional Use Permit for a mixed-use development that will include a business and a residence. The property is located at 17 South and 580 East and is in the C-2 zone. That we include the VAC recommendation and staff report and findings with no other conditions.

Seconded: Commissioner Nicholas

Chairman Kohler: Any discussion the motion?

There was none

Chairman Kohler: All in favor.

Ayes: Commissioners: Streeter, Ream, Nicholas, McKeon, Bouwhuis

Nays: None

Motion: Passed

Item 4:

Berg Engineering, agent for Watts Enterprises, is requesting Final Approval for phases 2 and 3 of Watts Remund Farms Planned Unit Development. The proposal is for 41 single-family dwelling units located on 21.58 acres. The proposal is located at 200 East 600 North and is in the R-1-15 zone.

Land Use Summary

- R-1-15 zoning
- 21.49 acres
- 15.71 acres of open space
- Planned Unit Development
- Proposal contains 58 building pads

Phase 2 – 17.96 acres – Units 40-52, 59-60, 69-76, 84-87

Phase 3 – 3.53 acre – Units 53-58, 61-68

- Private roads will be maintained by the HOA
- The lots will connect to the Midway Sanitation District sewer and to the City's water line.
- 6' paved public trail is planned to run north and south through the length of the property, another privately maintained trail with public access will run along the southern and eastern boundaries. Other trails in the phases will be private with no public easement.
- Sensitive lands of the property include wetlands, and stream corridors

Site Studies

- Phase 1 Environmental Study
- Surface Water Sampling Results
- Hydrology Study
 - to be completed over the coming years
- Wetlands Study
- Traffic Analysis
- Geotechnical Study

Waterboard Recommendation

- The Water Board recommended the following water is required per phase:

Phase 2: 45.38-acre feet

Inside 22.40 (includes clubhouse connection)

Outside 22.98

Phase 3: 16.45-acre feet

Inside 11.2

Outside 5.25

Possible Findings

- The proposed plan does meet the requirements of the code for PUDs.
- The public trail system in the development will benefit the entire community by creating a trail away from collector roads.

- The proposal does comply with the approved master plan.

Comments and Questions

There was a question regarding runoff. Wes Johnson clarified that at the bottom of phase 4 there is a ditch and a basin and is not concerned about runoff, especially when the infrastructure is in place with sidewalks and gutters the water will be very controlled.

There was a conversation regarding the trails and the types of surface. Some of the trails will be slag and some will be hard surface.

Motion: Commissioner Nicholas : I make a motion that we recommend approval of Final Approval for phases 2 and 3 of Watts Remund Farms Planned Unit Development. The proposal is for 41 single-family dwelling units located on 21.58 acres. The proposal is located at 200 East 600 North and is in the R-1-15 zone. We also accept the staff report and the staff findings.

Seconded: Commissioner Ream

Chairman Kohler: Any discussion the motion?

There was none

Chairman Kohler: All in favor.

Ayes: Commissioners: Streeter, Ream, Nicholas, McKeon, Bouwhuis

Nays: None

Motion: Passed

Item 5:

Berg Engineering, agent for Probst Higley Developers LLC, is requesting a Master Plan amendment of Scotch Fields Planned Unit Development which includes four phases. The proposal is to create two phases out of phase 4 which, would bring the overall number of phases in Scotch Fields to five. The number of units, location of units, location of open space and roads do not change with this amendment. The property is located at 1300 North Canyon View Drive and is in the RA-1-43 zone.

Land Use Summary

- RA-1-43 zoning
- 50.01 acres

Current phase 4 – 16.55 acres

Proposed phase 4 – 13.69 acres

Proposed phase 5 – 2.86 acres

Planned Unit Development

- Development contains 89 building pads (PUD)
- Public roads maintained by the City include Canyon View Road and Canyon View Lane
- Private roads maintained by the HOA include Jerry Gertsch Lane and West Canyon View Lane
- The lots will connect to the Midway Sanitation District sewer and to the City's water line.
- Sensitive lands on the property include wetlands, sloped areas greater than 25%, stream corridor and wildlife habitat
- Four phases

Phase I – 17 units
Phase II – 24 units
Phase III – 11 units
Phase IV – 37 units

- Proposed phasing

Phase I – 17 units
Phase II – 24 units
Phase III – 11 units
Phase IV – 29 units
Phase V – 8 units

Water Recommendation

- Phase 3

11 Units ($11 \times 0.8 = 8.8$ -acre feet)
2.7 irrigated acres ($2.7 \times 3 = 8.1$ -acre feet)
Phase 3 total: **16.9-acre feet**

- Phase 4

29 Units ($29 \times 0.8 = 23.2$ -acre feet)
7.5 irrigated acres ($7.5 \times 3 = 22.5$ -acre feet)
Phase 4 total: **45.7-acre feet**

- Phase 5

8 Units ($8 \times 0.8 = 6.4$ -acre feet)
7.5 irrigated acres ($1.18 \times 3 = 3.54$ -acre feet)
Phase 5 total: **9.94-acre feet**

Possible Findings

- The proposed master plan appears to meet the requirements of the code
- The number of phases will increase from four to five
- The proposed amendment does comply with current code requirements for phasing
- Amending the master plan is discretionary and the City is under no obligation to approve the proposal even if it complies with the land use code

Comments and Questions

There was a question asked about motivation regarding the fifth phase. Michael Henke did state that the motivation is taxes. Paul Berg clarified that the fifth phase is mostly due to business partnership needs.

There was a discussion regarding staff work and Michael stated that the fees would be determined through City Council.

There was a discussion about traffic and Interlaken.

Motion: Commissioner Streeter: I make a motion that we recommend approval the Development Agreement amendment of Scotch Fields Planned Unit Development which includes four phases. The proposal is to create two phases out of phase 4 which, would bring the overall number of phases in Scotch Fields to five. The number of units, location of units,

location of open space and roads do not change with this amendment. The property is located at 1300 North Canyon View Drive and is in the RA-1-43 zone. We also accept staff report and findings and add no conditions.

Seconded: Commissioner Ream

Chairman Kohler: Any discussion the motion?

Commissioner Bouwhuis stated that he did not like that having the one single island lot that is in the proposal

Chairman Kohler: All in favor.

Ayes: Commissioners: Streeter, Ream, Nicholas, McKeon

Nays: Bouwhuis

Motion: Passed

Adjournment:

Motion: Commissioner Nicholas: I motion to adjourn

Second: Commissioner McKeon

7:50 pm

Chairman – Jim Kohler

Admin. Assistant – Melannie Egan

Vote for Chair and Vice-Chair.

Brad Pelo is petitioning for annexation of the Midway Crest subdivision which contains five lots on 24.16 acres. The property is located south of the Fox Pointe subdivision and is accessed from Fox Den Road. The proposed zoning for the property is RA-1-43 (rural-agricultural 1 acre).



PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING STAFF REPORT

DATE OF MEETING: March 10, 2020

NAME OF PROJECT: Midway Crest Annexation

NAME OF APPLICANT: Brad Pelo, Benevolence LLC

AGENDA ITEM: Annexation

ACRES: 24.16 acres

LOCATION OF ITEM: 600 South Fox Den Road

PROPOSED ZONING: RA-1-43

ITEM: 3

Brad Pelo is petitioning for annexation of the Midway Crest subdivision which contains five lots on 24.16 acres. The property is located south of the Fox Pointe subdivision and is accessed from Fox Den Road. The proposed zoning for the property is RA-1-43 (rural-agricultural 1 acre).

BACKGROUND:

Brad Pelo has petitioned the City to annex 24.16 acres that will be zoned RA-1-43 if approved by the City Council. Currently the property is in the County and is zoned RA-1. The area does fall within Midway's annexation declaration area so the property can be annexed but the City is under no obligation to annex the property. The Municipal Code does require that numerous issues are analyzed and evaluated before the City considers approving an annexation. Currently the City boundary runs along the north side of the proposed annexation property area.

The annexation contains 1 parcel which is owned by the petitioner.

Property Owner	Tax ID#	Signed Petition	Acres	Taxable Value
Benevolence LLC	OWC-1108-3	Yes	24.16	\$490,610

The petition does comply with State Code that requires the owners of most of the land sign the petition and that the signers also own at least 1/3 of the taxable value of land in the annexation area. State Code also requires a survey of the area which has been completed. There are other requirements listed in State Code and all seem to be met.

Annexations fall under the category of a legislative action. Therefore, the City Council has broad discretion regarding the petition. It can be approved or denied based on the discretion of the Council members. There is no obligation by the City to annex the property. If the Council feels that the area will contribute to the community and will help promote the goals and policies of the General Plan, then the annexation should be considered. The City Council may consider any issue, included in the staff report or not, as a discussion item. Also, the City may require items from the petitioner that normally would not be allowed if a developer's property was already located and zoned in the City. In the past, petitioners of annexations have donated to the parks fund as part of their annexations. Since the action is legislative it is not bound to the same rules that an administrative process is bound to.

The City has entered into an agreement to provide culinary water (see attached) if certain conditions are met. Some of the main provisions of the agreement include limiting the density on the property to five lots and five dwellings, deed restrictions for each lot limiting further subdividing, a public trail easement and construction of the trail, and the requirement that the developer pay \$900 per lot (\$4,500 total) as park fee among other requirements.

This item has been noticed in the local newspaper for two weeks and on the State's website for the Planning Commission meeting. Public notices have also been posted in three public locations in Midway advertising the meeting and agenda.

ANALYSIS:

The comments in italicized represent Planning Staff's comments pertaining to compliance or lack of compliance with the findings the Planning Commission must make in considering this request. Section 9.05.020 requires specifically the Staff address the following issues:

- A. The ability to meet the general annexation requirements set forth in this Title;
Planning staff believes that the proposal does comply with the general requirements of this Title.
- B. An accurate map of the proposed annexation area showing the boundaries and property ownership within the area, the topography of the area and major natural

features, e.g. drainage, channels, streams, wooded areas, areas of high water table, very steep slopes, sensitive ridgeline areas, wildfire/wild land interface areas, and other environmentally sensitive lands: *The proposed annexation concept plan has been submitted and is attached to this report. The property does contain some sensitive lands in the form of slopes greater than 25%. Areas of slopes greater than 25% cannot contain dwellings.*

- C. Identification of current and potential population of the area and the current residential densities: *Currently there are no homes in the annexation area. The development potential of the area is five dwellings. The average number of people per dwelling in Midway is 2.9 which would increase Midway's population by about 15 people based on the average.*
- D. Land uses presently existing and those proposed: *Currently the land in the area is being used for agricultural purposes. A minor portion of the property has been irrigated over the past several years. The proposed land uses include residential development and a limited area of agriculture.*
- E. Character and development of adjacent properties and neighborhoods: *The properties surround the annexation are predominantly being used for agriculture. There are several scattered homes surrounding the annexation. The area has a rural feel. Heber Valley Special Service District also owns a large amount of property to the east which is the site of the sewer treatment plant. A note is required on the subdivision plat advising that the sewer treatment plant runs 24 hours a day and operations of the plant may produce noises and odors that may be objectionable to some residents. Expansion of the plat is also planned for future needs.*
- F. Present zoning and proposed zoning: *The current County zoning is RA-1 which is a one-acre zone. The planned zoning that midway has established is RA-1-43 which is also a one-acre zone.*
- G. A statement as to how the proposed area, and/or its potential land use will contribute to the achievement of the goals and policies of the Midway City General Plan and the Midway City Vision: *The developer has indicated he wants to keep a rural feel of development on the property characterized by open space and agriculture.*
- H. Assessed valuation of properties within the annexation area: *Please see the chart presented earlier in this report.*
- I. Potential demands for various municipal services and the need for land use regulation in the area, e.g. consideration of the distance from the existing utility lines, special requirements for sensitive land review and fire protection in wildfire or wild land areas, location within hazardous soils area, and feasibility of snow removal from public streets: *If the property is annexed the City will be required to*

provide services to the area. Office staff in the various City departments will spend time working on the development and with the residents in the annexed area. This includes land use applications, building permits, and utility payments. Also, the City will remove snow from any public streets and will maintain the roads and water lines in the area. These services cost the City money and though property taxes from the new residents will help offset that cost the City will need to have some commercial development and the sales taxes collected from the sales generated to help offset those new costs. That is assuming that the new growth will help increase sales in Midway by increasing activity in the current Midway stores or will help new businesses establish in Midway. It is unknown what the impact of the new homes will have but we do know the City's cost will increase because of the new growth. The City does have water lines in the immediate area of the annexation and other utilities are located nearby.

- J. The effect the annexation will have upon City boundaries and whether the annexation will ultimately create potential for future islands, undesirable boundaries, and difficult service areas: *The annexation will increase the City's boundaries. The proposed annexation will not create an unincorporated island or peninsula as defined by State law. The annexation also will not produce any areas that are difficult to service.*
- K. A proposed timetable for extending municipal services to the area and recommendation on how the cost thereof will be paid: *City services are up to the boundary of the annexation. The developer will need to build the infrastructure within the annexation area for the development so the City will incur no development cost, only maintenance cost, once that infrastructure is approved by the City.*
- L. Comparison of potential revenue from the annexed properties with the cost of providing services thereto: *It appears the development will be a relatively expensive development that may include some second homes. Generally residential development does not pay enough in taxes to cover the cost of the services provided by the City. In very general terms, and as described in the City's General Plan, for every dollar the City collects from a residence the City pays \$1.16 to provide services. Therefore, commercial growth is important for the City which helps offset this unbalanced revenue versus cost. Most likely the City will not generate revenue from this proposal, but the City does gain the ability to control land use to assure the goals of the General Plan are met.*
- M. An estimate of the tax consequences and other potential economic impacts to residents of the area to be annexed: *There are currently no residents in the annexation area.*

- N. Recommendations or comments of other local government jurisdictions regarding the annexation proposal and the potential impact of the annexation on the general county economic needs, goals, or objectives: *No government jurisdiction or agency has objected to the proposed annexation. The City held a review meeting and invited all potentially impacted jurisdictions, agencies and utilities and no major concerns were identified in that meeting.*
- O. Location and description of any historic or cultural resources: *None have been identified*

Additional Items of consideration

- The City gains control over zoning once an area is annexed. This helps the city assure that uses on the property will be in harmony with the General Plan. If the City does not annex a parcel, then the owners may develop in the County using the County's land use code.
- The most recent applicants for annexation to Midway have contributed to the parks fund. The petitioner has agreed to pay \$900 per lot (\$4,500 total) as park fee.

POSSIBLE FINDINGS:

- The City will gain control over land use and zoning if the area is annexed.
- The proposal is a legislative action.
- The proposal will increase density and traffic to the area.
- The density of the project is low at five dwellings on 24 acres. This will help promote the vision of the general plan to preserve open space and a rural atmosphere.

ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS:


1. Recommendation of Approval. This action can be taken if the Planning Commission finds that the annexation is in the best interest of the community.
 - a. Accept staff report
 - b. List accepted findings
 - c. Place condition(s)

2. Continuance. This action can be taken if the Planning Commission finds there are unresolved issues.

- a. Accept staff report
- b. List accepted findings
- c. Reasons for continuance
 - i. Unresolved issues that must be addressed
- d. Date when the item will be heard again

3. Recommendation of Denial. This action can be taken if the Planning Commission finds that the request is not in the best interest of the community.

- a. Accept staff report
- b. List accepted findings
- c. Reasons for denial



S-Ryan Ln

200 S Hamlet St

E-300 S

740 E

E-260 S

S-650 E

S Fox Den Rd

Silver Fox Rd

320 S

490 S

370 E

E-200 S

S-80 E

E-450 S St

E-500 S

W-300 S

W-350 S

113


S Center St

E-850 S

W-970 S

MIDWAY CREST ANNEXATION

LOCATED IN SECTION 2 TOWNSHIP 4 SOUTH, RANGE 4 EAST, SALT
LAKE BASE & MERIDIAN.



LINE TABLE		
LINE	LENGTH	DIRECTION
L1	77.03'	N89°39'04"E
L2	61.56'	N37°29'17"E
L3	82.78'	S89°33'08"E
L4	132.84'	S61°27'50"W

CURVE	LENGTH	RADIUS	DELTA ANGLE	CHORD LENGTH	CHORD BEARING
L1	52.06'	230.00'	12°58'03"	51.95'	S32°1'05"W

PROPOSED ZONING: RESIDENTIAL AGRICULTURE ZONE (RA-1-A3)

BASIS OF BEARING

BOUNDARY	DESCRIPTION
1	...
2	...
3	...
4	...
5	...
6	...
7	...
8	...
9	...
10	...
11	...
12	...
13	...
14	...
15	...
16	...
17	...
18	...
19	...
20	...
21	...
22	...
23	...
24	...
25	...
26	...
27	...
28	...
29	...
30	...
31	...
32	...
33	...
34	...
35	...
36	...
37	...
38	...
39	...
40	...
41	...
42	...
43	...
44	...
45	...
46	...
47	...
48	...
49	...
50	...
51	...
52	...
53	...
54	...
55	...
56	...
57	...
58	...
59	...
60	...
61	...
62	...
63	...
64	...
65	...
66	...
67	...
68	...
69	...
70	...
71	...
72	...
73	...
74	...
75	...
76	...
77	...
78	...
79	...
80	...
81	...
82	...
83	...
84	...
85	...
86	...
87	...
88	...
89	...
90	...
91	...
92	...
93	...
94	...
95	...
96	...
97	...
98	...
99	...
100	...

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE


ACCEPTANCE BY LEGISLATIVE BODY

COUNTY SURVEYOR

**MIDWAY CREST
ANNEXATION PLAT**

DATE	DRAWING NO.	SHEET NO.
------	-------------	-----------

COUNTRY:



LEGEND

- 20% SLOPE OR GREATER
- NON-IRRIGATED IN LOT
- IRRIGATED AREA
- BUILDING PAD

LAND USE TABLE

PA-1
1 ACRE
200'

ZONE
MINIMUM ALLOWED LOT SIZE
MINIMUM ALLOWED LOT WIDTH

VERTICALLY IRRIGATED AREA

LOT 1 2.55 ACRES
LOT 2 0.82 ACRES
LOT 3 0.82 ACRES
LOT 4 0.82 ACRES
LOT 5 0.82 ACRES
TOTAL 3.40 ACRES

LOT	WATER FOR IRRIGATION	WATER FOR WASHING	WATER FOR WASHING
1	0.80 AF	0.80 AF	0.80 AF
2	0.80 AF	0.80 AF	0.80 AF
3	0.80 AF	0.80 AF	0.80 AF
4	0.80 AF	0.80 AF	0.80 AF
5	0.80 AF	0.80 AF	0.80 AF
TOTAL	4.00 AF	4.00 AF	4.00 AF

NOTES:
1. THIS PROPERTY HAS 8 SHARPS OF IRRIGATION REQUIRED ON 18.00 AF. IRRIGATION
REQUIRES 17.50 AF.

THIS DOCUMENT IS PREPARED FOR THE CITY OF STUTTSBURG, IOWA. IT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE ENGINEER.

PAUL S. BERG, P.E.
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
STATE OF IOWA
NO. 22,448
DATE: 22 MAR 2016



SCALE: 1"= 100'

0 50' 100' 200' 300'

BRAD PELLO
STUTTS PROPERTY
CONCEPT PLAN #2

BERG ENGINEERING
DESIGN BY: TDB DATE: 22 MAR 2016
DRAWN BY: CNB REV: 1

WILL SERVE LETTER

THIS WILL SERVE LETTER (the "Agreement") is entered into as of this 18th day of June, 2019, by and between Benevolence LLC, (hereinafter called the "Developer") and the CITY OF MIDWAY, UTAH, a political subdivision of the State of Utah (hereinafter called the "CITY"). Developer and the City are, from time to time, hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties." Unless otherwise noted herein, this Agreement supersedes and replaces any previous development agreements entered into by and between the Developer and the City involving the same Property (defined below) and is the entire, complete Agreement between the Parties.

RECITALS

- A. The City, acting pursuant to its authority under Utah Code Ann. §10-9a-101, *et. seq.*, in compliance with the Midway City Land Use Ordinance, and in furtherance of its land use policies, goals, objectives, ordinances and regulations, has made certain determinations with respect to providing culinary water service to property owned by the Developer (hereinafter call the "Project") that is currently outside of city limits, and therefore has elected to approve and enter into this Agreement in order to advance the policies, goals and objectives of the City, and to promote the health, safety and general welfare of the public.
- B. The Developer has a legal interest in a 24 acre piece of real property that is not located in the City, as described in Exhibit "A", (hereinafter referred to as the "Property") attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.
- C. The Developer intends to develop the Property in accordance with Wasatch County standards, into a five lot subdivision, obtain all necessary approvals from the County (where the Property is located), and then file for annexation into Midway City.
- D. In order to receive culinary water service, the Developer will extend the existing culinary water to Developer's Property (the "Project"), including a water meter for the Property and fire hydrants in a number and location as required by current code.
- E. Each Party acknowledges that it is entering into this Agreement voluntarily. The Developer consents to all the terms and conditions of this Agreement and acknowledges that they are valid conditions of the development. Unless otherwise specifically agreed to herein, the terms and conditions contained herein are in addition to any conditions or requirements of any other legally adopted ordinances, rules, or regulations governing the development of real property in the City of Midway.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises, covenants and provisions set forth herein, the receipt and sufficiency of which consideration is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

AGREEMENT

Section 1. Effective Date and Term. The term of this Agreement shall commence upon the signing of this Agreement (the “Effective Date”) by both Parties, and shall continue indefinitely.

Section 2. Definitions. Unless the context requires a different meaning, any term or phrase used in this Agreement that has its first letter capitalized shall have that meaning given to it by this Agreement. Certain terms and phrases are referenced below; others are defined where they appear in the text of this Agreement, including the Exhibits.

“Applicable Law” shall have that meaning set forth in Section 4.2 of this Agreement.

“Governing Body” shall mean the Midway City Council.

“City” shall mean the City of Midway, and shall include, unless otherwise provide, any and all of the City’s agencies, departments, officials, employees or agents.

Section 3. Obligations of the Developer and the City.

A. Obligations of the Developer:

- i. **General Obligations:** The Parties acknowledge and agree that the City’s agreement to perform and abide by the covenants and obligations of the City set forth herein is material consideration for the Developer’s agreement to perform and abide by the covenants and obligations of the Developer set forth herein.
- ii. **Conditions for Culinary Water Service.** The City agrees to provide culinary water service to the Property, subject to the following requirements:
 - a) The Developer shall extend at its own expense the culinary water line from Fox Den Road to Developer’s Property, including a water meter for each lot on the Property and fire hydrants in a number and location as required by current code. The Developer shall submit all plans to extend the line to the City engineer for approval before starting construction.
 - b) The size, type, and location of the culinary water meter shall be determined and approved by the City Engineer before installation.
 - c) The Developer agrees that for so long as the Property remains in the unincorporated area of the County, the Property owner shall be charged 1 ½ times the rate that Midway residents pay for culinary service.

- d) Developer agrees to allow Midway City to require the following as part of the plat approval process in Wasatch County:
1. In accordance with standards set by Midway City, sufficient water to meet the culinary and irrigation needs of the development shall be deeded to Midway City prior to the plat being recorded.
 2. Meters that meet the specifications of Midway Irrigation Company shall be installed on all irrigation line connections within the subdivision at the time the infrastructure is installed.
 3. Developer agrees to install infrastructure to the standards required by Midway City, even if these standards exceed those required by Wasatch County.
- e) Developer shall allow Midway City to review and approve the plat before it is recorded. At the time of signing this Agreement Developer shall submit to Midway City an amount established by the City Planner sufficient to cover all expenses incurred by the City in reviewing the Project (i.e. engineering, legal, etc.). Developer agrees to pay all applicable Midway City fees incurred in installing the Culinary Water Line and other infrastructure, including all engineering and attorney fees and other outside consultant fees incurred by the City in relation to the Property. All fees shall be paid current prior to any culinary water service being provided.
- f) Installation of the Culinary Water Line and other infrastructure:
1. Construction and/or Dedication of Culinary Line: The Developer agrees to construct the Culinary Line as directed and approved by the City, in accordance with current City standards, and upon completion to dedicate the line to the City.
 2. Construction Traffic: All construction traffic for the Culinary Line improvements will meet the requirements imposed by the Midway City Planning and Engineering Departments.
 3. Warranty: Consistent with City standards, the Developer will provide a one-year warranty for the operation of all improvements.
 4. Bonding: Developer agrees to post performance and other bonds in amounts and types established by the City related to the performance of the Developer's construction obligations for installing the Culinary Line and other infrastructure, pursuant to current City Ordinances and Regulations.

5. The Developer shall remain bound by all legally adopted Ordinances, Resolutions and policies of the City involving culinary water service unless specifically agreed to otherwise herein.
 6. The Developer shall install the road as per the rural cross section as presented in Midway's Standard Specifications and Drawings.
- g) The Project plat shall include the following notations or dedications:
1. A restriction noting that irrigation water sufficient for solely a ¼ acre of irrigation around the homes was deeded to the City, that this constitutes a restriction on the amount of irrigated landscaping the homeowner can install, and that the homeowner understands the bulk of the lot must remain in its natural condition without irrigation water.
 2. A note requiring the lot owner to comply with all weed control ordinances of Midway City in regards to the unirrigated portion of their lot.
 3. A note that states the following: "NOTICE TO PROPERTY PURCHASERS: Be advised that this subdivision is adjacent to the Heber Valley Special Service District Wastewater Treatment Facility. The Wastewater Treatment Plan often operates twenty-four (24) hours a day. The operations of the facility may produce noises and odors that may be objectionable to some residents. Expansion of the facilities is planned on District property for future sanitary wastewater treatment."
 4. A public trail easement, at a minimum of 10 feet wide, that runs from the north boundary of the property to the southwest corner of the property. The specific location of the easement shall be addressed during the plat approval process. The trail shall be a 4' wide road base back country trail across the Pelo. Developer shall provide a check that will be deposited into the City's trails general fund to cover the cost of the trail in an amount determined by the City Engineer. The trail may be installed by the City at its sole discretion.
 5. A deed restriction on the future or further subdivision of the lots within the subdivision.
- h) Developer agrees to allow Midway City to inspect all infrastructure as it is installed and shall have a duty to provide timely notice to the Midway City engineer of needed inspections.

- i) Developer agrees to apply for annexation into Midway City within 30 days of receiving final approval from the County.
- j) At the time Developer applies for annexation, the parties agree to enter into an annexation agreement.
- k) Developer agrees to pay the Midway City Park Fee in the amount of \$900 per residence, or a total of \$4,500 prior to recording the Plat.

B. Obligations of the City:

- i. General Obligations: The Parties acknowledge and agree that the Developer's agreement to perform and abide by the covenants and obligations of the Developer set forth herein is material consideration for the City's agreement to perform and abide by the covenants and obligations of the City set forth herein.
- ii. Conditions of Approval: The City agrees that it shall provide culinary water service to the Property subject to the conditions detailed in this Agreement.
- iii. Acceptance of Improvements: The City agrees to accept all Project improvements constructed by the Developer, or the Developer's contractors, subcontractors, agents or employees, provided that 1) the Midway City Planning and Engineering Departments review and approve the plans for any Project improvements prior to construction; 2) the Developer permits Midway City Planning and Engineering representatives to inspect upon request any and all of said Project improvements during the course of construction; 3) the Project improvements are inspected by a licensed engineer who certifies that the Project improvements have been constructed in accordance with the approved plans and specifications; 4) the Developer has warranted the Project improvements as required by the Midway City Planning and Engineering Departments; and 5) the Project improvements pass a final inspection by the Midway City Planning and Engineering Departments.

Section 4. Vested Rights and Applicable Law.

- A. Applicable Law. The rules, regulations, official policies, standards and specifications applicable to the development of the Property (the "Applicable Law") shall be in accordance with those set forth in this Agreement, and those rules, regulations, official policies, standards and specifications, including City Ordinances and Resolutions, in force and effect on the date the City Council granted preliminary approval to the Developer for the Project. The Developer expressly acknowledges and agrees that nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to relieve the Developer

from the obligation to comply with all applicable requirements of the City necessary for approval and recordation of subdivision plats, including the payment of fees and compliance with all other applicable Ordinances, Resolutions, regulations, policies and procedures of the City.

- B. State and Federal Law. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, this Agreement shall not preclude the application of changes in laws, regulations, plans or policies, to the extent that such changes are specifically mandated and required by changes in State or Federal laws or regulations ("Changes in the Law") applicable to the Property. In the event the Changes in the Law prevent or preclude compliance with one or more of the provisions of this Agreement, such provisions of the Agreement shall be modified or suspended, or performance thereof delayed, as may be necessary, to comply with the Changes in the Law.

Section 5. Amendment. Unless otherwise stated in this Agreement, the Parties may amend this Agreement from time to time, in whole or in part, by mutual written consent. No amendment or modification to this Agreement shall require the consent or approval of any person or entity having any interest in any specific lot, unit or other portion of the Project. Each person or entity (other than the City and the Developer) that holds any beneficial, equitable, or other interests or encumbrances in all or any portion of the Project at any time hereby automatically, and without the need for any further documentation or consent, subjects and subordinates such interests and encumbrances to this Agreement and all amendments thereof that otherwise comply with this Section 5. Each such person or entity agrees to provide written evidence of that subjection and subordination within fifteen (15) days following a written request for the same from, and in a form reasonably satisfactory to, the City and/or the Developer.

Section 6. Cooperation and Implementation.

- A. Processing of Subsequent Approvals. Upon submission by the Developer of all appropriate applications and processing fees for any Subsequent Approval to be granted by the City, the City shall promptly and diligently commence and complete all steps necessary to act on the Subsequent Approval application including, without limitation, 1) the notice and holding of all required public hearings, and 2) the granting of the Subsequent Approval as set forth herein.

The City's obligations under this Section 6 are conditioned on the Developer's provision to the City, in a timely manner, of all documents, applications, plans and other information necessary for the City to meet such obligations. It is the express intent of the Developer and the City to cooperate and work diligently and in good faith to obtain any and all Subsequent Approvals. The City may deny an application for a Subsequent Approval by the Developer only if the application is incomplete, does not comply with existing law, or violates a City Ordinance or Resolution. If the

City denies an application for a Subsequent Approval by the Developer, the City must specify the modifications required to obtain such approval.

B. Other Governmental Permits.

1. The Developer shall apply for such other permits and approvals as may be required by other governmental or quasi-governmental agencies in connection with the development of, or the provision of services to the Project.
2. The City shall cooperate with the Developer in its efforts to obtain such permits and approvals, provided that such cooperation complies with Section 4.B of this Agreement. However, the City shall not be required by this Agreement to join, or become a party to any manner of litigation or administrative proceeding instituted to obtain a permit or approval from, or otherwise involving any other governmental or quasi-governmental agency.

Section 7. Default and Termination.

A. General Provisions.

1. Defaults by Developer. Any failure by either Party to perform any term or provision of this Agreement, which failure continues uncured for a period of thirty (30) days following written notice of such failure from the other Party, unless such period is extended by written mutual agreement, shall constitute a default under this Agreement. Any notice given pursuant to the preceding sentence shall specify the nature of the alleged failure and, where appropriate, the manner in which said failure may be satisfactorily cured. If the nature of the alleged failure is such that it cannot reasonably be cured within such thirty (30) day time period, then the commencement of the cure within such time period, and the diligent prosecution to completion of the cure thereafter, shall be deemed to be a cure within such thirty (30) day period. Upon the occurrence of an uncured default under this Agreement, the non-defaulting Party may institute legal proceedings to enforce the terms of this Agreement or, in the event of a material default, terminate this Agreement. If the default is cured, then no default shall exist and the noticing Party shall take no further action.
2. Termination. If the City elects to consider terminating this Agreement due to a material default of the Developer, then the City shall give to the Developer a written notice of intent to terminate this Agreement and the matter shall be scheduled for consideration and review by the City Council at a duly notice public meeting. The Developer shall have the right to offer written and oral evidence prior to or at the time of said public meeting. If the City Council determines that a material default has occurred and is continuing and elects to terminate this

Agreement, the City Council shall send written notice of termination of this Agreement to the Developer by certified mail and this Agreement shall thereby be terminated thirty (30) days thereafter. In addition, the City may thereafter pursue any and all remedies at law or equity. By presenting evidence at such public meeting, the Developer does not waive any and all remedies available to the Developer at law or in equity.

3. **Review by the City.** The City may, at any time and in its sole discretion, request that the Developer demonstrate that the Developer is in full compliance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement. The Developer shall provide any and all information reasonably requested by the City within thirty (30) days of the request, or at a later date as agreed between the Parties.
4. **Determination of Non-Compliance.** If the City Council finds and determines that the Developer has not complied with the terms of this Agreement, and non-compliance may amount to a default if not cured, then the City may deliver a Default Notice pursuant to section 7.A of this Agreement. If the default is not cured in a timely manner by the Developer, the City may terminate this agreement as provided in Section 7 of this Agreement as provided under Applicable Law.
- B. **Default by the City.** In the event the City defaults under the terms of this Agreement, the Developer shall have all rights and remedies provided in Section 7 of this Agreement, and as provided under Applicable Law.
- C. **Enforced Delay; Extension of Time of Performance.** Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, neither Party shall be deemed to be in default where delays in performance or failures to perform are due to, and a necessary outcome of, war, insurrection, strikes or other labor disturbances, walk-outs, riots, floods, earthquakes, fires, casualties, acts of God, restrictions imposed or mandated by other governmental entities, enactment of conflicting state or federal laws or regulations, new or supplemental environmental regulations, or similar basis for excused performance which is not within the reasonable control of the Party to be excused. Upon the request of either Party hereto, an extension of time for such cause shall be granted in writing for the period of the enforced delay, or longer as may be mutually agreed upon.

Section 8. Notice of Compliance.

- A. **Timing and Content.** Within fifteen (15) days following any written request which the Developer may make from time to time, and to the extent that it is true, the City shall execute and deliver to the Developer a written "Notice of Compliance," in recordable form, duly executed and acknowledged by the City, certifying that 1) this Agreement is unmodified and in full force and effect, or if there have been

modifications hereto, that this Agreement is in full force and effect as modified and stating the date and nature of such modification; 2) there are no current uncured defaults under this Agreement or specifying the dates and nature of any such default; and 3) any other reasonable information requested by the Developer. The Developer shall be permitted to record the Notice of Compliance.

- B. Failure to Deliver. Failure to deliver a Notice of Compliance, or a written refusal to deliver a Notice of Compliance if the Developer is not in compliance, within the time set forth in Section 8.A shall constitute a presumption that as of fifteen (15) days from the date of the Developer's written request: 1) this Agreement was in full force and effect without modification except as represented by the Developer; and 2) there were no uncured defaults in the performance of the Developer. Nothing in this Section, however, shall preclude the City from conducting a review under Section 7, or issuing a notice of default, notice of intent to terminate or notice of termination under Section 7 for defaults which commence prior to the presumption created under this Section 8, and which have continued uncured.

Section 9. Change in Developer, Assignment, Transfer and Required Notice. The rights of the Developer under this Agreement may be transferred or assigned, in whole or in part, with the written consent of the City, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. The Developer shall give notice to the City of any proposed transfer or assignment at least thirty (30) days prior to the proposed date of the transfer or assignment.

Section 10. Miscellaneous Terms.

- A. Incorporation of Recitals and Introductory Paragraph. The Recitals contained in this Agreement, and the introductory paragraph preceding the Recitals, are hereby incorporated into this Agreement as if fully set forth herein.
- B. Severability. If any term or provision of this Agreement, or the application of any term or provision of this Agreement to a particular situation, is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining terms and provisions of this Agreement, or the application of this Agreement to other situations, shall continue in full force and effect unless amended or modified by mutual written consent of the Parties. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if any material provision of this Agreement, or the application of such provision to a particular situation, is held to be invalid, void or unenforceable by the final order of a court of competent jurisdiction, either Party to this Agreement may, in its sole and absolute discretion, terminate this Agreement by providing written notice of such termination to the other Party.
- C. Other Necessary Acts. Each Party shall execute and deliver to the other Party any further instruments and documents as may be reasonably necessary to carry out the

objectives and intent of this Agreement, the Conditions of Current Approvals, and Subsequent Approvals and to provide and secure to the other Party the full and complete enjoyment of its rights and privileges hereunder.

- D. Other Miscellaneous Terms. The singular shall be made plural; the masculine gender shall include the feminine; “shall” is mandatory; “may” is permissive.
- E. Covenants Running With the Land and Manner of Enforcement. The provisions of this Agreement shall constitute real covenants, contract and property rights and equitable servitudes, which shall run with all of the land subject to this Agreement. The burdens and benefits of this Agreement shall bind and inure to the benefit of each of the Parties, and to their respective successors, heirs, assigns and transferees. The City may, but is not required to, perform any obligation of the Developer that the Developer fails adequately to perform. Any cost incurred by the City to perform or secure performance of the provisions of this Agreement shall constitute a valid lien on the Property. The parties agree that this Agreement shall be filed with the County Recorder and be binding on title of the Property.
- F. Waiver. No action taken by any Party shall be deemed to constitute a waiver of compliance by such Party with respect to any representation, warranty, or condition contained in this Agreement. Any waiver by any Party of a breach or default of any condition of this Agreement shall not operate or be construed as a waiver by such Party of any subsequent breach or default.
- G. Remedies. Either Party may institute an equitable action to cure, correct or remedy any default, enforce any covenant or agreement herein, enjoin any threatened or attempted violation thereof, enforce by specific performance the obligations and rights of the Parties hereto, or to obtain any remedies consistent with the foregoing and the purpose of this Agreement; provided, however, that no action for monetary damages may be maintained by either Party against the other Party for any act or failure to act relating to any subject covered by this Agreement (with the exception of actions secured by liens against real property), notwithstanding any other language contained elsewhere in this Agreement. In no event shall either Party be entitled to recover from the other Party either directly or indirectly, legal costs or attorney’s fees in any action instituted to enforce the terms of this Agreement (with the exception of actions secured by liens against real property).
- H. Utah Law. This Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of Utah.
- I. Attorney’s Fees. In the event of litigation or arbitration between the Parties regarding an alleged breach of this Agreement, neither Party shall be entitled to any award of attorney’s fees.

- J. Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing. Each Party shall use its best efforts and take and employ all necessary actions in good faith consistent with this Agreement and Applicable Law to ensure that the rights secured to the other Party through this Agreement can be enjoyed.
- K. Representations. Each Party hereby represents and warrants to each other Party that the following statements are true, complete and not misleading as regards the representing and warranting Party:
1. Such Party is duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the state of its organization.
 2. Such Party has full authority to enter into this Agreement and to perform all of its obligations hereunder. The individual(s) executing this Agreement on behalf of such Party do so with the full authority of the Party that those individuals represent.
 3. This Agreement constitutes the legal, valid and binding obligation of such Party, enforceable in accordance with its terms, subject to the rules of bankruptcy, moratorium, and equitable principles.
- L. No Third-Party Beneficiaries. This Agreement is between the City and the Developer. No other party shall be deemed a third-party beneficiary or have any rights under this Agreement.

Section 11. Notices.

Any notice or communication required hereunder between the City and the Developer must be in writing and may be given either personally or by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested. If given by registered or certified mail, such notice or communication shall be deemed to have been given and received on the first to occur of (i) actual receipt by any of the addressees designated below as the Party to whom notices are to be sent, or (ii) five (5) days after a registered or certified letter containing such notice, properly addressed, with postage prepaid, is deposited in the United State mail. If personally delivered, a notice shall be deemed to have been given when delivered to the Party to whom it is addressed. Any Party may at any time, by giving ten (10) days written notice to the other Party, designate any other address to which notices or communications shall be given. Such notices or communications shall be given to the Parties at their addresses as set forth below:

If to the City of Midway:

Director

Planning Department
Midway City
P.O. Box 277
Midway, Utah 84049

With Copies to:

Corbin B. Gordon
Midway City Attorney
345 West 600 South
Heber City, Utah 84032

If to Developer:


Section 12. Entire Agreement, Counterparts and Exhibits. Unless otherwise noted herein, this Agreement, including its Exhibits, is the final and exclusive understanding and agreement of the Parties and supersedes all negotiations or previous agreements between the Parties with respect to all or any part of the subject matter hereof. All waivers of the provisions of this Agreement must be in writing, and signed by the appropriate authorities of the City and of the Developer.

Section 13. Signing and Recordation of Agreement. Unless the City and the Developer mutually agree otherwise, this Agreement must be signed by both the Developer and the City no later than ninety (90) days after the Agreement is approved by a vote of the Midway City Council, or else the City's approval of the Project will be rescinded. The City Recorder shall cause to be recorded, at the Developer's expense, a fully executed copy of this Agreement in the Official Records of the County of Wasatch no later than the date on which culinary service is first received.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, this Agreement has been entered into by and between the Developer and the City as of the date and year first above written.

CITY OF MIDWAY


Attest:



Celeste Johnson, Mayor


Brad Wilson, City Recorder

STATE OF UTAH)
 :SS
COUNTY OF WASATCH)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 9 day of July, 2019, by Celeste Johnson, who executed the foregoing instrument in her capacity as the Mayor of Midway City, Utah, and by Brad Wilson, who executed the foregoing instrument in his capacity as Midway City Recorder.





NOTARY PUBLIC


By: _____
Its: Manager

STATE OF UTAH)
 :SS
COUNTY OF WASATCH)

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 3rd day of July, 2019, by Bradley Delo, who executed the foregoing instrument in his capacity as the Manager of Behavolence LLC.




NOTARY PUBLIC

Non-entitlement review of a concept plan for the Zenger Property which contains 49 lots. The property is 84 acres and is located at 275 Luzern Road. The property is in the RA-1-43 zone.



PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING STAFF REPORT

DATE OF MEETING: March 10, 2020

NAME OF PROJECT: Zenger Annexation

NAME OF APPLICANT: Berg Engineering

AGENDA ITEM: Concept Plan Review

LOCATION OF ITEM: 275 Luzern Road

ZONING DESIGNATION: RA-1-43

ITEM: 4

Non-entitlement review of a concept plan for the Zenger Property which contains 49 lots. The applicant is proposing to adjust the location of the lots and open space on the property. The property is 84 acres and is located at 275 Luzern Road and is in the RA-1-43 zone

BACKGROUND:

The Zenger annexation that was approved by the City Council on June 27, 2007 and the property was later annexed into the City on January 30, 2008. The annexation was for 95 acres and included a parcel of land owned by the Zenger family that is 84 acres which is located north of the Valais PUD and south of the town of Interlaken. As part of the approved annexation, an annexation agreement was developed and approved by both the landowner and Midway. The agreement is binding with no expiration date and includes many details pertaining to development of the property. The agreement was based on months of review by the City Council, Planning Commission and staff. Public comment was also gathered and, most likely, influenced the language and terms of the annexation agreement. Stated simply, much effort was used to create the terms of the agreement.

The agreement does contain a provision that allows for amendments. Section V D states the following: *This agreement may be amended in whole or in part by the mutual written consent of the parties to this agreement or by their successors in interest or assigns.*

Therefore, the applicant is contemplating petitioning the City to consider an amendment, but the City is under no obligation to grant an amendment, even if the proposed amendment does comply with a previous or current zoning code. The City should only grant an amendment if there is a prevailing communal benefit that a new plan can offer that the approved plan cannot.

Attached to this document are three plans for the property. The first is the approved annexation plan which is entitled, and the applicant could apply for preliminary approval of this plan if all the requirements are met. The second and third documents are proposed concept plans for the City to consider. They are similar but there are variations on road location, open space, and lot locations. Density is the same at 49 lots for all three plans.

POTENTIAL ACTIONS:

The Planning Commission could give direction that there is interest in one or both of the proposed plans and might consider amending the annexation agreement.

The Planning Commission could indicate that no direction will be given at this time and the petition will be considered at a future date.

The Planning Commission could give direction that there is no interest in one or both of the proposed plans and the approved plan is in the best interest of the community and, therefore, will not consider amending the annexation agreement.



MIDWAY, UTAH

841115 ENTERPRISES
ADDRESS: 1200 S. HIGHLAND DR. SUITE 100
MIDWAY, UTAH 84042
PHONE: (801) 222-2000

MURANO MASTER PLAN

SOMER & BEECH CONSULTANTS
220 S. MAIN ST. SUITE 8
MIDWAY, UTAH 84042
PHONE: (801) 857-2749


SCALE
1" = 25'

DESIGN BY: SOMER & BEECH
DRAWN BY: CDB

DATE: 26 NOV 2004
REV: 1

DESIGNED BY: SOMER & BEECH
DATE: 26 NOV 2004

CITY OF MIDWAY



ALLOWED LOT SIZE
LOT SIZE AND FRONTAGE MAY BE REDUCED 15% DUE TO THE PRESENCE OF A LOT THAT IS BEING DEDICATED FOR THIS SUBDIVISION.
ALLOWED MINIMUM LOT SIZE 0.65 ACRES
ALLOWED MINIMUM FRONTAGE 121.50 FEET

LAND USE TABLE

LAND USE	AREA (AC)	PERCENTAGE (%)
TOTAL AREA	83.19 AC	(100%)
COMMON AREA	24.89 AC	(30.00%)
OPEN SPACE (PROPOSED)	24.89 AC	(30.00%)
NUMBER OF LOTS	49	
NUMBER OF LOTS MATCHES ANNEXATION AGREEMENT.	49	

LEGEND

- COMMON AREA/OPEN SPACE (24.89 ACRES)
- LOTS
- PUBLIC TRAILS

LUZERN ROAD NOTE:
LUZERN ROAD WILL BE ABANDONED THROUGH LOTS 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49. A NEW ROAD IN THE SUBDIVISION BETWEEN THE NEW HOUSE AND LOT 27 TO CONNECT TO THE NEW ROAD IN THE SUBDIVISION.

PROPERTY
ZENGRO PROPERTY
2020 CONCEPT PLAN
49 LOT SUBDIVISION

ENGINEERING
Resource Group P.C.
1000 N. 10TH AVE.
MILWAUKEE, WI 53233
TEL: 414.224.2200
FAX: 414.224.2201
DATE: 07/20/2020

Review of a Conditional Use that was approved for Brooke and Christian Duncan for an in-home preschool on their property located at 425 East 600 North. The approval by the City Council required further review by the Planning Commission and City Council after the first school year. The property is in the R-1-22 zone.



Memo

Date: 5 March 2020
To: Planning Commission and Staff
From: Melannie Egan, Planning Assistant
RE: Christian and Brooke Duncan Conditional Use Permit

One of the conditions placed on the Conditional Use Permit for Brooke Duncan's preschool recommended by the Planning Commission and City Council was to have a review at the one-year mark to review any possible safety conditions of the drop off and pick up times of the children.

I was able to observe the structure of the drop off and pick up of children attending Brooke Duncan's preschool on 600 North.

I was able to watch both the morning drop off and afternoon pickup of the children at Brooke Duncan's Preschool on several occasions. From what I observed, there is about a ten-minute time frame from when the children were dropped off to begin their class and about a fifteen-minute time frame for the pickup time. Each parent parked in a line on the north side of 600 North and walked their child, holding their hands into the preschool. When it was time for the children go home, I observed Brook Duncan lining each of the children on the front porch and each parent came to the porch one at a time, taking their child's hand and walked them to the vehicle.

In all instances of observation, the drop off and pickup went very smoothly, there were no instances of traffic disruptions or child safety issues.


Melannie Egan
Planning Assistant

An aerial photograph of a residential neighborhood. A yellow rectangular box highlights a property located between a street on the left and a road at the bottom. The highlighted property contains a large, dark-roofed house with a light-colored exterior, a white fence, and a blue vehicle. To the left of the highlighted area is a street with several houses and trees. To the right is another street with parked cars and more houses. Below the highlighted area is a wide road. The foreground consists of a large, open, brownish field with some trees and a small building in the distance.

600 North

River Road

Midway City is proposing a code text amendment of Section 16:5: Commercial C-2 and C-3 Zones. The proposed code amendment would add commercial and residential accessory structures as a permitted use in the commercial zones. Setbacks would also be added to the code for accessory structures.



PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING STAFF REPORT

DATE OF MEETING: March 10, 2020

NAME OF APPLICANT: Midway City

AGENDA ITEM: Code Text Amendment of Title 16.5: Commercial C-2 and C-3 Zones

ITEM: 6

Midway City is proposing a code text amendment of Section 16.5: Commercial C-2 and C-3 Zones. The proposed code amendment would add commercial and residential accessory structures as a permitted use in the commercial zones. Setbacks would also be added to the code for accessory structures.

BACKGROUND:

The purpose of this item is to discuss and possibly recommend to the City Council a code text amendment to add commercial and residential accessory structures (no living or sleeping space) as a permitted use in the commercial zones, only commercial accessory structures for conditional uses would require a conditional use permit. Setbacks would also be added to the code for accessory structures.

The City has received requests to build accessory structures in the commercial zones and it was discovered that they are not specifically allowed as a permitted or conditional use. There are many nonconforming dwellings in the commercial zones and some of those property owners would like to build accessory structures such as detached garages, sheds, and other structures. Also, adding accessory structures as a permitted use would make the ability to construct such structures clear for commercial uses also, even though it is inferred in the code that commercial uses are allowed multiple structures.

The following is draft language that could be added to Section 16.5.2: Permitted and Conditional Uses that would address this issue:

USES	C-2	C-3
Residential accessory structures (no living or sleeping space)	P	P
Commercial accessory structures	P (Conditional if associated with a conditional use)	P (Conditional if associated with a conditional use)

If allowing accessory structures is approved, then adopting setbacks for these structures is needed. Staff is proposing the following setbacks:

Commercial accessory structures would be required the same setbacks as for the main structures as listed in the code found in Section 16.5.3 which states the following:

1. *Front. 10' minimum and 30' maximum from the property line; however, an accessory or secondary building may be allowed by the City Council to be set back further provided all provision of this Title are met.*
2. *Side. None*
3. *Rear. None*
4. *Fuel pumps. 20 feet from any street*
5. *Setback from residential zones: 15 feet*
6. *Commercial structure setback from existing residential uses: 8 feet*
7. *Residential structure setback as part of a mixed-use development (single-family and apartments): 10 feet*
8. *Mixed-Use Structures. The commercial area of the structure must comply with the commercial structure setback requirements. The residential area of the structure located behind the commercial must comply with the residential structure setback requirements. Residential areas located above commercial may use the commercial structure setback requirements.*
9. *The City Council, upon an applicant's request, may approve a setback different than listed in this section based on specific circumstances of the site and building orientation or specific use of a proposal.*

Residential accessory structures would be required the following proposed setbacks:

Section 16.5.4 Residential Accessory Location Requirements (no living or sleeping space)

A. Front Setback. All residential accessory structures shall be setback at least thirty (30) feet from the front lot line or projected street right-of-way.

B. Side Setback. All residential accessory structures dwellings shall be setback from the side property lines a distance of at least three (3) feet. On corner lots, the side setback from any street shall not be less than thirty (30) feet.

C. Rear Setback. All residential accessory structures shall be setback from the rear property line a distance of at least three (3) feet.

Staff is proposing a 3' side and 3' rear setback which will allow the property owner to maximize the use of their property. The 3' setback would also leave enough room for drainage to remain on the owner's property and would also leave enough room for snow accumulation, that is common, with pitched metal roofs.

POSSIBLE FINDINGS:

- Adding residential accessory structures as a permitted use will allow property owners to better use and enjoy their property.
- Adding commercial accessory structures will make it clear that they are allowed and what the requirements are for these structures.

ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS:

1. Recommendation of Approval. This action can be taken if the Planning Commission feels that the proposed language is an acceptable addition to the City's Municipal Code.
 - a. Accept staff report
 - b. List accepted findings
 - c. Place condition(s)
2. Continuance. This action can be taken if the Planning Commission would like to continue exploring potential options for the amendment.
 - a. Accept staff report
 - b. List accepted findings
 - c. Reasons for continuance
 - i. Unresolved issues that must be addressed
 - d. Date when the item will be heard again

3. Recommendation of Denial. This action can be taken if the Planning Commission feels that the proposed amendment is not an acceptable revision to the City's Municipal Code.
 - a. Accept staff report
 - b. List accepted findings
 - c. Reasons for denial