

**NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF ST. GEORGE,
WASHINGTON COUNTY, UTAH**

Public Notice

Public notice is hereby given that the City Council of the City of St. George, Washington County, Utah, will hold a regular meeting on Thursday, August 8, 2019 in the Administrative Conference Room, St. George City Hall, 175 East 200 North, St. George, Utah, at 4:00 p.m. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- 1. Consider award of bid to Royal T Enterprises for the JC Snow Park parking lot renovation phase II project.**

BACKGROUND AND RECOMMENDATION: This project is a renovation of the second half of the JC Snow Park parking lot; earthwork, asphalt, curb & gutter, chain-link fence, and striping. This was a formal bid and three (3) bids were received. Staff recommends approval.

- 2. Consider approval of an Outside Reserve Agreement with Friends of Switchpoint for the Riverwalk Village affordable housing development.**

BACKGROUND AND RECOMMENDATION: The City will provide \$500,000 to Friends of Switchpoint to be kept in an outside reserve fund as required by the primary investor in order to finance the Riverwalk Village project. Staff recommends approval.

- 3. Consider approval of a Developer Advance Funding Agreement with Friends of Switchpoint for the Riverwalk Village affordable housing development.**

BACKGROUND AND RECOMMENDATION: A \$250,000 advance to Friends of Switchpoint is proposed to cover the costs of developer fees for the Riverwalk Village affordable housing development. Advancing these funds to Friends of Switchpoint allows all future operating revenue to remain available for Riverwalk Village operations. Staff recommends approval.

- 4. Consider approval of a resolution authorizing the execution and delivery of a Power Supply Agreement with Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems (UAMPS) and the Red Mesa Tapaha Solar Project Transaction Schedule under such Power Supply Agreement.**

BACKGROUND AND RECOMMENDATION: The Red Mesa Tapaha Solar Resource will be a 66 MW solar photovoltaic generation facility to be located on Navajo Nation Reservation in southeastern Utah. This pricing includes renewable energy credits; the City will receive 27.748% of project output or 18.314 Mws. Staff recommends approval.

- 5. Consider approval of an ordinance to create an appeal process for the appeal of administrative decisions to an administrative hearing officer, including amending the ordinance for employee appeals to be heard by an administrative hearing officer.**

BACKGROUND AND RECOMMENDATION: Currently administrative appeals are heard by a number of different bodies: board of adjustment, planning commission, city council, ad hoc committees, and review boards. This ordinance will allow all administrative hearings to be heard by an administrative hearing officer unless

otherwise provided in the code. We are going to first amend the employee appeal ordinance to have employee appeals heard by an administrative hearing officer. Staff recommends adopting the new ordinance and the amended ordinance.

- 6. Presentation from the Gang Task Force.**
- 7. Reports from Mayor, Councilmembers, and City Manager.**
- 8. Request a closed session.**

Christina Fernandez, City Recorder

Date

REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION: The City of St. George will make efforts to provide reasonable accommodations to disabled members of the public in accessing City programs. Please contact the Human Resources office at 627-4674, at least 24 hours in advance if you have special needs.

DRAFT

Agenda Item Number : 01

Request For Council Action

Date Submitted 08/01/2019 12:06 PM

**Proposed City Council
Date** 08/08/2019

Applicant Millie Cockerill

Subject Consider approval to award bid to Royal T Enterprises for the JC Snow
Park Parking Lot Renovation Phase II project.

Background This project is a renovation of the second half of the JC Snow Park
Parking Lot; earthwork, asphalt, curb & gutter, chain-link fence, and
striping. This was a formal bid and three bids were received.

Proposed Resolution Staff recommends approval

Cost \$248,314.70

Action Taken

Requested by Brett Whitelaw

File Attachments bidtab19-022-jcsnowparkinglotrenovationphase2080119120720.pdf

**Approved by Legal
Department?** Yes

**Approved by City Admin
Services?** Yes

Approved in Budget? Yes **Amount:** 222,094.82

JC SNOW PARKING LOT RENOVATION PHASE 2
 BID TABULATION 19-022 (July 30, 2019)

BID TABULATION

NO.	ITEM	QTY	UNIT	Engineer's Estimate		Progressive Contracting Inc		Royal T Enterprises		Interstate Rock Products		AVERAGE	
				UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT		
BASE BID ITEMS												UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
1	MOBILIZATION	1	LS	\$ 15,600.00	\$ 15,600.00	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 12,267.42	\$ 12,267.42	\$ 10,755.81	\$ 10,755.81
2	DUST CONTROL & WATERING	1	LS	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 3,480.00	\$ 3,480.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 6,691.57	\$ 6,691.57	\$ 6,390.52	\$ 6,390.52
3	CONSTRUCTION STAKING	1	LS	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 7,540.00	\$ 7,540.00	\$ 7,000.00	\$ 7,000.00	\$ 3,788.48	\$ 3,788.48	\$ 6,109.49	\$ 6,109.49
4	DEMOLITION OF EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS	1	LS	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 8,107.32	\$ 8,107.32	\$ 9,202.44	\$ 9,202.44
5	PULVERIZATION (6" THICK)	46687	SF	\$ 0.30	\$ 14,006.10	\$ 0.28	\$ 13,072.36	\$ 0.15	\$ 7,003.05	\$ 0.17	\$ 7,936.79	\$ 0.20	\$ 9,337.40
6	12" NATIVE SOIL EXCAVATION AND SUBGRADE	1895	CY	\$ 3.00	\$ 5,685.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 18,950.00	\$ 11.00	\$ 20,845.00	\$ 16.01	\$ 30,338.95	\$ 12.34	\$ 23,377.98
7	PLACE AND COMPACT PULVERIZED MATERIAL	691	CY	\$ 4.00	\$ 2,764.00	\$ 3.71	\$ 2,563.61	\$ 9.00	\$ 6,219.00	\$ 4.51	\$ 3,116.41	\$ 5.74	\$ 3,966.34
8	IMPORTED STRUCTURAL FILL (10.5" THICK)	1651	CY	\$ 28.00	\$ 46,228.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 49,530.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 24,765.00	\$ 33.53	\$ 55,358.03	\$ 26.18	\$ 43,217.68
9	2.5" ASPHALT PAVEMENT	39868	SF	\$ 1.50	\$ 59,802.00	\$ 1.44	\$ 57,409.92	\$ 1.30	\$ 51,828.40	\$ 1.42	\$ 56,612.56	\$ 1.39	\$ 55,283.63
10	CONCRETE FLATWORK WITH BASE	3945	SF	\$ 5.00	\$ 19,725.00	\$ 5.87	\$ 23,157.15	\$ 5.50	\$ 21,697.50	\$ 4.81	\$ 18,975.45	\$ 5.39	\$ 21,276.70
11	CONCRETE L- CURB	1705	LF	\$ 20.00	\$ 34,100.00	\$ 24.51	\$ 41,789.55	\$ 24.75	\$ 42,198.75	\$ 27.19	\$ 46,358.95	\$ 25.48	\$ 43,449.08
12	PEDESTRIAN ACCESS RAMP	3	EA	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 2,595.50	\$ 7,786.50	\$ 2,750.00	\$ 8,250.00	\$ 527.30	\$ 1,581.90	\$ 1,957.60	\$ 5,872.80
13	RAISED CROSSWALK	2	EA	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 16,000.00	\$ 8,156.25	\$ 16,312.50	\$ 7,800.00	\$ 15,600.00	\$ 1,402.81	\$ 2,805.62	\$ 5,786.35	\$ 11,572.71
14	INSTALL MODIFIED VEGETATION ROADBASE	48	CY	\$ 7.00	\$ 336.00	\$ 69.17	\$ 3,320.16	\$ 10.00	\$ 480.00	\$ 131.88	\$ 6,330.24	\$ 70.35	\$ 3,376.80
15	6' HIGH CHAINLINK FENCE	60	LF	\$ 50.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 46.28	\$ 2,776.80	\$ 47.00	\$ 2,820.00	\$ 46.35	\$ 2,781.00	\$ 46.54	\$ 2,792.60
16	6' CHAINLINK GATES	5	EA	\$ 500.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 377.00	\$ 1,885.00	\$ 390.00	\$ 1,950.00	\$ 377.51	\$ 1,887.55	\$ 381.50	\$ 1,907.52
17	STRIPING	1	LS	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 3,132.00	\$ 3,132.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 588.93	\$ 588.93	\$ 2,073.64	\$ 2,073.64
18	RELOCATE CHAINLINK FENCE	534	LF	\$ 10.00	\$ 5,340.00	\$ 11.02	\$ 5,884.68	\$ 12.00	\$ 6,408.00	\$ 11.03	\$ 5,890.02	\$ 11.35	\$ 6,060.90
19	2" WATERLINE	75	LF	\$ 20.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 20.18	\$ 1,513.50	\$ 30.00	\$ 2,250.00	\$ 18.34	\$ 1,375.50	\$ 22.84	\$ 1,713.00
20	ADJUST UTILITIES TO GRADE	2	EA	\$ 500.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 400.62	\$ 801.24	\$ 1,633.54	\$ 3,267.08
BID TOTAL					\$ 255,086.10		291,103.73		248,314.70		273,593.93		\$ 271,004.12

DRAFT

Agenda Item Number : 02

Request For Council Action

Date Submitted 08/05/2019 03:49 PM

**Proposed City Council
Date** 08/08/2019

Applicant Shirlayne Quayle

Subject Consider approval of an Outside Reserve Agreement with Friends of Switchpoint for the Riverwalk Village affordable housing development.

Background The City will provide \$500,000 to Friends of Switchpoint to be kept in an outside reserve fund as required by the primary investor in order to finance the Riverwalk Village project.

Proposed Resolution Approval recommended.

Cost \$\$500,000

Action Taken

Requested by Shirlayne Quayle

File Attachments

**Approved by Legal
Department?** Yes

**Approved by City Admin
Services?** Yes

Approved in Budget? Yes **Amount:** \$500,000

DRAFT

Agenda Item Number : 03

Request For Council Action

Date Submitted 08/06/2019 03:43 PM

**Proposed City Council
Date** 08/08/2019

Applicant Shirlayne Quayle

Subject Consider approval of a Developer Advance funding agreement with Friends of Switchpoint for the Riverwalk Village affordable housing development.

Background A \$250,000 advance to Friends of Switchpoint is proposed to cover the costs of developer fees for the Riverwalk Village affordable housing development. Advancing these funds to Friends of Switchpoint allows all future operating revenue to remain available for Riverwalk Village operations.

Proposed Resolution Approval recommended.

Cost \$\$250,000

Action Taken

Requested by Shirlayne Quayle

File Attachments

**Approved by Legal
Department?** Yes

**Approved by City Admin
Services?** Yes

Approved in Budget? Yes **Amount:** \$250,000

DRAFT

Agenda Item Number : 04

Request For Council Action

Date Submitted 08/06/2019 10:47 AM

**Proposed City Council
Date** 08/08/2019

Applicant Laurie Mangum

Subject Consider approval of a resolution authorizing the execution and delivery of a Power Supply Agreement with Utah Associated Municipal Power Systems and the Red Mesa Tapaha Solar Project Transaction Schedule under such Power Supply Agreement.

Background The Red Mesa Tapaha Solar Resource will be a 66 MW solar photovoltaic generation facility to be located on Navajo Nation reservation in southeastern Utah. This pricing includes renewable energy credits; the City will receive 27.748% of project output or 18.314 Mws

Proposed Resolution Staff recommends approval.

Cost \$

Action Taken


Requested by Laurie Mangum

File Attachments


**Approved by Legal
Department?** Yes

**Approved by City Admin
Services?** NA


Approved in Budget? N/A **Amount:**



PURCHASED FOR JUNE



WITHOUT SOLAR PURCHASE



WITHOUT SOLAR BUT INCREASED COAL

DRAFT

Agenda Item Number : 05

Request For Council Action

Date Submitted 08/05/2019 04:50 PM

**Proposed City Council
Date** 08/08/2019

Applicant Paula Houston

Subject Consider approval of an ordinance to create an appeal process for the appeal of administrative decisions to an administrative hearing officer, including amending the ordinance for employee appeals to be heard by an administrative hearing officer.

Background Currently administrative appeals are heard by a number of different bodies: board of adjustment, planning commission, city council, ad hoc committees, and review boards. This ordinance will allow all administrative hearings to be heard by an administrative hearing officer unless otherwise provided in the code. We are going to first amend the employee appeal ordinance to have employee appeals heard by an administrative hearing officer.

Proposed Resolution Approval of adopting the new ordinance and the amended ordinance.

Cost \$

Action Taken

Requested by Paula Houston

File Attachments administrativehearingprocessordinanceamendment8-5-2019cleanversion080519165008.docx

**Approved by Legal
Department?** Yes

**Approved by City Admin
Services?** No

Approved in Budget? No **Amount:**

ORDINANCE NO. _____

**AN ORDINANCE ENACTING TITLE 1, CHAPTER 15,
ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS AND AMENDING TITLE 2, CHAPTER 2, SECTION 3**

WHEREAS, the City desires to streamline, clarify and improve the appeals process for appeals of administrative decisions made for the city by establishing an administrative hearing officer to hear administrative appeals; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to define and establish the roles, responsibilities, and authority of the administrative hearing officer; and

WHEREAS, the City also desires to establish that the administrative hearing officer will hear appeals for employee appeals, as allowed in State law; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that changes to the ordinances are in the best interest of the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of the City of St. George and are justified at this time.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the St. George City Council, as follows:

Section 1. Repealer. Any provision of the St. George City Code found to be in conflict with this ordinance is hereby repealed.

Section 2. Enactment. Title 1, Chapter 15, Administrative Appeals, and Title 2, Chapter 2 Section 3, Employee Appeal Board; Created; Composition is amended and enacted to read as follows:

1-15-1: AUTHORITY:

- A. Appointment: Appeals of administrative hearings shall be conducted by an administrative hearing officer, who shall be appointed by the mayor with advice and consent of the city council. Multiple administrative hearing officers may be appointed to hear appeals for the city. The administrative hearing officer shall be independent contractor.
- B. Qualifications: The administrative hearing officer should be a person with common sense, analytical abilities, ability to make decisions based on the law as it relates to the facts, ability to write decisions, conduct research, read briefs, make evidentiary rulings, and be trained or experienced in law, mediation or the topic being appealed.
- C. Authority: The administrative hearing officer has the authority to hear and decide appeals which allege an error in any administrative decision made in the administration of the city code if the decision is a final written decision and no other administrative remedies are available. This includes administrative decisions made by the city council, the planning commission; and city employees. The administrative hearing officer has authority to hear appeals from:
 1. a request for a variance from any land use decision;
 2. determinations regarding nonconforming uses and noncomplying structures;

3. determinations of violations of the stormwater ordinances and any civil fines or costs imposed;
 4. administrative actions taken pursuant to the animal services ordinances;
 5. administrative actions taken pursuant to the health codes;
 6. administrative actions taken pursuant to nuisance codes;
 7. administrative actions taken pursuant to business license codes;
 8. administrative actions taken pursuant to personnel codes and policies; and
 9. other code sections as determined by the city.
- D. Conflict of Interest: An administrative hearing officer shall not participate in any appeal where the administrative hearing officer has a conflict of interest.

1-15-2: PROCEDURE:

- A. Parties Entitled To Appeal To The Administrative Hearing Officer: Any person or entity adversely affected by an administrative decision made by the City of St. George is entitled to appeal that decision to the administrative hearing officer if it is a final decision made in writing, unless other appeal procedures are specifically provided for in another section of the city code that apply to the issue being appealed.
- B. Time To File Appeal And How: The party appealing a final written administrative decision of the city shall file the appeal in writing with the city recorder within ten (10) calendar days of the date the disputed administrative decision was put in writing or entered in official minutes. The written appeal must include the following or it will not be heard:
1. payment of applicable fees, if any;
 2. the name, street and mailing address, email, and phone number of the person appealing;
 3. a written statement that sets forth with specificity the grounds for appeal and all errors asserted;
 4. every theory of relief they intend to raise in this appeal and a future appeal of the decision or order of the city; and
 5. all attachments, exhibits, a list of witnesses, a statement summarizing the witnesses' testimony, and a copy of other evidence that the applicant will present. Information not included with the appeal shall not be received or considered by the administrative hearing officer.
- C. Notice Of Hearing: After receiving an appeal, a hearing shall be scheduled. The city recorder shall publish a notice on the city's website and the state public notice website. A notice of the hearing stating time, place and subject matter shall be given to the parties involved, prior to any hearing. Notice may be served personally, by mail to the address on the appeal, or by electronic mail to the address provided on the appeal. No hearing, or the result thereof, shall be invalidated by any defect in giving notice to the parties at the addresses provided on the appeal. If the administrative hearing officer desires, they may require the parties to submit a pre-hearing outline.
- D. Response: Prior to the hearing, the city may submit to the administrative hearing officer, and the other party, a response to the appeal and all documents and a copy of all evidence and information to be considered in the appeal.

91 E. Hearing:

- 92 1. Appeal hearings are intended to be informal in nature. Formal rules of evidence and civil
93 procedure, including discovery, do not apply. The Utah rules of civil procedure and
94 evidence shall be used as guidelines for the conduct of this hearing but shall not be
95 binding. The administrative hearing officer may consider any relevant, nonprivileged oral
96 or documentary evidence presented.
- 97 2. All hearings are open to the public. The city recorder shall retain all documents
98 considered. The proceedings of each appeal hearing shall be recorded on audio
99 equipment. The audio recording of each appeal hearing shall be kept for a minimum of
100 sixty (60) days. Upon the written request of any interested person, such audio recording
101 shall be kept for a reasonable period of time beyond the sixty (60) day period, as
102 determined by the administrative hearing officer. Copies of the tapes of such hearings
103 may be provided, if requested, at the expense of the requesting party.
- 104 3. At the hearing, the parties shall appear in person and may be represented by an attorney,
105 call witnesses and present evidence. If the person appealing fails to appear at the hearing,
106 without good cause, the failure to appear shall be deemed a withdrawal of the appeal and
107 a waiver of any appeal rights.
- 108 4. The parties may have witnesses testify and submit documents and other evidence in
109 support of the case. Without a showing of good cause, evidence from the appealing party
110 not included with the appeal application may not be received or considered by the
111 administrative hearing officer. Each party shall have the opportunity to confront and
112 cross examine the witnesses.
- 113 5. The city may use any evidence submitted by the person appealing and any evidence
114 considered or reviewed in making the decision or order that is the subject of the appeal,
115 including but not limited to the testimony of individuals involved in the decision. Hearsay
116 evidence is admissible, but the administrative hearing officer may not rely exclusively on
117 hearsay evidence. The administrative hearing officer may ask questions of the witnesses.
- 118 6. The appealing party has the burden of proving that the administrative decision was made
119 in err unless otherwise stated in another section of the city code for a specific type of
120 appeal being made. The burden to prove any affirmative defense shall be upon the
121 person raising such defense.
122

123 F. Standard of Review: The standard of review for an appeal shall be de novo unless otherwise
124 stated in another section of the city code for a specific type of appeal being made.
125

126 G. Administrative Hearing Officer's Decision:

- 127 1. After hearing the evidence presented, the administrative hearing officer shall make and
128 prepare written findings of fact and conclusions of law on the matter appealed and shall
129 file the decision with the city recorder, whether it reverses or affirms, in whole or in part,
130 the decision being appealed. The city recorder shall notify the parties of the
131 administrative hearing officer's decision by mail.
- 132 2. If the administrative hearing officer affirms the administrative decision made by the city,
133 the original order shall remain in effect. If the administrative hearing officer reverses the
134 decision made by the city in whole or in part, the order will be adjusted accordingly.

135 **1-15-3: APPEAL OF DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER**

- 136 A. Any person adversely affected by a final decision made by the administrative hearing
137 officer may file a petition for review of the decision with the district court within thirty
138 (30) days after the decision is rendered unless another section of the city code
139 specifically provides another appeal process for the type of appeal made, or unless Utah
140 state law specifically provides another appeal process for the type of appeal made.
- 141 B. The standard of review shall, unless another section of the city code specifically provides
142 another standard of review for the type of appeal made, or unless Utah state law
143 specifically provides another standard of review for the type of appeal made:
- 144 1. be a review of the record of the hearing held by the administrative hearing officer
145 and the decision rendered;
 - 146 2. presume that the ordinance is valid and determine only whether;
147 a. the ordinance is expressly preempted by, or was enacted contrary to, state or
148 federal law; and
149 b. it is reasonably debatable that the ordinance is consistent with state law; and
 - 150 3. presume that the decision is valid; and
151 a. uphold the decision unless the decision is:
152 i. arbitrary and capricious; or
153 ii. illegal.
- 154

155 **1-15-4: STAY OF DECISION**

156 When an appeal of the administrative hearing officer's decision is made, the administrative
157 hearing officer may stay the issuance of any permits or approvals, based on its decision, for
158 thirty (30) days or until the decision is issued by the court hearing the appeal.

159
160
161

162 **CHAPTER 2**
163 **CITY EMPLOYEES AND APPEALS**
164

165 **2-2-2:**

166 **DISCHARGE, SUSPENSION WITHOUT PAY, OR INVOLUNTARY TRANSFER;**
167 **APPEAL PROCEDURE:**

168 A. An employee may not be discharged, suspended without pay, or involuntarily transferred to
169 a position with less remuneration:

- 170 1. Because of the employee's politics or religious belief; or
- 171 2. Incident to, or through changes, either in the elected officials or heads of departments.

172 B. If an employee is discharged, suspended for more than two (2) days without pay, or
173 involuntarily transferred from one (1) position to another with less remuneration for any
174 disciplinary reason, the employee may appeal the action to an administrative hearing officer

pursuant to Title 1, Chapter 15, this chapter, and the provisions of the city policy and procedures entitled “Discipline and Employee Appeal”, including all amendments, deletions and additions made by the city in the future..

C. The decision of the administrative hearing officer shall be certified to the city recorder within fifteen (15) calendar days from the date of the hearing , except for good cause, the administrative hearing officer may extend the fifteen (15) day period to a maximum of sixty (60) calendar days, if the employee and the city both agree.

D. If the administrative hearing officer finds in favor of the employee, the administrative hearing officer shall provide that the employee shall receive:

1. The employee’s salary for the period of time during which the employee is discharged or suspended without pay; or

2. Any deficiency in salary for the period during which the employee was transferred to a position of less remuneration.

E. A final action or order of the administrative hearing officer may be appealed to the court of appeals by filing with that court a notice of appeal as provided by Utah law.

2-2-4: RESERVED.

Section 3. Severability. If any provision of this Ordinance is declared to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder shall not be affected thereby.

Section 4. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon posting in the manner required by law.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of St. George, this ____ day of _____, 2019.

Jonathan T. Pike, Mayor

Attest:

Christina Fernandez, City Recorder

CFAST

**Crimes and Fraudulent
Activity Suppression Team**

2019

**Detective Robert Raybould
Detective Chris Randall**





HISTORY

ROLES

GANGS

FRAUD/FORGERY

VICE

GANGS

TYPES OF GANGS



BIKER

STREET


BIKERS

Mongols	Gents MC
Bandidos	Vagos
Destralos	Boozefighters
Sundowners	Sons of Silence
Old Bastards	Kinfolk
Eastside MC	Hell on Wheels


STREET

Avenues 43	Eastside Raskals
Angelino Heights	Vice Lords
Sureno	Latin Kings
Norteno	Juggalos
MS-13	SAC - Soldiers of Aryan Culture
Brown Pride	SAW - Silent Aryan Warriors
Blood	
Crips	AB- Aryan Brotherhood

Crimes



Controlled Substance, Paraphernalia, Imitation Controlled Substance, Controlled Substance Precursor, Assault, Agg. Assault, Homicide, Kidnapping, Sex Offenses, Human Trafficking, Property Destruction, Burglary, Criminal Trespass, Robbery, Felony Theft, Identity Fraud, Fraud, Obstructing Government Operations, Witness/Victim Tampering, Witness/Victim Retaliation, Extortion, Bribery, Explosives, Weapons, Pattern of Unlawful Activity, Communication Fraud, Money Laundering, Racketeering, and more



1. The thirteenth letter of the alphabet, for motorcycle (or, say, meth) | 2. City chapter | 3. Nametag | 4. The Mark of the Beast | 5. "Bandidos Forever, Forever Bandidos" | 6. "All Cops Are Bastards" | 7. "Fuck the World" | 8. As distinct from the 99 percent of bikers who are law-abiding | 9. Rank or title | 10. Genesis 4:9, paraphrased | 11. Protesting the steep bail bonds and glacial processing of the Waco D.A. | 12. Minimum 15 pieces of personal flair. We want you to express yourself, okay?



1. Collectively the back patches are known as the "colors." This is the "top rocker." | 2. The "bottom rocker" claims the club's territory. | 3. It's rumored that Bandidos founder Don Chambers chose this "fat Mexican" insignia to be more racially inclusive than the then predominantly white Hells Angels. It's clumsy and racially tone-deaf, but the Bandidos do have many Hispanic members. | 4. "Motorcycle Club"

FRAUD/FORGERY

PARTNERSHIP



ICE Operation Community Shield initiated in 2005 to disrupt, dismantle, prosecute, and remove from the United States violent gang members by employing the full range of authorities and investigative tools available to ICE.



TRANSITION TO....



VICE

Prostitution Perceptions

- Oldest Profession in the World?
- Victimless Crime?
- Consenting Adults?
- Mutually Beneficial?
- Who Cares???
- They're Just Whores!
- **WRONG! WRONG! WRONG!!!**



- ◎ Gangs Have Cornered the Market
- ◎ Low Risk of Detection
 - (Unlike Drugs or Guns)
- ◎ “Renewable Commodity”



HUMAN TRAFFICKING

PROCESS

Recruiting,
Harboring,
Moving
or
Obtaining
a **PERSON**



MEANS

by
**FORCE,
FRAUD
or
COERCION**



END

For the purposes of
Involuntary
Servitude,
Debt,
Bondage,
Slavery
or
SEX TRADE



Det Raybould - 435-627-4378

Det Randall - 435-627-4372

Anonymous Tip Line 435-627-4373

gang@sgcity.org