

NORTH OGDEN CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

March 6, 2018

The North Ogden City Council convened in an open meeting on March 6, 2018 at 6:01 p.m. at the North Ogden City Office at 505 East 2600 North. Notice of time, place, and agenda of the meeting was posted on the bulletin board at the municipal office and posted to the Utah State Website on March 1, 2018. Notice of the annual meeting schedule was published in the Standard-Examiner on December 31, 2017.

PRESENT:	M. Brent Chugg	Mayor	
	Blake Cevering	Council Member	(stepped out between 7:02-7:06 pm)
	Sara Fawson	Council Member	by phone
	Cheryl Stoker	Council Member	
	Phillip Swanson	Council Member	
	Carl Turner	Council Member	

STAFF PRESENT:	Jon Call	City Administrator/Attorney
	Annette Spendlove	City Recorder/HR Director
	Rob Scott	Planning Director
	Dave Espinoza	Public Works Director

VISITORS:	David Marsh	Randy Winn	Julie Anderson
	Ruston Monson	Alan Lunt	Ashley Lunt
	Scott Ash	Leonard Looney	Susan Clements
	Charlotte Ekstrom	Hannah Goodrich	Ryan Barker
	Sean Casey	Leslie Graham	Terry Bexell
	Lynne Bexell	Ken Graham	

Mayor Chugg called the meeting to order. Council Member Stoker offered the invocation and led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

CONSENT AGENDA

1. **DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER JANUARY 8, 2018 CITY COUNCIL MINUTES**
2. **DISCUSSION AND OR ACTION TO CONSIDER JANUARY 11, 2018 CITY COUNCIL MINUTES**
3. **DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER JANUARY 19, 2018 CITY COUNCIL MINUTES**

Council Member Swanson motioned to approve the January 8, 2018, January 11, 2018 and January 19, 2018 City Council Meeting minutes. Council Member Fawson seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Fawson	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

ACTIVE AGENDA

1. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Scott Ash, 3263 N. 450 E., stated he wished to discuss agenda item seven, consideration of a flashing crossing sign at the canal trail and 450 East. He stated that on the northern portion of the road, the City installed a crosswalk two years ago connecting both sides of the trail. It is used by runners, walkers, bikers, and school children. He stated he lives adjacent to the crosswalk and he was appreciative of the improvement because the road is very busy but he has noticed that at other intersections with the trail where there is heavy traffic, the City has installed flashing crossing signs that are solar powered. People needing to use the crosswalk can push a button for the flashing light to operate. He stated he did not think much of it until a year ago when he was jogging on the trail and before crossing the road there was no traffic, but as he neared the other side, he saw a vehicle approaching that was approximately 15-feet from striking his son. He stated the sign would benefit everyone in the City and those using the trail. He stated some have said they believe the crossing signal is the responsibility of the nearby school, but he has visited other crossing lights in the City and they are not in school zones. He stated he would appreciate the Council considering the request to install the flashing sign.

2. DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER A REQUEST FROM DAVE MARSH CONCERNING SIDEWALKS ON 2000 N

City Administrator/City Attorney Call summarized Mr. Marsh's request to continue the approval of the City in 2001 to defer the requirement to install sidewalk on the south side of 2000 West. Mr. Marsh has acquired property from his neighbor and will extend his property line approximately 40 feet and he would like that same approval of deferred sidewalk requirements not be imposed on this newly acquired property. He noted that

City Administration has not been able to locate a record that details formal approval of the sidewalk deferral in 2001 and he asked that any action taken by the Council tonight also reference the property for which the original request was made in 2001.

Mr. Marsh approached the Council and briefly reviewed the history of sidewalk deferral requests for his property and his father's property. He noted the main reason for the sidewalk deferral request was that the requirement to install sidewalk in 2001 when a two-lot subdivision was created would have entailed the relocation of an existing powerline, which would have been very costly. He noted there was a meeting on-site between himself, his father, and City officials, and the officials agreed that sidewalk would not be required on the south side of the road and that it made more sense to install sidewalk on the north side given that it would be possible to extend that sidewalk all the way to the nearby school and serve as a safety sidewalk project. He stated he has acquired 40 additional feet of property from his neighbor and will proceed with a boundary line adjustment and he is hopeful that the sidewalk deferral will extend to this new property. He stated that a sidewalk there would essentially go nowhere and would have no connectivity to other sidewalks.

Council Member Turner asked if there is other sidewalk on the south side of the road near Mr. Marsh's property. Mr. Marsh stated there is approximately 70 feet of sidewalk abutting a corner property that was part of a subdivision that was completed before the road was widened. He reiterated there is sidewalk the entire length of the northern side of the road from Washington Boulevard to Highway 89.

Council Member Fawson stated she visited Mr. Marsh's property and noted there is a portion of the road west of his property where there would not be room for sidewalk on the south side of the road and she inquired as to the feasibility of a long-term plan that would require sidewalk on both sides of the road. She stated there are some obstacles that would need to be dealt with and she wondered if it would be appropriate to take an action that would only require sidewalk on the north side of the road. Mr. Marsh stated he believed that action was already taken, though it may not be included in any minutes of City Council meetings from that time frame. He stated it is obvious that all property owners on the street had the same understanding as each of them stopped their property improvements at the same point behind the curb and gutter. Each of the residents paid for curb and gutter in front of their property.

High level discussion centered on any policy in place related to the party responsible for relocation of power lines and poles due to a road improvement project. Mr. Marsh stated that it is his recollection that the expected cost to relocate the lines on the road was approximately \$4 million and the City and property owners deemed that cost was not feasible for any party. Continued discussion centered on the unique nature of the road, after which Mr. Call stated that Mr. Marsh is asking that the Council take action to formally approve the sidewalk deferral for the south side of 2000 North. A future Council could overturn that decision if they deem it necessary to proceed with a road

improvement project in the future that would require installation of sidewalk infrastructure.

Council Member Cevering motioned to defer the current expectation for sidewalk on the South side of road on 2000 North between Washington Boulevard and 150 East for the request from Dave Marsh. Council Member Stoker seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Fawson	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

3. DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER A REQUEST FROM BELLE ISLE HOA REGARDING PROPERTY IN ROYLANCE FARMS PRUD THAT THEY WOULD LIKE NORTH OGDEN CITY TO ACCEPT

A staff memo from City Administration/City Attorney call explained the Belle Isle HOA has proposed giving North Ogden City their common space for neighborhood parks. After reviewing the areas proposed, our department has the following views:



The area notated in yellow is a critical connection to the trail system in North Ogden and the future Riverwalk Trail along the North side of 1900 N. This would be a great piece for the city to accept and maintain in conjunction with that trail system.

The piece in green is approximately .75 acres and has the canal running through it. Although small, it has road-front access and a very small pocket-park could be built on

the property. Accepting this piece of property would increase mowing and maintenance for the parks crew, already in need of additional staff support. The canal provides opportunity for weed growth and because of the waterway, should not be sprayed with herbicides. Maintaining this piece of property would require a good amount of manual labor and hand-trimming/weeding.

The piece of property in blue contains an existing detention basin. The remaining parcel is not large enough for a pocket-park and would likely remain unused space. The proximity to the portion in green also discourages location of another park.

The location marked in red is just over .75 acre. It is large enough to build a small pocket-park, however does not have road-front access. The access available may not be large enough for vehicles to access it, for maintenance or construction. When the subdivision along 1825 N develops, the property will be locked in by homes. This is not an ideal location for a park as there is low visibility and it is difficult to access. The proximity to the green property is also very close for a second park.

Mr. Call reviewed his staff memo and used the aid of Google Earth to orient the Council to the location of the subject property and the developments in existence around the property. He stated that representatives of the HOA are present this evening to answer any questions the Council might have.

Ken Graham, Treasurer of the Belle Isle HOA, approached the Council and stated that the HOA is interested in divesting itself of the subject parcels of property and believed that they could benefit the City; if the City does not accept the property, the HOA will consider other options for changing ownership of the properties. Those that have been managing the HOA are seeking to dissolve the body and this action is necessary to proceed. Mr. Call stated that the HOA has indicated that if the City will not accept the property, they will pursue a plat amendment that would allow them to divide and deed portions of the property to adjacent property owners. He added that only an HOA can own common property in a subdivision so in order for the HOA to dissolve, they must change the ownership of the properties.

Council Member Swanson asked for confirmation that the HOA is willing to give the property to the City and is not seeking any payment. Mr. Graham stated that is correct. Council Member Swanson stated he sees no reason not to accept the blue and yellow properties.

Council Member Fawson stated her only concern would be whether ownership of any of the parcels would create a liability for the City or if maintenance would be too costly. Mr. Call stated that the greatest issue with one of the properties is that it would be necessary to cross a private driveway to access it in order to maintain it. He stated there would be an expense associated with maintaining it. Council Member Fawson asked if the City would be able to secure an easement to access the property. Mr. Call stated that is what

he would recommend and the HOA has indicated that would be possible. He then facilitated high level discussion among the Council to determine the parcels they are comfortable accepting; it appears the Council is comfortable accepting the blue and yellow parcels. The Parks Department has indicated they have concerns about maintaining the green parcel, but they are not opposed to it. Concerns about the red property are greater.

Council Member Swanson motioned to accept green, blue and yellow properties from Belle Isle HOA in Roylance Farms Subdivision to North Ogden City. Council Member Cevering seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Fawson	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Call asked the Council if they have any objections to the HOA dividing the red parcel into smaller parcels to dedicate to adjacent property owners. The Council indicated they are comfortable with that direction. Mr. Call stated the HOA will proceed in that direction and make final application to the Council when they are ready.

4. DISCUSSION ON AIRBNB SHORT TERM RENTAL

A staff memo from City Administrator/City Attorney Call explained questions have been raised in Utah recently regarding short-term rental properties. City Administration would like to anticipate the land use in North Ogden by adopting regulations that would address potential issues that could arise. There are currently just a few short-term rental properties in the City and the City has not received complaints about them thus far. Staff would like for the Council to consider what types of regulations should be imposed on short-term rentals: things like providing adequate off-street parking, business registration, and other similar considerations.

There are three short-term rentals listed for rent in North Ogden and no complaints about these properties have been lodged that staff is aware of. With the increase in travel and possibilities with a better ski season there is always a greater risk of more units popping up.

Mr. Call reviewed his staff memo and facilitated high level discussion among the Council regarding potential regulations to be included in the North Ogden City Code and imposed on short-term rental properties. The City Code currently discussed residential rentals and provides a differentiation between long-term and short-term rentals. The Council ultimately concluded not to consider ordinance amendments at this time and to instead wait until the City is experiencing a problem with short-term rental properties. Mr. Call stated he is comfortable with that direction.

5. **DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER AMENDING ORDINANCE 2018-04 BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT**

Planning Director Scott explained that the Council took action on this ordinance recently, but the document they voted upon included incorrect numbering; he is resubmitting it to the Council to get approval of the correctly numbered document

Council Member Swanson motioned to amend Ordinance 2018-04 to provide a numbering correction. Council Member Stoker seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Fawson	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

6. **DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER APPOINTING ALAN LUNT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION**

Mayor Chugg reported he has interviewed applicants for the vacant Planning Commission position and he is recommending that Alan Lunt be appointed. Mr. Lunt comes to the City with a wealth of experience and knowledge; he is a commercial portfolio manager in the banking industry and has experience with financial analysis and commercial loan underwriting. He also has experience in community management planning and in reviewing and analyzing plans and blueprints. He has completed master-level courses in city and environmental planning. He also has a master's Degree in landscape architecture and environmental planning. Mayor Chugg concluded Mr. Lunt has the skills and work ethic to benefit the City in the role of Planning Commissioner and he invited the Council to ask Mr. Lunt any questions they may have.

Council Member Stoker motioned to appoint Alan Lunt to the Planning Commission. Council Member Cevering seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Fawson	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

7. DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO CONSIDER ADDING A FLASHING CROSSING SIGN AT THE CANAL TRAIL & 450 EAST RIGHT BELOW 3300 NORTH

Council Member Swanson referenced the comments made earlier in the meeting during the public comment period regarding this subject matter. He stated he is very familiar with the road crossing and given the traffic flows in that area, he cannot see a reason to deny the installation of a flashing crossing sign. He stated the cost for the sign is approximately \$3,500 and he would encourage the Council to proceed with installing the sign at this time. Council Member Cevering agreed and stated he supports the project.

Council Member Cevering motioned to add a flashing crossing sign at the canal trail & 450 East right below 3300 North. Council Member Turner seconded the motion.

Council Member Swanson asked Public Works Director Espinoza how quickly the signal could be installed. Mr. Espinoza stated that from the date it is ordered, it could take approximately three weeks. Council Member Swanson asked if a formal budget opening will be necessary. Mr. Call stated Mr. Espinoza and Finance Director Nelson can evaluate the streets budget to determine if funding is available; if not, City Administration will recommend a formal budget amendment to provide for the project.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Fawson	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

8. **DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO AMEND ORDINANCE 2017-30 ANNEXING PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 2521 NORTH MOUNTAIN ROAD**

A staff memo from City Recorder Spendlove explained Daniel and Allison Webb submitted an application on October 13, 2017 petitioning for annexation of 2.335 acres located at approximately 2521 North Mountain Road in North Ogden, Utah (Exhibit A). The annexation process requires the City Council to accept the petition for annexation so that we can start the process, which was done on November 2, 2017. Weber County Surveyor has reviewed the annexation plat map and had no changes. This property is within North Ogden City Annexation Declaration Policy (Exhibit B). The petitioner is requesting an RE-20 Zone, which the Planning Commission has recommended (Exhibit C). The law requires that the City give notice of an annexation for (3) consecutive weeks no later than 10 days after the Council receives notice of certification, which was certified by the City Recorder on November 2, 2017. Written protests to this annexation were to be filed by December 4, 2017. No written protests were received by the due date. The City Council adopted Ordinance #2017-30 on December 19, 2017 approving the annexation. The original legal description given to the City was inaccurate. The City now has the correct legal description and Council needs to consider a new Ordinance amending the original Ordinance annexing this property into North Ogden City limits.

Ms. Spendlove reviewed her staff memo and Mr. Call used the aid of a Google Earth image to orient the Council to the location of the subject property.

Council Member Stoker motioned to amend Ordinance 2017-30 annexing property located at approximately 2521 North Mountain Road, creating a new Ordinance for this year, 2018-06, and including the correct legal description for the property. Council Member Swanson seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Fawson	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

9. **DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION TO SET A SCHEDULE TO FILL A MID-TERM VACANCY ON THE CITY COUNCIL**

A staff memo from City Recorder Spendlove explained that Council Member Sara Fawson has submitted her resignation with her last day as March 13, 2018. The process to select an individual to fill the vacancy is different from the process the Council followed to select a person to serve as Mayor during Mayor Taylor's deployment. The mid-term vacancy process is as follows:

- Announce the vacancy in an open meeting
- Set date applications will be due at least 14 days for notice to run
- Set date interviews in a public meeting will take place and the candidate needs to attend the interview
- All applicants will need to meet the qualifications which all candidates who run for public office are required to meet.

The person that is appointed will hold this office until January of 2020.

Ms. Spendlove reviewed her staff memo and also summarized the qualifications an individual must meet to hold a position on the City Council. She suggested that the vacancy be advertised on March 11 in the Standard-Examiner and on the City's website. The 14-day application period would expire March 23 and interviews could be held during the April 3 Council meeting, with a final decision to be made during the April 10 meeting. This would give the Council sufficient time to consider the applicants.

The Council briefly discussed the process and Council Member Fawson thanked the Council for their understanding of her situation.

Council Member Turner motioned to set a schedule of advertising the vacancy on March 11, 2018 in the paper and the City website; position is open until March 23, 2018 at 4 pm. April 3, 2018 interviews will be conducted in a public meeting. On April 10, 2018 the City Council will make the appointment to fill a mid-term vacancy on the City Council. Council Member Swanson seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Cevering	(stepped out of the meeting from 7:02-7:06, did not vote)
Council Member Fawson	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously, 4-0.

10. **DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION ABOUT THE MISS NORTH OGDEN PAGEANT**

City Recorder Spendlove reported that planning for the 2018 Miss North Ogden Pageant is underway. She stated that when the pageant committee approached Weber High School to secure the auditorium for the event, they were told the facility will be under construction during the summer and is unavailable. The committee has secured Fremont High School instead, but they are now wondering if it would be possible to open the pageant to Fremont students. She stated she told the committee she could not answer that question and she would need direction from the Council.

Hannah Goodrich, Pageant Director, approached the Council and reiterated Ms. Spendlove's explanation of the situation and noted that the revenue generated by ticket sales provides funding for the pageant and scholarship awards, but if no Fremont students are included in the pageant, it may be difficult to sell enough tickets to fill the auditorium. She added that Fremont administration has been very generous in making their facility available for the pageant and she feels it would be appropriate to allow their students to participate. The success of the situation could be evaluated this year to determine if Fremont students should be allowed to participate in future years. She concluded this may be a great way to bring the two communities together.

The Council engaged in high level discussion regarding the logistics of the pageant and ultimately concluded they are comfortable allowing Fremont students to participate in the pageant.

Ms. Spendlove noted another discussion that has been occurring is the option of creating a 501(C)3 entity and allowing Ms. Goodrich to completely handle and control the pageant and all funding. She stated the City would not longer be involved in the pageant. Ms. Goodrich stated that the change would offer more flexibility to the group of individuals that is responsible for planning and organizing the event; she has contacted the Miss Utah Organization and they confirmed the change would not alter the pageant's status in their organization. She stated she could make the change within a few weeks if the Council is comfortable allowing the transition. She stated that she would like to maintain the Miss North Ogden pageant name, but noted that she needs a decision fairly quickly as the orientation for participants is going to be held in the next few weeks. Ms. Spendlove stated that members of City Administration have spoken and feel very comfortable letting the pageant go and allowing Ms. Goodrich and her group to organize and produce it. She noted Ms. Goodrich has been a great director and she makes the event better each year. She asked the Council for their permission to meet with Mr. Nelson to further discuss the issue. The Council indicated they were comfortable with those discussions occurring. Council Member Swanson stated it may be more appropriate to allow the current organization to occur and wait to make any change to the pageant committee next year.

11. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Julie Anderson, 940 E. 2600 N., stated she wanted to take a moment to thanks Council Member Fawson for the time she has served; she stated that Council Member Fawson, her husband, Representative Justin Fawson, and their entire family will be missed when they move from the City. She wished Council Member Fawson well.

Sean Casey, 2444 Barker Parkway, stated there is currently no building height restriction for the RE-20 zone and that seems kind of odd to him. He asked that the Council take that matter into consideration. He stated that the zone was last amended in 2011. He then stated that he met Ms. Anderson approximately one month ago when he became involved in discussions regarding the Barker Park Amphitheater; as he has researched that project recently and read City Council minutes dating back over the past decade, he discovered that Ms. Anderson has been very vocal and he can relate to that. He stated she provides a different point of view; division is very important for how a republic works. He stated the back of each City Council agenda provides advice to those wishing to make public comments; argumentative communication is discouraged. He stated that he believes that those speaking should be respectful, but a differing point of view is part of society. He stated that approximately 100 years ago there were radically different scientific ideas, specifically related to quantum mechanics, which is now one of the most successful tools available to human beings. This model was the result of divisiveness and radical disagreements. He stated those that disagreed with one another did so respectfully, but agitation is needed. He stated that as the Council considers someone to appoint to fill the vacancy created by Council Member Fawson's resignation, it may be wise to think of someone that is an agitator and someone who knows North Ogden and has participated. He stated Ms. Anderson may be someone for the Council to consider. He concluded that while he may be considered divisive, he acts with respect.

Ruston Monson, 2564 Barker Parkway, stated that he is concerned about lacking or conflicting information. He stated the first concern relates to building permits for the amphitheater project and the other relates to site plan approval for the project. He stated the first building permit issued on November 29, 2017 for the footings and foundation at the amphitheater includes specific language at the bottom of the page to state that the permit covers footings, foundation, and masonry only. On the right-hand side of the permit, there is a note that it must meet conditions specified in notice and decision of approval, site plan, and conditions of approval listed in the attached staff report. He stated that it is his understanding that no site plan has been approved. The information he was given was a staff report provided to the Planning Commission, but there is no indication that the Planning Commission has granted approval. He then noted the second building permit issued February 9, 2018, includes verbiage regarding the roof, plumbing, etc., and everything not included with phase 1B. It also states "approved site plans attached", but he wants to know where the approved site plan is. He asked that the Mayor and Council look into that issue and respond to him. Mr. Call stated that he can find the notice of

decision from the Planning Commission and provide it to Mr. Monson. Mr. Monson stated that he is curious why the information was not turned over to him at the time that he made the Government Records Access and Management Act (GRAMA) request. Mr. Call stated that the individuals filling GRAMA requests do not read through all records to determine if it fulfills the request and the fact that the site plan was not provided was an honest mistake. Mr. Monson stated that there must be some due diligence in these types of situations. There is a contradiction in that building permits have been issued without proper site plan approval; the building permit indicates that a site plan has been approved, but that is false. He stated this is happening in front of the Council right now and he asked them to consider looking at the facts.

Hannah Goodrich, 384 W. Liberty Drive, asked for clarification on whether the Council is comfortable allowing Fremont students to participate in the pageant.

Council Member Swanson motioned to allow Fremont High School students to participate in the Miss North Ogden Pageant. Council Member Turner seconded the motion.

Voting on the motion:

Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Fawson	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Goodrich then stated that if she were given permission to move the pageant under the Miss Utah Organization 501(C)3 designation, she would be willing to maintain the Miss North Ogden pageant name. Ms. Spendlove stated that she will organize a meeting with the Finance Director to further discuss the issue in order for Administration to provide the Council with more information next week.

11. COUNCIL/MAYOR/STAFF COMMENTS

Council Member Stoker reported that next Wednesday, March 14, the Arts and Amphitheater Committee will meet and she would like another Council Member to participate on that committee with her. Ms. Spendlove noted that Council Member Cevering has been assigned to participate on that committee.

Mr. Call reported that the City recently closed on the acquisition of the two properties on 2600 North to allow the expansion of the intersection with Washington Boulevard. He

then referenced discussions about the relocation of the City's detention basin on 2700 North and indicated that the City is in negotiations with the Montessori school to run pipe within the existing utility easement. He stated he will bring a proposal to the Council to solidify any agreement made between the two parties.

A representative of the Fire District asked if the District may be granted access to the home on one of the properties on 2600 North to conduct training. Mr. Call advised the individual to contact him to make arrangements for use of the home for training.

12. ADJOURNMENT

Council Member Stoker motioned to adjourn the meeting. Council Member Swanson seconded the motion.


Voting on the motion:


Council Member Cevering	aye
Council Member Fawson	aye
Council Member Stoker	aye
Council Member Swanson	aye
Council Member Turner	aye

The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 7:33 p.m.


M. Brent Chugg, Mayor


S. Annette Spendlove, MMC
City Recorder


Date Approved