

ROY CITY

Roy City Council Meeting Agenda *Amended* October 17, 2017 – 6:00 p.m.

Roy City Council Chambers 5051 South 1900 West

- A. Welcome & Roll Call
- **B. Moment of Silence** Councilmember Dandoy
- C. Pledge of Allegiance Councilmember Dandoy
- D. <u>Public Comments</u>

E. <u>Consent Items</u>

(These items are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by a single motion. If discussion is desired on any particular consent item, that item may be removed from the consent agenda and considered separately)

1. Approval of the September 19, 2017 City Council Minutes

F. Action Items

- 1. Swearing in of Roy City Police Sergeant
- 2. Swearing in of Roy City Youth Council Member
- 3. Consideration of Ordinance No. 17-9 Approving Changes to the Roy City Code Concerning the Powers and Duties of the Mayor and City Manager

G. Reports and Discussion

- 1. Discussion on Beautification Committee Historical Signs
- 2. Discussion of Capital Projects
- City Manager Report
- 4. Mayor and Council Report

H. Closed Executive Session

Consideration of adjourning into Closed Executive Session pursuant to the provisions of Section 52-4-205 of the Open and Public Meetings Law for the purpose of discussing the Character, Professional Competence, or Physical or Mental Health of an Individual (roll call vote)

I. Adjournment

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing auxiliary communicative aids and services for these meetings should contact the Administration Department at (801) 774-1020 or by email: admin@royutah.org at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting.

Certificate of Posting

The undersigned, duly appointed City Recorder, does hereby certify that the above notice and agenda was posted in a public place within the Roy City limits on this 16th day of October, 2017. A copy was also provided to the Standard Examiner and posted on the Roy City Website and Utah Public Notice Website on the 16th day of October, 2017.

Amy Mortenson
Roy City Recorder



Minutes of the Roy City Council Meeting held in the City Council Chambers of the Roy City Municipal Building on September 19, 2017 at 6:00 p.m.

Notice of the meeting was provided to the Standard Examiner at least 24 hours in advance. A copy of the agenda was posted.

The following members were in attendance:

Mayor Cragun Councilmember Becraft Councilmember Hilton Councilmember Yeoman Councilmember Dandoy Councilmember Tafoya Acting City Manager, Jason Poulsen City Attorney, Andy Blackburn City Recorder, Amy Mortenson

Also present were: Management Services Director, Cathy Spencer; Public Works Director, Ross Oliver; Police Chief, Carl Merino; Lane Findlay, Denise Ballinger, Angela Brown, Kateleigh Brown, Tovoli Illum, Amya Gunderson, Kaylyan Blakeslee, Tayler Beek, Patrick Hansen, Thaine Hansen, Judy Cottam, Shane Anderson, Brad Jensen, Megan Hamblin, Kaden Howes, Joe Paul, Brooke Burdash and Mike Story.

A. Welcome & Roll Call

Mayor Cragun called the meeting to order and noted all Councilmembers were present.

B. Pledge of Allegiance

Councilmember Yeoman led the audience in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.

C. Moment of Silence

Councilmember Yeoman led the audience in a moment of silence.

D. Special Recognitions

Mayor Cragun read a Mayoral Proclamation establishing the day of September 19, 2017, as German Foreign Exchange Students Day. The following students were then individually recognized: Naike Rudolph, Annika Freitag, Lina von Spack, Luisa Tesmar, Jennifer Lindt, Theresia Heise, David Nguyen, Nathaly Bode, Marie Stünkel, Lisa Kahle, Isabell Scheider, Dorothea Lodder, Amelia Ehmann, Lena Grütz, Claudio da Silva Lage, Rieke Lutat, Lisa Marie Henschke, Jimmy Hunyh, Nikita Boehme, Emily Preuß, Johanna Victoria Scheider, Caspar Folttmann, Melissa Woschnitza, Gilda Bode, Hinrich Borchert and two Leaders; Christoph Schnapperelle and Alex Mahlmann.

Next, Lane Findlay with Weber School District addressed the Council and stated he handled safety and public donations for the school district. Mr. Findlay said he wished to discuss the bond that would be on the ballot for the upcoming election. A short video presentation was then shown.

Councilmember Hilton asked if the school district had already developed plans for rebuilding Roy Junior High School (RJHS). Mr. Findlay stated the he did not know where they were at in the process. They needed to wait for the bond to pass before moving forward. He explained that presently they were trying to get a contractor in place for the Fremont addition, so it could be ready by the beginning of the next school year. He said RJHS would be on the tail end of the bond, and they were planning to build a new



school on the existing property while remaining in operation at the current facility. Students would be moved over to the new facility once it was complete. They were hoping that the gym would be used as a public recreation center—in partnership with the City—since it was a separate structure.

Councilmember Tafoya asked that since RJHS would be at the tail end of the bond, what would happen to the project funding if all of the previous projects went over budget. Mr. Findlay explained that not all \$97 million of bond funds would be issued upfront; rather, it would be issued per project. He mentioned there were two new elementary schools that would be built first. The school district tried to project future costs when determining project amounts. Mr. Findlay indicated that he was not concerned with bond funding running low by the time they reached the RJHS project.

The time was turned over to Acting City Manager, Jason Poulsen, to recognize a City employee. Mr. Poulsen described the events of a plane crash involving a passenger in a vehicle in the ground. He recognized Darrell Illum for his heroic efforts in saving said passenger.

Councilmember Yeoman said she works a building near where the crash occurred. She described her experience watching the scene and how City employees were mindful of the victim's privacy. Mayor Cragun expressed appreciation for everything the City employees did in responding to the situation.

E. Public Comments

Mayor Cragun opened the floor for public comments.

<u>Jake Cottle</u>, 6066 South 3100 West, stated he had lived in Roy his entire life and had seen the City go from an agricultural town to a city. He was concerned with beautification efforts in Roy, specifically in regards to yard spaces. He said there was only so much they could do as neighbors, and he requested that the City Council get involved in resolving the matter. He suggested the Council give residents a set number of days to get their yards cleaned up.

Councilmember Dandoy agreed with Mr. Cottle's comments. He mentioned the nuisance ordinance and said it was well defined and being enforced throughout the City. They Council would be discussing this ordinance further later in the meeting. Councilmember Yeoman added that they were aware of the more problematic areas in the City and were doing everything possible to mitigate the issue.

Patrick Hansen, 3973 South 2275 West, expressed concerns with a particular pet goat living in town and said the issue went beyond a typical nuisance. There were members of his household with serious health issues, and the ammonia odor from the goat was unbearable. Mr. Hansen said he lived directly across the street from the home where this goat lived. His wife had complained to the City's code enforcement office before, and the issue was still unresolved. He said code enforcement was under the impression that this goat was an emotional support animal. Utah State law did not recognize emotional support animals; they only recognized service animals, specifically defined as a dog that had been trained or was in training for service activities. Mr. Hansen requested that the code be enforced and stated that the property in question was not zoned for a goat.

Councilmember Tafoya said this was a legal issue and the only person who could and should address the matter was the City Attorney. He advised Mr. Hansen to visit City offices so staff could go over the issue with him. Councilmember Yeoman mentioned other neighbors had been documenting the issue as well. Mr. Hansen said documentation was proving more difficult since word got out that the Council had advised the neighbors to take pictures of any code violations they observed. There was also some impropriety occurring between the property owner and animal control officer.



<u>Jaqueline Thompson</u>, 5084 South 3550 West, noted she lived in unincorporated area. She thanked the Council for the time they spent discussing the potential annexation of the area. The residents met and they would like further discussion prior to an ordinance or resolution getting put into place to make sure all of their requests were included. County residents requested time with City Administrators to discuss some zoning issues, permits and taxes. In regards to taxes, the residents wanted a direct comparison between what they presently paid the County as opposed to what they would be paying the City. Mr. Poulsen agreed to set up a meeting, as requested.

Rob Carter, 2787 West 5200 South, wanted to discuss the transfer of monies to the general fund. He was informed there would be a separate public hearing on this item later in the agenda.

Mayor Cragun closed the floor for public comments.

F. Consent Items

(These items are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by a single motion. If discussion is desired on any particular consent item, that item may be removed from the consent agenda and considered separately)

1. Approval of the August 29, 2017 Special City Council Minutes and August 31, 2017 Town Hall Meeting Minutes

Councilmember Becraft motioned to approve the August 29, 2017 Special City Council minutes and the August 31, 2017 Town Hall Meeting minutes. Councilmember Dandoy seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted "aye". The motion carried.

G. Action Items

1. **Public Hearing**: to receive and consider comments regarding the transfer of monies to the General Fund from the Water and Sewer Utility Fund, Storm Water Utility Fund, and Solid Waste Utility Fund

Councilmember Tafoya motioned to enter a Public Hearing. Councilmember Hilton seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted "aye". The motion carried.

Cathy Spencer, Management Services Director, explained that in the 2017 Utah State legislative session, a bill was passed that required each City to hold a public hearing and present a cost accounting breakdown on how money in the Enterprise Fund was being used to (a) cover administrative overhead costs of the City attributable to the operation of the Enterprise Funds for which the Enterprise Funds were created, and (b) other costs not associated with the Enterprise Funds for which the Enterprise Funds were created.

Roy City's General Fund, Information Technology Fund, and Risk Management Fund currently provide administrative, clerical, maintenance, and other labor support to the Water and Sewer Utility Enterprise Fund; the Storm Water Utility Enterprise Fund; and the Solid Waste Utility Enterprise Fund. The amounts transferred for the support total \$747,972, \$92,122, and \$120,787, respectively.

The Water and Sewer Utility also provided clerical and labor support to the Storm Water Utility Enterprise Fund, and Solid Water Utility Enterprise Fund. Those amounts were \$2,100 and \$16,881, respectively. The Storm Water Utility Fund also provided laborers for the summer clean up to the Solid



Waste Utility Enterprise Fund in the amount of \$2,570.

Roy City did not transfer any money for costs not associated with the operations of the Enterprise Fund. If the City were to advance or loan moneys to other funds for their operations, that would likely fall into the category of costs not associated with operations.

The personnel that were charged to the Enterprise Funds included the City Manager, City Attorney and legal staff, Public Works Director and staff, Management Services Director and accounting and utility billing staff, IT Professionals, and equipment operators.

Staff recommended that the City Council approve Resolution No. 17-37 approving the transfer of monies between the General Fund, Water and Sewer Utility Enterprise Fund, Storm Water Utility Enterprise Fund, Solid Waste Utility Enterprise Fund, Information Technology Fund, and the Risk Management Fund.

The total transfers out of the Water and Sewer Utility Enterprise Fund totaled \$747,972. Transfers from the Solid Waste Utility Enterprise Fund totaled \$94,222. And, transfers from the Solid Waste Utility Enterprise Fund total \$140,238. If the City did not choose to share the costs of the services with the various funds, each of the funds would be required to hire their own staff to perform the duties. This would be costly as well as provide duplication of many services. Over the years it had been in the best interest of the City to allocate personnel costs to the funds that received the benefit and to not duplicate staffing. This kept the expenses in the various Utility Funds down, and likewise allowed the City to charge lower fees for services.

Councilmember Dandoy asked how the percentages being allocated from each of the funds was calculated. Ms. Spencer explained that the statute said cities were simply required to estimate. They went through each department and assessed budgets individually to the best of their ability. There was further discussion on how this would apply to employees with part time responsibilities in a given enterprise fund. Ms. Spencer said this new practice appeared to be a money saving method for sharing personnel resources between multiple funds.

<u>Rob Carter</u> asked if the money collected in separate utilities was 100% divvied back out into the general fund. Ms. Spencer further explained the percentages that were presented. She explained that the money collected from utilities would remain in its respective enterprise fund. Mr. Carter asked the Council what direction they were planning to take on this item,

Councilmember Dandoy said he noticed on his utility bill that the solid waste fund was reduced. He asked if this meant that particular account had more funding available than was needed, to which Ms. Spencer responded in the affirmative. She explained that instead of placing dumpsters out in the streets the City had implemented a semi-annual cleanup program. This decreased expenditures dramatically, and therefore the City didn't need to collect as much from the residents.

Councilmember Tafoya explained that the transfer process as described by Ms. Spencer had been done for years and was part of the legal auditing process. Furthermore, this was the best and most efficient way to keep down on personnel costs.

In response to a concern expressed by Mr. Carter, Ms. Spencer indicated that they could implement a longer noticing period on these types of items. Mr. Carter said residents pay the City's bills and should be aware of how the process works. He expressed appreciation to staff and elected officials for their time in explaining the matter.



Councilmember Hilton motioned to go out of the Public Hearing. Councilmember Tafoya seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted "aye". The motion carried.

a. Consideration of Resolution No. 17-37 approving the transfer of monies to the General Fund from the Water and Sewer Utility Fund, Storm Water Utility Fund, and Solid Waste Utility Fund

Councilmember Dandoy motioned to approve Resolution No. 17-37 approving the transfer of monies to the General Fund from the Water and Sewer Utility Fund, Storm Water Utility Fund, and Solid Waste Utility Fund. Councilmember Yeoman seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted "aye". The motion carried.

2. Consideration of Resolution No. 17-38 approving an amendment to the Roy City Personnel Policy and Procedure Manual to include a flextime policy

Mr. Poulsen reviewed the proposed amendment, which was written as follows:

Flextime is an arrangement that allows employees to adjust the start times, end times or lunch times around the employee's regular work time schedule. The total number of hours worked does not change. A flextime schedule is not appropriate for all positions, for all employees or for all settings. Flextime should not affect the workload or productivity of the employee or other coworkers.

Flextime may be granted by department heads or supervisors when it is reasonable or practical to do so and where operational needs will not be affected. Because services may very within each department not every employee will be able to work similar flextime schedules. Flextime is a privilege and may be taken away at the supervisor's discursion.

With flextime, nonexempt employees are still subject to all of the requirements of the fair labor standard act. Employees who are exempt from the fair labor standards act are expected to work whatever number of hours that are required to accomplish their job duties and may be permitted to set their own schedules.

Mr. Poulsen said flextime scheduling was already taking place within the City; the above amendment would simply formalize the practice by including it with the Personnel Policy and Procedure Manual.

Councilmember Tafoya motioned to approve Resolution No. 17-38 approving an amendment to the Roy City Personnel Policy and Procedure Manual to include a flextime policy. Councilmember Dandoy seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted "aye". The motion carried.

3. Consideration of Resolution No. 17-25 Amending Section 1102 of the Roy City Personnel Policy and Procedure Manual concerning the appointment of the Roy City Justice Court Judge Position

Mr. Poulsen explained that in previous discussions the Council considered revoking appointment duties from the Council and turning the responsibility over to the City Manager. However, it was decided that policy would remain the same in this regard. He reviewed the following change:

5. Justice Court Judge – A position appointed by the City Council Mayor and ratified by the City Council then retained through an election to preside over the Justice Court proceedings. This position shall work



the number of hours needed to fulfill the objective of the Justice Court, and is subject to Utah State Retirement benefits.

In response to a question from Councilmember Tafoya regarding the appointment process, Mr. Poulsen said an appointing committee screened applicants and provided a recommendation of three to five finalists to the Mayor. The Mayor then interviewed applicants and forwarded a recommendation to the Council for final review and approval.

Councilmember Dandoy read Item 1b stating that "Part-time employees are not eligible for retirement, leave benefits, or any benefit generally given to full-time employees unless specifically approved by the City Council." He also read Item 6 regarding elected officials which stated: "For the purpose of Utah State Retirement System coverage, the City classifies all elected officials as part-time." Councilmember Dandoy asked if these statements were correct, and Mr. Poulsen answered affirmatively. Councilmember Dandoy said these two sections contradicted each other, unless another ordinance was at some point approved authorizing benefits for part-time employees. He asked if the City passed said ordinance at any point.

Ms. Spencer explained that the State of Utah determined whether or not elected officials were eligible for retirement based on the wages they received. Councilmember Tafoya stated that at this time their wages did not reach that threshold. Councilmember Dandoy asked if elected officials were not receiving retirement, and Ms. Spencer answered affirmatively. Councilmember Dandoy asked if it was correct that his 401k account was not considered a retirement account, and Ms. Spencer answered affirmatively. She said the 401k accounts were not part of the Utah Retirement System. Councilmember Tafoya stated that the 401k account was not a dedicated pension fund. Ms. Spencer stated that the Council approved 401k funding for Councilmembers, and the matter was discussed at length during the most recent budget retreat.

Mayor Cragun added that at one point, elected officials were also enrolled in the Utah State Retirement System (URS) but that changed. Councilmember Dandoy said the language was confusing and should be clear so that it didn't appear that the City was violating its own policy. There was further deliberation on the matter.

Councilmember Tafoya motioned to approve Resolution No. 17-25 Amending Section 1102 of the Roy City Personnel Policy and Procedure Manual concerning the appointment of the Roy City Justice Court Judge Position. Councilmember Dandoy seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted "aye". The motion carried.

4. Consideration of Ordinance No. 17-9 Amending Roy City Code Title 1 Chapters 6 and 7 concerning the powers and duties of the Mayor and City Manager

Mr. Poulsen reviewed the discussions that took place when this item was last reviewed, back in July (2017). He presented Chapters 6 and 7 with all of the proposed edits and corrections. The Council engaged in roundtable deliberations of the changes—items stricken and added—as they were presented.

Councilmember Tafoya motioned to approve Ordinance No. 17-9 Amending Roy City Code Title 1 Chapters 6 and 7 concerning the powers and duties of the Mayor and City Manager with Council amendments. Councilmember Becraft seconded the motion. Councilmembers Becraft, Yeoman and Tafoya voted "aye". Councilmember Hilton abstained from voting. Mayor Cragun and Councilmember Dandoy voted "nay". The motion failed.



The item was tabled. There was subsequent deliberation after the motion was made, and staff and Council agreed a work session was needed in order to specifically to discuss these and other policy changes.

4. Consideration of Resolution No. 17-39 declaring certain property as surplus and authorizing its sale

Mr. Poulsen stated that the surplus property was the City Manager's vehicle, which was a year old. He would like to sell the vehicle and get the same amount of money that was paid into the vehicle initially, and then purchase another vehicle with the same equal value. In so doing, the City would not be spending any taxpayer money on maintenance repairs, tires, windshields, etc. He said this was a common practice with other municipalities.

An unknown member of the audience stated that he was on the Board of Trustees for Roy City Water Conservancy District, and they were doing the exact same thing with their vehicles. He said this was a wise financial decision.

Councilmember Becraft motioned to approve Resolution No. 17-39 declaring certain property as surplus and authorizing its sale. Councilmember Dandoy seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted "aye". The motion carried.

6. Consideration of Resolution No. 17-40 Establishing Regular City Council Meetings

Mr. Poulsen presented the meeting schedule for 2017-2018. Amy Mortenson, City Recorder, said meetings can be cancelled at any time.

Councilmember Yeoman motioned to approve Resolution No. 17-40 Establishing Regular City Council Meetings. Councilmember Hilton seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken. All Councilmembers voted "aye". The motion carried.

H. Reports and Discussion

1. Nuisance Policy Discussion

Mr. Poulsen stated that the City's nuisance policy is Chapter 3 of Roy City Code. He explained that the City had an issue with unkempt yards, and could benefit from better enforcement of the said nuisance policy. He asked the Council for feedback on the matter and stated that staff would like to start issuing citations as soon as problem areas are detected. He said there were a percentage of residents who physically were unable to do yard work themselves, and the City had programs in place to help those residents. He said he and Councilmember Hilton attended the Utah Leagues of Cities and Towns (ULCT) Conference and they spoke with a ULCT Representative who agreed to come to Roy to help further address the issue. He explained that in speaking with code enforcement it seemed the biggest problem was people who were just unwilling to clean their yards. He proposed a new citation process which would be more expeditious and less lenient than it has been in the past. He asked the Council for their support and said staff would follow enforcement to the letter of the law on this issue.

In response to some discussion amongst Councilmembers, Mr. Poulsen explained that initially when he first started working for Roy City there had only been civil enforcement. The first violation was \$100, the second violation was \$200, and the third violation was \$500. When he worked for Ogden City, they provided the avenue of criminal prosecution at the discretion of the code enforcement officer. This same criminal provision was also written in Roy's Code, and it had been used in the past. Mr. Poulsen



proposed a "fix it ticket" which would be used to cite someone criminally as well as mandate a time frame in which they would be required to take care of the issues noted in the citation. If the resident properly addressed the issues within the given time frame, an officer would sign off on the citation; otherwise, the resident would be required to appear in court.

Councilmember Tafoya asked who would issue "fix it tickets". Mr. Poulsen said it could be any police officer because it would be a criminal offense, but most likely the citations would be issued by the code enforcement officer. Councilmember Becraft asked about the fine amount. Mr. Poulsen said the fine was whatever the bail schedule was for a Class C misdemeanor.

Councilmember Yeoman asked if citizens could go online to submit complaints, and if so, who monitored those submissions. Mr. Poulsen answered affirmatively and stated that they were monitored by code enforcement.

Councilmember Tafoya read from State Code regarding convictions for Class C misdemeanors. It read that a Class C misdemeanor may result in up to nine days in jail and fine of up to \$750; however, convictions were ultimately determined by a judge.

Councilmember Dandoy stated there was a provision suggesting that the Mayor could take away the imprisonment.

Mayor Cragun stated this ordinance was coming forward because there had been severe neglect throughout the City. If they didn't take action, future investment might not exist for people living in the area.

<u>Joe Paul</u>, 3727 West 5700 South, expressed gratitude for the City's willingness to address this issue. He said he liked the "fix it ticket" as proposed. He suggested they create some kind of outreach group for residents physically incapable of addressing the issues with their yards. He said there were plenty of volunteer groups in town; if someone called into the City requesting assistance, the City could call upon a volunteer group to go offer service.

Councilmember Tafoya said it was not the City's responsibility to connect residents to third parties for the purpose of cleaning up their yards. Councilmember Hilton stated that the code enforcement officer could advise the situation just like a police officer does with other types of issues. The code enforcement officer was advised to survey the situation and make recommendations as appropriate. After subsequent deliberation, Mr. Poulsen stated if code enforcement recognized that a resident was truly incapable of physically cleaning up their own yard, then the City could provide them with a list of volunteer groups in the community who they could contact themselves.

Alicia Rowe, 5721 South 4075 West, stated that ever since the dumpsters around the City were removed, the issue of unkempt yards had worsened. Not everyone's schedules aligned with the City's schedule, and this made disposing of green waste difficult. She said in addition to volunteer groups, there were plenty of juveniles who were required to do community service who could help clean yards as well.

An unidentified member of the audience asked if it was possible for the City to create some type of "clean up your lawn" flyer to be included in the City's publications, with phone numbers to call if they needed help. He was also concerned with residents taking advantage of the City's resource provisions.

Councilmember Dandoy stated that the occupant would still be responsible for addressing the issues with their yard, whether they cleaned it up themselves or found a group to help them. Either way, the "fix it



ticket" had to be satisfied. He stated the City would receive a number a calls once this ordinance was solidified; if residents disagreed with how it was written, they should come present an argument before the Council. He said the ordinance could not be subject to interpretation; it needed to be clear. He stated it was important to represent the residents of Roy who felt their property values were being compromised because their neighbors were not taking care of their properties.

In response to a question regarding rental properties, Councilmember Dandoy stated that the person occupying the home needed to take care of the property. If there was nobody living at a property, the City could defer to the tax record and track down the right owner. He said the statute as written in the nuisance law indicated that the City had the ability to hire a contractor to clean up a property and bill the property owner for the cost.

Mr. Poulsen added that the City participated in the good landlord program which addressed concerns pertaining to the upkeep of rental properties.

2. City Manager Report

Mr. Poulsen reported on the following items:

- The National Family Dinner Night will be on September 25th from 6:00 pm to 7:00 pm. The event was moved to Sandridge Park.
- Staff would be hosting a BBQ for seniors at the Hope Center on September 27th, beginning at 11:00 am.
- Mr. Poulsen and Mayor Cragun were going to meet with airport officials this morning at 10:30 a.m.; however, the meeting was rescheduled for tomorrow.
- He said the waterfall at Harmons is beautiful and was built as part of beautification efforts around the City. He commended staff for meeting the two-week deadline set by Councilmember Becraft.
- In regards to the dog park, staff contacted Rocky Mountain Power to see if they could use some of their property to add more parking for the area.
- The sprinklers were fixed at Emma Russell Park, as they were leaking and there was mold. There was also a tree root growing underneath the sidewalks and staff was getting that issue fixed.
- Interest rates for the new water and sewer bonds came in lower than anticipated at 2.057% with a savings over the term of \$456,031.
- There will be a joint work session on September 26th, 2017.

3. Mayor and Council Report

Councilmember Yeoman discussed hanging new banners throughout the City. Councilmember Becraft stated that they needed to have a beautification meeting soon, and asked Ms. Spencer to have one scheduled.

I. Adjournment

Councilmember Becraft motioned to adjourn at 8:14 p.m. Councilmember Hilton seconded the motion. All Councilmembers voted "aye". The motion carried.



Willard Cragun Mayor

Attest:

Amy Mortenson Recorder

OATH OF OFFICE

STATE OF UTAH

I, <u>Daniel M. Tubbs</u> Print Name	having been appointed to	the office of
Police Sergear	nt	
do solemnly swear or affirm that	t I will support, obey an	d defend the
Constitution of the United States	and the Constitution of th	nis State, and
that I will discharge the duties of m	y office with fidelity.	
	Signature	
State of Utah, County of Weber		
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17th	h day of October	, 20_17
Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>17tl</u>	h day of October	, 20 <u>_17</u>
Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>17tl</u>	h day of October	, 20 <u>17</u>
Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>17tl</u>	h day of October *Person Administering C	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>17tl</u>		

^{*}Utah Code § 78B-1-142: "Every court, every judge, clerk and deputy clerk of any court, every justice, every notary public, and every officer or person authorized to take testimony in any action or proceeding, or to decide upon evidence, has the power to administer oaths or affirmations."

OATH OF OFFICE

STATE OF UTAH

l, Brayden Coleman	, having been appointed to the office of
Print Name	
Youth 9	Council
do solemnly swear or affir	m that I will support, obey and defend the
Constitution of the United S	States and the Constitution of this State, and
that I will discharge the duti	es of my office with fidelity.
nui 1 mm aisenai ge me aun	es of my egytee want flactury.
	Signature
	Signature
•	Signature
•	Signature
County ofWeber	Signature this 17th day of October , 20 17
County ofWeber	
State of Utah, County of <u>Weber</u> Subscribed and sworn to before me t	this 17th day of October , 20 17

^{*}Utah Code § 78B-1-142: "Every court, every judge, clerk and deputy clerk of any court, every justice, every notary public, and every officer or person authorized to take testimony in any action or proceeding, or to decide upon evidence, has the power to administer oaths or affirmations."