



Public Works
Planning & Development Services Division
<http://www.utah.gov/pmn/index.html>

Magna Township Planning Commission

Public Meeting Agenda

October 13, 2011

3:30 P.M.

THE MEETING WILL BE HELD IN THE COUNTY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER, MAIN FLOOR, ROOM #N1100, 2001 SOUTH STATE STREET.
ANY QUESTIONS, CALL 468-2000

REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES WILL BE PROVIDED UPON REQUEST. FOR ASSISTANCE, PLEASE CALL 468-2120 OR 468-2351: TDD 468-3600.

The Planning Commission Public Meeting is a public forum where the Planning Commission receives comment and recommendations from applicants, the public, applicable agencies and County staff regarding land use applications and other items on the Commission's agenda. In addition it is where the Planning Commission takes action on these items. Action may be taken by the Planning Commission on any item listed on the agenda which may include: approval, approval with conditions, denial, continuance or recommendation to other bodies as applicable.

Business Items - 3:30 P.M.

- 1) Approval of August 11, 2011 meeting minutes
- 2) "Powering Our Future" Electrical Plan Best Practice

Public Hearing Items - 4:00 P.M.

- 1) 25661 – Salt Lake County is requesting approval to amend sections of two Salt Lake County Ordinance Chapters in Title 19 *Zoning*, Chapter 19.04 *Definitions* and Chapter 19.80 *Off-Street Parking Requirements*: Section 040 Parking in Residential Zones. The proposed amendments to 19.04 more clearly define vehicles, junk, and heavy equipment that may be found in residential yards, and proposed amendments to 19.80.040 set off-street parking standards in residential zones. Planner: Curtis Woodward
- 2) 26044 – Salt Lake County is requesting approval to amend two sections of Salt Lake County Ordinances in Title 19 *Zoning*, Chapter 72 *Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone*. These sections are *Section 060 Administration and Enforcement*; and *Section 070*

Definitions. The proposed amendments pertain to ski resort waivers and ski resort summer uses. Planner: Spencer G. Sanders

Adjournment

Rules of Conduct for the Planning Commission Meeting

- First: Applications will be introduced by a Staff Member.
- Second: The applicant will be allowed up to 15 minutes to make their presentation.
- Third: The Community Council representative can present their comments.
- Fourth: Persons in favor of, or not opposed to, the application will be invited to speak.
- Fifth: Persons opposed to the application will be invited to speak.
- Sixth: The applicant will be allowed 5 minutes to provide concluding statements.
- Speakers will be called to the podium by the Chairman.
 - Because the meeting minutes are recorded it is important for each speaker to state their name and address prior to making any comments.
 - All comments should be directed to the Planning Commissioners, not to the Staff or to members of the audience.
 - For items where there are several people wishing to speak, the Chairman may impose a time limit, usually 2 minutes per person, or 5 minutes for a group spokesperson.
 - After the hearing is closed, the discussion will be limited to the Planning Commission and the Staff.



**MEETING MINUTE SUMMARY FOR
MAGNA TOWNSHIP
Planning Commission Meeting
August 11, 2011 – 3:30PM – 4:30**

Meeting length about: One Hour
Number of public in attendance: 0
Summary Prepared by: Paul Bringhurst
Meeting Conducted by: Lance Jacob

IN ATTENDANCE

Commissioners: Lance Jacob (Chair), Michael Brooks, Dan Cripps, Paul Kunz,
Staff: **Planning:** Paul Bringhurst, Debbie Riddle, Jeremy Goldsmith, Brian Beck, Max Johnson
District Attorney's Office: Adam Miller
Other:

BUSINESS MEETING – 3:00 p.m.

1) Previous Meeting Minutes Review and Approval

a) March 17, 2011

Motion: *Move to Accept the Minutes as presented*

Motion by Commissioner: Dan Cripps

2nd by Commissioner: Lance Jacobs

Vote: *Unanimous*

b) April 14, 2011

Motion: *Move to Accept the Minutes as presented*

Motion by Commissioner: Dan Cripps

2nd by Commissioner: Michael Brooks

Vote: *Unanimous*

c) June 16, 2011

Motion: *Move to Accept the Minutes as presented*

Motion by Commissioner: Dan Cripps

2nd by Commissioner: Michael Brooks

Vote: *Unanimous*

2) Sidewalk Plan- Salt Lake County

Presentation by: *Jeremy Goldsmith, Planning Staff* – (A Copy of presentation or staff report is available upon request)

Discussion: Salt Lake County Planning is currently taking an inventory of existing sidewalk to assist in upcoming planning effort to develop a community sidewalk master plan.

- a) Planning Commission would like to provide input on the sidewalk inventory especially where sidewalk may be existing but is in disrepair.
- b) Planning Commission would also like to be involved in any sidewalk planning for future improvements.

3) Sweazy Property- Salt Lake County

Presentation by: *Debbie Riddle, Planning Staff* and *Brian Beck, Code Enforcement Staff* – (A Copy of presentation or staff report is available upon request)

Discussion: Sweazy property not in compliance, Summary of current code violations provided. The big document pertains to the Code Enforcement Team in relationship to the property. Debbie went into review of the property photos and violations. The summary of his property is concluded by Brian and Debbie. They have tried to help the property owner, but he has too much stuff.

Recommendations: *Recommendation for a Conditional Use Revocation Hearing.*

Motion: *Move file forward by scheduling a revocation hearing on Sweazy's Conditional Use Approval.*

Motion by: *Michael Brooks*
2nd by: *Dan Cripps*
Vote: *4-0*

Commissioner Name	For Motion	Against Motion	Abstain
Dan Cripps	X		
Lance Jacobs	X		
Paul Kunz	X		
Michael Brooks	X		

4) **Max Johnson, AICP – 7200 West Project** - Upon request of the Planning Commission Max Johnson provided a project update – Standard sidewalk with curb and gutter will be installed. Shared jurisdictional road on 7200 W. East (WVC) – West (SLCo).

PUBLIC HEARINGS – 4:00 p.m.

Started at 4:01 p.m.

1) **Magna General Plan Update – Salt Lake County**

Presentation by: *Paul Bringham, AICP Planning Staff* – (A Copy of presentation or staff report is available upon request)

Recommendations: *Recommendation of approval for adoption of the revised General Plan.*

“PUBLIC PORTION OF THE MEETING WAS CLOSED”

Motion: *Recommend adoption of the Magna General Plan update.*

Motion by: *Dan Cripps*
2nd by: Lance Jacobs
Vote: 4-0

Commissioner Name	For Motion	Against Motion	Abstain
Dan Cripps	X		
Lance Jacobs	X		
Paul Kunz	X		
Michael Brooks	X		

ADJORN: 4:30PM
Motion to Adjourn by: Lance Jacob
2nd by: Michael Brooks
Vote: 4-0

Commissioner Name	For Motion	Against Motion	Abstain
Lance Jacobs	X		
Michael Brooks	X		
Dan Cripps	X		
Paul Kunz	X		

DRAFT



MEMORANDUM

TO: Big Cottonwood Canyon Community Council, Canyon Rim Community Council, Copperton Community Council, East Millcreek Community Council, Emigration Canyon Community Council, Granite Community Council, Kearns Community Council, Magna Area Community Council, Millcreek Community Council, Mount Olympus Community Council, Parleys Canyon Community Council, Sandy Hills Community Council, White City Community Council, Willow Canyon Community Council, Willow Creek Community Council

PETER M. CORROON
Salt Lake County Mayor

Patrick Leary
Public Works Department
Director

**PLANNING &
DEVELOPMENT
SERVICES**

Rolen Yoshinaga
Planning & Development
Division Director

Salt Lake County
Government Center
2001 South State Street
Suite N-3600
Salt Lake City, UT 84190-
4050

801 / 468-2000
801 / 468-2169 fax

FROM: Curtis Woodward
SUBJECT: Proposed Ordinance Changes (File # 25661)
DATE: September 20, 2011

Proposals for changes to the Salt Lake County Zoning Ordinance are sent to Community Councils and the Planning Commissions for review and recommendation prior to being placed on the County Council agenda.

This file is an amendment to the County Zoning Ordinance (Title 19) to set off-street parking standards in residential zones. This ordinance strives to protect the residential character of neighborhoods by requiring vehicles parked in the front yard to be only on paved surfaces within the scope of Salt Lake County Driveway Ordinance 14.36.060, as well as limiting the type of vehicles that can be parked in the front yard and setting basic landscaping standards for front yards.

The forgoing proposal was previously forwarded to the Community Councils in April of this year. Subsequently, based upon the input from Community Councils, citizens, enforcement staff, the District Attorney's Office and other interested parties, revisions to the initial text have been made. Specifically the draft ordinance has been revised to be more concise and easy to administer.

The revised ordinance will be scheduled for presentation to the Planning Commissions in October at their regular meetings. As a fair amount of time has passed since the initial proposal, the revised ordinance is being sent to you at this time in an effort to help keep your council abreast of the proposed changes.

If you have additional comments regarding the attached revised ordinance amendments, please provide them to Nancy Moorman at nmoorman@slco.org or 468-2419 or please attend your applicable Planning Commission's October meeting.

SALT LAKE COUNTY ORDINANCE

Ordinance No. _____, 2011

FRONT YARD PARKING AND LANDSCAPING AMENDMENTS

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 19.04: DEFINITIONS AND 19.80: OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS RESTRICTING THE PARKING OF VEHICLES IN THE FRONT AND STREET SIDE YARD AREAS OF RESIDENTIALLY ZONED PROPERTIES AND REQUIRING THE LANDSCAPING AND MAINTENANCE OF YARD AREAS.

The County Legislative Body of Salt Lake County ordains as follows:

SECTION I. The amendments made here are designated by underlining the new substituted words. Words being deleted are designated by brackets and interlineations.

SECTION II. Chapter 19.04 of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001, is amended to read as follows:

Chapter 19.04 – DEFINITIONS

19.04.291 – Heavy Equipment

“Heavy Equipment” means equipment such as earth movers, backhoes, cranes, forklifts, bulldozers, and the like which are commonly used for construction, excavation, demolition, or lifting; also vehicles used to haul equipment or materials, such as dump trucks, semi-tractors, semi-trailers, cement trucks or any motor vehicle with modifications such as:

1. Liquid storage tanks exceeding one hundred (100) gallons,
2. Aerial buckets or platforms,
3. Welding equipment,
4. Mechanical lifts or arms for loading and unloading materials/equipment,
5. Appurtenances of a similar nature.

19.04.315 – Junk

A. "Junk" means any salvaged or scrap copper, brass, iron, steel, metal, rope, rags, batteries, paper, wood, trash, plastic, rubber, tires, waste or other articles or materials commonly designated as junk. Junk, except as provided in subsections (B) or (C), shall also mean any dismantled, wrecked or inoperable motor vehicles or recreational vehicles or parts thereof which are stored or parked on property outside of an enclosed building and which remain in such condition for a period of time in excess of sixty days. An automobile, truck or bus shall be considered inoperable if it is not currently registered and licensed in this state or another state.

B. One truck with a capacity of one ton or less or automobile which is not currently licensed and registered in this state or another state but is otherwise operable may be stored on property for a period not to exceed two years if it is secured with the windows closed, the trunk and hood closed and the doors locked and is not damaged exposing jagged metal; or

C. One truck with a capacity of one ton or less or automobile which is inoperable may be stored in a side yard, except a side yard which faces on a street or a rear yard on property for a period not to exceed two years provided:

1. The automobile or truck is secured with the windows closed, the trunk and hood closed and the doors locked and is not damaged exposing jagged metal; and
2. The automobile or truck shall not be visible from any public street; and
3. The automobile or truck is entirely concealed by a covering which is maintained in good condition and which does not extend closer to the ground than the lowest point of the vehicle body.

D. All existing legal nonconforming motor vehicles as of the effective date of the ordinance codified in this section, or any amendment hereto, shall comply with the provisions of this section within one year from the date of the enactment of this section or any amendment thereto.

19.04.551 – Vehicle, Commercial

“Commercial vehicle” means any motorized vehicle or trailer used for or intended for business use, including but not limited to the transportation of commercial equipment, merchandise, produce, freight, commodities, passengers or animals.

19.04.553– Vehicle, Private

“Private vehicle means an automobile, sport utility, crossover, pickup truck, motorcycle, or similar motorized device in which a person or thing is, or can be, transported from one place to another on a non-commercial or not-for-hire basis.

19.04.554 – Vehicle, Recreational

“Recreational vehicle” means a vehicle, snowmobile, trailer, camper, or watercraft with or without a motor, designed and constructed for recreational use or as temporary living quarters for travel or vacation purposes. Recreational vehicle does not include human or battery powered personal apparatuses, such as bicycles, kick-scooters, or children’s toys.

SECTION III. Chapter 19.80 of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001, is amended to read as follows:

Chapter 19.80 - OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS

Article I. – General Provisions

19.80.040 – Parking in Residential Zones

- A. Only private vehicles, recreational vehicles, or commercial vehicles under 12,000 pounds gross vehicular weight may be parked in the front yard or corner lot side yard of a dwelling in any R-1 or R-2 zone. Vehicles so parked must be upon a driveway with a parking surface in compliance with section 19.80.030 “Specifications.” The location, width, and area of the parking surface shall not exceed the maximum allowed for a residential driveway set forth in Salt Lake County Ordinance 14.36.060.
- B. When not parked or stored upon such a surface all private and recreational vehicles must be located behind the front line or street side line of the main building on the lot or parcel and screened from view from public streets or neighboring properties by enclosure within a building or six-foot tall (minimum) opaque fence.
- C. All commercial vehicles 12,000 pounds gross vehicular weight and over must be parked on a dustless surface and located behind the front line or street side line of the main building on the lot or parcel and screened from view from public streets or neighboring properties by enclosure within a building or six-foot tall (minimum) opaque fence.
- D. Heavy equipment may only be parked or stored on a property in conjunction with lawfully-permitted construction or site development activities so long as construction is diligently pursued.
- E. The area within the front yard of any single or two family dwelling not occupied by a driveway/parking surface set forth above shall be landscaped and maintained. Front yard landscaping may include features such as pedestrian walkways, gardens, trees, shrubs, lawn, ground cover, and other similar features.

SECTION IV. This ordinance shall be effective fifteen (15) days after its passage and upon at least one publication of the ordinance or a summary thereof in a newspaper published and having general circulation in Salt Lake County.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this _____ day of _____, 2011.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

By: _____
MAX BURDICK, Chairman

ATTEST:

Sherrie Swensen
Salt Lake County Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

ORDINANCE HISTORY

Councilman Bradley voting	_____
Councilman Burdick voting	_____
Councilman Bradshaw voting	_____
Councilman DeBry voting	_____
Councilman Horiuchi voting	_____
Councilman Iwamoto voting	_____
Councilman Jensen voting	_____
Councilman Snelgrove voting	_____
Councilman Wilde voting	_____

Vetoed and dated this _____ day of _____, 2011.

By: _____
MAYOR PETER CORROON
OR DESIGNEE

(Complete as Applicable)
Veto override: Yes ___ No ___ Date _____
Ordinance Published in Newspaper: Date _____
Effective Date of Ordinance: _____

SUMMARY OF
SALT LAKE COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. _____

On the _____ day of _____, 2011, the County Council of Salt Lake County adopted Ordinance No. _____ which amends chapter 19.04 and chapter 19.80 of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances. These new amendments set specific requirements regarding the parking of vehicles in the front and street side yard areas of residentially zoned properties and make other related changes.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

By: _____
MAX BURDICK, Chairman

ATTEST:

Sherrie Swensen
Salt Lake County Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Councilman Bradley voting _____
Councilman Burdick voting _____

Councilman Bradshaw voting _____
Councilman DeBry voting _____
Councilman Horiuchi voting _____
Councilman Iwamoto voting _____
Councilman Jensen voting _____
Councilman Snelgrove voting _____
Councilman Wilde voting _____

A complete copy of Ordinance No. _____ is available in the office of the Salt Lake County Clerk, 2001 South State Street, N2100A, Salt Lake City, Utah.

DRAFT



STAFF REPORT

Executive Summary					
Hearing Body:	Magna Planning Commission				
Meeting Date and Time:	Thursday, October 13, 2011	04:00 PM	File No:	2	6 0 4 4
Applicant Name:	Salt Lake County	Request:	Ordinance Amendment		
Description:	Amend two sections of Salt Lake County Ord. - 19.72.060 and 070				
Location:	N/A				
Zone:	FR-0.5 Forestry & Recreation	Any Zoning Conditions?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Community Council Rec:	Not yet received				
Staff Recommendation:	Approval				
Planner:	Spencer G. Sanders				

1.0 BACKGROUND

1.1 Summary

Salt Lake County is requesting approval to amend two sections of Salt Lake County Ordinances in Title 19 *Zoning*, Chapter 72 *Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone*: Section 060 *Administration and Enforcement* and Section 070 *Definitions*. The proposed Amendments to 060 clarify slope waivers related to ski resort development; and the proposed amendments to 070 clarify allowed summer uses at ski resorts.

1.3 Community Council Response

The proposed ordinance amendment has been forwarded to all of the County's Community Councils and Planning Commissions. The Community Council responses will be forwarded to their applicable Planning Commission and all will be forwarded on to the County Council.

Within the Magna Township, Magna Community Council and Magna Town Council forward recommendations to the Magna Townships Planning Commission. The Magna Community Council and the Magna Town Council were forwarded the proposed ordinance amendments at the beginning of August. As of this date, the Magna Town Council indicated that they did not need to see the proposed changes and the Magna Community Council has not yet responded.

2.0 ANALYSIS

2.1 Existing Ordinance

Attached is a underline/strike-through copy of the proposed ordinance amendments. The proposed changes are briefly explained here:

19.72.060.C.5 - This provision indicates that waivers and modifications to the FCOZ regulations may only be approved upon evidence establishing the listed criteria are met. The proposed language provides some flexibility to the language that indicates that waivers and modifications may be approved subject to any of the criteria the applicable reviewing body deems applicable to the situation.

It has been found that the listed criteria do not always apply to every situation. The proposed language

would give the reviewing body the ability to determine which of the listed criteria are applicable.

19.72.060.C.7 currently indicates the limitations on the types of uses, development, or activities pertaining to ski resorts, for which a waiver can be requested. The language is unclear and confusing. The proposed ordinance would replace the language of subsection 7 with a table that clearly lists what activities, etc. for which a waiver may be requested within specific slope ranges. This proposed change makes it much more clear what types of activities related to ski resorts are eligible for waiver consideration. It does not eliminate the requirement to apply for waivers, it just establishes what is eligible.

19.72.070 lists all the definition that are unique to the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone (FCOZ) ordinances, including the definition of a Ski Resort. Currently the language in the definition is unclear regarding what uses are allowed as part of a ski resort. The proposed changes would indicate that both winter related activities and non-winter related activities are acceptable within the resort.

3.0 STAFF RECOMMENDATION

3.1 Staff recommends APPROVAL of the proposed Ordinance Amendment.

3.2 Reasons for Recommendation

- 1) The proposed amendments clarify the FCOZ language related to Ski Resorts and are consistent with the intent and purpose of the FCOZ overlay zone.

SALT LAKE COUNTY ORDINANCE

ORDINANCE NO. _____, **2011**

FOOTHILLS AND CANYONS OVERLAY ZONE

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 19.72.060, ENTITLED "ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT," AND 19.72.070 ENTITLED "DEFINITIONS," OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES, 2001, CLARIFYING THE APPLICABILITY OF WAIVER CRITERIA, PERMISSIBLE SLOPE WAIVER RANGES, AND, THE DEFINITION OF "SKI RESORT" TO INCLUDE YEAR ROUND RESORT ACTIVITIES; AND MAKING OTHER RELATED CHANGES.

The County Legislative Body of Salt Lake County ordains as follows:

SECTION I. The amendments made herein are designated by underlining the new substituted words. Words being deleted are designated by brackets and interlineations.

SECTION II. Section 19.72.060 of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001, is amended to read as follows:

19.72.060 - Administration and Enforcement.

C. Waivers and Modifications for Ski Resorts, Public Uses, and Mineral Extraction and Processing Uses.

5. Criteria for Approval. Waivers or modifications to the development standards of this chapter may ~~[only]~~ be approved subject to any of ~~[upon evidence establishing]~~ the following criteria~~[s, as]~~ deemed applicable by the development services director or planning commission, depending upon which has jurisdiction over the particular proposal:

- a. That the improvements proposed are essential to the operation and maintenance of the property and use, and that no reasonable alternative means of satisfying such requirements are feasible or readily available;
- b. That the physical surroundings, shape, or topographic conditions of the specific property involved are such that strict compliance with these regulations would result in extraordinary hardship or practical difficulties, or a substantial economic hardship (as defined in Section 19.72.070) for the owner of the property;
- c. That strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specified regulation would result in a development approach unintentionally inconsistent with the objectives of this chapter;
- d. That the waivers or modifications granted will result in a development approach which better preserves area views, reduces adverse impacts on existing trees and vegetation, reduces the overall degree of disturbance to steep slopes, protects wildlife habitat, and reflects a greater degree of sensitivity to stream corridors, wetlands, rock outcrops, and other sensitive environmental features in the vicinity of the proposed improvements;
- e. That the granting of the waiver or modification will not be detrimental to the public health, safety, or general welfare, or materially injurious to properties or improvements in the vicinity;
- f. That the waiver or modification granted shall not have the effect of nullifying the intent and purpose of these regulations;

- g. That the proposed development, as modified by the request, is not in conflict with the goals, objectives, and policies of the adopted community general plan applicable to the area;
- h. That creative architectural or environmental solutions can be applied and used to alternatively achieve the purposes of this chapter;
- i. That the development in all other respects conforms with the site design, development, and environmental standards set forth in this chapter, in Chapter 19.73, "Foothills and Canyons Site Development and Design Standards," and in all other applicable ordinances and codes;
- j. That the waivers or modifications granted do not result in the violation of other applicable federal, state, and county laws.

7. ~~[Limitations. Notwithstanding the discretion to grant waivers or modifications from the standards set forth in this chapter, in no case shall development other than pedestrian or nonmotorized trails, vehicular access routes for emergency or maintenance purposes, ski runs, ski lifts with supporting appurtenances, or similar recreation access corridors be permitted on slopes greater than forty percent but less than fifty percent, except as otherwise authorized in this chapter. In no case shall roads or vehicular access corridors of any kind be permitted on slopes in excess of fifty percent.]~~

Permissible Slope Waiver Ranges for Eligible Development Activities. The following table establishes the permissible slope waiver ranges for eligible development activities associated with ski resort, public use and mineral extraction and processing uses.

<u>Slope Range</u>	<u>Eligible Development Activities</u>
--------------------	--

<u>30% or less</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>No slope waiver required.</u>
<u>Greater than 30% up to 40%</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>All development activities associated with allowed uses.</u>
<u>Greater than 40% up to 50%</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Pedestrian trails;</u> • <u>Non-motorized vehicle trails;</u> • <u>Ski runs, ski lifts and supporting appurtenances;</u> • <u>Year-round or non-snow related activities; and</u> • <u>Motorized vehicle roads and trails.</u>
<u>Greater than 50%</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Pedestrian trails;</u> • <u>Non-motorized vehicle trails;</u> • <u>Ski runs, ski lifts and supporting appurtenances;</u> • <u>Year-round or non-snow related activities.</u>

SECTION III. Chapter 19.72.070 of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001, is amended to read as follows:

19.72.070 – Definitions.

For the purposes of this chapter, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

"Ski resort" means any public or private developed recreational use~~[, with associated facilities and improvements, for downhill or cross-country skiing, snowboarding, snow shoeing, snowmobiling, or]~~ for [other] snow-related activities, accessory year-round or non-snow related activities, and associated facilities and improvements. These uses are operated on a commercial or membership basis, whether solely on privately-owned property or on privately-owned lots or parcels interspersed with public land under a special use permit from the U.S. Forest Service or other public agency, primarily for the use of persons who do not reside on the same lot or parcel as that on which the recreational use is located. Snow related activities include but are not

limited to: downhill skiing, cross-country skiing, snowboarding, snow shoeing, snowmobiling, or other snow-related activities. Accessory year-round and non-snow related activities include but are not limited to: alpine recreation activities; cultural events and festivals; and conference events. Associated facilities and improvements include, but are not limited to: lodging; food, retail, and support services; recreational and fitness facilities; parking accommodations; and other uses of a similar nature specifically authorized in conjunction with the operation of ~~the facilities as~~ a year round resort. ~~[This term shall not exclusively include any use which is otherwise listed specifically as a permitted or conditional use in this title.]~~

SECTION IV. This ordinance shall become effective fifteen (15) days after its passage and upon at least one publication of the ordinance or a summary thereof in a newspaper published and having general circulation in Salt Lake County.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this _____ day of _____, 2011.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

Max Burdick, Chair

ATTEST:

Salt Lake County Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Deputy District Attorney
Date_____

ORDINANCE HISTORY

Council Member Bradley voting _____
Council Member Bradshaw voting _____
Council Member Burdick voting _____
Council Member DeBry voting _____
Council Member Horiuchi voting _____
Council Member Iwamoto voting _____
Council Member Jensen voting _____
Council Member Snelgrove voting _____
Council Member Wilde voting _____

Vetoed and dated this _____ day of _____, 2011.

By _____
Mayor Peter Corroon or Designee

(Complete As Applicable)

Veto override: Yes__ No__ Date _____

Ordinance published in newspaper: Date _____

Effective date of ordinance: _____

SUMMARY OF

SALT LAKE COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. _____

On the _____ day of _____, 2011, the County Council of Salt Lake County adopted Ordinance No. _____, which amends Sections 19.72.060 and 19.72.070, of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001, regarding the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone to clarify the applicability of waiver criteria, permissible slope waiver ranges, and, the definition of “ski resort” to include year round resort activities; and making other related changes.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

By: _____
MAX BURDICK, Chairman

ATTEST:

Sherrie Swensen
Salt Lake County Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Deputy District Attorney
Date _____

ORDINANCE HISTORY

Council Member Bradley voting	_____
Council Member Bradshaw voting	_____
Council Member Burdick voting	_____
Council Member DeBry voting	_____
Council Member Horiuchi voting	_____
Council Member Iwamoto voting	_____
Council Member Jensen voting	_____
Council Member Snelgrove voting	_____
Council Member Wilde voting	_____

A complete copy of Ordinance No. _____ is available in the office of the Salt Lake County Clerk, 2001 South State Street, N2100A, Salt Lake City, Utah.