



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA Wednesday, October 26, 2016

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Herriman City Council shall assemble for a meeting in the Herriman City Council Chambers, located at 13011 South Pioneer Street (6000 West), Herriman, Utah.

5:00 PM - WORK MEETING: (Front Conference Room)

1. **Council Business**
 - 1.1. Review of this evening's agenda
2. **Administrative Reports**
 - 2.1. Human Resources Policy Updates – Travis Dunn, Human Resources Manager
 - 2.2. Presentation of the Credit Card Policy – Alan Rae, Finance Director
 - 2.3. Arts Council Dovetail with Jordan School District – Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks and Recreation
 - 2.4. Capital Projects Priority List – Blake Thomas, City Engineer
 - 2.5. Informational City Manager Updates
3. **Adjournment**

7:00 PM - GENERAL MEETING:

1. **Call to Order**
 - 1.1. Invocation and Pledge
 - 1.2. Approval of Minutes **October 12, 2016**
 - 1.3. Council Recognitions
 - 1.4. Mayor's Comments
2. **Public Comment**

Audience members may bring any item to the Mayor and Council's attention. Comments will be limited to two minutes. State Law prohibits the Council from acting on items that do not appear on the agenda.
3. **Mayor and Council Comments**
 - 3.1. **City Council Board and Committee Reports**
4. **Reports, Presentations, and Appointments**
 - 4.1. Miss Herriman Courageous Recognition to Contestant Aubrey Mansfield – Sarah Pettit, Miss Herriman Director
 - 4.2. 2015 Miss Herriman Appreciation – Sarah Pettit, Miss Herriman Director
 - 4.3. 2016 Miss Herriman Royalty Recognition and Introduction – Sarah Pettit, Miss Herriman Director
 - 4.4. 2016 Blackridge Reservoir Review – Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks and Recreation
5. **Consent Agenda**
 - 5.1. Approval of the Monthly Financial Report – Alan Rae, Finance Director
6. **Discussion and Action Items**
 - 6.1. Discussion and consideration of a resolution expressing support of the Jordan School District \$245 Million bond – Lynn Crane, Jordan School District Board Member

- 6.2. Discussion and consideration of a resolution approving an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement between Herriman City and South Jordan for the purpose of constructing a median on 11800 South – Blake Thomas, City Engineer
 - 6.3. Discussion and consideration of a proposal to rezoning 23.5 acres located at approximately 15102 South 3200 West from A-1 (Agricultural) to C-2 (Community Commercial) (File No. 21Z16) – John Brems, City Attorney
 - 6.4. Discussion and consideration of a proposal to rezoning 2.56 acres located at approximately 15102 South 3200 West from A-1 (Agricultural) to C-2 (Community Commercial) (File No. 22Z16) – John Brems, City Attorney
 - 6.5. Discussion and consideration of a proposal to rezoning 2.56 acres located at approximately 15102 South 3200 West from A-1 (Agricultural) to C-2 (Community Commercial) (File No. 23Z16) – John Brems, City Attorney
 - 6.6. Discussion and consideration of a proposal to rezoning 10.25 acres located at approximately 15102 South 3200 West from A-1 (Agricultural) to C-2 (Community Commercial) (File No. 24Z16) – John Brems, City Attorney
7. **Calendar**
 - 7.1. **Meetings**
 - November 3 – Planning Commission Meeting 7:00 p.m.
 - November 9 – City Council Work Meeting 5:00 p.m.; City Council Meeting 7:00 p.m.
 - 7.2. **Events**
 - October 31 – Halloween
 - November 6 – Daylight Savings (end)
 - November 8 – Election Day
 8. **Closed Session (If Needed)**
 - 8.1. *The Herriman City Council may temporarily recess the City Council meeting to convene in a closed session to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual, pending or reasonable imminent litigation, and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205*
 9. **Adjournment**
 10. **Recommence to Work Meeting (If Needed)**

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, Herriman City will make reasonable accommodation for participation in the meeting. Request assistance by contacting Herriman City at (801) 446-5323 and provide at least 48 hours advance notice of the meeting.

ELECTRONIC PARTICIPATION: Members of the City Council may participate electronically via telephone, Skype, or other electronic means during this meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT POLICY AND PROCEDURE: The purpose of public comment is to allow citizens to address items on the agenda. Citizens requesting to address the commission will be asked to complete a written comment form and present it to Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder. In general, the chair will allow an individual two minutes to address the Council. A spokesperson, recognized as representing a group in attendance, may be allowed up to five minutes. This policy also applies to all public hearings.

I, Jackie Nostrom, the duly appointed, qualified, and acting City Recorder of Herriman City, Utah, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the agenda; it was emailed to at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographic jurisdiction of the public body. The agenda was also posted at the principal office of the public body. Also posted on the Utah State Public Notice Website <http://www.utah.gov/pmn/index.html> and on Herriman City's website at www.herriman.org

Posted and Dated this 20th day of October 2016

Jackie Nostrom, CMC
City Recorder



STAFF REPORT

DATE: 10/20/2016
TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Travis Dunn- HR Manager
SUBJECT: Herriman City Policy Updates and Discussion

RECOMMENDATION:

Multiple City policies need updates to solidify regulations, City practices and our culture. We are hoping to have a discussion towards the proposed changes and receive either initial approval or direction from Council.

BACKGROUND:

Benefits Policy

- During the last benefits renewal cycle, we discussed the direction on what percent of insurance premiums the City would cover. The direction was the City would look to cover 80% of medical and dental each year. We would now like to discuss adding this to policy to solidify insurance coverage from year to year.
- We are also looking at adding some required verbiage for determining benefits coverage in accordance to the Affordable Care Act.

Work Week Policy

- With the recent update of most City employees working 9x9s, we need to update our definition of when work weeks start and end to protect us from overtime calculations.

Dress Code

- We are looking for direction on the employee dress code policy; whether to have it short and simple or whether we should provide a longer version with guidelines.

DISCUSSION:

To receive Council direction and feedback on these policy changes and updates.

ALTERNATIVES:

To discuss alternatives if changes to these policies need to be made in accordance to Council direction.

3. INSURANCE. All insurance products provided by the City are benefits and are not guaranteed. Each year and in accordance to approved budget, benefit coverage and premium costs will be evaluated. Insurance is provided to regular full-time employees working more than 30 hours a week.

A, Benefits Determination- The City uses the following to determine full-time employment status for health insurance benefit coverage in accordance to the Affordable Care Act.

- **Measurement Period** -The Measurement Period "or look-back period" is a safe harbor method to provide employers the option to use a look-back measurement period of up to 12 consecutive calendars months to determine whether variable hour employees or seasonal employees are full-time employees, without being subject to a payment under § 4980H for this period with respect to those employees. This period goes from Jan 1 to Dec 31 of the previous year.

For a new hire or if an employee changes from a full-time status (30+ hours/week) to a part-time status (less than 30 hours a week), the measurement period will begin the 1st of the next month following the change in status.

- **Stability Period** – The period of time a "variable hour" employee that averaged 30 hours during the measurement period would be treated as a full-time employee regardless of the employee's number of hours of service during the stability period, so long as he or she remained an employee. The stability period cannot be less than the measurement period. This period goes from Jan 1 to Dec 31 of the current year.
- **Administrative Period** – The administrative period includes all periods between the start date of a new variable-hour or seasonal employee and the date the employee is first offered coverage under the employer's group health plan, other than the initial measurement period. This provides a period of time to evaluate eligibility and to complete the benefit enrollment process. This period is 30 days from an employee's start date or change in status date.

BA. Medical and Dental Insurance. Subject to approved budget line item(s) for such, The City ~~generally pays a portion~~ pays 80% of the cost of health and dental insurance for an employee and their family.

4. WORK WEEK.

~~A. For most administrative positions the work week begins on Friday at 12:00:00p.m. (noon) and ends on the following Friday at 11:59:59a.m.~~

~~B. For most operational and water positions the work week begins Thursday at 12:00:00a.m. (midnight) and ends on the following Thursday at 11:59:59p.m.~~

~~A. Most City employee's work a 9x9 schedule. Work weeks for these employees start on Friday 4 hours after the start of their normal start time. i.e.~~

- ~~• If your normal start time is 7am then your workweek starts at 11am each Friday.~~
- ~~• If your normal start time is 7:30am then your workweek starts at 11:30am each Friday.~~

~~C. Certain employees may require alternative workweeks. These positions will have the workweek communicated to them at hire or before the start of the pay period.~~

~~B. Work schedules may vary among departments to accommodate the operational needs of the department and the City. Employees working altering schedules will have the workweek communicated to them at the time of hire or prior to the start of the pay period.~~

~~6. APPEARANCE. Employees must present a favorable impression during any contact or potential contact with the public. Therefore, all employees are expected to maintain a neat, clean, and professional appearance. Beards, mustaches, and hair must be neatly trimmed. Standards of dress shall be appropriate to the job and the tasks to be accomplished. Office personnel shall, at a minimum, dress in casual business attire. The following items are considered to be unacceptable attire: clothing that has logo's, slogans, or sayings (except a manufacturer logo or Herriman logo), an athletic shoe, rubber-soled flip-flops, rubber-soled crocks, denim pants, T-shirts, halter tops, tank tops, tube tops, beachwear, midriff length, or off-the-shoulder tops, unreasonably loose, baggy, revealing, provocative, sheer, transparent, tight-fitting, frayed, holey, torn, dirty, or cutoffs. Field personnel shall, at a minimum, wear Herriman logo shirts, coats or jackets that do not have logo's, slogans, or sayings (except a manufacturer logo), hats, and pants that are not unreasonably loose, baggy, revealing, provocative, sheer, transparent, tight fitting, frayed, holey, torn, dirty, or cut off, and appropriate footwear in accordance with applicable standards. Temporary employees shall wear Herriman logo T-shirts that are not unreasonably loose, baggy, revealing, provocative, sheer, transparent, tight fitting, frayed, holey, torn, dirty, or cut off. Casual dress days are authorized when approved by the City Manager. If an employee's attire is questionable, the employee's supervisor will hold a private discussion with the employee regarding the inappropriateness of the attire. If an observed policy violation occurs, the employee will be sent home immediately, instructed to return dressed in more appropriate clothing, and placed on leave-without-pay status for the period of absence from work.~~

6. APPEARANCE: We expect our staff to dress professionally and in accordance of their duties for the day. Professional dress is based on the job duties/assignments for the day and the level of interactions with residents and business partners. As a guideline the City leans towards a conservative nature, we trust our employees to dress in the best way to best represent the City.

Managers can make determinations and department specific policies on if employees are meeting appearance expectations and provide appropriate correction; any inconsistencies between departments will be reviewed by Human Resources and/or the City Manager as needed.

~~6. APPEARANCE. Employees must present a favorable impression during any contact or potential contact with the public. Therefore, all employees are expected to maintain a neat, clean, and professional appearance. Beards, mustaches, and hair must be neatly trimmed. Standards of dress shall be appropriate to the job and the tasks to be accomplished. Office personnel shall, at a minimum, dress in casual business attire. The following items are considered to be unacceptable attire: clothing that has logo's, slogans, or sayings (except a manufacturer logo or Herriman logo), an athletic shoe, rubber-soled flip flops, rubber-soled crocks, denim pants, T-shirts, halter tops, tank tops, tube tops, beachwear, midriff length, or off-the-shoulder tops, unreasonably loose, baggy, revealing, provocative, sheer, transparent, tight-fitting, frayed, holey, torn, dirty, or cutoffs. Field personnel shall, at a minimum, wear Herriman logo shirts, coats or jackets that do not have logo's, slogans, or sayings (except a manufacturer logo), hats, and pants that are not unreasonably loose, baggy, revealing, provocative, sheer, transparent, tight fitting, frayed, holey, torn, dirty, or cut off, and appropriate footwear in accordance with applicable standards. Temporary employees shall wear Herriman logo T-shirts that are not unreasonably loose, baggy, revealing, provocative, sheer, transparent, tight fitting, frayed, holey, torn, dirty, or cut off. Casual dress days are authorized when approved by the City Manager. If an employee's attire is questionable, the employee's supervisor will hold a private discussion with the employee regarding the inappropriateness of the attire. If an observed policy violation occurs, the employee will be sent home immediately, instructed to return dressed in more appropriate clothing, and placed on leave without pay status for the period of absence from work.~~

6. APPEARANCE: Our staff represents the City, employees are to dress in a professional manner that creates trust and respect. Employees are expected to present a favorable impression during any contact or potential contact with the public. Therefore, all employees are expected to maintain a neat, clean, and professional appearance. Managers can make determinations if employees are meeting appearance expectations and provide appropriate correction; any inconsistencies between departments will be reviewed by Human Resources and/or the City Manager.

A. Office staff are expected to dress professionally for the business they are conducting. Styles and trends change, but if an employee wears classic, professional clothing, inappropriate dress can be minimized. Provocative, suggestive, or other inappropriate work attire as defined below are **“not”** allowed in the workplace:

- T-shirts or similar
- Clothing displaying slogans and graphic designs which may be offensive, loud or in poor taste
- Casual sandals, flip flops, rubber sandals or athletic/tennis shoes.
- Denim pants- including colored denim
- Any clothing that appears to be worn, faded or in poor condition
- Sweatshirts and hoodies
- Clothing that is revealing including sheer, low cut, or off-the-shoulder such as tube tops, tank tops, spaghetti straps, bare backs, midriffs
- Any skirts or dresses that are more than 4 inches above the knee
- Facial hair must be neatly trimmed and may not present an unkempt appearance. Extreme styles are not permitted.

- Clothing that could pose a safety issue
- Depending on the frequency and contact with the public, an employee with tattoos may be asked to cover them up
- Any clothing the manager deems as unprofessional

B. Our primary focus for employees working outside is personal protection and then professional appearance to our residents. As a benefit to certain departments and to keep dress consistent, each year the City may decide if additional funds will be provided for clothing orders. Employees working consistently outside should wear:

- Leather boots that go to the ankle
- Herriman logo attire. If multiple layer of clothing are needed then the outside garment will have the Herriman logo.
- Regular employees must wear an improved City logo collared shirts. Seasonal employees may wear City branded t-shirts
- Shirts must be tucked in
- Clothing in good condition where the fit is for safety and professionalism
- Clothing that is washed before each shift
- Denim pants or equivalent material for the job duty
- If a hat is used, it must have the City logo. Hats are to be worn forward.
- Personal protection equipment/clothing
- Tattoos are acceptable as long they are not vulgar or deemed inappropriate. If tattoos become a problem the City may request the employee covering them up
- Facial hair must be neatly trimmed and may not present an unkempt appearance. Extreme styles are not permitted. Facial hair must meet safety standards for respirators.
- Any other clothing deemed as needed by the supervisor

C. Guidelines for employees working at Blackridge concessions or similar venues:

- Closed toe shoes
- Lightweight pants/shorts and shirts that meet similar modesty standards as a public school.
- Herriman logo shirt or Herriman logo apparel

D. Employees are encouraged to be cognoscente of wearing Herriman logo attire while off the clock especially in situations that may cause concern for residents on their judgement and professionalism.

E. Casual dress days are authorized when approved by the City Manager. These usually include office staff wearing denim pants but shoes and tops must still meet City guidelines.

**Herriman City Staff Report
City Council Meeting 10-26-2016**

Agenda Item: Presentation of Credit Card Policy

Staff Report submitted by: Alan Rae

Recommended City Council Action:

BACKGROUND:


The finance department is working to draft policies and procedures for finance and accounting functions. Our first policy that we bring to the council is for Credit Card use. This policy documents the current practice with our credit cards. We believe that this fairly represents how the process is currently operating but this will formalize the policy.

SUPPORT MATERIALS:

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

None

Respectfully Submitted:



Alan Rae

Herriman City

CREDIT CARD USE POLICY

Herriman City
CREDIT CARD USE POLICY

Effective October 3, 2016

Overview and Statement of Intent. Herriman City issues a credit card for Department Heads and certain authorized department employees and/or City Representatives to use for legitimate business purposes in accordance with the City's Purchasing Policy. Permissible uses for a City-issued credit card include expenses relating to local meetings (including meal expenses), all fees defined in Section XVI of the Herriman City Policies and Procedures, travel, hotel accommodations and business related purchases in accordance with the City's purchasing policy. Under no circumstances is the use of a City-issued credit card intended to enable the cardholder to circumvent any provision(s) of any existing or future City Purchasing Policy and/or Travel Policy

Purpose of Policy.

- I. To ensure that City transactions are carried out as effectively as possible through the use of credit cards as appropriate and in accordance with the Overview and Statement of Intent outlined in the preceding paragraph.
- II. To guard against any possible abuse of City issued credit cards.

Credit cards will be procured by the Finance Department and will be issued in the name of Herriman City (hereinafter referred to as "*the City*") and the Department Head, authorized employee or City Representative (hereinafter referred to as "*Cardholder*").

The following procedures shall be observed when using a City issued business credit card:

1. **Issuance of Card.**
 - a. Only one credit card will be issued per Cardholder.
 - b. Credit cards will be issued on request of department heads with the approval of the City Manager.
 - c. Credit cards shall only be used by the cardholder. The Cardholder is responsible for payment or resolution of all charge transactions placed on the credit card, with no exceptions.
 - c. In the event it becomes necessary to allow an employee who is not a cardholder to use a business credit card, the Finance Department may, upon proper authorization by the employee's Department Head, issue a "floater" credit card that may be temporarily used by the employee.
2. **Keep the Card in a Secure Location.** Cardholders are responsible for the safekeeping of the City credit card. In the event that the card has been lost or stolen, or if unauthorized transactions are detected, it is the Cardholder's responsibility to immediately notify the City Finance Department.

3. **Credit Limits.** Credit limits, including any increases in credit limits, will be established as appropriate and will be at the discretion of the City Finance Director.
4. **Credit Card Expenditures in General.** The Cardholder agrees that:
 - a. Under no circumstance is the use of a City-issued credit card intended to enable the cardholder to circumvent the existing City Purchasing Policy and established procedures regarding fixed assets, budgeting, purchasing, or the cardholder's obligation to submit substantiation of expenditures.
 - b. The card will only be used for those activities that are a direct consequence of the Cardholder's function within the City and that under no circumstance will the card be used for personal reasons; furthermore, the Cardholder agrees to be personally liable for any unauthorized transactions unless the card is lost, stolen or subject to fraud on some part of a third party;
 - c. Maximum credit limits established for the card by the Finance Director will not be exceeded;
 - d. When using the card to make online internet purchases, the Cardholder shall make every effort to ensure that the purchase is made from a secure website using secure online access, thereby limiting the risk of fraud or theft;
 - e. All credit card purchases that require shipment will be shipped to the Cardholder's business address. In no instance shall any shipment resulting from a credit card purchase be shipped to the Cardholder's home address;
 - f. Each Month the Cardholder will be provided a copy of the statement for purchases made on their business card. The Cardholder will complete a purchasing card log (Attachment 1) with substantiation for each item on the card statement. The substantiation will include the budget code to charge the transaction, purpose of the expenditure, and in the case of meals note who was in attendance. The Purchasing card log must be signed by the Cardholder and the Cardholder's supervisor. Detailed receipts must be attached to the purchase card log for each transaction.
 - g. Monthly Credit card statements will be verified and reviewed for accuracy by the direct supervisor of the cardholder. If in agreeance, the supervisor will sign off on the statement and purchases. To ensure that the card is used for authorized purchases; adequate receipts and/or sufficient documentation to support purchases is provided by the cardholder; and card use is not in violation of City policies, including the City's Travel and Purchasing Policies. The cardholder agrees to allow and to cooperate fully with such audit and to immediately place into operation any recommendations resultant from such audit.
 - h. If a verification receipt is lost, the cardholder will provide a written detailed explanation of the purchase which must be verified by the cardholder's supervisor.
5. **Credit Card Expenditures in conjunction with City Travel.** When utilizing the City credit card for travel expenses associated with City business, the Cardholder agrees that:
 - a. In all cases involving travel (*even if the travel does not involve an overnight stay*) a Travel and Training Request Form as depicted in *Attachment 2* will be completed by the cardholder. Use of the business credit card does not negate the responsibility of the Cardholder to complete a Travel and Training Request Form.
 - b. Use of the card for the purchase of alcoholic beverages is strictly prohibited;

- c. Use of the card for purchase of fuel in personal vehicles is strictly prohibited. If a personal vehicle is being used please refer to Section XX: Vehicle Safety in the Policy and Procedures Manual
 - d. In accordance with the City's Travel and Training Policies, a Travel and Training Request Form must be signed by the Employee, Employee's Supervisor, Human Resources, Finance Director and City Manager.
6. **Credit Card Statements.** A monthly credit card statement will be mailed from the bank to the Cardholder. The Cardholder agrees:
- a. For all purchases, to obtain and retain sufficient supporting documentation (itemized receipts) to validate all expenditures made using the card. A credit card *authorization* receipt that does not include an itemized detail of purchases does not constitute supporting documentation
 - b. To review the credit card statement and report any inaccuracies to the Finance Department;
 - c. To verify that the goods and/or services listed on the credit card statement were in fact received;
 - d. For each credit card statement, to (1) complete the "Purchasing Card Log" form as depicted as *Attachment 1*; (2) Attach itemized receipts supporting each expenditure to the credit card statement and Monthly Summary of Purchases; (3) for each purchase, note the departmental expense account code to be charged; (4) acknowledge approval of the expenditure; (5) verify that the expenditure has been made for official purposes by signing and dating the statement; and (6) if applicable, obtain approval of Cardholder's supervisor; and
 - e. To forward the statement and supporting documentation to the Finance Department **within ten days of receiving the monthly statement** in order that payment may be submitted without incurring late fees.
6. **Return of the City Credit Card.** The Cardholder agrees to return the card in the event the Cardholder retires, resigns, or his or her employment with Herriman City is otherwise terminated. Additionally, the Cardholder agrees to return the card in the event it is determined there is no longer a need to retain his or her card or if the card has been cancelled by the bank.
7. **Credit Card Misuse.** Misuse of City Credit Cards will result in disciplinary action in accordance with Herriman City's Policy and Procedures Manual, including and up to termination of employment with Herriman City. Additionally, whenever a breach in this Policy occurs, City Management must assess the nature of the breach and reserves the right to report the misuse to law enforcement for criminal investigation.

Attachments:

- 1: Purchasing Card Log
- 2: Travel & Training Request Form

Purchasing Card Transaction Log

Cardholder Name:						
Department:		Finance				
#	Date	Merchant	Description of Purchase	Total	Dept Approval	Account
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
			Log Total	\$0.00		
			Statement Total			

Cardholder Signature & Date _____ Manager's Signature & Date _____



Travel and Training Request Form

Name: _____ Department: _____
 Budget Description: _____ Budget Code: _____

Reason for Request: _____
 Address: _____
 When will you leave and return? _____

Will travel out of valley be required? Yes No
 If yes, what is your destination? _____

Will hotel be required? Yes No
 If yes, which hotel do you prefer? _____
 Address _____
 Phone _____
 Confirm # _____

Will a Herriman vehicle be required? Yes No
 If yes, please reserve your vehicle with Tina, X 139

Budget required for travel	\$	0			
	\$	0			Registration
	\$	0			Food
	\$	0			Hotel
	\$	0			Other
Total	\$	0			

Additional requests: _____

Approval: _____

Employee _____ Date _____

Supervisor _____ Date _____

HR _____ Date _____

Finance _____ Date _____

City Manager _____ Date _____



STAFF REPORT

DATE: October 19, 2016
TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Blake Thomas, City Engineer
SUBJECT: Engineering Updates for City Council

RECOMMENDATION:

N/A

BACKGROUND:

The Engineering Department provides a monthly update on the current status of capital projects, developments, and other items regarding infrastructure or engineering issues.

DISCUSSION:

The focus of the discussion will be to preview a comprehensive list of capital projects and determine a priority for each project



STAFF REPORT

DATE: October 20, 2016
TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder
SUBJECT: Approval of Minutes for October 12, 2016

Please see the attached minutes for the October 12, 2016 City Council Meeting.



CITY COUNCIL MINUTES
Wednesday, October 12, 2016
***Amended Monday, October 10, 2016 @ 3:00 p.m.**
Awaiting Formal Approval

The following are the minutes of the City Council Meeting of the Herriman City Council. The meeting was held on **Wednesday, October 12, 2016 at 5:00 p.m.** in the Herriman City Community Center Council Chambers, 13011 South Pioneer Street (6000 West), Herriman, Utah. Adequate notice of this meeting, as required by law, was posted in the Community Center, on the City's website, and delivered to members of the Council, media, and interested citizens.

Presiding: Mayor Carmen Freeman

Council Members Present: Jared Henderson, Nicole Martin, Craig B. Tischner and Coralee Wessman-Moser

Staff Present: City Manager Brett Geo. Wood, Assistant City Manager Gordon Haight, Director of Administration and Communications Tami Moody, City Recorder Jackie Nostrom, City Attorney John Brems, Finance Director Alan Rae, Water Director Justun Edwards, Chief of Police Dwayne Anjewierden, UFA Battalion Chief Riley Pilgrim, City Planner Bryn McCarty, Operations Director Monte Johnson, Parks and Recreation Director Wendy Thomas, City Engineer Blake Thomas, Events Manager Danie Bills, and Administrative Assistant Shelly Peterson.

5:00 PM - WORK MEETING: *(Front Conference Room)*

1. 5:03:58 PM Council Business

Mayor Carmen Freeman called the meeting to order and welcomed those in attendance. Councilmember Craig B. Tischner introduced resident Tammy Gordon, and asked the Council if they would support a future discussion regarding her vision for her property. The Council agreed.

1.1. Review of this evening's agenda

2. Administrative Reports

2.1. 5:07:17 PM Miller Crossing Development Agreement Discussion – Bryn McCarty, City Planner

City Planner Bryn McCarty presented the approved preliminary Planned Unit Development (PUD) plan to the Council, and noted the requested change from the developer to alter the layout of the residential units that abut Midas Creek. She explained that the open space would increase and residential units would not increase, and asked if the Council would consider discussing the possibility of the proposed amendment. The Council agreed.

2.2. [5:13:11 PM](#) Water Department Report – Justun Edwards, Water Director

[5:15:23 PM](#) *Councilmember Jared Henderson arrived.*

Water Director Justun Edwards offered a list of projects for the Water Department including the East Herriman Culinary Pump Station, East Herriman Culinary Tank, the Tuscany Well Redevelopment, the secondary well connection system improvements, and the Water Master Plan update. Mayor Freeman asked if the secondary water system was at capacity. Director Edwards responded that the current system could accommodate another five to ten years of development. Councilmember Nicole Martin asked if the Homebuilders Association would provide input regarding the Culinary Water Impact Fee Analysis. This was confirmed.

Director Edwards continued with an overview of the Water Departments' operation and maintenance of hydrant flushing and valve exercising, Pressure Reducing Valve (PRV) maintenance, seasonal operation adjustments, meter and jumper sets for new development, draining and winterizing the secondary water system, and the Division of Drinking Water (DDW) Sanitary Survey for High Country I and II.

Director Edwards noted that the request to reduce the water impact fee for a property owner would be presented to the Council for consideration during the general meeting. He oriented the Council as to the location and terrain of the property, and displayed the site plan indicating the proposed design of the landscaping. The current water impact fee charges are based on lot size. Councilmember Coralee Wessman-Moser asked if the identified portion of native landscape exceeded the thirty-percent grade that building would not be permissible. Director Edwards indicated that he would have to get with Engineering Staff to determine the percentage of slope. Mayor Freeman expressed his concern that we are setting a precedent and the property owner could change the dynamic of the lot.

Mayor Freeman informed the Council that he would like to have a future discussion pertaining to water conservation and outline the proactive steps that are being conducted to minimize water usage.

2.3. [5:28:18 PM](#) Informational City Manager Updates – Brett Wood, City Manager

City Manager Brett Wood updated the Council of the construction of City Hall, and noted that next week would commence the excavation of the park site. He noted that the tentative completion date has been scheduled for August 29, 2017, and noted that the timeframe may be shortened. Manager Wood reviewed the upcoming policy changes for consideration. He concluded with the request to take the unused allocated funding to the Unified Police Department for parking at Blackridge Reservoir to work on enforcement of traffic issues including speeding and distracted driving along Mountain View Corridor, 13400 South, 6000 West, 11800 South and Herriman Parkway. Mayor Freeman expressed his support of the proactive approach of the traffic issues. The Council agreed.

3. [5:56:21 PM](#) Closed Session

3.1. *The Herriman City Council may convene in a closed session to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual, pending or reasonable imminent litigation, and to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205*

Councilmember Moser moved to temporarily recess the City Council work meeting to discuss the purchase, exchange or lease of real property as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion.

The vote was recorded as follows:

Councilmember Jared Henderson Aye

Councilmember Coralee Wessman-Moser Aye

Councilmember Craig B. Tischner Aye

Councilmember Nicole Martin Aye

Mayor Carmen Freeman Aye

The motion passed unanimously.

The Council reconvened to the City Council work meeting at 7:02 p.m.

4. Adjournment

The City Council adjourned the City Council work meeting by consensus at 7:02 p.m.

7:15 PM - GENERAL MEETING:

1. 7:11:44 PM Call to Order

Mayor Freeman called the meeting to order, and welcomed those in attendance. He noted the removal of agenda items 5.3 and 5.4 regarding the Anthem Development.

1.1. 7:12:22 PM Invocation and Pledge

The invocation was offered by Scout Johann Moore and the Pledge of Allegiance was led by Scout Troop #1411.

1.2. 7:13:33 PM Approval of Minutes September 14, 2016

Councilmember Moser moved to approve the minutes of September 14, 2016 as written. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion, and all voted aye.

1.3. 7:13:52 PM Council Recognitions

There were no Council recognitions.

1.4. 7:14:01 PM Mayor's Comments

Mayor Freeman thanked all of the participants in the Miss Herriman Scholarship Pageant, and announced the 2016-2017 Royalty: Queen: McKenzie Jensen; First Attendant: Brinlee Johnson; Second Attendant: Cassandra Francis. He noted that the royalty would be recognized at the next City Council meeting.

2. 7:14:46 PM Public Comment

Rob Thomas, 12877 South 6000 West, expressed his desire to reiterate the speeding issue along 6000 West, and asked the Council to take immediate action. He noted nothing had been done including installing high intensity lights, installing an asphalt pathway, or having a strong Unified Police Department presence. Mr. Thomas relayed his concern about the excessive speed motorists' travel down the road, and informed the Council that an individual was recently ticketed travelling 68 MPH along 6000 West.

John Olsen, 6877 Tracy Loop Road, relayed his concern of the Anthem Development that had been removed from the agenda. He noted that Riverton City experienced a similar issue, and rescheduled the

discussion with no announcement. Mr. Olsen expressed his disdain for high density along with the associated crime rate, and hoped that a similar outcome did not happen in Herriman City.

Mike O'Rourke, 5539 West Turret Arch Lane, questioned if the Unified Police Department had plans to increase police presence in commercial areas to discourage large vehicle parking over extended periods. He also expressed his concern about the abandoned development, and requested to know more information about the project. Mr. O'Rourke reiterated to make certain a public notice is posted and disseminated to the residents.

Daryl Robinson, indicated that he needed help advertising for his political campaign for the Jordan School District Board. He explained that the Herriman City Ordinance would not allow signs to be placed on public right-of-way. Mayor Freeman differed Mr. Robinson to City Manager Brett Wood.

Councilmember Moser recommended residents go to www.pmn.utah.gov to have an agenda automatically scanned and emailed to them to be aware of upcoming agenda items.

3. Mayor and Council Comments

3.1. [7:27:01 PM](#) City Council Board and Committee Reports

Mayor Freeman reported that the Unified Police Department has been discussing the continuation of OPEB benefits after retirement.

4. Reports, Presentations, and Appointments

4.1. [7:27:29 PM](#) Unified Fire Authority EMS Bureau Chief Clint Smith Recognition – Brett Wood, City Manager

City Manager Brett Wood expressed his appreciation to Chief Clint Smith as he resigned after seven years from being the Herriman City liaison with the Unified Fire Authority. Chief Smith will continue to serve on the Planning Commission. City Manager Wood presented a fire hydrant table as a small token of appreciation. Chief Smith thanked the Council and staff for their support, time, trust, and personal friendships. He extended his gratitude for the opportunity to serve as the liaison for Herriman City. The audience offered their applause. The Council expressed their appreciation to Chief Smith.

5. Discussion and Action Items

5.1. [7:48:46 PM](#) Discussion and consideration of an ordinance approving a Water Impact Fee adjustment – Justun Edwards, Water Director

Water Director Justun Edwards informed the Council that the property owner has requested a reduction to the Water Impact Fee, and noted that the proposal would have to be granted by the City Council. He oriented the audience as to the location of the property, and noted that the owner will be constructing a home on the lot. The property owner relayed his intention to keep the majority of the parcel in native vegetation, which would not require the use of irrigation water. Director Edwards explained that if the Council granted the reduction, the impact fee would be reduced to \$8,291.

Mayor Freeman expressed his concern about setting precedence, and asked if any request had been previously approved. Director Edwards responded that this type of request does not come up often. Mayor Freeman explained that he was reluctant to advocate for a reduction in fees as the property owner or future property owner could change the landscape design in the future. Councilmember Moser recalled

previous requests that had not been approved due to the same reasoning, and recommended that the fee be assessed as outlined in the fee schedule. She suggested that if the grade of the slope exceeded thirty-percent where the area would be unusable could be grounds for a reduction of impact fees. The Council agreed.

This item failed due to the lack of a motion.

5.2. [7:56:05 PM](#) Discussion and consideration of a text change to the Land Use Ordinance to create a Technology and Manufacturing Zone (14Z16) – Bryn McCarty, City Planner

City Planner Bryn McCarty explained that the extended discussion regarding the Technology and Manufacturing Zone would be a new designation of zoning to encourage the development of well designed technological and manufacturing parks. The zoning designation would allow uses such as research, development, office, data centers, manufacturing, fabrication, processing, storage, warehousing and wholesale distribution. She explained that the Planning Commission recommended several changes, and noted she would go through the large changes since the last time that the Council discussed the zone.

Planner McCarty noted that it was requested to remove the “and” from the Technology and Manufacturing (TM) Zone, and explained that any use within the TM Zone would be conditional. She reviewed the conditional use and zoning process. The TM Zoning designation would require a submission of the site master plan. At the time the request is to be approved, the property could have a zoning condition restricting or prohibiting uses or building heights that are deemed to be incompatible with adjacent zoning uses.

All proposed developments would require a minimum of twenty-percent of the total site to be landscaped as outlined in Herriman City Code. The landscaping would include amenities like water features, sports courts, gazebos, connections to master planned trails, or other landscaping plantings. The landscaped areas will be planted with live plant material. The front yard and side yard areas which abut a street will be landscaped and maintained with live plant material including shrubs, flowers and trees for twenty-feet. A minimum eight-foot decorative masonry wall would be required between warehousing or manufacturing uses and agricultural or residential zones. A taller fence may be required to separate non-compatible uses.

The design criteria would require compliance with the commercial design criteria. Building elevations would be reviewed and approved as part of the master plan for the site, and metal building would be prohibited. Any development within the TM zone would require a development agreement to be reviewed and approved by the City Council.

Mayor Freeman asked about considerations for nuisance factors, and suggested that the requirements be outlined in the proposed ordinance. City Planner McCarty agreed. Mayor Freeman recommended that commercial storage incidental to an allowed use should make reference to not allowing excessive clutter around the site. Councilmember Moser agreed, and noted a caveat be placed as part of a zoning condition. Mayor Freeman observed the Financial Services conditional use and asked if that would allow a Pay Day loan location. Planner McCarty explained that the intent of that language is to allow a business like a visa processing center. Councilmember Moser suggested the language be broadened on what would be allowed. Councilmember Martin noted that the ordinance should call out what the City does not desire to be in the zone. The Council agreed.

Mayor Freeman asked if any restaurant type would be permissible in the zone. This was confirmed. Councilmember Moser noted that any restaurant type would help support business employment in the area, and would be a compatible use. Mayor Freeman questioned the temporary construction buildings and yards. Planner McCarty noted that the use would be acceptable during construction. Councilmember Moser recommended having the term defined in the ordinance. Mayor Freeman asked if the landscaping ordinance would allow water wise landscaping. Planner McCarty noted that live plan material would be required.

Councilmember Moser identified that the term “and” had not been removed in several sections of the ordinance when referring to the Technology and Manufacturing Zone. She also noted that there was a conflict with storage of materials where the warehousing distribution does not allow outdoor storage. City Planner McCarty agreed, and recommended to remove any indications pertaining to storage. Councilmember Moser added that the zoning condition could indicate that the approval would be subject to screening requirements. Councilmember Moser asked about the intent when the ordinance indicated that the whole development shall be planned and simultaneously developed. Planner McCarty responded that the design should be conducted at the same time, but not the construction.

Councilmember Moser asked what determined the required landscaping on the front and side of the development, and suggested that a caveat be put in place that installed trees should be approved by the City Arborist. Planner McCarty explained that any setbacks adjacent to the street shall be landscaped, and noted that the approved tree list could be incorporated. Councilmember Moser noted that the conditional use list should also include conference centers, as that use would be compatible. She also suggested that the masonry wall should be required for uses other than what was outlined including: Public and Quasi Public, School, and Professional Offices. Councilmember Tischner expressed his concern with masonry walls as they are a target for graffiti. Planner McCarty noted that the intent was to allow pre cast walls. City Manager Wood recommended that the ordinance should be more specific.

Councilmember Jared Henderson asked why the berm requirement was ten-feet, and suggested that area may be too large. Planner McCarty responded that she would work with the Engineering and Parks Department to adopt a setback that would be acceptable.

Councilmember Tischner moved to continue the ordinance authorizing a text change to the Land Use Ordinance to create a Technology and Manufacturing Zone (14Z16). Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion, and all voted aye.

~~5.3. *Discussion and consideration of a proposed rezone located at approximately 12600 South Anthem Park Boulevard from C-2 (Commercial) to R-2-10 (Medium Density Residential) (19Z16) – Bryn McCarty, City Planner~~

~~5.4. *Discussion and consideration of an ordinance approving the Master Development Agreement for the Anthem Master Planned Community – John Brems, City Attorney~~

6. Calendar

6.1. Meetings

- October 20 – Planning Commission Meeting 7:00 p.m.

- October 26 – City Council Work Meeting 5:00 p.m.; City Council Meeting 7:00 p.m.

6.2. Events

- October 15 – Pumpkin Festival 7:00 p.m.; ~~Herriman High School – 11917 South 6000 West, Herriman~~ W & M Butterfield Park; 5:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.
- October 31 - Halloween

7. Closed Session (If Needed)

7.1. *The Herriman City Council may temporarily recess the City Council meeting to convene in a closed session to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual, pending or reasonable imminent litigation, and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205*

There was no closed session.


8. [8:45:43 PM](#) Adjournment

Martin moved; Henderson seconded.

Councilmember Martin moved to adjourn the City Council meeting. Councilmember Henderson seconded the motion, and all voted aye.

9. Recommence to Work Meeting (If Needed)

I, Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder for Herriman City, hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate and complete record of the meeting held on October 12, 2016. This document constitutes the official minutes for the Herriman City Council Meeting.



Jackie Nostrom, CMC
City Recorder



STAFF REPORT

DATE: October 18, 2016
TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Wendy Thomas, Director of Parks, Recreation & Events
SUBJECT: Blackridge Reservoir – 2016 Permit Process Review

RECOMMENDATION:

Continue Blackridge Parking Permit Program as per Herriman City Ordinance No. 2016-01 & 2016-13 in lieu of Park Entry Fee.

BACKGROUND:

Ordinance 2016-01 & 2016-13, Parking Declaration of Designation, outlines the parking designation area, cost and process for residents to obtain parking permits to park on public streets in the neighborhoods surrounding Blackridge Reservoir. The ordinance designated parking enforcement dates (May 1 – September 7) and hours (9:00 AM – 9:00 PM). Twenty-nine (29) homes participated in the permit program in the 2016 season.

DISCUSSION:

- **Hours of Park Operation**
 - April 1 – October 31 – 7:30 AM – 9:00 PM
 - November 1 – March 31 – 7:30 AM – 6:00 PM (Bathrooms closed)
- **Parking Permit Program Enforcement**
 - May 1 – September 7 – 9:00 AM – 9:00 PM
- **Survey Results**
 - 1154 Surveys were collected
 - 31% of Blackridge visitors report that they were residents of Herriman
 - 62% of Blackridge visitors report that they usually spend 2 – 3 hours at the reservoir
- **UPD Enforcement**
 - One fatality
- **Closures**
 - Blackridge was closed due to algal bloom concerns on Monday, July 18 and re-opened after water tests were completed on Tuesday, August 2.

ALTERNATIVES:

- Discontinue the Parking Permit Program
- Implement a day use fee for entrance at Blackridge Reservoir
- Prohibit swimming and other water activities at Blackridge Reservoir

- Limit the number of people allowed in the park at one time

FISCAL IMPACT:

No additional costs specific to the program are anticipated for 2017 unless we are forced to purchase new permits. Staff are investigating ways to use existing, unused permits from 2016, but alter them for 2017 with a sticker overlay. If we can't find a way to use existing permit tags, we will order new permit tags, but will significantly reduce the amount of permits ordered and will change the style to allow for multi-year use. There is funding allocated in the budget for the Blackridge Permit Program.



Mayor and Council

Monthly Financial Summary

Attached is the September 2016 financial report, all of the figures are through September 30, 2016. I have presented the information in summary format, please contact me with any questions or concerns.

General Fund

The general fund has revenues exceeding expenditures through September 30, 2016 in the amount of \$929,845 this represents an increase in fund balance. We are currently 25% of the year as you will see we are slightly behind of projects on revenue and well lower in expenditures resulting in fund balance growth.

Budget

The budget numbers represent the adopted budget of June 8, 2016.

Alan W Rae
Director of Finance

GENERAL FUND

9/30/2016

Budget

% of Budget

REVENUE

TAXES

Property Tax	148,656	650,000	22.87%
Sales & Use Tax	902,092	3,700,216	24.38%
Franchise Tax	327,887	2,001,038	16.39%
	<hr/>		
	1,378,635	6,351,254	21.71%

LICENSES & PERMITS

Business Licenses and Permit	12,982	82,333	15.77%
Building & Development Revenue	1,766,594	5,745,536	30.75%
	<hr/>		
	1,779,576	5,827,869	30.54%

INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE

Class "C" Road Fund Allotm	-	1,022,967	0.00%
Other Intergovernmental Revenue	-	40,685	0.00%
	<hr/>		
	-	1,063,652	0.00%

OTHER REVENUE

Parks/Rec Special Service District Fees	142,039	618,193	22.98%
Courts	22,510	160,000	14.07%
Miscellaneous Revenue	180,619	1,207,565	14.96%
Water Administrative Fee	-	500,000	0.00%
	<hr/>		
	345,168	2,485,758	13.89%

TOTAL GENERAL FUND REVENUE

	<hr/>		
	3,503,380	15,728,533	22.27%
	<hr/>		

EXPENDITURES

Governmental Activities

Legislative	22,764	125,520	18.14%
Planning Commission	3,557	25,900	13.73%
Legal	47,743	214,000	22.31%

Administration

Administration	244,437	837,908	29.17%
Communications	40,138	409,886	9.79%
Courts	43,182	187,205	23.07%
Human Resources	68,773	328,700	20.92%
Recorder	74,202	326,610	22.72%

Finance

Finance	108,441	421,250	25.74%
Information Technology	165,117	443,225	37.25%

Operations

Facilities	96,339	425,575	22.64%
Enforcement/Emergency	81,540	408,146	19.98%
Fleet Management	55,428	210,030	26.39%
Streets	156,401	1,739,348	8.99%
Snow Removal	-	159,300	0.00%
Storm Drain	33,007	233,701	14.12%
Street Signs	27,496	135,924	20.23%

	9/30/2016	Budget	% of Budget
Street Lights	85,210	420,767	20.25%
Parks, Recreation and Events			
Events	163,261	760,154	21.48%
Arts & Cultural Development	26,298	118,400	22.21%
Cemetery	7,967	84,200	9.46%
Parks	421,366	2,565,539	16.42%
Planning & Development			
Building	172,529	696,629	24.77%
Economic Development	35,836	234,945	15.25%
Engineering	216,085	849,653	25.43%
GIS	73,110	341,728	21.39%
Planning	103,308	497,160	20.78%
Transfers		2,527,130	0.00%
TOTAL GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES	2,573,535	15,728,533	16.36%
CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	929,845		

	9/30/2016	Budget	% of Budget
PARK IMPACT FEE FUND			
Revenue	548,899	1,752,500	31.32%
Expenditures	-	400,625	0.00%
Change in Fund Balance	548,899		

STORM DRAIN IMPACT FEE FUND			
Revenue	415,713	450,200	92.34%
Expenditures	5,158	290,114	1.78%
Change in Fund Balance	410,556		

ROAD IMPACT FEE FUND			
Revenue	537,310	2,096,800	25.63%
Expenditures	128,838	1,873,633	6.88%
Change in Fund Balance	408,472		

NEW DEVELOPMENT STREET LIGHTS			
Revenue	371,685	452,500	82.14%
Expenditures	60,318	452,500	13.33%
Change in Fund Balance	311,367		

PUBLIC SAFETY FUND			
Revenue	-		0.00%
Expenditures	-	6,000	0.00%
Change in Fund Balance	-		

NEW DEVELOPMENT STREET SIGNS			
Revenue	77,665	70,000	110.95%
Expenditures	14,415	66,000	21.84%
Change in Fund Balance	63,250		

HERRIMAN TOWNE CENTER CDA/SAA			
Revenue	20,997	2,525,000	0.83%
Expenditures	1,098,527	3,193,172	34.40%
Change in Fund Balance	(1,077,530)		

HERRIMAN TOWNE CENTER-CITY HALL			
Revenue	5,861,052	150,618	3891.34%
Expenditures	1,575,611	14,500,000	10.87%
Change in Fund Balance	4,285,441		

CAPITAL PROJECTS			
Revenue	-	4,002,000	0.00%
Expenditures	3,840,408	4,000,000	96.01%
Change in Fund Balance	(3,840,408)		

WATER FUND

	9/30/2016	Budget	% of Budget
REVENUE			
Operating Income			
Water Sales	3,287,205	6,866,894	47.87%
Secondary Water Sales	257,286	324,480	79.29%
Connection Fees	88,425	189,260	46.72%
Other Operating Income	76,277	232,408	32.82%
Total Operating Income	3,709,194	7,613,042	48.72%
Operating Expenses			
Administration	193,163	3,462,700	5.58%
Maintenance	1,374,332	3,999,175	34.37%
Blue Stakes	25,120	79,085	31.76%
Secondary Water	98,332	578,000	17.01%
Total Operating Expenses	1,690,946	8,118,960	20.83%
Total Income from Operations	2,018,248	(505,918)	-398.93%
Non-Operating Revenue	3,700	20,000	18.50%
Non-Operating Expenses	-	950,000	0.00%
Total Income or (Expense)	2,021,948		

WATER RIGHTS IMPACT FEE FUND

Revenue	507,599	1,585,100	32.02%
Expenditures	8,910	1,585,100	0.56%
Change in Fund Balance	498,689		

WATER IMPACT FEE FUND

Revenue	671,355	12,000,000	5.59%
Expenditures	17,253	12,000,000	0.14%
Change in Fund Balance	654,102		



STAFF REPORT

DATE: October 20, 2016
TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Jordan School District
SUBJECT: Resolution Expressing Support of the \$245 Million Bond

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of the Resolution supporting the Jordan School District \$245 Million Bond

BACKGROUND:

The Jordan School District would like to share some of the things we've heard since the last bond and what changes that have made.

What was heard:

- The last proposed bond was too large (\$495 million)
- The District needed to use existing funds before asking taxpayers for more
- Reduce building costs
- Teachers need to be paid more
- Administrative salaries are too large
- Include cities in future planning
- Our buildings were underutilized
- Bond project list was too large

What was done:

- This proposed bond is less than half the amount (\$245 million)
- We are building two new schools using limited existing funds
- We reduced construction costs by more than 17%
- For three consecutive years all employees received a raise
- Top administrative salaries have been cut by 12% since 2013
- District included cities in future planning
- We completed a room by room analysis of schools in question
- This plan is for six new schools with no upgrades or renovations

Jordan School District has some of the largest school enrollments in the entire state. Copper Hills is the largest three-year high school in Utah with 2,711 students while Herriman High comes in second with 2,623 students.

Three of our middle schools are in the top 10 largest. The schools are: Sunset Ridge (1,575), Fort Herriman (1,530) and South Jordan(1,414).

Eighteen elementary schools in Utah have 1,000 or more students, six of those are in Jordan School District. The schools are: Eastlake Elementary (1,241), Foothills Elementary (1,153), Silver Crest Elementary (1,066), Welby Elementary (1,042), Blackridge Elementary (1,015) and Daybreak Elementary (1,014).

And the families and students keep coming. We are currently tracking 633 new residential developments district-wide. This includes the recently announced Riverton Mountain View Development.

Projected Growth

We want to give you a quick look at projected growth in some of our schools. Remember these projections change frequently as cities approve new developments. Herriman High was built for 2,650 students. If we do nothing, the enrollment in five years will be more than 4,700 students. That's larger than Snow College, BYU Hawaii or the LDS Business College.

We would need 85 portables on campus to accommodate all of the students at Herriman High. For a fun visual of this, if you stack 85 portables on top of each other, they would be almost as tall as the Empire State Building, taller than the Eiffel Tower and three times the height of the tallest building in Utah.

- At Copper Mountain Middle the capacity is 1,325, with a projected enrollment of nearly 2,700. In theory, we'd need 55 portables for those students.
- Fort Herriman Middle has a capacity of 1,200, with a five-year projection of packing 800 more students into the school.
- Bluffdale Elementary has a projected enrollment of 2,172 in the year 2021.
- Daybreak Elementary will grow by more than 900 students in five years.
- Silvercrest Elementary will grow by 700 children.
- Foothills Elementary will see more than 200 new students in five years.
- Eastlake Elementary will increase by more than 600 children.
- Butterfield Canyon Elementary may see nearly 750 new students by 2021.


District-wide we are projected to grow by more than 9,251 students by the 2021-22 school year. Keep in mind, all of these projections were confirmed by an independent consultant and we recognize charter schools may absorb some of this growth.

Solutions and Impacts

- Proposed \$245 million bond
- This money cannot be used for salaries or supplies
- \$16.80 more per year on a \$300,000 home
- Taxes for bond payments go down within a few years

Zions Bank has calculated the average homeowner will pay \$16.80 more per year than they currently pay for bond payments. Within a few years, taxes for the proposed bond will go down and even dip below current levels. Zions Bank is advising us on bond financing and has provided these calculations.

In this graph, dark gray represents the old bond debt. As you can see that debt is decreasing rapidly. Because we are almost done paying off old bond debt, taxpayers will only see a tax increase for the first few years of the proposed bond. This is represented in light gray on the chart. Then taxes to pay off debt are expected to gradually go down.



So Why Do We Bond

Bonding is the cheapest option with the lowest interest rates available to taxpayers for financing new school construction. However it is not the only option.

- Many people have asked why we don't use impact fees. Impact fees would burden taxpayers the least but they can't be collected by school districts in Utah.
- Bonding is the second best option because it offers the lowest interest rates. It is the best school financing choice available to taxpayers.

- Traditional funding has higher interest rates than bonding. That means your taxes would have to go up to pay for the higher rates. Traditional funding is more costly for taxpayers because taxes go up but do not come back down as bond debt is paid off.
- Pay-as-you-go has the highest cost and is the most expensive for taxpayers, because construction inflation costs go up each year. We can use this option as money becomes available but other maintenance and upkeep projects on current buildings are delayed to invest in new construction. With pay-as-you-go, we will not be able to build schools fast enough to keep up with growth.

DISCUSSION:

- The amount of the proposed bond is \$245 million
- The proposed bond will be used for 6 new school buildings only
- Rebuilding West Jordan Middle for safety is included in the 6 new schools
- The average homeowner will pay \$16.80 more per year than they currently pay for bond payments
- Within a few years, taxes for the bond will gradually go down
- More than 9,000 new students are projected to enroll in the District by the 2021-22 school year

HERRIMAN, UTAH
RESOLUTION NO.

**A RESOLUTION OF THE HERRIMAN CITY COUNCIL EXPRESSING SUPPORT
FOR THE JORDAN SCHOOL DISTRICT \$245 MILLION BOND**

WHEREAS, the Herriman City Council (“*Council*”) met in regular session on October 26, 2016, to consider, among other things, approving a resolution expressing support for the Jordan School District \$245 Million Bond; and

WHEREAS, Herriman City (“*City*”) and Jordan School District (“*District*”) entered into an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement that provides among other things that they work collaboratively together for the promotion and benefit of the children attending schools in the District; and

WHEREAS, the District serves more than 52,300 students a substantial portion of which live in the City; and

WHEREAS, it is projected that 9,250 new students will enroll in the District by 2021-2022; and

WHEREAS, the District proposes a bond (“*Bond*”) in the amount of \$245 million to build six new schools to accommodate such new students; and

WHEREAS, the Council is informed that the average homeowner property taxes will increase by \$16.80 per year to pay for the Bond; and

WHEREAS, the Council believes the Bond will serve to promote and benefit children attending District schools in the City.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Council supports the Bond.

This Resolution, assigned No. _____ shall take effect immediately upon passage and acceptance as provided herein.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 26th day of October 2016.

HERRIMAN

By: _____
Carmen Freeman, Mayor

ATTEST:

Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder



STAFF REPORT

DATE: August 3, 2016
TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Blake Thomas, City Engineer
SUBJECT: Interlocal Agreement for 11800 South Traffic Median with South Jordan

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKGROUND:

A traffic study was completed for the roads accessing Herriman High School. One of the recommendations of the study was to install a median in 11800 South to stop U-turns from occurring. South Jordan has prepared a design for the median and will contract for the work to be done.

DISCUSSION:

South Jordan has prepared a design for the median with significant input from the Herriman Engineering Department, Jordan School District, and affected residents. South Jordan will manage the project. The total cost is estimated to be \$100,000 which will be split such that Jordan School District will contribute \$18,000, Herriman will contribute \$30,000 and South Jordan will contribute \$52,000.

ALTERNATIVES:

None

FISCAL IMPACT:

\$30,000

HERRIMAN, UTAH
RESOLUTION NO. 16-

**A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT
BETWEEN CITY OF SOUTH JORDAN AND HERRIMAN WITH RESPECT TO 11800
SOUTH STRETT MEDIAN CONSTRUCTION**

WHEREAS, the Herriman City Council (“*Council*”) met in regular session on October 26, 2016, to consider, among other things, approving the an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement with City of South Jordan with respect to a11800 South Street Median Construction; and

WHEREAS, the Utah Interlocal Cooperative Act (UTAH CODE ANN. § 11-13-101, *et seq.*) (the “Act”) provides that any two or more government entities are authorized to enter into agreements with each other to do what each agency is authorized by law to perform; and

WHEREAS, the Herriman City (“Herriman”) and City of South Jordan (“South Jordan”) are government entities as contemplated by the Act; and

WHEREAS, Herriman and the South Jordan are authorized to enter into agreements with each other for cooperative action; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that it is in the best interests of the inhabitants of Herriman to enter into an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement with the South Jordan (“*Agreement*”); and

WHEREAS, the Agreement has been prepared for approval, which sets forth the purpose thereof, the extent of participation of the parties, and the rights and duties and responsibilities of the parties. A copy of the Agreement is attached hereto.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Agreement is approved, and the City Manager and Recorder are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver the same.

This Resolution, assigned No. 16-____, shall take effect immediately upon passage and acceptance as provided herein.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Council of Herriman, Utah, this 26th day of October, 2016.

HERRIMAN

Carmen Freeman, Mayor

Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder

**Interlocal Cooperation Agreement Between
the City of South Jordan and Herriman City**

11800 SOUTH STREET MEDIAN CONSTRUCTION

The CITY OF SOUTH JORDAN, a Utah municipal corporation (“South Jordan”), and HERRIMAN CITY (“Herriman”) enter into this agreement this 2 day of August, 2016 (“Effective Date”), and agree as set forth below.

RECITALS

South Jordan plans to cause an approximately 600 foot long concrete median on 11800 South Street (“11800 S.”), an east/west public right-of-way running between South Jordan and Herriman, east of where 6000 West Street intersects with 11800 S. (the “Project”). Because the north half of 11800 S. is located in South Jordan, and the south half is located in Herriman, the parties desire to act cooperatively to complete and pay for the Project.

As local governmental units, the parties are authorized under the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, Utah Code § 11-13-101, et seq. (the “Interlocal Act”), to make the most efficient use of their powers by acting cooperatively to provide needed services and facilities so that the parties benefit from economy of scale and shared resources. Therefore, the parties agree as follows:

TERMS

1. **CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.** South Jordan shall be responsible for all matters pertaining to the Project including hiring and paying a contractor to complete the Project. The Project will be completed according to South Jordan’s engineering standards for the design and construction of public streets.
2. **TERM.** The term of this agreement begins on the Effective Date and ends upon completion of the Project and payment by Herriman pursuant to Section 3 of this agreement. Although the parties anticipate that the Project will be completed before September 30, 2016, they acknowledge that many factors outside South Jordan’s control may affect its ability to complete the Project. Therefore, South Jordan will not be in breach of this agreement if the Project is not completed before September 30, 2016.
3. **PAYMENT.** Herriman shall reimburse South Jordan for half the cost to complete the Project. After the Project is complete, South Jordan shall send Herriman an invoice for its portion of the Project costs, which Herriman agrees to pay within thirty days of receiving the invoice.
4. **TERMINATION OR AMENDMENT.** This agreement and all provisions contained herein shall only be amended or terminated by written agreement between the parties, their successors, or their assigns.

5. **BREACH WILL NOT TERMINATE.** No breach or violation of any provision of this agreement will entitle any person or entity to cancel, rescind, or otherwise terminate this agreement, but this limitation will not affect, in any manner, any other rights or remedies to which a party may be entitled at law or in equity by reason of a breach of this agreement.

6. **LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION.** The parties are governmental entities under the Governmental Immunity Act of Utah, Utah Code § 63G-7-101, et seq. (the “Immunity Act”). Consistent with the terms of the Immunity Act, and as provided herein, the parties mutually agree that each party is responsible and liable for its own wrongful or negligent act committed by it or its agents, officers, or employees. Neither party waives any defenses otherwise available under the Immunity Act nor does any party waive any limits of liability currently provided by the Immunity Act. The parties agree to indemnify each other and hold each other harmless from any damages or claims from damages occurring to persons or property as a result of the negligence or fault of their own officers, employees, or agents involved in the Project.

7. **ADMINISTRATION.** This agreement does not create a separate entity; however, to the extent that any administration of this agreement becomes necessary, then the development services director of each party, or their designees, shall constitute a joint board for such purpose.

8. **INTERLOCAL COOPERATION ACT.** The parties acknowledge that this agreement is subject to the provisions and procedures of the Interlocal Act and they agree to process, approve, manage, and archive this agreement in compliance with the Interlocal Act.

9. **MISCELLANEOUS.**

a. **Entire Agreement.** This agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties, and no other promises or understandings, express and implied, shall be binding upon

b. **No Waiver.** Any party’s failure to enforce any provision of this agreement shall not constitute a waiver of the right to enforce such provision. The provisions may be waived only in writing by the party intended to be benefited by the provisions, and a waiver by a party of a breach hereunder by the other party shall not be construed as a waiver of any succeeding breach of the same or other provisions.

c. **Headings.** The descriptive headings of the paragraphs of this agreement are inserted for convenience only and shall not control or affect the meaning or construction of any provision this agreement.

d. **Severability.** If any of the provisions of this agreement are declared void or unenforceable, such provision shall be severed from this agreement. This agreement shall otherwise remain in full force and effect provided the fundamental purpose of this agreement and the parties’ ability to complete the Project as set forth herein is not defeated by such severance.

e. **Governing Law.** The laws of the State of Utah shall govern the interpretation and enforcement of this agreement.

f. **Attorney's Fees and Costs.** If any party brings legal action either because of a breach of this agreement or to enforce a provision of this agreement, the prevailing party or parties shall be entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and court costs.

g. **Binding Effect.** The benefits and burdens of this agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the parties and their respective heirs, legal representatives, successors in interest and assigns.

h. **No Third Party Rights.** The obligations of the parties set forth in this agreement shall not create any rights in or obligations to any other persons or parties except to the extent otherwise provided herein.

[SIGNATURE PAGES FOLLOWS]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Agreement as of the date first set forth above.

CITY OF SOUTH JORDAN, a Utah municipal corporation

By: [Signature]

Mayor
(Print name and title above)

Anna M. Wiss



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[Signature]
Attorney for City

HERRIMAN CITY, a Utah municipal corporation

By: _____

(Print name and title above)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Attorney for City

RESOLUTION R2016 - 46

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOUTH JORDAN, UTAH, APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SOUTH JORDAN AND HERRIMAN CITY FOR THE 11800 SOUTH STREET MEDIAN CONSTRUCTION AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN SAID AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, the City of South Jordan (“City”) and Herriman City (“Herriman”) are local government units under the laws of the State of Utah; and

WHEREAS, City and Herriman are authorized by the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, Utah Code § 11-13-101, et seq., to enter into agreements with each other, upon resolution to do so by their respective governing bodies, for the purpose of enabling them to make the most efficient use of their resources; and

WHEREAS, City prepared an interlocal agreement pertaining to the 11800 South Street Median Construction (the “Median”), which is attached hereto as Exhibit A (the “Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, City has requested that Herriman pay its share of the costs of the Median; and

WHEREAS, the Agreement will benefit the City’s citizens by constructing the Median as one project rather than two.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOUTH JORDAN, UTAH:

SECTION 1. Authorization to Sign. The Agreement is approved and the Mayor is authorized to execute the same.

SECTION 2. Effective Date. This resolution will be effective immediately upon passage.

[SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]

APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOUTH JORDAN, UTAH,
 ON THIS 2 DAY OF August, 2016, BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
Patrick Harris	<u>X</u>	_____	_____	_____
Bradley Marlor	<u>X</u>	_____	_____	_____
Donald Shelton	<u>X</u>	_____	_____	_____
Tamara Zander	<u>X</u>	_____	_____	_____
Christopher Rogers	<u>X</u>	_____	_____	_____

Mayor: 
 David L. Alvord

Attest: 
 City Recorder

Approved as to form:


 Office of the City Attorney



EXHIBIT A

**Interlocal Agreement with Herriman City
11800 South Street Median Construction**



STAFF REPORT

DATE: October 19, 2016

TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Planning Commission

SUBJECT: 21Z16 – Proposed Rezone from A-1 to C-2 on 23.5 acres of property.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission recommended approval of the rezone from A-1 to C-2, on the lots shown as office and commercial on the concept plan.

DISCUSSION:

This property is located at the intersection of Mountain View Corridor (MVC) and the future Academy Parkway. It has previously been shown as residential, but with the completion of the MVC frontage roads and the approval of the RSL Training facility, the draft general plan now shows commercial and medium density residential in this area.

The applicant has submitted a site plan that shows future retail and office on this property. They also show a portion of the property as townhomes. The Planning Commission recommended rezoning only the commercial (C-2) portion of the property, and leave the proposed residential property as A-1 until the applicant has a better layout for the residential and knows what the density would be.

Herriman, Utah

Ordinance No. 16-xx

Rezone property located at approximately 15102 S 3200 W from A-1 (Agricultural 1 acre minimum) to C-2 (Commercial) (File No. 21Z16)

WHEREAS, the City of Herriman, pursuant to state law, may enact a land use ordinance establishing regulations for land use and development; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City of Herriman Ordinance, the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing and provide reasonable notice at least 10 days prior to said public hearing to prepare and recommend to the City Council the proposed land use ordinance map changes; and

WHEREAS, notice of the Planning Commission public hearing on the land use ordinance map change was sent to property owners within 1,000 feet on September 26, 2016, noticing of the October 6, 2016, public hearing at 7:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the land use ordinance map change in the meeting held on October 6, 2016, at 7:00 p.m. in the Community Center; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City of Herriman Ordinance, the City Council must hold a public meeting allowing public input at said public meeting; and

WHEREAS, the City Council public meeting on October 26, 2016, was held at 7:00 p.m. in the Community Center; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that it is in the best interest of the citizens of Herriman to adopt the land use ordinance map change as recommended by the Planning Commission;

NOW THEREFORE, be it ordained by the Herriman City Council that the following area be adopted as a map change from R-2-10 to C-2 on the zoning map of the City, except for the portion of the property south of Mountain View Corridor, which is shown as residential townhomes on the concept plan.

Parcel numbers:

33-17-400-007-0000

PASSED AND APPROVED this 26th day of October 2016.

HERRIMAN CITY COUNCIL

By: _____
Carmen Freeman, Mayor

ATTEST:

Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder



STAFF REPORT

DATE: October 19, 2016
TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Planning Commission
SUBJECT: 22Z16 – Proposed Rezone from A-1 to C-2

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission recommended approval of the rezone from A-1 to C-2.

DISCUSSION:

This property is located adjacent to the intersection of Mountain View Corridor (MVC) and the future Academy Parkway. It has previously been shown as residential, but with the completion of the MVC frontage roads and the approval of the RSL Training facility, the draft general plan now shows commercial in this area.

Herriman, Utah Ordinance No. 16-xx

Rezone property located at approximately 15102 S 3200 W from A-1 (Agricultural 1 acre minimum) to C-2 (Commercial) (File No. 22Z16)

WHEREAS, the City of Herriman, pursuant to state law, may enact a land use ordinance establishing regulations for land use and development; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City of Herriman Ordinance, the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing and provide reasonable notice at least 10 days prior to said public hearing to prepare and recommend to the City Council the proposed land use ordinance map changes; and

WHEREAS, notice of the Planning Commission public hearing on the land use ordinance map change was sent to property owners within 1,000 feet on September 26, 2016, noticing of the October 6, 2016, public hearing at 7:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the land use ordinance map change in the meeting held on October 6, 2016, at 7:00 p.m. in the Community Center; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City of Herriman Ordinance, the City Council must hold a public meeting allowing public input at said public meeting; and


WHEREAS, the City Council public meeting on October 26, 2016, was held at 7:00 p.m. in the Community Center; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that it is in the best interest of the citizens of Herriman to adopt the land use ordinance map change as recommended by the Planning Commission;

NOW THEREFORE, be it ordained by the Herriman City Council that the following area be adopted as a map change from R-2-10 to C-2 on the zoning map of the City.

Parcel numbers:

33-17-200-013-0000



PASSED AND APPROVED this 26th day of October 2016.

HERRIMAN CITY COUNCIL

By: _____
Carmen Freeman, Mayor

ATTEST:

Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder



STAFF REPORT

DATE: October 19, 2016
TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Planning Commission
SUBJECT: 23Z16 – Proposed Rezone from A-1 to C-2

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission recommended approval of the rezone from A-1 to C-2.

DISCUSSION:

This property is located adjacent to the intersection of Mountain View Corridor (MVC) and the future Academy Parkway. It has previously been shown as residential, but with the completion of the MVC frontage roads and the approval of the RSL Training facility, the draft general plan now shows commercial in this area.

Herriman, Utah Ordinance No. 16-xx

Rezone property located at approximately 15102 S 3200 W from A-1 (Agricultural 1 acre minimum) to C-2 (Commercial) (File No. 23Z16)

WHEREAS, the City of Herriman, pursuant to state law, may enact a land use ordinance establishing regulations for land use and development; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City of Herriman Ordinance, the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing and provide reasonable notice at least 10 days prior to said public hearing to prepare and recommend to the City Council the proposed land use ordinance map changes; and

WHEREAS, notice of the Planning Commission public hearing on the land use ordinance map change was sent to property owners within 1,000 feet on September 26, 2016, noticing of the October 6, 2016, public hearing at 7:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the land use ordinance map change in the meeting held on October 6, 2016, at 7:00 p.m. in the Community Center; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City of Herriman Ordinance, the City Council must hold a public meeting allowing public input at said public meeting; and


WHEREAS, the City Council public meeting on October 26, 2016, was held at 7:00 p.m. in the Community Center; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that it is in the best interest of the citizens of Herriman to adopt the land use ordinance map change as recommended by the Planning Commission;

NOW THEREFORE, be it ordained by the Herriman City Council that the following area be adopted as a map change from R-2-10 to C-2 on the zoning map of the City.

Parcel numbers:

33-17-200-012-0000



PASSED AND APPROVED this 26th day of October 2016.

HERRIMAN CITY COUNCIL

By: _____
Carmen Freeman, Mayor

ATTEST:

Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder



STAFF REPORT

DATE: October 19, 2016
TO: The Honorable Mayor and City Council
FROM: Planning Commission
SUBJECT: 24Z16 – Proposed Rezone from A-1 to C-2

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission recommended approval of the rezone from A-1 to C-2.

DISCUSSION:

This property is located adjacent to the intersection of Mountain View Corridor (MVC) and the future Academy Parkway. It has previously been shown as residential, but with the completion of the MVC frontage roads and the approval of the RSL Training facility, the draft general plan now shows commercial in this area.

Herriman, Utah

Ordinance No. 16-xx

Rezone property located at approximately 15102 S 3200 W from A-1 (Agricultural 1 acre minimum) to C-2 (Commercial) (File No. 23Z16)

WHEREAS, the City of Herriman, pursuant to state law, may enact a land use ordinance establishing regulations for land use and development; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City of Herriman Ordinance, the Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing and provide reasonable notice at least 10 days prior to said public hearing to prepare and recommend to the City Council the proposed land use ordinance map changes; and

WHEREAS, notice of the Planning Commission public hearing on the land use ordinance map change was sent to property owners within 1,000 feet on September 26, 2016, noticing of the October 6, 2016, public hearing at 7:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the land use ordinance map change in the meeting held on October 6, 2016, at 7:00 p.m. in the Community Center; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City of Herriman Ordinance, the City Council must hold a public meeting allowing public input at said public meeting; and


WHEREAS, the City Council public meeting on October 26, 2016, was held at 7:00 p.m. in the Community Center; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that it is in the best interest of the citizens of Herriman to adopt the land use ordinance map change as recommended by the Planning Commission;

NOW THEREFORE, be it ordained by the Herriman City Council that the following area be adopted as a map change from R-2-10 to C-2 on the zoning map of the City.

Parcel numbers:

33-17-200-001-0000



PASSED AND APPROVED this 26th day of October 2016.

HERRIMAN CITY COUNCIL

By: _____
Carmen Freeman, Mayor

ATTEST:

Jackie Nostrom, City Recorder