MINUTES

UTAH PHYSICIANS LICENSING BOARD MEETING

July 20, 2016

Electronic Meeting Anchored in
Room 474–4th Floor – 9:00 A.M.
Heber Wells Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84111

CONVENEED: 9:09 AM

Bureau Manager:
Larry Marx

Board Secretary:
Lisa Martin

Compliance Assistant:
Debbie Harry

Board Members Present:
Kenneth L. Schaecher, MD Chairperson
David A. Cook, MD
John S. Montgomery, MD
Richard W. Chapa, MD
Lindsay Klimes, MD- Electronically
David Moulton, MD
Gary A. Hale, R.Ph.
Georgia Katshohirakis

Board Members Absent and Excused:
Mark Bair, MD
David D. Byrd, MD
Daniel J. Parker, MD

DOPL Staff:
Ron Larson, Investigator
Larry Gooch, Investigator

Guests:
J. Tekulve Jackson-Vann, Alpine Recovery
Mary Harrison, Alpine Recovery
William Hamilton, UMA
Mark Brinton, UMA
Susie Wiet, Sovegna
Craig Jonov
Peter Stirba

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
The minutes from the May 18, 2016 Board meeting were read. Mr. Hale made a motion to approve the minutes as read. Dr. Moulton seconded the motion. The Board vote was unanimous.

APPOINTMENTS:

9:10 A.M.
David Furlong, Investigations Discussion

Mr. Larson states there are several ongoing investigations. Mr. Larson states a couple of the investigation cases will most likely be presented to the board in the form of hearings.

Mr. Marx states Dr. Keiter has voluntarily surrendered his license after an emergency hearing.

Mr. Marx states currently a hearing is scheduled for August 24 & 25th.

Dr. Schaecher asks Mr. Larson for an outline of the potential second hearing.

Mr. Larson states standard of care, pre-operative condition, and post-operative conditions.

9:40 A.M.
Alpine Recovery, Evaluation Program

Mr. Jackson-Vann states they are aware that the epidemic of addiction reaches to physicians. Mr. Van states the Alpine staff attend several conferences where it has been found there is a limited number of programs that assist medical professionals with recovery nationally. Mr. Jackson-Vann states their goal is to work with the Department of Professional Licensing (DOPL) to design a program that the board would feel confident referring physicians to. Mr. Jackson-Vann asks the board what the top elements of a program should include.

Ms. Harrison states Alpine Recovery is a residential facility; Ms. Harrison states the program offers several modalities of treatment.

Dr. Schaecher asks how long Alpine Recover has been operating. And the focus.
Mr. Jackson-Vann states Alpine has been operating just over three years. Mr. Jackson-Van states Alpine would like to move its focus towards professionals.

Dr. Schaecher asks what services Alpine offers; specifically asking about evaluative services, competency, or fitness for duty evaluations.

Mr. Jackson-Vann states finding the key elements to a program is the goal of meeting with the board.

Dr. Schaecher states the board’s goal is to assist DOPL ensure licensed individuals are safe to serve the public. Dr. Schaecher states those that come before the board on probation are in some way not safe to practice in the state of Utah. Dr. Schaecher states an adequate evaluation program will include a fitness for duty comprehensive assessment. Dr. Schaecher states some providers have been out of practice for some time and need to have a competency evaluation.

Dr. Moulton states there is a significant amount liability when composing a fitness for duty letter including.

Dr. Montgomery states establishing a qualified facility is a daunting task; the end goal will require enhancing the depth, scope, and quality of treatment. Dr. Montgomery states along with substance abuse problems individuals will have mental health components that needs to be addressed. Dr. Montgomery states the program will need enough detail in writing to ensure confidence to those that are considering attending the program.

Dr. Schaecher states the board has been approached by other programs that have taken a superficial approach. Dr. Schaecher states there is a depth and timeliness that is required by the board to feel confident referring individuals to these programs.
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10:10 A.M.
Debbie Harry, Compliance Update

- Ms. Harry states Dr. Andersen has submitted all required documents. Dr. Andersen has missed four check-in; however he has self-tested with each missed check-in. Ms. Harry states Dr. Andersen will no longer be working at Sherwood Recovery.

- Ms. Harry states Dr. Weitzel requested to meet with the board. Ms. Harry states Dr. Weitzel is missing chart reviews, supervisor reports, and chaperone logs.

- Ms. Harry states Dr. Hillam has submitted all required reports and attending all required meetings.

- Ms. Harry states Dr. Lewis has not submitted a chart review or therapist report for the month of April.

- Ms. Harry states Dr. Long has not submitted a current therapist report or submitted meeting attendance as of March.

- Ms. Harry states Dr. Walsh has submitted several documents including multiple practice plans.

- Ms. Harry states Dr. Keep has submitted all required reports and attending all required meetings.

- Ms. Harry states Dr. Adamson has submitted a report for the Idaho Recovery Network. Ms. Harry states the supervisor report and chart review have not been submitted.

- Ms. Harry states Dr. Hansen has submitted supervisor reports and the controlled substance report; however the May controlled substance report was late.
Dr. Moulton conducted the interview asking how Dr. Andersen is doing.

Dr. Andersen states he is no longer working at Sherwood as they have been closed by the Department of Human Services.

Mr. Finlayson introduces himself as Dr. Andersen’s lawyer. Mr. Finlayson states Dr. Andersen will serve more as a witness to the events rather than a target of the investigation. Mr. Finlayson states the Department of Human Services has asked Dr. Andersen to limit his discussion on this matter.

Dr. Andersen states Recovery Ways has placed him on administrative leave while they complete information gathering on the situation at Sherwood.

Dr. Moulton asks if Dr. Andersen has continued working at the Steinmann clinic.

Dr. Andersen states the Greenwood split from the Steinmann clinic. Dr. Andersen states he has the same supervisor, practice plan, and role are all the same; the name and location of the clinic have changed.

Dr. Moulton asks about Dr. Andersen missing his check-ins.

Dr. Andersen states he his usual routine has been altered due to summer break; Dr. Andersen states he has taken a test with each missed check-in. Dr. Andersen states he continues to attend his meetings and works with his sponsor.

Dr. Hale asks if Dr. Andersen is looking for a replacement for his Sherwood employment.

Dr. Andersen states he is not looking for new employment; Dr. Andersen states he is under the impression that he will be able to return to Recovery Ways shortly.

Mr. Finlayson asked about the wording verse the intent of the stipulation amendment dated on January
10:55 A.M.
Break

11:10 A.M.
Dr. Robert Weitzel, Probationary Interview

Dr. Schaecher conducted the interview asking how Dr. Weitzel is doing.

Dr. Weitzel states he is frustrated and discouraged at this time. Dr. Weitzel requested the meeting be closed.

Dr. Chapa made a motion to close the meeting in accordance with the Open and Public Meetings Act, 52-4-250(1)(a) to discuss the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual.

Ms. Katshohirakis seconded the motion. All board members voted in favor of closing the meeting.

Meeting was closed at 11:03 a.m.
The meeting was opened at 11:11 a.m.

Dr. Schaecher states Dr. Weitzel has expressed frustration on policies that are required that cause conflict as a provider when working with

29 2015. Mr. Finlayson refers to paragraph one of the amendment.

Dr. Moulton asks what other prescriptions Dr. Andersen was prescribing.

Dr. Andersen states he was prescribing valium tapers, buprenorphine tapers, and librium tapers.

Dr. Andersen requests that the amendment be revised for clarification.

Dr. Schaecher states Dr. Andersen’s lawyer and DOPL will want to work together to create the requested changes to the amendment.

The board determined that Dr. Andersen is in compliance with his stipulation and order.

An appointment was made for Dr. Andersen to meet with the board on September 21, 2016.
individuals with substance abuse issues. Dr. Schaecher states the balance that Dr. Weitzel described is an appropriate level of awareness when working with controlled substances.

Dr. Moulton states a different state of mind would be supporting patients with their recovery and preventing relapse. Dr. Moulton states as a provider it is appropriate to state the assurance of the responsibility to prevent dependence.

Dr. Weitzel states it is difficult when a patient is resistant to discussion on a different medication or methods.

Dr. Moulton states at times good care is different than patient satisfaction.

Dr. Schaecher states the concerns that Dr. Weitzel has stated are common for probationers that are working with the board for similar circumstances.

Dr. Schaecher states Dr. Weitzel has not submitted the supervisor reports for May and the chart reviews for May and June.

Dr. Weitzel states he has been in contact with his supervisor each month and has been assured the documents have been sent.

Dr. Schaecher states chaperone logs have not been received as of January.

Dr. Weitzel states he will get all the documents to the division.

Dr. Schaecher asks about the two missed check-ins.

Dr. Weitzel states he requested a monitoring interruption and was under the impression that he didn’t need to call each day.

Ms. Harry states the monitoring interruption was approved however he must read the approval carefully to determine if a call is required.
Dr. Schaecher states as a follow up with the probation dates conversation at the last meeting the division has determined that Dr. Weitzel’s probation will end in 2018.

Dr. Weitzel states he has been testing for just under five years and he had been working prior to 2013.

Ms. Harry asks if supervisor reports were submitted at that time.

Dr. Weitzel states he is unsure but will research the documentation from that time. Dr. Weitzel states he has researched that several probationers have been released early from their probation term.

Dr. Schaecher states each case is individual and it is rare that probationers are granted an early termination. Dr. Schaecher states all probationers are able to request early termination at any time for board discussion.

Dr. Weitzel states he has requested early termination to help with his employment and obtain board certification.

The board determined that Dr. Weitzel is in compliance with his stipulation and order.

An appointment was made for Dr. Weitzel to meet with the board on September 21, 2016.

11:20 A.M.
Family Prescribing Discussion

Dr. Cook states in the Utah Medical Practice Act Rule it is considered unprofessional conduct if a provider does not follow the AMA code of conduct. Dr. Cook states with in the AMA code of conduct the section concerning self-treatment or treatment of immediate family members is vague and the board would like to create clarification.

Dr. Hamilton states he is aware of a situation of this circumstance where the provider was issued a citation by the division.
Dr. Cook states he would like to see a clear policy for providers to know what is permitted. Dr. Cook states there are providers that have opinions in all circumstance on this issue. Dr. Cook states a draft of a policy has be created as a starting point.

Dr. Hamilton states the principles of medical ethics is one page within the AMA code of medical ethics; the majority of the code is composed of opinions and annotations. Mr. Hamilton states the terminology in the code of ethics states ‘Physicians generally should not treat themselves or members of their immediate families.’ Dr. Hamilton states he will document events in family member’s electronic medical records.

Dr. Schaecher states the challenge with electronic medical records is possibly a HIPPA violation as authorization from the patient is required.

Dr. Cook states he addressed this with their HIPPA compliance officer and was instructed that a written form needed to be completed and on file.

Dr. Cook refers to the letter from the AMA clarifying that the main concern from the AMA is documentation.

Dr. Hamilton states there are two concerns the first is with schedule II & III medications that should never be prescribed by family members. The second concern is that the board is trying to solve an issue that isn’t there.

Mr. Larson clarifies that there is a difference between a citation and a letter of concern. Mr. Larson states a letter of concern is not public record.

Dr. Schaecher states it is agreed that physicians should not be prescribing schedule two or three medications. Dr. Schaecher states it is also agreed that physicians should not treat family members with chronic conditions.
Meeting closed in accordance with the Open and Public Meetings Act, 52-4-250(1)(a) to discuss the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual.

Dr. Montgomery made a motion to close the meeting in accordance with the Open and Public Meetings Act, 52-4-250(1)(a) to discuss the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual. Dr. Chapa seconded the motion. All board members voted in favor of closing the meeting.

Meeting was closed at 11:56 a.m. The meeting was opened at 12:12 p.m.

Dr. Hamilton states he supports clarifying the definitions to insure physicians are not in a vague area of practice.

Dr. Schaecher states this may be an educational opportunity for providers to have a better understanding of the role of DOPL.

Dr. Moulton states documentation is important even when completing a courtesy refill for a family member. Dr. Moulton states core questions are also important in the same circumstance.

Ms. Katshoharakis states there seems to be a lot of interpretation on this subject and there should be detailed guidelines.

Dr. Hamilton states there is support for better definitions for physicians. Dr. Hamilton states ideal practice of medicine should be promoted with the knowledge that every situation is not ideal.

Mr. Gooch states when complaints are submitted the investigation team will review all aspect of the situation to determine what is reasonable for the individual case.

Mr. Marx suggest the board revise the original draft of the changes. Mr. Marx states the division would then work to create a rule that supports the board requests.
Dr. Chapa conducted the interview asking how Dr. Hillam is doing.

Dr. Hillam states a former medical assistant from his current clinic had reported the clinic and its employees to the division. Dr. Hillam states a log of testosterone is currently missing. Dr. Hillam states the clinic did contact the DEA concerning the missing logs and followed the advisement of the DEA to create a new log with documentation of the events with the medical assistant.

Dr. Moulton states Dr. Hillam has informed the board and been in contact with the DEA which shows his efforts to comply with his stipulation and well as good practice.

Dr. Hillam requests a change in frequency of report submission to quarterly.

Ms. Harry states Dr. Hillam has submitted reports in a timely manner.

Mr. Hale made a motion to allow Dr. Hillam to submit reports quarterly. Dr. Moulton seconded the motion. The board vote was unanimous.

Ms. Harry states Dr. Hillam’s next reports will be due in September.

Dr. Montgomery made a motion to allow Dr. Hillam to attend board meetings every four months so long as he remains compliant. Dr. Chapa seconded the motion. The board vote was unanimous.

The board determined Dr. Hillam is in compliance with the Stipulation and Order.

An appointment was made for Dr. Hillam to meet with the board on November 16, 2016.

Dr. Montgomery conducted the interview asking how Dr. Lewis is doing.

Dr. Montgomery conducted the interview asking how Dr. Lewis is doing.
Dr. Lewis states things are going well; Dr. Lewis states his employer is hesitant to allow Dr. Lewis to prescribe long term medications. Dr. Lewis requests to board to write a letter to his employer to recognize he is not limited in prescribing.

Dr. Schaecher states initiating a letter to an employer would not be within the role of the board; however an employee of the division may be able to assist in this circumstance.

Dr. Montgomery states by restricting the capacity of prescriptive authority it restricts the benefit to the patient.

Dr. Moulton states if the supervisor is implementing restrictions that exceed the restrictions of the stipulation which limits the ability of the board to assess the areas of practice that show Dr. Lewis’ ability to practice safely.

Mr. Marx states the division would be able to supply a compliance letter or a more specific letter to Dr. Lewis’ employer.

Dr. Moulton states Dr. Lewis’ employer is welcome to come to a board meeting to ask the board questions or obtain clarification of the stipulation.

Ms. Harry states the April report for supervisor and chart review have not been received.

The board determined Dr. Lewis is in compliance with the Stipulation and Order.

An appointment was made for Dr. Lewis to meet with the board on September 21, 2016.

Dr. Moulton made a motion to close the meeting in accordance with the Open and Public Meetings Act, 52-4-250(1)(a) to discuss the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual. Dr. Chapa seconded the motion. All board members voted in favor of closing the meeting.
Meeting was closed at 1:39 p.m.
The meeting was opened at 1:43 p.m.

Ms. Katshohirakis conducted the interview asking how Dr. Long is doing.

Dr. Long states she is doing well. Dr. Long states she continues to attend Professionals in Recovery (PIR) meetings. Dr. Long states the University of Utah is partnering with Salt Lake Regional which will affect her hours. Dr. Long states she may take on hours at Physicians Group of Utah wound clinic.

Ms. Katshohirakis states the required therapist report has not been received.

Dr. Long states she is seeing a therapist on a regular basis however she is considering a change in therapists. Dr. Long states a facilitator at her PIR group recommended a new therapist.

Ms. Katshohirakis asked about the status of the Nevada license.

Dr. Long states Nevada has composed a stipulation and Order similar to Utah’s stipulation.

Ms. Harry asks if Nevada is requesting for a compliance letter from Utah.

Dr. Long states it has not been requested to her knowledge however she will follow up with Ms. Harry.

Ms. Harry asks Dr. Long to enter her meeting attendance into Affinity.

The board determined Dr. Long is in compliance with the Stipulation and Order.

An appointment was made for Dr. Long to meet with the board on September 21 2016.

Dr. Cook conducted the interview stating the
division has received several letters including one from Dr. Babitz concerning time Dr. Walsh spent as a shadow. Dr. Cook states Dr. Walsh has submitted a practice plan for Salson Clinic as well as a practice plan for the Ascending Wellness Clinic.

Dr. Walsh states he would act as a back-up at the Salson clinic. Dr. Walsh states Salson is familiar with the requirements of probation as they have a probationer currently on staff. Dr. Walsh states he would be replacing a provider at Ascending wellness. Dr. Walsh states the provider he will be replacing is currently on probation and Ascending Wellness is familiar with the requirements of probation.

Dr. Cook made a motion to approve the Salson Clinic practice plan. Dr. Moulton seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous.

Dr. Cook states the Ascending Wellness practice plan states a chaperone will be present for all female patients; Dr. Walsh is required to have a chaperone with all patients.

Dr. Cook made a motion to approve the practice plan for the Ascending Wellness Clinic with the change of chaperone requirements. Dr. Montgomery seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous.

Dr. Schaecher asks about Dr. Walsh’s shadow experience.

Dr. Walsh states due to board concerns Dr. Walsh established a shadow opportunity. Dr. Walsh states he would be present in the patient room with Dr. Babitz; Dr. Walsh continues he would interact with the patient. Dr. Walsh states that he and Dr. Babitz would leave the patient room and discuss the visit, evaluation, and diagnosis.

Dr. Chapa made a motion to approve the Department of Health Clinic practice plan. Mr. Hale seconded the motion. The board vote was unanimous.
Dr. Schaecher asks the supervisor to introduce herself to the board.

Dr. Wiet states she works in private practice and consults with the Department on Health. Dr. Wiet states

Dr. Schaecher asks if Dr. Wiet has served as a supervisor in the past and if she is aware of all of the requirements of the supervisor.

Dr. Wiet states she has not been a supervisor however she has reviewed the stipulation and the requirements.

Dr. Schaecher states the importance of the reports being submitted on time.

Dr. Cook made a motion to approve Dr. Wiet as supervising physician. Dr. Montgomery seconded the motion. The board vote was unanimous.

The board determined Dr. Walsh is in compliance with the Stipulation and Order.

An appointment was made for Dr. Walsh to meet with the board on September 21, 2016.

2:20 P.M.
Dr. Mark Keep, Probationary Interview

Dr. Schaecher conducted the interview asking how Dr. Keep is doing.

Dr. Keep states he continues with the Steps Recovery Centers. Dr. Keep states he has a new opportunity located in Springville; however there have been last minute changes which will change the practice plan he had prepared for the board. Dr. Keep states he has continued to speak at conferences.

The board determined Dr. Keep is in compliance with the Stipulation and Order.

An appointment was made for Dr. Keep to meet with the board on November 16, 2016.
2:40 P.M.
Dr. Theran Adamson, Telephonic Probationary Interview

Dr. Adamson was not available via phone at the time of the interview.

2:55 P.M.
Dr. Thomas Hansen, Telephonic Probationary Interview

Mr. Hale conducted the interview asking how Dr. Hansen is doing.

Dr. Hansen states things have been rough as he has had some stressful cases with bad outcomes in his practice.

Mr. Hale thanks Dr. Hansen for submitting his controlled substance reports.

Ms. Harry requests that the documents be sent in each month.

The board determined Dr. Hansen is in compliance with the Stipulation and Order.

An appointment was made for Dr. Hansen to meet with the board on September 21, 2016.

3:10 P.M.
Sovegna, Evaluation Program

Dr. Wiet states at the last meeting with the board there were several items suggested that Sovegna has now researched. Dr. Wiet states they have been able to meet with an attorney that specializes in Medical Utah law. Dr. Wiet states they are designing a legal format with legal assistance.

Dr. Wiet states they have received a few referrals that have not been accepted as they do not feel ready to offer a fitness for duty assessment.

Dr. Scheacher states the current concern in regard to offering fitness for duty assessments is liability of competency of the evaluation.

Dr. Wiet states the program is concerned with liability of an unsatisfied provider that is evaluated and deemed not fit for duty. Dr. Wiet asks if the board would intent Sovegna to be the only program that individuals would be referred to.

Dr. Scheacher states Sovegna would be included in
a group of evaluators that can be chosen from.

Mr. Marx states it may depend upon the reason the division is requiring an evaluation. Mr. Marx states the individuals that had been referred to Sovegna had requested a local evaluation.

Dr. Wiet states at this time the Sovegna program is not ready to provide a full fitness for duty evaluation; however a current start date would be September 1, 2016.

Mr. Ott states Sovegna has been conducting drug and alcohol assessments and multidisciplinary assessments; it is the fitness for duty component is not to the level that Sovegna would like to have in place.

Dr. Wiet asks who the custodian of the completed record would be.

Mr. Marx states the patient would be the custodian of the record and the patient would need to complete an agreement to release the records to the Division of Professional Licensing.

Dr. Wiet states the specific referrals that Sovegna prefers are concerning addiction issues.

Mr. Marx states it would be helpful for the division to have a written outline of the preferred evaluations offered by Sovegna.

Dr. Wiet states often times those that have substance addictions to have behavioral addictions as well; however sexual addiction has additional layers associated. Dr. Wiet states at this time she does not have experience evaluating sexual addictions.

Dr. Scheacher states the board is open to having local programs available that meet the standards that the board requires for comprehensive evaluations.
3:40 P.M.
• Meeting closed in accordance with the Open and Public Meetings Act, 52-4-250(1)(a) to discuss the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual.

Dr. Drake Vincent, Renewal Review

Dr. Chapa made a motion to close the meeting in accordance with the Open and Public Meetings Act, 52-4-250(1)(a) to discuss the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual. Ms. Katshohirakis seconded the motion. All board members voted in favor of closing the meeting. Meeting was closed at 11:03 a.m.
The meeting was opened at 11:11 a.m.

Dr. Schaecher states the board has received an evaluation report for Dr. Vincent with a recommendation to allow Dr. Vincent to return to practice.

Mr. Marx states Dr. Vincent has submitted a practice plan and proposed supervisor.

Dr. Schaecher states previously in the meeting the board had closed the meeting to address items concerning Dr. Vincent.

Dr. Klimes requests the meeting be closed as she was not present at the time the meeting was previously closed.

Dr. Klimes made a motion to close the meeting in accordance with the Open and Public Meetings Act, 52-4-250(1)(a) to discuss the character, professional competence or physical or mental health of an individual. Dr. Montgomery seconded the motion. All board members voted in favor of closing the meeting. Meeting was closed at 2:49 p.m.
The meeting was opened at 3:10 p.m.

Dr. Klimes made a motion to change Dr. Vincent’s license from suspended to active on probation. Dr. Montgomery seconded the motion. Board vote was unanimous.

Dr. Montgomery made a motion to approve the supervisor Dr. Jovi. Mr. Hale seconded the
motion. The board vote was unanimous.

Dr. Vincent states his license was suspended in June 2015; Dr. Vincent states as of the suspension he has gone through a rehab program. Dr. Vincent states he has completed all the requirements of the division including nine months of clean urine tests. Dr. Vincent requests the board reinstate his license.

Dr. Vincent introduces his proposed supervisor Dr. Jonov.

Dr. Schaecher states Dr. Vincent has several steps to accomplish from this point. Dr. Schaecher states the role of the board is to watch probationers’ behavior to ensure they are safe to care for patients. Dr. Schaecher states the board’s priority is to ensure the safety of the public.

Dr. Schaecher states the board has reviewed the fitness for duty letter and evaluation submitted.

Dr. Schaecher informs Dr. Vincent that the board had voted prior to his appointment as a quorum was present at the time. Dr. Schaecher states the board voted to approve Dr. Vincent’s license to be changed to activate on probation.

Dr. Schaecher asks Dr. Jonov to introduce himself.

Dr. Jonov states he has a dental and medical education. Dr. Jonov states he has read Dr. Vincent’s original stipulation of suspension.

Dr. Schaecher asks if Dr. Jonov is aware of the requirements of the supervisor. Dr. Schaecher states the requirements include submitting reports on time, completing chart reviews, and meeting with Dr. Vincent regularly. Dr. Schaecher asks if there is an employer-employee relationship.

Dr. Jonov states they are co-companies occupying shared space however there is not an employer-employee relationship between he and Dr. Vincent.

An appointment was made for Dr. Vincent to meet with the board on September 21 2016.
4:10 P.M.
Dr. Max Cannon, Application Review

This item was tabled as a quorum was not present.

4:40 P.M.
Dr. Wade Wyatt, Application Review

This item was tabled as a quorum was not present.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

Telehealth

Mr. Marx states as a board telehealth rules need to be created. Mr. Marx states Idaho has created guidelines that are comprehensive and within the guidelines of the FSMB.

Dr. Schaecher states that Utah’s current wording is vague and there is a need for establishing definitions.

Mr. Brinton states the legislator has created a workgroup and are currently discussing telehealth.

Volunteer health Care Continuing Education Credit

Dr. Schaecher states he has composed a draft letter on behalf of the board in regards to HB 186. Dr. Schaecher requests the letter be sent to the board for review, comment, and modifications.

Dr. Moulton suggests including the potential appearance of providers not wanting to complete continuing medical education.

Dr. Montgomery states an objective of this document would be to show that providing professional level continuing medical education requires careful selection of material to be taught with an objective and outline of how to obtain that objective.

Dr. Schaecher states the board agrees that work is not equivalent to continuing medical education. Dr. Schaecher states that the continuing medical education requirement is diminished due to this bill.

Interstate Compact Update

Dr. Schaecher states the last compact meeting was in Salt Lake; currently there are 18 states included in the compact. Dr. Schaecher states the goal set is to issue licenses by January 2017. Dr. Schaecher
states there are several telephonic meeting and the next in person meeting is scheduled for October.

CORRESPONDENCE:

FSMB

DAFOH

Dr. Montgomery made a motion to support DOFOH in their efforts to eliminate forced organ harvesting. Dr. Chapa made a second. The board vote was unanimous.

NEXT MEETING SCHEDULED FOR:

September 21, 2016

Note: These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcript but are intended to record the significant features of the business conducted in this meeting. Discussed items are not necessarily shown in the chronological order they occurred.
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