Salt Lake County Planning Commission
Public Meeting Agenda
Wednesday, September 14, 2016 8:30 A.M.

Location
SALT LAKE COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
2001 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM N1-110
NORTH BUILDING, MAIN FLOOR
(385) 468-6700

Upon request, with 5 working days notice, reasonable accommodations for qualified individuals may be provided. Please contact Wendy Gurr at 385-468-6707. TTY users should call 711.

The Planning Commission Public Meeting is a public forum where, depending on the agenda item, the Planning Commission may receive comment and recommendations from applicants, the public, applicable agencies and County staff regarding land use applications and other items on the Commission’s agenda. In addition, it is where the Planning Commission takes action on these items, which may include: approval, approval with conditions, denial, continuance or recommendation to other bodies as applicable.

Business Meeting

1) Approval of Minutes from the August 10, 2016 meeting.
2) Other Business Items (as needed)

Public Hearings

30070 – Amend Chapter 19.05 of the Salt Lake County Zoning Ordinance – Planning Commission. Presenter: Max Johnson

Adjourn
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MEETING MINUTE SUMMARY
SALT LAKE COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING
Wednesday, August 10, 2016 8:30 a.m.

Approximate meeting length: 2 hours 20 minutes
Number of public in attendance: 7
Summary Prepared by: Wendy Gurr
Meeting Conducted by: Commissioner Cohen

ATTENDANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commissioners</th>
<th>Public Mtg</th>
<th>Business Mtg</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neil Cohen</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Vance</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Elieson</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan O’Meara</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Barbushev</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Staff / DA</th>
<th>Public Mtg</th>
<th>Business Mtg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rolen Yoshinaga</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wendy Gurr</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Johnson</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zach Shaw (DA)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Woodward</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Staff Reports referenced in this document can be found on the State and County websites, or from Salt Lake County Planning & Development Services.

BUSINESS MEETING
Meeting began at – 8:35 a.m.

1) Approval of Minutes from the July 13, 2016 meeting.
   Motion: To approve Minutes from the July 13, 2016 meeting as presented.
   Motion by: Commissioner Vance
   2nd by: Commissioner Elieson
   Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

2) Other Business Items (as needed)
   No Other Business Items to discuss.

PUBLIC HEARINGS
Hearings began at – 8:37 a.m.

29717 – (Continued from 12/16/2015, 01/13, 02/10, 03/23, 04/13, 05/11, 06/15 and 07/13/2016) - Recommendation on the creation of a new Mountain Resort Zone; establishing Chapter 19.13 of the Salt Lake County Zoning Ordinance. Presenter: Curtis Woodward
Commissioner Cohen provided an analysis of the recommendations from the MPDPC.

Salt Lake County Township Services Zoning Administrator Curtis Woodward provided an analysis of the MPDPC recommendations to the County Council and discussions of the MPDPC.

Commissioners and Staff had a discussion regarding zoning and land trades, public and quasi-public use, Recreational uses, change of “may” to “shall, as necessary,” and Natural Resource Based Recreational Facility.

**PUBLIC PORTION OF MEETING OPENED**

**Speaker # 1: Snowbird**
**Name:** Bob Bonar  
**Address:** Not provided  
**Comments:** Mr. Bonar said this is a monumental effort to achieve so much. The definition of natural based recreational equipment, is specifically activities and facilities. Thinks the recommendation leaves out activities and important to include activities and facilities. It is important. Appreciated the tennis courts and swimming pools in the village district. Continues to be confusing to the resorts of the definition MRZ boundary, includes MPD and forest service ski resort area boundary. He would like to see included private land owned by the resort included in the MRZ. It isn’t written write or mention their private property and should be included in the mountain planning zone. They don’t consider their private property in the USFS. Half the land in the ski area is private property and addition, the base almost all the facilities are on private property and think they should include in the definition can be included in the MRZ along with the forest service permit boundary.

Commissioner Cohen said the baseline draft doesn’t have the words must be included, it says the minimum amount of the MRZ. It has to be 1,000 continuous acres and would you support leaving their baseline draft as written or require in the MRZ and USFS and wants to add private property owned by the resorts. Mr. Bonar said it’s ambiguous of how it’s written in the original draft and they propose private land be included in the MRZ. Commissioner Cohen wonders if it’s covered the minimum and to him that would include private property and thought it was covered in the original draft. Mr. Bonar said he has read it and wants to make it clear.

**Speaker # 2: Save our Canyons**  
**Name:** Carl Fisher  
**Address:** 824 South 400 West  
**Comments:** Mr. Fisher said the ordinance is important for the future of the canyons. Mountain accord process trying to draw the line of areas. He referred to Mr. Bonar’s statements. Few areas that started out in 30 to 40 areas, ski resorts, Save Our Canyons and Salt Lake City had disagreements and now down to three or four. As they go to work with county council for their organization, the boundary as to what areas can be available in the canyons to the MRZ. A lot of uses that don’t currently exist and are being allowed in the ordinance and confinement are important. Ski area boundary and special use permit is for USFS, but contained within an area. Try and make sure this happens, new uses being allowed are confined within that existing area. Would not want to see the uses used on these areas. They have iconic peaks and landscapes and vistas. This boundary piece is super important. They aren’t saying you can’t use the private lands outside the resorts. The debate is whether the intensive uses are in this zone. He doesn’t see this as tying the county councils hands as to where the boundaries of the ski resort are. Outside this zone, they don’t want to see these extensive uses. They’re asking the county to recognize the agreement. The confusion on this piece is if the county zoning ordinance doesn’t apply to a private land, he doesn’t know.
what it applies to. Solely about the lands inside the resorts and whether a benefit to allow uses in the zone to propagate outside the ski resort boundaries. Conversations going forward and the staff report fairly adequately capture the sentiment of the MPD discussion. USFS discussion and tennis courts are upheld and alpine area should be alpine related uses. The watersheds have slowly been degraded over time. Their position is asking for less impervious uses to protect the environment, water quality and do right by the canyons for people who enjoy them.

Commissioner Cohen asked about the definition about natural resource based recreation and the word “facilities.” Mr. Fisher said the goal is to not modify the definition, but to mirror the USFS and give the ski areas the latitude and design not what they can do on private property. Design resorts as a resort and use area to the best degree that can be modeled after the two policies what a ski resort is. Commissioner Cohen asked about tennis courts being added as a conditional use. Mr. Fisher said it gets back to the question if the policies in place mirrored or the county depart from land managers and allow greater development. They will engage communities moving forward.

Commissioner Cohen said over the course of months, people have put a lot of time through this and save our canyons represents their constituents very well.

Speaker # 3: MPDPC
Name: Tolford Tod Young
Address: 3260 East Wasatch Pines Lane
Comments: Mr. Young said Mr. Bonar continues to ask the private property be included. His question is where the private property is going to be. He thinks the map provided from USFS and he thinks this is important to know what it looks like and everything included. He thinks it would be remissive to not stick to the described boundary.

Commissioner Cohen said the boundary has to be continuous. Mr. Young said that’s his concern, they’re looking for an expansion of the resort zone outside of the permit boundary and the resorts own extra ski area properties. This is a land use ordinance, not an activity ordinance. Why do we need more activities added. One activity that could be added is hang gliding and doesn’t require any facility.

Speaker # 4: Snowbird
Name: Bob Bonar
Address: Not provided
Comments: Mr. Bonar said it is a land use ordinance and there are a lot of activities to add. Hiking and mountain biking are activities and he think it’s important to have the word activity in there.

Commissioner Vance motioned to close the public hearing, Commissioner Elieson seconded that motion. Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

PUBLIC PORTION OF MEETING CLOSED

Commissioners had a brief discussion.

Commissioner Cohen asked if he could make a motion if no one else makes a motion. County Counsel Zach Shaw stated if he determined a motion is in order at this time if no motion is made, the Chair can make a motion.

Motion: Retain section 19.13.020(A) as worded in the Baseline draft ordinance (rejecting the amendment adopted by the Mountainous Planning District Planning Commission).
Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Vance
Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: Amend section 19.13.030(A) (permitted uses in the MRZ recreation district) to:
• Remove “solar farm.”
• Amend “Mountain resorts, including the following” to exclude “recreational sports field,” “skating rink,” and “skateboard park” from the list.
• Remove “outdoor recreation equipment,” “ski bridge,” and “mountain bike terrain park,” but add them to 19.13.030(B) as conditional uses.
• Amend “parking area or structure with four(4) or fewer spaces,” to read “employee and maintenance parking area with four(4) or fewer spaces.”
• Remove “zip line.”
• Remove public and quasi-public use structure until it is more precisely defined.
• Add “Class B Beer outlet” and “Class C Beer outlet.”

Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Elieson
Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: Amend section 19.13.030(B) (conditional uses in the MRZ recreation district) to:
• Amend “Parking area or structure with five (5) or more spaces” to read, “Employee and maintenance parking area or structure with five (5) or more spaces.”
• Amend “Recreational uses not listed in subsection A, Permitted Uses…” to instead read, “Natural resource based recreational facilities and activities having a similar character as other permitted or conditional uses in this section….” Also add the following definition to section 19.13.090: “Natural resource based recreational facility and activity. A facility or activity that encourages outdoor recreation and enjoyment of nature that, to the extent practicable, harmonizes with the natural environment; including uses such as zip lines, mountain bike terrain parks and trails, frisbee golf courses, and ropes courses; but excluding tennis courts, water slides and water parks, swimming pools, golf courses, and amusement parks.”
• Amend “Restaurant, including restaurant liquor license” to instead read, “food and beverage businesses, including alcoholic beverage licenses”
• Remove “Forest industry”

Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Barbushev
Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: Amend 19.13.030(C)(1) and (C)(2) as follows:
• Remove “outdoor recreation equipment,” “zip line,” “alpine slide,” and “mountain coaster” from the list of exemptions, but add an exception as follows: “Natural resource based recreational facilities and activities, including alpine slides and mountain coasters.”
• Change “may” to “shall, as necessary” in reference to conditions imposed in subsection (C)(2).

Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Elieson
Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: Amend to 19.13.030(C)(2) to add:
• f. Discourage unintended trespass onto adjoining land
Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Vance
Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: Amend section 19.13.040(A) (Permitted uses in the MRZ village district) to:
- Remove “ski bridge,” “recreational sports field,” “skating rink,” “zip line,” and “mountain bike terrain park” from the land use designation “Mountain resorts, including the following:”
- Remove “outdoor recreation equipment”
Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Barbushev
Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: Amend 19.13.040(B) (Conditional uses in the MRZ village district) to:
- Add “mountain bike terrain park,” “outdoor recreation equipment,” “skating rink,” “swimming pools,” “ski bridge,” and “tennis court”
- Amend “Recreational uses not listed in subsection A, Permitted Uses…” to instead read, “Natural resource based recreational facilities and activities having a similar character as other permitted or conditional uses in this section…”
Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Elieson
Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: Amend 19.13.040(F)(1) and (2) as follows:
- Remove “outdoor recreation equipment,” “zip line,” “alpine slide,” and “mountain coaster” from the list of exemptions, but add an exception as follows: “Natural resource based recreational facilities and activities, including alpine slides and mountain coasters.”
- Amend 19.13.040(F)(2) to change the word “may,” to “shall, as necessary.”
Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Elieson
Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: Modify 19.13.050(B) and 19.13.060(B) to include notification to the Forest Service as part of the MRZ Area Plan and MRZ Village Development Plan approval processes.
Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Vance
Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: Add the following subsection to 19.13.030: “F. Any application for a new or expanded ski run that includes the removal of significant trees shall be accompanied by a forestry study prepared by a certified forester that includes mitigation measures to protect the overall health of the forest in harmony with the purpose and intent of section 19.72.110 of the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone. Conditions of approval may be imposed to mitigate the impacts of the removal of significant trees.”
Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Vance
Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: Add subsection “G” to 19.13.050 as follows:
G. Plan Amendments
A previously approved MRZ Area Plan may be amended subject to the review procedures in subsection 19.13.050(D) to propose changes to any of the information contained in 19.13.050(C)(1) through (4) above, including changes to the boundaries of the MRZ-village and the MRZ-recreation districts or to add land that has been acquired by the resort through land trade involving properties within Big or Little Cottonwood Canyons.

**Motion:** Amend 19.13.060(C) to include the paragraph on parking from the original draft; which will read, “Have the following issues been addressed? 1) The probable number of cars to be operated by those using the proposed development and the nature of the proposed uses; 2) the availability of public transit and other transportation facilities, including those for pedestrian access; 3) the commitment to utilize automobile disincentive techniques in the proposed development; and 4) the potential for joint use of common parking.”

**Motion by:** Commissioner Cohen  
**2nd by:** Commissioner Vance  
**Vote:** Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

**Motion:** Amend 19.13.060(C)(6) to read: “Does the proposed development provide adequate access and circulation? Are traffic congestion mitigation techniques included as part of the Development Plan?”

**Motion by:** Commissioner Cohen  
**2nd by:** Commissioner Vance  
**Vote:** Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

**Motion:** Remove Transfers of Development Rights (primarily found in section 19.13.080) from the ordinance. This also includes removing references to TDRs from various other sections of the proposed MRZ chapter. The feasibility of TDRs as an effective tool for open space preservation in the canyons needs further study through the General Plan process as suggested by the Blue Ribbon Commission before an ordinance should be implemented. The acquisition of in-holdings through purchase or land trades is encouraged.

**Motion by:** Commissioner Cohen  
**2nd by:** Commissioner Vance  
**Vote:** Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

**Motion:** Amend paragraph 19.13.050(E)(4) to read, “Uses, activity, and density that are consistent with protecting the natural setting in which the property is located, based on the current environmental data available to Salt Lake County.”

**Motion by:** Commissioner Cohen  
**2nd by:** Commissioner Vance  
**Vote:** Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

**Motion:** Add subparagraph 19.13.060(C)(2)(e) to read, “In assessing the impacts of the proposed development plan, has consideration been given to the current environmental data available to Salt Lake County?”

**Motion by:** Commissioner Cohen  
**2nd by:** Commissioner Vance  
**Vote:** Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)
Motion: Amend the definition of “outdoor recreation equipment” in section 19.13.090 as follows:
Outdoor Recreation Equipment: Playground equipment and accessory park related amenities, such as swing sets, slides, jungle gyms, sand boxes, picnic tables, and similar amenities.

Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Vance

Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

Motion: To recommend approval of file #29717 to the County Council with the recommended amendment motions.

Motion by: Commissioner Cohen
2nd by: Commissioner Elieson

Vote: Commissioners voted unanimous in favor (of commissioners present)

MEETING ADJOURNED

Time Adjourned – 10:55 a.m.
Staff Report Summary and Recommendation

Public Body: Salt Lake County PC
Parcel ID: N/A
Property Address: N/A
Request: Amend Planning Commission Title 19.05 Ordinance regarding composition of the planning commission
Community Council: Granite, Sandy Hills, White City, Willow Canyon, and Willow Creek

Planner: Max Johnson
Community Council Recommendation: Recommendation for Approval has been received from all five affected community councils with respect to reducing the number of commissioners from seven members to five members. The community councils of Sandy Hills, White City, Willow Canyon, and Willow Creek recommend against the provision originally suggested in the draft ordinance that provides for residents of incorporated cities to serve on the County Planning Commission board. Their suggested amendment to the language is: “The members and alternate members of the planning commission shall be registered voters residing in any unincorporated area of the county, or a member of a Metro Township.” This recommendation was confirmed at the ACCT meeting on September 8, 2016. The Granite Community Council was in support of the draft ordinance with the recommendation that: “at least three of the five members reside in unincorporated Salt Lake County and at least one of the two alternate positions reside in unincorporated Salt Lake County.”

Planning Staff Recommendation: Recommend Approval as amended by the Sandy Hills, White City, Willow Canyon, and Willow Creek community councils.

Applicant Name: Planning Commission Composition Ordinance Amendment
Applicant Address: SL County Government Center, 2001 South State Street, Suite #N3-600, SLC, UT 84109
Applicant Email: mrjohnson@slco.org Phone: (385) 468-6699

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project serves to update the Planning Commission (19.05) section of the ordinance. With the advent of the Mountainous Planning District Planning Commission, the volume of applications and the scope of jurisdiction for the Salt Lake County Planning Commission has been reduced significantly. Consequently, this commission will be meeting far less frequently in the future. With extended difficulties in fully staffing the Salt Lake County Planning Commission, and now having a smaller pool of potential candidates to choose from, staff has suggested two changes to this ordinance to help alleviate the difficult challenges associated with staffing this planning
Request: [Ordinance Amendment]  

File #: 30070

Commission. The first change is to reduce the size of the planning commission from seven members to five members. The second change is to allow membership from either unincorporated or incorporated citizens. Staff asked for this second change as it was employed in the staffing efforts when the Mountainous Planning District PC was formed approximately one year ago.

This item has been heard by all five community councils identified above at their August meetings. Staff also discussed the proposed ordinance changes at the ACCT meeting on September 8, 2016, at which time the community councils were able to clarify all motions.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

After listening to input from all community councils, staff is comfortable revising the requested changes in this ordinance to the following:

1) That the composition of the Salt Lake County planning commission be reduced from seven members to five members, and
2) The members and alternate members of the planning commission shall be registered voters residing in any unincorporated area of the county, or a member of a Metro Township.

GENERAL PLAN CONSIDERATIONS

The requested modifications to this ordinance will not have any impact with respect to the general plan.

ISSUES OF CONCERN/PROPOSED MITIGATION

The concerns as identified by the community councils identified above will be incorporated into the draft ordinance as a way of mitigating their concerns.

NEIGHBORHOOD RESPONSE

No neighborhood response was received regarding this proposed ordinance change. Staff expects additional neighborhood comment at the planning commission hearing regarding the proposed changes on September 14, 2016.

COMMUNITY COUNCIL RESPONSE

With respect to the first amendment to change the composition of the Salt Lake County Planning Commission from seven members to five members, all five community councils were in support.

With respect to the second amendment, which was to allow for planning commissioners to sit on this board from both unincorporated and incorporated communities, none of the community councils were in complete support. The Granite Community Council was in support of the draft ordinance with the recommendation that: “at least three of the five members reside in unincorporated Salt Lake County and at least one of the two alternate positions reside in unincorporated Salt Lake County,” while the other four community councils recommended against the provision originally suggested in the draft ordinance that provides for residents of incorporated cities to serve on the County Planning Commission board. Their suggested amendment to the language is: “The
members and alternate members of the planning commission shall be registered voters residing in any unincorporated area of the county, or a member of a Metro Township.” This recommendation was confirmed at the ACCT meeting on September 8, 2016.

**REVIEWING AGENCIES RESPONSE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY: N/A</th>
<th>DATE: N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RECOMMENDATION: N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance with current building, construction, engineering, fire, health, landscape and safety standards will not be affected with the adoption of this ordinance.

**PLANNING STAFF ANALYSIS**

Staff is efforting to create a more functional and efficient planning commission, as well as providing for more efficient and streamlined staffing support for the planning commission. The ordinance amendments identified will accomplish this effort. To clarify, this ordinance will be applicable exclusively to the Salt Lake County Planning Commission. The unincorporated Townships and soon to be Metro Townships will continue to be constituted as is and will not be added to the proposed amendments identified throughout this document.

**PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends approval of the two amendments as revised by the Sandy Hills, White City, Willow Canyon, and Willow Creek community councils as identified in the introduction of this staff report. To summarize:

Amendment #1 - Change the composition of the Salt Lake County Planning Commission from seven members to five members.

Amendment #2 – Change the wording to read: “The members and alternate members of the planning commission shall be registered voters residing in any unincorporated area of the county, or a member of a Metro Township.”
SALT LAKE COUNTY ORDINANCE

Ordinance No. __________________       Date __________________, 2016

SALT LAKE COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 19.05 OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES, 2001, ESTABLISHING A COUNTY-WIDE PLANNING COMMISSION FOR THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF THE COUNTY OUTSIDE OF THE MOUNTAINOUS PLANNING DISTRICT AND PROVIDING FOR THIS PLANNING COMMISSION’S COMPOSITION, AND MAKING OTHER RELATED CHANGES.

The County legislative body of Salt Lake County ordains as follows:

SECTION I. The amendments made here are designated by underlining the new substituted words. Words being deleted are designated by brackets and interlineations.

SECTION II. Chapter 19.05 of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Chapter 19.05 - PLANNING COMMISSION

19.05.005 – Planning Commission Jurisdiction
19.05.010 - Appointment—Term.
19.05.020 - Vacancy—Removal.
19.05.030 - Organization—Procedures.
19.05.040 - Powers and duties.
19.05.050 - Effect on present members.
19.05.060 - Township planning commission.

19.05.005 – Planning Commission Jurisdiction.

The county-wide planning commission has jurisdiction over all unincorporated areas of the county except for those areas within established townships, which are administered pursuant to the provisions of Section 19.05.060, and the area within the Mountainous Planning District, which is administered pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 19.07.

19.05.010 - Appointment—Term.
The planning commission for the Salt Lake County Unincorporated Planning Area shall consist of [seven]five members and up to two alternate members appointed by the mayor with the advice and consent of the county council. Members shall serve three-year terms or longer until successors are appointed. Terms shall commence on March 1st of each year. In the event a term of a member shall expire before a successor is appointed, the member shall continue to serve until a successor is appointed. Terms of at least [two]one members, and not more than [three]two, shall expire each year. The members and alternate members of the planning commission shall be registered voters residing in any unincorporated or incorporated area of the county.

SECTION III. This ordinance shall become effective fifteen (15) days after its passage and upon at least one publication of the ordinance or a summary thereof in a newspaper published and having general circulation in Salt Lake County.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this _____ day of ________________, 2016.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

By __________________________
Max Burdick, Chair

ATTEST:

___________________________
Sherrie Swensen
County Clerk

Approved as to form and legality:

___________________________
R. Christopher Preston
Deputy District Attorney
Date: _______________________
Voting:

Council Member Bradley voting
Council Member Bradshaw voting
Council Member Burdick voting
Council Member DeBry voting
Council Member Wilson voting
Council Member Granato voting
Council Member Jensen voting
Council Member Snelgrove voting
Council Member Newton voting

Vetoed and dated this _____ day of ______________________, 2016.

By________________________________________

Mayor Ben McAdams or Designee

(Complete As Applicable)

Veto override: Yes__ No__ Date____________

Ordinance published in newspaper: Date________

Effective date of ordinance:__________________
SUMMARY OF
SALT LAKE COUNTY ORDINANCE NO. ____________

On the _______ day of __________________, 2016, the County Council of Salt Lake County adopted Ordinance No. ____________, amending chapter 19.05 of the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances, 2001, regarding the Salt Lake County Planning Commission and making other related changes.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL:

By ____________________________
MAX BURDICK, Chair

ATTEST:

______________________________
Sherrie Swensen, County Clerk

Approved as to Form:

______________________________

Voting:

Councilman Bradley
Councilman Bradshaw
Councilman Burdick
Councilman DeBry
Councilman Wilson
Councilman Granato
Councilman Jensen
Councilman Snelgrove
Councilman Newton

A complete copy of Ordinance No. _______ is available in the office of the Salt Lake County Clerk, 2001 South State Street, N2-_____, Salt Lake City, Utah.