



Memo

Date: 20 July 2016

To:

Cc:

From: Brad Wilson, City Recorder/Financial Officer

RE: Minutes of the 13 July 2016 City Council Regular Meeting

Please note that the following minutes are awaiting formal approval and are in draft or unapproved form.

**MINUTES OF THE
MIDWAY CITY COUNCIL
(Regular Meeting)**

**Wednesday, 13 July 2016, 6:00 p.m.
Midway Community Center, City Council Chambers
160 West Main Street, Midway, Utah**

Note: Notices/agendas were posted at 7-Eleven, Ridley’s Express, the Midway City Office Building, and the Midway Community Center. Notices/agendas were provided to the City Council, City Engineer, City Attorney, Planning Director, Public Works Assistant Crew Chief, and The Wasatch Wave. The public notice/agenda was published on the Utah State Public Notice Website and the City’s website. A copy of the public notice/agenda is contained in the supplemental file.

1. Call to Order; Opening Remarks or Invocation; Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Bonner called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Members Present:

Colleen Bonner, Mayor
Ken Van Wagoner, Council Member
Karl Dodge, Council Member
Kent Kohler, Council Member
Lisa Christen, Council Member
Bob Probst, Council Member

Kraig Powell, Attorney (Arrived at 6:30 p.m.)
Wes Johnson, Engineer
Shane Owens, Public Works Assistant Crew Chief
Brad Wilson, Recorder/Financial Officer

Staff Present:

Michael Henke, Planning Director

Note: A copy of the meeting roll is contained in the supplemental file.

Mayor Bonner asked if a Midway City resident in attendance would like to give the opening remarks or invocation. Brett Walker gave the opening remarks or invocation. Mayor Bonner led the Council and meeting attendees in the pledge of allegiance.

2. Consent Calendar

- a. Agenda for the 13 July 2016 City Council Regular Meeting
- b. Warrants
- c. Minutes of the 22 June 2016 City Council Regular Meeting

- d. Release the Construction Bond, Minus 10%, and Begin the One Year Warranty Period for the Fox Meadow Subdivision Located at 370 South Fox Den Drive
- e. Begin the One Year Warranty Period for the Brinton Subdivision Located at 310 West 200 North

Note: Copies of items 2a through 2e are contained in the supplemental file.

Motion: Council Member Van Wagoner moved to approve the consent calendar.

Second: Council Member Kohler seconded the motion.

Discussion: None

Vote: The motion was approved with the Council voting as follows:

Council Member Van Wagoner	Aye
Council Member Dodge	Aye
Council Member Kohler	Aye
Council Member Christen	Aye
Council Member Probst	Aye

- 3. Public Comment** – Comments will be Taken on Any Item Not on the Agenda, as Well as on Any Other City Business. Comments are Limited to Two Minutes per Speaker. The Council Cannot Act on Items Not Listed on the Agenda, and Therefore, the Council may or may not Respond to Non-Agenda Issues Brought up Under Public Comment. Those Wishing to Comment Should use the Podium, State Their Full Name and Address, Whom They Represent and the Subject Matter to be Addressed. Total Time Allocated to Public Comments will be no More than 10 Minutes.

Mayor Bonner asked if there were any comments from the public for items not on the agenda. No comments were offered.

- 4. Health Department Annual Report (Gerald Hayward)** – Receive the Annual Report from the Wasatch County Health Department.

Gerald Hayward, a member of the Wasatch County Board of Health, introduced Randall Probst, Wasatch County Health Official, distributed the Health Department’s annual report and commented on the following items:

- Department funding
- Grants
- Chronic illness program
- Disease prevention
- Air quality

- Dental clinic

Council Member Van Wagoner complimented Mr. Hayward, the Board of Health and the Health Department for their work.

5. Myers Properties Mixed-Use Development/Conditional Use Permit (Paul Berg) – A Request for a Conditional Use Permit for a Mixed-Use Development Containing Commercial Space and Residential Units, by Myers Properties, Located at 695 East Main Street (Zoning is C-2). Recommended without Conditions by the Midway City Planning Commission.

5a. Presentation – Receive Presentations on the Request from Staff and the Applicant

Michael Henke gave a presentation regarding the request and reviewed the following areas:

- Land use summary
- Location of the proposed project
- Site plan
- Setbacks
- Depictions of the proposed building
- Parking requirements
- Midway Water Advisory Board recommendations
- Possible findings
- Proposed conditions
- Improvement of Main Street

Mr. Henke also made the following comments:

- The Vision Architecture Committee (VAC) recommended approving the development with revisions to the building.
- The Planning Commission recommended approval.
- The main floor would be commercial and storage space.
- The second floor would be residential with ten units.
- Myers Properties and Watts Enterprises would have offices in the building.
- The City Council could consider the water, required for commercial developments, on a case by case basis. Lane Myers, applicant, was concerned about the amount of water that would need to be given to the City.
- The access to the development was owned by Chad Thacker who lived just to the north. Mr. Thacker would have to allow in writing the use of the access.
- Spoke with several of the neighbors but did not receive any significant negative comments.
- The property was along the section of Main Street that still needed to be upgraded.
- That section should be upgraded to a standard similar to what was used from 200 West to 300 East. This revised standard would retain more water on site and be less expensive.
- The entire section would have to be done at the same time to insure the proper slope.

- The right-of-way for Main Street was narrower in front of the property.
- There could not be any parallel parking on the south side of Main Street because of a ditch and a sidewalk next to a mountable curb.

Note: A copy of Mr. Henke's presentation is contained in the supplemental file.

Note: Kraig Powell arrived at 6:30 p.m.

5b. Public Hearing – Receive Public Comment on the Request

Mayor Bonner opened the public hearing. She closed the hearing when no public comment was offered.

5c. Action – Discuss and Possibly Approve the Request

Mayor Bonner pointed out that part of the existing wall on the property exceed the height limit of four feet within 30 feet of the right-of-way. Mr. Henke responded that he would address the issue with Mr. Myers.

Mayor Bonner thought that the proposed building looked good.

Council Member Dodge asked about the water requirements. Mr. Henke responded that the requirements for commercial uses were determined on a case by case basis.

Council Member Dodge asked if the residential units could be transient rentals. Mr. Henke responded that they could be if allowed by the Council.

Council Member Christen expressed concern with just one parking spot per residential unit. Mr. Henke responded that the Municipal Code only required one spot per unit for mixed-use projects. Council Member Christen indicated that the parking for the Blue Boar Inn was based on its seating.

Paul Berg, Berg Engineering Resource Group and representing Mr. Myers, stated that parking demand would be spread throughout the day. He added that large parking lots were not attractive. Council Member Christen indicated that residential parking would overlap with any restaurant parking.

Council Member Kohler recommended that the street be paved to the entrances to the parking lot.

Council Member Kohler asked about improvements to Main Street. Mr. Henke preferred that the applicant escrow the money for the improvements so that the entire section could be done at the same time. He said that it could take several years to get enough money for the improvements.

Chad Thacker said that there were two water lines and a sewer line in the road accessing the

parking lot. Council Member Van Wagoner noted that an agreement was needed between Mr. Thacker and Mr. Myers for the use of the road. Mayor Bonner added that they, not the City, were responsible for the agreement. Mr. Myers responded that he had an easement, recorded in 2006, that allowed him to use the road.

Mr. Myers said he could rotate the building and use Main Street as an access if needed. Mayor Bonner responded that the issue of the utilities needed to be resolved between Mr. Myers and Mr. Thacker. Mr. Myers pointed out that there were other property owners that had a right to use the road.

Council Member Dodge asked Mr. Myers if the residential units might be transient rentals. Mr. Myers requested that option but noted that transient rentals required staff and other expenses. He indicated that Russ Watts suggested the residential units which could be rented by school teachers, etc. He added that he only needed a warehouse and the rest of the project was required by the City. Council Member Dodge did not see a reason to deny the option for transient rentals.

Wes Johnson stressed that Main Street needed to be widened and the project should not be approved until that was resolved. Michael Henke noted that the sidewalk could be moved towards the building to provide the extra width. Paul Berg noted that the patio and landscaping would have to be removed.

Mr. Myers said that he wanted a nice project and to be a good neighbor.

Mr. Myers also said that the water requirements were abnormal and penalized commercial developers. He stated that the small residential units were not the equivalent of much larger homes. He also stated that other cities encouraged commercial development by deferring taxes, etc.

Council Member Van Wagoner asked if there was some flexibility in the water requirement. Mr. Henke responded that the Municipal Code required one acre-foot of water per residential unit.

Council Member Van Wagoner asked if the living units could be considered commercial. Mayor Bonner noted that people would be living in the units. However, she questioned requiring the same amount of water as a normal house for such a small unit. Council Member Van Wagoner added that the requirement needed to be reviewed.

Council Member Dodge said that the water requirement should be increased for a larger house if it was decreased for a smaller one.

Wes Johnson explained that some municipalities based the water use on the number of fixtures in a house.

Council Member Dodge asked if the project could be approved and then the water requirement readdressed if the standard was changed. Kraig Powell asked if the project should be postponed until a new requirement was adopted. Mr. Myers preferred an immediate approval and then readdressing the requirement. Mr. Powell suggested that it could be readdressing until a permit was issued for the building.

Motion: Council Member Van Wagoner moved to grant the conditional use permit to Myers Properties subject to an agreement with the Thackers, documentation of the right-of-way, water turned in as recommended by the Water Board and adjusted for any landscaping removed, any change in the water requirement be credited to the developer until a building permit was issued, the right-of-way in front of the property be dedicated to the City, the wall brought down to four feet where required, and that transient rentals be allowed.

Second: Council Member Kohler seconded the motion.

Discussion: None

Vote: The motion was approved with the Council voting as follows:

Council Member Van Wagoner	Aye
Council Member Dodge	Aye
Council Member Kohler	Aye
Council Member Christen	Aye
Council Member Probst	Aye

Barbara Thacker said that she paid taxes on the road that would access the parking lot. She asked if the road could be turned over to the City. Mayor Bonner responded that the road was an issue between her and Mr. Myers. Council Member Christen noted that the right-of-way was not wide enough for a city street. Mr. Powell suggested that the Thackers deed that section of road to Mr. Myers. Paul Berg noted that the right-of-way met city standards when it was dedicated and questioned why the City would not accept it.

6. Ordinance 2016-13/Storage Units in Commercial Zones (City Planner) – Proposed Ordinance 2016-13 Amending Section 16:5 (Commercial C-2 and C-3 Zones) of the Midway City Municipal Code Regarding Storage Units in Commercial Zones. Recommended without Conditions by the Midway City Planning Commission.

6a. Presentation – Presentation – Receive a Presentation on the Proposed Ordinance from Staff

Mayor Bonner pointed out that this was the third time the Council had considered prohibiting storage units.

Michael Henke gave a presentation regarding the ordinance and reviewed the following areas:

- Midway Vision Statement
- General Plan Introduction
- Main Street Vision
- Main Street goals and objectives

- Commercial development review process
- Zoning map

Mr. Henke also made the following comments:

- The Council asked the Planning Commission to review the uses in the commercial zones.
- The Commission was still reviewing the uses but did forward a unanimous recommendation that storage units be prohibited.
- The Commission thought that storage units did not fit the vision for Midway.
- The City had tried to “dress up” previous storage units.
- The rental of storage units was not assessed sales tax.
- The property taxes for a parcel would increase if it included storage units.
- Storage units were a passive use rather than an active use which encouraged a walkable community.
- If they were removed from the commercial zones then they could be put in the Business and Manufacturing Park (B&MP) Zone.
- The proposed ordinance would remove storage units from the commercial zones.

Note: A copy of Mr. Henke’s presentation is contained in the supplemental file.

Mayor Bonner wanted the Council’s opinion before a public hearing was held.

Council Member Dodge made the following comments:

- He owned property in one of the commercial zones and would recuse himself from any vote on the proposed ordinance.
- Where would storage units be allowed if not in the commercial zones?
- The property in the B&MP Zone had not yet been annexed into the City and the Heber Valley Special Service District was trying to buy it. Spoke with the owner of the property and he was not interested in selling it.
- There would be no place to build storage units in the City if the ordinance was adopted.
- Every city in the County had storage units.
- There was a demand for storage units.
- It was not right to prohibit them.
- Some of the properties on the north side of Main Street went back 650 feet and could not be accessed by 100 North. The uses on these properties was limited because of access. Storage units were the only use for the back portion of these properties.
- Doubted that there was the money to develop the commercial area, north of Main Street and east of 400 East, as a whole.
- Adopting the ordinance might actually encourage the storage unit development being considered for his property.

Mr. Henke noted that the storage units, proposed for Council Member Dodge’s property, had to be approved within one year of the associated application being submitted.

Council Member Christen said that Midway was close enough to storage units in other cities. She opposed them on Main Street and thought that there were better uses for those commercial properties.

Council Member Van Wagoner said that storage units should be allowed somewhere in the City. He thought that they should only be allowed on Main Street if they were 250 feet back from the road.

Council Member Kohler opposed storage units even if certain architecture, etc. was required to make them more attractive. He pointed out that there were over 30 uses allowed in the commercial zones. He suggested that storage units be allowed in the B&MP Zone even though that property was not yet in the City.

Council Member Probst noted that there was a lot of opposition to storage units from residents. He did not think that they should be allowed on Main Street.

6b. Public Hearing – Receive Public Comment on the Proposed Ordinance

Mayor Bonner opened the public hearing.

Inez Wilde, 473 East Main Street

Ms. Wilde said that Midway was unique. She noted that it attracted tourists and was a place where people could walk the streets. She opposed storage units.

David Tew, 721 East Dutch Valley Drive

Mr. Tew made the following comments:

- Storage units contradicted the 20 Rules of Tourism.
- Uses that would offset the loss of the resort tax should be encouraged.
- There were plans to develop the northeast commercial area into something that was conducive to tourism and the vision for Midway.
- Storage units were financially and culturally detrimental.

Mayor Bonner closed the hearing when no further public comment was offered.

6c. Action – Discuss and Possibly Approve the Proposed Ordinance

Council Member Dodge wanted to see a commercial development for the northeastern commercial area. He explained that he had never proposed a development for his property but it was under contract to be sold. He noted that a previous commercial development in the same area, which did not include storage units, was opposed by the neighbors. He worried that any

development for that area would be opposed.

Mayor Bonner agreed that people would oppose any development in that area. She opposed storage units in that area.

Council Member Dodge indicated that owners had the right to sell their property.

Motion: Council Member Christen moved to adopt Ordinance 2016-13 prohibiting warehousing and mini-storage units.

Second: Council Member Kohler seconded the motion.

Discussion: None

Vote: The motion was approved with the Council voting as follows:

Council Member Van Wagoner	Nay
Council Member Dodge	Abstained
Council Member Kohler	Aye
Council Member Christen	Aye
Council Member Probst	Aye

Mayor Bonner reiterated that an application, for a development with storage units, had been submitted prior to the ordinance being adopted that evening. Mr. Henke noted that the applicant had one year from the application date to receive approval.

- 7. Dutch Canyon Subdivision/Final Approval (Paul Berg)** – Discuss and Possibly Grant Final Approval for the Dutch Canyon Subdivision Located at 600 East Saddle Drive (Zoning is RA-1-43). Recommended with Conditions by the Midway City Planning Commission.
- 8. Resolution 2016-18/Dutch Canyon Development Agreement (City Planner)** – Discuss and Possibly Approve Resolution 2016-18 Adopting a Development Agreement for the Dutch Canyon Subdivision Located at 600 East Saddle Drive (Zoning is RA-1-43).

Mayor Bonner made the following comments:

- Several public hearings had already been held on the proposed development.
- Staff had been working with the developer since the previous November.
- The project had been redesigned several times.
- Two other developments, as required during their approvals, would connect to the project.
- The City had received a letter, from Interlaken Town’s attorney, stating opposition to the use of Interlaken Drive to access the proposed project.

Michael Henke gave a presentation regarding the project and reviewed the following areas:

- Land use summary
- Location of the project
- Surrounding developments
- Connectivity & safety
- Proposed plat map
- Trails
- Landscaping plan
- Discussion items
- Recommended water requirements
- Possible findings
- Proposed conditions

Mr. Henke also made the following comments:

- Numerous meetings had been held regarding the project including a work meeting by the City Council.
- The project had evolved over time.
- It might not please everyone but met many of the goals of the City.
- The Planning Commission recommended its approval.
- It was in an urban interface area which had a risk of wildfire, etc.
- Two access points were needed to the project.
- Burgi Hill Ranches only had one paved access but a second one would go through the proposed project.
- Dutch Fields was planned to connect to development on its north boundary.
- There were no sidewalks in Burgi Hill Ranches or Dutch Fields.
- The applicant had proposed a third access to the project. This would be the quickest access to River Road.
- These connections would increase safety and access for emergency services. They would also distribute traffic.
- The current proposal met all city requirements.
- There was not a direct route through the project.
- There was a proposal to develop the Jeremy Pope property just to the east. The developer for that project would improve Dutch Canyon Road and extend the associated trail.
- All of the road improvements for the proposed project, the Pope property and Dutch Canyon Road were planned to be done at the same time.
- The Planning Commission recommended that construction traffic use the access from Dutch Canyon Road. It also recommended that the other roads be barricaded during construction.
- There would be two plat maps for the project but it would not be phased.
- All infrastructure would be installed at the same time.
- There would be traffic calming features at all entrances including trees, road narrowing and textured pavement.
- No sensitive lands were identified on the property.

- Area traffic would be reviewed in the future.
- The road cross-section would have a ribbon curb and rock swale which would create a rural feel.
- The water rights would be escrowed until the exact amount needed was determined. The amount of water was dependent upon a pending ordinance.

Note: A copy of Mr. Henke's presentation is contained in the supplemental file.

Mayor Bonner thought that the swale would be grass. Wes Johnson responded that grass, instead of rock, would require sprinkles that could damage the road and be damaged by snow plowing.

Council Member Dodge asked Kraig Powell's opinion of the letter from Interlaken Town. Mr. Powell made the following comments:

- Spoke at length with the Town's attorney.
- The Midway City Municipal Code required two accesses for a development. The accesses to Dutch Canyon Road and Dutch Fields would meet this requirement.
- The access to Burgi Hill Ranches was for connectivity and not to access Interlaken Drive.
- No approval for the proposed project mentioned Interlaken Drive.
- The City did not need to take a position on Interlaken Drive and its use.
- The City had required Burgi Hill Ranches to use the road as one of its accesses.
- Those who had rights to the road could stop its use.
- It had been asserted that Burgi Hill Ranches, not Interlaken Town, owned Interlaken Drive.

Note: A copy of the letter is contained in the supplemental file.

Council Member Dodge asked if the project could be built if access to Interlaken Drive was prohibited.

Mr. Powell doubted that the Town had any grounds to sue the City.

Mr. Powell noted that the Town wanted to expeditiously work with the City on several issues including future development and ownership of Interlaken Drive.

Brett Walker, who lived just south of the proposed development, asked if Eugene Batch was mentioned in the letter. Mayor Bonner responded that he was not mentioned.

Mr. Powell reviewed the proposed development agreement and indicated that the following changes should be made:

- The required amount of water would be adjusted, prior to the recording of the plat map, to meet any new standard.
- Certain trails would be public.

- Traffic calming measures be required.
- Signage to slow and minimize traffic.
- Construction traffic use Dutch Canyon Road.
- Lot buyers be informed that construction traffic would have to use Dutch Canyon Road.

Wes Johnson asked who would maintain the swales along the roads. Russ Watts, applicant, responded that the HOA would maintain them.

Mr. Powell asked for clarification on the two separate plats and their recording. Paul Berg responded that Plat “A” would be recorded first and then Plat “B” would be recorded later to reduce the tax liability. He added that all of the road infrastructure would be installed at the same time. He also said that there would be one bond for both plats. He indicated that an easement would be recorded for the road across Plat “B”.

Mayor Bonner requested a quality product for the road texturing. Wes Johnson recommended pavers.

Mr. Johnson asked if there would be any problems with the texturing and snow plowing. Shane Owens indicated that Malad, Idaho used pavers for crosswalks without any problems.

Brett Walked asked how he would access his property. Wes Johnson responded that he would have access off of the lower cul-de-sac. He did not want access off of the green space between the end of the cul-de-sac and the road to the west.

Motion: Council Member Dodge moved to grant final approval for the Dutch Canyon Subdivision with the findings and conditions from staff and subject to the completion of the development agreement, as modified that evening, and the access to Mr. Walker’s property as defined that evening.

Second: Council Member Van Wagoner seconded the motion.

Discussion: None

Vote: The motion was approved with the Council voting as follows:

Council Member Van Wagoner	Aye
Council Member Dodge	Aye
Council Member Kohler	Aye
Council Member Christen	Aye
Council Member Probst	Aye

Motion: Council Member Van Wagoner moved to approve Resolution 2016-18 with the changes indicated by the City Attorney and based on the discussion that evening.

Second: Council Member Dodge seconded the motion.

Discussion: None

Vote: The motion was approved with the Council voting as follows:

Council Member Van Wagoner	Aye
Council Member Dodge	Aye
Council Member Kohler	Aye
Council Member Christen	Aye
Council Member Probst	Aye

9. Department Reports

Bob Probst, Council Member

Town Hall/Stage – Council Member Probst reported that he was waiting to hear, from the flooring contractor, which surface would be best for the stage in the Town Hall.

Cemetery/Restroom Sign – Council Member Probst reported that a new sign might be installed, at the cemetery restrooms, as an Eagle Scout project.

Cemetery/Directional Signs – Council Member Probst reported that directional signs might be installed at the cemetery as an Eagle Scout Project. He said the signs would encourage one-way traffic.

Cemetery/Water Faucets – Council Member Probst reported that one or two additional water faucets would be installed at the City’s cemetery.

Cemetery/Fence – Council Member Probst reported that a portion of the cemetery fence would still be replaced. Shane Owens said that a new contractor would do the work.

Cemetery/Expand Roads – Council Member Probst reported that he reviewed onsite, with Mr. Owens, the expansion of the cemetery roads.

Kent Kohler, Council Member

100 West and 100 North/Curb and Parking – Council Member Kohler reviewed the installation of curb and gutter and additional parking stalls along 100 West, from Main Street to 100 North and along 100 North from 100 West to 150 West.

Ken Van Wagoner, Council Member

Maintenance Yard Clean-Up – Council Member Van Wagoner reported on cleaning up the

debris at the City's maintenance yard. He said that it would be difficult to bid out the project because the debris included asphalt, metal, salt, etc.

Lisa Christen, Council Member

Valais Park/Frisbee Golf – Council Member Christen reported that a Frisbee golf course might be installed at the Valais Park as an Eagle Scout Project.

Karl Dodge, Council Member

Swiss Alpine Road/Pot Holes – Council Member Dodge asked that pot holes in the curves on Swiss Alpine Road be repaired.

Wes Johnson, Engineer

Projects – Mr. Johnson reviewed the projects being done that summer.

100 West Reconstruction – Mayor Bonner asked that the property owners, along 100 West from Main Street to 100 South, be updated regarding the construction on the road.

Conditional Use Permits/Engineering – Mr. Johnson expressed concern that engineering was not being considered during the approval of conditional use permit. He said that construction plans should be reviewed before approval.

Michael Henke responded that more specific engineering drawings could be required as well as an out-of-pocket deposit.

Paul Berg explained that some cities like Heber City had concept and final approvals for conditional use permits. He said that the concept approval would give a developer a chance to present the project without having to pay for engineering drawings.

Michael Henke indicated that conditional use permits varied and not all would need engineering drawings or two meetings. Mr. Berg suggested that just commercial conditional uses go through the expanded process.

Mayor Bonner asked staff to work on the issue.

Water Audit – Mr. Johnson reported on the meeting held regarding the water audit. He said that another meeting would be held on July 21st with representatives of the Midway Irrigation Company. He noted that the audit would only effect the amount of indoor water required by the City. He said that a proposal might be presented to the Council at its next meeting.

Michael Henke, Planning Director

General Plan Update – Mr. Henke reported on the update of the City’s general plan. He said that the Planning Commission would begin reviewing various chapters the following week.

Building Permits – Mr. Henke reported that the City was still issuing a lot of building permits.

Developments – Mr. Henke reported that he was receiving a lot of development concept plans.

Zoning Enforcement – Mr. Henke reported that he was receiving a lot of zoning complaints especially regarding transient rentals, signs and junk cars.

Brad Wilson, Recorder

FY 2016 Audit – Mr. Wilson reported that he would start preparing for the FY 2016 audit.

FY 2016 Reports – Mr. Wilson reported that he would begin working on year-end reports for FY 2016.

Shane Owens, Public Works Assistant Crew Chief

Noxious Weed Enforcement – Mr. Owens reported that he was mailing violation letters to owners with noxious weeds on their property.

Items in Rights-of-Way – Mr. Owens reported that he was working to remove prohibited items, like dumpsters and portable toilets, from within the City’s street rights-of-way.

Vehicle Replacement Program – Council Member Dodge asked the status of the vehicle replacement program. Mr. Owens responded that he was working on it.

10. Adjournment

Motion: Council Member Van Wagoner moved to adjourn the meeting. Council Member Christen seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:57 p.m.

Colleen Bonner, Mayor

Brad Wilson, Recorder