WORK/STUDY AGENDA
SPRINGVILLE CITY COUNCIL MEETING
JUNE 07, 2016 AT 5:15 P.M.
City Council Chambers
110 South Main Street
Springville, Utah 84663
AMENDED JUNE 6, 2016 AT 3:46 P.M.

CALL TO ORDER- 5:15 P.M.
COUNCIL BUSINESS
1. Calendar
   • June 11 – Grand Parade 10:00 a.m.
   • June 14 – Work/Study Meeting 5:15 p.m.
   • June 19 – Father’s Day
   • June 21 – Work/Study Meeting 5:15 p.m., City Council Meeting 7:00 p.m.
   • June 28 – Utah County Primary Election – Polls Open 7:00 a.m. – 8:00 p.m.
   • July 04 – Independence Day (City Offices Closed)

2. DISCUSSION ON THIS EVENING’S REGULAR MEETING AGENDA ITEMS
   a) Invocation – Councilmember Sorensen
   b) Pledge of Allegiance – Councilmember Conover
   d) Consent Agenda
      4. Approval of City purchase orders required to be signed per Springville City Purchasing Code.
      5. Approval of the Minutes for the April 5, 2016 City Council Regular and Work/Study meetings
      6. Approval of the appointment of Doug Stringham to the Landmark Preservation Commission.

4. DISCUSSIONS/PRESENTATIONS
   a) Discuss a resolution that encourages the strengthening and promoting of child-appropriate standards in Springville City – Vauna Davis, UCAP Director
   b) 1200 West Road Discussion – Jeff Anderson, City Engineer
   c) Museum of Art Rental Comparison and Fees – Dr. Rita Wright, Museum Director

5. MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

6. CLOSED SESSION
   The Springville City Council may temporarily recess the regular meeting and convene in a closed session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation, and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205

ADJOURNMENT

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING
The undersigned duly appointed City Recorder of Springville City, does hereby certify that the above notice and agenda was posted within the Springville City limits on June 01, 2016 at Springville City Hall, on the City Hall Notice Board, on the Springville City website at www.springville.org/agendasminutes on the Utah Public Notice Website at http://www.utah.gov/pmn/index.html and provided to at least one newspaper of general circulation within the geographic jurisdiction of the public body
/s/ Kim Rayburn, City Recorder

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City will make reasonable accommodations to ensure accessibility to this meeting. If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Recorder at (801) 489-2700 at least three business days prior to the meeting.
REGULAR AGENDA
SPRINGVILLE CITY COUNCIL MEETING
JUNE 07, 2016 AT 7:00 P.M.
City Council Chambers
110 South Main Street
Springville, Utah 84663
AMENDED JUNE 06, 2016 AT 3:46 P.M.

CALL TO ORDER
INVOCATION AND PLEDGE
APPROVAL OF THE MEETING’S AGENDA
MAYOR’S COMMENTS

PUBLIC COMMENT: Audience members may bring any item not on the agenda to the Mayor and Council’s attention. Please complete and submit a “Request to Speak” form. Comments will be limited to two or three minutes, at the discretion of the Mayor. State Law prohibits the Council from acting on items that do not appear on the agenda.

CEREMONIAL AGENDA
1. Presentation by the Springville Art Royalty
2. Presentation of CMC Designation to City Recorder – Utah Municipal Clerks Association
3. Mayor’s Recognition of Craig Miner, Senior Bronze Medalist and Wrestling Coach

CONSENT AGENDA*
4. Approval of City purchase orders required to be signed per Springville City Purchasing Code.
5. Approval of the Minutes for the April 5, 2016 City Council Regular and Work/Study meetings
6. Approval of the appointment of Doug Stringham to the Landmark Preservation Commission.

REGULAR AGENDA
7. Consideration of a proposed amendment to the General Plan Land Use Map and the Official Zone Map from Medium Density Residential to Commercial for the property at 341 South 300 East; this item was continued from May 17, 2016 after a Public Hearing and taking public comment – Fred Aegerter, Community Development Director –
8. Consideration of amending the fencing requirements found in Section 11-6-213 of the Springville City Development Code – Fred Aegerter, Community Development Director

MAYOR, COUNCIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

CLOSED SESSION
9. The Springville City Council may temporarily recess the regular meeting and convene in a closed session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation, and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205

ADJOURNMENT

This meeting was noticed in compliance with Utah Code 52-4-202 on June 01, 2016. Agendas and minutes are accessible through the Springville City website at www.springville.org/agendasminutes. Council Meeting agendas are available through the Utah Public Meeting Notice website at http://www.utah.gov/pmn/index.html. Email subscriptions to Utah Public Meeting Notices are available through their website. s/s - Kim Rayburn, City Recorder

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City will make reasonable accommodations to ensure accessibility to this meeting. If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Recorder at (801) 489-2700 at least three business days prior to the meeting.

*The Consent Agenda consists of items that are administrative actions where no additional discussion is needed. When approved, the recommendations in the staff reports become the action of the Council. The Agenda provides an opportunity for public comment. If after the public comment the Council removes an item from the consent agenda for discussion, the item will keep its agenda number and will be added to the regular agenda for discussion, unless placed otherwise by the Council.
MINUTES OF THE WORK/STUDY MEETING OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY COUNCIL HELD ON TUESDAY, APRIL 05, 2016 AT 5:15 P.M. AT THE CIVIC CENTER, 110 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SPRINGVILLE, UTAH.

Mayor Wilford W. Clyde presided. In addition to Mayor Clyde, the following were present: Councilmember Rick Child, Councilmember Craig Conover, Councilmember Christopher Creer, Councilmember Jason Miller, Councilmember Chris Sorensen, Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director Bruce Riddle and City Recorder Kim Rayburn. Also present were: Public Safety Director Scott Finlayson, Power Director Leon Fredrickson, Buildings and Grounds Director Alex Roylance, Golf Pro Craig Norman, Public Works Director Brad Stapley, Recreation Director Corey Merideth, and Museum of Art Director Dr. Rita Wright. City Administrator Troy Fitzgerald and Assistant City Administrator/City Attorney John Penrod were excused from the meeting.

CALL TO ORDER
Mayor Clyde welcomed everyone and called the Work/Study meeting to order at 5:16 p.m.

COUNCIL BUSINESS
1) Calendar
   a) April 6-8 – Annual ULCT Mid-Year Conference, St. George
   b) April 12 – Work/Study Meeting 5:15 p.m.
   c) April 15-16 – City Wide Spring Clean Up Days
   d) April 19 – Work/Study Meeting 5:15 p.m., City Council Meeting 7:00 p.m.
   e) April 20 – Springville Museum of Art Spring Salon Opening 7:00 p.m.
   f) April 22-23 – City Wide Spring Clean Up Days
   g) April 29 – Arbor Day

Mayor Clyde asked if there were any questions or additions to the calendar. He noted the budget retreat is scheduled for April 27 at 1:00 p.m.

2) Discussion on this evening’s Regular Meeting agenda items
   a) Invocation – Councilmember Conover
   b) Pledge of Allegiance – Councilmember Child
   c) Consent Agenda
      i) Approval of City purchase orders required to be signed per Springville City Purchasing Code.
      ii) Approval of Minutes for October 06 and October 20, 2015 Work/Study meetings, February 04, 2016 Budget Retreat, February 16 and March 01, 2016 Work/Study meetings.
3. Approval of a Final Change Order Phase 3 Airport Runway Shift/Extension Project for the Spanish Fork/Springville Airport – Cris Child, Airport Manager

4. Approval of the County Grant for Parks and Recreation – Corey Merideth, Recreation Director

Mayor Clyde asked if there was any discussion on tonight’s consent agenda. There was none.

3) DISCUSSIONS/PRESENTATIONS

a) Airport – Cris Child, Airport Manager

Cris Child, Spanish Fork/Springville Airport Manager announced Spanish Fork-Springville-Woodhouse Field was named “Airport of the Year” by the Utah Division of Aeronautics. The award was presented at the spring conference of the Utah Airport Operators Association.

Mr. Child reviewed some of the history of the airport and stated it has grown to 80 hangars and 70 based aircraft including approximately seven jet aircraft. He went on to explain first responders are based at the Airport when needed for firefighting and stated they are the only airport that gives hot fueling, explaining the aircraft remains running while fueling, in turn this is good for Air-med helicopters and hospital emergency aircraft.

Mr. Child reported the semiannual Aeroplanes, Trains and Automobiles event is scheduled for September 10, 2016. They are looking at various fund raising events to help raise funds for the Gail S. Halvorsen Aviation Education Center.

Mr. Child reviewed various completed construction projects at the Airport and noted there are now twelve new hangars.

b) Pressurized Irrigation Policy – Brad Stapley, Public Works Director

Director Stapley reported on the pressurized irrigation policy and reviewed the Pressurized Irrigation (PI) System policy language and requirements. He explained the projected cutoff date as September 30, 2016 with a goal to have at least 1000 of the 1200 PI meters connected.

Councilmember Sorensen asked if there would be a contractor recommendation list for citizens to review for possible contactors to install PI meters. Director Stapley replied they are working on getting the information together.

Director Stapley reviewed two different rate structures regarding no secondary availability versus areas with secondary availability.

Councilman Sorensen asked if citizens would have two meters, one for culinary and one for PI. Director Stapley explained the tiered billing process and stated there would be some savings for those connected, and for those that do not connect there could be an increase. He explained those connected by the deadline date could receive a subsidy and after the deadline there would be a meter fee.

Director Stapley reported a review has been done in regard to the customer’s physical connection costs. Public involvement will include customer letters and door hangars, local businesses will have the connection kits for citizen’s to purchase.

Mayor Clyde asked if there would be incentives to have citizens connect now versus not connecting. Director Stapley explained the City Council can adjust the deadline date and explained the participation calculations.
Councilmember Sorensen stated he was concerned if someone did the installation themselves and had secondary water flowing into the culinary system. Director Stapley explained there will be inspections required and the master meter will not be adjusted.

Councilman Miller stated there seems to be issues with confusing information. He commented if neighbors are not able to do it themselves he worries there may be outside sources bidding at exorbitant costs and asked if the City can set prices. Director Stapley replied they will try and help as many as possible. He noted the City has a public involvement firm involved and will get the word out on what it should cost. Councilman Miller asked about those that have larger costs to hook up because the piping is under a driveway. Director Stapley replied they have identified those with pipes under driveways and there are not many. Letters will go out with information and door hangar and flyers will be distributed. He stated he would provide updates to the Council as the project is completed.

c) Community Park – Alex Roylance, Building and Grounds Director and Corey Merideth, Recreation Director

Director Roylance reviewed with the Mayor and Council the previous discussions regarding Community Park and the possible Utah County Grant Funds. Director Roylance asked if Councilman Conover would report what his discussions have been with Utah County. Councilman Conover expressed the County is willing to give four to five years to complete the park and would like as much green space as possible, but does not include a road.

Councilman Child asked Director Roylance how many parks his staff is currently maintaining. Director Roylance replied there are approximately 22 parks with over 200 acres of park land and 70 developed acres up the canyon. Councilman Child asked if there was enough manpower to maintain the current parks. Director Roylance replied for the current amount of acres he has the manpower, however if Community Park is completed he will not have enough manpower. Councilman Child expressed there will also be more acreage around the Aquatic and Activities Center when it is completed.

Councilman Miller asked about the grading work being done at Community Park. Director Roylance replied they have been knocking down piles of dirt to maintain the park.

Director Roylance presented the Council with calculations of the costs to the Recreation Department if Community Park is completed; explaining the expenses are broken down into time and material costs as well as an increased need for staff and equipment.

Councilman Conover asked about the fees to have tournaments. Director Merideth reported he has discussed with Provo City their rates and the amount of staff they use and noted their site is only used for rentals and tournaments. Councilman Conover asked if a report could be created explaining what Springville could offer.

Mayor Clyde stated he has asked to have city staff to do a cost breakdown and a plan showing what it would take and how many years to complete the park. Director Roylance reported on two scenarios he discussed with city staff and local contractors and explained the Park would not be playable until 2020 or later.

Councilman Child stated he was concerned about being able to maintain the current City fields. Mayor Clyde asked Director Roylance and staff to provide a little more detail and put together a plan to see if $2 million will work. How much will be needed to collect and run a tournament, capital costs this year, where do we get the money. Council can look at see if it can or cannot be done. First goal is to provide recreational opportunities to the community.

Councilman Miller asked if the displayed spreadsheet could be sent to the Council.
4) MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

Mayor Clyde expressed concern about the recent easement approved by the Council for the Hobble Creek channel and landscaping. Director Roylance stated he would have the designer provide information at one of the next council meetings. Mayor Clyde asked for any other comments, there was none.

5) CLOSED SESSION

The Springville City Council may temporarily recess the regular meeting and convene in a closed session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation, and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205

There was no Closed Session.

ADJOURNMENT

COUNCILMEMBER SORENSEN MOVED TO ADJOURN THE WORK/STUDY MEETING OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY COUNCIL AT 6:42 P.M. COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER SECONDED THE MOTION, ALL VOTED AYE.

This document constitutes the official minutes for the Springville City Council Work/Study meeting held on Tuesday, April 05, 2016.

I, Kim Rayburn, do hereby certify that I am the duly appointed, qualified, and acting City Recorder for Springville City, of Utah County, State of Utah. I do hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true and accurate, and complete record of this meeting held on Tuesday, April 05, 2016.

Kim Rayburn
CMC City Recorder
MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY COUNCIL HELD ON
TUESDAY, APRIL 05, 2016, AT 7:00 P.M. AT THE CIVIC CENTER, 110 SOUTH MAIN STREET,
SPRINGVILLE, UTAH.

Mayor Wilford W. Clyde presided. In addition to Mayor Clyde, the following were present:
Councilmember Rick Child, Councilmember Craig Conover, Councilmember Christopher Creer,
Councilmember Jason Miller, Councilmember Chris Sorensen, Assistant City Administrator/Finance
Director Bruce Riddle and City Recorder Kim Rayburn.
Also present were: Public Safety Director Scott Finlayson, Buildings and Grounds Director Alex
Roylance, Public Works Director Brad Stapley, Recreation Director Corey Merideth, Power Director
Leon Fredrickson and Library Director Pam Vaughn. City Administrator Troy Fitzgerald and Assistant
City Administrator/City Attorney John Penrod were excused from the meeting.

CALL TO ORDER
Mayor Clyde welcomed everyone and called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

INVOCATION AND PLEDGE
Councilmember Conover offered the invocation, and Councilmember Child led the Pledge of
Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF THE MEETING’S AGENDA
COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER MOVED TO APPROVE THE MEETING’S AGENDA AS
WRITTEN. COUNCILMEMBER SORENSEN SECONDED THE MOTION, AND ALL VOTED
AYE.

MAYOR’S COMMENTS
Mayor Clyde welcomed the Council, staff and audience. He observed scouts and students in the
audience and asked them to stand and introduce themselves. Scouts from Troops #1326 were in
attendance.

PUBLIC COMMENT
Mayor Clyde introduced the Public Comment section of the agenda. He asked if there were any
requests.
Ryan Pratt; 2646 east 1100 south, Springville; Mr. Pratt stated he would like to put in a fence and
the current city code does not allow for the type of fence they would like to install for privacy. He stated
he spoke to three different City employees. He has a corner lot and is frustrated with the restrictions. He
stated if it was a safety hazard he would understand, in this case it is not the issue. He would like to see
corner lot restrictions reviewed or to have the ability to appeal them if there is no safety hazard. Mr.
Pratt stated because of the slope of his yard, a six foot privacy fence does not provide his yard with privacy.

Mayor Clyde responded it would require a change to the city ordinance and instructed Mr. Pratt to address the issue with the Planning Commission or have two council members review his request and submit it to the Planning Commission. Councilman Sorensen stated he would like to go by the home before he could approve a request.

CONSENT AGENDA

1. Approval of City purchase orders required to be signed per Springville City Purchasing Code.
2. Approval of Minutes for October 06 and October 20, 2015 Work/Study meetings, February 04, 2016 Budget Retreat, February 16 and March 01, 2016 Work/Study meetings.
3. Approval of a Final Change Order Phase 3 Airport Runway Shift/Extension Project for the Spanish Fork/Springville Airport – Cris Child, Airport Manager
4. Approval of the County Grant for Parks and Recreation – Corey Merideth, Recreation Director

COUNCILMEMBER CREER MOVED TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA WITH THE CORRECTION OF THE FEBRUARY 16, 2016 WORK STUDY MEETING TO REFLECT COUNCILMAN CREER AND COUNCILMAN CHILD WERE NOT IN ATTENDANCE. COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

PUBLIC HEARING

5. Consideration of the adoption of the Lakeside Community Plan and amending the Springville General Plan Land Use Map – Fred Aegerter, Community Development Director

Director Aegerter presented to the Council the Lakeside Community Plan and reported on the plan development process and annexation strategies.

Councilman Sorensen asked what the ramifications would be for the Solid Waste District. Would it stay the way it is and is there any mention of the Solid Waste Station in the plan? Director Aegerter replied only the land use policy was discussed, the standards are yet to be developed.

Mayor Clyde asked about the map and boundary line with Spanish Fork City. Director Aegerter replied it will need to be corrected.

Mayor Clyde stated he was concerned about how close buildings could be next to 400 South. Director Aegerter reported the concept is similar to the Provo Canyon Trail System with the trail between the road and property. Mayor Clyde stated they need to be sure and plan for a possible major road through the area.

Councilman Sorensen asked if there were any pending developments for this area. Director Aegerter replied, yes, there is a development that brought this plan about.

Mayor Clyde opened the public hearing for comment. There was no comment.

COUNCILMEMBER CHILD MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER CREER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.
COUNCILMEMBER CHILD MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #2016-03 ADOPTING THE LAKESIDE COMMUNITY PLAN SECTION OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY GENERAL PLAN – “SHAPING SPRINGVILLE FOR 2030” FINDING THE GENERAL PLAN IS IN ACCORD WITH STATE LAW AND IN THE BEST INTEREST OF SPRINGVILLE CITY.

COUNCILMEMBER SORENSEN SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE IS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

- COUNCILMEMBER CHILD AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER CREER AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER MILLER AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER SORENSEN AYE

RESOLUTION #2016-03 APPROVED

6. Consideration of the proposed amendment to the Official Zone Map from A-1 Agricultural to R2 Single-Two Family Residential for property located at approximately 200 South 2600 West – Fred Aegerter, Community Development Director

Director Aegerter stated there are 20 acres in this proposal, the Planning Commission has reviewed the request and has recommended it based on approval of the community plan.

Mayor Clyde opened the public hearing for comment. There was no comment.

COUNCILMEMBER CREER MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER SECONDED THE MOTION.

Councilman Sorensen asked about clarifying the zoning and any gaps between the infrastructure funds if they are not available. Director Aegerter replied the City does not pay for the cost of improvements.

ALL PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION. THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

COUNCILMEMBER SORENSEN MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE #02-2016 AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONE MAP FROM THE A-1 AGRICULTURAL ZONE TO THE R2-SINGLE/TWO-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL ZONE FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 150 SOUTH 2600 WEST.

COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE IS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

- COUNCILMEMBER CHILD AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER CREER AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER MILLER AYE
- COUNCILMEMBER SORENSEN AYE

ORDINANCE #02-2016 APPROVED
Mayor Clyde asked the property owner Tom Farrer how soon he expects to start. Mr. Farrer stated the land is currently being farmed and will continue this year and possibly into next.

REGULAR AGENDA

7. Consideration of an Ordinance amending Title 4, Chapter 2 Water Division of Public Works – Brad Stapley, Public Works Director

Director Stapley reviewed the rebate policy regarding water leaks that was not addressed in the code. He stated this will add what has been a procedure and establishes the City to furnish and bear the cost of new Secondary Water Meters to be installed as part of the City’s Secondary Water Implementation program in the West Fields during the Spring-Summer 2016.

COUNCILMEMBER CREER MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE #03-2016 AMENDING TITLE 4, CHAPTER 2 WATER DIVISION OF PUBLIC WORKS, BY ADDING REFERENCES TO SECONDARY WATER, ALLOWING CITY STAFF LIMITED ABILITY TO OFFER BILLING REBATES, CLARIFYING BILLINGS AND DISCONTINUANCE OF SERVICE PROCEDURES, AND ESTABLISHING CIVIL AND CRIMINAL PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS TO THIS CHAPTER.

COUNCILMEMBER CHILD SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE IS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER CHILD AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CREER AYE
COUNCILMEMBER MILLER AYE
COUNCILMEMBER SORENSEN AYE

ORDINANCE #03-2016 APPROVED

8. Consideration of Resolutions establishing rates and fees for providing culinary and secondary water and amending the comprehensive fee schedule – Brad Stapley, Public Works Director

Director Stapley reviewed two different rate structures establishing rates and fees for the delivery and use of Culinary and Secondary Water within the City. The recommendation is to start with the June billing that would include May usage.

Councilman Miller asked how the Springville City rates compare to the surrounding area. Director Stapley replied they are about half of what neighboring cities pay.

COUNCILMEMBER CREER MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #2016-04 ESTABLISHING RATES AND FEES FOR PROVIDING CULINARY AND SECONDARY WATER BY MEANS OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY MUNICIPAL CULINARY AND SECONDARY WATER SYSTEMS.

COUNCILMEMBER CHILD SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE IS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER CHILD AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CREER AYE
RESOLUTION #2016-04 APPROVED

COUNCILMEMBER MILLER  AYE
COUNCILMEMBER SORENSEN  AYE

RESOLUTION #2016-05 APPROVED

COUNCILMEMBER CREER MOVED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #2016-05
AMENDING THE COMPREHENSIVE FEE SCHEDULE FOR THE CITY OF SPRINGVILLE, UTAH TO ESTABLISH AND INCLUDE FEES FOR CULINARY AND SECONDARY WATER AS OUTLINED IN EXHIBIT “A.”
COUNCILMEMBER SORENSEN SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE IS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:
COUNCILMEMBER CHILD  AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER  AYE
COUNCILMEMBER CREER  AYE
COUNCILMEMBER MILLER  AYE
COUNCILMEMBER SORENSEN  AYE

MAYOR, COUNCIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

Mayor Clyde asked for any further questions or reports.
Councilman Sorensen asked about a request from a citizen for using a solar stop sign at 400 east and Center Street. Director Stapley replied after review there is sufficient signage at this intersection. Councilman Sorensen asked about the cost for solar and if it would be better. Director Stapley stated the cost would be approximately $1000 to $2000. Sorensen asked to discuss it further at the budget retreat.
Mayor Clyde asked if there would be a problem with the signs flashing into windows at night.
Mayor Clyde asked about using permanent speed limit flashing signs in areas known for speeding. Chief Finlayson replied depending on the size it would cost approximately $1200 per sign.
Councilman Child reported he has received calls regarding deer in neighborhoods. Mayor Clyde stated the Division of Wildlife is willing to work with the City. Chief Finlayson reported he would bring an Ordinance to the Council for approval about not feeding the deer. He stated they have received more complaints about deer this year than in years past.
Councilman Conover asked about the railroad status. Director Stapley stated he has a meeting next Monday regarding the Tintic and UTA line and is waiting to hear about the 950 West crossing.

CLOSED SESSION

9. The Springville City Council may temporarily recess the regular meeting and convene in a closed session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation, and the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, as provided by Utah Code Annotated §52-4-205
There was no closed session.

ADJOURNMENT

COUNCILMEMBER CHILD MOVED TO ADJOURN THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING AT 8:03 P.M. COUNCILMEMBER CONOVER SECONDED THE MOTION, AND ALL VOTED AYE.
This document constitutes the official minutes for the Springville City Council Regular meeting held on Tuesday, April 05, 2016.

I, Kim Rayburn, do hereby certify that I am the duly appointed, qualified, and acting City Recorder for Springville City, of Utah County, State of Utah. I do hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true and accurate, and complete record of this meeting held on Tuesday, April 05, 2016.

Kim Rayburn
City Recorder
DATE: May 11, 2016

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: J. Fred Aegerter, Community Development Director

SUBJECT: JUD HARWARD SEEKING A RECOMMENDATION FOR A PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE MAP AND THE OFFICIAL ZONE MAP FROM MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO COMMERCIAL AND FROM THE R1-5 SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL TO THE CC-COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL ZONE FOR THE PROPERTY AT 341 SOUTH 300 EAST.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

Motion #1 – General Plan Land Use Map Amendment
Move to approve Ordinance No ____-2016 amending the Land Use Map element of the General Plan from Medium Density Residential to Commercial for the property at 341 South 300 East.

Motion #2 – Official Zone Map Amendment
Move to approve Ordinance No ____-2016 amending the Official Zone Map from the R1-5 Single-Family Residential Zone to the CC-Community Commercial Zone for the property at 341 South 300 East.

SUMMARY OF ISSUES/FOCUS OF ACTION

1. Does the proposed request meet the requirements of Springville City Code, regarding amendments to the General Plan?
2. Does the proposed request to rezone this property meet the requirements of the Springville City Code, particularly 11-7-1, Amendments to the Title and Zone Map?
3. Does the request maintain the intent of the General Plan?

BACKGROUND

The proposed amendments are for a parcel that is north of the Hobble Creek Learning Center and Corn Wagon Quilt Company. The applicant would like to expand the parking lot for the two existing buildings and construct an additional building.

The parcel falls within the R1-5 Single-Family Residential Zone, which is also in the Historic District Overlay Zone. There currently is an existing home on the parcel.

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
May 17, 2016
In 2004, the applicant had started using the house located on the parcel where the Hobble Creek Learning Center is for a commercial use. As the property was in a residential zone, he was asked to conform and he proposed amending the general plan and rezoning the property to create additional parking for the Corn Wagon, which had expanded commercial use into the basement and to create parking for a new building that was to serve as a guest house for women to come and do quilting on the property proposed for rezoning. This resulted in the need for additional parking. The proposed guest house use never occurred and the Hobble Creek Learning Center was proposed for the building.

At the time of the rezoning in 2004, Staff recommended approval based on the following:

- The ability to establish a more definitive edge between the commercial and residential areas with fencing and a landscape buffer;
- The opportunity to utilize existing ordinances to remedy shortcomings associated with the existing commercial property, including concerns such as on-site parking and landscape screening.

Another issues included the need to locate the new building closer to the Corn Wagon building.

Based on the current standards, parking requirements are met on-site for the two buildings owned by Mr. Harward.

**DISCUSSION**

There is precedence along 400 South in regards to parking expansion for buildings facing 400 South. Most recently, early last year the City Council approved a zone change for the property at 363 South 200 East for a parking lot to be used by the Mark Fullmer Dentist Office. The

**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA**

*May 17, 2016*
previously approved changes were to allow for parking areas for businesses that had little or no parking and were or will be affected by 400 South expansion improvements.

The concept was to allow parking expansion for the property facing onto 400 South.

General Plan

The goal of the Land Use Element of the General Plan is to “Create a safe, functional, and attractive community that preserves the best of our past and shapes our future development in a way that benefits all people of our community.” Objective 4 of that section is to “Provide conveniently-located commercial and professional office uses to serve the residents of Springville and surrounding areas.”

- Land Use - Objective 2 – Provide and maintain cohesive residential neighborhoods with a wide variety of housing types and densities which include the services and amenities that contribute to desirable stable neighborhoods.

- Housing – Strategy 1b – Maintain more affordable housing by supporting and strengthening neighborhoods through rehabilitating and maintaining areas of older housing.

Historic Center Community Plan

The goal of the Land Use Element of the Historic Center Community Plan is to “Preserve the historic open feel and agricultural usage of Plat A while limiting commercial encroachment and investing in expanded green space.”

In the Background section of the Land Use Element, residents are concerned that the residential nature of this area be preserved through policies that will not continue to allow commercial encroachment into their neighborhoods.
-Land Use – Strategy 3 – Adopt a policy concerning expansion of commercial uses into residential areas to help ensure a sense of understanding as to when such expansion is or is not appropriate and to help retain trust between residents and the City.

Planning Principles

Neighborhoods should remain as cohesive communities in order to remain vibrant and safe. Where possible, properties that face each other across a street will be the same or similar zone. This provides citizens with the opportunity to interact with their neighbors and create a feeling of community. In order to protect the sense of community, zoning should follow rear lot lines.

Zoning Requirements

The requested zoning of CC-Community Commercial requires a minimum 20,000 square feet of lots area. The lot in question contains just over 9,000 square feet. If the property is rezoned, the parcel would be required to be combined with the adjacent parcel to the south.

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING OVERVIEW

The Planning Commission considered the proposed amendments on April 26, 2016 during which a public hearing was held. Below is a summary of the commissioners’ discussion.

Director Aegerter presented the information listed in the staff report, after which Mr. Harward, the applicant addressed the commissioners and stated the request was triggered by the fact that UDOT will be widening 400 South, which will eliminate the current on-street parking. He explained the only way to make the expansion of the parking lot feasible; he would like to construct a small 1200 square foot building on the north portion of the parking lot and would keep the same architectural look that was consistent with the neighborhood. With the expanded parking lot and new building the existing site would be gaining 5 additional stalls.

Commissioner Ellingson asked if the parking being eliminated off of 400 South could be absorbed onto 300 East Street. It was discussed that 300 East is much narrower and is already being utilized by surrounding businesses.

The public hearing portion of the meeting was opened in which no one came forward.

Commissioner Baker questioned if there were any standards that regulated building height. It was explained that the standard for the CC-Zone is 35-feet. Director Aegerter clarified that the current parking requirements are met on site for the two businesses and added the five spots on the street that will be eliminated were never included in the parking calculations.

Director Aegerter discussed how precedence had been set for additional parking encroaching into residential for businesses that front onto 400 South and explained that a policy decision needed to be made regarding allowing additional encroachment for businesses that do not front onto 400
South. Commissioner Baker was very concerned about allowing additional encroachment into residential areas.

Director Aegerter explained that if the Commission feels that the proposed expansion is in keeping with the General Plan and the public trust concerning this area, and does not affect the residential integrity of the area, the recommendation should be to recommend approval of the proposed General Plan Map Amendment and Zoning Map Amendment.

If the Commission feels that the proposed expansion is not in keeping with the General Plan and not in keeping with the public trust concerning this area and affects the residential integrity of the area, the recommendations should be to recommend denial of the proposed General Plan Map Amendment and Zoning Map Amendment.

COMMISSION ACTION: Commissioner Farrer moved to recommend approval of the proposed General Plan Map amendment and the Zoning Map amendment. Commissioner Ellingson seconded the motion. The vote was 3 to 1 in favor of the amendments.

**Commission Vote**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commissioner</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael Farrer</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Young</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genevieve Baker</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Ellingson</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Clay</td>
<td>Excused</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Mertz</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Clyde</td>
<td>Excused</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ALTERNATIVES**

1. Adopt the General Plan Map and Official Zone Map amendment(s) as proposed;
2. Amend and adopt the proposed amendment(s);
3. Reject the proposed amendment(s).

J. Fred Aegerter
Community Development Director

Attachments

cc: Jud Harward

**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA**
May 17, 2016
ORDINANCE NO. ____-2016

AN AMENDMENT TO THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE MAP THAT RECLASSIFIES THE PARCEL LOCATED AT 341 SOUTH 300 EAST FROM MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO COMMERCIAL.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Springville, Utah:

Section 1: The following described area, as shown on the Generalized Future Land Use Map of the Springville City General Plan and shown on the attached Exhibit A, shall be changed to the following respective land use classifications as shown on Exhibit A (attached) from Medium Density Residential to Commercial.

Section 2: The Zoning Administrator shall cause the Generalized Future Land Use Map of the General Plan to be amended to show the change made by Section 1 above.

Section 3: The foregoing amendment was submitted to and considered by the Planning Commission after which a public hearing was held by the Planning Commission on April 26, 2016 which was noticed as required by law and which gave all interested parties an opportunity to be heard. The Planning Commission thereafter submitted the same to the City Council with its recommendation that the amendment be made. The City Council held a public hearing on May 17, 2016, notice of which was given as required by law. At said hearing all interested parties were given an opportunity to be heard.

Section 4: This amendment shall become effective with the signature of the Mayor.

Approved by the City Council of Springville, Utah this 17th day of May, 2016.

_______________________________
Wilford W. Clyde, Mayor

ATTEST:

____________________________
Kim Rayburn, City Recorder
ORDINANCE NO. ____-2016

AN ORDINANCE REZONING THE PROPERTY AT 341 SOUTH 300 EAST FROM THE R1-5 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL ZONE TO THE CC-COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL ZONE AND AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP.

Be it ordained by the City Council of Springville, Utah:

Section 1: To the extent that the following area, as shown on Exhibit “A” is in any zone other than the R1-5 – Single Family Residential Zone, the following described area is hereby rezoned from its existing zone to the CC-Community Commercial Zone, and hereafter all rules and regulations applicable to the CC-Community Commercial Zone shall apply within said area.

Section 2: The Community Development Director shall cause the Official Zoning Map of the City to be amended to show the rezoning made by Section 1 above.

Section 3: The foregoing zone change was submitted to and considered by the Planning Commission on April 26, 2016, after a public hearing notice of which was given as required by law. The Planning Commission thereafter submitted the same to the City Council with its recommendation that the zone change be made. The City Council held a public meeting on the matter on May 17, 2016, notice of which was given as required by law. At said hearings, all interested parties were given an opportunity to be heard.

Section 4: All ordinances, resolutions, or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5: This ordinance shall become effective one day after publication hereof in the manner required by law.

Section 6: The City Recorder shall cause this ordinance or a short summary hereof, to be published in the Daily Herald, a newspaper published and of general circulation in the City.

Adopted by the City Council of Springville, Utah, this 17th day of May, 2016.

___________________________________
Wilford W. Clyde, Mayor

ATTEST:

_______________________________
Kim Rayburn, City Recorder
DATE: May 26, 2016

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: J. Fred Aegerter, Community Development Director

SUBJECT: SPRINGVILLE CITY CONSIDERING AN AMENDMENT TO 11-6-213 REGARDING TALLER FENCING TO KEEP DEER OUT OF REAR YARDS

RECOMMENDED MOTION

Move to approve Ordinance No. ____-2016, amending the fencing requirements found in Section 11-6-213 of the Springville City Development Code.

SUMMARY OF ISSUES/FOCUS OF ACTION

- Do the proposed amendments maintain the intent of the General Plan?
- Does the proposed request meet the requirements of the Springville City Code, particularly 11-7-1, Amendments to the Title and Zone Map?

BACKGROUND

At the Planning Commission meeting of April 12, 2016, Planning Staff reviewed ideas concerning deer fencing. The Commission directed Staff to provide a draft ordinance for their consideration. The individuals requesting the change were in attendance and indicated they are proposing an eight foot high chain link fence.

Staff reviewed information from several state extension services. All of the extension services talked about use of the eight foot fence and how it should be constructed to exclude deer, while helping to make them safe. A couple included the option of architecturally solid fencing of six feet in height, explaining that deer typically won’t jump a fence when they don’t know what is on the other side.

Staff reviewed the following information concerning deer fencing:

In those communities which allow deer fencing, there are typically standards that limit the fencing material to a synthetic or wire mesh materials. An internet search of deer fencing ordinances found ordinances in several communities in the northwest and several Midwestern
states and the northeast. The only one specifically found in Utah was in North Logan. Here are samples from several communities:

North Logan, Utah

- Allowed behind the front setback of the house
- Maximum Height for privacy fencing is 6’ with any fencing above that at least 50% face open
- 8’ maximum allowed with up to 10’ as a conditional use
- The following types of materials are approved as deer fencing material:
  (A) Plastic/Polyresin Deer Fencing in earth or neutral colors (green, black, or brown)
  (B) Galvanized or Stainless Steel Wire Fencing made of 14 gauge wire or larger.
  (C) PVC-Coated Steel Fencing in earth or neutral colors (green, black, or brown) made of 16 gauge wire or larger.
  (D) Vinyl Fencing
- The following fencing materials are non-exclusive examples of materials not approved as deer fencing material:
  (A) Contractor Sand/Snow fence (Usually orange)
  (B) Galvanized Steel Hardware Cloth
  (C) Poultry netting

Eugene, Oregon

- Maximum height of 8’ in front and interior yard setbacks
- May extend above any fence meeting allowable fence height, provided it have a minimum open space of 8 square inches with a minimum of 2 inches in any one dimension
- Permitted materials include wire fencing and wire strands with maximum diameter of ¼ inch (chain link and polypropylene or plastic fencing are prohibited)
- Vertical posts must be a minimum of 3.5’ apart and no greater than 8” in any one dimension
- Installed and maintained to prevent sagging

Ashland, Oregon

a. Deer fencing may be attached to a permitted front, side, or rear yard fence provided the area in excess of the allowable fence heights per this section is designed and constructed to provide a clear view through the fence.
   i. Within required front yards, at least 85 percent of the surface shall be unobstructed to both light and air when viewed perpendicular to the plane of the fence.
   ii. Within required side and rear yards, at least 80 percent of the surface shall be unobstructed to both light and air when viewed perpendicular to the plane of the fence.

b. Deer fencing shall have a minimum height of 6 ½ feet and shall not exceed eight feet
above grade.

c. Permitted deer fencing materials may include, woven wire fencing, field fence, “hog panels”, wire strand, or polypropylene mesh net that is open and visible through the material. Within front yards all mesh material shall have a minimum open diameter of 1 ½ square inches.

d. Deer fencing shall be supported by structural supports, or tension wires, that run along the top of the fence to prevent sagging.

e. Chain link fences shall not be considered to be deer fences under this section even if they meet the criteria above.

The literature typically includes these three types of options for deer fencing.

![Figure 1. A vertical deer fence should be at least 8 feet high.](image1)

This is an example of typical deer fencing allowed in communities. Efforts are made to make sure it is as innocuous as possible by requiring limited dimensions of materials and colors that tend to blend into the landscape.

In many cases, the type of deer fencing illustrated here is associated with orchards and larger properties located in semi-rural areas. A more finished look should be considered.

![Figure 2. A solid wooden fence can be both attractive and deer-proof.](image2)

The use of view obstructing fencing at least five feet in height is mentioned in several Extension Service reports, even when the height a deer can jump is taken into consideration. Solid fencing is an effective means of keeping deer out because they are afraid of the unknown when they can’t see into the yard.

![Figure 3. A slanted deer fence uses a combination of height and width to defeat deer.](image3)

This slant fencing is most often used in rural or agricultural setting, but it takes into account the distance a deer can leap, which is very limited.
Staff contacted several other Utah County communities concerning deer fencing. While some of them contacted allow fencing up to eight feet in height (subject to a building permit), it has always been for privacy reasons as a result of grade differences between properties. We are unaware of any other cities in the area addressing the issue of deer fencing.

Staff has prepared a very basic ordinance amending the zoning ordinance that would allow fencing of up to eight feet in height, based on the discussion of the Commission. It does not specify material types, so long as there is a two inch square opening or void in the material. It also limits deer fencing on corner lots to be located at the required building setback. This would typically be twenty feet. There may be more specific requirements the Planning Commission may choose to include.

The draft provided by Planning Staff was reviewed at the Planning Commission meeting held on May 10, 2016.

**DISCUSSION**

The following proposed amendments were drafted based on Planning Commission discussion. The original language prepared by Staff is underlined. Changes proposed by the Planning Commission are shown as underlined with deleted language being struck through. The proposed language is as follows:

11-6-213(7)(a)(iii)
Fencing installed to keep urban deer populations out of rear yards may be constructed up to eight feet (8’) in height in rear yards provided any portion of the fence over six feet (6’) in height is 70% see through and includes a void opening of at least two inches square.

11-6-213(7)(b)(iv)
Fencing installed to keep urban deer populations out of rear yards may be constructed up to eight feet (8’) in height in rear yards provided the fence meets the side yard setback required for residences on the lot and any portion of the fence over six feet (6’) in height is 50% see through and includes a void opening of at least two inches square.

The Commission discussed building materials and recommended considering inclusion of the fencing materials that should not be allowed. They discussed the North Logan Ordinance and felt that the language of that ordinance should be included as fencing types not allowed for deer fencing. That language states:

-The following fencing materials are non-exclusive examples of materials not approved as deer fencing material:
(A) Contractor Sand/Snow fence (Usually orange)
(B) Galvanized Steel Hardware Cloth
(C) Poultry netting

**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA**

June 7, 2016
There was discussion about how much of the fence should be allowed to be solid. Some voiced support of eight foot solid fencing, while others were concerned that such tall solid fencing creates a closed-in or prison-like feel to an area. There was discussion about the six foot solid fencing keeping deer out because of not knowing or being able to see what was on the other side of the fence. Discussion followed about the drafted ordinance requiring that 70% of the upper portion of the fence over six feet in height being see-through and whether that should be changed to 50%. Chain link was also discussed and the majority of commissioners felt that galvanized chain link fencing shouldn’t be allowed, rather that the fencing should be vinyl-coated.

The Commission clarified that fencing over six feet in height is required to have a building permit, based on the state-adopted building code.

COMMISSION ACTION:

Commissioner Mertz moved to amend language provided by Staff to include the following:

- change the 70% see-through requirement to 50%
- the following materials be non-exclusive examples of materials not approved as deer fencing:
  (A) Contractor Sand/Snow fence (Usually orange)
  (B) Galvanized Steel Hardware Cloth
  (C) Poultry netting
  (D) Galvanized or Stainless Steel Wire Fencing made of 14 gauge wire or larger

Commissioner Baker seconded the motion.

Commission Vote

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commissioner</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michael Farrer</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Young</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genevieve Baker</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Ellingson</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Clay</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Mertz</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Clyde</td>
<td></td>
<td>Excused</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommended Motion for City Council Action

Move to recommend approval of the proposed amendments to Section 11-6-213 of Springville City Development Code regarding the installation of taller rear yard fencing in order to help keep deer out.
ALTERNATIVES

1. Adopt the zoning amendment/ordinance as proposed.
2. Amend and adopt the proposed zoning amendment/ordinance.
3. Reject the proposed zoning amendment/ordinance.
ORDINANCE NO. ____-2016

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 11-6-213, ALLOWING TALLER FENCING IN REAR YARDS TO KEEP DEER OUT

Be it ordained by the City Council of Springville, Utah:

SECTION 1: Section 11-6-213(7)(a)(iii) of Springville City Code is hereby adopted to read as follows:

Fencing installed to keep urban deer populations out of rear yards may be constructed up to eight feet (8’) in height in rear yards provided any portion of the fence over six feet (6’) in height is 50% see through and includes a void opening of at least two inches square. The following materials are non-exclusive examples of materials not approved as deer fencing:

(A) Contractor Sand/Snow fence (Usually orange)
(B) Galvanized Steel Hardware Cloth
(C) Poultry netting
(D) Galvanized or Stainless Steel Wire Fencing made of 14 gauge wire or larger

SECTION 2: Section 11-6-213(7)(b)(iv) of Springville City Code is hereby adopted to read as follows:

Fencing installed to keep urban deer populations out of rear yards may be constructed up to eight feet (8’) in height in rear yards provided the fence meets the side yard setback required for residences on the lot and any portion of the fence over six feet (6’) in height is 50% see through and includes a void opening of at least two inches square. The following materials are non-exclusive examples of materials not approved as deer fencing:

(A) Contractor Sand/Snow fence (Usually orange)
(B) Galvanized Steel Hardware Cloth
(C) Poultry netting
(D) Galvanized or Stainless Steel Wire Fencing made of 14 gauge wire or larger

SECTION 3: This ordinance will become effective one day after publication hereof in the manner required by law.

SECTION 4: The City Recorder shall cause this ordinance or a short summary hereof to be published in the Daily Herald, a newspaper published and of general circulation in the City.

ADOPTED by the City Council of Springville, Utah, this 7th day of June, 2016.

__________________________________________
Wilford W. Clyde, Mayor

ATTEST:

_________________________________
Kim Rayburn, City Recorder