

**MORGAN CITY**

**Council Meeting**

05-24-16

Work Session

5:00 p.m.

***NOTICE OF WORK MEETING  
OF THE MORGAN CITY COUNCIL***

Pursuant to Utah Code, Title 52, Chapter 4, notice is hereby given to members of the Morgan City Council and to the general public that the Morgan City Council will hold a work meeting in open public session on Tuesday, May 24, 2016 at **5:00 p.m.**, in the Council Room of the City Office located at 90 West Young Street.

**AGENDA**

**Items for Discussion**

1. Commercial Street project
2. Resolution #16-15 – law enforcement contract
3. Resolution #16-16 – animal control contract
4. 2016-2017 budget review
5. Council department review
6. Attorney Crane – council training

In the event of an absence of a full quorum, agenda items will be continued to the next regularly scheduled meeting.

Notice is hereby given that by motion of the Morgan City Council, pursuant to Title 52, Chapter 4 of the Utah Code, the City Council may vote to hold a closed session for any of the purposes identified in that Chapter.

In compliance with the American with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting should notify Julie A. Bloxham, City Recorder, (801) 829-3461 at least 24 hours before the meeting.

Morgan City invites any person, church or other civic organization to contact the Mayor, to be scheduled for presenting a thought, reading, opening remarks, or invocation in the opening ceremony portion of the public meeting. Written invitations will be made by the Mayor to those who wish to participate.

This meeting may be held electronically to allow a member to participate.

Posted on 05-18-16

11:00 a.m.

Julie A. Bloxham, Recorder

Work Session  
05-10-2016

MINUTES OF WORK SESSION MEETING HELD BY MORGAN CITY COUNCIL IN REGULAR  
SCHEDULED OPEN PUBLIC SESSION ON TUESDAY, MAY 10, 2016 AT 6:00 P.M., IN THE COUNCIL  
ROOM OF THE CITY OFFICE LOCATED AT 90 WEST YOUNG STREET

Present: Mayor, Ray W. Little.

Council Members: Tony London, Jeff Wardell, Mike Kendell, Fran Hopkin and  
Bill Cobabe.

City Staff: Gary Crane, Attorney; and Shayla Hurlbut, Economic Development Director.

Others present: Britanee Garner, Chamber of Commerce; Albert Wilde, Chamber of Commerce;  
Carl Harding; Sally Jensen; Jennifer Vesper, Morgan County News; Adam  
Walker; and Gina Grandpre, Morgan County Fair Board.

This meeting was called to order by Mayor, Ray W. Little.

**Items for Discussion**

**Rescind Resolution #16-12, NA Properties development agreement – replace with -  
Resolution #16-15 – NA Properties development agreement**

There was a small correction the developer wanted to make to this agreement. Mayor Little reviewed the items of concern. The main item was regarding impact fees, this needed to be changed to reflect what was agreed upon in the development agreement. This is under 4.7 of the agreement and will be changed to read "Developer hereby agrees to pay the current impact fees as provided for in the Master Development Agreement governing development of Mahogany Ridge."

There will not be a need to new resolution, just a motion to allow the change to be made. Mike asked what the agreement was originally. The developer of the SID installed the water and electrical systems. The agreement was done to collect fees to pay them back for these improvements. These were done originally when the SID was set-up 14 years ago.

Some of the other areas of concerns were: 4.4 – width and right of way of streets. There is no need to change anything in this section. The Planning Commission wanted to make sure there is room to park vehicles so they do not hang out into the street. The Home Owners Association will have the same authority and responsibilities as they currently have.

4.10 regarding the sewer lift station was another area of concern. Mayor Little reviewed the discussion with staff and engineers on this item. Mayor Little stated annexation is not being considered at this time – there is some property adjacent to this development that is in the annexation policy. Does the City want to have the developer upgrade for something that may never happen? Mike questioned why the City would not have them do what is needed for their development.

Bill asked if the area that is currently in the County is in annexation policy plan. The property in question is in the plan, what they would need for sewer infrastructure would depend on

whether this is commercial or residential use when developed. Attorney Crane explained the sewer line was originally designed to go under the freeway and over to the ponds. He feels new annexation and/or developments would have to install this line and pay for it. The City cannot service large developments with a lift station. Bill asked if the City should require this development to enter into a "pioneer" agreement, or have future development go under the freeway and cover the costs.

Mayor Little stated there is some concern about going under the freeway – due to the elevation this may require a lift station on the other side. There are several factors that will need to be decided for future development.

**Albert Wilde, Chamber of Commerce**  
**NUCC membership and CO-OP Marketing Funds**

Albert Wilde is here representing the Chamber of Commerce. He presented the members with a request for funding several meetings ago and is asking for a decision on the funding.

**Northern Utah Chamber Coalition (NUCC)**

The asked for \$3,000 for the Morgan Chamber to join the Northern Utah Chamber Coalition (NUCC). Bill stated there is concern about putting money into something the City may never get any benefit from. This is an unknown and is a bit of a risk. However, he is willing to put some money into it to see if there is a benefit. The Young Street Bridge is a project that has been talked about for a lot of years. It is hard to find funding for this type of project. This may be one item where this membership would be beneficial.

Albert stated there has been no involvement with this organization (NUCC) in the past. He feels involvement in this organization would be good for the community. He feels this type of networking can help obtain funding. Britanee Garner stated she has received a lot of positive feedback from other areas when attending these meetings. It is good to have Morgan a part of this. Fran asked if the Chamber had already joined NUCC, it was stated they had.

Britanee stated she wants to be able to represent both the City and County at these meetings and wants to be available to help with all projects. Britanee has been attending NUCC meetings and the legislative sessions. She feels these have been very informative. NUCC makes a list of priorities for their members. Morgan County is small in population compared to other counties, how do we get our projects considered a priority. Britanee feels this will not be a problem. She feels joining NUCC will only strengthen our voice and get our projects out to those who make decisions.

**CO-OP Marketing funds**

The other area the Chamber is asking the City to help with funding is the CO-OP marketing funds. Tony –stated the City provided funds last year, he thinks it was in the amount of \$1500. The County also contributed, were all these funds used? Albert stated the County put in \$3000, the City put in \$1500 – they have \$1500 left over. They are asking for \$2000 from the City for this year.

Work Session  
05-10-2016

Britanee stated how they used these funds and the three pending applications that they currently have.

They are asking for \$3000 to cover NUCC membership costs and other expenditures such as travel. Bill asked if the funding will come out of ED/CD funds. Does Shayla feel comfortable with this expenditure coming out of her budget? Shayla feels this is a good idea – if the City is not willing to fund up-front, would they be willing to approve a reimbursement up to a certain amount? Shayla will attend meetings if allowed but she is already paid by the City.

Britanee stated it is hard to come up with money out of your own pockets then have to wait to be reimbursed. This will take a lot of her time and explained she does a lot of volunteer time now. She stated Mayor Egbert asked her to staff the visitor center - he resigned two weeks after she agreed. There was no funding provided for the center. She does not get paid for this, but is willing to provide information needed.

Mike gave information he has gathered on funding. He asked about the Young Street Bridge project, he was told it was not probable this would be considered for funding. He was also told they would have to be a member of NUCC for several years before they can see any type of benefit. He does like that this is a collaborative project and gives the City exposure. He felt that Britanee was very positive in the meeting he attended and is a good representative for Morgan.

Mike is not sure every entity gets a turn for funding. He feels it depends on the project being proposed. Bill stated there are some big projects in adjacent communities if they get funded, it will take several years of funding to complete them. He feels there will not be additional or leftover funds for Morgan. Mike stated he could go either way - he sees benefits with NUCC membership and has some concerns if we will receive anything for our money.

Albert stated one item that has come up is a countywide sewer system. This is very beneficial for funding and our economy. The Health Department is concerned about the number of septic tanks being installed. A countywide system would benefit both the City and the County. Britanee stated she feels there are benefits to the educational opportunities that can be enhanced for our residents.

Attorney Crane stated he had breakfast with some legislative lobbyists and they stated they worked for Morgan County. Is that correct? Britanee stated this is part of the NUCC membership. They do not pay lobbyists but they get a consensus of what projects they need to fight for. Attorney Crane stated he is very involved in the legislative session. Lobbyists are there representing many cities. When you are part of the ULCT or County state organizations you get some exposure. Lobbyists are very beneficial to get your projects out and get your voices heard. He feels this is a good opportunity.

Bill stated his biggest reservation is that they were told they will just have to take the benefits of joining "on faith". He is willing to do that on certain items and he understands collaborative effort. He is still hesitant and unsure what we will get for our money.

Tony stated if the City gives money – in a year from now – he is hopeful they can come back and show substantial progress on the year's activity. He asked Albert and Britanee if they could attend a meeting at the end of the year to give some data showing what benefits were received.

Work Session  
05-10-2016

It was stated this could be done. Mayor Little stated this item is on the Council agenda tonight for a vote.

**Commercial Street Project**

This item was not discussed.

**2016-2017 Tentative Budget Review**

This item was not discussed.

**Council Department Review**

This item was not discussed.

**Attorney Crane**  
**Council Training**

This item was not discussed.

This meeting was adjourned at 7:05 p.m.

---

Julie A. Bloxham, Recorder

These minutes were approved at the \_\_\_\_\_ meeting.

**NOTICE OF MEETING TO BE HELD IN  
PUBLIC AND CLOSED SESSION  
OF THE MORGAN CITY COUNCIL**

Pursuant to Utah Code, Title 52, Chapter 4, notice is hereby given to members of the Morgan City Council and to the general public that the Morgan City Council will hold a meeting in public and closed session on Tuesday, May 24, 2016 at 7:00 p.m., in the Council Room in the City Office at 90 West Young Street.

**AGENDA ITEMS:**

**1. CALL TO ORDER, PLEDGE, OPENING CEREMONY, APPROVAL OF MINUTES AND WARRANTS:**

1 set of warrants  
May 10, 2016 minutes

**2. PRESENTATIONS:**

**3. CONSENT ITEMS:** (These items will be discussed and voted as one item)

**4. PUBLIC HEARINGS**

**5. NEW BUSINESS:**

Resolution #16-15 – law enforcement contract

Resolution #16-16 – animal control contract

**6. UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

**7. SPECIAL REPORTS**

**8. CITIZEN COMMENTS:**

**9. ADJOURN**

Notice is hereby given that:

- A work meeting will be held at 6:00 p.m., or at another time as posted to discuss miscellaneous matters.
- In the event of an absence of a full quorum, agenda items will be continued to the next regularly scheduled meeting.
- By motion of the Morgan City Council, pursuant to Title 52, Chapter 4 of the Utah Code, The City Council may vote to hold a closed meeting for any of the purposes identified in that chapter

In compliance with the American with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting should notify Julie A. Bloxham, City Recorder, (801) 829-3461 at least 24 hours before the meeting.

This meeting may be held electronically to allow a member to participate.

Posted on 05-18-16

11:00 a.m.

Julie A. Bloxham, Recorder

Council Meeting  
05-10-2016

MINUTES OF MEETING HELD BY MORGAN CITY COUNCIL IN REGULAR SCHEDULED OPEN PUBLIC SESSION ON TUESDAY, MAY 10, 2016 AT 7:00 P.M., IN THE COUNCIL ROOM OF THE CITY OFFICE LOCATED AT 90 WEST YOUNG STREET

Present: Mayor, Ray W. Little.

Council Members: Tony London, Jeff Wardell, Mike Kendell, Fran Hopkin and Bill Cobabe.

City Staff: Gary Crane, Attorney; and Shayla Hurlbut, Economic Development Director.

Others present: Lydia Nuttall, Gina Grandpre, Morgan County Fair Board; Sally Jensen; Jennifer Vesper, Morgan County News; Albert Wilde, Chamber of Commerce; Britanee Garner, Chamber of Commerce; and Ron Bird.

This meeting was called to order by Mayor, Ray W. Little.

The opening ceremony was presented by Bill Cobabe.

The pledge of allegiance was led by Mike Kendell.

**Minutes and Warrants**

MOTION: Bill Cobabe moved to approve the minutes of the April 26, 2016 meetings and two sets of warrants.

SECOND: Tony London. Vote: 5 ayes.

**Presentations**

**Lydia Nuttall**

**Forgotten American Book Presentation**

Lydia Nuttall introduced herself to those present. She stated 4 ½ years ago she came and asked for support from the City for a project she was involved in. In 2011 she realized the 225<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Constitution was not being recognized. She felt this should be part of the school curriculum. She was willing to write a one page story every month celebrating the freedom we have. The City was very supportive of this project and allowed her to make copies among other things.

She reviewed some of the lessons/stories she compiled during that year. They were distributed every month throughout the community. Local Scout troops would deliver them, the City allowed them to be on the website and let her make copies.

As a result of this project she recently published a book, "Forgotten American Stories" that is written celebrating the constitution. Lydia met a State board of Education member that was very interested in her monthly stories and felt there was a need to teach these stories to the youth. They felt this would be a good resource for teachers and families.

She was given a grant for the project that allowed her to give each elected official a copy of the book. She reviewed the book and the topics that are covered. She started assembling the books by herself and a small committee and soon realized this would not work, the book needed to be published. There is a one page story about a topic, and each story has a one page "hero" story that goes along with it. Each story pulls out a character strength that can be passed onto our youth. There is a "what do you think" page for each section. There are 12 chapters in the book.

Lydia mentioned all of the sponsors. She thanks the City elected officials for their support in the beginning. She has been on a book tour/signing to other States and it was very successful. It all started from support she got at this local level. It proves everyone can make a difference.

She thanked all the members and presented them with a signed copy of her book.

Tony asked if she ever dreamed this would go this far. He remembers her original presentation. Lydia stated she could never have dreamed it would be such a success. These principals are so important and she is passionate about the fact our youth need these lessons.

**Gina Grandpre, Morgan County Fair  
Request for Funds**

Gina is here representing the Morgan County Fair Board. She stated she does not just want to ask the City for money, she wants them to be a part of the Fair. Last year they started an event at the Fair where local businesses provide games for the youth. These are free to those who participate. They have used volunteers from the community for these in previous years. Last year they decided to take a different approach. They approached businesses within the community and asked for support. They asked them to volunteer by being there and hosting a game. The Fair Board pays for the toys, supplies they use for these games. This was received really well by the businesses.

She presented the members with a letter asking for support. She is asking they give some funds to help pay for the supplies needed for these games.

Tony asked what amount she is asking for – is she asking for \$3,600. Gina stated this is the total budget for the amount they use to reimburse businesses. They give \$300 to each business that has a game at the event. It would depend on how many businesses participate as to how much the amount will be. They are not asking the City to sponsor the entire amount, but would not turn it down if they want to fund the entire amount.

Gina stated this is a good event for our community and exposes our businesses to the community. Tony asked if the City has been asked to participate in the County Fair before. It was stated they had not. Fran asked if the businesses had been asked to fund their own games. Gina replied they are asked and some do, but some cannot afford to fund this. Other businesses go over the \$300 and have to cover the additional amount.

Gina stated they do something for every age group. There is a wide variety of events, this includes: mud volleyball – hamster balls – dunk tank. She invited the City Officials to be part of these events. Jeff asked what budget these funds would come out of if they approved this request.

Council Meeting  
05-10-2016

Fran stated what is being asked is essentially businesses cannot afford to pay for advertising so they are asking the City to pay to give them exposure. Has she asked the Chamber for some funding? Maybe they can use some of the funding they have for these types of events. Fran does not feel comfortable with having the City use funds to advertise for these businesses. Tony stated this event is a "County" Fair the City residents already pay towards the fair with tax money they pay to the County. He is not comfortable using City funds to fund this type of project.

Gina stated she understands their concerns; she is looking for any help she can get. understands She is trying to get sponsors to help due to the limited funds she has to work with. The City has been approached before and she felt it never hurts to ask. Jeff stated he feels the same as Tony and Fran; he is concerned about the City paying to advertise for businesses.

Mayor Little reviewed all the suggestions that have been given. Gina has not asked for a certain amount, she has left that open. There have been comments about having the Chamber fund this type of item. At this meeting, Gina is asking the City to participate so they need to decide if they want to support.

Bill stated he will be abstaining from the vote on this issue. Gina works for him at the County. This could be perceived as being a conflict of interest. However, he feels it is a benefit for businesses and the youth in our community. We pay taxes for all sorts of items. He feels we are a community that values children and community. The County Fair is a time for families to spend time together.

Tony asked where in the budget the funding could be taken out of. Shayla stated there is a business development line item. Mike stated he feels this is a good event and asked if Gina has a list of businesses that want to participate and is this open to all businesses? How do they choose if there are more businesses that want to participate than they can allow? Gina stated this was new last year; they have reached out to businesses and will continue to do so.

MOTION: Fran Hopkin moved to deny the request for funds for the County Fair.

SECOND: Tony London.

Discussion on motion: Fran stated he cannot disagree with what has been stated. It is a valuable event to the community. He does not feel this denial shows that we do not support businesses. Bill stated he does not agree with the motion.

VOTE ON MOTION: 4 ayes, Bill – abstained.

### **New Business**

#### **Chamber of Commerce**

#### **Request for NUCC Membership and CO-OP Marketing Funds**

Tony clarified the funds for NUCC are for expenses to attend meetings. He asked how many meetings there are, it was stated 45 per year at the most. There is also preparation to get ready

Council Meeting  
05-10-2016

for these meetings, etc. The monies will be used for anything related to the meetings and not just for mileage.

Tony confirmed there is \$1500 left from \$4500 total in last year's budget. Britanee stated they would be supportive of giving money to the County Fair for what they presented tonight. There are currently three applicants for the CO-OP funds. If Gina wants to apply, that would make 4 applications.

Albert has already approved funding for the CO-OP budget he thinks it was \$4,000. If the monies are not used, they carry over to the next year. Bill asked if the City pays into the Chamber of Commerce. The City is not a member. The City paid toward the CO-OP last year and that included a membership. Albert stated without the support of the City and County the Chamber is not able to survive. Bill asked if the City allows Shayla to attend, would that be acceptable as an in-kind donation. Shayla is a City employee, this is a Chamber membership. They would have Britanee go as the Chamber representative, Shayla for the City and a County representative if they want to send someone.

MOTION: Tony London moved to approve the request for funding for \$3,000 for the membership to Northern Utah Chamber Coalition (NUCC).

SECOND: Bill Cobabe.

Discussion on motion: Tony stated he is requesting some type of accounting of where the monies are spent. He wants to have a report towards the end of the year showing what has been accomplished.

VOTE ON MOTION: 5 ayes.

MOTION: Fran Hopkin moved to approve the request for funding for \$2,000 for the CO-OP marketing.

SECOND: Jeff Wardell.

Discussion on motion: Fran would also like to see the expenditures from this fund for the current year and the upcoming year. There was discussion as to the amount the City participated last year.

VOTE ON MOTION: 4 ayes, 1 nay – Tony London.

**Rescind Resolution #16-12, NA Properties development agreement – replace with – Resolution #16-15, NA Properties development agreement**

Mayor Little explained this agreement was discussed during the work session. There is no need to adopt a new resolution, just to approve the one change to the agreement that was approved. This is under 4.7 of the agreement and will be changed to read "Developer hereby agrees to pay the current impact fees as provided for in the Master Development Agreement governing development of Mahogany Ridge."

Council Meeting  
05-10-2016

MOTION: Tony London moved to approve the wording change to the NA Properties development agreement that was approved under Resolution #16-12 - This is under 4.7 of the agreement and will be changed to read "Developer hereby agrees to pay the current impact fees as provided for in the Master Development Agreement governing development of Mahogany Ridge."

SECOND: Jeff Wardell. Vote: 5 ayes.

**Commercial Street Project**  
**Motion to Approve**

Mayor Little stated this presentation has been given during the past few weeks to the members and to the business community. The Mayor has given the members a list of items to be considered. This list is not a complete list and the members need to add items they feel are needed. The members reviewed the PowerPoint presentation and the two alternatives that have been presented.

Mayor Little stated he made an attempt to list some questions or items that need to be decided before this project proceeds. He feels these items need to be decided either by the members or a committee before it is sent to an engineer. These are all items that have been presented through this process. His intention is not to discuss each item on the list, but for the members to review and add items they have.

Mayor Little reviewed some of the items on the list he has prepared, which include – drainage, safety of traffic, plant growth, and current hazards. The amount of water laterals have been identified by City Staff. There are a couple of concepts that have been presented for lamp posts. There needs to be a decision on the size, number and location of the posts.

There needs to be consideration of sidewalks – should there be a gradual slope, and drainage needs to be considered. What type of plants, if any should be allowed? How will watering of plants that are allowed be done?

The road is a vital part of the project. There needs to be discussion on the current overlay and slope. Also, how to address or fix drainage problems that exist and the effect the proposed plaza will have on this street.

There are several bulb-outs proposed. There needs to be discussion on how many of these are wanted or needed. Once this is decided there needs to be a decision made on the locations and size of these along with the problems with drainage, snow removal and planting this may cause.

The angle of parking on the street needs to be discussed. If you add bulb-outs and change the angle of parking – does this leave adequate parking for businesses? There has been a suggestion of installing parking in the rear of the buildings. This would require purchasing the property. Mayor Little stated that if each item was to be discussed tonight it would take several hours. He wants to know how the members feel it is best to proceed from this point. He is not advocating for or against any of these items – he is just listing items that have been brought to his attention.

Council Meeting  
05-10-2016

Mayor Little asked for input from the Council on what he has presented. Do they have anything to add, do they want to have a committee formed to determine some of these items. He added Public Works staff stated they could still perform snow removal if there were a few bulb outs added, it may become more difficult if there are seven added.

The Mayor also feels some items do not work on the north side of the street. If you install a sidewalk next to the curb it presents a problem. Vehicles that currently park there pull in so their bumpers hang over the curb. If a sidewalk is installed, this would not stop the vehicles from intruding into this area and that would defeat the purpose of a sidewalk. There needs to be discussion about the need for the trees and street lights on that side.

There were some other concerns from staff about the slope of the north side where the lawn is. If it is cut into and made steeper, being able to mow this will be difficult. There have been concerns about the sidewalk and what should be done as far as slope.

Jeff asked what the public present at the meeting think? Jennifer Vesper stated she feels what has been presented is good. She loves the idea of the Plaza. She knows first-hand that parking is a problem; there are a lot of accidents from vehicles backing out and into others. Shayla stated they would lose approximately 10 spaces if change angle. She has done a site visit to this street several times and feels there is adequate parking. Adam Walker stated he does not want to see parking changed. There are a lot of empty businesses and wonders if there will be adequate parking if businesses come to the empty buildings. He feels the bulb outs are okay. He wants to preserve parking. Tony stated he agrees with the concerns on parking. How many spaces do we want to give away?

Bill stated the goal is to create a character for the street. The members need to decide how to create that character. Bulb outs create safety for pedestrians and will slow traffic. Changing the angle of parking will reduce spots, but he feels it also increases safety. He feels developing the character for the street is essential to this project. He understands the concerns of the businesses, but all of the parking is paid for and maintained by the City. He feels the benefits of the project will far outweigh the number of parking spots that would be lost.

Tony stated he feels the angle needs to be changed to 45 degrees. It is a hazard and is hard to maneuver if there are vehicles on both sides of the street. Shayla stated she agrees with Bill they need to create an ambience; it creates a sense of community and gives the street character. She feels the bulb outs are a great addition.

Shayla would like to propose holding a meeting where each of the members can discuss the different items of concern. Each member has different talents and ideas and she would like to get their input. Bill stated one thing that is neglected in these presentations is bicycle traffic and the availability for bikes to park on this street.

Mike stated they could use space in the bulb out areas for items such as bike parking. He feels there needs to be adjustment to the grade. They can use the areas next to the bulb outs for items such as bike racks and plants. The bulb outs can also be used to help with the grade needed for this area. If a committee is formed, he would like to be on the committee. There has

Council Meeting  
05-10-2016

been discussion for a while about using RDA funds for this type of project. He feels this is a great start and is hopeful it can continue to move forward.

Mayor Little asked how the members want to move forward on this project. Do they want a committee established, schedule a work session, or does anyone have another idea. Jeff replied he feels there should be a work session scheduled. Mayor Little stated if there are additional items they have thought about – please get them to Shayla or him so they can be added to be discussed before the next meeting.

The decision was made to hold a work session at 5:00 on May 24<sup>th</sup> and devote an hour to discussing this project. Bill stated he will be out of town for that meeting, but is fine with them moving forward. Tony stated there is a lot of talent in the Council and he feels they all have something to offer on this project.

**2016-2017 Tentative Budget Approval**  
**Set Date for Final Adoption**

Mayor Little stated the members have latest draft budgets. He asked Julie Bloxham, Recorder to review the changes made since the last meeting. The general fund was reviewed; there is still some revenue available for budgeting. There are some items that need to be decided as far as law enforcement contract, etc. In the capital project fund some items that have been stated for the budget are additional repair work to Mickelsen Mile, purchasing a truck for the road department and replacing the roof on the City Office.

There have been increases in the sewer and sanitation rates proposed, these are included in the material for the members to review.

Mike stated last year the recreation board asked for an increase. This has not been included in the new year's budget. He would request it to be at the amount budgeted for last year. He feels they have improved the program, they have added soccer and he reviewed a list of items they have improved over the last year. This program is being operated under different management. Fox Pointe park is being used for soccer games. They have added events which include tournaments. He feels they have made great improvements and need to be funded. Mike stated he feels there have been a lot of collaborative help from the School, County and City. This has opened a lot of dialogue on other items and feels it should continue to be funded at the level set last year.

Tony stated the Wilkinson Rec Plex is a mess. He feels it is not taken care of or maintained. He feels it is embarrassing – the area is covered with weeds, the netting around the base fields are in disrepair. He is not for funding at the same level and will not approve until there are improvements made. Mike stated there is still a lot of volunteer work done in the area. He understands the value of the City funds, but feels if the City pulls back funding it will not get better, it will get worse. This needs to continue to be worked on and try to get it funded at a level that provides the maintenance, programs and other items needed to keep this program operating.

Tony stated \$6000 from the City is not going to make or break the recreation program. There needs to be enough money to take care of the properties. He is not in favor of funding this in

Council Meeting

05-10-2016

the way it is being funded. They need to look at different ways to fund this program. Bill stated he is always willing to give money to projects. He does not feel in this case funding is what will do the best, there needs to be interest and help from the community. There needs to be an effort to get groups or individuals that would be willing to do maintenance projects, etc.

There was discussion about whether there should be funding for this department or if it could be run by community members and businesses. Mayor Little asked who would help promote volunteer efforts. Bill stated this should be done by the recreation board.

Mayor Little stated the members need to decide what to do with salaries and benefits. They need to adopt the tentative budget tonight. They can make changes tonight and at the next meeting. The final adoption will be done at the June 14<sup>th</sup> meeting. The budgets as they are prepared at this time include covering the increase in medical insurance. It also includes a 3% cost of living increase. The members have discussed several items in regards to this - including having the employees paying a portion of the medical insurance.

Mayor Little stated he would like this to be decided before next meeting. It takes time to make the adjustments to the budgets if changes are recommended. Bill stated he approves of this budget as presented and he is willing to approve it as is. Fran stated Tony asked at question at the last meeting about how long can the City continue to pay all of the medical insurance. He feels having the employees pay a portion of the insurance needs to be looked at closely, whether it is this year or next.

Mayor Little stated the options that can be done as far as insurance coverage and payment. If an employee has a family plan, versus a single plan the amount of reimbursement is different. If you give a COLA across the board – some will get an advantage, some will get nothing. If they want to make changes to what is in the tentative budget it needs to be done soon. These affect every department. It also takes staff time to prepare the salary schedule.

Tony stated if you look at the general fund budget there is extra and the increase is covered. If you make many changes from what has been proposed it causes an increase in the enterprise funds which are operating pretty lean. Mayor Little stated employees come out of various funds so if a change is made, it affects all the departments.

MOTION: Tony London moved to approve the 2016-2017 tentative budgets, setting the date for final adoption to June 14, 2016.

SECOND: Fran Hopkin.

Discussion on motion: Jeff stated the City still has the option of having employees pay a portion of the insurance. If the City covers the cost of increase in insurance, they may want to lower the amount of the COLA that is given. Bill stated benefits different than salaries a 1% increase on benefits does not give any increase to employee salaries. Bill stated it is kind of late to be making changes; he feels they need to work diligently on insurance options with other companies.

The members stated they would want to be in on the insurance meeting to see the options that are presented. Mayor Little stated they are coming to talk to employees; they can meet with

Council Meeting  
05-10-2016

members at the same time. Bill stated they need to start work on this early and not at the last minute. He feels they should start a few months from now on next year and explore options for insurance. Mayor Little asked if they want to start having the employees pay a portion of the insurance this year. Bill stated he feels they need to wait until next year, but it does need to be looked at and should be started early so all options can be looked at.

Mike stated the members need to look at this, every year they discuss making changes, but it is always at the last minute. He also feels they need to look at incentive packages – if employees are healthy and do health assessments they get a break on the percentage they pay. Also they need to look at different types of coverage. Bill suggested establishing a committee that includes some employees to review these items.

VOTE ON MOTION: 5 ayes.

**Special Reports**

Tony told Jeff thanks for getting the striping along the sidewalk at the park done. He hopes it helps prevent parking on the sidewalk.

Fran reviewed an item regarding the sewer lagoons. Jamie Grandpre, Senior Wastewater Operator has met with a company that was recommended by Mike. This company is called Earth Renaissance Technologies (ERT). Mike had found this company while trying to explore options other than what have been presented for upgrading the wastewater treatment plant. Jamie has a lot of concerns and there are a lot of questions with this technology.

The system ERT is recommending would remove 25% of phosphorus and nitrates, according to their data. The question is what level is the State going to have us operate the system under. Mike stated he has met with someone at the State and they have indicated to him this is not a mechanical system and does not need to meet those levels. Fran stated it is still unknown what the State going to require there are no systems like this in the State. In fact, this company does not have any plant like this that is operations. Fran asked if this is something we want to explore? Jamie has some real hesitations and has provided an email that showed the questions he had regarding this type of system.

According to the data ERT has presented, this type of system would remove 25% of phosphorus and nitrates, so it buys us 25%. The cost for the system is approximately \$1 million. The City staff and the State have a lot of questions about the system. Fran suggests continuing to explore this option. Mike stated he agrees 100%; he is the one that found this option. John Mackey, with the State Division of Water Quality (DWQ) has indicated to Mike this type of plan would not be held at mechanical treatment standards. Mike feels it is still worth pursuing. Fran stated the company wants approval, in writing to be able to present to DWQ stating Morgan City is exploring this option. They are requesting our “blessing” to explore this option with the State. Are members okay with this?

Bill stated he does not like the sound of that. He is not comfortable with us endorsing this type of system. Fran stated it is not an endorsement. Bill replied it sounds like one. Mike stated there is no entity currently interested in this type of system. If no one is interested, the DWQ is

Council Meeting  
05-10-2016

not willing to pursue – they (ERT) are looking for an entity to consider this so the State is willing to look at their system.

Fran stated he feels if we say no right now it would be irresponsible. He feels until we have answers to these questions and obtain more information we should pursue. Jeff stated he would be in favor of obtaining more information, but not approving. Fran mentioned he is not stating the City should quit exploring other options. Mayor wants to see letter before he approves or signs anything. Bill agreed he would feel better if he could see the letter before it is sent to the State.

Fran replied ERT is not asking the City to sign a letter; they just want permission to send a letter to State stating Morgan City is looking at this type of project. They are not requesting any type of commitment from the City. They just need the City to state they are interested in order to get the State to take this type of system seriously and have them consider allowing Morgan City to install it.

Mayor Little reported the Mickelsen Mile project is completed. This was a high priority project and the improvements are great.

Bill stated there are programs available to the City in regards to economic development. There is a website: [www.utah.com](http://www.utah.com) that can provide advertising.

Bill reminded all present of the May 19<sup>th</sup> meeting with FEMA. They will meet with public officials from 2:00 – 3:30 followed by a meeting for the public at 6:00 p.m. The members need to attend if possible. Mayor Little stated the new maps increase the floodway zone and will affect properties.

This meeting was adjourned at 9:55 p.m.

---

Julie A. Bloxham, Recorder

These minutes were approved at the \_\_\_\_\_ meeting.

**RESOLUTION #16-15**

**A RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR THE CITY TO ENTER INTO AN  
INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH MORGAN COUNTY FOR  
ADDITIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES**

**WHEREAS**, Morgan City currently receives law enforcement services from Morgan County and has been satisfied with the basic services received; and

**WHEREAS**, it is the desire of the City to augment the current services to enter into an agreement with the County to provide Additional Law Enforcement services beyond the General Law Enforcement services provided to all residents of Morgan County from the general fund of the County; and

**WHEREAS**, the City is confident that the County will provide law enforcement services in a manner that will meet the needs and address the concerns of those receiving these services; and

**WHEREAS**, the City is willing to compensate the County for the Additional Law Enforcement Services provided by the County Sheriff, and in order to do so, enters into this interlocal agreement as provided for by State law, pursuant to that law.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the Morgan City Council:

1. That the City enters into the attached Interlocal Agreement with Morgan County entitled **AGREEMENT BETWEEN MORGAN CITY AND MORGAN COUNTY FOR ADDITIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES**, which agreement incorporated herein by this reference.
2. That the Mayor be authorized to execute this Agreement.

Dated this 24<sup>th</sup> day of May 2016.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ray W. Little, Mayor

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Julie A. Bloxham, Recorder

CITY SEAL:

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN MORGAN CITY AND  
MORGAN COUNTY FOR ADDITIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES**

This Agreement is made and entered into this 24<sup>th</sup> day of May 2016, pursuant to Section 11-13-101, Utah Code Annotated, as amended, commonly referred to as the Interlocal Cooperation Act, by and between Morgan County, a body corporate and politic of the State of Utah, hereinafter referred to as "County", and Morgan City, a municipal corporation of the State of Utah, hereinafter referred to as "City".

**WITNESSETH**

WHEREAS, the City desires a safe and secure environment for its citizens, businesses and all others within the City's boundaries; and

WHEREAS, the City further desires to make the most cost effective use of tax dollars to provide law enforcement services that would be in addition to the general law enforcement services provided by the County Sheriff to all Citizens of Morgan County (hereafter referred to as "Additional Services"); and

WHEREAS, the Morgan County Sheriff's Office is able and willing to provide both general law enforcement service and Additional Services, as needed by the City; and

WHEREAS, the City and County have determined that it is mutually advantageous to enter into this Agreement for the Morgan County Sheriff's Office to provide general law enforcement services and Additional Services, in and for the City; and

WHEREAS, it is agreed that the City will compensate the County for these Additional Services as hereafter set forth and the respective entities have determined and agree that the amount set forth herein is reasonable, fair, and adequate compensation for providing the described law enforcement services.

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, the parties hereby agree as follows:

**SECTION ONE  
AGREEMENT**

1.01 The County, through the Morgan County Sheriff's Office, agrees to furnish general law enforcement services as well as Additional Services to enforce State laws and City ordinances within the corporate limits of the City, to the extent and in the manner hereinafter set forth. For the purpose of this agreement, general law enforcement services, shall include those services that are funded out of the County's general fund, law enforcement services that are regularly and routinely provided without fee to all areas of the County, including incorporated Cities, and mutual aid provided under a mutual aid agreement entered into by the County with other entities outside the County.

- 1.02 This Agreement terminates and supersedes any existing law enforcement service agreement, whether oral or written, between the parties.
- 1.03 The concepts set forth in the above recitals are recognized and incorporated as an integral part of the Agreement.

## **SECTION TWO SCOPE OF SERVICES**

- 2.01 The Morgan County Sheriff's Office will furnish all necessary equipment and personnel for the investigation and enforcement of State laws and City ordinances, and will conduct traffic enforcement, misdemeanor and felony investigations, school resource services, animal control services, as well as any other services in the field of public safety that are within the legal power and ability of the Sheriff to provide. School resource services and animal control shall not be considered Additional Services under this agreement. "Additional Services" shall mean the services that are provided to Morgan City residents that are not provided generally to other residents within Morgan County. General Law Enforcement Services include but are not limited to: patrol, traffic enforcement and control, investigation of criminal offenses, appropriate response to public safety incidents, search and rescue operations, and all other supervisory, auxiliary and technical services related to the support of such services.
- 2.02 Specifically, the Sheriff shall provide one officer within the boundaries of Morgan City for 40 hours a week. It is understood that from time to time, the Sheriff may have to allocate more than the time of the one officer assigned to Morgan City, to the City, or that from time to time emergencies may arise elsewhere in the County, requiring that the officer assigned to Morgan City, serve in some other part of the County. The time spent by the assigned officer in providing Additional Services shall not be used to reduce the general law enforcement provided by the Sheriff to Morgan City residents. The officer serving in the City, shall, with the exception of leave taken for vacation, illness or other unforeseen events, be the same officer for at least two consecutive months at a time. The Sheriff shall provide to the City, a roster and schedule.
- 2.03 As Additional Services to Morgan City, the Sheriff's office will provide the personnel and equipment furnished by the Sheriff in order to provide an active field force on duty within the City's corporate limits, providing one officer, as identified in paragraph 2.02 above, 40 hours per week, fifty two weeks, providing direct law enforcement services within the boundaries of the City, unless preempted by an emergency or other similar necessary events outside the City. The City will also receive, at no additional cost to the City, the same General Law Enforcement services provided to the rest of Morgan County by the Sheriff, including general law enforcement services and/or shared patrol/call response 24 hours per day, 365 days, per year.

- 2.04 The County will designate the scheduled officer as the liaison to the City for the purpose of coordinating the activities of the Sheriff's Office, attending staff and City Council meetings as reasonably requested, and to oversee the delivery of police services under this Agreement.

**SECTION THREE  
SPECIAL EVENTS**

- 3.01 The Sheriff's Office will respond, upon request, to special law enforcement situations that may arise from time to time within the City for traffic enforcement of special events, celebrations, or parades, for protection from vandalism, and such other special law enforcement help that is normally provided to the residents of the unincorporated areas of the County.

**SECTION FOUR  
EQUIPMENT AND OTHER FACILITIES**

- 4.01 The County will furnish all necessary labor, supervision, equipment, communication facilities, uniforms, badges, firearms, and other items of equipment reasonably necessary.

**SECTION FIVE  
AUTHORITY AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS**

- 5.01 For purposes of liability, County officers or employees shall not be deemed to be City officers or employees except as more fully specified in this Agreement.
- 5.02 All law enforcement officers employed by the County to perform duties under the terms of this Agreement shall be County employees, and shall have no right to any City pension, civil service, or any other City benefit for services provided hereunder. The Sheriff will have full supervisory authority over all persons employed to carry out the requirements of this Agreement.

**SECTION SIX  
INDEMNIFICATION BY THE CITY**

- 6.01 The City shall be responsible for all damages to persons or property that occur as a result of the negligence or fault of the City, its elected and appointed officers and employees, in connection with the performance under this Agreement. The City shall also defend and indemnify the County for all claims and expenses that arise out of the enforcement of a City ordinance that is deemed to be unlawful or unconstitutional. The County shall maintain workman's compensation insurance as required by State Law, on its officers and employees.

**SECTION SEVEN  
INDEMNIFICATION BY THE COUNTY**

- 7.01 The County shall be responsible for all damages to persons or property that occur as a result of the negligence or fault of the County in connection with the performance of this Agreement. Subject to the terms of Section 6.01 above, the County shall indemnify and hold the City free and harmless from all claims that arise as a result of the negligence or fault of the County, its officers, agents, and employees. In the event that the City or any of its officers or employees are named as co-defendants with the County or any of its officers or employees in any civil action based upon the delivery of services under the terms of this Agreement, the County agrees to undertake the defense of the City or any of its officers or employees so named under a reservation of rights agreement until such time as they have been successfully dismissed from the action or it has been determined by the County that a conflict of interest exists, at which time the City will be notified of its duty to independently undertake and pay for the defense of the City or its officer or employee named as a co-defendant in such civil actions.

**SECTION EIGHT  
RESPONSIBILITY FOR SALARY AND BENEFITS**

- 8.01 The City shall not assume any liability for the payment of any salaries, wages, employment benefits, workers' compensation, unemployment benefits, or other compensation to any County personnel performing services hereunder for the City and will not assume any other employment related liability.
- 8.02 The City shall not be liable for compensation of indemnity to any County employee for injury or sickness arising out of said employee's employment, and the County hereby agrees to hold the City harmless against any such action.

**SECTION NINE  
PERIOD OF AGREEMENT**

- 9.01 Unless sooner terminated as provided for herein, this Agreement shall be effective 12:01 a.m. July 1, 2016, and shall run for a sixty (60) month period until 12 midnight June 30, 2021. The Sheriff shall be the administrator of this Agreement.
- 9.02 In the event the City desires to extend this Agreement for a succeeding five year period, the City Council, by September 30 of the year of the expiration date of this Agreement, shall notify the County Council that it wishes to renew the Agreement, whereupon the County Council, not later than October 15 of that same year, shall notify the City Council in writing of its determination concerning the renewal for an additional five year period together with any readjusted rates for the new extended agreement and a new agreement shall be executed. If these notifications are not made, this Agreement shall terminate at the end of the five-year period.

- 9.03 Notwithstanding the provisions of this Section, either party may terminate this Agreement at any time by giving 30 days prior written notice to the other party or without 30 day's notice if the parties agree in writing, to waive this period.

**SECTION TEN  
COST OR PAYMENT**

- 10.01 The City agrees to pay to the County the amount of One Hundred and Thirty-Two Thousand Nine Hundred and Forty-Nine Dollars (\$132,949.00) per year for the Additional Services provided pursuant to this Agreement. This amount includes the full cost of providing Additional Services as used in this Agreement.
- 10.02 The City shall remit payments to the Morgan County Auditor within 20 days after a billing is received from the County.
- 10.03 The amount indicated in paragraph 10.01 above for Additional Services may be renegotiated, at the request of either party prior to July 1 of each year of this Agreement, to reflect the current cost of the Additional Services.
- 10.04 The compensation paid by the City to the County pursuant to the Agreement shall be used only for the services provided pursuant to the Agreement, and the County shall not have the authority or right to use such funds for other purposes. Further, the County agrees not to offset the Sheriff's present or future budget because of the compensation paid pursuant to this Agreement.

**SECTION ELEVEN  
REPORTS AND RECORDS**

- 11.01 Records will be maintained of all law enforcement activity and services as well as the Additional Services provided by the Sheriff, in the City and the records will be accessible to the City at all reasonable times, and, for purposes of prosecution, without cost. The Sheriff's Office will prepare an annual report of law enforcement efforts in the City and will review the report with the City Council each year at one of the Council's regular meetings.
- 11.02 A report detailing the total number of offenses, the number of calls day, the number of citations issued and arrests made for the preceding month shall be made available to the City Council on a monthly basis.

**SECTION TWELVE  
PROBLEM RESOLUTION**

- 12.01 The City's Mayor, City Council, or other designated representatives, shall have the right, upon request, to meet and confer with the Sheriff, and/or the Sheriff's designated representative, to discuss any problems arising from the Sheriff's Office performance of the individual deputies performing services under this Agreement, the costs for future periods under this Agreement, or any other issues related to this Agreement.
- 12.02 This agreement may be amended from time to time, by written agreement of the parties.

**SECTION THIRTEEN  
PROSECUTION OF OFFENSES**

- 13.01 All information, complaints or citations for offenses occurring within the City's Corporate limits, shall be referred to the County Attorney for prosecution.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Morgan City, by resolution duly adopted by its City Council, caused this Agreement to be signed by its Mayor and attested by its Recorder, and the County of Morgan, by resolution of its County Council, has caused this Agreement to be signed by the Chair of said Council and attested by its Clerk, all on the day and year appearing below their respective signatures.

MORGAN CITY

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Morgan City Mayor

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

ATTEST:

Approved as to form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Morgan City Recorder

\_\_\_\_\_  
Morgan City Attorney

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

COUNTY COUNCIL OF MORGAN COUNTY

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
County Council Chair

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

ATTEST:

Approved as to form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Morgan County Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
Morgan County Attorney

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**ATTACHMENT "A"**

**2016 – Agreement between Morgan County and Morgan City  
for Additional Law Enforcement Services.**

Permanent Employees: \$574,782.00

Benefits: \$433,580.00

Office Expenses: \$107,050.00

Records Clerk: \$115,227.00

Dispatch: \$35,000.00

SUB TOTAL: \$1,265,639.00

Fleet Vehicles: \$190,000.00 / 11 vehicles = \$17,272.00

TOTAL: \$1,265,639.00 / 11 employees = \$115,058.00

\$115,058.00 + \$17,272.00 + \$619.00 = \$132,949.00  
(1 employee, 1 vehicle, HR cost for 40 hours a week)

**MORGAN CITY**  
**RESOLUTION #16-16**

**AGREEMENT BETWEEN MORGAN CITY AND  
MORGAN COUNTY FOR ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES**

This Agreement is made and entered into pursuant to Section 11-13-101, Utah Code Annotated, 1953, as amended, commonly referred to as the Interlocal Cooperation Act, by and between Morgan County, a body corporate and politic of the State of Utah, hereinafter referred to as "County", and Morgan City, a municipal corporation of the State of Utah, hereinafter referred to as "City".

**WITNESSETH**

**WHEREAS**, the City desires to compensate the County for animal control services the County is able to provide within the boundaries of Morgan City; and

**WHEREAS**, the Morgan County Sheriff's Office is able and willing to provide both general law enforcement service and animal control services, as provided herein; and

**WHEREAS**, the City and County have determined that it is mutually advantageous to enter into this Agreement for the Morgan County Sheriff's Office to provide said services in and for the City; and

**WHEREAS**, it is agreed that the City will compensate the County for these services as hereafter set forth and the respective entities have determined and agree that the amount set forth herein is reasonable, fair, and adequate compensation for providing the described services.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, pursuant to the Utah Interlocal Cooperation Act, the parties hereby agree as follows:

**AGREEMENT**

1. The County, through the Morgan County Sheriff's Office, agrees to furnish animal control services to the extent and in the manner hereinafter set forth.
2. The Sheriff's office will provide the personnel and equipment in order to provide within the City's corporate limits, one animal control officer, providing direct animal control services within the boundaries of the City. The City shall have, in working with the Sheriff, the ability to give input into the requirements and enforcement of City Codes concerning all animal control issues within its boundaries.
3. The County will designate the officer that will provide the animal control services and will provide that the officer regularly updates the Mayor on the activities of the officer

within Morgan City.

4. The animal control officer will be responsible for the issuance of all dog licenses outside of Morgan City limits. Morgan City will be responsible for the issuance of all dog licenses in Morgan City. All licensing revenues collected on behalf of Morgan City belong to Morgan City. All licensing revenues collected by Morgan County belong to Morgan County. All dog licenses will be renewed no later than January 1<sup>st</sup> each year.
5. The County will furnish all necessary labor, supervision, equipment, communication facilities, uniforms, badges, firearms, and other items of equipment reasonably necessary to provide the services described herein.
6. For purposes of liability, County officers or employees shall not be deemed to be City officers or employees except as more fully specified in this Agreement.
7. The City and the County shall each be responsible for any damages to persons or property that occurs as a result of the negligence or fault of their own officer or employee. The Parties also agree to defend and indemnify each other for all claims and expenses that arise from the negligence or fault their own officers or employees.
8. The City shall not assume any liability for the payment of any salaries, wages, employment benefits, sick leave, workers' compensation, unemployment benefits, pension, or other compensation to any County personnel performing services hereunder for the City and will not assume any other employment related liability except as provided for in this Agreement.
9. Notwithstanding the provisions of this Section, either party may terminate this Agreement at any time by giving 60 days' prior written notice to the other party or without 60 days' notice if the parties agree in writing, to waive this period. Otherwise, this contract will automatically renew on July 1<sup>st</sup> of each year.
10. After the execution of this agreement, the City agrees to pay to the County \$9,370.00 in total for the period beginning July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016 through June 30<sup>th</sup> 2017. Payment will be made upon receipt of an invoice from Morgan County. Any request for an increase in compensation must be negotiated prior to April 1st of each year starting in 2017 for budgeting purposes and must be accompanied by pertinent documentation to substantiate the increase.
11. Records will be maintained of all animal control activity and services rendered by the animal control officer and the records will be accessible to the City at all reasonable times, and, for purposes of prosecution, without cost.
12. A report detailing the total number of licenses issued, the number of calls handled, and the number of violations, if any made for the preceding month shall be made available to the Mayor for review.

13. The City's Mayor, City Council, or other designated representatives, shall have the right, upon request, to meet and confer with the Sheriff, and/or the Sheriff's designated representative, to discuss any problems arising from the Sheriff's Office performance of the individual officer performing services under this Agreement, the costs for future periods under this Agreement, or any other issues related to this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Morgan City, by resolution duly adopted by its City Council, caused this Agreement to be signed by its Mayor and attested by its Recorder, and the County of Morgan, by resolution of its County Council, has caused this Agreement to be signed by the Chair of said Council and attested by its Clerk, all on the day and year appearing below their respective signatures.

Morgan City

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Morgan City Mayor

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

ATTEST:

Approved as to form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Morgan City Recorder

\_\_\_\_\_  
Morgan City Attorney

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

County Council of Morgan County

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
County Council Chair

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

ATTEST:

Approved as to form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Morgan County Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
Morgan County Attorney

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

MORGAN CITY		GENERAL FUND BUDGET				
REVENUE		ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE	BUDGET
		2014-2015	2015-2016	8 mo 15-16	2015-2016	2016-2017
10-31-100	PROPERTY TAXES--CURRENT	304,578	315,000	316,184	317,000	320,000
10-31-200	DELIN. PRIOR YEAR TAXES	8,845	8,000	385	1,000	1,500
10-31-300	SALES TAX	643,174	650,000	476,754	700,000	725,000
10-31-400	FEE IN LIEU/PROPERTY TAX	44,173	50,000	25,167	40,000	45,000
10-32-100	BUSINESS & BEER LICENSES	15,063	15,000	12,490	14,000	14,000
10-32-150	CUP, SOLICITOR & HOME OCC	1,075	750	500	750	750
10-32-200	BUILDING PERMITS	46,347	42,000	34,016	42,000	45,000
10-32-300	ANIMAL CONTROL	2,080	2,050	1,070	1,600	1,600
10-33-300	CDBG GRANTS	0	0	0	0	0
10-33-400	B & C ROAD FUNDS	150,822	175,000	104,688	175,000	180,000
10-34-100	AMBULANCE (COUNTY)	0	0	0	0	0
10-34-300	PARK DEPARTMENT	2,750	2,000	2,385	2,600	2,700
10-34-600	CEMETERY BURIAL FEES	17,105	18,000	9,620	12,000	15,000
10-34-800	SALE OF CEMETERY LOTS	9,800	6,500	5,600	6,500	7,000
10-35-100	FINES	5,472	5,000	505	750	750
10-36-100	GENERAL FUND INTEREST	498	600	324	500	500
10-36-150	ROAD IMPACT FEE INTEREST	620	500	744	1,100	500
10-36-200	PARK IMPACT FEE INTEREST	99	100	153	230	100
10-36-300	ST TREAS GENERAL FUND INTEREST	11,225	9,000	11,577	17,365	18,000
10-36-400	CLASS B&C INTEREST	963	900	682	1,000	1,000
10-36-500	ROAD IMPACT FEE COLLECTIONS	53,570	12,000	28,836	32,000	30,000
10-36-550	ROAD IMPACT FEES - RET EARNINGS	0	50,000	0	0	0
10-36-600	PARK IMPACT FEE COLLECTIONS	2,925	9,000	26,758	30,000	25,000
10-36-650	PARK IMPACT FEES - RET EARNINGS	0	0	0	0	0
10-36-700	TELECOMM & FRANCHISE FEES	59,173	45,000	23,268	35,000	35,000
10-36-800	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	38,406	42,000	27,422	41,100	42,000
10-36-850	COMMUNITY EVENTS	1,327	2,500	1,238	1,400	2,500
10-36-900	SURPLUS CLASS B&C	0	257,794	0	0	0
10-39-100	CONTRIBUTIONS OTHER FUNDS	0	0	0	0	0
10-39-200	TRANSFER/PERPETUAL CARE	0	0	0	0	0
10-39-300	APPROPRIATION--GEN FUND BEG BAL	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		1,420,090	1,718,694	1,110,366	1,472,895	1,512,900

<b>EXPENSES</b>	<i>ACTUAL</i> 2014-2015	<i>BUDGET</i> 2015-2016	<i>ACTUAL</i> 8 mo 15-16	<i>ESTIMATE</i> 2015-2016	<i>BUDGET</i> 2016-2017
<b>ADMINISTRATION</b>					
10-41-110 SALARIES	52,706	51,473	36,896	55,344	53,632
10-41-130 BENEFITS	24,431	25,737	16,164	25,000	26,816
10-41-210 PUBLICATIONS	791	1,500	693	1,200	1,500
10-41-230 TRAVEL & TRAINING	2,656	6,000	952	5,000	6,000
10-41-240 OFFICE EXPENSE	15,710	20,000	10,391	16,000	40,000
10-41-290 LIABILITY INSURANCE	11,534	14,000	12,761	12,761	14,000
10-41-300 ENGINEER FEES	11,527	10,000	3,360	5,000	10,000
10-41-310 PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL	10,198	16,700	12,946	16,700	17,000
10-41-320 EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION	5,622	7,000	5,221	7,000	7,000
10-41-600 BARBER BROTHERS REIMBURSEMENT	25,051	0	0	0	0
10-41-610 MISCELLANEOUS	810	15,000	2,146	7,500	10,000
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>161,036</b>	<b>167,410</b>	<b>101,530</b>	<b>151,505</b>	<b>185,948</b>
<b>COMMUNITY &amp; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</b>					
10-46-110 SALARIES	33,769	44,006	30,133	45,200	45,326
10-46-130 BENEFITS	23,570	22,003	20,399	30,000	22,663
10-46-210 PUBLICATIONS	630	1,000	0	500	250
10-46-230 TRAVEL & TRAINING	606	1,000	1,761	2,500	3,500
10-46-240 OFFICE EXPENSE	1,117	1,000	754	1,000	750
10-46-480 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	14,712	25,000	4,648	15,000	22,500
10-46-490 BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT/EXPANSION	0	5,000	0	0	2,000
10-46-610 MISCELLANEOUS	262	1,000	0	500	0
10-46-620 EVENT EXPENSES	12,858	20,000	12,595	20,000	25,000
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>87,524</b>	<b>120,009</b>	<b>70,290</b>	<b>114,700</b>	<b>121,989</b>
<b>GRANT EXPENSES</b>					
10-49-620 GRANT EXPENSES	0	0	0	0	0
10-49-640 CDBG GRANT EXPENSES	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>EXPENSES</b>	<i>ACTUAL</i> 2014-2015	<i>BUDGET</i> 2015-2016	<i>ACTUAL</i> 8 mo 15-16	<i>ESTIMATE</i> 2015-2016	<i>BUDGET</i> 2016-2017
<b>ELECTIONS</b>					
10-50-240 ELECTION EXPENSES	192	6,000	88	5,088	500
<b>TOTALS</b>	192	6,000	88	5,088	500
<b>CITY BUILDING</b>					
10-51-110 SALARIES	8,670	7,725	5,857	8,700	8,700
10-51-130 BENEFITS	841	1,159	453	800	900
10-51-240 BLDG EXP. & SUPPLIES	5,586	10,000	3,035	7,500	10,000
10-51-250 BUILDING CLEANING SERVICES	450	750	0	500	750
<b>TOTALS</b>	15,547	19,634	9,345	17,500	20,350
<b>LAW ENFORCEMENT</b>					
10-54-310 LAW ENFORCEMENT CONTRACT	110,000	126,113	64,167	126,113	132,949
<b>TOTALS</b>	110,000	126,113	64,167	126,113	132,949
<b>FIRE DEPT</b>					
10-55-620 FIRE EXPENSE TO COUNTY	18,007	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
10-55-740 CAPITAL OUTLAY - EQUIPMENT	6,452	6,452	6,452	6,452	6,452
<b>TOTALS</b>	24,459	24,452	24,452	24,452	24,452
<b>BUILDING INSPECTOR</b>					
10-56-110 SALARIES	27,909	28,881	19,007	28,510	29,748
10-56-130 BENEFITS	14,026	14,441	9,528	14,400	14,874
10-56-230 TRAVEL & TRAINING	2,732	3,000	639	3,000	3,000
10-56-240 OFFICE EXPENSE	1,474	2,500	1,221	1,850	2,500
10-56-250 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	148	1,000	414	750	1,000
10-56-260 GAS--OIL--UTILITY EXPENSES	999	2,000	613	920	1,500
10-56-310 PROF & TECH/PLANNER	15,700	13,200	7,800	13,200	15,000
10-56-480 SUPPLIES	263	500	41	250	500
10-56-610 MISCELLANEOUS	978	1,500	606	1,000	1,500
<b>TOTALS</b>	64,229	67,022	39,869	63,880	69,622
<b>ANIMAL CONTROL</b>					
10-57-310 ANIMAL CONTROL	112	150	283	350	300
10-57-620 ANIMAL CONTROL EXPENSE TO COUNTY	18,740	18,760	9,370	9,370	9,370
<b>TOTALS</b>	18,852	18,910	9,653	9,720	9,670

EXPENSES		ACTUAL 2014-2015	BUDGET 2015-2016	ACTUAL 8 mo 15-16	ESTIMATE 2015-2016	BUDGET 2016-2017
<b>AMBULANCE</b>						
10-58-620	AMBULANCE EXP. TO COUNTY	0	0	0	0	7,000
<b>TOTALS</b>		0	0	0	0	7,000
<b>ROAD DEPT.</b>						
10-60-110	SALARIES	64,185	67,017	46,728	70,000	73,858
10-60-130	BENEFITS	32,544	33,509	22,765	34,000	36,929
10-60-210	PUBLICATIONS	535	500	471	600	600
10-60-230	TRAVEL & TRAINING	969	4,000	0	2,000	4,000
10-60-240	OFFICE EXPENSE	551	1,500	347	800	1,200
10-60-250	EQUIPMENT EXPENSE	2,241	10,000	5,786	9,000	10,000
10-60-260	GAS--OIL--UTILITY EXPENSES	2,032	4,000	1,308	2,000	3,500
10-60-300	ENGINEER FEES	104,678	15,000	7,482	14,000	15,000
10-60-310	PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL	1,775	1,500	1,201	2,000	2,000
10-60-400	SIGN REPLACEMENT	4,630	5,000	2,678	3,500	5,000
10-60-480	SUPPLIES	27,226	20,000	22,589	33,000	35,000
10-60-600	STREET IMPACT FEES	218	50,000	8,141	50,000	25,000
10-60-610	MISCELLANEOUS	1,097	30,000	426	2,500	10,000
10-60-620	SIDEWALKS	24,869	35,000	882	0	35,000
10-60-770	CLASS B&C EXPENSES	117,414	432,794	145,741	0	180,000
<b>TOTALS</b>		384,964	709,820	266,545	223,400	437,087
<b>PARKS</b>						
10-64-110	SALARIES	66,389	70,298	42,954	66,000	63,889
10-64-130	BENEFITS	31,627	33,743	21,205	32,000	31,945
10-64-210	PUBLICATIONS	50	100	120	200	200
10-64-230	TRAVEL & TRAINING	0	100	0	0	100
10-64-250	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	1,177	3,000	724	3,000	3,000
10-64-260	GAS--OIL--UTILITY EXPENSES	19,885	20,000	12,796	20,000	20,000
10-64-300	ENGINEER FEES	0	1,500	523	1,000	1,500
10-64-310	PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL	2,731	3,500	0	1,000	2,000
10-64-480	SUPPLIES	18,001	6,500	4,468	6,500	6,500
10-64-600	PARK IMPACT FEES	5,990	10,000	700	1,000	25,000
10-64-610	MISCELLANEOUS	2,976	2,500	652	1,000	2,500
10-64-620	PARK IMPROVEMENTS/SPLASH PAD	3,273	25,000	0	0	25,000
<b>TOTALS</b>		152,099	176,241	84,142	131,700	181,634

<b>EXPENSES</b>		<i>ACTUAL</i> 2014-2015	<i>BUDGET</i> 2015-2016	<i>ACTUAL</i> 8 mo 15-16	<i>ESTIMATE</i> 2015-2016	<i>BUDGET</i> 2016-2017
<b>RECREATION</b>						
10-65-620	RECREATION EXPENSES	6,058	13,058	13,058	13,058	6,058
<b>TOTALS</b>		6,058	13,058	13,058	13,058	6,058
<b>CEMETERY</b>						
10-67-110	SALARIES	59,712	60,987	41,166	61,000	71,917
10-67-130	BENEFITS	29,345	30,494	15,442	25,000	35,958
10-67-210	PUBLICATIONS	40	200	0	100	100
10-67-230	TRAVEL & TRAINING	0	100	0	0	100
10-67-240	OFFICE EXPENSE	1,729	1,500	854	1,200	1,500
10-67-250	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	1,497	2,000	753	1,200	2,000
10-67-260	GAS - OIL - UTILITY EXPENSES	12,545	15,000	7,828	12,000	15,000
10-67-300	ENGINEER FEES	0	0	0	0	0
10-67-310	PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL	0	0	0	0	0
10-67-480	SUPPLIES	7,966	4,000	1,406	2,500	4,000
10-67-610	MISCELLANEOUS	507	1,000	204	750	1,000
10-67-750	CAPITAL OUTLAY - LAND PURCHASE	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		113,341	115,281	67,653	103,750	131,575
<b>SHOP</b>						
10-70-240	OFFICE EXPENSE & SUPPLIES	5,901	7,000	4,908	7,000	7,000
10-70-270	BLDG & GROUND MAINTENANCE	1,742	3,000	3,461	4,500	4,000
<b>TOTALS</b>		7,643	10,000	8,369	11,500	11,000
<b>TRANSFERS AND CONTRIBUTIONS</b>						
10-90-100	TRANSFER TO CAPITAL PROJECT	175,000	144,744	144,744	344,744	173,066
10-90-300	TRANSFER TO RDA	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		175,000	144,744	144,744	344,744	173,066
<b>GRAND TOTALS</b>		1,320,944	1,718,694	903,905	1,341,110	1,512,900

MORGAN CITY CAPITAL PROJECT FUND						
REVENUE		ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE	BUDGET
		2014-2015	2015-2016	8 mo 15-16	2015-2016	2016-2017
45-30-100	INTEREST	0	0	0	0	0
45-39-100	TRANSFER FROM GENERAL FUND	175,000	147,644	144,744	344,744	173,066
45-39-300	APPROP OF CP FUND BEG BALANCE	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		175,000	147,644	144,744	344,744	0
<b>BEGINNING BALANCE</b>		437,451	442,471	442,471	442,471	392,715
<b>EXPENSES</b>						
45-40-310	PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL	5,526	10,000	981	7,500	10,000
45-40-720	CAPITAL OUTLAY/BUILDING	149,451	0	0	0	30,000
45-40-730	CAPITAL OUTLAY //IMPROV NON BLDG	15,003	175,000	88,364	175,000	25,000
45-40-740	CAPITAL OUTLAY/EQUIPMENT	0	10,000	11,798	12,000	30,000
45-40-900	TRANSFER TO SEWER FUND	0	200,000	200,000	200,000	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		169,980	395,000	301,143	394,500	95,000
<b>ENDING BALANCE</b>		442,471	195,115	286,072	392,715	297,715

MORGAN CITY ENTERPRISE FUND		WATER DEPARTMENT				
REVENUE		ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE	BUDGET
		2014-2015	2015-2016	8 mo 15-16	2015-2016	2016-2017
51-30-100	COLLECTIONS	655,556	710,000	468,679	705,000	705,000
51-30-200	HOOK-UP FEES	2,680	2,500	2,614	2,750	2,750
51-30-300	SALE OF MATERIALS	4,515	3,000	2,496	3,000	3,000
51-30-350	TAPPING FEE	0	0	400	400	400
51-30-400	MISCELLANEOUS	544	2,000	3,041	5,000	5,000
51-30-600	IMPACT FEE INTEREST	107	102	177	250	250
51-30-700	IMPACT FEE COLLECTIONS	3,550	2,500	30,440	32,000	32,000
51-30-750	IMPACT FEES - RET EARNINGS	0	0	0	0	18,000
51-30-900	APPROPRIATION FROM FUND BAL	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>666,952</b>	<b>720,102</b>	<b>507,847</b>	<b>748,400</b>	<b>766,400</b>

EXPENSES						
51-40-110	SALARIES	121,943	131,105	83,998	131,000	137,844
51-40-130	BENEFITS	66,985	65,553	45,440	68,000	68,922
51-40-210	PUBLICATIONS	1,301	1,200	235	1,200	1,200
51-40-230	TRAVEL & TRAINING	3,561	5,000	1,728	5,000	5,000
51-40-240	OFFICE EXPENSE	23,280	20,000	17,396	20,000	20,000
51-40-250	EQUIPMENT EXPENSE	4,433	5,000	769	3,000	5,000
51-40-260	FUEL-OIL-UTILITY	3,600	4,000	2,248	4,000	4,000
51-40-280	LIABILITY INSURANCE	11,203	12,636	12,761	12,761	14,000
51-40-290	ELECTRIC CHARGES	32,966	35,000	26,161	39,000	39,000
51-40-300	ENGINEER FEES	18,163	15,000	11,922	17,000	17,000
51-40-310	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	13,387	16,700	9,308	15,000	15,000
51-40-480	SUPPLIES	3,268	12,000	7,405	12,000	12,000
51-40-500	SCADA EQUIP & MAINT	4,666	6,000	395	3,000	6,000
51-40-510	BACKFLOW PREVENTION	1,143	2,000	375	1,500	2,000
51-40-520	CHEMICAL EXPENSE	6,273	4,000	1,888	4,000	4,000
51-40-540	SAMPLE EXPENSE	4,087	5,000	1,570	2,500	2,500
51-40-560	LAB SUPPLIES	891	500	0	0	500
51-40-580	METERS	28,771	20,000	8,394	12,000	12,000
51-40-600	IMPACT FEES	2,932	0	0	0	50,000
51-40-610	MISCELLANEOUS	269	2,000	690	1,000	1,000
51-40-620	WATER SHARE PURCHASE	40,561	41,000	41,667	41,667	42,000
51-40-650	DEPRECIATION	118,534	116,000	80,000	116,000	116,000
51-40-660	AMORTIZATION EXPENSE	(65,963)	0	0	0	0
51-40-720	WATER MINOR CONSTRUCTION	15,369	20,000	3,444	15,000	15,000
51-40-740	CAPITAL OUTLAY-EQUIPMENT	0	20,000	3,933	15,000	15,000
51-40-750	CAPITAL OUTLAY-CONST.	14,821	60,000	22,045	35,000	60,000
51-40-800	BAD DEBT EXPENSE	1,486	600	465	600	600
51-40-810	BONDS	80,595	70,500	53,730	70,500	70,500
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>558,525</b>	<b>690,794</b>	<b>437,967</b>	<b>645,728</b>	<b>736,066</b>

NET INCOME (LOSS) 108,427 29,308 69,880 102,672 30,334

ANALYSIS OF CASH REQUIREMENTS:

CASH OPERATING NEEDS:	FY 2016-2017
Net Income (Loss)	0
Plus: Depreciation	0
Less: Major Improvements & Capital Outlay	
Bond Principal Payments	
<b>TOTAL CASH PROVIDED (REQUIRED)</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>SOURCE OF CASH REQUIRED:</b>	
Cash Balance at Beginning of Year	
Invest. & Other Curr. Assets Sold	
Issuance of Bonds and Other Debt	
Loans from Other funds	
<b>TOTAL CASH REQUIRED</b>	<b>0</b>

MORGAN CITY ENTERPRISE FUND		SEWER DEPARTMENT				
REVENUE		ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE	BUDGET
		2014-2015	2015-2016	8 mo 15-16	2015-2016	2016-2017
52-30-100	COLLECTIONS	547,030	610,400	411,926	615,000	688,800
52-30-200	HOOK-UP FEES	1,700	2,000	2,400	3,000	3,000
52-30-300	SALE OF MATERIALS	0	0	0	0	0
52-30-400	MISCELLANEOUS	499	500	2,961	3,200	1,000
52-30-600	IMPACT FEE INTEREST	230	250	142	220	220
52-30-700	IMPACT FEE COLLECTIONS	8,229	20,000	11,670	18,000	18,000
52-30-750	IMPACT FEES - RET EARNINGS	0	20,000	0	0	0
52-30-810	BABS BOND INTEREST REFUND	12,641	13,082	6,268	12,750	12,750
52-30-890	TRANSFER FROM CAP PROJ FUND	0	200,000	200,000	200,000	0
52-30-900	APPROPRIATION FROM FUND BAL	0	263,000	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>570,329</b>	<b>1,129,232</b>	<b>635,367</b>	<b>852,170</b>	<b>723,770</b>
EXPENSES						
52-40-110	SALARIES	112,514	117,585	77,202	117,587	123,918
52-40-130	BENEFITS	60,167	58,792	40,717	60,000	61,959
52-40-210	PUBLICATIONS	364	500	356	500	500
52-40-230	TRAVEL & TRAINING	3,544	3,000	1,686	3,000	3,000
52-40-240	OFFICE EXPENSE	21,694	18,000	16,012	20,000	20,000
52-40-250	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	7,346	8,000	6,504	9,700	8,000
52-40-260	GAS & OIL	314	4,000	2,248	4,000	4,000
52-40-270	SEWER CLEANING	25,219	25,000	23,475	25,000	25,000
52-40-280	LIABILITY INSURANCE	11,203	12,636	12,761	12,761	14,000
52-40-290	ELECTRIC CHARGES	40,764	37,000	13,002	20,000	20,000
52-40-300	ENGINEER FEES	15,914	35,000	36,901	40,000	35,000
52-40-310	PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL	8,836	11,700	19,747	25,000	25,000
52-40-480	SUPPLIES	4,276	4,000	4,066	6,000	6,000
52-40-500	SCADA EQUIP & MAINT	6,231	6,000	395	2,000	6,000
52-40-520	CHEMICAL EXPENSE	2,636	4,500	2,066	4,000	4,000
52-40-540	SAMPLE EXPENSE	3,137	4,500	3,816	4,500	5,000
52-40-560	LAB SUPPLIES	717	2,000	1,025	2,000	2,000
52-40-600	IMPACT FEES	0	50,000	39,794	50,000	18,000
52-40-610	MISCELLANEOUS	344	500	303	500	500
52-40-650	DEPRECIATION	63,583	69,800	43,200	69,800	69,800
52-40-720	SEWER MINOR CONSTRUCTION	3,909	15,000	14,633	15,000	15,000
52-40-740	CAPITAL OUTLAY-EQUIPMENT	3,324	15,000	3,933	15,000	15,000
52-40-750	CAPITAL OUTLAY - CONST.	3,754	473,000	360,435	473,000	150,000
52-40-800	BAD DEBT EXPENSE	670	600	1,282	1,500	1,000
52-40-810	BONDS	38,878	44,039	37,763	44,039	44,039
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>439,338</b>	<b>1,020,152</b>	<b>763,322</b>	<b>1,024,887</b>	<b>676,716</b>
NET INCOME (LOSS)		130,991	109,080	(127,955)	(172,717)	47,054

ANALYSIS OF CASH REQUIREMENTS:

CASH OPERATING NEEDS:	FY 2016-2017
Net Income (Loss)	0
Plus: Depreciation	0
Less: Major Improvements & Capital Outlay	
Bond Principal Payments	
<b>TOTAL CASH PROVIDED (REQUIRED)</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>SOURCE OF CASH REQUIRED:</b>	
Cash Balance at Beginning of Year	
Invest. & Other Curr. Assets Sold	
Issuance of Bonds and Other Debt	
Loans from Other funds	
<b>TOTAL CASH REQUIRED</b>	<b>0</b>

MORGAN CITY ENTERPRISE FUND		ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT				
REVENUE		ACTUAL 2014-2015	BUDGET 2015-2016	ACTUAL 8 mo 15-16	ESTIMATE 2015-2016	BUDGET 2016-2017
53-30-100	COLLECTIONS	1,799,384	2,000,000	1,312,404	1,968,606	2,000,000
53-30-200	HOOK-UP FEES	11,553	12,000	3,604	5,000	12,000
53-30-300	SALE OF MATERIALS	28,036	15,000	17,128	20,000	15,000
53-30-400	MISCELLANEOUS	22,032	15,000	5,626	10,000	15,000
53-30-600	IMPACT FEE INTEREST	234	220	194	200	200
53-30-700	IMPACT FEE COLLECTIONS	8,136	7,500	16,944	18,000	10,000
53-30-750	IMPACT FEES - RET EARNINGS	0	37,000	0	0	0
53-30-810	PAYMENT FROM WATER-INTEREST	14,642	15,600	9,209	15,000	15,000
53-30-850	CONTRIBUTIONS/DEVELOPERS	0	0	0	0	0
53-30-900	APPROPRIATION FROM FUND BAL	0	177,000	0	1,383,000	315,342
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>1,884,017</b>	<b>2,279,320</b>	<b>1,365,109</b>	<b>3,419,806</b>	<b>2,382,542</b>
EXPENSES						
53-40-060	UAMPS POWER PURCHASES	990,419	1,020,000	657,721	986,581	1,020,000
53-40-110	SALARIES	270,948	291,005	191,485	285,000	288,737
53-40-130	BENEFITS	145,844	148,002	100,725	140,000	144,368
53-40-210	PUBLICATIONS	406	1000	216	500	750
53-40-230	TRAVEL & TRAINING	5,753	5,000	1,750	4,000	5,000
53-40-240	OFFICE EXPENSE & SUPPLIES	24,985	25,000	17,634	22,000	25,000
53-40-250	EQUIPMENT EXPENSE & MAINT	8,264	25,000	12,819	20,000	25,000
53-40-260	FUEL-OIL-UTILITY-EXPENSE	4,988	10,000	3,355	5,500	8,000
53-40-280	LIABILITY INSURANCE	11,203	13,000	12,761	12,761	14,000
53-40-300	ENGINEER FEES	576	10,000	435	2,500	15,000
53-40-310	PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL	15,886	16,700	12,078	18,000	20,000
53-40-480	SUPPLIES	61,321	200,000	226,296	250,000	300,000
53-40-600	IMPACT FEES	3,780	40,000	64,679	64,679	10,000
53-40-610	MISCELLANEOUS	11,422	35,000	16,383	20,000	35,000
53-40-640	METER DEPOSIT REFUNDS	237	500	206	500	500
53-40-650	DEPRECIATION	136,855	160,000	96,000	160,000	160,000
53-40-740	CAPITAL OUTLAY - EQUIPMENT	0	5,000	3,933	5,000	5,000
53-40-750	CAPITAL OUTLAY - SYSTEM	0	221,500	274,669	300,000	300,000
53-40-800	BAD DEBT EXPENSE	2,372	2,000	4,899	6,000	5,000
53-40-900	TRANSFER TO GENERAL	0	0	0	0	0
53-40-910	TRANSFER TO RDA	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>1,695,259</b>	<b>2,228,707</b>	<b>1,698,044</b>	<b>2,303,021</b>	<b>2,381,355</b>
NET INCOME (LOSS)		188,758	50,613	(332,935)	1,116,785	1,187

ANALYSIS OF CASH REQUIREMENTS:

CASH OPERATING NEEDS:	FY 2016-2017
Net Income (Loss)	0
Plus: Depreciation	0
Less: Major Improvements & Capital Outlay	
Bond Principal Payments	
<b>TOTAL CASH PROVIDED (REQUIRED)</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>SOURCE OF CASH REQUIRED:</b>	
Cash Balance at Beginning of Year	
Invest. & Other Curr. Assets Sold	
Issuance of Bonds and Other Debt	
Loans from Other funds	
<b>TOTAL CASH REQUIRED</b>	<b>0</b>

MORGAN CITY ENTERPRISE FUND		SANITATION DEPARTMENT				
REVENUE		ACTUAL 2014-2015	BUDGET 2015-2016	ACTUAL 8 mo 15-16	ESTIMATE 2015-2016	BUDGET 2016-2017
54-30-100	COLLECTIONS	203,197	216,000	146,532	219,500	240,750
54-30-300	TRANSFER STATION REVENUE	0	0	360	450	1,000
54-30-700	SET-UP FEE	325	500	65	130	500
54-30-800	MISCELLANEOUS	35,001	10,000	2,919	3,500	7,500
54-30-900	APPROPRIATION FROM FUND BAL	0	46,827	0	40,000	17,581
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>238,523</b>	<b>273,327</b>	<b>149,876</b>	<b>263,580</b>	<b>267,331</b>
EXPENSES						
54-40-110	SALARIES	12,732	13,061	9,140	13,061	13,687
54-40-130	BENEFITS	3,873	6,530	2,593	4,000	6,844
54-40-210	PUBLICATIONS	236	300	216	300	300
54-40-230	TRAVEL & TRAINING	2,633	2,000	924	2,000	2,000
54-40-240	OFFICE EXP. & SUPPLIES	17,934	17,000	13,101	16,000	17,000
54-40-260	FUEL, OIL, UTILITY EXPENSE	520	1,400	91	200	500
54-40-280	LIABILITY INSURANCE	9,469	12,636	12,761	12,761	14,000
54-40-300	ENGINEER FEES	0	0	61	61	500
54-40-310	PROF & TECH	7,596	9,200	6,730	7,500	8,000
54-40-320	CONTRACT EXPENSES	88,518	89,500	59,115	89,500	90,000
54-40-610	MISCELLANEOUS	2,364	7,500	5,940	8,500	2,000
54-40-620	TIPPING FEES	77,896	82,000	54,315	82,000	82,000
54-40-650	DEPRECIATION	4,815	7,000	3,200	4,800	7,000
54-40-700	CONTAINER PURCHASE	4,100	5,000	4,150	5,000	5,000
54-40-750	DUMPSTER FEE TO COUNTY	15,522	20,000	11,224	17,000	18,000
54-40-800	BAD DEBT EXPENSE	370	200	674	700	500
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>248,578</b>	<b>273,327</b>	<b>184,235</b>	<b>263,383</b>	<b>267,331</b>
NET INCOME (LOSS)		(10,055)	0	(34,359)	197	0

ANALYSIS OF CASH REQUIREMENTS:

CASH OPERATING NEEDS:	FY 2016-2017
Net Income (Loss)	0
Plus: Depreciation	0
Less: Major Improvements & Capital Outlay	
Bond Principal Payments	
<b>TOTAL CASH PROVIDED (REQUIRED)</b>	<b>0</b>
SOURCE OF CASH REQUIRED:	
Cash Balance at Beginning of Year	
Invest. & Other Curr. Assets Sold	
Issuance of Bonds and Other Debt	
Loans from Other funds	
<b>TOTAL CASH REQUIRED</b>	<b>0</b>

MORGAN CITY		GOVERNMENTAL FUND		PERPETUAL CARE				
REVENUE				ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE	BUDGET
				2014-2015	2015-2016	8 mo 15-16	2015-2016	2016-2017
70-30-100		PERPETUAL CARE INTEREST		838	800	745	1,110	1,200
70-30-200		PERPETUAL CARE FEES		15,950	14,000	11,880	14,000	16,000
70-30-900		TRANSFER-RESERVE FUNDS		-	60,000	-	-	-
<b>TOTALS</b>				<b>16,788</b>	<b>74,800</b>	<b>12,625</b>	<b>15,110</b>	<b>17,200</b>
EXPENSES								
70-40-620		CEMETERY TRUST EXPENSE		-	60,000	64,025	70,000	12,200
70-40-900		TRANSFER TO GENERAL FUND		2,500	5,000	-	5,000	5,000
<b>TOTALS</b>				<b>2,500</b>	<b>65,000</b>	<b>64,025</b>	<b>75,000</b>	<b>17,200</b>

MORGAN CITY CAPITAL PROJECT FUND		NORTH MORGAN SID #2000-1				
REVENUE		ACTUAL	BUDGET	ACTUAL	ESTIMATE	BUDGET
		2014-2015	2015-2016	8 mo 15-16	2015-2016	2016-2017
76-30-100	PROPERTY TAXES - CURRENT	0	0	0	0	0
76-30-150	ASSESSMENT - PRINCIPAL	5,343	4,974	395	4,974	4,974
76-30-200	INTEREST ON ASSESSMENT	0	0	0	0	0
76-30-250	LATE FEE	0	0	0	0	0
76-30-300	WATER IMPROVEMENT FEE	0	0	0	0	0
76-30-350	ELECTRIC IMPROVEMENT FEE	0	0	0	0	0
76-30-400	OPERATING TRANSFERS	0	0	0	0	0
76-30-450	SID PRE-PAID ASSESSMENTS	0	0	0	0	0
76-30-500	REVENUE-ADMINISTRATION FEE	0	0	0	0	0
76-30-600	INTEREST	0	0	0	0	0
76-30-610	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	0	0	0	0	0
76-30-900	TRANSFER FROM OTHER FUNDS	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		5,343	4,974	395	4,974	4,974
<b>BEGINNING BALANCE</b>		0	0	0	0	0
<b>EXPENSES</b>						
76-40-110	SALARIES AND WAGES	0	0	0	0	0
76-40-130	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	0	0	0	0	0
76-40-240	OFFICE EXPENSE & SUPPLIES	0	0	0	0	0
76-40-250	EQUIPMENT SUPPLIES & MAINT	0	0	0	0	0
76-40-300	CONTRACTUAL EXPENSES	0	0	0	0	0
76-40-320	DEBT SERVICE - BOND PRINCIPAL	0	0	0	0	0
76-40-340	DEBT SERVICE - BOND INTEREST	0	0	0	0	0
76-40-400	BOND FEES	0	0	0	0	0
76-40-480	TRANSFER TO OTHER FUNDS	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTALS</b>		0	0	0	0	0
<b>ENDING BALANCE</b>		0	0	0	0	0

MORGAN CITY SPECIAL SERVICE FUND		REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY				
REVENUE		ACTUAL 2014-2015	BUDGET 2015-2016	ACTUAL 8 mo 15-16	ESTIMATE 2015-2016	BUDGET 2016-2017
75-30-100	TAX INCREMENT MONIES	67,659	68,000	70,539	70,800	69,000
75-30-500	INTEREST	366	300	565	850	600
75-30-600	BUSINESS/LOAN PAYMENTS	11,725	8,400	7,133	8,400	11,000
75-30-900	APPROPRIATION FROM FUND BALANCE	0	100,000	0	0	150,000
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>79,750</b>	<b>176,700</b>	<b>78,237</b>	<b>80,050</b>	<b>230,600</b>
EXPENSES						
75-40-110	SALARIES	0	0	0	0	0
75-40-130	BENEFITS	0	0	0	0	0
75-40-210	PUBLICATIONS	166	200	114	150	200
75-40-240	OFFICE EXPENSES	0	0	0	0	0
75-40-280	LIABILITY INSURANCE	1,961	1,975	1,961	1,961	2,000
75-40-310	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	0	2,000	496	1,000	2,000
75-40-610	MISCELLANEOUS	0	122,525	0	0	176,400
75-40-920	BUSINESS LOANS/GRANTS	50,100	50,000	12,300	20,000	50,000
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>52,227</b>	<b>176,700</b>	<b>14,871</b>	<b>23,111</b>	<b>230,600</b>

