

Emigration Township Planning Commission

Public Meeting Agenda

Thursday, March 17, 2016 8:30 A.M.

Location

SALT LAKE COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER
2001 SOUTH STATE STREET, ROOM N1-110
NORTH BUILDING, MAIN FLOOR
(385) 468-6700

UPON REQUEST, WITH 5 WORKING DAYS NOTICE, REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR QUALIFIED INDIVIDUALS MAY BE PROVIDED. PLEASE CONTACT WENDY GURR AT 385-468-6707. TTY USERS SHOULD CALL 711.

The Planning Commission Public Meeting is a public forum where, depending on the agenda item, the Planning Commission may receive comment and recommendations from applicants, the public, applicable agencies and County staff regarding land use applications and other items on the Commission's agenda. In addition, it is where the Planning Commission takes action on these items, which may include: approval, approval with conditions, denial, continuance or recommendation to other bodies as applicable.

BUSINESS MEETING

- 1) Approval of Minutes from the February 11, 2016 meeting.
- 2) Bylaws Adoption
- 3) Review of policy on Electronic Meetings
- 4) New email addresses
- 5) Other Business Items (as needed)

PUBLIC HEARING

Legislative

29748 – (Confirm changes) Amend Chapter 19.78 of the Salt Lake County Zoning Ordinance – Planned Unit Developments (PUD). **Presenter:** Max Johnson

28983 – (Continued from 12/17/2015 and 02/11/2016) - Recommendation on amended Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone; combining Chapters 19.72 and 19.73 into a revised FCOZ chapter (19.72) of the Salt Lake County Zoning Ordinance. **Presenter:** Curtis Woodward

ADJOURN

This page intentionally left blank



COUNTY COUNCIL

Richard Snelgrove, Chair
At-Large B

Jenny Wilson
At-Large A

Jim Bradley
At-Large C

Arlyn Bradshaw
District #1

Michael Jensen
District #2

Aimee Winder Newton
District #3

Sam Granato
District #4

Steven L. DeBry
District #5

Max Burdick
District #6

February 2, 2016

Mr. Wilf Sommerkorn, Director
Planning & Transportation Division
Office of Regional Development
Rm. S2-100, Government Center
Salt Lake City, Utah


Dear Mr. Sommerkorn:

The Salt Lake County Council, at its meeting held this day, approved the attached RESOLUTION NO. 5048 approving the RULES OF PROCEDURE for the Salt Lake Planning Commission and the Copperton, Emigration, Kearns, Magna, and Millcreek Township Planning Commissions.

Respectfully yours,

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

SHERRIE SWENSEN, COUNTY CLERK

By 
Deputy Clerk

ks

pc: Rolen Yoshinaga/Planning & Development Division
Chris Preston/District Attorney's Office
Newspaper

RESOLUTION NO. 5048

February 2, 2016

A RESOLUTION OF THE SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL APPROVING THE RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR THE SALT LAKE COUNTY AND TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSIONS

RECITALS

1. The Salt Lake County Planning Commission and the Copperton, Emigration, Kearns, Magna, and Millcreek Planning Commissions (collectively the "Commissions") have reviewed and recommended approval of Rules of Procedure ("Bylaws") to govern their operations. Some additional modifications have been inserted by Salt Lake County Planning and Development staff to address some inconsistencies and clarify items.

2. It has been determined that the best interest of the County and the general public will be served by the approval of the Bylaws attached to this Resolution.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Salt Lake County Council that the Bylaws for the Commissions attached hereto as Exhibit A, are hereby approved.

APPROVED and ADOPTED this 2 day of February, 2016.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

By: Max Burdick
Max Burdick, Chair

ATTEST:

Sherrie Swensen
Sherrie Swensen
Salt Lake County Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

R. Christopher Preston
R. Christopher Preston
Deputy District Attorney
Date: 2/2/2016

Council Member Bradley voting	<u>"Aye"</u>
Council Member Bradshaw voting	<u>"Aye"</u>
Council Member Burdick voting	<u>"Aye"</u>
Council Member DeBry voting	<u>"Aye"</u>
Council Member Granato voting	<u>"Aye"</u>
Council Member Jensen voting	<u>Absent</u>
Council Member Newton voting	<u>"Aye"</u>
Council Member Snelgrove voting	<u>Absent</u>
Council Member Wilson voting	<u>"Aye"</u>

EXHIBIT A

Salt Lake County and Township Planning Commissions

RULES OF PROCEDURE

These Rules and Procedures (“Rules of Procedure”) shall govern the proceedings of the Salt Lake County and Township Planning Commissions (herein referred to individually as “the Commission”) and shall be consistent with applicable provisions of the Utah Code and Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances.

I. Authority and Duties

Individual Township Planning Commissions shall act on all planning matters that arise within the jurisdiction of their defined Township as required or permitted by the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances. The Salt Lake County Planning Commission shall act on all planning matters that arise outside of the jurisdiction of the Townships but within the unincorporated Salt Lake County jurisdiction, except for those matters that arise within the Mountainous Planning District, as required or permitted by the Salt Lake County Code of Ordinances. The Salt Lake County Planning Commission shall also act on behalf of the White City Township on all planning matters that arise within the jurisdiction of the White City Township.

II. Membership

Section 1. Appointment of Members– Any resident of unincorporated Salt Lake County interested in the authority and duties of the Salt Lake County Planning Commission may be appointed a Member as outlined in County ordinance and in line with the approved policies and procedures of the Mayor’s office. Any resident residing within one of the Townships interested in the authority and duties of the applicable Township Planning Commission for the area in which they reside may be appointed a Member of the applicable Township Planning Commission as outlined in County ordinance and in line with the approved policies and procedures of the Mayor’s office. All members including those seeking reappointment must follow the application and appointment policies set forth by the Mayor’s office. Membership shall be available without regard to race, color, creed, sex, religion, age, disability, sexual orientation, marital status, or national origin.

1. Membership – The Commission shall be composed of seven (7) Regular Members and two (2) alternates members (“Alternate Members”) appointed by the Mayor with the advice and consent of the County Council. Regular Members, together with Alternate Members (when applicable) shall be referred to as “Members.”
2. Alternates – Two (2) appointed Alternates designated as “Alternate One” and “Alternate Two” shall serve in place of any absent Regular Member. Alternates should attend and participate as Commission Members at each meeting, but shall only vote when not all Regular Members are present. If only one Regular Member is absent for a meeting, the designated “Alternate One” shall serve as a Regular Member for that meeting. If “Alternate One” is not present, “Alternate Two” shall serve as a Regular Member for that Meeting. If two Alternates are needed, “Alternate One” and “Alternate Two” shall both serve as Regular Members for that meeting.

Section 2. Rights of Members– All Members, including the Chair, shall be entitled to one vote on all matters properly brought before the Commission for action. Proxy votes shall not be permitted and

Members must be present to vote unless otherwise allowed by a duly adopted policy on electronic meetings.

Section 3. Supporting Agency – Salt Lake County Township Services, Planning and Development Services shall be the supporting agency of the Commission (“Supporting Agency”) and shall digitally record all meetings, make recordings available to the public within three (3) business days, take written minutes, and post all agendas and meeting activities to the Utah Public Notice website twenty-four (24) hours prior to each meeting.

1. Creation of Agenda – The Supporting Agency staff, with consultation from the Chair, shall create the agenda for each regular meeting and shall send an agenda to the Commission. Additional items may be placed on the business meeting section of the agenda at the business meeting by the Commission or Supporting Agency staff.

2. Other County and State agencies are encouraged to attend meetings and build partnerships with the Commission. These agencies include but are not limited to:

- a. UDOT
- b. UTA
- c. SLCo Engineering
- d. SLCo Parks and Recreation
- e. SLCo Public Works Operations
- f. SLCo Transportation
- g. SLCo DA Office
- h. Unified Police
- i. Unified Fire
- j. Salt Lake County Health Department
- k. All County Community Councils
- l. SLC Public Utilities
- m. U.S. Forest Service
- n. U.S. Army Corp of Engineers
- o. Utah State Department of Natural Resources Division of Water Rights
- p. County Council Members
- q. County Mayor or Staff
- r. Other Township Planning Commission Members

Section 4. Commission Member Terms– Regular and Alternate Members shall be appointed for a term of three (3) consecutive years. Members shall not be appointed for more than two (2) consecutive three (3) year terms. A Member may continue to serve beyond their appointed term until a successor is appointed. A Regular Member who has served two (2) full consecutive terms shall not be appointed as an Alternate Member following their final term for a period of at least one (1) year. All appointments shall be made with consideration for staggered terms.

Section 5. Training – Within three (3) months of being first appointed, all Members should meet with the Supporting Agency staff to review the Rules of Procedure, the General Plan, and County Ordinances and Policies. Failure to comply with this provision may result in removal of the Member from the Commission. All Members should attend additional trainings scheduled from time to time by the Support Agency.

Section 6. Attendance – Members shall regularly attend meetings. A Member may be removed from the Commission if he/she has three (3) unexcused absences from Commission meetings within a one-year time period.

Section 7. Excused and Unexcused Absences – A Member unable to attend a meeting must contact the Supporting Agency at least twenty-four (24) hours prior to the scheduled meeting and indicate the reason for being absent. Members who give less than 24 hour notice shall be considered unexcused, except when the Chair has approved a valid reason. Any absences, excused or unexcused, shall be recorded in the meeting minutes.

Section 8. Member Responsibilities – As a Member of the Commission, each member shall be responsible to:

1. Read and study the agenda, staff reports and all attached documents prepared by Supporting Agency staff so that they are fully informed about each application prior to the scheduled public meeting.
2. Act in a courteous and respectful manner to their fellow Members, the Supporting Agency staff, and the public, during all meetings.
3. Attend the meetings and arrive on time.

Section 9. Removal Proceedings – Removal from the Commission shall be in accordance with County Ordinance by the Mayor with the advice and Consent of the County Council. In the event the Commission determines, by a majority vote of all Members, that it is in the best interest of the Commission and the County that a Member be removed, the Commission shall make a recommendation to the Mayor or his/her designee and the County Council to initiate removal proceedings.

Section 10. Vacancies – A Member may resign at any time by giving written notice of such resignation to the Chair and Supporting Agency. Resignations shall be recorded in the meeting minutes. Any vacancy during a Member's term shall be filled in the same manner as a regular appointment and the person appointed shall serve the remainder of the unexpired term.

Section 11. Compensation and Reimbursement – Members shall receive no compensation for their services. If authorized by the Mayor or his/her designee, Members may be reimbursed for any reasonable expense they may incur for activities conducted. All reimbursements shall be processed through the Mayor and must be in accordance with Utah Code and County Ordinances.

III. Officers

Section 1. Election of Officers – As the first order of business at the first regularly scheduled meeting of the year, the Commission shall hold elections for the positions of Chair and Vice Chair from among Regular Members by a majority vote of the present Members. All election practices shall comply with Robert's Rules of Order.

Section 2. Officer Terms - Officers shall serve a term of one (1) year and are allowed to serve up to two (2) consecutive terms. Members shall not serve in an office beyond their Commission appointment term.

Section 3. Officers Duties

1. The Chair Shall:
 - a. Serve as the Presiding Officer of the Commission
 - b. Implement the Rules of Procedure
 - c. Coordinate with the Supporting Agency staff to provide an agenda for each public meeting, and timely reports and other relevant information to the Commission
 - d. Execute all official documents and letters of the Commission
 - e. Identify and bring before the Commission such policy matters as are within the purview of the Commission

2. The Vice Chair Shall:
 - a. Assist the Chair in all necessary capacities
 - b. Assume the duties and responsibilities for the Chair in all instances where the Chair is not available or unable to carry out the duties and responsibilities
 - c. Identify and bring before the Commission such policy matters as are within the purview of the Commission

Section 4. Chair *pro tem* – In the absence or incapacity of both the Chair and the Vice Chair for a Commission meeting, the Regular Members present at the meeting shall elect a Chair *pro tem* to serve as Presiding Officer only for that meeting. Alternate Members shall not serve as Chair *pro tem*.

IV. Meetings of Members

Section 1. A Quorum shall consist of four (4) of the current appointed Members and shall be necessary to conduct any business of the Commission.

Section 2. Adherence to County, State, and Federal Law – All meetings shall generally adhere and comply with Roberts Rule of Order. All meeting shall adhere to the Utah Open Meetings Act, and the Government Records Access Management Act (GRAMA).

Section 3. Regular Meetings – Meeting locations shall be publicly noticed and held each month. Annual notice of meeting dates shall be published at the beginning of each calendar year. In addition, dates and times of the meeting shall be posted on the Utah Public Notice website a minimum of twenty-four (24) hours prior to each meeting in accordance with state law.

Section 4. Special Meetings – Special meetings may be called by the Chair or Supporting Agency staff, with the consent of the Chair, at any time, provided that a preferred seventy-two (72) hours' notice (minimum of twenty-four (24) hours' notice) is given to each Member before the meeting is held and a minimum of twenty-four (24) hours' notice is posted on the Utah Public Notice website. Such meetings may include fieldtrips.

Section 5. Meeting Cancellation – Notice of cancellation of a meeting shall be posted. If a meeting is rescheduled the new meeting time, date, and location shall be posted on the Utah Public Notice website a minimum of twenty-four (24) hours prior to the meeting in accordance with state law.

V. Subcommittees

The Chair may create nine-month time limited subcommittees as deemed necessary. Members of subcommittees shall be Commission Members.

VI. Procedures

A. Business Meeting

Section 1. The Commission shall conduct a business meeting as a component of each regularly scheduled meeting. The Supporting Agency staff, or the Commission, by a majority vote, may adjust the scheduled time as needed. Members of the public may attend such meetings, but will not participate unless invited to do so by the Chair.

Section 2. The first order of business shall be the review and acceptance of the agenda. The second order of business shall be the review, correction, and approval of the minutes from the previous meeting. Additional items may be added to the business meeting section of the agenda by the Supporting Agency staff or the Commission, by a majority vote. The Commission may also discuss and render decisions on policy issues and administrative matters that do not require public input. Special presentations, reports, and updates from the Supporting Agency staff that do not require a decision may also be made. During a business meeting, there shall be no discussion of an application, request, or approval scheduled for the regular meeting.

B. Meeting Procedures

Section 1. Order – The order of business at the regular meeting shall follow the noticed agenda. The Chair, with the consent of the Commission, by a majority vote, or upon recommendation of the Supporting Agency staff, may consider matters out of the agenda order.

Section 2. Decisions – A matter for decision will be placed before the Commission by motion made by any Regular Member present at the meeting. The Chair shall not make motions before the Commission except in the absence of a response from other Regular Members to an invitation by the Chair that a motion on a pending matter would be in order. Any Regular Member may second a motion. Alternates may make motions and second motions only if they are serving as a Regular Member for the meeting.

Section 3. A majority vote by the present Members in favor of a motion shall carry the motion.

Section 4. Following a seconded motion, the Supporting Agency staff may ask each Member to verbally pronounce their name and vote and shall record each individual vote in the written minutes as an “aye” or “nay”.

C. Procedures for Applications

Section 1. Application Public Hearing Procedure

1. Any person or entity may appear in person or be represented by an authorized agent at any meeting of the Commission
2. Unless altered by the Chair, the order of the procedure at a public hearing on an application shall be:
 - a. Presentation of the application by the Supporting Agency staff, including its recommendations and a summary of pertinent written comments and reports concerning the application

- b. The applicant's presentation, not to exceed fifteen (15) minutes
- c. Any group representing the area in which the subject property is located, not to exceed five (5) minutes
- d. Persons other than the applicant in favor of, or not opposed to, the application, not to exceed three (3) minutes per person
- e. Persons opposing the application, in whole or in part, not to exceed three (3) minutes per person
- f. Rebuttal by the applicant as necessary to respond to new issues raised by other parties, not to exceed five (5) minutes
- g. Surrebuttals may be allowed at the discretion of the Chair.

Section 2. Application Public Hearing Rules

1. Each speaker, before talking, shall give his or her name and address
2. Unless otherwise allowed by the Chair, no questions shall be asked by the speaker or Commission Members
3. Only one speaker is permitted before the Commission at a time
4. The discussion must be confined to essential points stated in the application bearing on the desirability or undesirability of the application
5. The Chair may cease any presentation or information that has already been presented and acknowledge that it has been noted in the public record
6. No personal attacks shall be indulged in by either side, and such action shall be sufficient cause for stopping the speaker from proceeding
7. No applause or public outbursts shall be permitted
8. The Chair or Supporting Agency staff may request police support to remove offending individuals who refuse to abide by these rules

Section 3. Discussion and Vote – After all presentations have been made the Chair may request or entertain a motion to close the public hearing. Members may continue to discuss the application among themselves. Following this discussion on the application, a motion must be made and seconded, which may include; Approval, Approval with Conditions, Denial, a Recommendation to the Council (as appropriate), or Continuation of the item to a future meeting for decision.

Section 4. Decisions –A decision of the Commission on an application shall be documented in writing by the Supporting Agency staff and shall include reasons for the decision. The written decision shall be posted.

VII. Ethics and Conflicts of Interest

Section 1. Compliance -All Members shall abide by Utah Code and, annually complete the necessary volunteer forms, documents, and training.

Section 2. Voting Recusal: Member – See Section 2.07.201 and Chapter 2.70 of County Ordinance for complete requirements. A member of the Commission who has a restricted conflict of interest as defined by County Ordinance shall declare the conflict of interest and recuse themselves from the meeting. Members, who have unrestricted conflicts of interest as defined in County Ordinance, shall declare the conflict of interest at the meeting, and may recuse themselves, but are not required to do so.


Section 3. Ex Parte Communications – No member of the Commission shall have any ex parte discussion regarding any administrative land use application before the Commission. Ex parte communication means any communication with interested parties of an administrative land use application coming before the Commission prior to the Commission reaching a final decision. An administrative land use application means any land use application where by statute or ordinance the Commission is the final decision-maker.

VIII. Amendments and Adoption

A. Adoption and Amendment Procedure

The Commission may recommend approval and/or amendments of these Rules of Procedure to the County Council. All amendments must be consistent with all other County ordinances and policies.

SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

By: 
Max Burdick, Chair

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

R. Christopher Preston
Deputy District Attorney
Date: _____

**EMIGRATION
TOWNSHIP PLANNING
COMMISSION**

**POLICY ON
ELECTRONIC MEETINGS**

Purpose –

The purpose of this policy is to establish the means and procedures by which the Emigration Township Planning Commission (“Commission”) may conduct electronic meetings in accordance with the provisions of the Public Meetings Act ("Act"), and particularly § 52-4-207 (UCA, as amended).

1.0 Application of the Act – definitions.

1.1 The Commission hereby adopts those definitions of specific terms which appear in the Act at § 52-4-103 for application in this policy.

2.0 Electronic Meetings

2.1 The Commission hereby determines that it may, from time to time as needed, convene and conduct Commission meetings in which one or more Commission members attend and participate in the meeting through electronic means.

2.2 Commission electronic meetings may include meetings conducted by means of telephone, telecommunications, electronic mail, or by other computerized, electronic, or teleconferencing means and media.

3.0 Notice

3.1 Prior to conducting an electronic meeting, the Commission shall, through its staff, provide advance written and electronic notice of the meeting, including agenda items, 24 hours in advance.

3.2 Notice shall be provided to all Commission members, as well as to members of the public and the news media in accordance with the provisions of the Act.

3.3 Each notice shall describe the means of communication and the procedures by which members of the public will be able to monitor and, when appropriate, participate in the electronic meetings.

3.4 The notice shall designate which anchor location will be available for public monitoring and participation.

3.4.1.1 Commission electronic meeting anchor locations may include the following: the Salt Lake County Council Conference room, N2-800, the Salt Lake County Council Chambers, N1-110, and the Emigration Fire Station, located at 5025 East Emigration Canyon Road. The first two anchor locations are located at the Salt Lake County Government Center, 2001 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah.

3.4.1.2 The Commission may establish other anchor locations for electronic meetings by majority vote.

4.0 Public Attendance

4.1 Commission staff shall provide sufficient and necessary space, equipment and other means as required by the Act, to allow members of the public and the news media to attend, monitor and, where appropriate, participate in the public portion of any electronic meeting conducted by the Commission.

APPROVED and PASSED this _____ day of _____.

Emigration Township Planning Commission

David Brems, Chair

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

R. Clayton Pate 2/17/2016
District Attorney's Office Date



OFFICE OF TOWNSHIP SERVICES

Planning and Development Services
2001 S. State Street N3-600 • Salt Lake City, UT 84190-4050
Phone: (385) 468-6700 • Fax: (385) 468-6674
www.pwpds.slco.org

File # 0000029748

Staff Report Summary and Recommendation

Public Body: Emigration Canyon TPC

Meeting Date: March 17, 2016

Parcel ID: N/A

Current Zone: N/A **Proposed Zone:** N/A

Property Address: N/A

Request: Amend Planned Unit Development (PUD) Ordinance

Community Council: Emigration

Township/Unincorporated: Emigration

Planner: Max Johnson

Community Council Recommendation: The Community Council recommended Approval. They also had several comments and questions.

Planning Staff Recommendation: Recommends Approval

Applicant Name: PUD Ordinance Amendment

Applicant Address: SL County Government Center, 2001 South State Street, Suite #N3-600, SLC, UT 84109

Applicant Email: mrjohnson@slco.org

Phone: (385) 468-6699

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project serves to update the PUD ordinance throughout unincorporated Salt Lake County. The proposed ordinance has undergone significant change as it has been several years since major updates to this ordinance have occurred.

This item was last heard by the Emigration Canyon TPC on February 11, 2016. Substantial progress has been made on the ordinance with the support of the PUD Working Group. Packets include two attachments: 1) a draft ordinance representing the opening draft copy noticed at the beginning of the public process, which is dated December 7, 2015, coupled with all changes made to this ordinance since the original draft was composed (all changes are shown in red font and strikeouts as pertinent); and 2) a summary of issues for the planning commission to discuss at the upcoming meeting.

The Emigration TPC voted to recommend approval of the ordinance at their meeting of February 11, 2016. The document available for the March meeting has been revised significantly during the past month as other planning commissions are still formulating their recommendations therefore staff would like the planning commission to reaffirm their decision made in February, at the upcoming meeting on March 17th.

One item raised by the Emigration Canyon TPC to discuss further is the notion of some provisions of the ordinance as drafted not applying to the FR zones in Emigration Canyon. Provisions under discussion include §19.78.030., #7 Landscaping on Public Right-of-Way, #8 Perimeter Fencing, #9 Street Lights, and §19.78.030.11.g. Sidewalks. Also, the Density Bonus provision as written in the draft ordinance is not desired.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Neighborhood compatibility has been of paramount importance throughout the process to create this update to PUD developments. Significant changes include:

- 1) Reduced impacts on existing neighborhoods:
 - a. Height limitations, particularly in R-M zones (28' on the perimeter, otherwise 35')
 - b. Refined setbacks for perimeter dwelling structures (15')
- 2) A greater predictability for developers, staff, planning commission, and the community
- 3) Refuse collection station requires a ten foot setback from residential properties
- 4) All garages to be 22 feet in width by 20 feet long or 20 feet in width by 22 feet long

GENERAL PLAN CONSIDERATIONS

Neighborhood quality and impact to existing neighborhoods are important considerations for all communities.

ZONE CONSIDERATIONS

Compatibility with existing buildings in terms of size, scale and height.	Yes
Compliance with Landscaping Requirements Verified.	Yes
Compliance with the General Plan.	Yes

ISSUES OF CONCERN/PROPOSED MITIGATION

The existing PUD ordinance has proved difficult to protect existing neighborhoods when developing adjacent property, specifically R-M zoned property due to extensive height and density allowances available in R-M zones that prove incompatible while transitioning to additional residential development as PUD's. Also, ancillary issues regarding street presence, building materials, parking space size, open space, placement of trash receptacles, etc., have been refined to improve PUD quality, aesthetics, location, and overall neighborhood improvement.

NEIGHBORHOOD RESPONSE

No neighborhood response has been received to date as the public process has been informational at the community council level. Staff expects additional neighborhood comment at the planning commission hearing of this PUD ordinance in March 2016.

COMMUNITY COUNCIL RESPONSE

The Emigration Canyon Community Council recommended Approval of the PUD ordinance at their meeting of December 8, 2015, though they provided several comments and questions regarding the proposed ordinance. Staff evaluated and addressed these comments.

REVIEWING AGENCIES RESPONSE

AGENCY: N/A

DATE: N/A

RECOMMENDATION: N/A

Compliance with current building, construction, engineering, fire, health, landscape and safety standards will be required prior to final approval of all future PUD's.

PLANNING STAFF ANALYSIS

Extensive research, public outreach, specific public comment on various projects throughout the past few years, as well as several stakeholder working groups have yielded results indicative that the resulting modifications and adjustments to the PUD ordinance are desired in the hopes of limiting detrimental impacts to communities, especially when R-M zoned properties are developed.

PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval as this request is an update that has been initiated and supported by planning commissions in support of concerns and public comment from various communities in the county as they become impacted by developments that are deemed intrusive, or out of neighborhood character, by the public.

CHAPTER 19.78 PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS

- 19.78.010 PURPOSE
 - 19.78.020 APPLICABILITY AND AREA REQUIREMENTS
 - 19.78.030 DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS
 - 19.78.040 PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT MIXED-USE
 - 19.78.050 MAINTENANCE OF COMMON FACILITIES
 - 19.78.060 REVIEW PROCESS
 - 19.78.070 PRELIMINARY REVIEW
 - 19.78.080 PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW
 - 19.78.090 VALIDITY OF PRELIMINARY REVIEW
 - 19.78.100 POST-PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL
 - 19.78.110 AMENDMENTS TO THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN
 - 19.78.120 FAILURE TO BEGIN DEVELOPMENT
 - 19.78.130 PHASED PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT
-

19.78.010 PURPOSE

The purpose of a planned unit development (PUD) is:

1. To provide a high quality living environment, and to utilize and incorporate natural features in the land development design.
2. To provide a more efficient use of the land and the preservation of greater proportions of open space for recreation and visual use than is otherwise provided for in the zoning regulations.
3. To provide good and compatible neighborhood and housing design by utilizing a variety of dwelling types and site arrangement plans to allow for greater flexibility and diversity in the physical pattern of the development.
4. To provide developments compatible with existing residential uses while maintaining a harmonious environment within the community.
5. To create mixed use areas designed to be beneficial to the neighborhood.
6. To ensure substantial compliance with the intent of this chapter related to the public health, safety and general welfare, while securing the efficient use of the land for residential, or a combination of commercial and residential development ~~or combinations thereof.~~

It is the intent of this chapter that the development plan for a planned unit development shall be prepared by a designer(s) having professional competence in urban planning.

19.78.020 APPLICABILITY AND AREA REQUIREMENTS

A planned unit development is a conditional use that is only allowed for residential uses, except as provided in section 19.78.040, and in zones that allow residential uses. The provisions in this chapter shall govern over the chapters relating to these other zones ~~and other chapters in this Title, with the exception of the FCOZ ordinance, chapters 19.72 and 19.73, and the RCOZ~~

ordinance, chapter 19.71. A planned unit development in these zones shall have a minimum area of three acres, with the following exceptions:

1. Existing condominium developments that cannot be sold or refinanced without the common area adjoining the homes in the development being divided up into individual lots that include the adjoining homes, and where these newly created lots would not qualify as traditional subdivision lots under County ordinance. In such cases, the newly created lots may qualify as a planned unit development if the development is at least one acre in size. Such a development shall be exempt from the provisions of this chapter, except sections 19.78.090 – 19.78.130 relating to review of the development.
2. Developments abutting or contiguous to a corridor ~~or major or minor arterial~~ as defined in the general plan shall have a minimum area of one acre. To qualify as a development that is abutting or contiguous to a corridor ~~or major or minor arterial~~, said development shall have a minimum frontage of the sum of the required minimum lot width of two lots as determined by the current zoning designation.

19.78.030 DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS

The following are required for all developments:

1. **Ownership.** The property shall be in single or corporate ownership at the time of application, or the subject of an application filed jointly by all owners of the property.
2. **Open Space.** Common and private open space shall be provided and shall cover no less than 40 percent of the gross site area. Common open space shall be provided in the amount of at least 20 percent of the gross site area. ~~For purposes of this chapter, gross site area is defined as the total area of a planned unit development excluding anything in the public right of way.~~

The required common open space shall be land areas that are not occupied by buildings, dwellings, structures, parking areas, streets, ~~public park strips~~, curb-gutter-sidewalk, driveways, or alleys and shall be accessible by all residents of the development. Buildings erected for the purpose of providing an amenity may be included as open space. Said open space may be an area of land or water set aside, or reserved for use by residents of the development, including an expanse of lawn, trees, plants, ~~fully accessible landscaped roof areas~~, or other natural areas. Common open space also includes common walkways (but not curb-gutter-sidewalk), formal picnic areas, and recreational areas. Common open space may be distributed throughout the development and need not be in a single large area. Common open space may include sensitive areas, such as areas with 30 percent or greater slope, fault zones, flood plains, high water tables, and wetlands, if they have been designed as an integral element of the project.

Private open space ~~(is that space which~~ is provided for each dwelling unit for personal use, ~~including a balcony) shall be.~~ Private open space is typically located immediately adjacent to, or attached to, ~~or within~~ the dwelling unit it is designed to serve and ~~shall be~~ for the exclusive use of the residents of the dwelling unit. Landscaped roof areas, balconies, or decks attached to individual units ~~may not~~ are considered private open space and ~~is~~ are not ~~to be~~ calculated as part of required common open space.

The planning commission may reduce the open space requirements of this section in order to accommodate a density bonus provided for in this chapter.

3. **Interior Streets.** The design of public and private streets within a development shall follow County standards for roadway development ~~outlined~~ **as defined by the general plan County transportation engineer.** Private streets shall be subject to the same inspections and construction standards as required for public streets. The County shall be granted a utility easement of the entire interior street system in a development project. All private streets shall be conveyed to a private association.
4. **Garbage and Recycling.** The development shall be designed to accommodate and efficiently manage the collection, storage, and removal of garbage in harmony with the neighborhood so as to minimize detrimental effects of the collection, storage, and removal on any residence within the development or abutting neighborhoods. ~~Dumpster~~ **dumpster** enclosures ~~shall be~~ provided for the development ~~and~~, no refuse dumpster or dumpster enclosure structure shall be located closer than 10 feet to any perimeter property line. Enclosure structures must have a minimum of three sides that reflect or emulate the materials, design, and quality of the overall development. All developments shall provide recycling services.
5. **Parking.** The following minimum parking shall be provided for all multi-family projects under this ordinance:
 - a. Table of Parking Ratios

One bedroom unit	1.5 parking spaces per unit
Two or more bedroom units	2.0 parking spaces per unit
Guest parking spaces	0.33 parking spaces per unit (min. of 6)
Storage parking spaces for recreational vehicle storage	Not Allowed

- b. The parking requirements identified in this section supersede other parking requirements in this Title.
- c. All parking areas, covered or open, **except garages**, shall have a landscaped buffer in accordance with chapter 19.77, Water Efficient Landscape Design and Development Standards.
- d. ~~Parking ratios may be modified by~~ **Developments offering the amenities listed below are entitled to the applicable parking reductions. These reductions are not mandatory, but if they are chosen, are cumulative. The planning commission may further modify the required parking with support of a traffic study, or as follows:**

Eligible Unit Parking ~~Rate~~ Reductions

Amenity	Recommended Reduction (stalls/unit)
Car Sharing (minimum 100 dwelling units)	0.05 per car share vehicle
Bicycle Lockers/Storage (1 space per unit required)	0.05
Bicycle Share (on-site self-serve bike station)	0.05
Development Supplied Transit Passes for all residents	0.15
Proximity of development within ¼ mile of a transit facility rail or Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) station	0.20

Proximity of development within ½ mile of a transit facility rail or Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) station	0.10
Senior Housing	0.20
Housing for students (< .25 miles from campus)	0.10

e. Parking is prohibited within approved fire access and turn-around facilities.

f. Garages are encouraged. ~~There shall be no less than one covered parking stall per unit. The Planning Commission may consider the following criteria in determining whether or not the number of garages/carports should be increased or reduced:~~

- (1) Garage parking ~~(with, if used, shall have a minimum unobstructed size of 11 feet wide by 10 feet in length per space, or 10 feet wide by 11 feet in length per space. 22 feet wide by 20 feet in length, or 20 feet wide by 22 feet in length) throughout the development would allow for a five percent density bonus, while installation of underground parking throughout, would allow a ten percent density bonus. Developments with carports shall not be allowed a density bonus under this chapter.~~
- (2) Covered parking, ~~if used,~~ shall be placed in locations adjacent or convenient to the buildings that they are intended to serve. ~~There shall be no less than one covered parking stall per unit.~~
- (3) Tandem spaces may be allowed with a minimum size requirement of 20 feet long by 9 feet wide per parking space, up to a maximum of two contiguous spaces per unit.

g. ~~Underground parking. Installation of underground parking adequate to meet 50 percent of the parking requirements of this section excluding guest parking, shall receive a 10 percent density bonus for the planned unit development.~~

6. Building Materials. Exterior materials of a durable or resilient nature such as brick, stone, stucco, prefinished panel, composite materials, or other materials of similar quality, hardness, and low maintenance characteristics shall be used. ~~No single material is allowed to exceed 50 percent on-f street-facing facades.~~ Other materials may be considered ~~for soffits, or~~ as an accent or architectural feature. Twenty-five year guarantee, architectural shingles and/or other longer lasting roof materials are required.

7. Landscaping on Public Right-of-Way. Where a development is adjacent to a public right-of-way, a permanent open space shall be required along any front, side, or rear yard adjacent to said right-of-way. This area shall be kept free of buildings and structures (except fences, as per chapter 19.77.050, and approved by the Planning Commission), and permanently maintained with street trees and other landscaping, screened or protected by natural features, as per chapter 19.77. If such areas are the result of double frontage lot designs with inadequate access to the street, such areas shall be landscaped as per chapter 19.77 with a five foot landscaped area. Aesthetic entrance features are encouraged. Additional landscape treatments or buffers may also be required with width and landscaping specifications as per chapter 19.77.

- 8. Perimeter Fencing.** Fencing around the perimeter of all developments shall be provided ~~as illustrated on the approved development plan.~~ Acceptable fencing materials include architecturally designed brick, stone, or block, or pre-cast concrete, ~~post and rail of.~~ Fencing with materials using composite products, wrought iron, wood ~~construction, or the highest quality,~~ or vinyl ~~may be allowed with a minimum two foot wide, six foot tall brick or stone pillar spaced every ten feet on center.~~ Unless otherwise allowed by the Planning Commission, exterior fencing along a public right of way shall be limited to brick, stone, or block, or pre-cast concrete, ~~or post and rail~~ be setback a minimum of ~~wood construction materials~~ 5 feet from the property line to allow for a landscaping buffer designed in accordance with chapter 19.77 to soften long expanses of walls. Interior fencing shall comply with section 19.78.030(11) (f).
- 9. Interior Street Lights.** Street and pedestrian lighting for streets on the interior of the PUD is required. All lighting fixtures shall be directed downward with mechanisms to prevent dark sky illumination. The applicant shall submit a plan which indicates the type and location of lights in relation to the development and designed for pedestrian safety. Minimum Average Foot-Candles for local residential roads (35 feet maximum) shall be 0.3, and shall be 0.5 for residential collector roads (36 feet – 45 feet).
- 10. Signage.** Only low profile signs with a maximum size of 50 square feet, and 5 feet in height are allowed. No temporary signs are allowed other than for sale or rent signs with a maximum of 6 square feet in area per side. Only three such signs are allowed per 300 feet of frontage. The size, location, design and nature of signs, if any, and the intensity and direction of any associated lighting shall be detailed in the application, and, ~~except as provided in this chapter,~~ shall be consistent with the characteristics of the community and chapter 19.82, Signs.
- 11. Site Plan.** All developments shall be guided by a total design plan in which the following development standards may be varied to allow density bonuses and flexibility and creativity in site design and building location. The Planning Commission may require such arrangements of structures, open spaces, landscaping, buffering, and access within the site development plan so that adjacent properties will not be adversely affected. The following criteria shall be used by the Planning Commission principally to assure the design objectives of this section are met.
- a. **Density.** ~~The~~ Subject to the following density bonuses, the density allowed for a development shall be no greater than that allowed in the zone in which it is located, ~~except that a.~~ Density shall be calculated using only net developable acreage. A density bonus in the following amounts is allowed if ~~either or both~~ ~~any~~ either of the following conditions exist:
- (1) For developments ~~on corridors as defined in~~ with underground parking that is adequate to meet the general plan parking requirements of this chapter excluding guest parking, a density bonus of 10 percent is allowed; pursuant to 19.78.030 (5) (g); and/or
 - (2) For developments within one-half quarter mile (improved walking distance) of a rail or Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) station, a density bonus of 10 percent is allowed.

b. **Maximum Height.** For the purpose of this chapter, building height is to be measured from the lowest point of original grade to the highest ridge.

(1) For any PUD adjacent to an R-1, R-2, R-4, A-1, or A-2 zone ("single-family residential zone"), the maximum height for structures on the perimeter of the PUD adjoining said zones shall be 28 feet. The maximum height of all other structures in such a PUD shall be 35 feet. ~~Rooftop patios or rooftop living spaces are not allowed on a structure on the perimeter of such PUD.~~ PUD's with only one building are allowed a rooftop garden or patio provided the rooftop garden or patio has a minimum setback of 75 feet from the property line. For purposes of this chapter, a structure on the perimeter is defined as any structure within 50 feet of the property line of the PUD.

(a) The height of buildings along the perimeter of a planned unit development adjoining a single-family residential zone may be increased to the maximum height allowed in ~~this Title~~ the underlying zone by one foot increments, with each additional one foot height increment requiring an additional one foot in setback from the perimeter (see table 1 below for graphical rendering).

~~(2) Height for developments located in the R-1, R-2, A-1, and A-2 zones shall be limited to 28 feet for all structures when the gross area of the development is less than three acres. When the gross area of the development exceeds three acres, the maximum height shall be 28 feet for all structures on the perimeter and 35 feet for all structures not on the perimeter.~~



~~(3) Height for developments located in the R-M zone where said development is contiguous with any single family residential, R-2, R-3, and R-4, or agricultural zone shall be limited to 28 feet for all structures located on the perimeter, and 35 feet for all structures not on the perimeter.~~

(2) ~~Developments located in all other zones that allow a~~ The height of structures in all other planned unit developments shall conform to the otherwise applicable ordinances.

(3) At the discretion of the planning commission, height for dwelling structures along corridors as defined in the general plan and not adjoining a single-family residential zone, may be increased by an additional five feet to accommodate a density bonus provided for in this chapter.


(4) Notwithstanding the above, the Planning Commission may at its discretion reduce or increase the otherwise stated maximum heights if mitigation is warranted, but only in cases where unusual topographical or other exceptional conditions or circumstances exist, such as the height of surrounding buildings.

~~(1) (5) Rooftop patios or rooftop living spaces are not allowed on perimeter units contiguous with any single family residential, R-2, R-3, and R-4, or agricultural zone.~~



Meaghan Fox
 Planning and Development Services
 10/19/15
 House icon by Archi-Rus on Noun Project

SL SALT LAKE COUNTY
 TOWNSHIPS



Meaghan Fox
 Planning and Development Services
 10/19/15
 House icon by Archi-Rus on Noun Project



Table 1. An illustration of height allowance, when approved by the Planning Commission, where for every foot increase in height requires a foot increase in minimum setback. This provision is designed to soften the impact to adjacent properties while allowing for increases in height where appropriate.

- c. **Perimeter Setbacks.** Buildings (including covered decks or covered patios, or decks or patios in excess of 18 inches above existing grade) located on lots on the perimeter (excluding the public frontage defined in chapter 19.78.040. of the development), shall have **not less than** a 15 foot setback from the perimeter lot line, and shall have a setback from a right-of-way as prescribed by the underlying zone and chapter 19.77. Otherwise, no specific yard, setback, or lot size requirement is imposed by this chapter. However, the purpose and design objectives of this chapter must be complied with in the final development plan, and the Planning Commission may require specific setbacks within all or a portion of the development to maintain harmony with the existing character of the neighborhood.

- d. **Site Calculations.** Specific calculations which address the percentage of open space, impervious versus pervious surfaces, and site improvements shall be submitted by the applicant with all project applications.
- e. **Traffic Circulation.** Points of primary vehicular access to the development shall be designed to provide smooth traffic flow with controlled turning movements and minimum hazards to vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle traffic. Minor streets within the development shall not be connected to streets outside the development in such a manner as to encourage their use by through traffic. Adequate emergency vehicle access shall be provided. Internal circulation systems shall include pedestrian paths, and may include bicycle paths, preferably separated from vehicular traffic. Where recreational facilities exist or are planned adjacent to the proposed development, such pedestrian and bicycle paths shall connect to these facilities.
- f. **Privacy.** Each development shall provide reasonable visual and acoustical privacy for dwelling units. Fences, walls, barriers, landscaping, and sound reducing construction techniques shall be used as appropriate to enhance the privacy of its occupants, the screening of objectionable views or uses, and the reduction of noise.
- g. **Sidewalks.** As required elements of a development, interior sidewalks shall be installed to serve the units and connect to the public street.
- h. **Utilities.** All utilities shall be located underground, except as may be provided for in State law. Utility equipment shall be screened from view and preferably, not located fronting on a public street.
- i. **Private outdoor spaces.** Each residential unit shall be required to have an outdoor patio/rear yard space with a minimum of 100 square feet, or a balcony with a 50 square foot minimum.

12. Desirable Amenities. Amenities that are identified in the *Salt Lake County Recreation and Open Space Standards Policy* shall be installed in accordance with that Policy. Where conflicts exist with this chapter and the *Salt Lake County Recreation and Open Space Standards Policy*, requirements identified in this chapter shall supersede.

13. Miscellaneous. Installation of xeriscaping is encouraged as an alternative to excessive lawn areas or other landscaping treatments that excessively consume water. Low impact / water retention development techniques are encouraged to manage stormwater onsite including but not limited to planter boxes, rain gardens, and bioswales in the open spaces.

Parking areas, service areas, buffers, entrances, exits, yards, courts, landscaping, graphics, and lighting for both residential and non-residential development shall be designed as integrated portions of the total development and shall project the residential character.

19.78.040 PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT MIXED-USE

In a Planned Unit Development, vertical mixed-use is allowed in zones that allow both residential and commercial and/or office uses, provided it meets the following requirements: in addition to the other requirements in this chapter. For purposes of this

section, vertical mixed-use means commercial or office uses sharing the same building as residential uses.

- A. The property is abutting or contiguous to a corridor as defined in the general plan, or major or minor arterial ("street") as defined in the general plan.
- B. Commercial uses shall only be allowed on the first floor of buildings fronting on the street. Office uses shall only be allowed on the first and second floor of buildings fronting on the street. Entrances to the first floor of these buildings shall front on the street. Windows shall make up at least 50% of street-facing facades of these floors. These floors shall have architectural differentiation from the other floors in the building.
- C. Parking is not allowed between the building(s) and the street.
- D. The front yard setback shall be 15 feet, except as provided in subsection (E), and the side and rear yards shall be 20 feet minimum. Corner lots are deemed to have two front yards.
- E. The front yard setback is the build-to-line. At least 50% percent of the front elevation of the building(s) must be built within 10 feet of the build-to-line or as approved by the planning commission. A build-to-line is defined as the line at which construction of a building façade is to occur on a lot, running parallel to the front property line, and ensuring a uniform (or more or less even) building façade line on the street.
- F. Landscaping along the street shall comply with this chapter and chapter 19.77.
- G. Signage for commercial or office uses shall be limited to signs on the building(s) that comply with chapter 19.82, or temporary A-frame signs and painted murals on the inside of a storefront window.

19.78.050 MAINTENANCE OF COMMON FACILITIES

- 1. A development shall be approved subject to the submission and recordation of legal instruments setting forth a plan or manner of permanent care and maintenance of all common open space and other facilities provided in the final development plan.
- 2. Terms in the final development plan governing maintenance of common open space and other facilities shall comply with applicable provisions of the Utah Condominium Ownership Act, Title 57-8-101, et seq., or the Utah Community Association Act, Title 57-8a-101, et seq.

19.78.060 REVIEW PROCESS

- 1. **Pre-Submittal Development Review.** To help expedite review of a development proposal, prior to submitting a complete application for development, persons interested in undertaking development shall meet with a member(s) of the planning staff for a planner / applicant meeting, to become acquainted with the substantive and procedural requirements of this chapter.
- 2. **Standard Operating Procedure (SOP).** Staff creates, revises, and adheres to a Development Review Standard Operating Procedure, to assist in the management

and processing of applications. Applicants are encouraged to obtain a copy of the current SOP from Planning and Development Services staff, and to seek guidance with respect to the review and understanding of the Development Review SOP from staff.

3. Application. An application for a development must be submitted to Planning and Development Services. As each development application is different and unique, application documents ~~will~~may vary with respect to content and need for specific reports and/or studies. Consultation with staff and examination of the Development Review SOP will guide the applicant through the review process and identify all submittal documents that will be required to formalize a complete application.

- a. Site Plan that satisfies the requirements of section 19.78.030(11).
- b. Landscaping plan. A landscape plan is to be prepared in accordance with chapter 19.77 of this title. Staff can ask for justification of elements included in the landscape plan.
- c. Architectural building elevations. The location and floor area of all existing and proposed buildings, structures, and other improvements including heights, types of dwelling units, non-residential structures including commercial facilities, preliminary elevations and architectural renderings of typical structures and improvements, shall be prepared by a licensed architect or other qualified professional.
- d. Lighting Plan.
- e. Subdivision Plat.

19.78.070 PRELIMINARY REVIEW

When a complete application has been accepted by staff, reviews completed by staff and related agencies, and subsequent comments identified by staff and substantially addressed by the applicant, the application is scheduled for a ~~community council meeting and a~~ public hearing before the appropriate Planning Commission for their review and decision. Additional adjustments, revisions, or re-submittals may be required during this process to identify all concerns related to conformance with the intent of this chapter. Failure to submit complete ~~and~~ ~~consistent~~ information will result in written notification to the applicant that the review cannot proceed further until all required, necessary, and requested information is submitted.

19.78.080 PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW

When preliminary review of the ~~site plan, building elevations, and preliminary subdivision plat~~application has been determined to be complete and in compliance with all requirements, the plans and preliminary plat together with all supporting information, will be forwarded to the Planning Commission for review. If the property is to be subdivided, all requirements set forth in Title §18, Subdivisions, must be met.

In accordance with chapter 19.05.040 and Utah Code §17-27a-506, the Planning Commission shall review the proposed development plan to hear and receive public input and to determine if all reasonably anticipated detrimental effects have been substantially mitigated. The Planning Commission may require additional studies or analyses to enable it to determine how impacts

should be addressed and may establish reasonable conditions of approval to address those anticipated impacts, as per chapter 19.84.060.

19.78.090 VALIDITY OF PRELIMINARY REVIEW

1. Once the Planning Commission determines that preliminary review is complete, the preliminary plat or approved site plan is valid (12 months for the preliminary plat and 12 months for the site plan). The Division Director may grant a one year extension of the preliminary plat or approved site plan, provided the plat still complies with all applicable ordinances.
2. If a PUD subdivision will be recorded in phases, a final plat for the first phase must be recorded within one year of the initial Planning Commission approval or one year extension thereof, the validity of the unrecorded portions of the approved preliminary plat will extend for one year from the recording date of the plat for the previous phase. Extensions of time beyond three years from the date of initial approval require review and approval of the Planning Commission prior to the then current expiration of the preliminary plat.

19.78.100 POST-PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL

After completing the preliminary review by the departments, agencies, and Planning Commission, the applicant shall submit a final site plan and preliminary **and final** subdivision **platplats** together with all supporting documents which comply with all requirements, corrections, additions, etc. required by the departments, agencies, and Planning Commission to the Planning and Development Services Division (hereinafter known as the “development plan”).

1. The Planning and Development Services Division, along with the other reviewing departments and agencies, shall review the proposed development plan to verify compliance with all requirements, corrections, additions, etc.
2. After such review, the item may be scheduled for review by the Planning Commission upon referral by the Division Director or at the request of the Planning Commission. The final development plan shall include all of the information required in the preliminary development plan in its finalized detailed form.

19.78.110 AMENDMENTS TO THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The Division Director or designee may authorize minor changes in the location, siting, or character of buildings and structures if required to resolve an engineering or other technical issue, or other circumstances not identified at the time the final development plan was approved. No change authorized under this section may cause any of the following: (“**major changes**”):

1. A change in the use and/or character of the development.
2. An increase in the overall density and/or intensity of use.
3. An increase of more than **enefive** percent in overall coverage of structures.
4. A reduction or change in character of approved open space.

5. A reduction of required off-street parking by more than five percent.
6. A detrimental alteration to the pedestrian, vehicular, bicycle, circulation, or utility networks.
7. A reduction in required street pavement widths.
8. An increase in building height.
9. A decrease in building setback.

Any major changes ~~in use or rearrangement of lots, blocks, building tracts or groupings, or any changes in the provision of open space and significant changes as noted above,~~ must be made ~~by proposed to the Planning Commission after receipt of a recommendation by planning staff, and after applicant has filed.~~ Proposals under numbers 1 through 9 above require the filing of a new application. ~~Such amendments may be made only if they are shown to be required by changes in conditions that have occurred since the final development plan was approved.~~ Generally speaking, any major changes must be recorded as amendments in accordance with the procedure established for adopting the final development plan.

19.78.120 FAILURE TO BEGIN DEVELOPMENT

If no substantial construction has occurred in the development pursuant to the final development plan within 12 months from final approval, the approved plan shall become null and void and a new development plan and application shall be required for any development on the subject property. The ~~Planning Commission~~ **Division Director**, upon a determination of good cause based on evidence submitted by the applicant, may extend the time for beginning construction a maximum period of 12 months for one time only.

19.78.130 PHASED PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT

If the sequence of construction of various portions of the final development plan is to occur in stages, then the open space and/or recreational facilities shall be developed in proportion to the number of dwelling units intended to be developed during any given stage of construction. A phasing plan, including size and order of phases, shall be approved by staff to ensure that individual phases of the development comply with all requirements, including that the open space and/or recreational facilities are installed proportionately with the approved phasing plan. ~~The approved phasing plan shall be submitted to the Salt Lake County Recorder for recordation as a covenant to run with the land, or a "notice of compliance" once the development has been built.~~

Summary of Issues – Planned Unit Development Ordinance (PUD)

Updated March 10, 2016

1. 19.78.030.2. – Density bonus

Question has been raised by several planning commissions to limit overall density bonus to 20 percent. Section 19.78.030 (11) (a) already limits density bonuses to 20 percent aggregate, unless it is decided to give a 20 percent density bonus for underground parking, and units are close to a transit station, which could warrant a 30 percent bonus. It seems like section 19.78.030 (11) (a) would be the best section to address this limit.

If desired, language could be included in the last paragraph of this section.

2. 19.78.030.5.g. – Density bonus for underground parking

Staff has heard both 10 percent and 20 percent regarding the allowance of a density bonus. What percentage of a density bonus should be provided if underground parking is provided in a PUD?

3. 19.78.030.11.b.(1) – Maximum height

Single building PUD perimeter with respect to rooftop gardens or patios – Language included that requires an additional setback from the property line for rooftop gardens and patios as described: ~~Rooftop patios or rooftop living spaces are not allowed on a structure on the perimeter of such PUD.~~ PUD's with only one building are allowed a rooftop garden or patio provided the rooftop garden or patio has a minimum setback of 75 feet from the property line. For purposes of this chapter, a structure on the perimeter is defined as any structure within 50 feet of the property line of the PUD.

4. 19.78.030.11.b.(4) – Measuring height

Height question – Height limit in this PUD draft is 28 feet to the ridge of the structure, but in RCOZ, 30 feet is allowed to the ridge of the structure. Should height be extended to 30 feet along the perimeter in PUD's? Language has been added in 19.78.020 that RCOZ governs height. If not, changes will need to be made.

5. 19.78.030.11.d. – Site Calculations

Define impervious vs. pervious regarding open space calculations. Roof overhangs – impervious while balconies and patios are counted as open space. We should clearly define the lines. At PC discretion, balconies and patios can contribute open space areas.

6. 19.78.030.11.e. – Traffic circulation clarification

Sentence in question: **“Minor streets within the development shall not be connected to streets outside the development in such a manner as to encourage their use by through traffic.”**

This sentence is not desired by planning staff, as we encourage connectivity. Planners would suggest just the opposite viewpoint, and would therefore suggest omitting this sentence.

7. 19.78.030.11.e. – Bike path connectivity

Sentence in question: **“Internal circulation systems shall include pedestrian **and bicycle paths, and may include bicycle paths,** preferably separated from vehicular traffic.”**

If bike paths are already in existence adjacent to the proposed development, then yes, connectivity should occur and an amenity should be counted, but the creation of bike paths should not be forced upon every PUD.

8. 19.78.030.11.i. – Private outdoor spaces

The issue of private outdoor space has been addressed previously and this sentence may not be necessary.

9. 19.78.110 – Amendments to the development plan

Regarding the last paragraph, staff suggests to create some flexibility and also think about discussing “change of use” or “adding unit” questions with the planning commission chair as they arise. Staff suggests reviewing provisions 1 through 9 to identify any which do not require a new application.

File # 28983

Planning Commission Summary and Recommendation

Public Body: Emigration Township Planning Commission

Meeting Date: March 17, 2016

Request: Recommendation on FCOZ changes

Community Councils: Emigration Canyon

Planner: Curtis Woodward

Community Council Recommendations: No written recommendation received

Planning Staff Recommendation: Discussion and recommendation

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

In response to the recommendations of the Blue Ribbon Commission, various changes have been proposed to the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone (FCOZ) and a new Mountain Resort Zone (MRZ) is being proposed. In consideration of the various competing interests in the canyons, the Commission's report emphasizes striking a balance between private property rights and the public interest in preserving and protecting the watershed and natural beauty of the canyon areas. Although FCOZ is designed as a set of regulations applicable to the development of private property, the report recognizes that the canyons are an important asset to a larger group than just property owners within the canyons themselves. The executive summary of the report concludes with, "Overall, the next generation FCOZ ordinance needs to be strong and clear in order to provide decision makers with the best tools possible to ensure the long-term sustainability of the Wasatch Canyons for the benefit of future generations." The draft ordinance is based on that directive.

SITE & VICINITY DESCRIPTION (see attached map)

The areas currently within the FCOZ, which includes the areas within the Wasatch Mountains in unincorporated Salt Lake County, generally east of existing city and township boundaries; areas in the foothills of eastern Salt Lake County; and areas in the southwest corner of the County.

NEIGHBORHOOD RESPONSE

Individual property owner and citizen responses have been received, and are included and summarized in this packet.

COMMUNITY COUNCIL RESPONSE

Discussion has taken place with affected community councils, some of which have sent written responses. See attachments for responses from Community Councils.

REVIEWING AGENCIES RESPONSE

N/A

STAFF ANALYSIS

WHAT REVISED FCOZ DOES

1. Clarifies ambiguous terms and concepts, such as “Lots of Record,” “Prominent Ridgelines,” “Open Space,” “Limits of Disturbance,” “Slope,” and “Clustering,” and eliminates confusing terms, such as “Maximum Extent Feasible.”
2. Clarifies the purposes of FCOZ, eliminating confusing concepts and terms.
3. Clarifies and mandates aesthetic design standards in areas such as siting of buildings, building materials, site preparation, traffic and parking, fencing, and lighting.
4. Eliminates confusing slope waiver process for ski resorts and replaces it with MRZ exceptions and standards.
5. Clarifies and simplifies the application process, including the role and timing of extraterritorial jurisdictions like Salt Lake City watershed.
6. Reconciles conflicts between FCOZ tree removal and revegetation standards vs. wildfire suppression standards.
7. Brings FCOZ into compliance with recent legal requirements (in areas such as exactions, Wildland-Urban Interface Codes, etc.).
8. In the above changes, strives to fairly balance property rights and environmental protection.

Having received public input from a number of sources regarding the draft FCOZ ordinance, we have revised the draft to accept, reject, or offer alternatives to the various suggestions that have been made. The various issues that have been raised were discussed in the February hearing, and potential recommendations have been identified based on last month’s discussion. Those issues, along with some of the other commentary about the ordinance, have been outlined in the attached comments summary, along with the draft ordinance. During last month’s work meeting and public hearing, the following issues were raised:

- Stream setbacks – Is reducing the setback to 50’ to align with the EPA Clean Water Act minimum and Health Regulation 14 a good idea, or not?
- Limits of disturbance – Is the 20% additional area allowed for lots over 1 acre too much?
- Watershed – Should other water providers with watersheds to be protected also be mentioned in the ordinance?

It is our recommendation that the planning commission:

- Discuss the major issues of concern to the planning commission members,
- Discuss and vote upon specific recommended amendments to the draft,
- Based on the specific amendments that have been voted upon as a group, make a recommendation to the County Council.

Potential motion:

We recommend approval of the draft Foothills and Canyons Overlay zone with the following recommended changes:

1. The stream setback in subsection 19.72.130.D is to be 100’ rather than 50’, with the same mechanisms for relief available as are found in the current FCOZ. It is also recommended that Salt Lake County Planning and the Salt Lake County Health Department work together to remove conflicts between the two sets of regulations. (*or*)
2. The term “undevelopable” as cited in 19.72.060.D(2)(i) should be included as a defined term in section 19.72.200. The recommended definition is: “undevelopable” means strict application of this Title prevents the minimum development necessary to establish a permitted use on a property in the underlying zone.”
3. The maximum limits of disturbance for lots over 1 acre should have a cap of _____ square feet.
4. 19.72.020.D should reflect the need to protect the watershed of other water providers in addition to Salt Lake City.



SALT LAKE COUNTY ORDINANCES CHAPTER 19.72 – FOOTHILLS AND CANYONS OVERLAY ZONE (FCOZ)

19.72.010	PURPOSE
19.72.020	APPLICABILITY
19.72.030	DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL PROCEDURES
19.72.040	UNDERLYING ZONING DISTRICT
19.72.050	CLUSTER DEVELOPMENT
19.72.060	SLOPE PROTECTION
19.72.070	GRADING STANDARDS
19.72.080	SITE ACCESS
19.72.090	TRAILS
19.72.100	FENCES
19.72.110	TREE AND VEGETATION PROTECTION
19.72.120	NATURAL HAZARDS
19.72.130	STREAM CORRIDOR AND WETLANDS PROTECTION
19.72.140	WILDLIFE HABITAT PROTECTION
19.72.150	TRAFFIC STUDIES
19.72.160	LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE
19.72.170	FCOZ DESIGN STANDARDS
19.72.180	EXCEPTIONS FOR MINOR SKI RESORT IMPROVEMENTS
19.72.190	WAIVERS FOR PUBLIC USES AND MINERAL EXTRACTION AND PROCESSING
19.72.200	DEFINITIONS

19.72.010 PURPOSE

The general purpose of the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone is to promote safe, environmentally sensitive development that strikes a reasonable balance between the rights and long-term interests of property owners and those of the general public. Specifically, these standards are intended to:

- A.** Preserve the visual and aesthetic qualities of the foothills, canyons, and prominent ridgelines as defined herein, contributing to the general attractiveness and, where appropriate, the commercial viability of these areas.
- B.** Protect public health and safety by adopting standards designed to reduce risks associated with natural and man-made hazards.
- C.** Provide efficient, environmentally sensitive, and safe vehicular and pedestrian circulation.
- D.** Encourage development that conforms to the natural contours of the land and minimizes the scarring and erosion effects of cutting, filling and grading on hillsides, ridgelines, and steep slopes.
- E.** Balance private and commercial needs against the risk of destabilizing fragile soils, defacing steep slopes and degrading water quality.
- F.** Minimize disturbance to existing trees and vegetation, conserve wildlife habitat, protect aquifer recharge areas, and otherwise preserve environmentally sensitive natural areas by encouraging clustering, the transfer of development rights, or other design techniques to preserve the natural terrain.



- G. Reduce flooding by protecting streams, drainage channels, absorption areas, and floodplains.
- H. Protect property rights and commercial interests, and encourage economic development.
- I. Recognize the link between environmental protection and economic prosperity in the canyons.

19.72.020 APPLICABILITY

A. Geographic Area of Application

Maps delineating the boundaries of the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone are on file with the Planning and Development Services Division. Such maps, as amended, are incorporated into this Ordinance as if fully described and detailed herein.

B. Development Activities Covered

The standards and regulations of the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone apply to all development that occurs within the mapped Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone. Development includes all land disturbance activities such as grading, clearing, and excavation.

C. Jurisdictional Exemptions

These provisions do not apply to properties owned by the State of Utah or the government of the United States, except as specifically authorized by state or federal statute or regulation, intergovernmental agreement, or other form of cooperative agreement.

D. Recognition of Salt Lake City Extraterritorial Jurisdiction

Salt Lake County recognizes that Salt Lake City has extraterritorial jurisdiction for protection of its watershed located in the canyons east of Salt Lake City from City Creek Canyon south to Little Cottonwood Canyon. All development in the County impacting surface water, wells, storage facilities, or aquifers located within Salt Lake City's watershed areas shall be referred to Salt Lake City's Division of Public Utilities to confirm compliance with the City's applicable ordinances and watershed protection standards. If Salt Lake City's confirmation is not received within the time prescribed by County Ordinance for processing applications, the Planning Commission or Director may approve the application subject to Salt Lake City's certification being received prior to a building permit being issued.

Comment [CWoodward1]: See comment #1 in "summary of comments" document for discussion about this section.

F. Mountain Resort Zone

Due to the unique and specialized uses of mountain resort properties, including recreational and mixed residential and commercial uses, mountain resorts may apply for specialized mountain resort ("MRZ") zoning. Should a resort choose not to apply for MRZ zoning, it shall be subject to all of the requirements of the underlying zone and this Chapter.

19.72.030 FCOZ DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL PROCEDURES

A. Purpose

The purpose of this section is to outline the site plan application and approval process



required for all development or construction activity, including tree/vegetation removal and grading, or subdivision of land, in the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone.

B. Joint Applications

Where a process is already established by ordinance or agreement for review and approval of a land use application in the Foothills and Canyons (such as a subdivision, conditional use or permitted use site plan, development agreement, or variance process), applicable FCOZ standards shall be applied concurrently with the related application. If there is no related land use application under review, the applicant shall be subject to the following process.

C. Application Process

1. Pre-Application Meeting

a. Purpose

An informal pre-application meeting with the Director is required prior to submitting a site development plan application. The purposes of the pre-application meeting are to provide an opportunity for the parties to discuss:

- i. The application submittal, review and approval process.
- ii. The proposed development of the site and its relationship to site conditions and area characteristics, including geologic, hydrologic, and environmental issues.
- iii. Applicable provisions of this Ordinance and other codes.

b. Scheduling of Pre-Application Meeting

To request a pre-application meeting, the applicant shall submit a pre-application meeting request on a form provided by the County, together with any required fees and materials. Upon submittal of a complete application, the development proposal shall be scheduled for discussion at a pre-application meeting.

c. Attendance

In addition to the Director, other County participants in the pre-application meeting may include representatives from the Health Department, County Engineer's Office, Fire Department, Salt Lake City Department of Public Utilities, and any other person or entity the County deems appropriate.

2. Site Development Plan

a. Application

- i. Upon conclusion of the pre-application meeting process, an applicant seeking approval of a development plan shall submit an application form, together with required maps, plans, reports, special requests, and fees, to the Director. All submitted materials shall be available for public review.
- ii. Following documentation of assurances provided at the pre-application meeting or field inspections, the Director may waive or modify submittal requirements deemed unnecessary.

- iii. The Director may require additional information, as necessary, to substantiate compliance with the provisions and standards of this Chapter and other applicable codes and ordinances. For example, the Director may seek technical and policy recommendations from other public agencies with related legal jurisdiction such as the local health department; Utah Division of Wildlife Resources; Utah Division of Forestry, Fire, and State Lands; U.S. Forest Service; and U.S. Soil Conservation Service.

b. Staff Review

The Director shall review the development proposal for compliance with the standards and processes of this ordinance, including Paragraph D below, and shall document findings in a written report. The report shall specify all areas of noncompliance with regulations together with any recommended modifications or conditions of approval to mitigate detrimental impacts and bring the plan into compliance, and shall be made available to the public and provided to the applicant (unless specifically waived by the applicant) no less than 3 business days prior to any applicable planning commission meeting.

D. Approval Standards

The following is a summary of site development plan review standards. Failure to document compliance with any of the following may result in denial of a site development application.

1. The development is consistent with the purposes and intent of the policies, goals, and objectives of any applicable plan, including the Wasatch Canyons General Plan, the Salt Lake County Regional Trails Plan, and applicable community general plans, as amended.
2. The site plan, grading, construction, and development activities comply with the mandatory requirements of the FCOZ, unless modifications or waivers have been expressly granted.
3. The development complies with all applicable development regulations, standards, requirements, or plans adopted by the local or state authority, including but not limited to water quality and wastewater regulations.

E. Expiration of Site Development Plan/Issuance of a Building Permit

1. A building permit issued pursuant to the FCOZ site development plan approval process must reference all conditions or stipulations applicable to such approval. All development, construction, and use shall be in accordance with the approved site development plan.
2. An approved site development plan shall be valid for a period of twelve (12) months from the date of the final approval, unless authorized as a multi-phase development.
3. A building permit may be obtained at any time within the twelve (12) month period. If substantial progress towards obtaining a building permit is not made within the one (1) year period, approval of the site development plan automatically lapses and the plan is null and void.
4. A building permit issued for any phase of a development that has received site development plan approval may extend the life of the site development plan for the entire



development for an additional twelve (12) months from the date of issuance of the building permit. If any successive twelve (12) month period expires before a building permit application is filed for a subsequent phase or phases, then the site development plan approval automatically lapses and the plan is null and void as to all undeveloped or un-built phases of the development, unless substantial progress toward obtaining a building permit is demonstrated.

5. A twelve (12) month extension of the life of the site development plan may be obtained subject to paying an extension fee equal to the conditional use and subdivision extension fee in the Township Services Planning Review Fee Schedule on file with Township Services.

F. Appeals

Pursuant to section 19.92.050 of this Title, any person adversely affected by a final decision of the zoning authority may appeal that decision to the land use hearing officer.

19.72.040 UNDERLYING ZONING DISTRICT

- A. Conflicts. Unless specifically exempted or modified by the underlying zone, all development shall comply with the standards of this Chapter.
- B. Division of Consolidated Lots. Previously platted lots consolidated into one taxable parcel may not be re-divided into lots smaller than the minimum area required in the underlying zone.
- C. Setbacks. Setbacks from property lines are established by the underlying zone. If no setbacks are stated, an applicant wishing to locate a building closer than ten (10) feet to the property line shall demonstrate that the structure will not place additional burden on neighboring properties by addressing the following factors: snow load, drainage, access, fire protection, and building code.

19.72.050 CLUSTER DEVELOPMENT

A. General Requirements

Cluster development is the grouping of residential properties on lots smaller than allowed on the underlying zone to reduce infrastructure costs and environmental impacts and to reserve otherwise developable land for open space or recreation. Whether proposed by an applicant or required by the Planning Commission, cluster development may only be approved upon satisfaction of the following conditions:

1. The clustering proposal meets all other applicable requirements set forth in the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone or in other applicable ordinances or regulations.
2. The clustering proposal, compared with a more traditional site plan, better attains the policies and objectives of the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone, such as providing more natural open space, preserving existing trees and vegetation coverage, and preserving sensitive environmental areas such as stream corridors, slide areas, prominent ridgelines, wetlands, and steep slopes.
3. The clustering proposal shall have minimal adverse impact on adjacent properties or development, or, if such impacts may result, the applicant has agreed to implement appropriate mitigation measures such as landscape, screening, illumination standards, and other design features as recommended by the Director to buffer and protect adjacent properties from the proposed clustered development.

4. The architecture, height, building materials, building colors, and other design features of the development blend with the surrounding natural landscape and are compatible with adjacent properties or development.

B. Density Bonus for Cluster Development

1. A cluster density bonus of up to twenty-five percent (25%) over the base density permitted in the underlying zone may be available for cluster developments that satisfy the above standards while taking into account the bonus density.
 - a. 2. The allowable density bonus for a cluster development is equal to twenty-five percent (25%) of the “net developable acreage”, and must be rounded to the nearest whole number, but in no case less than one (1).
3. The density bonus for clustering allowed pursuant to subsection B.1 is not allowed in the MRZ.

C. Cluster Development Design

1. The undeveloped area of the development site shall be preserved as active or passive natural open space. Natural open space areas shall conform with any adopted County open space and/or trail plans, provide contiguity with adjacent natural open space and/or conservation areas, protect unique natural, historic, or cultural site features and resources, and avoid fragmentation of conservation areas within the site.
2. The maximum number of lots allowed in a single cluster is twenty (20) lots. Each cluster shall be separated from other residential clusters by a minimum of one-hundred (100) feet.
3. The layout of a cluster development shall protect significant natural resources on or adjacent to the site. Natural resources include riparian areas, wetlands, ecological resources, steep slopes and ridgelines, and wildlife habitat and corridors. The overall site design shall employ the site’s natural topography to hide multiple residential clusters from the sight of adjacent clusters.
4. A cluster development shall preserve the open sky backdrop above any ridgelines and, where possible, significant views of the natural landscape as viewed from adjacent streets.

D. Illustration of Cluster Development

Figure 19.72.1: Cluster Development illustrates recommended cluster development.

FIGURE 19.72.1: CLUSTER DEVELOPMENT

19.72.060 SLOPE PROTECTION

A. Slope Protection Standards

1. Unless otherwise allowed in this Title, no development activities, including clearing, excavation, grading, and construction, are allowed on slopes greater than thirty percent (30%).

2. Structures shall be set back from ascending or descending slopes greater than thirty percent (30%) in accordance with the requirements of the current adopted building code.

B. Development on Ridgelines

1. Unless otherwise allowed in this Title, no development may break the horizon line, defined as the point where the ridge visibly meets the sky as viewed from public rights of way or trails.
2. Unless otherwise allowed in this Title, no development may be located within one-hundred (100) feet (map distance) from either side of the crest of a protected ridgeline designated as such in an adopted County master plan or incorporated by other ordinance.
3. Figure 19.72.2: Ridgeline Development illustrates recommended ridgeline development.

FIGURE 19.72.2: RIDGELINE DEVELOPMENT



C. Natural Open Space within Steep Slopes

Unless expressly allowed in this Title, all areas with slope greater than thirty percent (30%) must remain in natural private or public open space, free of any development activities.

D. Waiver of Slope Protection Standards for Lots of Record

1. The Planning Commission may only waive or modify the following slope protection standards as applied to development on lots of record and in subdivisions that were approved prior to the effective date of this Ordinance:
 - a. Slope protection standards prohibiting development on slopes greater than thirty percent (30%) or in ridge line protection areas, as set forth above.
 - b. Limitations on the crossing of slopes greater than thirty percent (30%) by any street, road, private access road or other vehicular route, as addressed in Subsection

19.72.080.

2. The Planning Commission may only waive these standards upon satisfaction of the following criteria:
 - a. Strict compliance with the above slope protection standards
 - i. renders the site undevelopable, or
 - ii. results in substantial economic hardship not created by the applicant or otherwise self-imposed, or
 - iii. results in a building location that requires excessive grading, vegetation removal, or driveway distances in conflict with the purposes of this Chapter.

and

 - b. The development substantially conforms to all other development, site design, and environmental standards of this Chapter and in all other applicable ordinances and codes.
3. In granting a waiver from slope and ridge line protection standards, the Planning Commission may impose reasonable conditions to mitigate the impacts, if any, that the Planning Commission determines the proposed development has on adjacent properties and the surrounding environment.
4. Notwithstanding its discretion to grant waivers for lots of record from the slope protection standards set forth in this Chapter, in no case shall the planning commission permit development other than roads on slopes greater than forty percent.

19.72.070 GRADING STANDARDS

- A. Prior to issuance of a building permit in accordance with a grading and excavation plan and report for the site approved by the Development Services Engineer; no grading, excavation, or tree/vegetation removal is permitted, whether to provide for a building site, for on-site utilities or services, or for any roads or driveways.
- B. Figure 19.72.3: Cutting and Grading illustrates recommended development that minimizes cuts.

FIGURE 19.72.3: CUTTING AND GRADING




- C. The original, natural grade of a lot may not be raised or lowered more than four (4) feet at any point for construction of any structure or improvement, except:
1. The site's original grade may be raised or lowered eight (8) feet if a retaining wall is used to reduce the steepness of man-made slopes, provided that the retaining wall complies with the requirements of subsection I below.
 2. The site's original grade may be raised or lowered more than eight feet with terracing, as specified in subsection I below.
- D. Separate building pads for accessory buildings other than garages, barns, or recreational structures such as tennis courts, swimming pools, and similar facilities, are prohibited except where the natural slope is twenty percent (20%) or less.
- E. The following limits apply to graded or filled man-made slopes:
1. Slopes of twenty-five percent (25%) or less are encouraged wherever possible.
 2. Graded or filled man-made slopes may not exceed a slope of fifty percent (50%).
 3. Cut man-made surfaces or slopes may not exceed a slope of fifty percent (50%) unless it is substantiated, on the basis of a site investigation and submittal of a soils engineering or geotechnical report prepared and certified by a qualified professional, that a cut at a steeper slope will be stable and will not create a hazard to public or private property.
 4. All cut, filled, and graded slopes shall be re-contoured to the natural, varied contour of the surrounding terrain.
- F. Any slope exposed or created in new development shall be landscaped or re-vegetated pursuant to the standards and provisions of this Chapter.

- G. Excavation for footings and foundations shall be minimized to lessen site disturbance and ensure compatibility with hillside and sloped terrain. Intended excavation must be supported by detailed engineering plans submitted as part of the application for site plan approval.
- H. Use of retaining walls is encouraged to reduce the steepness of man-made slopes and to provide planting pockets conducive to re-vegetation.
 - 1. If a single retaining wall is used, one (1) vertical retaining wall up to eight (8) feet in height is permitted to reduce excavation and embankment.
 - 2. Terracing is limited to two (2) walls with a maximum vertical height of six (6) feet each. The width of a terrace shall be a minimum of a one to one (1:1) ratio with the height of the wall. Terraces are measured from the back of the lower wall to the face of the upper wall. Terraces created between retaining walls shall be permanently landscaped or re-vegetated as required by this Chapter.
 - 3. Figure 19.72.4: Terracing and Retaining Walls illustrates recommended terracing.

FIGURE 19.72.4: TERRACING & RETAINING WALLS





3. Retaining walls shall be faced with stone or earth-colored materials similar to the surrounding natural landscape, as required by the design standards of Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone.
 4. All retaining walls shall comply with the minimum standards of the International Building Code.
- I. Except for restoration and maintenance activities authorized by the State Engineer and County Flood Control Division, filling or dredging of water courses, wetlands, gullies, stream beds, or stormwater runoff channels is prohibited. Bridge construction is allowed pursuant to the standards set forth of this Section.
- J. Where detention basins and other storm and erosion control facilities are required, any negative visual and aesthetic impacts on the natural landscape and topography shall be minimized. See [Figure 19.72.5: Recommended Detention Basin Treatment](#) which illustrates recommended treatment.
1. Detention basins shall be free form, following the natural landforms. If such forms do not exist, the basin shall be shaped to emulate a naturally formed depression.
 2. Redistributing soils from basin construction to natural side slopes around the perimeter of the basin is encouraged. Side slopes are limited to a maximum slope of 3:1. These slopes are created to filter, redirect or soften views of the basin. Total screening of basins is not required. Side slopes shall be varied to replicate natural conditions.
 3. Naturalized planting themes are required for basins. Trees and shrubs may be grouped in informal patterns to emulate the natural environment but may not reduce the volume of the basin.
 4. The ground surface of the basin and surrounding disturbed areas shall be covered with native grass mixture or other appropriate groundcover. It is the intent to provide a natural cover that does not require regular mowing or fertilization.
 5. Appropriate erosion control measures are required on all slopes.

FIGURE 19.72.5: RECOMMENDED DETENTION BASIN TREATMENT



19.72.080 SITE ACCESS

- A. Motor vehicle access to a building or development site shall be by road (including private access road), street, alley, or driveway. Any road, street, alley, or driveway constructed after the enactment of this Chapter shall comply with the applicable requirements of this section.
- B. Streets, roads, alleys, or driveways shall comply with the Salt Lake County Highway ordinance and fire authority regulations.
- C. Streets, roads, alleys, or driveways may not cross slopes averaging (in any fifty feet interval) between thirty percent (30%) and fifty percent (50%) unless specifically authorized by the Planning Commission, upon the favorable recommendation of the Director and Public Works Engineer, after finding that all of the following conditions and constraints are met:
 - 1. No alternate location for access is feasible or available.
 - 2. No individual segment or increment of the street, road, alley, or driveway in excess of one hundred (100) feet in length may cross slopes averaging between thirty percent (30%) and fifty percent (50%).
 - 3. The cumulative length of individual segments or increments that cross slopes averaging between thirty percent (30%) and fifty percent (50%) may not exceed ten percent (10%) of the total length of the street, road, alley, or driveway.
 - 4. All crossings shall be designed and constructed to eliminate significant adverse environmental or safety impacts.
- D. Under no circumstances shall any segment of a street, road, alley, or driveway cross slopes averaging greater than fifty percent (50%).
- E. Streets, roads, alleys, roads, or driveways shall follow natural contour lines where possible. If the natural contour lines do not reasonably facilitate access to the development site, a

private access road or driveway may be designed and submitted for approval with a slope not to exceed the requirements set forth in Title 14 of the County Code. Figure 19.72.6: Recommended Access Route Configuration illustrates the access route following natural contours.

FIGURE 19.72.6: RECOMMENDED ACCESS ROUTE CONFIGURATION



- F. Grading for streets, roads, alleys, or driveways is limited to the paved portion of the right-of-way, plus up to an additional ten (10) feet on either side of the pavement as approved. However, when developing access on slopes in excess of twenty-five percent (25%), only the paved portion of the right-of-way used for vehicular travel, plus the minimum area required for any additional improvements, such as curb, gutter or sidewalk, may be graded. The remainder of the access right-of-way must be left undisturbed.
- G. Streets or roads may be required to provide access or maintain existing access to adjacent lands for vehicles, pedestrians, emergency services, and essential service and maintenance equipment.
- H. Private access roads and driveways shall ensure safe, convenient and adequate access to individual buildings. Driveway access to a development must be consistent with Salt Lake County general plans. In addition, provision of private access road and driveway access is subject to the following requirements:
 - 1. All private access roads and driveways shall comply with the Salt Lake County Highway ordinances and fire authority regulations.
 - 2. Private access roads and driveways greater than one-hundred fifty (150) feet in length shall meet the following requirements:
 - a. Provide a turnaround that meets the County's road/street and fire authority standards.



- 3. Rock cliffs and other insurmountable physical obstructions are avoided.
- D. At the County's sole option, dedications for trails or public access may be of a fee or less-than-fee interest to either the County, another unit of government, or non-profit land conservation organization approved by the County.
- E. The County may allow a density bonus up to twenty-five percent (25%) of the maximum allowable density attributable to areas of the site with greater than thirty percent (30%) slope to be transferred to the developable areas of the site where the applicant demonstrates that the offered dedication is beyond what would be roughly proportional to the demand for such trails or trail access generated by the proposed development. The County may reduce the applicable minimum lot area requirement within the site's developable area if necessary to accommodate the transferred density.

19.72.100 FENCES

- A. No fence may be constructed or installed unless shown on an approved site plan.
- B. No fence in excess of forty-two (42) inches in height may be constructed or installed outside the designated limits of disturbance on a site, unless required by the County, such as fenced corrals for horses or other animals. Fences are subject to the Intersecting Streets and Clear Visibility restrictions of this Title.
- C. Fences in front yards and along roadways may not exceed forty-two (42) inches in height, except that residential buildings with frontage on a main canyon road may be screened for privacy with a 6 foot tall visual barrier fence, provided the materials and colors comply with section W of Table 19.72.1.
- D. Fences in identified wildlife corridors are strongly discouraged, but in no case may exceed forty-two (42) inches in height.
- E. Fences shall conform to the design standards of this section.

19.72.110 TREE AND VEGETATION PROTECTION

A. Purpose

Protection of existing tree and vegetation cover is intended to:

- 1. Preserve the visual and aesthetic qualities of the County's foothills and canyons.
- 2. Encourage site design techniques that preserve the natural environment and enhance the developed environment.
- 3. Control erosion, slippage, and sediment run-off into streams and waterways.
- 4. Increase slope stability.
- 5. Protect wildlife habitat and migration corridors.
- 6. Conserve energy, in proximity to structures, by reducing building heating and cooling costs.

B. Applicability



These provisions apply to all development in the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone, with the following exceptions:

1. The removal of dead or naturally fallen trees or vegetation to protect public health, safety, and welfare.
2. The selective and limited removal of trees or vegetation necessary to obtain clear visibility at driveways or intersections, to perform authorized field survey work, or to protect structures from fire consistent with the Utah Wildland-Urban Interface Code.
3. The removal of trees or vegetation on land zoned or lawfully used for agricultural and forestry activities, including tree farms, or pursuant to approved forest management programs. In the event a site is substantially cleared of trees pursuant to such legitimate activities, no development or site plan applications for other types of development may be accepted by the County within thirty-six (36) months from the date of the clearing.
4. The Director has discretion to administratively offer relief of the standards in this section by up to 25% if either of the following circumstances applies:
 - a. The modification is designed to yield:
 - i. More effective preservation of existing mature trees, vegetation, riparian areas, rock outcrops, or other significant natural features of the site;
 - ii. Less visual impact on the property or on the surrounding area; or
 - iii. Better protection of wildlife habitat.
 - b. Strict application of the standard(s) would render a site undevelopable.

C. Tree/Vegetation Removal

1. Outside the Limits of Disturbance

No trees or vegetation may be removed outside the approved limits of disturbance unless specifically exempted by this Section.

2. Within the Limits of Disturbance

Significant trees removed from within the limits of disturbance shall be replaced as set forth in this Section.

3. Wildfire Hazards and Tree/Vegetation Removal

Defensible space is defined as the required space between a structure and wildland area that, under normal conditions, creates a sufficient buffer to slow or halt the spread of wildfire to a structure. Appropriate defensible space surrounding a structure is established in Utah Wildland-Urban Interface Code incorporated in UFA Wildland-Urban Interface Site Plan/Development Review Guide. A copy of the approved fire protection plan shall be submitted to the Zoning Administrator for incorporation into the final approval documents.

4. Tree/Vegetation Removal for Views Prohibited

No trees or vegetation may be removed solely for the purpose of providing open views to or from structures on a site.

D. Replacement of Significant Trees

1. When a significant tree is removed from inside the established limits of disturbance, which removal is not required by wildland-urban interface standards referenced in C.3 above, the applicant or developer shall replace such tree(s) on the lot, according to the following schedule and requirements:
 - a. A significant tree that is removed shall be replaced by two trees with a minimum size of one inch caliper for deciduous trees and a minimum height of four feet for coniferous trees in locations on the lot that are appropriate, feasible, and practical, and that comply with fire requirements and standards, as determined by the Zoning Administrator.
 - b. Replacement trees shall be maintained through an establishment period of at least two (2) years. The applicant shall post a bond in the amount of 10% of the value of all replacement trees guaranteeing their health and survival during the first year of the establishment period.
2. If the remainder of the lot outside the permitted limits of disturbance is heavily wooded, defined as areas of trees with canopies that cover eighty percent (80%) of the area, and is not suitable to the planting of replacement trees, the requirement to plant replacement trees requirement may be waived by the Zoning Administrator.
3. Planting replacement trees may be allowed by the Zoning Administrator on parcels within the subdivision or adjoining open space or forest service land upon the written consent of the property owner or representative of the property owner of the parcel(s) where the trees are being planted. In order to minimize disturbance of public land, saplings may be used in lieu of the larger trees listed in 1(a) above at the rate of 10 saplings per required replacement tree, for trees planted on publicly owned land.

Comment [CWoodward2]: See comment #2 in "summary of comments" document for discussion about this section.

E. Revegetation and Land Reclamation Plan

1. On a parcel of land that has been or will be altered from its natural condition by man-made activities, a revegetation and land reclamation plan prepared and certified by a qualified professional may be required for review and approval by the Director. The plan shall incorporate the elements of the fire protection plan, and shall indicate a timeframe for revegetation that is acceptable to the County and that takes into account optimal seasonal growing conditions.
2. The revegetation and land reclamation plan shall depict the type, size, number, and location of any vegetation and trees to be planted and illustrate how the site will be recontoured with sufficient topsoil to ensure that vegetation is successful. All new trees shown on the plan shall:
 - a. Comply with the Vegetation Clearance Guidelines of the Wildland-Urban Interface Code,
 - b. Be spaced no closer than 20 feet on center, and,
 - c. Be on the Utah Fire Resistive Species list in the Wildland-Urban Interface Code.
3. Any slope exposed or created in new development shall be landscaped or revegetated with native or adapted trees and plant material. New vegetation shall be equivalent to or



exceed the amount and erosion-control characteristics of the original vegetation cover in order to mitigate adverse environmental and visual effects.

4. On man-made slopes of twenty-five percent (25%) or greater, plant materials with deep rooting characteristics shall be selected to minimize erosion and reduce surface runoff. The planting basin shall be kept level with a raised berm around the base of the plant to help retain moisture.
5. Topsoil that is removed during construction may be conserved for later use on areas requiring revegetation or landscaping, such as cut-and-fill slopes.
6. The land reclamation plan may not include landscaping or other elements that conflict with the approved fire protection plan.

F. Tree/Vegetation Protection During Construction and Grading Activities

1. Limits of disturbance, as established in Section 19.72.160, shall be shown on the final plans for development and shall be clearly delineated on site with fencing or other separation methods approved by the Director prior to the commencement of excavation, grading, or construction activities on the site.
2. Within the limits of disturbance, fencing, at a minimum, shall be placed around each significant tree that will not be removed and around stands of twelve (12) or more smaller trees. Such fencing shall be placed at the edge of the individual or outermost tree's drip zone. No construction, grading, equipment or material storage, or any other activity is allowed within the drip zone, and the fencing must remain in place until all land alteration, construction, and development activities are completed.
3. If it is necessary to fill over the root zone, compacted soils shall be avoided by sandwiching fabric, rocks, and more fabric under the area to be filled.
4. If fill creates a tree well or depression around a tree or shrubs, such area shall be filled in or drained so that the vegetation is not drowned by the pooling of rainfall or irrigation.
5. If a significant tree that will not be removed has roots that are cut, the branches shall be trimmed by an amount equal to the percent of roots that were lost. Cutting more than thirty percent (30%) is prohibited. Roots shall be pruned cleanly prior to digging and not ripped off by heavy equipment. If the tree whose roots have been cut dies within a two (2) year period, the replacement provision in section D above applies.
6. Utility trenches near trees shall be avoided. If a line must be near a tree, tunneling, auguring, or other mitigation measures shall be used.

G. Tree Removal Not Authorized by this Section

1. If a significant tree(s) is removed contrary to any provision in this section, the person(s) responsible for the removal shall pay to the County the value of the tree(s).
 - a. The value of the tree(s) shall be determined by a tree appraiser who is an ISA (International Society of Arboriculture) certified arborist with at least five years of experience appraising trees using the appraisal methods outlined in the current edition of "The Guide for Plant Appraisal," authored by the Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers (CTLA). The appraiser shall prepare an appraisal report using these methods, and adding to the value from these methods an analysis of the



tree(s) contributory value, i.e., the value that the tree(s) contributed to the overall value of the property on which they were located.

- b. The appraiser shall be chosen by the person(s) responsible for the removal and the County.
 - c. The person(s) responsible for the removal shall pay the cost of the appraisal.
2. If a significant tree(s) is removed contrary to this section, all development and County permitting and processing of the land use application shall be put on hold for up to 60 days from the date of County's discovery of removal. During that time, the County will inventory the significant tree(s) that were removed, and the process of valuing the tree(s) that were removed shall commence, pursuant to paragraph 1 above.
 3. The person(s) responsible for removing the significant tree(s) shall pay for the cost of site restoration, including the removal of the stump(s). The stump(s) may not be removed until an appraisal is completed pursuant to paragraph 1 above.
 4. The person(s) responsible for removing the significant tree(s) shall also replace the tree(s) in accordance with the provisions in this section. The bond referenced in subsection (D)(1)(b) of this section shall be a surety bond for those that unlawfully remove trees.

In addition to the civil penalties provided in paragraphs 1 – 4 of this subsection (G), the person(s) responsible for removing the significant tree(s) may also be subject to criminal prosecution as a Class B misdemeanor for each significant tree unlawfully removed.

19.72.120 NATURAL HAZARDS

A natural hazards report, together with geotechnical, slope, soils, and grading reports, may be required as provided in 19.75.030 "Geological Hazards" and Chapter 19.74 "Floodplain Hazards." The County shall review all natural hazards reports and recommendations in the report and may require, consistent with the above ordinances, that preliminary conditions be satisfied prior to final approval of the site plan.

19.72.130 STREAM CORRIDOR AND WETLANDS PROTECTION

A. Purpose

The following requirements and standards are intended to promote, preserve, and enhance the important hydrologic, biological, ecological, aesthetic, recreational, and educational functions of stream corridors, associated riparian areas, and wetlands.

B. Applicability

Unless previously delineated by Salt Lake County, boundaries for stream corridors and wetland areas are delineated according to the following standards:

1. Stream corridor and wetland area delineation shall be performed by a qualified engineer or other qualified professional with demonstrated experience and expertise to conduct the required site analysis. Delineations are subject to the approval of the Director.
2. Stream corridors shall be delineated at the ordinary high-water mark. Stream corridors do not include irrigation ditches that do not contribute to the preservation and enhancement of fisheries or wildlife.

Comment [CWoodward3]: See comment #3 in "summary of comments" document for discussion about this section.

3. Boundary delineation of wetlands are established using the current Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands jointly published by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Fish and Wildlife Service, the Army Corps of Engineers, and the Soil Conservation Service.

C. Prohibited Activities


No development activity may be conducted that disturbs, removes, fills, dredges, clears, destroys, or alters, stream corridors or wetlands, including vegetation, except for restoration and maintenance activities allowed in this Title as approved by Salt Lake County Flood Control, the Utah State Engineer's Office, and other applicable authorities.

D. Setbacks

1. Perennial Stream Corridors

All buildings, accessory structures, and parking lots shall be set back at least fifty (50) feet, and all on-site wastewater disposal systems shall be set back at least one-hundred (100) feet horizontally from the ordinary high-water mark of perennial stream corridors. (See [Figure 19.72.7: Setback from Stream Corridor](#))

FIGURE 19.72.7: SETBACK FROM STREAM CORRIDOR



2. Wetlands

All buildings, accessory structures, and parking lots shall be set back at least fifty (50) feet, and all on-site wastewater disposal systems shall be set back at least one-hundred (100) feet horizontally from the delineated edge of a wetland.

3. Ephemeral Streams



All buildings, accessory structures, leach fields, and parking areas or lots shall be set back at least fifty (50) feet from the channel of an ephemeral stream, as defined by its ordinary high water mark. The Zoning Administrator may recommend to the land use authority modifications to this prohibition upon finding that the modification is likely to cause minimal adverse environmental impact or that such impact may be substantially mitigated. For properties located within the Salt Lake City watershed, the Zoning Administrator shall consult with Salt Lake City Public Utilities prior to making a recommendation.

4. Natural Open Space/Landscape Credit for Setback Areas

All setback areas are credited toward any relevant private natural open space or landscape requirements, but are not credited toward trail access dedication requirements.

E. Preservation of Vegetation

All existing vegetation within the stream corridor or wetland setback area shall be preserved to provide adequate screening or to repair damaged riparian areas, supplemented where necessary with additional native or adapted planting and landscaping.

F. Bridges

Any bridge over a stream corridor and within the stream setback area may be approved provided the Director affirms that the bridge is planned and constructed in such a manner as to minimize impacts on the stream corridor.

G. Reduction of Setbacks

The above setbacks may be reduced to a lesser distance upon approval of the Salt Lake County Health Department as set forth in Health Regulation 14, Watershed Regulation.

H. Perennial Stream Corridor and Wetland Setback Requirements for Lots of Record

1. Existing Legally-Established Structures

A structure legally existing on the effective date of this Ordinance that is within fifty (50) feet of a perennial stream corridor or wetland may be renovated, altered, or expanded or reconstructed if damaged or destroyed by fire, flood, or act of nature as follows:

- a. Renovations or alterations or reconstruction of a damaged or destroyed structure that will not increase the gross floor area of the original, existing structure are permitted.
- b. Renovations, alterations, or expansions that will increase the gross floor area of the original, existing structure are limited to a cumulative total expansion of no more than 250 square feet of gross floor area located closer than 50 feet to a perennial stream corridor or wetland.
- c. Renovations, alterations, expansions, or reconstruction of a damaged or destroyed structure that increase the gross floor area of the original, existing structure but which are no closer than fifty (50) feet to a perennial stream corridor or wetland are permitted, subject to compliance with all other applicable regulations and standards.

2. New Structures



For new structures, the Director may authorize construction to no closer than fifty (50) feet from a perennial stream corridor or wetland.

3. Limitation

In allowing for the preceding improvements, the Director may not increase the maximum limits of disturbance set forth in Subsection 19.72.160.

19.72.140 WILDLIFE HABITAT PROTECTION

A. Purpose

Salt Lake County finds that its foothills and canyon areas provide important wildlife habitat for a wide variety of animal and bird species. In combination with the tree/vegetation and stream corridor/wetlands protection standards, the following requirements have been developed to promote and preserve valuable wildlife habitats and to protect them from adverse effects and potentially irreversible impacts.

B. Development Limitations in Areas of Critical Habitat

All development subject to these provisions shall incorporate the following principles in establishing the limits of disturbance and siting buildings, structures, roads, trails, and other similar facilities:

1. Facilitate wildlife movement across areas dominated by human activities by:
 - a. Maintaining connections between adjacent natural open space parcels and areas, and between natural open space parcels and areas in close proximity.
 - b. Prohibiting fencing types that inhibit the movement of wildlife species.
 - c. Providing selective plantings on the property that enhance the habitat value for the endemic wildlife population.
2. Mimic features of the local natural landscape by:
 - a. Minimizing disturbance to trees, the understory, and other structural landscape features during construction.
 - b. Providing selective plantings on the property that enhance the habitat value for the endemic wildlife population.

19.72.150 TRAFFIC STUDIES

A. Traffic and Parking Impact Study Required

A traffic and parking impact study is required as part of the site plan application for the following developments in the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone:



1. All residential development that creates a projected increase in traffic volumes equal to or greater than ten percent (10%) of current road/street capacity as determined by the Public Works Engineer.
2. All non-residential development that creates a projected increase in traffic volumes equal to or greater than fifty (50) trip-ends per peak hour.
3. All development that affects a roadway identified by the County Transportation Engineering Manager as having an unacceptable level of service (LOS) based on AASHTO guidelines and the Highway Capacity Manual.

B. Required Submittals

A traffic and parking impact study must address, at a minimum, the items specified in the "Submittal Requirements for Development Proposals in the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone," which is incorporated by reference.

C. Review and Improvements

All development subject to this section must demonstrate that the peak hour levels of service on adjacent roadways and at impacted intersections after development will comply with current Salt Lake County transportation and impact mitigation policies and recommendations.

D. Circulation and Access Plan


All development required by this subsection to submit a traffic and parking impact study is also required to provide a circulation and access plan to ensure free-flowing access to the site and avoid congestion and unsafe conditions on adjacent public roads and streets. The circulation and access plan may be combined with the required traffic and parking impact study.

19.72.160 LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE

A. Scope and General Requirements

"Limits of disturbance" must be established on the site plan, indicating the specific area(s) of a site where construction and development activity must be contained. (See [Figure 19.72.8: Illustration of Limits of Disturbance](#).)

FIGURE 19.72.8: ILLUSTRATION OF LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE



B. Purpose for Limits of Disturbance

Limits of disturbance are established for the following purposes:

1. Minimizing visual impacts from the development including, but not limited to: screening from adjacent and downhill properties, ridgeline area protection, and protection of scenic views.
2. Erosion prevention and control including, but not limited to, protection of steep slopes and natural drainage channels.
3. Fire prevention and safety including, but not limited to, location of trees and vegetation near structures.
4. Preservation of tree cover, vegetation, and the site's natural topography.
5. Conservation of water including, but not limited to, preservation of existing native vegetation, reduction in amounts of irrigated areas, and similar considerations.
6. Wildlife habitat protection including, but not limited to, preservation of critical wildlife habitat and migration corridors and routes.
7. Stream corridor and wetland protection and buffering.

C. Limits of Disturbance May Be Noncontiguous

Limits of disturbance necessary to accommodate proposed development may be noncontiguous in order to best achieve the above purposes.

D. Maximum Limits of Disturbance

1. For single family residential uses on lots or parcels less than one (1) acre in size, the limits of disturbance are limited to twenty thousand (20,000) square feet.

Comment [CWoodward4]: See comment #4 in "summary of comments" document for discussion about this section.



2. For single family residential uses on lots or parcels one (1) acre in size or greater, the limits of disturbance are limited to twenty thousand (20,000) square feet plus an additional square footage of twenty (20) percent of the acreage over one (1) acre.
3. For all other uses, the maximum limits of disturbance shall be determined by the Director on a case by case basis in harmony with the purposes of FCOZ stated in 19.72.010 to accomplish the purposes set forth in subsection B of this section.

E. Modification of Limits of Disturbance

1. The Director has discretion to administratively increase the limits of disturbance by a maximum of twenty-five percent (25%) where applicable upon satisfaction of the criteria set forth below:
 - a. The modification is designed to yield:
 - i. More effective preservation of existing mature trees, vegetation, riparian areas, rock outcrops, or other significant natural features of the site;
 - ii. Less visual impact on the property or on the surrounding area; or
 - iii. Better protection of wildlife habitat.
 - b. Strict application of the standard(s) would render a site undevelopable.

19.72.170 FCOZ DESIGN STANDARDS

A. Purpose

As stated in 19.72.010, the general purpose of design standards is to promote development that balances the rights of the landowner with protection of the foothill and canyon environment. These standards are intentionally broad to allow flexibility in design, compatibility with varying features of the natural landscape, and consistency with the following purposes:

1. Preserve and enhance the beauty of the landscape by encouraging the retention of natural topographic features, such as drainage swales, streams, slopes, ridge lines, rock outcroppings, vistas, natural plant formations, trees, and similar features.
2. Encourage planning and design of development and building sites that balances safety, recreational opportunity, economic development, and enjoyment of property rights, while adapting development to, and preserving natural terrain.
3. Establish a foundation for development in sensitive lands to insure a more harmonious relationship between man-made structures and the natural setting.
4. Direct new development in the canyons and foothills toward areas meeting suitability criteria, as outlined in the Wasatch Canyons General Plan and other applicable general or community plans.

B. Advisory or Mandatory Design Standards



The development and design standards set forth in this Chapter fall into two (2) categories: “advisory” standards and “mandatory” standards. Design standards that are advisory encourage voluntary adaptation. Development within the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone is to comply with all of the mandatory standards unless alternative design is approved by the Planning Commission upon a finding that the alternative design is in harmony with the purposes of FCOZ, as stated in Section 19.72.010. The design standards and categories are summarized below in Table 19.72.1: FCOZ Design Standards.

DRAFT

**SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH
TABLE 19.72.1: FCOZ DESIGN STANDARDS**

MANDATORY STANDARDS	ADVISORY STANDARDS	DESIGN STANDARDS
Mandatory	Advisory	A. Select an appropriate site
X		A site must be suitable for the type of building or use being planned without major alterations to the site.
X		Buildings or uses shall comply with this Ordinance and all applicable state and federal laws, recognizing the natural or man-made restraints on particular sites such as slope, soil instability, landslides, avalanche, or flooding. (See, for example, Section 19.72.120 (Natural Hazards) and Chapter 19.74 (Floodplain Hazard Regulations).)
Mandatory	Advisory	B. Site buildings in a manner that preserves existing land forms See Figure 19.72.9
	X	Each building should be located so that it does not dominate the landscape. The best way to decrease visual impacts is to locate the project as far away from prominent viewing locations as possible.
X		Visually prominent areas of the site shall be left in their natural condition with the exception of areas necessary for access. Structures shall be screened using existing land forms and vegetation. (See Subsection 19.72.110 (Tree and Vegetation Protection).)
	X	Where practical, buildings should be placed in the following locations on a site: 1. Within tree masses to screen buildings 2. At the edge of trees or land masses overlooking natural open space 3. In open areas where they are not visible from roads, trails, or other public lands.

FIGURE 19.72.9: PRESERVE EXISTING LAND FORMS



MANDATORY STANDARDS	ADVISORY STANDARDS	DESIGN STANDARDS
Mandatory	Advisory	C. Site buildings so they do not protrude into significant viewsapes. See Figure 19.72.10
	X	Buildings should be designed to fit their sites and to leave natural massing and features of the landscape intact. Each building should be designed as an integral part of the site rather than an isolated object at odds with its surroundings.

	X	Where feasible, views should be maintained both to the site and to features beyond, as seen from public rights-of-way, trails, and other public lands. Projects should not be located on prominent topographic features where they dominate views or unnecessarily obscure the views of others.
--	---	---

FIGURE 19.72.10: PRESERVE SIGNIFICANT VIEWS



Mandatory	Advisory	D. Site buildings so their form does not break prominent skylines See Figure 19.72.11
X		Buildings shall be sited at less visible places and designed so they are not obtrusive, do not loom over the hillside, and do not break prominent skylines from key vantage points. Skylines are ridges or hilltops on the horizon line that do not have backdrops behind them as viewed from key vantage points. Heavily traveled public roads located below skylines or hilltops are key vantage points.

FIGURE 19.72.11: RIDGELINE DEVELOPMENT




Mandatory	Advisory	E. Site buildings to preserve significant trees and vegetation. See Figure 19.72.12
X		Buildings shall be sited to keep removal of significant trees and vegetation to a minimum. (See section 19.72.160 (Limits of disturbance), 19.72.110 (Tree and vegetation protection.)
FIGURE 19.72.12: PRESERVE SIGNIFICANT VEGETATION		
		
Mandatory	Advisory	F. Cluster buildings and parking, and coordinate neighboring developments. See Figure 19.72.1
	X	Clustering is encouraged to reduce land disturbance and the cost of providing services, road and parking area maintenance, snow removal, etc. (See Section 19.72.080 (Site Access).)
	X	Cooperative, coordinated development and the sharing of services, infrastructure, facilities, and parking among adjoining landowners is encouraged.
Mandatory	Advisory	G. Locate parking facilities to minimize their visual impact. See Figure 19.72.13
X		When visible from publicly used roads, parking facilities shall be screened to blend into the natural environment. Parking lot design that requires backing onto a public street is prohibited. (See Section 19.72.080 (Site Access))
X		Parking facilities should be located to the rear or side of main buildings if possible when a site has a lot width of 100 feet or more.
X		Parking facilities shall be designed consistent with the existing topography.
X		Parking facilities shall provide adequate snow storage areas.

FIGURE 19.72.13: PARKING LOCATION



Mandatory	Advisory	H. Place utility lines underground
X		When possible, utilities shall be placed underground and within existing roadways or in established shoulders to minimize the impact to existing natural features, such as natural vegetative patterns and land forms.
X		Tree cutting for utility corridors shall be minimized to reduce visual impacts. All disturbed areas shall be re-vegetated. (See Section 19.72.110 (Tree and Vegetation Protection).)
Mandatory	Advisory	I. Design buildings to solidly meet the ground plane. See Figure 19.72.14
X		Building designs that require a strong structural statement, such as extensive cantilevers or cuts and fills, are prohibited on sensitive hillsides with slopes greater than 30%, wetlands, streams, or hillsides with soil instability consistent with this Ordinance.
X		Buildings shall firmly meet the ground. Placing buildings on piers such that exterior walls do not continue down to the ground is prohibited, with the exception of piers that support decks.

FIGURE 19.72.14: STRUCTURES MEET THE GROUND PLANE



Mandatory	Advisory	J. Design buildings on hillsides to follow the natural terrain. See Figure 19.72.15
X		Buildings shall be located to minimize earth work and land disturbance.
X		Buildings shall be designed to follow natural contours rather than modifying the land to accept a building design not tailored to the site. (See Section 19.72.070 (Grading))

FIGURE 19.54.15: STRUCTURE FOLLOWS HILLSIDE TERRAIN



Mandatory	Advisory	K. Design buildings to minimize mass and scale See Figure 19.72.16
X		Building designs shall incorporate changes in the planes of walls and changes in the slope and height of roof lines to add variety, create visual interest, and minimize scale.
X		The massing of buildings shall be scaled to harmonize and achieve balance with the natural features of the specific site.
X		Roof lines and building mass shall echo the angles and shapes repeated in the natural landscape.

X		Building mass and wall lines shall be broken up to complement natural canyon settings and slopes.
---	--	---


FIGURE 19.72.16: MASS AND SCALE



Mandatory	Advisory	L. Select appropriate building materials and colors
X		Predominant tones on exterior walls shall tend toward neutral colors, replicating natural textures – for example, warm earthy hues; dark green of forests; whites, greys, and grey-brown of the mountains; the tan of grasses; and similar colors. Bright, harshly contrasting color combinations are prohibited. Paint finishes shall have low levels of reflectivity.
	X	The use of self-weathering metals is encouraged. Chemically treating wood so that it can be allowed to self-weather is also encouraged.
Mandatory	Advisory	M. Use fire-resistant roof surfacing materials that blend with the colors of the adjacent landscape.
X		The color of roof surfacing materials shall blend with the surrounding landscape such as brown, tan, dark green, grey, etc.
X		Flammable wood roofing shingles are prohibited in the canyons or foothills.
Mandatory	Advisory	N. Preserve existing trees and vegetation
X		Significant trees and vegetation shall be preserved as provided in Section 19.72.110.
	X	When landscaping within the 30 foot fire-break area, the use of fire-resistant plants is strongly encouraged.
X		Dryland species of plants shall be selected for slope re-vegetation.
Mandatory	Advisory	O. Landscape in order to retain the original character and harmony among the various elements of a site.
X		Landscaping shall incorporate natural features such as trees, significant vegetative patterns, interesting land forms, rocks, water, views, and orientation.
	X	Landscaped areas should be an integral part of the development project, and not simply located in left-over space on the site. New planting should blend in with the existing landscape.
X		All disturbed areas shall be re-vegetated using native or adapted plant species and materials characteristic of the area.
	X	Use of fire-resistant plants is encouraged.


Mandatory	Advisory	P. Limit site grading for buildings to preserve existing land forms. See Figure 19.72.17
X		Building designs that require extensive cut and fills are prohibited. See Section 19.72.070.
	X	Modification of the natural terrain should be minimized.
X		Slopes steeper than 30% shall not be disturbed except as allowed by this Chapter.
X		Buildings, driveways, and roads shall follow the natural contours of the site as feasible, and comply with county excavation, grading, and erosion control standards.

FIGURE 24-17: BUILDINGS DESIGNED TO LIMIT GRADING




Mandatory Standard	Advisory Standard	Q. Preserve natural drainage patterns in site design. See Figure 19.72.18
X		All final excavation, grading, and drainage plans shall conform to applicable county excavation, grading, and erosion control standards.
X		Development shall preserve the natural surface drainage pattern unique to each site. Grading plans shall ensure that drainage flows away from structures, especially structures that are cut into hillsides.
X		Development must prevent negative or adverse drainage impacts on adjacent and surrounding sites.
X		Standard erosion control methods are required during construction to protect water quality, control drainage, and reduce soil erosion. Sediment traps, small dams, or barriers of straw bales are generally required to slow the velocity of runoff.

FIGURE 19.72.18: PRESERVE NATURAL DRAINAGE PATTERNS



Mandatory	Advisory	R. Locate buildings outside stream corridor buffer zones
X		Permanent structures shall be located a minimum of 100 feet horizontally (plan view) from the ordinary high-water mark of stream corridors or other bodies of water. At the discretion of the Director and based on site-specific soils, water, or vegetation studies, setback distances may be reduced as provided in Section 19.72.130 (Stream Corridor and Wetlands Protection).
X		Where feasible, developments shall not alter natural waterways.
Mandatory	Advisory	S. Construct bridges for stream crossings. See Figure 19.72.19
X		Culverts may only be installed on small side drainages, across swales, and on ephemeral or intermittent streams. (See Section 19.72.130, (Stream Corridor and Wetlands Protection)). Culverts are prohibited to cross perennial streams; bridges to cross perennial streams are permitted.
X		Bridges and culverts shall be sized to withstand 100 year storm events. Concrete or stone head walls and side walls are required to maintain the integrity of the bridge structure. (See Chapter 19.74 (Floodplain Hazards).

FIGURE 19.72.19: CULVERTS



Mandatory	Advisory	T. Design traffic circulation to respect existing topography, achieve acceptable slopes, and adhere to minimum width and turning standards. See Figure 19.72.20
X		Vehicular access shall be safe and have adequate width to allow for snowplowing and snow storage.
X		Access roads shall avoid steep grades and sharp turning radii that can make access, especially in the winter, difficult.

FIGURE 19.72.20: DRIVEWAY DESIGN



Mandatory	Advisory	U. Provide safe, adequate off-street parking with year-round access
X		New development shall comply with off-street parking requirements provided in

		this Ordinance.
	X	Shared driveways and shared parking areas with adjoining owners are encouraged.
X		Off-street parking areas shall be large enough to avoid vehicles having to back out onto a public street.
Mandatory	Advisory	V. Design new roads and driveways to reduce their visual impact
	X	Roads and driveways should be screened using existing land forms and vegetation. Long tangents, including on side roads intersecting with arterial roads or highways, should be avoided in favor of curvilinear alignments reflecting topography.
X		Cuts and fills shall be re-graded to reflect adjacent land forms and re-vegetated with native plants. See Section 19.72.070.
Mandatory	Advisory	W. Respect existing land forms, contours, and natural settings in the placement of fences. See Figures 19.72.21 and 19.72.22
X		Fences may be erected to screen service and outdoor areas or provide a safety barrier. (See Section 19.72.070 (Grading Standards—Retaining Walls))
X		Fencing used to screen patios, other outdoor areas, and service areas may be composed of the following fencing materials: a. Natural or stained wood b. Brick c. Rock d. Stone e. Pre-cast fences or walls textured and colored to imitate any of the above materials f. Wrought iron
X		The following fencing materials are prohibited: a. Solid board b. Concrete or concrete block c. Chain link, except around telecommunications facilities, public utility compounds, and other related or similar facilities where security concerns and terrain make this type of fencing practical, as approved by the Planning Commission for fences around conditional uses and approved by the Zoning Administrator for fences around permitted uses. Where a chain link fence is used, a powder or dull coating of the fence is required. d. Plywood e. Painted materials f. Vinyl, except rail fences for containment of horses
X		Rail fences and low rock walls are permitted along arterial roads and highways, and at other locations to delineate property lines.
X		Fences located along property lines and arterial roads or highways are limited to a maximum height of 42 inches, except where necessary for security, safety, protection of public health, wildlife, private property, livestock, etc. .
	X	Solid barrier fences located along arterial roads or highways or placed directly on a site's front property line are discouraged.
X		Walls and fences are to be reviewed on a site-by-site basis, and require a building permit.

FIGURE 19.72.21: OPAQUE FENCE FOR SCREENING




FIGURE 19.72.22: FENCES RESPECT EXISTING LAND FORMS



Mandatory	Advisory	X. Select and locate lighting fixtures only where needed to provide for the safe movement of people on the site. See Figure 19.72.23
X		Light poles for public outdoor recreational facilities are limited to 60 feet in height. Light poles for outdoor recreational facilities on private residential property are limited to 18 feet in height. Both require site plan review which may require restrictions on locations and hours of illumination based upon impacts on adjoining properties. .
X		With the exception of light poles for outdoor recreational facilities, lights poles, and building-mounted fixtures shall be designed with fully shielded luminaires directed downward.

FIGURE 19.72.23: SHIELDED LIGHTING



19.72.180 EXCEPTIONS FOR MINOR SKI RESORT IMPROVEMENTS

Minor ski resort improvements are permitted the following exceptions, subject to approval of the site plan application for FCOZ:

- A. Development on slopes greater than thirty percent (30%).
- B. Development on designated ridge lines or ridgeline protection area.
- C. No Limitations on terracing.
- D. Permissions for streets, roads, private access roads, and other vehicular routes to cross slopes over fifty percent (50%), including limitations on driveway length.
- E. Removal of trees and vegetation, therefore no requirements for tree replacement.



19.72.190 WAIVERS FOR PUBLIC USES AND MINERAL EXTRACTION AND PROCESSING

A. Authority to Grant Waivers

The topographic conditions, soil characteristics, hydrologic patterns, climatic constraints, susceptibility to natural hazards, vegetation, wildlife habitat concerns, and aesthetic considerations of foothill and canyon areas often create circumstances in which strict compliance with adopted standards is not only difficult but sometimes impossible to achieve. As these challenges are frequently created by the very nature and operational characteristics of mineral extraction and processing operations, and many public uses, and are therefore most often self-imposed, other avenues of administrative relief are sometimes necessary and appropriate. Accordingly, the land use authority may waive or modify the development standards for these uses.

B. Waiver Request Procedures

1. A petition or request for a waiver or modification of an FCOZ development standard may be submitted in writing by the owner or authorized agent of the subject property. The petition or request shall be made concurrent with the related land use permit application--for example, conditional use application. The petition or written request shall clearly explain:
 - a. Those aspects or elements of the development proposal that are strictly prohibited.
 - b. All FCOZ regulations requested to be waived or modified in order for the development to reasonably proceed.
 - c. The basis, justification or grounds for granting the waiver or modification.
 - d. Why other common designs or improvements that may be less impactful on the environment and adjacent properties are not being considered..
 - e. The exact nature and locations of improvement for which waivers or modifications have been requested.
2. Each proposed waiver or modification is to be referred for decision to the relevant land use authority under the ordinance. The waiver or modification petition is to be accompanied by a written staff report with recommendations.
3. When a public hearing is required, the notice of the hearing shall specify the waivers or modifications requested, the relevant ordinance provisions from which the waivers or modifications are sought, and the general nature of the development that is proposed if the requested waivers or modifications are granted.

C. Approval Standards

In deciding whether to grant waivers or modifications to the development standards of the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone, the land use authority shall consider the following standards as deemed applicable by the land use authority:

1. The proposed waiver and improvements contribute to the overall use, operation, and maintenance of the property, and whether reasonable alternative means exist to reduce



or mitigate adverse impacts.

2. Strict compliance with these regulations may result in substantial economic hardship or practical difficulties for the owner of the property.
3. Strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specified regulation may result in a development approach inconsistent with the intent and objectives of this Ordinance.
4. The waivers or modifications may result in a development proposal that better preserves area views, reduces adverse impacts on existing trees and vegetation, reduces the overall degree of disturbance to steep slopes, protects wildlife habitat, or reflects a greater degree of sensitivity to stream corridors, wetlands, rock outcrops, and other sensitive environmental features in the vicinity of the proposed improvements.
5. The granting of the waiver or modification may have neutral or beneficial impact to the public health, safety, or welfare, or to properties or improvements in the vicinity.
6. The proposed development, as modified by the request, is consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the adopted community general plan applicable to the area.
7. Creative architectural or environmental solutions may be applied to alternatively achieve the purposes of this Ordinance.
8. The development in all other respects conforms to the site design, development, and environmental standards set forth in the Foothills and Canyons Overlay Zone and in all other applicable ordinances and codes.
9. The waivers or modifications requested do not violate other applicable federal, state, and local laws.

D. Waivers

Slope waivers are not required for mineral extraction/processing facilities or public uses with slopes of 30% or less. Slope waivers are required for eligible development activities associated with such land uses according to Table 19.16.2.

TABLE 19.16.2: PERMISSIBLE SLOPE RANGES FOR ELIGIBLE DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

Authority to Grant Waivers

Slope Range	Eligible Development Activities
30% or less	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No slope waiver required
Greater than 30% up to 40%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All development activities associated with allowed uses
Greater than 40% up to 50%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestrian trails • Non-motorized vehicle trails • Motorized vehicle roads and trails for emergency or maintenance purposes
Greater than 50%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestrian trails • Non-motorized vehicle trails



E. Action on Waiver Requests

1. The waiver or modification request may be approved as proposed, denied, or approved with conditions.
2. The decision on the request shall include the reasons for approval or denial.
3. In granting a waiver from or modification of development standards, conditions may be imposed to mitigate the impacts of the proposed development on adjacent properties and the area. These may include, for example, measures to:
 - a. protect scenic vistas, especially views from public rights-of-way and public lands,
 - b. protect natural settings in the vicinity of site improvements, and
 - c. enhance the relationship to and compatibility with other structures and open spaces in the vicinity of the proposed improvements.
4. All development shall comply with approved plans. Any proposed revisions or changes to plans requires a resubmittal and request for final action.

19.72.200 DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of this Chapter, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

Alteration

Any change or rearrangement in the supporting members of an existing structure, such as bearing walls, columns, beams, girders, or interior partitions, or any change in the dimensions or configurations of the roof or exterior walls.

Building site

A space of ground occupied or to be occupied by a building or group of buildings.

Caliper

A standard for trunk measurement of nursery stock, determined by measuring the diameter of the trunk six inches above the ground for up to and including five-inch caliper size, and twelve inches above the ground for larger trees.

Clustering

A development or subdivision design technique that concentrates buildings or lots on a part of the site to allow the remaining land to be used for recreation, common open space, and/or preservation of environmentally sensitive areas.

Driveway

A private area used for ingress and egress of vehicles, which allows access from a street or road to a building, structure, or parking spaces.

Engineering geologist

A geologist who, through education, training and experience, is able to conduct field investigations and interpret geologic conditions to assure that geologic factors affecting engineered works are recognized, adequately interpreted, and presented for use in engineering practice and for the protection of the public.



Expansion

An increase in the size of an existing structure or use, including physical size of the property, building, parking, and other improvements.

Fence

A structure erected to provide privacy or security, which defines a private space or is used to constrain domestic animals.

Geotechnical engineer

A professional engineer licensed in the State of Utah, whose education, training, and experience is in the field of geotechnical engineering.

Grading

Any change of existing surface conditions by excavating, placing of any soils or rocks, or stripping of vegetation.

Landscape architect

A person who is licensed to practice landscape architecture by the state of Utah.

Limits of disturbance

The area(s) in which construction and development activity are to be contained, including development and construction of the principal building, accessory structures, recreation areas, utilities, services, driveways, septic tank drain fields and related system requirements, storm drainage, and other similar services or improvements. The following need not be included in limits of disturbance:

- A. Up to ten (10) feet of paved or unpaved shoulders for driveways.
- B. Areas consisting of natural ponds, streams, trees, and other vegetation where no grading work is done.

Lot of Record

A lot or parcel of land established in compliance with all laws applicable at the time of its creation and recorded in the office of the county recorder either as part of a recorded subdivision or as described on a deed, having frontage upon a street, a right-of-way approved by the Land use hearing officer, or a right-of-way not less than twenty feet wide.

Minor ski resort improvements

Construction activities associated with the ongoing operation and maintenance of previously approved facilities, ski runs, ski trails, ski lifts and related resort appurtenances, equipment, recreational access corridors, pedestrian or non-motorized trails, non-snow related activities and accessory uses, or vehicular maintenance roads constructed or used in connection with the construction, operation, or maintenance of a resort.

Mountain resort or Ski resort

- A. Any publicly or privately developed recreational use permitted by relevant local, state, and federal authorities, for snow-related activities, accessory year-round or non-snow related activities, and associated facilities and improvements.
- B. Such uses, activities, and facilities may be conducted on a commercial or membership basis, whether solely on privately-owned property or on privately-owned lots or parcels interspersed with public land under a special use permit from the U.S. Forest Service or other public agency, primarily for the use of persons who do not reside on the same lot or parcel as that on which the recreational use is located.
 - 1. Snow related activities include but are not limited to: downhill skiing, cross-country skiing, snowboarding, snow shoeing, snowmobiling, or other snow related activities.



2. Accessory year-round and non-snow related activities include but are not limited to: alpine recreational activities; cultural events and festivals; and conference events.
3. Associated facilities and improvements include, but are not limited to: lodging; food, retail, and support services; recreational and fitness facilities; parking accommodations; and other uses of a similar nature specifically authorized in conjunction with the operation of a year-round resort.

Natural open space

Land in a predominantly open and undeveloped condition that is suitable for any of the following: natural areas; wildlife and native plant habitat; important wetlands or watershed lands; stream corridors; passive, low-impact activities; little or no land disturbance; or trails for non-motorized activities.

Net developable acreage

“Net developable acreage” is defined as land with all of the following:

- a. An average slope less than thirty percent (30%).
- b. Soils of a suitable depth and type based on soil exploration and percolation tests in accordance with the regulations of the Utah Department of Environmental Quality in order to ensure against adverse impacts on surface and groundwater quality.
- c. Minimum distance from any stream corridor as defined in this Chapter.
- d. Free from any identified natural hazards such as flood, avalanche, landslide, high water table and similar features. (See Chapter 19.74 (Floodplain Hazard Regulations) and Section 19.72.120 (Natural Hazards)).

Open Space

Any area of a lot that is completely free and unobstructed from any man-made structure or parking areas.

Ordinary high water mark

- A. The line on the bank to which the high water of a stream ordinarily rises annually in seasons, as indicated by changes in the characteristics of soil, vegetation, or other appropriate means, taking into consideration the characteristics of the surrounding areas.
- B. Where the ordinary high water mark cannot be found, the top of the channel bank shall be substituted.
- C. In braided channels, the ordinary high water mark shall be measured to include the entire stream feature.

Overlay zone

A zoning district that encompasses one or more underlying zones and that imposes additional or alternative requirements to that required by the underlying zone.

Qualified professional

A professionally trained person with the requisite academic degree, experience, and professional certification or license in the field(s) relating to the subject matter being studied or analyzed.

Retaining wall

A wall designed and constructed to resist the lateral displacement and erosion of soils or other materials.



Ridgeline protection area

An area consisting of a prominent ridgeline that is highly visible from public right-of-ways or trails, and that includes the crest of any such designated prominent hill or slope, plus the land located within one-hundred feet horizontally (map distance) on either side of the crest.

Significant trees

Live trees of four-inch caliper or greater, groves of five or more smaller live trees, or clumps of live oak or maple covering an area of fifty square feet to the drip line perimeter.

Site plan

An accurately scaled plan that illustrates the existing conditions on a land parcel and the details of a proposed development, including but not limited to: topography; vegetation; drainage; flood plains; wetlands; waterways; landscaping and open space; walkways; means of ingress and egress; circulation; utility easements and services; structures and buildings; lighting; berms, buffers and screening devices; development on adjacent property; and any other information that may be required to make an informed decision.

Slope

The level of inclination from the horizontal, determined by dividing, in fifty (50) foot intervals, the average horizontal run of the slope into the average vertical rise of the same slope and converting the resulting figure into a percentage value.

Stream, Ephemeral

Those channels, swales, gullies, or low areas that do not have flow year-round or are not shown on United States Geological Services (U.S.G.S.) topographic maps as perennial streams. These are generally channels that are tributary to perennial streams, other ephemeral streams, terminal low areas, ponds, or lakes. They are typically dry except during periods of snowmelt runoff or intense rainfall. (Contrast with "Stream, Perennial.")

Stream, Perennial

Those streams, excluding ephemeral streams, or ditches and canals constructed for irrigation and drainage purposes, which flow year-round during years of normal rainfall, and that are identified on the appropriate United States Geological Services (U.S.G.S.) topographic maps as perennial streams. (Contrast with "Stream, Ephemeral.")

Stream corridor

The corridor defined by a perennial stream's ordinary high water mark.

Substantial economic hardship

A denial of all reasonable economic use of a property.

Trails

A type of natural open space that is a system of public recreational pathways located within the unincorporated county for use by the public for walking, biking, and/or horseback riding as designated.

Vegetation

Living plant material, including but not limited to trees, shrubs, flowers, grass, herbs, and ground cover.

Waiver

Permission to depart from the requirements of an Ordinance with respect to the application of a specific regulation.

Summary of issues: FCOZ revisions

(Updated to January 25, 2016)

Items 1-4 represent issues about which there has been a significant amount of dispute or difference of opinion between members of the public who have responded to the original draft. Items 5-20 involve minor differences of opinion regarding certain sections of the draft ordinance.

1. 19.72.020.D Recognition of Salt Lake City Extraterritorial Jurisdiction

Comments were submitted regarding the apparent delegation of land use approval authority to Salt Lake City indicated by this subsection. This issue was also a concern to our legal counsel. It is important to note that it is part of the standard review procedure to request certification of compliance with all agencies that have statutory authority over any given aspect of development. Those certifications of compliance are only regarding those aspects of the development over which each agency has authority. For example, Health Department approval of the proposed septic system is required before a building permit is issued for a home that is not on a sanitary sewer line. In the case of Salt Lake City Water, 10-8-15 of the Utah Code states, “the jurisdiction of cities of the first class shall be over the entire watershed.” It further states, “They may enact ordinances and regulations necessary to carry the power herein conferred into effect, and are authorized and empowered to enact ordinances preventing pollution or contamination of the streams or watercourses from which the inhabitants of cities derive their water supply, in whole or in part, for domestic and culinary purposes, and may enact ordinances prohibiting or regulating the construction or maintenance of any closet, privy, outhouse or urinal within the area over which the city has jurisdiction, and provide for permits for the construction and maintenance of the same.”

We have worked to make the references to Salt Lake City’s recognized authority in FCOZ and MRZ consistent with each other and with our understanding of how the overlapping authority works. It is worded in such a way as to recognize existing authority, rather than to grant or delegate new authority.

Our understanding is that the Salt Lake City Department of Public Utilities is working with those parties who have expressed concerns about the wording of this section to come to an agreement on specific language.

2. 19.72.110.D – Replacement of Significant Trees

In the past, County planners have struggled with this requirement when the lots were heavily wooded, and the prospects of planting replacement trees that would survive were slim. In considering potential solutions to the problem, three remedies came to mind: 1) The requirement could be waived for lots with a tree canopy covering a certain percentage of the lot; 2) The replacement trees could be planted on property other than the subject property; or 3) A fee in lieu of replacement trees could be considered. Each remedy comes with potential pitfalls. Waiving the requirement altogether will undoubtedly lead to arguments with people who expect a waiver from the requirement just because their neighbor got one (even though

they may not have the same existing canopy). Planting replacement trees on other property could be problematic in choosing where suitable and acceptable tree planting zones can be found. If a partnership with the Forest Service could be created, this option may work out well. One of the citizen groups recommended that the County consider establishing a Tree Bank, where in certain instances, a fee could be assessed that provided funding to plant trees in other areas of the forest where reclamation or rehabilitation is needed. This system could have legal problems relating to impact fees and exactions. Also, decisions would have to be made about who would manage the funds once they are in place. The most recent draft allows for the waiver when the existing coverage exceeds 80%, but also allows an applicant to pursue planting trees on nearby properties if he/she does not qualify for the waiver based on coverage.

3. 19.72.130 Stream Corridor and Wetlands Protection

Stream and wetland setbacks are one of the most often discussed issues during the FCOZ permit review process. Not surprisingly, nearly every person or group who has responded to the FCOZ draft has made a suggestion or recommendation about these setbacks. They are also an issue of concern to the County Health Department and watershed management professionals of Salt Lake County and Salt Lake City. Stream setback requirements are set forth not only in the zoning ordinance, but also Health Department Regulation #14, "Watershed Regulation," and the Utah Construction General Permit, which governs all construction activity under the Utah Water Quality Act, federal Water Pollution Control Act and federal Water Quality Act. Some of the input we have received from public includes:

- That the minimum parking lot setback of 100' to a stream is excessive and seems to be inconsistent with the setback of existing roads to the canyon streams and with setback requirements of other jurisdictions.
- That the setback from wetlands ought to be increased to 100' to match the perennial stream setback.
- That the stream setback should be reduced to 80', and the wetland setback to 40'.
- That restoration, renovation and reconstruction of existing nonconforming structures that have been damaged or destroyed by fire, flood, or other act of nature, be expressly allowed in FCOZ.
- That the ordinance should not state that Salt Lake City Public Utilities will be consulted before considering modifications to ephemeral stream setbacks in watershed areas.

Currently, FCOZ requires 100' setback from perennial streams for all structures and septic systems in watershed areas, and a 50' setback from wetlands. However, the ordinance allows a 25% reduction if that reduction results in a site that better preserves vegetation and wildlife and/or has less visual impact. There are also exceptions beyond the 25% reduction that are available for lots of record, allowing for additions to existing structures already closer than 50' and potentially for new structures to be as close as 50' to a perennial stream (based on criteria listed in the ordinance). The zoning ordinance allowance for expansions of existing structures clashes with Health Department Regulation #14, which has been the cause of a lot of confusion with property owners and design professionals.

After considering the various input regarding stream setbacks, we have proposed amending this section of the zoning ordinance to be in line with the Health Department regulation. The setbacks from streams and wetlands are now the same (as they are in regulation 14) and are 50 feet for homes and other structures, 100 feet for septic systems. Because the setbacks are based on stream and watershed protection, the ordinance defers to the Health Department questions of variances or deviations from the setbacks. This eliminates the need for applicants to go through two variance processes and removes the potential conflict between different agencies. With this change, the setback reductions for existing legally established structures section has been simplified.

4. 19.72.160(D) – Maximum Limits of Disturbance

There was some feedback that the limits of disturbance for residential lots was overly restrictive, in that lots over one acre in size were allowed 20,000 square feet, plus 10% of the acreage over one acre. This is an increase over the existing FCOZ, which has a maximum “limits of disturbance” (LOD) of 18,000 square feet for all lots over 1 acre. For the owner of a 5 acre lot, the revision as first drafted would increase the maximum LOD to 37,424 square feet. Concerns have been raised that although more than is currently allowed, it is still only about 17% of the acreage that can be developed, which is far more restrictive than in the other residential zones of Salt Lake County. The updated draft doubles the amount of additional area over one acre that may be disturbed. That same 5 acre parcel could have a maximum disturbance area of 54,848 square feet, which is just over 25% of the total area of the lot. Also, there was a request that some guidance on the establishment of Limits of Disturbance for non-residential uses should be identified in the ordinance rather than leaving it solely up to the discretion of the Director. While the current FCOZ allows the same discretionary determination by the director, this draft ties that determination to the purpose statements in 19.72.010 to give more guidance to the director.

5. 19.72.010 Purpose

Subsection “H” of the purpose section states, “Protect property rights and commercial interests, and encourage economic development.” A suggestion was made that “...which is inextricably linked to environmental protection.” be added to the end of the sentence. After receiving some feedback from other interested parties, staff elected to add subsection “I” which states, “Recognize the link between environmental protection and economic prosperity in the canyons.”

6. 19.72.030.C(1)(a) Pre-Application Meeting Purpose

It was suggested that we add a fourth purpose for pre-application meetings: to screen against the soon to be created Environmental Dashboard. It is difficult to reference a dashboard that doesn't yet exist; and which will be subject to change from administration to administration. However, we felt we could address the request in broader terms by adding “including geologic, hydrologic, and environmental issues” to (ii) of the purpose statements.

7. 19.72.030.C(1)(c) Pre-Application Meeting Attendance

Adding Salt Lake City Public Utilities to the list of potential invitees to the pre-application meeting was suggested. Given that the list is not intended to be all-inclusive but serves as a guide, we added them—especially given the fact that it is very helpful to applicants to understand the “overlapping” jurisdiction.

8. 19.72.030.C(2) Site Development Plan

The suggestion was made that the ordinance should include details of how the “materials will be submitted for public review” and should be distributed and posted publicly, for purposes of the FCOZ ordinance 10 business days prior to the scheduling of a meeting on the topic so the public can be prepared to properly evaluate the proposal.

This suggestion was not implemented in the draft because the purpose for documents being available for public review is to allow the public to have access to application information, plans, etc. It is not intended to allow lengthy review and evaluation. All applications are reviewed for compliance with applicable codes and ordinances by the approval authority and applicable government agencies. Applications become public information, and are therefore open to the public inspection. Inserting a mandate for publication of materials for public review 10 days prior to a meeting, in addition to the review undergone by the various professionals in their various fields, could be cause for appeals and costly delays.

9. 19.72.030.C(2)(b) – Staff review.

It was suggested that staff reports should be made available to public no later than 5 business days prior to the scheduling of the planning commission meeting. However, the time frame established in Utah Code for providing the staff report to the applicant is 3 days prior to a public hearing. This section has been amended to provide the staff report to the public in a similar time frame.

10. 19.72.030.E(3) and (4) – Expiration of Site Development Plan/Issuance of a Building Permit

Given the fact that there are often issues which require detailed technical reports with recommendations that must be implemented in building plan design and review, it was suggested that the 12 month window in which to obtain a building permit be amended to reflect that substantial progress towards obtaining a permit within 12 months of obtaining land use approval is preferred over an absolute time limit on obtaining a permit. The text has been amended to reflect this change.

11. 19.72.030.F – Appeals

The suggestion was made that FCOZ needs to have the appeal rights/process stated at least once in the chapter. Although the zoning ordinance already has an appeals process in place that applies to the decisions applying and interpreting the ordinance, a separate appeal process was not included in original draft. However, because chapter 19.72 is long and complex, often leading people to inquire about the appeals process, an appeal provision consistent with the rest of the zoning ordinance has been inserted.

12. 19.72.040.A – Underlying Zoning District

Subsection A was written with the intent of clarifying that as an overlay zone, FCOZ applies to all properties within the zone with the exception that the MRZ had within it mechanisms by which certain types of development were allowed waivers from some of the provisions of FCOZ under criteria set forth in the MRZ chapter. In all other cases, the more restrictive of the two ordinances applies.

13. 19.72.050.C(4) - Cluster Development Design

A comment was made that it seems odd that we protect views from the road while not protecting views from other vantage points, such as trails to ensure that those recreating in the backcountry don't have the backcountry experience tarnished by development. This provision focuses on protecting views from public roads for clustered development two reasons: first, it is intended to protect the views of the public, and therefore focuses on the public right of way (road). Second, Protecting views as seen from every angle of every on every backcountry trail is impractical, and would undoubtedly lead to constitutional takings issues—especially given that the clustering provision is intended to encourage create more open space by allowing homes to be built closer together. It is a given that clustering homes together is, to some degree, going to have more of a visual impact than dispersing homes further away into the woods. However, the creation of open space is a goal worth pursuing and therefore worth the risk of creating a more visually prominent cluster of homes.

14. 19.72.060(A)2 – Slope Protection Standards

It was suggested that we provide a citation or link to “building code” as referenced in this paragraph. We have refrained from too specific a citation to the building code, because depending on the type of structure, either the International Building Code or International Residential Code applies (each having its own section on grading). New versions of the codes are adopted every 3 years, so we have elected to clarify by citing the “current adopted building code.”

15. 19.72.060.D – Waiver of Slope Protection Standards for Lots of Record

The suggestion was made that rather than providing waivers, there should be a way to encourage acquisition of the property through ordinance by some entity be it Salt Lake County Open Space or some other land trust, prior to issuance of a waiver. This appears to be a request to amend the criteria to add a requirement that other remedies, such as sale of the property for open lands, transfer of development rights, etc. have been exhausted before waivers are granted. While purchasing constrained lands for open space is a noble pursuit, to require people to make an effort to sell their land prior to consideration for an administrative remedy to development is not something we felt comfortable putting into the ordinance. Such a criterion would be difficult to administer and enforce; and would likely lead to appeals and disputes.

16. 19.72.060.D(2) – Criteria for Waivers of Slope Protection Standards for Lots of Record

Questions were raised about whether all or just some of the criteria needed to be met to get approval of a slope waiver. Whether the word “virtually” should be included in “renders the site virtually undevelopable” has been called into question; as well as the term “substantial economic hardship.” The use of the words “and” and “or” in the criteria themselves demonstrate whether they all apply. In this case, both “a” and “b” need to be satisfied, but “a” has three possible criteria, only one of which has to be met. The third option under “a” is a new suggested criterion, and is intended to allow the planning commission to make a judgment call as to whether granting a slope waiver is preferred over other development options because results in development that has an overall lower impact on the site in terms of vegetation removal, driveway grading, etc. As for “virtually undevelopable” vs. “undevelopable,” whichever term is chosen, it is a term that ought to be defined by ordinance to lessen the subjectivity and clarify the intent.

17. 19.72.060(D)(3) - Waiver of Slope Protection Standards for Lots of Record

The suggestion was made to replace “may,” with “shall” so it reads, “...the Planning Commission shall impose reasonable conditions to mitigate...” The language used in ordinances to empower or allow an approving body to set forth conditions of approval not expressly enumerated in the ordinance is “may.” “Shall” generally indicates a requirement, and in the case of conditions of approval that could vary or fluctuate based on the needs of each given site, “shall” would be inappropriate due to the number of variables.

18. 19.72.080(H)(4) – Site Access (shared access provision)

It was suggested that we insert a provision to incentivize, not just encourage sharing private roads and driveways as a significant way to reduce the amount of impervious surface in our watersheds. However, without a specific suggestion about what incentive could be used, we are at a loss as to how to implement this suggestion. The various aspects of development are all closely controlled, and offer little room for incentives.

19. 19.72.100 Fences

The suggestion was made by property owners in Emigration Canyon that fences taller than 42 inches should be allowed in limited areas. Also, property owners along the main road ought to be allowed to install a 6 foot fence along the front of their property for security, privacy, and noise abatement. While the current ordinance restricts fences along property lines, in front yards, and along roads to 42 inches, fences in limited areas of yards, such as around a patio area, are allowed to be taller. The fencing limitations were a matter of much discussion when FCOZ was originally heard and adopted in 1998, with the same types of questions being asked. On one hand, property owners along major streets have more traffic and noise affecting their privacy. On the other hand, allowing taller solid fences along major streets in the canyons has the effect of creating a “sound wall” along the main canyon roads that could actually increase noise and would significantly impact the aesthetic views. Due to the narrow, winding nature of canyon roads, there are also safety concerns about view distances for vehicles pulling onto the roads. Staff has included wording in the draft that would accomplish the recommendation of

the Community Council. However, because this issue has been the subject of debate and discussion since FCOZ was being heard back in 1997, the potential ramifications of this change should be discussed.

20. 19.72.110(G) – Tree Removal Not Authorized by This Section

This section was added in response to recent problems we have had with numerous trees being removed prior to development approval being issued. That incident brought to light the fact that the enforcement provisions of the current zoning ordinance are based on correcting violations or bringing properties back into compliance. With significant tree removal, there is no way to correct the violation, because replanting significant trees (trees of 4 inch caliper or greater) in canyon terrain is all but impossible. Most of the feedback we have received has been positive, with some suggestions being made that the number of days a project is put on hold is too high (suggesting 30 days instead of 60) and that tree stumps shouldn't necessarily always have to be removed.

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

NO MORE DEVELOPMENT IN THE WASATCH! Preserve what we have left, before it is too late!

Timothy Torrisi

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy. Littering it with more people and unnecessary buildings will only take away from what makes these mountains so amazing.

Crystal Howell

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

John Davis

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Carla L

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

I choose to live in the Salt Lake valley because of the incredible access to the wilderness it provides. I treasure my time in the mountains as they are - a sacred place for not only me, but the wildlife that inhabits the area. Please keep it sacred for me, my family and for future generations to enjoy what remains of the unspoiled beauty of the Wasatch Range.

Thank you for thinking of the people and the environment before development and profits.

Sincerely,
Larissa Trout

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Gloria Picchetti

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

As an avid enthusiast of the Wasatch backcountry, I urge you minimize, if not altogether resist, any further development. I am one of many that spends at least four days a week in the beautiful mountains that we are so fortunate to have at our doorsteps. I would like to preserve this beauty for my children and their children to enjoy just as I have been so fortunate to have enjoyed.

Sincerely,
Fernando Rodriguez, MD, MBA

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. **DO NOT LET THE SKI RESORTS CONTINUE TO DEVELOP AND DEGRADE OUR ENVIRONMENT.** The Wasatch Range is a world

class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

William (Bill) Shadrach, III

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

I also oppose any further ski resort development, especially along ridge lines, new lifts, and re-directing lifts to backcountry zones.

Erme Catino

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Barbara Wise

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

John Curtis

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah for nearly 36 year, and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year-round destination, home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature to our statewide economy.

I ask that you please take the time to hear the local citizens opinions on why the Central Wasatch Mountains are important to us. I am deeply passionate about the preservation of the land we live, recreate and share together as Utahans. I want to be able to share the same experiences with my kids one day that I enjoyed growing up and now today.

Thank you!

Jon

Jon Cracroft

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Eric Zdilla

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Vicki Voros

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Merrill Bitter

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Sam Pelletier

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Marjorie McCloy

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Mark Gardiner

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Devin Boyle

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Lori Flygare

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Patrick Meffert

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Barb Eastman

Dear Commissioners,

I support a strong Foothill Canyon Overlay Zone ordinance to limit development, protect water quality, ensure a healthy ecosystem that supports flora and fauna habitat and diverse year round recreation in and around the Wasatch Mountains, canyons and foothills.

Anna Louise E. Fontaine

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Michael Peck

We need very strong ordinances to insure the natural beauty of our beautiful Wasatch Mountains is preserved forever. Once developed, the character of the land is forever changed for the worse. Build your skate parks, sports fields,

residential facilities for elderly persons on flat lands far from our precious canyons. LESS DEVELOPMENT IN OUR CANYONS. NOT MORE!!!!

Thank you for doing whatever you can to preserve our canyons.

Carol Wagstaff

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Roy Crandall

Dear Commissioners,

Please think long term and protect the Wasatch watershed. If we continue to love the mountains to death, our children and their children will have nothing left but a 'disneyland' on a mountain. This is not how I want to leave the earth. I urge you to place stringent, common sense protections on our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Mary Ann Wright

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Cristina Raspollini

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range

is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Michael Sheffield

Dear Commissioners,

Unfortunately, I am unable to make it to the meeting tomorrow, but I wish to make my voice/opinion known concerning the development of the cottonwood canyons. It needs to stop. We need to preserve the beauty of our canyons, not clog them with development. We a place where families, individuals, and tourists can escape the development of the city and enjoy the natural beauty of our state. That is one of the greatest things about living in SLC, you are so close to being in the mountains and out of the city. And we need to keep it that way.

I support a strong Foothill Canyon Overlay Zone ordinance to limit development, protect water quality, ensure a healthy ecosystem that supports flora and fauna habitat and diverse year round recreation in and around the Wasatch Mountains, canyons and foothills.

Thank you and please take my and my fellow Salt Lake City citizen's opinions into consideration.

Katie Storrs

Dear Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Sylvia Wilcox

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Sandy Strunk

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Nelson Baker

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Elijah Millgram

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy. In order to minimize our human impact on these natural features and inhabitants, development must be limited and minimized. There is no reason to add amenities or developments that are available a short distance away in the Salt Lake valley at the expense of natural areas. The Wasatch mountains provide an escape from all the development in the city. Every additional development reduces the escape experience in the Wasatch mountains.

Steven Pritchett

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Reva Ovard

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Salt Lake County, and lover of recreation in the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy. It's beauty is unmatched and it serves as a respite for both inhabitants and visitors from the poor air quality in the valley.

Saphu Pradhan

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Margery Martin

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Brian Gallegos

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

On a personal level, my wife and I moved to UT from Ohio where we completed medical school. The reason we stayed was in large part due to the quality of natural resources and recreation access. Expanding in the proposed ways will compromise the quality of these fragile areas in irreparable ways and others like myself may not be as inclined to move to this state.

Matthew Vukin

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Phyllis Anderson

Dear Commissioners,

I support a strong Foothill Canyon Overlay Zone ordinance to limit development, protect water quality, ensure a healthy ecosystem that supports flora and fauna habitat and diverse year round recreation in and around the Wasatch Mountains, canyons and foothills.

Thank you,
Lisa Verzella

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

K Forman

Commissioners,

As a life-long citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment.

The ski resorts are already developed enough. They should focus on customer experience rather than expansion. Plus, with climate change affecting snow levels their primary concern should be reducing their carbon footprint - NOT development. As a business person, I know there are better ways to innovate than to simply do the easiest option of building more structures. That's a short-term benefit to the developers and the resort owners...NOT the customer or the residents nor the wildlife.

The Wasatch Range is a world-class, year-round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Please do all that you can to limit development in the Wasatch.

Sincerely,
Whitney

Whitney McCarthy

I support strong ordinances protecting the Wasatch's foothills and canyons. Urban structures are incompatible with the canyons, beyond what is necessary for SLC residents to access the natural and beautiful landscapes there for recreational or esthetic purposes.

Carol Withrow

Commissioners,

As a lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for the delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to Utah's statewide economy.

Patrick Grace

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

connor hansell

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Please protect our awesome canyons from further over-development. The mountains are already a playground -- we don't need skate parks and zip lines up there. Keep them accessible to all, not just those with enough money to buy a "cabin" up there.

Chris Erickson

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

We do not need any new building of any kind on big or little cottonwood canyons. We need to take some of those buildings currently standing out and return the canyon to it's natural state as much as possible. These changes conservative stand is the kind of mind set that will help preserve these beautiful places for future generations.

Sincerely,
Spencer Babcock

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

James Mulcare

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Molly McFadden

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Jeanine Kuhn-Coker

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Annie Keller

Dear Ms. Gurr - I wanted to forward a message I sent last month to the Utah County planning commission with the exact same sentiment - please hold the line on ski resort development in BCC, LCC and Millcreek. I am an Alta and Snowbird season pass holder and deeply value the landscape in these sensitive alpine areas. And yes, if any of Snowbird's proposals are approved I will become an ex-passholder.

Sincerely,

Robert Hunt
Salt Lake City

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: rr hunt

To:

Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2016 10:44 AM

Subject: I DO NOT support Snowbird's conditional use permit

Hi Bryce - I am a Snowbird pass holder and would like to urge you to hold the line on the resort's footprint in the sensitive areas where they propose to expand or upgrade. I will oppose these plans until the resort shows some meaningful stewardship of the landscape they already occupy and ownership of the traffic/pollution problems these "improvements" will only exacerbate.

Sincerely,

Robert Hunt
Salt Lake City

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range

is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

wynnette erickson

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

I love our Wasatch. I spend time every day looking at them; and whenever I can I go for a closer experience. Please preserve them safe from development, dirty air, fire danger, etc.

Louella Ash

I am in support of strong ordinances to protect any further development in the Wasatch.

Please support such measures.

Thank you.

Steve Hunt.

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Vinc Simon

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination, home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy. Please do not allow the greed of individuals to override the benefit to a huge number of residents and tourists who cherish these spaces for recreation.

Merry White

Dear SLC Planning Commission Coordinator Gurr,

I cannot participate in the meeting Thursday night, but would like to voice my support for a strong Foothill Canyon Overlay Zone ordinance that limits development, protects water quality, and ensures a healthy ecosystem that supports flora and fauna habitat. There are a wide variety of diverse year-round recreation activities that people enjoy in the Wasatch Mountains, and expanding the ski resorts and their outlying cabins and condos will only benefit one small community of users.

Thanks for helping to keep our mountains pristine,

Melanie Soelberg

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Brian Vansteenkiste

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Donna Bilak

Commissioners,

As citizens of Utah and lovers of the Wasatch, we ask you to please implement stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy. Please protect our irreplaceable Wasatch!

Ted & Kay Packard

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Suzette Johnson

Dear Commissioners,

I support a strong Foothill Canyon Overlay Zone ordinance to limit development, protect water quality, ensure a healthy ecosystem that supports flora and fauna habitat and diverse year round recreation in and around the Wasatch Mountains, canyons and foothills.

Please help ensure this by creating a strong zoning ordinance!

Thank you,
Nataunya Kay
Salt Lake County voter

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Marie Johnson

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Susan Allen

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Jon Hager

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Kay Stokes

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Bruce Christenson

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Roxane Googin

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

carole baraldi

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Earl Lewis

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Michael Budge

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Phyllis Mandel

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

David Kliger

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Mary Cheney

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

S Fleming

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Doug Roberts

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Susan Adams

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range

is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy. Keep the ski resorts and private developers in check.

John Higgins

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

As much as I love, and enjoy skiing, hiking, mountain biking, rock climbing etc, I also understand that there's a need to maintain a safe home for the wildlife and to let the world just be.

Thank you,
Megan Ronnow

Strong ordinances to protect the Wasatch Mountains

Dear Commissioners,

I support a strong Foothill Canyon Overlay Zone ordinance to limit development, protect water quality, ensure a healthy ecosystem that supports flora and fauna habitat and diverse year round recreation in and around the Wasatch Mountains, canyons and foothills.

Cy & Kathy Schmidt
Cottonwood Heights, UT

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Flora Pino García

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range

is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Rob Phillips

Dear Commissioners,

I support a strong Foothill Canyon Overlay Zone ordinance to limit development, protect water quality, ensure a healthy ecosystem that supports flora and fauna habitat and diverse year round recreation in and around the Wasatch Mountains, canyons and foothills.

Respectfully,

Jon Boyden

Murray, Utah

Dear Commissioners,

I support a strong Foothill Canyon Overlay Zone ordinance to limit development, protect water quality, ensure a healthy ecosystem that supports flora and fauna habitat and diverse year round recreation in and around the Wasatch Mountains, canyons and foothills.

Please protect our beautiful mountains!! Protect beautiful Big and Little Cottonwood Canyons from developing further....we can't get it back.

Thank you,

Nancy Hardy

Cottonwood Heights

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Eric Strohacker

Commissioners,

I have been spending time in the Wasatch Mountains for over 60 year. As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round

destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy. We need to limit development and protect the watershed.

Thank you.

Gary Nichols

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Jennifer Fortin

Commissioners,

Given its proximity to a valley with a large human population, our Wasatch Mountain range is a delicate ecosystem. There are enough places to build large homes, senior facilities, sports fields and roller coasters in the valley without ever having to encroach on wild life habitats in the mountains.

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Sincerely,
Lisa Corsetti
Heber City, Utah

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

I feel that the continued development of the canyons in the Wasatch is detrimental to the future of these canyons. These are beautiful places which definitely don't need any more development to be awesome. Please restrict new development and keep these places wild so we can all continue to enjoy a beautiful place.

Thanks,
Taylor

Taylor Waddel

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

KEN GARDNER

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy. To allow continued expansion from ski resorts and developers would threaten everything that is valuable about the Wasatch and would in turn ruin one of Salt Lake County's best assets.

Elliott Hansen

Hi Tod,

Since the hour is late and since you already have the staff report for your Thursday public meeting, I am sending this email to you directly as respects the FCOZ rewrite and will also ask Curtis Woodward to give a copy to each of your fellow commissioners at your meeting. I am guessing that you and others have already flagged these items, but I will comment anyway, just in case.

There is a heck of a lot that one can comment on, but right now I will focus only on the permitted and conditional uses that apply to the MRZ-Village District -- 19.13.040 of the "Baseline Proposed Ordinance", beginning on page 75 of 207 in your staff report (as it reads online) and on page 1 of 20 of the "Baseline Proposed Ordinance".

Problematic permitted uses in the MRZ - Village District zone

1. Recreational outdoor and trail lighting -- this should be a conditional use so that the planning commission can mitigate the potential negative effects of outdoor lighting.
2. Skateboard park -- this should not be either a permitted or conditional use. Skateboard parks are common in the Salt Lake valley and have no legitimate relationship to mountain (either summer or winter) recreation activities.

3. Resort support, commercial -- if these are undefined terms, they can mean most anything. Dangerously vague language.
4. Outdoor recreation equipment -- what is meant by this term? Again, undefined. At a minimum this should be a conditional use.
5. Public and quasi-public use structure -- what is contemplated here? At a minimum should be a conditional use.
6. Residential facility for elderly persons -- why is this included?
7. Residential facility for elderly persons -- why is this included?

Problematic conditional uses in the MRZ-Village District zone

1. Recreational uses not listed in subsection A. "Permitted Uses, including Alpine slide and mountain coaster – as we all know, conditional uses **will** be approved, except in very extraordinary situations, so we need to make sure they “fit” the mountain environment. “Recreational uses not listed in Subsection A” opens up the base areas of the ski resorts to virtually all recreational uses – anything is possible and the resorts are looking for all sorts of income generating opportunities – amusement rides of all types, such as at Lagoon, wild animal park, gun ranges – unrealistic examples, perhaps, but there is no reason for this sort of vague, open-ended language. This section either needs a substantial and detailed rewrite, or simply deleted from the draft ordinance.

I want to add that my comments above are from me personally and are completely independent of the Millcreek Township Planning Commission's deliberations – at this point.

Thanks much for all your hard work. The FCOZ rewrite is such a huge consequential undertaking.

Best regards,

Tom Stephens

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Carla Tuke

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Lila Abersold

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

steve santora

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Jeff Levetan

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Daria Gal

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Jeri Claspill

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Todd Holmberg

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Diane W

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Jane Bowman

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Stanford Neering

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range

is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Anna Rasmuson

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Kathy Howell

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Jeanine Clayton

Commissioners,

To keep this place, our home, great we need to limit development in the canyons. The ski resorts have a really nice set up as it is, they don't need more. Protect the canyons, make the right decision by limiting ski resort development and expansion. We also need to make sure the atmosphere remains peaceful and not to pollute the surrounding area with amusement park type of noise. I was born in SLC, my parents made sure I spent time in the canyons and I want to maintain that same experience for my son and 3 daughters. I hope, with the right planning and vision, my children will be able to have the same type of outdoor experience so close to home as I have had.

Jamie Kent

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range

is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

It is our duty to protect the Wasatch since it cannot protect itself.

Angela Bailey
Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Edith OBrien

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Richard O'Brien

Since I won't be able to attend the meeting today- I wanted to send a message via email about my hopes for the future of our beautiful mountains and environment here in Utah.

Now I do consider myself an environmentalist in the fact that I would like to preserve as much of our mountains, rivers, streams, valleys, fields, rock formations, canyons and other natural wonders as we can for both our generation and the generations to come. I find my center, my core out in nature. I feel more at tune with myself and the world around me when I can walk or hike in areas free of cars, traffic, pollution, crowds (or just smaller crowds.) I feel free and alive when I am able to go up to the top of one of our pristine and glorious peaks- look over the valley and be at peace with just the air and the wind and the sun and the clouds.

For me- it's of the utmost importance that we keep as many of these natural wonders just as they are- natural. That we don't overcrowd them with resorts and coasters and parking lots and trams. While I understand the need to keep roads up to date- and I also understand the need for certain businesses and companies to make a profit and make a living- I also understand there is a difference between being successful and being greedy. The idea that we could potentially ruin some of our state's most beautiful and spectacular mountains- mountains which once they are developed for whatever purpose will never go back to their natural and serene beauty every again- just so another ski resort can make even more money?

50 years from now- when we look up at monuments to nature and the monuments to the earth which we are blessed to have all around us- when our children's children want to go up to those peaks and look out at the valley and world beneath them- what will they see? What future will they have to enjoy and then pass on to their children? Will their water be safe to drink- safe to use for day to day purposes? Will the wildlife population which has their natural habitats up in those mountains still be there? Will the mountains themselves- in their jaw-dropping and eye-opening glory be there as a reminder of the power of the natural world and how that power can positively impact us all? Or will all they see are mountain coasters? Parking lots where trees once stood? Trams and trolley cars racing over what once was quiet and calm trees? Areas of escape and refuge? Ski resorts expanding and expanding over every inch of ground so that the mountains aren't the journey away from the world anymore- but just a reminder of the world can do to destroy itself?

For me- and my children's children- I hope for a future where we can keep as much of the environment clean and pristine and natural as we can. So they can enjoy the beauty and escape in these mountains then as much as I do now.

Chantryce E Diehl
Murray

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Marilyn Walker

Commissioners,

I hear from Save Our Canyons that ski resorts are asking the Mountain Planning Commission for a grab bag of new, unusual and downright strange uses in Big and Little Cottonwood Canyons. The requests range from the all too familiar - more zip lines and mountain coasters - to the bizarre and totally out of left field - sports fields, skate parks, and even the odd "residential facility for elderly persons."

As if choking the canyons with roller coasters, skate parks, soccer fields, more McMansion "cabins", and even rest homes weren't enough, the ski resorts are also hungry to begin building on steeper slopes, developing more ridge lines, and constructing closer to streams.

Please don't allow more development in the Wasatch Canyons. I like to ski as much as anyone, but development is not really about skiing. It's about taking away the uses Salt Lake City residents now enjoy so that resorts can make bigger profits. As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to

over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy. The Wasatch Mountains need more protection, not more development.

Amy Brunvand
Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

The upper sections of the canyons are already burdened with huge, resource eating and eye burning structures that make the mountains NOT mountains. We all know its a desert here and the tree's and mountain landscape is the diamond of salt lake city. Even in the event of moving a lift last summer Solitude Resort cut down some trees with excess of 400 rings, trees that we will never again see in the Wasatch. In fact those trees will outlive the lift that replaced them by more than 4 fold. Approximately 100 years ago the mining industry moved out of Alta Utah and left a waste land of old trams, garbage, and dangerous mines. Not to mention the nearly clearcut south aspect slopes that are still baren today. The american people had to cover the bill of clean up and restoration. Let's not let thos happen again with the ski industry. Skier numbers are not growing, in fact the are likely decreasing. Why should we ruin prestine wilderness for a shrinking industry? Thanks for listening and please think carefully in your decision making as it effects not only the short time economic development, but also the long term happiness of our children in Salt Lake.

Kelly Paasch

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

ryan brown

Dear Wendy,

Having just returned home from the vastness of the Andes mountains, I would like to tell you my thoughts about our very small and fragile Wasatch. These mountains are beloved by many, many people who live in cities along the Front. They are beloved for the very reasons which make the kind of development being discussed tonight inappropriate in the extreme.

Zip lines, playing fields, and more development at the ski resorts along ridgelines, and over more steep terrain, just aren't what we need in our mountain getaways. I live in the Heber Valley, and it is pretty difficult to find a hike on the Wasatch Back, which isn't alive with motorized vehicles. I realize the conversation is not about motorized vehicles, but it is indeed about squeezing every last drop of solitude and loveliness out of the high Wasatch. Why can't people who want the things being talked about just drive to where they already exist and do them? There is a whole slew of new zip lines along the shores of Deer Creek reservoir, and the lights of playing fields destroy the night skies all along the valley, as along the Wasatch back. Why do we need to add more of those things in the one place left to those of us who think of the mountains simply as "the mountains," and not as the playgrounds so common everywhere? I cannot be at the meeting tonight, but I do hope you will read this and keep my opinion at heart when you are listening to arguments.

Very respectfully, Ann George, Heber City, UT

Ms Gurr: I wish to state my support for strong ordinances and guidelines under the Mountainous Planning Project to protect the natural integrity of our local canyons and foothills. Now is the time to resist the pressure from developers to destroy that which many of us enjoy and love - the views cape and recreation of the Wasatch.

Thank you for your consideration,

James Kucera
Murray, Utah

I am a frequent user of the Cottonwood canyons for nearly 40 years. I ski at Alta, mountain bike the little Cottonwood trail, road bike both canyons, hike both canyons and of course go for the occasional scenic ride up a canyon. I likewise ski and hike with my children and grandchildren, largely in Little Cottonwood. I own a late spring time share at Snowbird. As you can see my family enjoys the Cottonwood canyons most of the year. I am what appears to be in the majority of the users of these canyons - I utilize existing facilities for family recreation and memories.

There are some that wish the canyons had practically no human interference, so they can commune with nature. Many of us commune with nature with the help of human ingenuity, which adds safety to my experience. All said, I believe people such as myself can work with those that like the more rustic and pure experience, not being a fanatic in either direction. I know my group of canyon users can offend some, including SOC members. Offense runs both directions. I do feel a desire to preserve that which we have and cherish in these canyons.

We do not, however, need to make a circus out of this precious environment. Park City currently has extensive summertime rides and experiences for the public. Here, I agree with SOC that each resort area does not need all the amenities that a competitor may have. There are plenty of mountain coaster, but sports fields certainly aren't needed, and residential facilities for the elderly is preposterous. Perhaps the Cottonwood canyon resorts could give guided hikes and tours, encouraging that which our society so desperately needs - exercise. Unfortunately too many think there must be constant entertainment with it's accompanying noise. Some of our resorts are smaller, almost family run, while some are huge "for profit" enterprises where the dollar is king. The goose that laid the golden egg for our Cottonwoods is not the high end glamor. Instead it is the beauty of the nature around us, it is the fabulous light powder snow, it's the hiking and beauty of the summertime mountain flowers - that can mainly be reached only by foot or bike. Big business has seen this and discovered they can exploit nature. Nature will then lose. I, for one, enjoy the canyons the way they are. And I am certainly not in

favor of a "train" going from Sandy to Park City, as the Mountain Accord would like to see. I prefer my own transportation; however, I am in favor of some extra bus service. As an Alta executive told me once, when the parking lots are full we can't sell any more tickets - thus limiting the numbers at the resort and keeping the ski experience excellent. We need simplicity, not lots of money. People that really want to use the canyons will find a way. Those that don't try hard may just lose out. Sincerely,

Bob Paxton.

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Annie Studer

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Bob Brister

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Taylor Monney

Dear Wendy

Please count me with those in Save our Canyons who oppose development in our watershed, and finite natural forests and streams. There are places where development is important. and more importantly, there are places where it is vital to curb development. Our Wasatch canyons are vital natural areas. Please count this as my vote and my input on this issue

Sue Click

All - I write to express my disappointment with the recent recommendations the Mountainous Planning Commission made to the Salt Lake County Council on FCOZ. Focusing on "commercial viability," with the "encourage[ment of] development" and the "balance of private and commercial interests" at the expense of conservation is horribly short-sighted. The Wasatch is a tiny oasis of wild land and needs to be protected as such, at the expense of commercial development.

May I remind you that [The Wasatch Canyons Tomorrow 2010 study](#) showed strong public support for the existing FCOZ ordinance: 82% public support. There is no evidence showing that public support to have waned - in fact it has increased ([see 2014-2015 follow-up](#)). You must listen to the people and enforce FCOZ, as well as restricting variances that seek to circumvent FCOZ's protections, including but not limited to preserving the beauty of the Canyons and preventing environmental degradation.

Please do your civic duty by listening to the public - and not the developers - and protect what little remains in Wasatch. Conservation is key to this area's future.

Thank you - A. Bailey

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Pam Van andel

Commissioners,

I'm a backcountry and resort skier. I really appreciate the balance we have now. More development will tip that balance in the favor of resorts and impact the accessible backcountry and viewshed for those of us who don't spend all of our time at resorts. I also use the canyons in all seasons to get away from the city. Let's not move the city any further into the canyons.

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Aaron London

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range

is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Ellen Ives

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Vicki Turner

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Jean Tabin

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Casey Jo Remy

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Charlie Ayers

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

shane duncan

Commissioners,

Eco-protection is important. I returned to SLC, work and bought a house for two reasons: 1 the proximity to beautiful natural resources. 2 the ability to find a job/career. I have a masters and own a Brighton and Snowbird pass. But I support stringent and limited development in the canyons!!! Let's work on traffic solutions first that are not sham ways to push interconnection of resorts!!!

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Phil Santala

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

It is imperative that we protect our beloved Wasatch in its current condition. There is far too much development already in the city and surrounding areas and it needs to stop. The mountains must maintain their primitive character for us all to enjoy for generations to come.

Thanks very much for your serious consideration of this important matter.

Suzie Ellison

Commissioners,

I stay in the Salt Lake Basin because of the opportunities that the Wasatch Mountains provide to my family for a healthy lifestyle. Incessant traffic in Big and Little Cottonwood Canyons is destroying the natural environment in those areas and in the basin. I urge you to reduce the amount of development in these areas, and to support policies that discourage the use of vehicles with internal combustion engines and private passenger vehicles in these canyons.

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy. We need less, not more, development (including homes, restaurants, ski resorts, summer pleasure parks, etc.) in the Wasatch canyons.

Charles. Lewinsohn

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Lynne Nolte

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Dwight Butler

Ms. Gurr,

I'm writing to encourage you to continue to protect what little bit of the Wasatch Range remains undeveloped. I moved to Salt Lake City 27 years ago because of the combination of urban life with adjacent mountain wilderness. That wilderness is under perpetual assault by developers. With the burgeoning population here, we must preserve the unspoiled remainder of the Wasatch for the

enjoyment of all, for all time. It's critical to our healthy lifestyles and values. Once it is gone, it's gone forever. Please keep it wild!

Sincerely

Steven Bott, MD

Commissioners,

As a citizen of Utah and lover of the Wasatch, I ask you to please echo my sentiments for stringent, common sense governance for our delicate and irreplaceable natural environment. The Wasatch Range is a world class year round destination home to over 1,500 species of plants and animals, water source for millions of residents and a unique feature and boon to our statewide economy.

Scott Paine