AGENDA

(location change)

SUMMIT COUNTY COUNCIL
Wednesday, February 2, 2011

NOTICE is hereby given that the Summit County Council will meet in session
Wednesday, February 2, 2011, at 1:00 p.m. at the Sheldon D. Richins Building, 1885 W Ute Blvd, Park City, UT
All time listed are general in nature and are subject to change by the Council Chair

11:15 a.m. Joint meeting with the Leadership 101 class; Marriott Hotel & Conference Center, Park City, UT

1:00 p.m. Closed Session – Personnel & Property Acquisition

2:00 p.m. Work Session at Richins Building

- Mail Review and Council Scheduling (15 minutes)
- Report on County Weed Program (30 minutes)
- Review of illegal building along the Weber River (30 minutes)
- Review of Economic Development for Summit County (60 minutes)

Convene as the Summit County Board of Equalization

- Consideration of approval of 2010 stipulations
- Dismiss as the Board of Equalization and reconvene as the Summit County Council

4:30 p.m. Restaurant Tax interviews

5:45 p.m. Regular Session – Consideration of approval – Administrative Items

- Manager Committee Appointment – Snyderville Basin Open Space Advisory Committee – Advice & Consent
- Council meeting minutes
- Manager Comments
- Council Comments
- **Public Input**
- Continued - Appeal of Eastern Summit County Planning Commission Denial Action – Chamtech Enterprises Long-Term Temporary Use Permit; Don Sargent, Community Development Director
- Continued - Possible appeal of an administrative decision regarding a structure located in the open space at Park City Day School, located at 3120 Pinebrook Road; Jennifer Strader; Planner

Individuals with questions, comments, or needing special accommodations pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act regarding this meeting may contact Anita Lewis, (435) 336-3220, (435) 615-3220 or 783-4351 ext. 3220

Distribution: A **Posted:** **January 28, 2010**

Summit County Council
P.O. Box 128
60 North Main
Coalville, UT 84017
(435) 336-3025
alewis@co.summit.ut.us
www.summitcounty.org

Memo

Date: February 2, 2011
To: County Council
From: Kevin Callahan, Public Works Administrator
Subject: 2010 Weed Program Report

Background

In 2009, the County Weed Board adopted a five year strategic plan to focus efforts on weed eradication, enforcement and community education. A key part of the strategy was the adoption of focused program goals. This annual report uses those adopted goals, which are in italics, as the basis for evaluating the performance of weed department programs.

2010-2015 Goals

Goal: Sustain the Summit County Weed Board's core functions and provide appropriate funding to deliver essential and cost effective weed prevention regulations and control services to unincorporated county property.

- The Weed Board reviewed the list of priority weeds and made some changes to the listed weeds and set priorities. They made a check list: Alert list, A-List, B-List & C-List. The Alert list is to be watched closely and mapped. A-list is to be eradicated. B-list is meant to be contained and mapped, C-list these are to be watched closely and educate.
- The County Council provided a basic budget for the weed program for 2010 which was a bit less than the 2009 budget. With the enhanced use of Bio-controls, we hope to be able to reduce our weed spray budget over the next 10 years on several different weeds.
- The Weed Board adopted and implemented strategic plan with identified priorities for targeted enforcement and eradication.

Goal: Institute a noxious weed enforcement program targeted to residential subdivisions designated open spaces, utility corridors and absentee owned property. The department will train and dedicate one existing weed staff member to coordinate weed monitoring and enforcement activities. Our open spaces are being mapped and sprayed, H.O.A's are being educated and informed of their responsibly.

- In 2010, the Public Works Department promoted a seasonal weed staff member (Dave Bingham) to become the county's first weed enforcement officer. Dave has been very effective in this role during his first year. Dave undertook the assignment in April and over the course of the season issued 126 warnings or citations for weed infestations. All of these notices of violation were successfully resolved.
- The enforcement program for this year should be far ahead of last year's results. In 2011, Dave will be able to devote more of his time to education and enforcement. Experience has shown

that the more we able to educate and enlist community participation; the more acres will be treated by private parties

Goal: Provide an annual notification of priority weed infestations to affected property owners and establish a monitoring and reporting system for measuring the level of compliance.

- Dave instituted a system for notification and monitoring of weed problems that has been successful. With continued enforcement and education, more parties will become involved in weed management and the problems should become more manageable.

Goal: Work with the Community Development Department to amend the development codes of the County to require the submission of a written weed management plan as a condition of approval for every major subdivision, large conditional use and temporary use permit. The condition of approval should require weed control financial guarantee *that would extend three years from the completion of the project.*

- Over the last 12 months I have had one new subdivision submitted. They in turn had to submit a three year plan to control weeds to show how they would control weeds during construction and into the first years of the development. After that time, the homeowners association is required have a maintenance plan to take effect after the subdivision is complete.

Goal: Produce and deliver an enhanced education program to county residents on priority noxious weeds so that they become responsible stewards of their properties. Concentrate on educating homeowners associations, large property owners, retail nurseries and developers.

- We are putting together a new weed book which we feel will help the public help identify old and any new stands of noxious weeds in their areas.

Goal: Develop a computerized weed inventory mapping, monitoring and reporting program that allows for the establishment of benchmarks and the measurement of progress on weed management goals. Dave is working the county's Information Technology department to increase our mapping.

- We will continue working with the I.T department and if monies are there update our mapping equipment.

Goal: Reduce the presence of existing weed infestations and prevent the spread of emerging invasive weed species that have been identified as the County's priority noxious weeds and monitor for potential new invaders into the area.

- Since the spring of 2010 was wet and cold. it reduced the use of our helicopter spraying program. As a result, the number of acres sprayed declined from 3650 in 2009 to 2284 in 2010.

- However, land area sprayed by county staff increased from 1260 acres in 2009 to 1340 acres in 2010.

- The greatest increase in our programs came from private spraying by members of the public. In 2009, we estimate that 2,235 acres were sprayed based the amount of chemical sales. In 2010, using the same method, we estimate that public spraying increased to 4,600 acres. This doubling of acreage was due largely to county educational programs and to neighbors influencing each other.

Goal: Expand the reach and influence of the Cooperative Weed Management Association by seeking the active involvement of municipalities, state and federal agencies and other key property owners.

- Summit County benefited from very successful CWMA programs lead by Mindy Wheeler, a member of our Weed Board. The CWMA had two weed pull events in 2010. The first was during Weed Week (May) where volunteers they pulled 2 acres of Garlic Mustard. At that same time, the CWMA also paid $2,686.00 on bio-controls for Dalmatian Toadflax. In the fall, we had another successful spray day for Yellow Toadflax in the ski areas and forest area . We spent over $13,000 in grant money. The weed department works with the CWMA in every way we can.

Memo

Date: February 2, 2011
To: County Council
From: Kevin Callahan
Subject: Enforcement Against Illegal Building Along the Weber River

Background

As a part of follow-up to the last year's flooding, staff has discovered structures built without permit along the river. One of these structures is a deck that was built adjacent to a home on Lot 11 in the Beaver Springs subdivision. The deck was built directly on the bank of the river.

After the deck was observed, staff visited the building department to determine the permit status of the structure. Building records for the property only show the original permit for the house built in 2003. No other permits have been issued for the property since then. According to neighbors, the deck was constructed in 2006. The deck in question is in violation of three significant health and safety ordinance requirements:

- First, any deck over 200 square feet requires the review by the building department through the building permit process. This deck is 15' x 17' or 225 square feet and therefore requires a permit. No building permit was ever sought for this structure

- Second, the Eastern Summit County Development Code since 2007 has required that structures be setback 100' from the bank of any stream or river. The deck is placed directly on the bank of the river and is therefore in violation of this development code requirement.

- Finally, installation of the deck required modification to the bank of the river. Such alterations or the deck itself require a Stream Alteration Permit from either Summit County or the State of Utah. There is no record of any such permit being applied for by the property owner.

It's likely that the Weber River's flooding was worsened by the presence of illegal structures such as this one. In fact, the neighbors allege that this deck is where the flood waters broke through inundating the subdivisions private roads.

Analysis

Construction of a deck on the river bank requires approval under Summit County building, planning and engineering codes.

Building Code Summit County has adopted the 2009 edition of the International Residential Code. Under that code section R105-2, building permits are required for any decks larger than 200 square feet in size or over 30" in height. The subject deck exceeds both of those thresholds. Under the prior building code in effect when the deck was constructed, a building permit was required for any size deck.

Development Code Eastern Summit County Development Code Section 11-3-2-C-1 establishes that "*the minimum setback (for a structure) from any naturally occurring year round stream shall be one hundred feet (100') from the normal high water mark.* This code section was adopted in 2007 after this structure was built. However, since the structure was built without a building permit it is not a vested use and is therefore subject to the current requirements of all county codes. This means that this deck is subject to the 100' stream setback requirement and is therefore in violation of this code requirement.

Engineering Code County Ordinance 212-A states that among the primary purposes of the County's flood control radiance is to: "*Restrict or prohibit uses which are dangerous to health, safety and property"….* . Under this code any development activity is subject to the issuance of a Floodplain Development Permit. Section 12-3-2 of the ordinance requires any applicant for development activity to apply for such a permit from the County Engineer or the State Engineer. No record of any such application has been found. Therefore the construction of this deck is in violation of the requirement of this ordinance.

According to the County Attorney's office, since the original deck was built without the proper permit, this deck, although an existing use, is not a vested use. The deck is therefore subject to all current Building, Planning and Engineering codes. Since the deck does not meet any of the current requirements of setback or intrusion on the floodway means that we can require the owner to remove the deck.

The process we will use to enforce our codes is to issue a Notice of Violation which is a civil action. The Notice would determine that the structure is illegal and must be removed within a certain time period. The property owner could choose to appeal the Notice to the Administrative Law Judge. However, he would then be subject to further fines as well as upholding an order to remove the deck. The ALJ's decision can be appealed to County Justice Court.

Summit County currently has statutory authority to regulate development through the county's building, planning and engineering codes. In this instance, the subject structure was built in violation of all of these codes .It is also possible that this structure contributed to worsening the flooding within this subdivision Therefore, in addition to helping residents clean up after the floods and assist in mitigating against future flooding, we also need to enforce our current ordinances. This enforcement action corrects a violation of code but also has the following potential benefits:

- Enforcement may reduce the potential for future flooding within this subdivision by removing a structure that may funnel the water inland;
- Enforcement will send a message to river front property owners not to take actions that affect the river's flow without obtaining the necessary permits;
- Enforcement will communicate the County's intentions to the State of Utah and may help to bring more aggressive state overview and enforcement of their own Stream Alteration Development permit process;

Economic Development Round Table

October 13, 2010 Summit County

Top Four Barriers to Growth Attendees brainstormed, but did not prioritize, lists of ways for county leadership to address each of the top four barriers to growth in Summit County. The following are possible first actions culled from each list.

1. Seasonality

One serious challenge in Summit County is the effect that the seasonal skiing industry has on the job market and the retail sector. To address seasonality, participants recommended that county leadership focus on attracting and retaining jobs in stable, non-seasonal industries, and on marketing the county's spring and fall tourist activities.

Summit County/Park City	Park City Chamber Bureau	Private Industry/BRC
		Create a business resource center (BRC) to support and grow local, non-seasonal industries
Identify and prepare east side properties (e.g., SURE Sites) to compete for industrial development.		Identify and prepare east side properties (e.g., SURE Sites) to compete for industrial development.
Identify which of the seven GOED cluster industries to target in Summit County.		
Work with EDCUtah / GOED to recruit right-sized businesses in targeted industries.		Work with EDCUtah / GOED to recruit right-sized businesses in targeted industries.
Identify smart residential and commercial growth areas.	Address no-growth mindset through research projects which show positive side and tax benefits of increased visitation.	
	Market East County recreational activities of PCCB members	
	Retail Space Recruitment through co-op opportunities with commercial real estate community.	Retail Space Recruitment through co-op opportunities with commercial real estate community.
	Feasibility Study for multi-event space.	
	Continue strong marketing to Meeting & Convention industry for off-season.	BRC to offer cash flow assistance and financial education to help businesses get through the different cycles.

2. Access to Capital

Another challenge attendees raised was a lack of access to capital for small businesses trying to open or expand in Summit County. Feedback was unclear as to whether capital did not exist, or whether business owner lacked connections to find it.

Summit County/Park City	Park City Chamber Bureau	Private Industry/BRC
Create a public Revolving Loan Fund to bridge gaps in private capital. Follow Salt Lake City's model.		
		Generating Sources of Capital in Summit County
Harness Park City 2nd homeowners to create a local angel network for funding and mentoring expertise.		Harness Park City 2nd homeowners to create a local angel network for funding and mentoring expertise.
Create EDAs/RDAs to reduce property tax as an incentive to business growth. Register EDA sites for SURE Sites certification.		
Create a one-stop shop (e.g., BRC) to catalog existing resources and assist business owners in getting access to capital and incentives.		Create a one-stop shop (e.g., BRC) to catalog existing resources and assist business owners in getting access to capital and incentives.

3. Red Tape / Regulations

Red tape and regulations received nine votes during the brainstorming session, and also came up in multiple areas of the problem solving session. Specific unpopular regulations mentioned by attendees included zoning, signage, and transportation impact fees.

Summit County/Park City	Park City Chamber Bureau	Private Industry/BRC
Consistently enforce existing regulations		
Create a public-private task force with members from both east and west to seek public input and propose improvements on regulations.	**Support a** public-private task force with members from both east and west to seek public input and propose improvements on regulations.	**Support a** public-private task force with members from both east and west to seek public input and propose improvements on regulations.
Schedule ongoing periodic review of regulations to ensure		

they align with economic goals.		
Communicate master plan and vision to community.		
Expedite permitting and licensing as an incentive for projects that create jobs.		

4. Conflict between Job Growth and Quality of Life

Throughout the session, attendees voiced concerns about the balance between job growth and quality of life. Attendees mentioned fear of change and lack of education about economic development as key reasons that residents have in the past opposed projects that could have created jobs in Summit County.

Summit County/Park City	Park City Chamber Bureau	Private Industry/BRC
Inventory which industry clusters currently exist in Summit County.		
Seek public input on which cluster(s) to grow and where to grow them.		
Create policy (e.g., incentives, partnerships, expedited licensing, SURE Sites, etc.) to grow the focus areas		
Encourage Residents to "Shop At Home"	Encourage Residents to "Shop At Home" Keep it PC campaign	Encourage Residents to "Shop At Home"
Create an online database of Summit County vendors		Create an online database of Summit County vendors
Publicize to help residents find local experts instead of turning to Salt Lake		Publicize to help residents find local experts instead of turning to Salt Lake
Create and enforce consistent policy on big box retail. Understand effects of big box on small business to make educated policy decision. (e.g.; which small businesses are most affected, at what distance away, etc?) Match zoning to policy.		
Communicate master plan and vision to community.		

2010 BOE Adjustments

Serial #	New Market Value	Old Market Value	MV Difference	New Taxable Value	Old Taxable Value
AC-76	$ 153,000.00	$ 177,000.00	$ (24,000.00)	$ 153,000.00	$ 177,000.00
ALLC-107	$ 1,530,000.00	$ 1,900,000.00	$ (370,000.00)	$ 1,530,000.00	$ 1,711,900.00
BD-A	$ 1,741,250.00	$ 2,070,000.00	$ (328,750.00)	$ 1,741,250.00	$ 2,070,000.00
BELV-2-6	$ 2,190,000.00	$ 2,400,000.00	$ (210,000.00)	$ 2,190,000.00	$ 2,400,000.00
BH-10	$ 520,236.00	$ 907,176.00	$ (386,940.00)	$ 313,827.00	$ 515,514.00
CR-26-B-2AM	$ 517,750.00	$ 540,000.00	$ (22,250.00)	$ 517,750.00	$ 540,000.00
DC-75	$ 1,885,000.00	$ 2,373,920.00	$ (488,920.00)	$ 1,885,000.00	$ 2,335,850.00
FM-C-67	$ 374,000.00	$ 581,871.00	$ (207,871.00)	$ 206,375.00	$ 293,936.00
FSSGV-A-3	$ 845,000.00	$ 900,000.00	$ (55,000.00)	$ 845,000.00	$ 900,000.00
FSSGV-A-4	$ 945,000.00	$ 1,100,000.00	$ (155,000.00)	$ 945,000.00	$ 981,000.00
FSSGV-B-1	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-B-2	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-B-3	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-B-4	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-B-5	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-C-1	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-C-2	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-C-3	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-C-4	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-C-5	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-D-1	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-D-2	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-D-3	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-D-4	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-D-5	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-E-1	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-E-2	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-E-3	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-E-4	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-F-1	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-F-2	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-F-3	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-F-4	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-G-1	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00
FSSGV-G-2	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00	$ (60,000.00)	$ 40,000.00	$ 100,000.00

FSSGV-G-3	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-G-4	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-G-5	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-G-6	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-H-1	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-H-2	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-H-3	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-H-4	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-H-5	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-H-6	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-I-1	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-I-2	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-I-3	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-I-4	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-J-1	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-J-2	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
FSSGV-J-3	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00	$	(60,000.00)	$	40,000.00	$	100,000.00
GCC-25	$	712,592.00	$	912,592.00	$	(200,000.00)	$	391,925.00	$	501,925.00
GLEN-203	$	664,200.00	$	685,000.00	$	(20,800.00)	$	664,200.00	$	678,000.00
LOWELL-103	$	827,070.00	$	1,100,000.00	$	(272,930.00)	$	827,070.00	$	1,100,000.00
LOWELL-201	$	1,040,250.00	$	1,500,000.00	$	(459,750.00)	$	1,040,250.00	$	1,500,000.00
LOWELL-202	$	1,045,380.00	$	1,500,000.00	$	(454,620.00)	$	1,045,380.00	$	1,500,000.00
LOWELL-203	$	765,510.00	$	1,100,000.00	$	(334,490.00)	$	765,510.00	$	1,100,000.00
LOWELL-301	$	1,147,410.00	$	1,500,000.00	$	(352,590.00)	$	1,147,410.00	$	1,500,000.00
LOWELL-302	$	1,150,260.00	$	1,500,000.00	$	(349,740.00)	$	1,150,260.00	$	825,000.00
LOWELL-303	$	766,080.00	$	1,100,000.00	$	(333,920.00)	$	766,080.00	$	1,100,000.00
LOWELL-304	$	758,670.00	$	1,100,000.00	$	(341,330.00)	$	758,670.00	$	1,100,000.00
LWPCRS-4505-AM	$	265,000.00	$	450,000.00	$	(185,000.00)	$	265,000.00	$	321,000.00
LWPCRS-4608-AM	$	781,000.00	$	950,000.00	$	(169,000.00)	$	781,000.00	$	831,000.00
MFR-3	$	595,000.00	$	739,005.00	$	(144,005.00)	$	352,571.00	$	431,775.00
NGC-17	$	160,000.00	$	300,000.00	$	(140,000.00)	$	160,000.00	$	200,000.00
NGC-29	$	150,000.00	$	300,000.00	$	(150,000.00)	$	150,000.00	$	200,000.00
NGC-55	$	160,000.00	$	300,000.00	$	(140,000.00)	$	160,000.00	$	200,000.00
NGC-70	$	160,000.00	$	300,000.00	$	(140,000.00)	$	160,000.00	$	200,000.00
NGC-74	$	160,000.00	$	300,000.00	$	27,500.00	$	160,000.00	$	200,000.00
NS-604-D-1	$	43,000.00	$	74,375.00	$	(31,375.00)	$	43,000.00	$	74,375.00
NS-604-K	$	87,500.00	$	132,500.00	$	(45,000.00)	$	87,500.00	$	132,500.00
PB-PR-6	$	421,100.00	$	585,384.00	$	(164,284.00)	$	421,100.00	$	585,384.00
PI-67	$	250,000.00	$	330,000.00	$	(80,000.00)	$	138,220.00	$	182,220.00
PI-D-27	$	75,000.00	$	100,415.00	$	(25,415.00)	$	75,000.00	$	100,415.00

PI-D-41	$	219,000.00	$	300,664.00	$	(81,664.00)	$	219,000.00	$	300,664.00
PI-D-51	$	75,000.00	$	94,500.00	$	(19,500.00)	$	75,000.00	$	94,500.00
PI-F-18	$	75,000.00	$	105,000.00	$	(30,000.00)	$	75,000.00	$	105,000.00
PI-F-73	$	40,000.00	$	94,500.00	$	(54,500.00)	$	40,000.00	$	73,500.00
PI-G-40	$	255,000.00	$	312,642.00	$	(57,642.00)	$	255,000.00	$	312,642.00
PI-G-56	$	75,000.00	$	105,000.00	$	(30,000.00)	$	75,000.00	$	105,000.00
PI-G-66	$	75,000.00	$	89,450.00	$	(14,450.00)	$	75,000.00	$	89,450.00
PRUN-C-13	$	650,000.00	$	880,000.00	$	(230,000.00)	$	650,000.00	$	880,000.00
PSA-4-A	$	525,000.00	$	859,984.00	$	(334,984.00)	$	525,000.00	$	710,000.00
SLC-102-AM	$	235,845.00	$	340,000.00	$	(104,155.00)	$	235,845.00	$	326,200.00
SUM-34	$	2,134,690.00	$	2,643,516.00	$	(508,826.00)	$	2,134,690.00	$	2,643,516.00
TCRS-7	$	800,000.00	$	850,544.00	$	(50,544.00)	$	800,000.00	$	840,382.00
WILK-56-A	$	1,599,000.00	$	1,776,900.00	$	(177,900.00)	$	879,450.00	$	952,061.00
WV-11	$	985,000.00	$	1,075,529.00	$	(90,529.00)	$	985,000.00	$	1,075,529.00
3K-7-B	$	395,000.00	$	395,000.00	$	-	$	395,000.00	$	395,000.00
ALLC-304	$	1,711,900.00	$	1,900,000.00	$	(188,100.00)	$	1,711,900.00	$	1,711,900.00
ALLC-405	$	1,500,000.00	$	1,500,000.00	$	-	$	1,500,000.00	$	1,500,000.00
BBEAR-130	$	550,000.00	$	550,000.00	$	-	$	550,000.00	$	550,000.00
BDV-502	$	930,000.00	$	930,000.00	$	-	$	930,000.00	$	930,000.00
BELV-2-5	$	2,400,000.00	$	600,000.00	$	1,800,000.00	$	2,400,000.00	$	2,400,000.00
CVOS-3-1	$	870,000.00	$	1,100,000.00	$	(230,000.00)	$	952,000.00	$	870,000.00
CVOS-3-2	$	965,900.00	$	1,100,000.00	$	(134,100.00)	$	965,900.00	$	965,900.00
CWPC-12-AM	$	6,150,198.00	$	6,150,198.00	$	-	$	6,150,198.00	$	6,150,198.00
CWPC-3-AM	$	2,259,974.00	$	2,259,974.00	$	-	$	2,259,974.00	$	2,259,974.00
DC-25	$	1,588,802.00	$	1,836,508.00	$	(247,706.00)	$	1,588,802.00	$	1,588,802.00
DLV-3-12C	$	850,000.00	$	850,000.00	$	-	$	850,000.00	$	850,000.00
DMLC-6163-AM-RE	$	1,100,000.00	$	1,100,000.00	$	-	$	1,100,000.00	$	1,100,000.00
EH-2-117	$	138,700.00	$	138,700.00	$	-	$	138,700.00	$	138,700.00
FLGSF-406	$	2,043,300.00	$	2,100,000.00	$	(56,700.00)	$	2,043,300.00	$	2,043,300.00
FT-26	$	1,188,000.00	$	1,188,000.00	$	-	$	10,560.00	$	1,188,000.00
GLEN-303	$	678,100.00	$	685,000.00	$	(6,900.00)	$	678,100.00	$	678,100.00
GWLD-88	$	416,000.00	$	416,000.00	$	-	$	416,000.00	$	416,000.00
LT-4-25	$	3,500,000.00	$	3,800,000.00	$	(300,000.00)	$	3,500,000.00	$	3,500,000.00
LWPCRS-3401-AM	$	491,000.00	$	750,000.00	$	(259,000.00)	$	491,000.00	$	491,000.00
MPC-2186	$	344,500.00	$	370,000.00	$	(25,500.00)	$	344,500.00	$	344,500.00
NPC-206	$	245,000.00	$	245,000.00	$	-	$	245,000.00	$	245,000.00
NPC-303	$	245,000.00	$	245,000.00	$	-	$	245,000.00	$	245,000.00
NS-223	$	345,511.00	$	345,511.00	$	-	$	6,955.00	$	345,511.00
NS-226	$	66,510.00	$	71,510.00	$	(5,000.00)	$	237.00	$	66,510.00
NS-229	$	85,602.00	$	85,602.00	$	-	$	990.00	$	85,602.00

NS-230-G	$ 450,808.00	$ 517,155.00	$ (66,347.00)	$ 412,707.00	$ 450,808.00
NS-407-B	$ 219,143.00	$ 220,041.00	$ (898.00)	$ 120,528.00	$ 219,143.00
NS-736	$ 753,230.00	$ 754,755.00	$ (1,525.00)	$ 344,911.00	$ 753,230.00
NS-841-C	$ 94,216.00	$ 127,941.00	$ (33,725.00)	$ 48,530.00	$ 94,216.00
NS-88	$ 1,291,250.00	$ 1,291,250.00	$ -	$ 1,291,250.00	$ 1,291,250.00
PRE-11	$ 452,625.00	$ 486,900.00	$ (34,275.00)	$ 138,923.00	$ 452,625.00
PSA-16-B	$ 1,759,968.00	$ 1,759,968.00	$ -	$ 1,759,968.00	$ 1,759,968.00
PSC-405	$ 85,000.00	$ 85,000.00	$ -	$ 85,000.00	$ 85,000.00
PSC-605	$ 85,000.00	$ 85,000.00	$ -	$ 85,000.00	$ 85,000.00
RC-3-87	$ 382,000.00	$ 382,000.00	$ -	$ 382,000.00	$ 382,000.00
RGP-138	$ 875,000.00	$ 875,000.00	$ -	$ 875,000.00	$ 875,000.00
SA-249	$ 621,000.00	$ 721,328.00	$ (100,328.00)	$ 621,000.00	$ 621,000.00
SL-D-201-A	$ 149,254.00	$ 176,754.00	$ (27,500.00)	$ 149,254.00	$ 149,254.00
SNC-1065	$ 150,000.00	$ 150,000.00	$ -	$ 82,500.00	$ 150,000.00
SSTRL-205	$ 1,695,000.00	$ 1,800,000.00	$ (105,000.00)	$ 1,695,000.00	$ 1,695,000.00
SUM-2	$ 250,000.00	$ 300,000.00	$ (50,000.00)	$ 190,000.00	$ 250,000.00
WLCRK-33	$ 430,000.00	$ 430,000.00	$ -	$ 430,000.00	$ 430,000.00
Totals for 02/2/2011	$ 73,112,284.00	$ 84,412,562.00	$ (11,132,778.00)	$ 68,727,020.00	$ 79,999,729.00
Totals for 01/19/2011	$ 2,340,200.00	$ 2,568,800.00	$ (228,600.00)	$ 2,978,800.00	$ 3,090,200.00
Totals for 12/15/2010	$ 42,580,445.00	$ 46,747,858.00	$ (4,197,413.00)	$ 36,386,611.00	$ 43,527,567.00
Totals for 12/8/2010	$ 256,130,918.00	$ 270,141,431.00	$ (13,761,543.00)	$ 221,226,738.00	New Request by
Totals for 12/1/10	$ 79,138,975.00	$ 106,628,763.00	$ (27,489,788.00)	$ 30,742,001.00	Council for Old
Totals for 11/14/2010	$ 204,923,608.00	$ 237,071,884.00	$ (32,148,276.00)	$ 187,934,386.00	Taxable Value
Totals For 10/27/2010	$ 60,356,753.00	$ 71,364,807.00	$ (10,699,177.00)	$ 55,466,010.00	
Totals For 10/20/2010	$ 161,113,456.00	$ 184,854,205.00	$ (23,700,749.00)	$ 184,572,126.00	
Totals for 10/6/2010	$ 235,173,079.00	$ 280,021,137.00	$ (44,848,058.00)	$ 222,313,664.00	
Totals for 9/22/2010	$ 43,542,565.00	$ 124,365,244.00	$ (11,257,530.00)	$ 84,633,488.00	
Totals for 9/15/2010	$ 67,881,996.00	$ 83,337,396.00	$ (15,455,400.00)	$ 57,403,587.00	
Totals for 9/7/2010	$ 97,641,192.00	$ 127,731,262.00	$ (30,090,070.00)	$ 69,587,642.00	
Totals for 8/25/10	$ 31,851,279.00	$ 36,229,990.00	$ (4,378,711.00)	$ 24,464,418.00	
Totals for 8/18/10	$ 42,766,085.00	$ 48,254,753.00	$ (5,488,688.00)	$ 28,455,458.00	
Running Total	$ 1,398,552,835.00	$ 1,703,730,092.00	$ (234,876,781.00)	$ 1,274,891,949.00	

Anita,

The Market value of the county on 7/23/2009 was $20,231,562,313

The Market value of the county on 12/31/2009 was $19,561,804,757

The Market value decrease for 2009 is ($669,757,556)

So far this year (2010)the Market value decrease is ($234,876,781) As of 02/02/2011

(The 2009 numbers do not reflect 2009 State Appeals that are still pending)

Kathryn has the total number of appeals this year(2010) at 2,564.

We have sent 2,205 appeals to the council for signature. That is 86% of the Appeals that we have this year.

We Have 359 Appeals waiting for Decisions from Hearing officers.

Ashley Koehler
Sustainability Coordinator

Memo

To:	**Summit County Council**
Report Date:	**Wednesday, January 26, 2011**
Meeting Date:	**Wednesday, February 2, 2011**
Author:	**Ashley Koehler, Sustainability Coordinator**
Title:	**Basin Open Space Advisory Committee (BOSAC) appointment**
Type of Item:	**Advice & Consent**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: This report identifies the current members of the BOSAC committee and the member that has been nominated by the Mountain Trails Foundation and recommended by the County Manager to fill the vacant position. This report is provided to the Council to advise and give consent to the Manager in order to confirm the appointment.

BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS:
The Basin Open Space Advisory Committee (the "Open Space Committee") is created for the purpose of advising and providing input to the Summit County Manager regarding the creation, preservation, and identification of open space within the Snyderville Basin.

The by-laws of this advisory committee have recently been adopted into County Code and specified that the committee shall be made up of no less than seven members and no more than nine. The new ordinance also calls out a specific member to be from the Mountain Trails Foundation. The Mountain Trails Foundation Executive Director and Board have both agreed to nominate Jan Wilking to serve on BOSAC as their representative. The County Manager has recommended that he be appointed as the eighth member, which requires the Council's consent.

In a prior Council meeting it was recommended that BOSAC be filled to have at least nine members, so there is an odd number of voting members. Currently, there is an advertisement in the newspapers soliciting a ninth member.

Attachment(s): BOSAC member list
Email from Mountain Trails Foundation

Sustainability Coordinator
Summit County Manager's Office
Summit County Courthouse, 60 N. Main St., P.O. Box 128, Coalville, Utah 84017
Phone (435) 336-3128
akoehler@co.summit.ut.us

2011 Basin Open Space Advisory Committee (BOSAC)

as of January 4, 2011

Members are appointed by the County Manager with the advice and consent of the county council at the first regular meeting in March of each calendar year, or at such other time as soon as practical. Members may serve for three consecutive three-year terms. The Committee shall be comprised of no less than seven (7) members and no more than eleven (11) members, and may include members from the among the following groups:

	Name	Organization Represented	Current term expiration	
1	Max Greenhalgh, Chair	Local Business Representative	2013	
2	Chris Donaldson, Vice- Chair	Real Estate Appraiser	2013	
3	Jackie Blake	At-Large Community Representative	2013	
4	Mindy Wheeler	Summit Co Weed Board Representative	2013	
5	Kevin Simon	SBSRD Board Representative #1	2013	
6	Scott McClelland	SBSRD Board Representative #2	2012	
7	Kathy Mears	A professional real estate agent	2012	
8	*Jan Wilking*	A representative from Mountain Trails Foundation	*2014*	Nominated by Mountain Trails Executive Director and Board
9	*To be determined. Announcement in newspaper for 1 additional member*	*Local Business Representative OR real estate appraiser OR real estate agent OR at-large community rep*		

Ex-Officio Staff			Phone	Email	Address
Bonnie Park	SBSRD Staff Representative	n/a	435-649-1564 x 11, Work	bpark@basinrecreation	5715 Trailside Drive Park City, Utah 84098
Rena Jordan	SBSRD Staff Representative	n/a	435-649-1564, Work	rjordan@basinrecreatio	5715 Trailside Drive Park City, Utah 84098
Ashley Koehler	County Manager's Office Rep	n/a	435-615-3128, Work	akoehler@co.summit.u	PO BOX 128 Coalville, UT 84017
	Summit Co Community Development Rep	n/a			

MINUTES

SUMMIT COUNTY

BOARD OF COUNTY COUNCIL
THURSDAY, DECEMBER 30, 2010
SHELDON RICHINS BUILDING
PARK CITY, UTAH

PRESENT:

Claudia McMullin, *Council Chair*
Chris Robinson, *Council Vice-Chair*
Sally Elliott, *Council Member*
John Hanrahan, *Council Member*
David Ure, *Council Member*

Robert Jasper, *Manager*
Kent Jones, *Clerk*

REGULAR SESSION

Chair McMullin called the regular meeting to order at 6: 00 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARING TO DISCUSS AND POSSIBLY APPROVE AN INCREASE IN THE PROPERTY TAX REVENUE FOR WILDLAND FIRE DISTRICT

Chair McMullin opened the public hearing.

Council Member Robinson stated that he understood that, notwithstanding what the agenda says, this is purely a public hearing to gather information, and the Council will not be approving a tax increase this evening. Chair McMullin replied that it must be approved today. She stated that this is the second public hearing to approve an increase in the property tax revenue for Wildland Fire.

Chair McMullin closed the public hearing.

Council Member Hanrahan made a motion to raise the tax rate for the Wildland Fire Service District from the current rate of 0.00007% to 0.000147%. The motion was seconded by Council Member Elliott.

Council Member Robinson noted that the last sentence of the letter regarding this hearing states that this hearing is the first of two required hearings, and the only Council action required at this hearing is to take account of any public comment on the proposed tax increase. Chair McMullin stated that was a duplication of something they had already seen. County Manager Bob Jasper explained that there will be another round of public hearings where the Council will actually set the tax rate in June. However, taking action on this tonight would not hurt the process, and the Council would have another opportunity to make changes in June. Council Member Robinson maintained that, based on the memorandum from Public Works Director Kevin Callahan, the Council is not approving anything but is only taking public input at this meeting.

Council Member Hanrahan withdrew his motion, and Council Member Elliott withdrew her second.

Mr. Jasper asked if it would be advisable for the Council to at least state that it is their intent to increase the tax rate for 2011. County Clerk Kent Jones explained that the Council will still have to approve the Wildland Fire budget for 2011, and the reason for the public hearings is their intent to increase taxes for 2011 to go above the current certified tax rate.

Council Member Robinson stated that he believed the Council had already shown its intent to raise the tax rate or they would not be going through truth in taxation. Budget Analyst Matt Leavitt explained that the Council approved the budget on December 22, 2010. Mr. Jasper stated that the budget the Council approved anticipates that tax increase.

CONVENE AS THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE MOUNTAIN REGIONAL WATER SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT

Council Member Robinson made a motion to convene as the Governing Board of the Mountain Regional Water Special Service District. The motion was seconded by Council Member Hanrahan and passed unanimously, 5 to 0.

The meeting of the Governing Board of the Mountain Regional Water Special Service District was called to order at 6: 10 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER ADOPTION OF THE MOUNTAIN REGIONAL WATER SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT AMENDED 2010 OPERATING, DEBT SERVICE, AND CAPITAL BUDGETS AND ADOPTION OF ITS 2011 OPERATING, DEBT SERVICE, AND CAPITAL BUDGETS

Chair McMullin opened the public hearing.

There was no public comment.

Chair McMullin closed the public hearing.

Board Member Robinson asked if Mountain Regional Water management had any comments about the budget. Financial Officer Scott Green explained that Mountain Regional will close out 2010 about $870,000 under its original budget. Board Member Robinson asked what corrective actions were taken to ensure that Mountain Regional did not have a net loss. Mr. Green replied that they had about $375,000 in budget cuts in the summer, and when the 2010 budget was adopted, there was a $400,000 revenue surplus. He explained that the cash flow was about $95,000 less than anticipated, and they will show a profit for the year, because they collected more on the grant for the pre-treatment project than they had anticipated in 2010. The revenue shortfall excludes that grant, because it was a one-time construction grant.

Board Member Ure commented that Mountain Regional has indicated that the losses in revenue were due to the cold, wet spring. However, people were still watering during August, September, and October, and he believed the reason for the low revenue was the recession and people being more cognizant of their water usage and cutting back any way they could. He

believed they could count on water revenues being down next year as well if people are still feeling the effects of a poor economy. Andy Armstrong, General Manager of Mountain Regional Water, agreed with Board Member Ure and stated that they also attribute the drop in revenues to the downturn in the building market. He anticipated that would continue, noting that Mountain Regional's revenue projections for 2011 are very similar to what occurred in 2010, and they have budgeted accordingly. Board Member Ure asked why two additional full-time employees are required to increase Mountain Regional's water supply to Park City. Mr. Armstrong noted that their current employment is 17 full-time employees, which is below their 2007 staffing. Mr. Green explained that in 2010 they were completing their pre-treatment project, and they expanded Lost Canyon. They have not yet put much water into that project, but when they do, they will have to have people on site more often. That would be the case regardless of how much water they sell to Park City.

Board Member Robinson made a motion to approve the amended operating, debt service, and capital budget for 2010 and the proposed 2011 operating, debt service, and capital budget for Mountain Regional Water Special Service District as presented. The motion was seconded by Council Member Hanrahan and passed unanimously, 5 to 0.

Mr. Armstrong explained that his board will be down to three members in January. Two of the terms have expired, and it will be difficult to have a consistent quorum with only three members. Mr. Jasper stated that the County is behind in its advertising but will get that taken care of as quickly as they can. Council Member Elliott stated that she believed the current board members could remain on the board until their positions are filled.

DISMISS AS THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE MOUNTAIN REGIONAL WATER SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT

Board Member Elliott made a motion to dismiss as the Governing Board of Mountain Regional Water Special Service District and to adjourn as the Summit County Council. The motion was seconded by Board Member Hanrahan and passed unanimously, 5 to 0.

The meeting of the Governing Board of the Mountain Regional Water Special Service District adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

The Summit County Council meeting adjourned at 6: 30 p.m.

_____ _____

Council Chair, Claudia McMullin ***County Clerk,*** Kent Jones