

2 The Lindon City Council held a regularly scheduled meeting on **Tuesday, February 17,**  
4 **2015 at 7:00 p.m.** in the Lindon City Center, City Council Chambers, 100 North State  
Street, Lindon, Utah.

6 **REGULAR SESSION** – 7:00 P.M.

8 Conducting: Jeff Acerson, Mayor  
Pledge of Allegiance: Stephanie Acerson, Miss Pleasant Grove  
10 Invocation: Jake Hoyt, Councilmember

12 **PRESENT** **ABSENT**

Jeff Acerson, Mayor  
14 Randi Powell, Councilmember  
Matt Bean, Councilmember  
16 Van Broderick, Councilmember  
Jacob Hoyt, Councilmember  
18 Carolyn Lundberg, Councilmember  
Adam Cowie, City Administrator  
20 Hugh Van Wagenen, Planning Director  
Jordan Cullimore, Associate Planner  
22 Cody Cullimore, Chief of Police  
Kathy Moosman, City Recorder

24

1. **Call to Order/Roll Call** – The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

26

2. **Presentations and Announcements** –

28

- 30 a) Comments/Announcements from Mayor and Councilmembers – There were  
no announcements at this time.
- 32 b) Mayor Acerson invited Heath Bateman, Parks & Recreation Director, forward  
to present Randy Hoyt, representative from AmBank, with a plaque in  
34 recognition for their support of community events and recreation programs in  
Lindon City. Mr. Bateman noted that AmBank solely supports the Movies in  
36 the Park, which has been a great success for the citizens and they are also a  
great supporter of Lindon Days activities. Mr. Bateman thanked Mr. Hoyt  
38 stating that they couldn't do all they do without their support. Mr. Hoyt  
thanked Mr. Bateman and stated that AmBank will be supporting the  
programs again next year.
- 40 c) Mayor Acerson recognized Finance Director, Kristen Colson for her efforts in  
achieving the GFOA Distinguished Budget Presentation Award for Lindon  
42 City's 2014-15 fiscal year budget. The Mayor then presented Ms. Colson with  
a plaque and thanked her for her hard work and efforts in obtaining the budget  
44 award for the city.

46 3. **Approval of Minutes** – The minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council  
of February 3, 2015 and the work session of October 20, 2014 were reviewed.

2 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES  
OF THE WORK SESSION MEETING OF OCTOBER 20, 2014 AS WRITTEN.

4 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

6 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

8 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

10 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

12  
14 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF  
THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 3, 2015 AS  
CORRECTED OR AMENDED. COUNCILMEMBER HOYT SECONDED THE  
16 MOTION. THE VOTE WAS RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

COUNCILMEMBER BEAN AYE

18 COUNCILMEMBER POWELL AYE

COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK AYE

20 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT AYE

COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG AYE

22 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

24 4. **Consent Agenda** – No items.

26 5. **Open Session for Public Comment** – Mayor Acerson called for any public  
comment not listed as an agenda item.

28  
30 Terri Marchbanks, Miss Pleasant Grove Director and Stephanie Acerson, Miss  
Pleasant Grove, were in attendance to address the Council on behalf of the Little Miss  
Lindon Program at this time. Ms. Marchbanks thanked the Council for letting them  
32 speak tonight. Ms. Marchbanks expressed that she loves this program and loves the girls  
who participate. Ms. Marchbanks asked the Council if they would be willing to partner  
34 in the scholarship fund program with Pleasant Grove. She noted they have paid out over  
\$30,000 in scholarships to Lindon girls in the past 15 years. Ms. Marchbanks stated they  
36 are asking if the city would be willing to contribute \$2,000 per year for the scholarship  
fund and if they are willing to participate and if it is a possibility. Ms. Marchbanks noted  
38 that she believes in this program as it changes these girls' lives and there is value in their  
service projects; they come prepared to serve and we want to continue this great program.  
40 Ms. Marchbanks then turned the time over to Ms. Acerson for comment.

42 Miss Pleasant Grove, Stephanie Acerson, commented that the past year has been  
amazing as she has not only served Pleasant Grove but also Lindon as well. She noted  
44 that she has had the opportunity to work with the Little Miss Lindon girls with her Miss  
Utah service project called "Shoes for Love" which donated shoes to Africa and she has  
46 also been helping with the LML princess project. Ms. Acerson commented that her  
platform is "Bringing Books to Life" which encourages young children to read and also  
encourages parents to read to their children. She has also visited the elementary schools

2 including Lindon Elementary (where she is also a tutor), and has done some fun activities  
4 with the kids. She expressed that it has been really fun for her to work with both Pleasant  
Grove and Lindon City.

6 Ms. Marchbanks stated that she has received letters from the girls who leave with  
skills that will last a lifetime and she has seen the benefits first hand. She also provided a  
8 list of the girls from the community who have received scholarships. Ms. Marchbanks  
commented that she is respectfully asking Lindon City to partnership with Pleasant Grove  
to help provide these scholarships.

10 Councilmember Bean inquired how many scholarships they provide each year and  
what the amounts are. Ms. Marchbanks replied that \$3,000 is awarded to Miss Pleasant  
12 Grove with the 1<sup>st</sup> attendant receiving \$1,500 and the 2<sup>nd</sup> attendant receiving \$900 and  
fourth place \$500, and \$300 for fifth place which is for one year. There is also  
14 approximately \$600 dispersed between the non-finalists. Councilmember Hoyt asked  
how much Pleasant Grove donates. Ms. Marchbanks stated that Pleasant Grove donates  
16 approximately \$18,000 for the pageant not including the float. She commented that the  
program would be more successful with both cities participating and to partner on  
18 scholarships. She also mentioned that the Lindon Council approved \$1,000 per year  
several years ago and then the funding just stopped.

20 Councilmember Hoyt asked if the city would receive recognition if we participate.  
Ms. Marchbanks replied that she is sure that can be worked out. She stated that these  
22 Little Miss Lindon girls learn how to do this from an early age and they love it and noted  
the participant's then follow on to the Miss Pleasant Grove pageant. She also mentioned  
24 that one of the Little Miss Lindon girls went on to become Miss Utah (Whitney  
Merrifield). Ms. Marchbanks concluded by stating this is not just a beauty pageant and  
26 these girls go on to become community leaders and they will serve us well in our  
communities.

28 Ms. Marchbanks then thanked the Council for what they do for the program and  
for their time and consideration in this matter. The Mayor and Council thanked Ms.  
30 Marchbanks for the information and for her service to the community. Mr. Cowie stated  
he can bring this item back on March 3<sup>rd</sup> for official action.

32 Mayor Acerson called for any other public comment. Hearing none he moved on  
to the next agenda item.

### 34 **CURRENT BUSINESS**

- 36
- 38 6. **Public Hearing** – *Ordinance Amendment, LCC 17.49.070 & 17.050.070*  
*Architectural Design, Ordinance # 2015-6-O.* Lindon City requests approval of  
40 an amendment to Lindon City Code 17.49.070 and 17.050.070. The proposed  
amendment will modify architectural requirement in the Light Industrial (LI) and  
42 Mixed Commercial (MC) zones. The Planning Commission recommends  
approval.

44 COUNCILMEMBER HOYT MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.  
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL PRESENT VOTED  
46 IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

2 Jordan Cullimore, Associate Planner, gave a brief overview of this agenda item  
3 stating that a lot of applications have been coming in and the Planning Commission has  
4 noticed there are not any design guidelines regarding concrete tilt up buildings to provide  
5 to the developer. After doing some research on concrete tilt up buildings, the Planning  
6 Commission came up with some recommendations and considered examples of concrete  
7 tilt-up buildings. They also discussed Lindon City's architectural standards for these  
8 types of buildings in the Mixed Commercial (MC) and Light Industrial (LI) zones. Mr.  
9 Cullimore further explained that the Commission determined that it would be in the  
10 public interest to adjust the standards to ensure structures will be well designed and also  
11 be aesthetically pleasing. Mr. Cullimore noted the Commission recommended the  
12 following changes:

13 Recommendation for the LI zone:

- 14 1) Allow bare concrete buildings as long as such buildings incorporate additional  
15 architectural accents.
- 16 2) Allow painted or colored concrete buildings as long as the individual colors  
17 are consistently shaded. Do not require additional accents for painted or  
18 colored concrete buildings.

19 Recommendations for the MC zone:

- 20 1) Prohibit bare concrete buildings. Allow painted or colored concrete buildings  
21 as long as the color shades are consistent.
- 22 2) Require that the buildings also incorporate additional architectural accents.

23 *The proposed amendment seeks to codify the Commission's recommendations.*

24  
25 Mr. Cullimore noted the Planning Commission reviewed the proposed  
26 amendment presented by staff at the February 10, 2015 meeting and the Commissioners  
27 determined that the proposal properly implements the changes they recommended as they  
28 will be good guidelines for the developers. The Commission recommended approval of  
29 the amendment with a 6:0 vote. Mr. Cullimore then referenced the proposed amendment  
30 followed by discussion. He concluded by stating the Planning Commission felt these  
31 changes would be helpful in providing some guidance to developers while not imposing  
32 strict architectural guidelines for these buildings because we do want to see quality and  
33 well-designed structures and it would be beneficial in the code.

34 Councilmember Broderick questioned wrought iron railings and finishes and what  
35 entails the "finishes" portion. Mr. Cullimore stated they pulled the language off of a  
36 concrete tilt up website hoping that within the industry they would define their  
37 architecture and would include finishes in general and accents which is what they were  
38 trying to convey. Mr. Cullimore stated that all of the concrete tilt up applications that  
39 have come to the department in the past year would comply with the more strict  
40 requirements so they do not foresee it being an issue as the developers want their projects  
41 to be quality work and match what is being required.

42 Councilmember Powell expressed her concerns with 2b in the ordinance where it  
43 states that painted and/or colored are permitted in the light industrial zone noting that she  
44 would like to see some additional architectural detail requirements included that would  
45 set it apart and would enhance the building at minimal costs. Mr. Cullimore agreed

2 stating it would make for a nicer building. He also explained the Planning Commission's  
4 reasoning behind it is because it is in the light industrial zone with more industrial  
buildings, so they didn't see it as necessary to add additional details that are seen more in  
the commercial zones; but this issue is definitely open for discussion.

6 Councilmember Lundberg commented that it seems there are more businesses  
with public type operations that locate in these types of structures in the light industrial  
8 zones, so it might make sense to require more architectural detail. Councilmember  
Broderick commented that it would not dictate entirely what the developers would have  
10 to do. He added that it is an extra cost but it's not a huge issue one way or the other in his  
mind, however, he does like the idea of adding the pre-cast and the concrete tilt up in  
12 making it acceptable, as it is very common right now. Councilmember Bean commented  
that the new language doesn't specify how much architectural design elements will be  
14 required, so there is a fair amount of latitude already in the proposed changes.  
Councilmember Hoyt inquired if staff has looked at other cities to see what they require.  
16 Mr. Cullimore stated they have not surveyed any other cities noting they could look into  
that issue. There was then some additional discussion by the Council regarding this issue.

18 Mayor Acerson suggested having the Planning Commission look at what the  
Council has discussed and move forward from here with the exterior architectural  
20 finishes. He then called for any public comments questions. Hearing none he called for a  
motion to close the public hearing.

22  
24 **COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC  
HEARING. COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL  
PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.**

26  
28 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.  
Hearing none he called for a motion.

30 **COUNCILMEMBER POWELL MOVED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE  
AMENDMENT #2015-6-0 WITH THE CHANGES AS DESCRIBED TO STAFF  
32 REQUIREING THE ADDITIONAL ACCENTS FOR COLORED OR PAINTED  
CONCRETE TILT UP STRUCTURES IN THE LIGHT INDUSTRIAL ZONE.  
34 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK SECONDED THE MOTION. THE VOTE WAS  
RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:**

36 COUNCILMEMBER BEAN                    AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER POWELL                AYE  
38 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK            AYE  
COUNCILMEMBER HOYT                   AYE  
40 COUNCILMEMBER LUNDBERG            AYE  
**THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

42  
44 At this time Mr. Van Wagenen made the Council aware that the Planning  
Department is considering implementing a new project tracking method. He noted the  
main function of the tracking list is to see any new project updates and what the  
46 department is handling generally. He noted this list will now include a map that will  
show what areas in town are developing visually and what is going on and at what stage.

2 He asked the Council to consider this change and get back with any feedback on how to  
improve it or anything supplemental they need to provide and if it is helpful or not.

4 Following some discussion the Council was in agreement that the map is helpful  
but they would still like to see the project tracking list as well. Councilmember Broderick  
6 made a suggestion to also add the color next to the project tracking list. Mr. Van  
Wagenen thanked the Council for their time and stated they will implement these  
8 changes.

- 10 7. **Discussion Item** – *Public Safety Building: Timeline & Funding.* The City  
Council will review the timeline for design & construction of the future public  
12 safety/fire station building and will discuss building alternatives, public  
involvement, and possible funding options. No motions will be made.

14  
16 Mr. Cowie opened the discussion by explaining since the discussion at the last  
City Council meeting he and Chief Cullimore met with Chief Gurney and the Battalion  
18 Chief from Orem City and they had some discussion on whether or not there is any  
flexibility in the building requirements in the agreement, which is very specific as far as  
the building facility. He noted that it sounds like there is some room for discussion in  
20 that specific section of the agreement. Mr. Cowie mentioned the other questions they  
asked specifically of them were as follows:

- 22 1. Is the agreement able to be extended as far as time?  
2. Is Orem City willing to participate financially?

24  
26 Mr. Cowie expressed his opinion that as of this afternoon it was pretty clear from  
an email from the Orem City Administrator that they are not interested in either one of  
these options. Mayor Acerson commented that the assumption is that there will be more  
28 discussion and we will see what that really means. Mr. Cowie did mention at the meeting  
that about 50 % of calls from the Lindon Station are going to Orem City. He noted there  
30 is another meeting scheduled for next Wednesday for himself and the Mayor. Mayor  
Acerson commented that there has not been any meeting or discussion with Orem City  
32 Mayor Brunst as yet but he did speak with the City Manager who indicated that he  
seemed somewhat open. Mayor Acerson stated they will sit down at the meeting next  
34 week and will hopefully get a better clarification of other possibilities. He noted there is  
some potential of give and take in the contract that should have some discussion.

36 Mr. Cowie went on to say that during the discussion with Chief Gurney last week  
it came to light that the needs assessment done with JRCA architects in 2013 showed that  
38 the square footage, recommended on the needs, is less than what is shown, so there is  
some potential savings of as much as a million dollars. He noted at the meeting the  
40 Battalion Chief pointed out that the largest fire station in Orem is actually smaller than  
what the proposed building is. Mr. Cowie mentioned that in our study the projected size  
42 was about 13,700 square feet for the standalone fire station and pointed out that the Orem  
City Fire Station on 1200 west is 12,000 square ft.

44 Mr. Cowie mentioned that a tour of the fire station has been set up before the next  
City Council meeting on March 3<sup>rd</sup> at the 1200 west Fire Station. The work session will  
46 begin at 6:00 pm and the regular Council meeting will start at 7:30 pm. Mr. Cowie stated  
they are running new numbers based on an 8,000 s/f standalone fire station concept

2 which would drop the projected costs from 4.25 million down to 2.7 million which is a  
4 huge decrease in costs and includes a 10% contingency on top of that. Mr. Cowie then  
showed photos of the Orem City Fire Station located on 1200 west and explained the  
facility layout and amenities followed by some general discussion.

6 Mr. Cowie mentioned that Councilmember Powell asked at the last meeting about  
evaluating and looking at a different funding methods. He then outlined some of the  
8 options discussed at the budget kickoff meeting including discussion on a utility fee  
option. Mr. Cowie stated the good news is that we can certainly decrease the costs on the  
10 facility based on the estimates from JRCA. He reminded the Council to plan on the fire  
station tour and to also be considering needs vs. wants.

12 Councilmember Bean questioned the estimated amount on the remodel of the City  
Center building and if it was prior to the plans to add additional space and if now is the  
14 time to give of a rough summary of what that may include. Mr. Cowie gave a quick  
summary on the remodel of the city building. He noted that upgrading the building in a  
16 substantial manner would require the installation of an elevator. Mr. Cowie stated that  
remodeling the building is doable and he will get more concrete numbers. There was then  
18 a brief discussion of relocating the Community Development Department to the upper  
level of Community Center (converting) and noted that the flow of things is a challenge.  
20 He added that the Department would rather stay in this building as there is more synergy  
here in working with the other departments. Mr. Cowie noted that he feels we are making  
22 progress on this issue.

24 Councilmember Powell asked if Mr. Cowie is saying rather than putting money  
into a public safety building or the police department across the street that the city  
building has needs that we are going to have to spend money on regardless. Mr. Cowie  
26 replied if the Council chooses not to have the police department as part of the combined  
fire station and if all the Council wants to do is a standalone fire station then it would be a  
28 remodel of the city building. Mr. Cowie stated if the Council is interested in exploring the  
combined fire and police facility option more then he would suggest going back to JRCA  
30 and see about trimming out some of the underutilized extra space and rooms from the  
original assessment. There was then some brief discussion on possible site locations of  
32 the future proposed building. At this time Mr. Cowie asked for feedback from the  
Council whether they prefer a standalone building or a combined facility.

34 Councilmember Lundberg commented that she would like to see use of the  
existing property vs. trying to acquire other private property that would be an added  
36 expense.

38 Mayor Acerson commented that we will have a better idea after discussion with  
the Orem City Mayor as whether to do a standalone facility or a combined building.

40 Councilmember Broderick expressed that first and foremost road maintenance is  
his number one priority (before the proposed building/remodel). He noted that he is  
struggling with the idea that a new fire station does not increase our area of service, as we  
42 currently provide outstanding fire and police service and our facilities are sufficient to  
barely adequate at best, and he understands that but if the road funding is not acquired  
44 first he will most likely vote no to anything on the fire station. He also feels that the  
discussions should be a Mayor to Mayor conversation with Orem City not with the fire  
46 chief or administrator. Councilmember Broderick added that he does like the direction  
we are going with this as far as reducing the size but if we go ahead with this he would be

2 more in favor of a standalone facility. He added that he believes we provide outstanding  
service which is a tribute to Chief Cullimore and Police Department.

4 Councilmember Bean expressed that he appreciates having this discussion and  
noted that he is currently leaning towards a standalone building, but he is not opposed to  
6 looking into a remodel of the city building to utilize it in a more effective way. He agreed  
with Councilmember Broderick regarding the need to take care of roads, but he would  
8 like to see what the costs would be for a standalone and combined building and he likes  
the idea of utilizing the property across the street.

10 Councilmember Lundberg stated she is not closed off to the idea of a combined  
building and feels the synergy aspect is important, but if we do a dual building she would  
12 like to see it done economically in every sense. She would also like to see the most  
economically stacked combined pros and cons, dollar wise and also utility wise, for  
14 comparison.

Councilmember Powell echoed Councilmember Lundberg's comments agreeing  
16 by stacking what are we saving and if so, is it savings that we can put towards the  
remodeling of the city building. She also agrees there would be more synergy and she  
18 also feels there could be some duality in taking care of all responsibilities in making sure  
roads and public safety commitments are being met; she feels it is the Council's  
20 responsibility to be able to handle all things in front of them all of the time.  
Councilmember Powell pointed out that we do have a contract and she personally feels a  
22 need to abide by the contract that was entered into.

Councilmember Hoyt expressed that he would like to see the contract lengthened  
24 and believes that is a possibility. As far as a standalone versus a combined building, he  
noted that it is a want versus a need. He does not see a combined building as a need and  
26 feels our facilities may be lacking in some areas but they are adequate. He would have a  
hard time raising taxes for something he sees as more of a want than a need as we do  
28 have adequate facilities. He would also like to find some way to utilize the corner  
property if at all possible. Councilmember Hoyt stated of the two options a standalone is  
30 where he is at but before that a possible extension of the contract. He also asked about a  
scenario to save money by using the Hogan bond money for a few years, selling the  
32 rental properties and with a sales tax bond; this is something he would feel a little more  
comfortable with moving forward.

34 Councilmember Lundberg inquired if we have projected at build out how many  
more employees the various departments may need, because if we are talking about  
36 sharing this building with police and 10 or 15 years down the road it will change as we  
get closer to the population build out. She voiced her concerns about investing dollars  
38 now which may just "Band-Aid" it when we should really be thinking about what the  
right choice for the long term build out is so we don't have to do this again; the growth is  
40 coming and we need to find a balance and address it.

Mr. Cowie stated as far as a time frame goes, unless the Council wants to put a  
42 general obligation bond issue on the ballot this November we have a year to figure this  
out as that is the only thing looming based on the current contract in place and other than  
44 that we don't need a final decision until next spring when an architect is on board.

46 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council.  
Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

2           8. **Discussion Item** – *Refunding of Series 2008 Bonds*. The City Council will  
4           discuss options for refunding (refinancing) Lindon’s Series 2008 Sales Tax  
6           Revenue Bonds through possible private placement purchase. The Council will  
            give feedback and direction to Staff regarding their desire on how to pursue the  
            refunding.

8           Mr. Cowie explained that a few meetings ago the Council met with Jason  
10          Burningham from LYRB to discuss possible refunding options for the 2008 bonds. At  
12          that time the Council asked for a breakdown of possible scenarios based on various  
14          outcomes of the market if refunding through a private placement purchase. Mr. Cowie  
            then referenced the email from LYRB. He asked the Council for direction if this private  
            placement option is within the level of risk that they are willing to take to refund the  
            bonds.

16          Mr. Cowie stated that he did not include all of the attachments referenced by Mr.  
18          Burningham, but noted they can be sent if anyone would like to review them. He noted  
20          the bottom line is that this private placement option looks beneficial and LYRB feels that  
22          given the historic interest rates we should realize \$45k to \$60k savings per year for at  
            least the first 5 years which could be used in other areas. Mr. Cowie explained if the rates  
            were to increase 100 basis points every five year period, the savings would still be  
            realized. He added the bond remains callable in this scenario, so we could also seek to  
            refund the bond again if this placement option is not to our benefit.

24          Mr. Cowie stated that the Council had also asked at the last discussion if we could  
26          re-finance, essentially, and the indicated we can do that which would reduce the final  
28          payment from 2033 to 2031 and all of the savings would not be realized until 2031 so  
            from our prospective what we need now is cash influx and it would be beneficial if those  
            savings could be realized now and every year thereafter. Mr. Cowie noted that given the  
            historical interest rates the overall risk for this refunding transaction appears fairly low.

30          Councilmember Hoyt stated that he is ready to move forward on this issue and  
32          thinks it is a great idea and the rewards outweigh the risk. Mayor Acerson commented  
34          that the question is do we go with the 5 year re-set. Mr. Cowie stated what we are faced  
36          with now, from an administrative standpoint, is a financial constraint where the savings  
            would help in a lot of other areas over multiple years. Councilmember Bean had a  
            question on the private placement with the 5 year re-set and if it can be exited at all and if  
            there is a penalty. Mr. Cowie stated that he spoke with Mr. Burningham who indicated  
            that is something we can certainly do and it remains a callable bond.

38          Mr. Cowie stated he will have an estimate run on what it could be in May (which  
40          is a total guess). Councilmember Lundberg asked if the savings isn’t somewhat of a  
42          crystal ball because no one really knows. Mr. Cowie stated it is like a crystal ball until  
44          they lock it in and secure it at closing and based on the market fluctuations. Mayor  
46          Acerson stated that it shouldn’t fluctuate tremendously but it could. Councilmember  
            Bean stated that he would like to see what the potential cost might be between now and  
            May. Councilmember Powell asked when we have to “pull the trigger”. Mr. Cowie  
            stated the sooner the better because the fluctuating line is going up so waiting another 2  
            or 3 weeks is a concern. Mr. Cowie stated he will give direction to go with the public  
            placement option which will lock the rate and he will move ahead. Mayor Acerson

2 directed Mr. Cowie to have Mr. Burningham move forward with this if he feels comfortable.

4 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council. Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

- 6
- 8 9. **Discussion Item** – *Insurance Coverage for Lindon City*. The City Council and staff will discuss current property and liability insurance coverages and desired procedures for soliciting bids for future insurance coverage.

10

12 Mr. Cowie gave a brief summary of this agenda item explaining that Lindon City has given its one-year advance “Notice of Intent to Withdraw” from the Utah Risk Management Mutual Association (URMMA) and its joint protection insurance program, which will be effective July 1, 2015. He stated the notice was provided in order to solicit competitive bids and select the best overall services for insurance coverage prior to Lindon’s 2015-16 fiscal year (July 1st). Mr. Cowie stated that the City’s intent is to request competitive bids within the next few weeks with URMMA being invited to be part of the bid process whether by RFP or not. Mr. Cowie explained that we anticipate that other insurance brokers and providers will also bid on the service.

14

16

18

20 Mr. Cowie explained the premiums combined with worker’s compensation are with the Utah Local Government Trust and URMMA does not provide worker’s compensation insurance so we are currently with two separate providers. The premiums are under \$30,000 but under the current plan with URRMA some of the down sides are these payback requirements and higher deductibles. Mr. Cowie noted that he is leaning towards making the switch to the Trust who currently serves over 400 different entities. He noted that we will have to continue to pay the \$25,000 settlement whether with URMMA or not over the next 5 years.

22

24

26

28 Councilmember Bean commented that he feels good about going with the Trust. He would also suggest asking some comparable cities (by population) who have gone that route and see what their track record is. He added that given how many cities are currently in the Trust portfolio it gives him a lot of confidence. Mr. Cowie stated that he will gather more information and bring the item back for further discussion.

30

32

34 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or questions from the Council. Hearing none he moved on to the next agenda item.

36 10. **COUNCIL REPORTS:**

38 **Councilmember Powell** – Councilmember Powell reported that she was unable to attend the last Community Center Advisory Board meeting. She noted there are two new members, Steve Barsch and Jenny Anderson. Ms. Anderson was elected as the new chair replacing LaDawn Edwards who had to step down as chair due to injuries sustained in a car accident in December. She also reported that the Community Center will be holding their second movie (Wreck-it-Ralph) at 6:00 pm on February 27, 2015. Councilmember Powell mentioned that she will be attending the North County Outreach meeting next week. Councilmember Powell further reported that the first Lindon Days meeting is coming up the first week of March noting they will be making changes to some of the ways the events are held. Councilmember Powell reported that she attended a LML workshop where she explained how funding from the city works, etc. and noted the girls

2 were very appreciative. She noted the Little Miss Lindon pageant will be help on March  
7<sup>th</sup> at Oak Canyon Jr. High and to RSVP to reserve a seat up front at the pageant. She  
4 noted there are 20 contestants participating and it should be a fun evening.  
6 Councilmember Powell also reported that she attended the engineering coordination  
meeting with Mayor Acerson and Councilmember Broderick which was very  
informative. She also reported that she has had conversations with Chief Cullimore  
8 regarding the Neighborhood Watch Program and they will start with the Central Stake.

10 **Councilmember Bean** – Councilmember Bean mentioned that he appreciates the efforts  
put forth on the recent Ivory tour and meetings and feels we are making good progress.  
12 He noted that the Planning Commission feels that their opinions and voices matter which  
is beneficial and he hopes to continue with that. Councilmember Bean reported that there  
14 is still a vacant Planning Commission position and noted Ron Anderson mentioned a  
name of someone on the west side who may be willing to serve. He noted to contact  
16 himself, the Mayor or Mr. Van Wagenen with any suggestions.

18 **Chief Cullimore** – Chief Cullimore reported that Officer Curtis Campbell was recently  
honored as one of the officers of the year for the seven cities in North Utah County.  
20 Chief Cullimore also reported on the officer involved shooting in Provo and mentioned it  
is a cooperative effort with Utah County. He also mentioned to be aware that BYU will  
22 be filming a movie on the trail by the tunnel on February 20<sup>th</sup>. Chief Cullimore further  
reported that they have completed the tobacco compliance checks and all outlets were in  
24 compliance which is good. Chief Cullimore also reported on the Neighborhood Watch  
Program noting several neighborhoods have committed to hold meetings to help get the  
26 program revitalized and he mentioned that he has the signs available.

28 **Councilmember Hoyt** – Councilmember Hoyt reported he will not be able to attend the  
next Council meeting. He also reported that several people have approached him on the  
30 Ivory Development and inquired what information can be shared. Mr. Cowie stated that  
it is public information but to keep in mind they are drafts they are reviewing.

32 **Councilmember Broderick** – Councilmember Broderick reported that he plans on  
34 attending the upcoming Provo River Water Users Annual Shareholders meeting. He also  
reported that Alan College responded and indicated that he will proceed to do his own  
36 fence and will come in to discuss his water shares options with Mr. Cowie.  
Councilmember Broderick reminded the Council to look at the cemetery plans as to wrap  
38 up this issue with the Dain's.

40 **Councilmember Lundberg** – Councilmember Lundberg reported that she received a call  
about Terri Marchbanks coming to address the Council tonight regarding the Little Miss  
42 Lindon Program and the Miss Pleasant Grove Pageant noting they did not want to offend  
anyone about the request if the Council were to say no to the proposed joint scholarship  
44 venture. Councilmember Lundberg expressed her thoughts on getting full branding  
(recognition) for participation noting they indicated they would like to be able to say that  
46 Lindon is participating. Following some discussion the Council was in agreement to offer

2 the support of the scholarship fund with \$2,000 each year. Mr. Cowie stated he will bring  
4 this issue back as an action item at the next meeting.

6 **Mayor Acerson** – Mayor Acerson reported that he met with the Alpine School District  
8 along with Mr. Cowie and Jason Burningham. There was then some general discussion  
10 by the Council regarding the meeting with ASD. Mayor Acerson also mentioned that he  
12 talked to Heath Bateman who indicated he wants to change the Easter Egg Hunt from the  
14 Main Park to Pheasant Brook Park on 800 West. Mayor Acerson stated that they also  
discussed the possibility of rotating the event to a different park each year which may  
pull more people in. The Council agreed it would be a good idea to rotate the event to  
different parks in the city but to make sure the signage is sufficient. Mayor Acerson also  
reported that he got the impression from Orem City that they may not be paying the next  
Opex payments.

### 16 **Administrator’s Report:**

18 Mr. Cowie reported on the following items followed by discussion.

### 20 **Misc. Updates:**

- 20 • February City newsletter
- 22 • Everbridge Emergency Notification System: sign-up available to the public. Links  
provided on web site and in newsletter
- 24 • Alpine School District – CDA update
- Legislative Updates
- Budget Meeting Kick-off discussion
- 26 • Misc. Items

### 28 **Upcoming Meetings & Events:**

- 30 • Newsletter Assignment: Councilmember Hoyt - March newsletter article. *Due by  
last week in February.*
- 32 • March 3<sup>rd</sup> at 6:00 pm – Orem Fire Station tour. 255 N. 1200 W., Orem. All  
Councilmembers will attend.
- 34 • March 7<sup>th</sup> at 6:00 pm – Little Miss Lindon Pageant at Oak Canyon Jr High
- April 13<sup>th</sup> at 12:30 pm – Budget Committee lunch meeting Mayor Acerson,  
Councilmember Bean and Councilmember Hoyt will attend
- 36 • April 14<sup>th</sup> at Noon – Engineering Coordination meeting at Public Works Mayor  
Acerson and Councilmember Broderick will attend
- 38 • April 24<sup>th</sup> through May 1<sup>st</sup> – City Wide Clean Up (dumpsters for public use)

### 40 **Future items:**

- 42 • Employee Policy Manual updates

44 Mayor Acerson called for any further comments or discussion from the Council.  
Hearing none he called for a motion to adjourn.

### 46 **Adjourn** –

2 COUNCILMEMBER BRODERICK MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING  
AT 10:15 PM. COUNCILMEMBER POWELL SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL  
4 PRESENT VOTED IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED.

6 Approved – March 3, 2015

8

10 

---

Kathryn Moosman, City Recorder

12

14 

---

Jeff Acerson, Mayor