

**MINUTES OF THE VINEYARD TOWN  
WORK SESSION AND COUNCIL MEETING  
Vineyard Town Hall, 240 East Gammon Road, Vineyard, Utah  
May 13, 2015 at 6:00 pm**

**6:00 PM                      WORK SESSION WITH STAFF**

**Present:**

Mayor Farnworth  
Councilmember Dale Goodman  
Councilmember Julie Fullmer

**Absent**

Councilmember Nate Riley  
Councilmember Sean Fernandez

**Staff Present:** Public Works Director/Engineer Don Overson, Attorney David Church, Town Planner Nathan Crane, Deputy Collin Gordon, Treasurer Jacob McHargue, Town Clerk/Recorder Pamela Spencer

**Others Present:** Resident Chris Judd

Mayor Farnworth and staff discussed the agenda items for the regular session.

Mayor Farnworth asked for other comments not on the agenda.

**7:00 PM                      COUNCIL MEETING**

**Present:**

Mayor Farnworth  
Councilmember Nate Riley  
Councilmember Sean Fernandez  
Councilmember Dale Goodman  
Councilmember Julie Fullmer

**Absent**

**Staff Present:** Public Works Director/Engineer Don Overson, Attorney David Church, Town Planner Nathan Crane, Deputy Collin Gordon, Treasurer Jacob McHargue, Town Clerk/Recorder Pamela Spencer, Planning Commission Chair Wayne Holdaway

**Others Present:** Resident Chris Judd, Tyce Flake, Marcus Jessop, Robin Bautista, Kelley Godbold, Alan Taylor with Forsgren Associates, Pete Evans, Nate Hutchinson, Bronson Tatton with Flagship, and Garrett Seely with Woodside Homes, Gerald Anderson with Anderson Development, Stewart Park with Anderson Geneva, David Pitcher with CUWCD.

**Regular Session -** The meeting was called to order at 7:04 PM. The invocation was offered by Councilmember Fullmer.

**CONSENT ITEMS:**

- a) Approval of Minutes for January 26, 2015 Retreat
- b) Approval of Minutes for March 25, 2015

Mayor Farnworth called for motion.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER MOVED TO APPROVE THE CONSENT ITEMS. COUNCILMEMBER RILEY SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

**PLANNING COMMISSION UPDATE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL:**

Planning Commission Chair Wayne Holdaway – Chair Holdaway had no new items to report.

**STAFF REPORT**

Public Works Director /Engineer– Don Overson – Mr. Overson reported that the Vineyard Connector would be open to Mill Road in three weeks and that the final connection to Main Street will be complete in July. He stated that they should have brick on the restrooms this week, and the roof the following week. He said that he has the site plans for the new public safety building ready to go out to bid. He mentioned that an RDA budget item is to add streetlights on Mill Road.

Attorney – David Church – Mr. Church mentioned that he spoke with Teri Newell from UDOT about discussing the possibility of allowing Vineyard to use a portion of their loan to purchase the right-of-way for the UTA Trax line. Mayor Farnworth said that their meeting is next Thursday in Brigham City.

Utah County Sheriff Department – Collin Gordon – Deputy Gordon had no new items to report

Planner – Nathan Crane – Mr. Crane reported that he had a meeting with R2R about the shops in front of the Megaplex.

Treasurer – Jacob McHargue – Mr. McHargue had no new items to report

Town Clerk/Recorder – Pamela Spencer Ms. Spencer had no new items to report

**COUNCILMEMBERS' REPORTS**

Councilmember Nate Riley – Councilmember Riley had no new items to report.

Councilmember Dale Goodman – Councilmember Goodman had no new items to report.

Councilmember Julie Fullmer – Councilmember Fullmer reported that they are working with someone for branding and the website and that there is a summer event scheduled for a Monday in July.

Councilmember Sean Fernandez – Councilmember Fernandez reported that he attended the TSSD board meeting where they discussed their budget. He said that the ULCT is visiting cities and counties about the transportation tax. Mr. Church explained the procedures for requesting the County to put the tax on the ballot.

**MAYOR'S REPORT** – Mayor Farnworth discussed the recycling program. Councilmember Fullmer mentioned that they added the information on Facebook so the residents can exchange their trash cans for new ones and get their recycling bins.

Mayor Farnworth asked Mr. Overson to talk about the Federal TIGER grant. Mr. Overson explained what the TIGER Grant is and that a fund specialist with JUB is willing to help submit the

request. He said it is a grant that requires matching funds from Vineyard of \$4 million and the Town could receive up to \$40 million. He mentioned that this grant could help build the rail station, overpass, trail systems, etc. He said they would submit the request by July 2016 and if awarded the grant they would have 2 years to spend it. Councilmember Fernandez asked if they can use RDA funds for the matching monies. Mr. Church replied that they could use the RDA funds. He explained that there would be up-front costs to put the application together and lobby for the funds. Councilmember Riley felt that they should try to get the funds. Councilmember Fernandez agreed to move forward with the request.

Mayor Farnworth reported that he is setting up a time to meet with Orem about the fire contract, parking, tumbleweed, and water storage issues.

#### **OPEN SESSION:** *Citizens' Comments*

Mayor Farnworth opened the public session.

Resident Wayne Holdaway said he spoke with Orem's supervisor about getting a crossing guard at 400 South and Geneva. Mr. Overson said that there are many children coming from the apartment complexes and from Orem on the east side of Geneva Road.

Resident Tyce Flake inquired about removal of the 4-1/2 foot tall Russian thistles growing on the trail. Mayor Farnworth said that they would send someone to take care of them.

Resident Marcus Jessop mentioned the tumbleweeds on the north side of Gammon Road. He said that the neighbors want to do a controlled burn and asked who is responsible for them. Deputy Gordon said that he would contact their fire department to do a controlled burn. Mr. Overson reminded them that they will need to get permission from the property owners. Mr. Church said that he will do a notice tonight about removing the weeds.

Mayor Farnworth called for additional comments. Hearing none, he closed the session.

#### **BUSINESS ITEMS:**

##### **8.1 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Ordinance 2015-05 Municipal Code**

Attorney David Church will present the Municipal Code Ordinance. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over to Town Attorney David Church.

Mr. Church explained the history of the Town's incorporation in 1989 and adoption of the original code and the ordinances that were being passed along with amendments, etc. He said that the Town Clerks over the years have not had time to keep up with the code by adding in the amended ordinances. He stated that he took the original municipal code, used ordinances that were passed since the initial code, added missing items, or portions that were partially there, and updated outdated code sections to create a revised code. He mentioned that the Sheriff requested they adopt the animal control ordinance that matches the county code along with the County crimes codes that are not part of the State's criminal code. He said that there is still work that needs to be done with numbering in some of the sections. He told the Council that they could either pass it tonight and amend it as needed or take a couple of weeks to look at it. He informed them that the municipal code does not contain the Zoning and Subdivision Code. Councilmember Fernandez asked if they already had a municipal code and they

were just amending it. Mr. Church affirmed that this would be the base line. He mentioned that one of the Recorder's duties would be to keep a current copy of the code by adding any Ordinances passed.

Mayor Farnworth asked the council what they would like to do. Council agreed to review it and put it on the next agenda.

## **8.2 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – CUWCD Ordinance 2015-06**

CUWCD is requesting that the Town adopt a Drinking Water Source Protection Ordinance. This ordinance will prohibit uses that are a source of pollution to the underground aquifers. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over to Town Planner Nathan Crane.

Mr. Crane explained the request from Central Utah Water Conservancy District (CUWCD). He said the Ordinance implements prohibitions on groundwater uses in certain zones. He pointed out where the zones are and which uses are permitted and which ones are not. He said that many of the uses can be located in these zones; however they have to do certain things to prepare the water before it goes into the ground. He stated that this a standard Ordinance and is twofold based on regulations, definitions with maps included. He said that any projects that are near or have a well site they will need to run through two stages with CUWCD for the review and construction plans to meet these regulations.

Councilmember Riley pointed out that there are well houses around wells 11 & 12 and wanted to know if all of the other wells would have well houses around them. David Pitcher with CUWCD explained that they are building well houses as new developments go in. Councilmember Riley mentioned that they would need site approval in the Town Center area and to have additional coordination to complement the design guidelines they are putting together for that area. He asked how it would affect the development next to the tracks where there may be parking garages. Mr. Crane replied that it would depend on the use, such as Zone 2 is a grocery store and a parking lot, and they would need to put in a sump pump and protect the sewer pipe. He suggested that they could do a parking lot with the water flowing away from it. Mr. Pitcher gave a couple of examples; one being Center Street encroaching into their easement and it needs a source protection plan with the town. He said the second example was if there is a storage site for pesticides it would be identified as a potential source of contamination and be include in the approval for secondary containment.

Mayor Farnworth asked how they protect around the rail in case of a derailment. Mr. Pitcher said they are required to go through the process and isolate the wells by encasing them down 300 feet for protection against that type of contamination. Mayor Farnworth asked if at the time they want to develop that particular site then engineers need to make sure they use the best practices to protect the water. Mr. Pitcher said that those wells might not be drilled for another 20 years but development could happen around them in the meantime.

Mr. Gerald Anderson with Anderson Development asked how far they could move the wells. Mr. Pitcher said that the maximum they could be moved is 150 feet. Mr. Anderson mentioned that they have moved two wells for them.

Mr. Church reminded the Council that they preapproved a blanket permit and design for 18 well houses so they did not have to come in for approval every time they want to build one.

Councilmember Riley expressed his concern for the wells around the train station and in the heart of the project.

Mr. Church said that every public water system that has their own wells and supplies has a similar ordinance, which is a standard ordinance. He explained that the difference is that CUWCD owns the wells but as a public water provider, we are required to have a source protection plan.

Councilmember Riley asked if this will apply to Vineyard's sources as well. Mr. Church said not the home wells but wells that go into the culinary water system. Mr. Crane clarified that they are required to do certain mitigation measures the closer they get to the well. Mr. Pitcher said that the only wells that have been drilled with well houses are 11 and 12 and that 13, 14, and 15 have been drilled but are capped.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FERNANDEZ MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 2015-06 ADOPTING THE DRINKING WATER SOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL IN FAVOR. MAYOR FARNWORTH CALLED FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE. MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBER FERNANDEZ, COUNCILMEMBER RILEY, COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN, AND COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER VOTED AYE. MOTION CARRIED.

### **8.3 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – New Vineyard Road - Dedication of Right-of-Way**

The applicant is proposing to dedicate the public right of way for the New Vineyard Road alignment. This will allow the road design and construction to proceed while the development plans for Pod 1 are finalized. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

The applicant pulled this item for corrections.

### **8.4 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – WatersEdge Park Area and Timing of Open Space Dedication**

The Developer is requesting that the Mayor and Town Council allow encroachment of .65 acres of wetlands into the WatersEdge 18 acre park. They would also like to discuss the timing for the open space dedication. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over to Nathan Crane.

Mr. Crane mentioned that this is a two-part request. He explained that the first part is that in the reimbursement agreement it requires all parks and open space to be dedicated to the Town prior to subdivision approval. He said their request is to allow the dedication to take place as the plats come in, which allows some flexibility in placement and planning. He said that the second request is the 18-acre park and wetlands delineation showing the wetlands as an encroachment into the park.

Mayor Farnworth suggested they discuss the timing of the parks and open space dedication. Pete Evans with Flagship Homes said that they have dedicated Main Street, Vineyard Road/400 North, and the 18-acre park to the town.

Mayor Farnworth expressed his concerns about having the same scenario that they had with the Homesteads. He said that they gave them the density and then the economy tanked and the town did not get the overpass, fire station, etc., that they were promised. He said if they are to move in that direction, the things that need to be negotiated are the trails and parks, because the roads are already dedicated and the trails are not. Mr. Evans stated that it is the trails and the 3-acre and 5-acre parks that have not been dedicated. He said as of today, Main Street, Vineyard Road/400 North and the 18-acre park have been dedicated but other roads throughout the project have not been dedicated. He explained that the agreement states that the parks and trails are to be dedicated now and then constructed with the adjacent phase. He requested that they allow them to dedicate the parks and trails when they plan each phase of the development. He said this would allow them the flexibility to plan the area and adjust the

placement of the parks and trails as they determine the lot layout that fits best in each of the areas. He gave an example of 6,000 square foot lots fitting in areas that were originally 4,500 square foot lots. He pointed out that they know they cannot go with smaller lots, but could go with larger lots and they will not know this until they plan each phase.

Mayor Farnworth asked for clarification that they are only talking about the trails and the two smaller parks. Mr. Evans explained that they are required to include the open space with the plat.

Mayor Farnworth feared that at the end of the equation they would not get the open space promised. Councilmember Riley said he is concerned that they will end up with a smaller trail system. He said that in the original proposal, they were promised seven miles of trails and they do not want to end up with only three miles. Mr. Crane explained that on the bubble map, they show a certain amount of green space and you will still end up that amount. Mr. Evans said that when they laid out the streets in the area it did not make sense to put the trail where it was originally placed; it made sense to move it so that it lines up with the park and other trails. He requested to have the placement left open so they could shift them as needed. Councilmember Riley reminded them that they were going to request bonding for those amenities. Mr. Evans said that would be a good point if they were required to complete the trails up-front. He explained that it is a dedication issue, and they are not allowed to submit a plat without the dedication.

Mr. Church suggested that Flagship give them a deed of dedication with so many feet of trail and have an agreement to allow them within reason to relocate the trails as necessary.

Councilmember Riley said that they went through the negotiation process to allow higher density and to come up with a plan, and in the approval of the WatersEdge development, the Town would get seven miles of trail for the increased density. Mr. Church said that currently they are to dedicate the ground for the trails and parks up-front and then build them when each subdivision goes in. Mr. Evans said that in order to locate the exact ground to dedicate the land now they will have to do all of their plats up-front or change the land dedication every time they plat a subdivision. He suggested they dedicate the amount of ground for the smaller parks and trails in those areas now and then have the flexibility to adjust the placement as they plat each subdivision. Mr. Church mentioned that the Town would need to sign on the plat as an owner.

Council agreed to keep the two items separate.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion on the timing of the park and trail dedications.

Mr. Crane recommended that they require metes and bounds dedication for parks, open space and trails, with the ability to modify that dedication with the preliminary plat design.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FERNANDEZ MOVED TO REQUIRE DEDICATION OF THE PARKS AND TRAILS IN METES AND BOUNDS ON THE WATERSEDGE PROJECT WITH THE FINAL LOCATION BEING SURED UP AT THE TIME OF THE FINAL PLAT. COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

Mayor Farnworth explained that the second part of the request is about the delineation of the wetlands and how it affects the 18-acre park. He said that with the delineation of the wetlands being just over ½ an acre larger than originally thought, they will not get the full 18-acres of park and the developer wants to know if they can include it as part of the park. Mr. Church said that Mr. Crane wanted to make Council aware that it will not be grass side to side, that there is a section of the park currently in the wetlands delineation.

Councilmember Fernandez asked if there any limitations on how they can utilize the property. Mr. Evans said that they can mow it but cannot discharge anything into it. He felt that it would not change any usability or functionality of the park and they will still have the same amenities.

Mr. Church explained that the park would blend into the open space area. Mr. Evans stated that the park will be the same as originally proposed, except that the wetlands is a little larger than originally shown and will affect the edge condition.

Councilmember Riley asked if it is not usable open space could they extend it to the south.

The Council discussed their concerns with the park design, the size of the parking lot, the soccer fields, and trails, and how they would connect to the park. Mr. Crane explained that this is just a preliminary layout and the design would be discussed at a later date.

Mr. Evans disagreed that the wetlands are not usable space. He said that there are things you cannot do with them such as a parking lot and said that they will not be able to see the defining line between the open space and the wetlands.

Mr. Crane mentioned that they have two items with the park design that they need to give input on. The first is that there is a 20-foot sledding hill, and the second is how they want the edge treated.

Nate Hutchinson with Flagship explained that in the original conceptual plan that was approved they had an 18-acre park with an additional 24.4 acres of open space. He said that the wetlands delineation is smaller than the 24 acres, and they are leaving the additional acres as open space. He added that over time if the land dries up they will have the extra open space to expand the park.

Mr. Evans said that there is more coordination to consider as they look at the other park spaces.

The Council discussed their concerns with the park design, the size of the parking lot, the soccer fields, and the trails and how they will connect to the park.

Mr. Church said the question is how are they treating the wetlands, and is it an 18-acre park with the wetlands or without the wetlands. Mr. Evans said that it does not change the nature, character, or usability of the park, and you will not be able to tell where the park starts and the wetlands end.

Mr. Bronson Tatton with Flagship said that they talked about putting the edge condition closer to the wetlands.

Councilmember Goodman asked why they are putting a sledding hill on the detention basin. Mr. Evans said that they need a place to put the excess dirt and anywhere there are hills near soccer fields they become a play amenity. Councilmember Goodman asked if the sand volleyball courts could be placed in the detention basin and move the basketball courts down to utilize less space. Mayor Farnworth said that the park design is in flux and they do not have to have all of the amenities listed in the current design.

Council discussed moving the park boundary to the south and concluded that it would either make the lots so small that they would not qualify as 8,000 square foot lots or they would have to remove a row of houses. The other option was to adjust the boundary to the north.

Councilmember Fernandez asked if the wetlands delineation had been dedicated to the Town. Mayor Farnworth said that if the wetlands dry up the Town would receive close to 25 acres.

Councilmember Riley said they are talking about what if, and asked who is going to guarantee that it will happen. He said his concern is that it will become something that can be encroached on and changed. Mr. Evans said that if the wetlands go away then the area would remain open space. Mayor Farnworth asked if the wetlands dry up would the town be responsible to maintain it. Mr. Evans said it would be the Town's responsibility to maintain the land.

Councilmember Fernandez said that he agreed to make the .65 acres of the wetlands part of the 18-acre park.

Mr. Tatton said that the area would be grass, with some of the grass taller than the rest, and he suggested that they could put something like a picnic area on it.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

**Motion:** MAYOR FARNWORTH MOVED TO ACCEPT THE PLAN AS IS AND THAT THE AREA OF THE WETLANDS STAYS AS IN THE FORMAL PROPOSAL. MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBER FERNANDEZ, COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN VOTED AYE AND COUNCILMEMBER RILEY VOTED NAY. MOTION CARRIED 4-1.

### **8.5 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Sleepy Ridge Subdivision Traffic Calming**

The Mayor and Town Council will discuss the Traffic Calming concerns within the Sleepy Ridge Subdivision. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth stated that they tried several methods to control traffic in the last year and it has been requested again for more controls, stop signs, speed bumps, and more patrols. He said that it needs further discussion and that they need to come up with ideas calm the traffic more. He mentioned that a signed petition was turned in with 15 signatures from residents on Lake View Drive in favor of using speed bumps. He also mentioned that there was information handed out at the last Town Council meeting for review as well. He said that Council asked Mr. Overson to come up with a traffic calming process. He stated that there are people who want the speed bumps and people who do not want them. He said that they need to come up with a committee or some other way they can talk to the same people each time.

Councilmember Riley felt that there was some clear direction from the past meetings. He said that they had legal complications with stop signs, and they cannot have law enforcement 24/7. He added that depending what Mr. Overson has come up with as a way to control it, the next step was to install speed bumps. He said that the citizens were told to get a petition signed, but he did not find written anywhere that states they were required to get a majority of residents' signatures.

Mayor Farnworth said that they need to clarify the process and possibly have a committee to work with. He said that they discussed the issue and if it were cut and dried they and could put in speed bumps and not have the other residents mad at them then it would be a great solution. He said that they talked about having radar-enabled speed signs that can tell them more of what is happening there.

Resident Kelley Godbold of Sleepy Ridge said that there are public safety codes on the books that they were never asked if they agreed with. She mentioned that she has noticed the increased patrols, but it does not make sense to her that you cannot do this because you do not want to hear people complain. She felt that it was a public safety issue and was concerned that the Town did not want to deal with other people and asked what makes this issue different. Mayor Farnworth asked if Ms. Godbold sees it as a public safety issue. Ms. Godbold said yes and mentioned that she brought in studies to prove it is a public safety problem. Mayor Farnworth said that another person might not see it as a public safety issue. He explained that the issue they are dealing with is in Vineyard and with the people who live here. He said the warrant study done by professionals to see if it is a safety issue found that the average speed is 18 miles an hour. He asked what if they go ahead and spend \$10,000 to put down speed bumps, and then have to pay remove them. He said that it is not that they are trying to push it off but they are trying to get it right.

Ms. Godbold stated that she has attended the Council meetings where they have discussed this issue and heard Councilmembers say that they agree that it is an issue. She expressed her concerns with issues not being taken care of and the Town not wanting the hear people complain. She mentioned

the petition signed by all of the people from the Higginson's home to the entrance to Lake View Drive from 400 South.

Councilmember Fernandez said that he is familiar with speed bumps because they had them on Holdaway Road for twelve (12) years until they were removed when they redid the road. He felt that speed bumps do reduce the speed. He agreed with the residents and Councilmember Riley that they need to install the speed bumps.

Councilmember Fullmer mentioned that residents are always talking about it on the Sleepy Ridge Facebook site. She said that all of the residents who live on Lake View Drive see that site and could be coming to these meetings. She said that if they are not voicing their opinion now and with the many conversations the Council has had, then maybe it is time to put in the speed bumps.

Mayor Farnworth said that in front of his house, he is one voice, and they will never be able to put speed bumps on a collector road. He said that the argument is if you put them in Sleepy Ridge is it fair that they do not put them in front of his house.

Councilmember Riley stated that he is in favor of the speed bumps. He said that if 400 South is too big he may not choose to live there. Mayor Farnworth said that the town is growing and will have the issue from now on and they are getting ready for the added expense and the acknowledgment. He stated that they might put them in and not have one person upset. He said they if they do not like it they should be here tonight to voice their opinion.

Councilmember Riley asked for Mr. Overson's recommendations. Mr. Overson said that in his opinion it is no longer a safety issue but a political issue. He said that the data collected does not support putting in a speed hump. He said that it would not keep people from texting, putting kids on their laps, or not putting children in seat belts. He mentioned that they have had an issue with a snowplow that hit a differential and caused \$8,000 worth of damage. Ms. Godbold mentioned a study that she found regarding proper operation of snowplows and that speed humps do not affect them.

Councilmember Fullmer asked where they would put the speed bumps if they were to install them and asked how many they would need and what the cost would be. Mr. Overson explained the difference between speed bumps and speed humps: speed bumps are for parking lots where people are going 0-5 miles an hour and speed humps are for people that are 10-20 miles an hour. He said they would cost around \$4,500 apiece.

Mr. Anderson mentioned a case where South Jordan installed speed bumps and speed humps and were sued by the residents. He suggested they look at it to see how they came up with a balance.

Mayor Farnworth asked the Council for any further discussion or to make a decision.

Councilmember Fullmer suggested that they need only need one speed hump from 475 to 400 South.

Mr. Judd said that the petition only went to a certain distance, but Lake View Drive goes to the mail boxes and if they talked with the those residents they would be in favor of one near the mailboxes. Deputy Gordon said that people park there and it is less straight in that area, whereas at the north end of Lake View Drive no one parks curbside.

Mayor Farnworth said that they are looking at \$4,500 per speed bump or a radar sign that costs a minimum of \$2,500.

Mr. Overson said they would need two speed humps, one between 575 South and 400 South and one between 575 and the mailboxes.

Ms. Godbold said that there is a report that gives the details on placement of speed humps. Mayor Farnworth wanted to leave it up to the engineer for placement. Mr. Overson mentioned that the length of the hump goes from asphalt to asphalt, and that they will need to install warning signs and have the speed humps painted.

Deputy Gordon suggested that they need to have an education process to protect the children. He said this would be an attraction for children on bicycles and scooters.

Mayor Farnworth said they need to be prepared that this issue will go into other subdivisions.

Mr. Judd asked if they could put in radar signs while they are waiting for the speed humps to go in.

Town Water Operator Sullivan Love said that south of 575 South is the only access for the residents and it would have to be installed a half at a time and that would cost more. Mr. Overson said that they could put in the manufactured speed humps; they are nailed into the asphalt and can be installed quickly.

Mayor Farnworth asked if they cause a faster deterioration of the asphalt. Mr. Overson said yes and mentioned that if a snowplow driver hits it and it is not against the road then you have to repair it. He said that because they are pre-manufactured they are easier to repair and replace.

Councilmember Riley asked if there are any other outcomes that a committee of residents could come up with that have not already been proposed. Councilmember Goodman said that the idea behind the committee was to get a few residents to go out and find out what the rest of the residents are interested in. Mr. Overson said that they need to get 75 percent of the residents' approval.

Mayor Farnworth explained that with the cost of the humps, installation, signs, and painting they would be spending around \$15,000.

Councilmember Fullmer asked how long it would take to install the non-prefabbed speed humps. Mr. Overson said that they are going to do the cement pad for the mailboxes in a couple of weeks and could prep the road at the same time.

Councilmember Riley asked how Mr. Overson came up with the conclusion that it is not a public safety issue and asked if he was using the warrant study. He said that he could not come up with another street where they have a similar situation. Mr. Overson stated that they have done a better job designing the other subdivisions. He said that they put in shorter roads and that they will not have another situation with the roads going around a golf course. He mentioned that his biggest concern is that they have taken numbers that do not show excessive speed, so the facts do not support placing speed humps.

Deputy Gordon remarked that based on the concerns from the last Council meeting, he has spent a lot of time in the Sleepy Ridge area and will continue to do so. He explained that the speeds he is seeing are not excessive and that you will always have an errant driver. He said he is aware that seeing the Sheriff's vehicle does slow people down. He indicated that the Shores subdivision has a longer stretch of road and has the potential for speeding.

Councilmember Goodman said that most people probably were not aware of a traffic study and it does show that the speeds are lower than expected. He said that as a Public Works Director for another city, he deals with it professionally with broken snowplows and drivers upset by hitting the speed humps. He said that they only make a difference in front of the homes where the speed humps are placed, and that people will slow down at the speed hump and then speed up to make up for it.

Ms. Godbold explained that the natural flow for the rest of the subdivision with the curves slows people down. She felt that the warrant study was not accurate because of the number of times she would drive over the traffic cables, at least 5 to 8 times a day at a speed of one (1) mile an hour. She said that she has put out deterrents and they have been knocked over and she does not want to see a child hit. She said it will not be a matter of if but when a child is hit.

Mayor Farnworth called for an action.

Resident Robin Bautista asked if the Council had any other suggestions that will keep their children alive. Mayor Farnworth said people who do not obey the law are not going to obey the law.

Councilmember Riley asked Mr. Church that if they have had all of the studies done that indicate that there is not an issue, is that where we open ourselves up for a legal fight. Mr. Church said it is not a legal liability for the city if they put them in right. He cited the example of South Jordan, explaining that the legal issue with the South Jordan neighbors was that they did not like the speed humps and the city would not take them out. He said that he agrees with Mr. Overson and that they have had an issue in every city he has represented and it is political and people are convinced both ways. He said that in the last community instead of doing the speed humps, they put in the signs, which worked until they were vandalized.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER MOVED TO PUT IN WHAT IS NECESSARY CONCERNING SPEED BUMPS ON THE SMALL STRETCH OF ROAD ON LAKE VIEW DRIVE.

Councilmember Fuller asked if they need to approve a budget for it. Mr. Church said they would need to shift the money around at the year-end budget adjustment. Mr. Overson suggested she add to the motion to authorize them to purchase and install.

THE COUNCIL AUTHORIZES STAFF TO PURCHASE AND INSTALL THE SPEED HUMPS. COUNCILMEMBER RILEY SECONDED. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

**8.6 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Gas Grill at the Gammon Park Pavilion (10 minutes)**

The Mayor and Town Council will discuss the continued use of the gas grills at the Gammon Park pavilion. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Councilmember Riley stated that he was willing to let the gas grills go and hoped that the charcoal grills were of adequate size. Mr. Overson explained that the grills are the same size; they just pulled the burners out to make room for charcoal.

**8.7 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Tentative Budget.**

The Mayor and Town Council will review and possibly act to approve the Tentative Budget for Fiscal Year 2015-2016. The proposed budget was discussed as an agenda item during the March 25, 2015 Town Council meeting. The Final Budget will be reviewed and approved following a Public Hearing to be held on May 27, 2015. The Mayor and Town Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth explained that they need to approve the tentative budget and then turned the time over to Treasurer Jacob McHargue.

Mr. McHargue said that the Council has a copy of the work sheet that is very detailed and if they call him he is willing to go over it with them. He mentioned that it shows the future year's tentative budget and 2014's actuals.

Councilmember Riley mentioned that when he looked at the electronic version the dates were not showing up and asked that he could fix it. Mr. McHargue said that it is a Pelorus issue. They asked if he could print his copy and scan it in as a PDF and email it to them.

Mr. McHargue explained the revenues they are projecting. He mentioned that almost 50 percent of the Town's revenue will come from property tax, which is an estimate because they do not have the information back from the Census Bureau. He said they will get the sales tax rate at the end of May and it should be higher than last year.

Mr. McHargue went over the top four expenses that take up 85 percent of the general fund, which are: Administration, Public Safety, Highways and Public Improvements, and Professional and

Technical Services. He explained that the salaries went up to allocate money for raises and an internship for a management analyst. He mentioned that equipment and supplies are for the Public Safety building. He said for Public Safety this the first year they will have a full cost for the time deputy and that they are in negotiations on the fire contract with Orem. He explained that the Highways and Public Improvements would see the highest increase in the budget with the hiring of two new positions. He said the road treatment is a mineral bond that will extend the life of the oil on the roads for another five years.

Mr. McHargue thanked the staff and Council for their help on the budget. He said that sanitation is higher because of the addition of recycling. He mentioned under Equipment and Supplies they included a cost for a Kiosk sign at the corner of Vineyard and Gammon Road for around \$30,000. He explained the Professional and Technical Services portion of the budget.

Councilmember Riley asked if there was an increase in the legal fees. Mr. McHargue said that he included the increase in the RDA budget.

Mr. McHargue talked about the two transfers they need to make, which are the water and sewer funds. He said that the sewer fund should be at break-even and the water fund is running at a smaller loss. He said with they will need vehicles for the new building and grounds positions and that they would need to purchase a Toolcat. Councilmember Goodman explained how a Toolcat works and how it would benefit the Town.

Mr. McHargue mentioned that they estimated that they would have 200 ERUs coming into the town. He explained that they used the same estimates as last year for the building permit fees, development fees, impact fees, and that they added 50 utility accounts for the water, sewer, garbage, and recycling fees.

Mr. Church mentioned that they need a motion to accept the budget and set the public hearing date. He suggested they hold the public hearing after they get the tax number.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER MOVED TO ACCEPT THE TENTATIVE BUDGET AND MOVE THE PUBLIC HEARING TO JUNE 10, 2015. COUNCILMEMBER RILEY SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL IN FAVOR. MOTION CARRIED.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN MOVED TO CLOSE THE MEETING. COUNCILMEMBER FERNANDEZ SECONDED THE MOTION.

The meeting adjourned at 9:46 PM. Next regularly scheduled meeting is May 27, 2015

MINUTES APPROVED ON: June 10, 2015

CERTIFIED CORRECT BY: /s/ Pamela Spencer  
P. SPENCER, TOWN CLERK/RECORDER