

AGENDA ITEM # VIII-C.

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Beaver County Special Service District #1

Project Title: Purchase of a new fire truck

Date Received: May 26, 2015

Date AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: June 10, 2015

Project Description:

The District needs to replace a 40 year old fire engine due to its unreliability. Planning has been in the works for a few years. The District currently sets aside money in a repair and replacement fund to help finance the acquisition of equipment. The District has saved up and set aside approximately \$120,000 for the purchase of the new fire engine. The apparatus to be purchased is a 2015 Ferrara MVP. The total price tag, including equipment and decals is \$520,000, with \$120,000 paid out of District cash, and \$400,000 requested from the CIB—half loan and half grant.

PROPOSED FUNDING: Applicant Cash: \$120,000; CIB Loan: \$200,000 for 15yrs@0%; CIB Grant: \$200,000
Total project cost: \$520,000.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

Through the purchase a new fire truck, the Beaver Special Service District #1 will be able to better assure it has needed critical fire equipment to provide fire protection to the eastern portion of Beaver County. The District offers services to approximately 3,000 residents within its boundaries. The primary benefit this project will provide is a reliable apparatus to provide fire protection to the residents and businesses in the service area; and substantially reduce the costs associated with maintaining 40 year old equipment.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

The District does not have sufficient cash available for the acquisition of the new fire truck. The District needs to replace the 40 year old engine due to its unreliability. The potential concern is that it won't start when needed, won't pump water when needed, or other mechanical malfunctions limiting the performance of the District personnel.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project on the date indicated above and voted to:

___ support this project. ___ remain neutral on this project. ___ not support this project.

Type Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director

AGENDA ITEM # VIII-C. (Continued)

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Milford City

Project Title: Milford Water Master Plan 2015

Date Received by AOG: May 26, 2015

Date AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: June 10, 2015

Project Description:

Milford City has identified a need for a current evaluation of the existing culinary water system in order to identify existing shortfalls and to plan for future growth. The City has not had a water master plan performed in the past 20 years, and does not have any planning documents on file for the water system. The Plan will include the collection of water system features with GPS map-grade equipment, development of GIS maps for the City. A hydraulic model will be developed and a 5-point analysis of the system will be completed. System demands over the next 20 years will be projected and a capital improvements plan based on the future needs will be developed.

PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB Grant: \$21,250 Local Cash: \$21,250 **Total project cost:** \$42,500.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

The City will be better prepared to maintain its current system and to properly prepare for future expected growth. Although the City has experienced modest growth in recent years, there is the prospect of greater influx of residents is industrial, mining and energy projects in the vicinity of Milford are realized. It is critical to the City to be able to identify the capacity and limitations of the existing system and to plan for future growth.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

The City has not completed a Water Master Plan or water-specific Capital Improvements Plan. The City will use this Plan towards efforts on maintaining existing infrastructure and preparing to address system improvements in the future. A challenge will be to establish a process and a funding mechanism so that the City can address future updating of the Water Master Plan on its own in the future without financial assistance.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project on the date indicated above and voted to:

___ support this project. ___ remain neutral on this project. ___ not support this project.

Typed Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director

AGENDA ITEM # VIII-C. (Continued)

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Town of Hatch

Project Title: Utilities and Drainage Master Plan

Date Received: May 26, 2015

Date AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: June 10, 2015

Project Description:

The Town of Hatch has its own water system that is owned and maintained by the Town. Improvements to the system have been done within the past 10 years but updating and maintaining a Master Plan has not been done since 2004. The Town has all of the residents on septic systems. The streets are paved roads without curb and gutter. The Town has never completed a comprehensive Utilities and Drainage Master Plan and an accompanying capital improvements plan that would assess all utilities and drainage of the Town.

PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB Grant: \$25,000 Local Cash: \$25,000 **Total project cost: \$50,000.**

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

A new Utilities and Drainage Master Plan would give the Town goals and a vision of future projects and their benefits. Also, a plan would help acquire funding from various agencies because a scope of work and the benefits of the project would already be outlined. The proposed project would include a mapping of the existing Town boundaries, simple site survey for grading and drainage information, survey of all existing water system information, review of existing septic systems and propose alternatives for treatment, and provide a drainage plan for future curb and gutter and storm drain.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

Many changes have been done since the last plan was completed. Also, the Town has never implemented a comprehensive Utilities and Drainage Master Plan Capital Improvements Plan that would assess all utilities and drainage for the Town. The Town feels strongly that completing this study will provide the Town with a vision and allow the Town Council to focus their efforts on updating and maintaining existing infrastructure.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project on the date indicated above and voted to:

___ support this project. ___ remain neutral on this project. ___ not support this project.

Typed Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director

AGENDA ITEM # VIII-C. (Continued)

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Kane County

Project Title: Class B & D Road Easement Perfection

Date Received: May 26, 2015

Date AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: June 10, 2015

Project Description:

Kane County is currently seeking to obtain permanent easements on its Class D roads, as well as a small number of Class B roads, across Utah School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration (SITLA) property. Of the approximately 95 miles of Class D roads across SITLA property, 20 miles will be acknowledged by SITLA without compensation. Those 20 miles of roads were established before SITLA acquired the underlying properties and are considered valid, existing rights. The remaining 75 miles of roads were established after SITLA acquired the underlying properties, which necessitates compensation to SITLA for corresponding permanent easements.
PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB Grant: \$139,000 **Total project cost:** \$139,000.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

Easement acquisition on County roads is critical to transportation and access within the County. Permanent easements protect the rights of Kane County residents to travel those roads, as well as the County's ability to maintain a safe road network. As the County pursues easements from other state or federal agencies, it is also important to be able to represent to those agencies that SITLA has already agreed to easements for those roads across its property.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

Historically, SITLA has funded easement acquisition for many counties through its OHV fund. While SITLA plans to continue using a dedicated portion of this fund for easement acquisition, they have indicated that demand has far outstripped the size of the fund. For this reason, Kane County is requesting CIB funds. Not receiving the requested financial assistance from the CIB will necessitate postponing the project until assistance is again available from SITLA's OHV fund, which will likely be many years.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project on the date indicated above and voted to:
____ support this project. ____ remain neutral on this project. ____ not support this project.

Type Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director

AGENDA ITEM # VIII-C. (Continued)

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Kane County Water Conservancy District

Project Title: Office and Warehouse

Date Received: May 20, 2015

Date AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: June 10, 2015

Project Description:

This District has rented upstairs office space from Mountain America Credit Union in Kanab for the past 15 years. The space is inadequate and is not ADA compliant. The District also has a need to house equipment and other assets out of exposure to the elements. The District intends to build a new multi-building facility on a 5 acre parcel that it will commit to this project near the Jackson Reservoir. The preliminary engineering analysis has been completed so that the District can provide the CIB with sufficient information to review this prospective project.

PROPOSED FUNDING: Applicant Cash: \$7,500; Applicant In-Kind: \$228,000 (land value);
 CIB Loan: \$350,000 for 30yrs@2.5%; CIB Grant: \$525,000 **Total project cost:** \$1,110,500.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

The new offices and facility will enable the District to more efficiently operate and maintain its equipment and resources. It will be able to transact business with its customers, as well as provide its employees, a facility that is ADA compliant. The new location will provide for a secure location where valuable and sensitive information may be safely stored. Expensive assets and equipment will be housed out of the elements. This facility will serve the needs of the District, its staff, and customers well into the future.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

Without this assistance the District will likely continue to rent in an inadequate office location without ADA compliance, and without storage facility its assets and equipment will weather prematurely because of their exposure to the elements.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project on the date indicated above and voted to:
 ___ support this project. ___ remain neutral on this project. ___ not support this project.

Type Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director

AGENDA ITEM # VIII-C. (Continued)

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Town of Apple Valley

Project Title: Gateway Project

Date Received: May 26, 2015

Date AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: June 10, 2015

Project Description:

The town will remove the existing bridge into Apple Valley from SR59 and replace it with a bridge that will be 70 feet wide and 60 feet long within a 72 foot right-of-way and improvements to the existing roadways, along with improved access to HWY 59 with additional ingress and egress lanes for safety. The roadway improvements include new curb and gutter, sidewalk, storm drain, and intersection improvements as well as placing a double chip seal on existing roadways.

PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB Loan: \$1,318,000 (30yrs@1.5%); CIB Grant: \$659,000 ; Local Cash: \$20,000

Total project cost: \$1,997,000.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

With a much appreciated and critically needed grant from the CIB board along with the Town's matching funds, we were able to design a project to improve the access with a new bridge along with improvement that will make a significant impact on the future of the community. This project is necessary to ensure the ability to navigate in and out of the community without having to be fearful of a major storm event rendering the bridge useless, leaving the residents with no access in or out from their homes or help to come in from outside the community.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

Since the construction of the bridge will take some time the new bridge will be placed next to the existing bridge in order to facilitate the alignment of the road then the old bridge will be removed once traffic is safely using the new bridge.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project on the date indicated above and voted to:

____ support this project. ____ remain neutral on this project. ____ not support this project.

Type Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director

AGENDA ITEM # VIII-C. (Continued)

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Ivins City

Project Title: Comprehensive Impact Fee Facility Plan and Impact Fee Analysis Update

Date Received: May 22, 2015

Date AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: June 10, 2015

Project Description:

This planning project will complete an Impact Fees Facilities Plan (IFFP) and Impact Fee Analysis (IFA) for the City of Ivins. Ivins City Collects impact fees for water, secondary water, storm water, sewer, streets, parks and public safety.

PROPOSED FUNDING: Applicant Cash: \$125,000; CIB Grant: \$125,000 **Total project cost:** \$250,000.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

The City has found that the information in the current plans in place are outdated and inaccurate in light of the changes in growth and the economy since the impact fees were last adopted. While a Water Impact Fee and associated plan were adopted in 2013 the remainder of the plans date to 2006 and 2008. At that time the economic slowdown drastically changed the projection for growth, impacting the need for, funding of, and timing of proposed improvements. This planning grant funding will enable the City to update these plans comprehensively in a timely manner.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

Without this assistance the City will be unable to complete these plans comprehensively in a timely manner. The planning and timing of addressing their needs must parallel the community's growth.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project on the date indicated above and voted to:

___ support this project. ___ remain neutral on this project. ___ not support this project.

Type Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director

AGENDA ITEM # VIII-C. (Continued)

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Rockville/Springdale Fire Protection District

Project Title: Feasibility Study and Master Plan

Date Received: May 26, 2015

Date AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: June 10, 2015

Project Description:

The District will conduct a feasibility study and master plan that will 1) evaluate current conditions of the RSFPD through an analysis of management processes, a review of its facilities and apparatus to establish needs relative to their existing condition and viability for use in the future, staffing levels, and service delivery and performance; 2) Assess future system demands based on population growth projections, service demand projections and community risk analysis; 3) identify and analyze operational models that can deliver the desired levels of service at the most efficient cost with recommendations for the best short-term, mid-term, and long-range strategies; 4) develop response standards and targets to the RSFPD’s performance goals that match the nature and type of risks identified; and lastly, 5) to provide tangible, comprehensive data to substantiate potential requests for future.

PROPOSED FUNDING: CIB Grant: \$20,000 Local Cash: \$20,000 **Total project cost:** \$40,000.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

To have a proactive role in meeting current and future demands / challenges a professional organization experienced in emergency services will help determine how the RSFPD is doing with respect to its current operational and managerial practices, as well as provide strategic planning for the short, mid, and long term, based on the needs and expectations of its service area. The overall outcome, simply stated, is how to effectively manage risk at an acceptable and sustainable cost.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

The firm selected to perform the study will need to develop a comprehensive understanding of the RSFPD and design the scope of the study so that it is tailored to meet expectations that are relevant and reasonable based on the unique nature and relative size of the canyon community.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project on the date indicated above and voted to:

___support this project. ___remain neutral on this project. ___not support this project.

Typed Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director

AGENDA ITEM # VIII-C. (Continued)

AOG: Five County Association of Governments

PERMANENT COMMUNITY IMPACT FUND BOARD (PCIFB) APPLICATION

REGIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW

Applicant: Springdale Town

Project Title: Springdale Town – Transportation Master Plan

Date Received: May 28, 2015

Date AOG Steering Committee Reviewed: June 10, 2015

Project Description:

The Town is proposing a Street and Transportation Master Plan to address future development, growth, and issues associated with an increasing number of visitors to Zion National Park. The plan will become the Town’s official map and policy toward street development and transportation planning. This plan will focus on moving people from residential and tourist areas to commercial centers which is their ultimate destination.

PROPOSED FUNDING: Applicant Cash: \$15,000; CIB Grant: \$15,000 **Total project cost:** \$30,000.

Is the project on the County Capital improvements List? Yes No

Is the project consistent with local and regional plans? Local: Yes No

Regional: Yes No

Is the project a planning project? Yes No

How will the project benefit the applicant community?

The plan will include development of an official street map that will determine functional classification for each roadway in town and future planned roadways. Improvements to SR-9 will be a focus for the plan as UDOT will want to ensure that traffic can flow efficiently through the town. Without compromising safety, this plan will need to be tailored specific to the users and the town character. One main focus of this plan is to enhance their pedestrian and bicycle plan to allow for more multimodal opportunities for bicycles and pedestrians.

What are challenges the project faces/creates?

This plan will be unique in the fact that Springdale does not have the luxury of lots of open space to accommodate widening and certain techniques.

AOG Recommendation:

The Five County Association of Governments Steering Committee reviewed this project on the date indicated above and voted to:

____ support this project. ____ remain neutral on this project. ____ not support this project.

Type Name: Bryan Thiriot

Signature: _____, Executive Director