

**MINUTES OF THE  
SOUTH OGDEN CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
Tuesday, May 5, 2015 – 6:00 p.m.  
Council Chambers, City Hall

**COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT**

Mayor James F. Minster, Council Members Sallee Orr, Wayne Smith, Brent Strate, Russ Porter and Bryan Benard

**COUNCIL MEMBERS EXCUSED**

Council Member Wayne Smith

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT**

City Manager Matt Dixon, City Attorney Ken Bradshaw, Chief of Police Darin Parke, Fire Chief Cameron West, Parks and Public Works Director Jon Andersen and Recorder Leesa Kapetanov

**CITIZENS PRESENT**

Jim Pearce, Ken Jones, Mary Ellen Jones, Richard Schmid, Sherry Schmid, Jerilyn Call, Barbara Lindley, Merle Lindley, Richard Miller, Deon Miller, Blaine Brough, Connie Delamater, Joan Tonn, Brian Hunter, Matthew Bell, Scott Coffey, Teri Coffey, Les & Marli Greenhalgh, L. Hickman, Susan Wayment, Barbara Bigler, Jr. Penhorwood, Jean Robert Babilis, Brandon Leff, Mark Allen, Tom Hanson, Steve Rush, Kim White, Chet VanOrden, Garrett Smith, Richard Hartmann, Stuart & Crystal Smith, Jerry Cottrell, Walt Bausman, Dianne Nelson, Mark Nelson, Val Swenson, Alan Brown, Val & Leslie George, Bob VanDyke, Joe J., Robert & Loleta Ronayne, Larry & Marla McKenzie, Matt & Kristi Pallda, Erika Daines, Rodney Egan, Wayne Hayes, Candice Sweet, Jim Sweet, Karleen Gabrielsen, Randy Welsh, Tim Welsh, John & G. Riley, John Jones, Corey Combe, Mark Bridge, Bill Galbraith, LeRoy Milne, August Pirmann, Mille Aven, Patti Aven, Paul Choberka, Bruce Huillet, Jill Hurst, Garth Beutler, Nick Babilis, Debi McKee, Bruce Nilson, Clyde Christensen, Valerie Aldrich, Greg Calll, Gary Pollard, Donna Stevenson, Kerry Tobin and others

**I. OPENING CEREMONY**

**A. Call to Order**

Mayor James F. Minster called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm and called for a motion to convene.

**Council Member Benard moved to convene as the South Ogden City Council, with a second from Council Member Porter. In a voice vote Council Members Strate, Orr, Porter and Benard all voted aye.**

**B. Prayer/Moment of Silence**

The mayor thanked everyone for being in attendance and then led everyone in a moment

of silence.

**C. Pledge of Allegiance**

Council Member Benard directed those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mayor Minster then opened the floor to anyone who wanted to make a comment not having to do with the public hearing.

**II. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

No one came forward to make comments.

**III. RECOGNITION OF SCOUTS/STUDENTS PRESENT**

No students or scouts came forward.

**IV. CONSENT AGENDA**

- A. Approval of February 21, 2015 Council Retreat Minutes and April 21, 2015 City Council Meeting Minutes
- B. Set Date For Public Hearings (June 2, 2015 at 6 pm or as soon as the agenda permits) To Receive and Consider Comments on the Following Items:
  - 1. The Proposed FY2016 Budget
  - 2. The City's Intent To Continue Its Practice Of Not Charging Itself For Water, Sewer, Storm Drain, And Garbage Services That Will Be Used For Normal City Operations During The 2016 Budget Year. The Estimated Amounts Of The Non-Charged Services Are As Follows: Water \$21,000-\$46,000; Sewer \$3,000-\$8,000; Storm Drain \$6,000-\$12,000; Garbage \$3,000-\$7,000

The mayor read through the items on the Consent Agenda and asked if there were any questions or comments; seeing none, he called for a motion.

**Council Member Porter moved to approve the consent agenda, items A and B. Council Member Orr seconded the motion. In a voice vote, Council Members Orr, Benard, Porter and Strate all voted aye.**

**V. PUBLIC HEARING**

- A. To Receive and Consider Comments On The Annexation Policy Plan As Recommended To The City Council By The Planning Commission (With Original Designated Areas 2, 5, And 6 Removed). Following the Public Hearing, The City Council May Amend The Planning Commission's Recommendation And Re-Integrate Areas 2, 5 And 6, Or Portions Thereof, Back Into The Annexation Policy Plan For Final Adoption

Mayor Minster asked City Manager Dixon to explain about the Annexation Policy Plan. Mr. Dixon said that in order for any property owner to request annexation into the City, the property had to first be in the City's Annexation Policy Plan. Cities could not mandate annexation, i.e. "grab land", but rather private land owners must request to be annexed to a City because they had the desire to do so. He said the City Council had expressed a desire to re-evaluate the City's Annexation Policy Plan and had directed the Planning Commission to look at unincorporated areas around the City to possibly add to the Plan. The Planning Commission had done so and made a recommendation to the Council. The Council would

review the recommendation as well as listen to public comment during the public hearing and then make a decision concerning what areas to include in the Annexation Policy Plan.

The mayor then entertained a motion to enter into a public hearing.

**Council Member Orr moved to close the public meeting and open a public hearing. The motion was seconded by Council Member Strate. The vote was unanimous in favor of opening the public hearing.**

Mayor Minster then invited those who wished to speak to come forward and, asking that they limit their time to five minutes.

Note: Some written comments were received before the public hearing. All comments received before and during the public hearing are included in Attachment A.

**Matthew Bell, Weber County Commissioner and resident of Uintah Highlands** – said he would like to mention some things that he was concerned about. If all of Uintah Highlands was to annex to South Ogden, municipal service taxes would go from \$25,000 per year to \$402,000 per year, more than eight times. He also said it would have been nice to have another public hearing and explain things better to the residents. Uintah Highland had considered at one time incorporating and becoming its own city, however it was very expensive. He felt it was important for South Ogden to be a good neighbor and move past this. If Uintah Highlands wanted to annex to a city, they would get together and decide whether to annex to Ogden, South Ogden or Uintah City. He said it was important that the area of the Uintah Highlands be taken out of the Plan.

**Tom Hanson, Washington Terrace City Manager** – wanted to encourage the Council to stay with the Plan the Planning Commission had recommended. South Ogden and Washington Terrace were close neighbors and worked well together, sharing in services like recreation, law enforcement, and the fire department. Mr. Hanson reminded the Council that in 2008 an agreement had been made between South Ogden and Washington Terrace. Washington Terrace had de-annexed part of their city for South Ogden Junior High. In return they had entered into an agreement with South Ogden so that not only some areas would not be annexed to South Ogden but South Ogden would also provide some services to properties outside its limits. Adding this area to South Ogden's Annexation Policy Plan flew in the face of the agreement that had been made.

Mr. Hanson also pointed out the opinion of the Property Rights Ombudsmen on the matter, which said South Ogden could include the area in their Annexation Policy Plan; however, if they did it would not be in accordance with state law, as it was already in Washington Terrace's Annexation Plan. He requested that South Ogden not include the area in its Annexation Policy Plan. (Mr. Hanson submitted some written comments for the record – see Attachment A).

**Mark C. Allen, Mayor of Washington Terrace, 170 W 5600 S, Washington Terrace City** – Mayor Allen had prepared a written letter (see Attachment A) written by him and supported by the City Council. Mr. Allen read the letter and then informed those present that Washington Terrace had received a petition from the Stephen's Family to annex certain portions of their property (located in Area 5 of the original South Ogden Annexation Policy Plan) into Washington Terrace.

**Jean Babilis, 5877 S 2925 E, Ogden** – Mr. Babilis pointed out two mayors had stood up and said they did not trust South Ogden and yet the City wanted to label their area for possible annexation. Why would they want to be in the Plan? He said the City should wait until someone petitions to annex and then add them to the Annexation Policy Plan. He did not want to be in the Plan and said no one in the area did.

**Clyde Christensen, 2806 E. Melanie Lane** – asked why the City wanted to annex Uintah. Mayor Minster answered they City was just putting it in the Annexation Plan. Council

Member Benard added that cities could not annex property. Individuals or groups would have to decide they wanted to annex and then petition a city to annex.

**Richard Miller, 2340 E 5950 S** – Mr. Miller said he received all the services he needed from Weber County and did not see a reason to be considered for annexation. He asked how they could tell the City that they did not want to be annexed, such as a petition. They had no reason or desire to be annexed.

**Mark Nelson, 2316 E 5950 S** – Mr. Nelson said he understood that they did not have a say on whether they were included in South Ogden’s Annexation Policy Plan, and that it really meant nothing until someone applied to annex. The City should just keep them happy by taking them out of the plan until someone filed to annex.

**Deborah McKee, 2012 E 6025 S** – said she had heard that if annexation took place, that high density residential would be put in along Wasatch Drive. If that was the case, it would mean more people would be coming in. Uintah Elementary was a highly popular school already and she wondered how they would be able to support an over-burdened school. It was another complication they did not want to deal with.

**Leta Ronayne, 1997 E 6075 S** – said that at the other meeting everything had been taken off except for Area 3, but now the Planning Commission was adding in Areas 2, 5 and 6. Why? Did a developer have deep pockets? Was an elected official benefiting? They were tired of it. Most people there were happy with what they had. Why did the Planning Commission change their minds? They were not getting the truth.

**Bill Galbraith, 2069 Ryan Circle** – Mr. Galbraith said he was a board member for the Uintah Highlands Improvement District. The District’s attorney had sent a letter to South Ogden and hoped the Council had a chance to read it (Mr. Galbraith submitted a copy for the record, see Attachment A). He brought out several points made by the letter. He then said as a resident of Uintah Highlands he was happy with the services he received and did not see any reason why he should change.

**Randy Welsh, 6107 S Wasatch Drive** – he asked the Council to remove Area 3 as well. It was all residential with no potential for business.

**Roy Milne, 6280 S 2800 E** – explained that many years earlier some residents in the area felt they should incorporate, however an election was held and the proposal was voted down. He said they did not even want to be their own city. They liked the county services and did not want to be annexed to any city. He had been told that his property value would decrease 10% by becoming part of South Ogden.

**Patti Aven, 2092 E 6025 S** – Ms. Aven said she would like to officially ask to be taken off the Plan; if a petition would help, they would be happy to start one.

**Matthew Bell, Weber County Commissioner** – added that they would like Area 3 removed as well.

There were no more comments. Mayor Minster called for a motion to close the public hearing.

**Council Member Porter moved to close the public hearing and return to their public meeting. The motion was seconded by Council Member Benard. The vote was unanimous to close the public hearing.**

At this point the mayor indicated that the order of the agenda would be changed; they would go to item B under “Discussion/Action Items” in order to discuss the Annexation Policy Plan first.

## **VI. DISCUSSION / ACTION ITEMS**

### **B. Consideration of Ordinance 15-13 – Adopting the South Ogden City Annexation Policy Plan**

Council Member Porter began the discussion by explaining that only people who wanted to annex petitioned the City to do so. He said he had been against the Annexation Plan from the beginning, but wanted everyone to understand that for someone to be able to annex, it had to be in the Plan. He felt that when someone wanted to annex, that is when the area should be added to the Annexation Policy Plan. Mr. Porter said he was of the opinion that the Council should leave Areas 2,5 and 6 out of the Plan as recommended by the Planning Commission, as well as remove Area 3. Council Member Benard said the thought of putting Uintah Highlands in the Plan was that if Uintah Highlands ever wanted to join a city, they would rather it be South Ogden than Ogden; however based on the comments that evening, he felt Area 6 should not be included. There had been no developer requesting to develop in the area. The Council had felt it should be added simply because many of the children from Uintah Highlands played in the rec programs with the children from South Ogden, and many attended the same schools.

Council Member Strate said he thought the area along Wasatch Drive should be made a little larger; the area to the west of Wasatch Drive should be added. He then re-iterated that state law required that areas to be annexed had to be in a city's Annexation Policy Plan; South Ogden was simply complying with the law.

Council Member Porter pointed out that it would be better to add an area to the Plan when someone actually wanted to annex to the City.

Council Member Strate said the City would have more control over Skyline Drive if the area were to be added to the Plan. The audience disagreed with the statement.

Council Member Orr stated the intention of adding the Uintah Highlands to the Plan was simply to offer them the opportunity to be a part of South Ogden if they ever wanted to consider it.

Mayor Minster commented he was aware of much of the history of Uintah Highlands. He was glad for the turnout of people and apologized for the misinformation that had been given. The mayor then called for a motion.

**Council Member Porter moved to accept the Planning Commission's recommendation that Areas 2, 5 and 6 be removed from the Annexation Policy Plan as well as remove Area 3. Council Member Benard seconded the motion. The mayor asked if there were further discussion.** Council Member Benard stated they needed to study Area 3 more before taking it out of the Plan. He was also concerned with Area 5; he wanted to keep the continuity of the neighborhood in that area. Mr. Benard also pointed out the previously mentioned agreement with Washington Terrace did not say anything about annexation of property south of the Junior High. The agreement talked about some property de-annexed from South Ogden City and annexed by Washington Terrace and some property de-annexed from Washington Terrace and annexed by South Ogden to help the Weber School District facilitate the building of South Ogden Junior High School. There was nothing that said Washington Terrace would annex the land south of the Junior High. He realized the property owner chose who to annex to, but he was concerned with the continuity of the neighborhoods in the area. He suggested that Area 5 not be included in the Plan right now but that they have further discussions about it with Washington Terrace; they needed to know our concerns that the area not become commercial in nature. City Attorney Bradshaw suggested the Council reserve further discussion and decision on the area. Council Member Benard agreed and suggested that Area 3 also be reserved.

Council Member Porter said whether the area was in our Plan or not, the City would not be able to say what was developed there unless they were part of South Ogden. Council Member Benard agreed, but he did want to clear up the fact that the agreement between the two cities did not say anything about annexation of the area in question. Council Member Porter commented we were still in violation of

overlapping with Washington Terrace’s Annexation Policy Plan. Council Member Benard pointed out that if we gave a reason for the overlap, there was not a violation. Washington Terrace overlapped our Annexation Policy Plan on a portion of the Ogden Golf and Country Club property. He felt the two cities should work together and come to some understanding and put it in a written agreement. Council Member Strate agreed with Council Member Benard. Council Member Orr agreed and wanted to also reserve Area 3.

**There was no more discussion. The mayor called the vote:**

<b>Council Member Porter-</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Council Member Benard-</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Council Member Orr-</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Council Member Strate-</b>	<b>Yes</b>

**The motion carried.**

**Council Member Benard moved to amend the last motion to reserve discussion and decision on Areas 3 and 5. Council Member Strate seconded the motion. Mayor Minster asked if there were further discussion.** Council Member Strate said he would like to hear from the people adjacent to Area 5. Council Member Benard said they could call another public hearing if they wanted to. **The mayor called the vote:**

<b>Council Member Benard-</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Council Member Orr-</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Council Member Porter-</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Council Member Strate-</b>	<b>Yes</b>

**The motion was approved.**

**A. Consideration of Ordinance 15-12 – Adopting the FY2016 Tentative Budget**

City Manager Dixon explained state law required cities to adopt a tentative budget during the first meeting in May to formally begin the process of preparing and adopting a budget. He then turned the time to Finance Director Steve Liebersbach. Mr. Liebersbach said the budget was balanced, but was still in a preliminary phase; it covered the general fund, enterprise funds and the special revenue funds. It did not include the CDRA budget. He also pointed out that some fund balance was used to balance the budget and the budget also contained some capital projects. He would go into much more detail about the budget in the work session scheduled later in the evening. There were no questions or discussion by the Council. The mayor entertained a motion concerning Ordinance 15-12.

**Council Member Orr moved to adopt Ordinance 15-12 adopting the FY2016 tentative budget, followed by a second from Council Member Porter. Seeing no further discussion, the mayor made a roll call vote:**

<b>Council Member Orr-</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Council Member Porter-</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Council Member Benard-</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Council Member Strate-</b>	<b>Yes</b>

**Ordinance 15-12 was adopted.**

C. **Consideration of Resolution 15-17 – Approving a Research Agreement with Weber State University**

City Manager Dixon said the City had partnered with Weber State University to conduct a community survey. This agreement established the terms of the partnership. Mr. Dixon explained how the survey would be conducted and answered some questions from the Council. The mayor then called for a motion.

**Council Member Porter moved to adopt Resolution 15-17 approving a research agreement with Weber State University. Council Member Strate seconded the motion. There was no further discussion. The mayor called the vote:**

Council Member Porter-	Yes
Council Member Strate-	Yes
Council Member Benard-	Yes
Council Member Orr-	Yes

**The agreement was approved.**

D. **Consideration of Resolution 15-18 - Renewing a Franchise Agreement With Rocky Mountain Power**

City Manager Dixon said this agreement was standard among utility providers. It would be in effect for ten years.

Council Member Orr said the agreement talked about repairing roads that were dug up but she wondered if there was a time limit that the City could still come back and require more repairs be made to a road. Parks and Public Works Director Jon Andersen said typically there was a one year warranty on road work. Council Member Orr asked if staff was consistent about going back and looking at the work before the expiration of the warranty time. Mr. Andersen said he would make sure it was taken care of. There was no more discussion. Mayor Minster entertained a motion to adopt Resolution 15-18.

**Council Member Benard moved to adopt Resolution 15-18, followed by a second from Council Member Porter. After determining there was no further discussion, the mayor made a roll call vote:**

Council Member Benard-	Yes
Council Member Porter-	Yes
Council Member Orr-	Yes
Council Member Strate-	Yes

**Resolution 15-18 was adopted.**

VII. **DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR REPORTS**

A. **Parks and Public Works Director Jon Andersen – Project Updates**

Mr. Andersen reported the City had planted three trees at the Nature Park in recognition of Arbor Day. The trees were purchased by the Urban Forestry Commission and donated in honor of the Marcus family. He then went on to report on several projects:

**Willow Wood Road Project** - had run into some problems with the road base; it had been necessary to increase the depth of the road base in some areas. Bringing in extra road

base would increase the cost of the project quite a bit. The project should be completed within two weeks, weather permitting.

**Club Heights Storm Drain Repair** – The contractor had completed his portion of the project on April 29. The City still had to do its portion for erosion control.

**Splash Pad UV Filter Installation** – The filter was being constructed to the city's needs and should be arriving soon. It would only take a few days to get it installed once it came.

**Bid Openings** – There had been two bid openings the previous week: 37<sup>th</sup> Street Road Project and the 38<sup>th</sup> Street Road Project. They were both for complete rebuilds and he had included an option for a sidewalk on one side of the street if the Council wanted to appropriate the money for it.

The council members asked Mr. Andersen several questions. They also discussed the donated restroom facility for the Nature Park and the fact that the County had prohibited the City from installing the facility due to some Health Department Standards. Council Member Strate then requested that the mayor contact Mr. Boyer who had donated the restroom facility and give him an update on it.

## VIII. **REPORTS**

- A. **Mayor** – reported he had attended the tree planting at the Nature Park and advised the Council to take the time to go see the area where the trees were planted. He then reported he had attended an event at Ogden Regional Hospital and viewed the new life flight helicopter. He also reminded the Council that Manor Care would be celebrating National Nursing Week by hosting an event on Monday, May 11 at 2:30 pm. He encouraged all who could to attend. There was also a Stroke Awareness event on Tuesday, May 12 at 6 pm at the Northern Utah Rehabilitation Hospital.

B. **City Council Members**

**Council Member Orr** – asked if the City had any rules concerning food trucks. She would like to get feedback from restaurants in the area to see if they were in favor of them as well as look into rules to regulate them.

She then reported that the Safe Kids Day had over 8,000 attendees.

Ms. Orr concluded her report by reminding the council that the monthly SOBA meeting would be tomorrow at noon.

**Council Member Porter** – encouraged the Council to make a SOFI nomination that evening.

**Council Member Strate** – said there was a Preparedness Fair on May 16 from 10 am to 2 pm at the Pleasant Valley Stake Center.

**Council Member Benard** – said he had received many questions about the vote-by-mail. He suggested that more information be published about it. City Recorder Leesa Kapetanov informed them that the county was supplying information that would be included in the City newsletter.

- C. **City Manager** – gave some follow-up information on a resident who had approached the Council concerning the upkeep of some property on Park Vista Drive. He had been in contact with her and was working on a solution.

Mr. Dixon then reported on several other matters:

- He would be having a meeting concerning 40<sup>th</sup> Street with economic and engineering advisors, and would come up with a recommendation for the Council

on what the best width of the street would be. A discussion concerning 40<sup>th</sup> Street would be on the Council's next agenda.

- A letter had been sent to Weber County, Ogden City, Weber School District and the Uintah Highlands Service District outlining the concerns the Council had about the County's plan to extend Skyline Drive.
- A meeting was scheduled for May 21 to review the City's Ordinance on Residential Facilities for Disabled Persons.
- Reminded the Council he had sent a survey to them concerning branding; only four people had responded. He also reminded them he had sent an email for his city manager certification with a link to do an evaluation. The evaluations were due May 9.
- Reminded everyone of the Wellness Meeting on May 7 at noon; lunch would be served.

D. **City Attorney Ken Bradshaw** – nothing to report.

## IX. **ADJOURN CITY COUNCIL MEETING AND CONVENE INTO WORK SESSION**

Mayor Minster then called for a motion to adjourn and convene into a work session.

**At 8:05 pm, Council Member Porter moved to adjourn city council meeting and convene into a work session. Council Member Orr seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous in favor of the motion.**

Note: The Council moved to the EOC for the work session.

### A. **Discussion on FY2016 Budget**

Mayor Minster called the work session to order at 8:33 pm and gave the floor to City Manager Dixon to facilitate the meeting. Mr. Dixon passed a copy of the strategic plan (see Attachment B) to the council members and asked them to consider their goals as outlined in the plan as they discussed the budget. He pointed out that he had included references to goals in the strategic plan in the budget sent out in the packet. He hoped by the end of the process to have a well-defined strategic plan with goals that were funded. He then drew the council's attention to some charts on the wall (see Attachment C) that listed some of the things they were working on or wanted to work on, and whether or not they were referenced in the strategic plan. Mr. Dixon informed the Council there was not enough money to fund everything they wanted to do and they would have to decide where the money should go. He also voiced his fear that the money would be spread too thin trying to do too much and they would not get anything done with any kind of quality. Mr. Dixon then turned the time to Finance Director Steve Liebersbach.

Mr. Liebersbach passed to the Council a handout (see Attachment D) containing general fund information including information from the past five years. He explained the budget was balanced, however to do so there had been \$392,000 of fund balance used. He also explained that some capital items were included in the budget and he had included notes concerning them where possible.

City Manager Dixon reminded the Council that in past years they had taken all the capital items out of the budget in order to balance it and then added capital items back in as the budget allowed. However, the last year, due to pending litigation, they had not added any capital items back in; therefore, they had included essential capital items in this budget. Mr. Liebersbach pointed out he had not included \$113,000 for the landscaping of the island at the intersection of Highway 89 and Harrison Boulevard; it would have to be added in.

He then said the South Ogden Days Fund should also be increased from \$36,000 to \$50,000 in order to balance.

Mr. Dixon then reviewed some capital items that had been included in the budget and how they related to the strategic plan.

Council Member Strate said he would like to do whatever it took to be successful with RAMP Grants and would like it added to the strategic plan.

Council Member Benard asked if having to allocate \$392,000 from fund balance to balance the budget was unusual. Mr. Dixon explained that in the current budget year \$331,000 had been allocated to balance the budget, but none of it had needed to be used. That was most often the case from year to year.

The Council then discussed allotting money for the purchase of Weber School District property that may become available.

Mr. Liebersbach then went over the Fund Balance Analysis handout with the Council, explaining the numbers and percentages. He said he had figured the numbers on the assumption the City would end the 2016 fiscal year \$50,000 in the red, just to leave some leeway in the budget. The end numbers also assumed the leave liability would be de-funded 100%.

The Council asked several questions concerning the leave liability fund. Council Member Porter asked Mr. Liebersbach how much he recommended the fund be at. Mr.

Liebersbach said \$100,000 to \$125,000 should be adequate. Council Member Benard suggested the leave liability fund could be the "safety valve" for property acquisitions.

Council Member Benard said he felt they should keep the leave liability fully funded in case they needed to tap into it, similar to a "rainy day" fund. He said he would then feel comfortable to spend fund balance down to 15%. Council Member Porter agreed, saying he was willing to spend down to 14%.

City Manager Dixon mentioned that other cities had begun assessing a franchise fee on city utilities; it would be similar to the City charging the rate payers a fee to use our right-of-ways to have access to water, sewer and storm sewer utilities. He said the idea was something for the Council to consider. He had calculated some rough estimates; if the City were to charge each of its utility customers a \$3 per month franchise fee, it would generate around \$200,000 a year. The Council at first was not in favor of the fee, but then discussed having the residents vote on the matter. They then discussed going through the normal process of having a public hearing and getting input from residents, however the council said they did not want a franchise fee that would just go into the general fund, but one that would be specifically earmarked for roads. After further discussion, they decided to wait and see if the County put the local option transportation funding tax on the upcoming ballot. City Manager Dixon pointed out that parks and trails were also important to residents, and not earmarking a franchise fee for roads would allow the City to spend it on other needed items. The council agreed.

Council Member Strate then said he felt the City should get a quote from the County on the cost for providing police services. Once the City knew the cost, they could make a decision on whether to have its own police department or not. Council Member Porter said he would not support getting rid of the City's police department. Council Member Orr said it was worth it to her to pay a little more for the City to have its own police department. Mr. Strate said his suggestion was not an attack on the City's police department, but he felt an obligation to at least look at other alternatives. Council Member Porter said if they asked the County for a bid, the perception would be that the Council did not think the police department was doing a good job and were questioning their ability. Mayor Minster pointed out that very few cities in the County had chosen to use Weber County for policing services, and although the cost for the services may be less, the level of service would be much less as well. The council discussed a bid South Ogden had made to provide police services for Washington Terrace a few years earlier. City Manager Dixon then reminded them of an in-depth study done the previous year on the police and fire departments, and

comparing them to other departments in nearby cities. He thought some of the data may be helpful.

Council Member Strate asked Police Chief Parke if he and his officers would be worse off, financially, professionally, etc., if they worked for the County. Chief Parke said even asking the question put 30 family's employment in jeopardy, and they would perceive it as a personal disregard for their services. The City's department had a level of trained officers and equipment that few departments could rival. He then said that when Washington Terrace eliminated their police department, many of the officers had been hired by the County, but most were gone within a year. He did not know the reason why. He also cited some examples of poor response times for the County Sherriff's Department in Washington Terrace.

Council Member Strate said it was his job to bring up difficult issues and have them vetted. Council Member Orr said she appreciated the police department and the service they provided; the officers cared about and took a personal ownership in the City. Mr. Strate said he hoped his request would not be perceived as a personal attack. Council Member Porter said he thought that was exactly how it would be perceived.

City Manager Dixon asked if the Council wanted staff to pursue getting a bid from the County. Council Member Benard questioned whether the City would even get close to the level of service currently provided if they went with the County. He also pointed out the City was not in a budget crunch and it had already downsized and re-structured the police department in previous years. He did not currently see a need for doing anything different or even exploring anything different. The consensus of the Council was not to look into getting a bid from the County.

City Manager Dixon concluded the meeting by asking council members to look at the goals in the strategic plan so they could tie their budget decisions back to the plan. If there were no resources to fund something, it should be taken out of the plan.

Mayor Minster then called for a motion to adjourn.

**X. ADJOURN WORK SESSION**

**Council Member Benard moved to adjourn city council meeting. The motion was seconded by Council Member Porter. The vote was unanimous to adjourn.**

The meeting adjourned at 10:29 pm.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, accurate and complete record of the South Ogden City Council Meeting held Tuesday, May 5, 2015.

  
Leesa Kapetanov, City Recorder

Date Approved by the City Council \_\_\_\_\_ May 19, 2015 \_\_\_\_\_

**Attachment A**  
Written Comments From Public Hearing

South Ogden City Council,

May 4, 2015

As a resident of the area you are discussing for your Annexation into South Ogden City, at the council meeting on May 5th, we want our opinion counted. We are not able to attend and want our opinion to matter.

We reside at 2362 E. 5950 S. and **DO NOT** want this action to pass. This area you speak of, has operated fine as it is and find no reason to change things in these areas.

We hope you consider all of this area residents comments and requests. **We live in this area and should be given great consideration.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Nolan & Dawn Aders". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line through the middle.

Nolan & Dawn Aders

**Leesa Kapetanov**

---

**From:** Kenneth Borchert <borchert7305@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 03, 2015 12:53 PM  
**To:** Leesa Kapetanov  
**Subject:** Public Meeting of Planning Commission and S.O. City Council

To whom it may concern:

I am writing to express my opinion regarding the Planning Commission's and South Ogden City Council's consideration of annexation of the unincorporated areas of Weber County and specifically the Uintah Highlands. It is beyond belief that a municipality would consider a "land grab" of the scope of this area under consideration. It appalls me that South Ogden City would even consider such an action. I have lived in the area for 35 plus years and have been satisfied with the services provided by Weber County, Uintah Highlands Water, and the Weber School system. It would appear from my perspective that the land grab is merely a method to increase the revenue into the coffers of South Ogden and ignores the wishes of the citizens. I thought we were neighbors as we share schools and some services but apparently the elected officials of South Ogden have no regard for the citizens of Weber County--only for the money that might be taken. There has been no information to support this idea that even begins to explain the reasoning and, if there are any, benefits to the citizens. The Planning Commission has offered no insight into their activities except that they seem to feel no accountability to the citizens nor responsibility to explain their recommendations. I recognize that the example of the Federal Government might give them some feeling of justification for their proposals but that is a sorry example of over-reach and ignoring the citizens. I would listen to reason but not to dictatorial actions on the part of appointed--not elected--bureaucrats. Please let me know what I am missing!

Kenneth R. Borchert  
801-479-7305

## **South Ogden City / Washington Terrace City Annexation Discussion**

- ✚ **Working Relationship:** Over the past several years Washington Terrace and South Ogden have developed a strong working relationship when it comes to Recreation, Public Works, Animal Control, Public Safety response and overall good-will between the cities. It would be our desire to see this working association continue to be strong and mutually beneficial for years to come.
- ✚ **South Ogden JH Annexation:** In 2008 South Ogden and Washington Terrace worked cooperatively to allow South Ogden City to annex Washington Terrace City where the South Ogden JH is now located. **\*Washington Terrace City actually gave up real property within the city limits to allow the annexation of South Ogden City for the JR High project. This deal was not simply a philosophical annexation plan exchange but real property exchange that was within Washington Terrace City.** This annexation was permitted because of the understanding that there would be no opposition to the annexation plan. An Interlocal Agreement was drafted at that time to ratify that agreement. We encourage integrity and honesty as it relates to this agreement.
- ✚ **State Property Rights Ombudsman Opinion Letter:** The Ombudsman's letter points out two main concerns with the annexation proposal; One, South Ogden entered into an interlocal agreement stating in essence that this area would be annexed by Washington Terrace. Two, jurisdictions "shall attempt to avoid" overlapping annexation plans. If the annexation plan by South Ogden is approved there would be no attempt to avoid the overlap. (See attached letter)
- ✚ **Infrastructure Ownership:** The majority of the infrastructure (Streets, sewer, culinary water and storm water) supporting this property is owned and operated by Washington Terrace City. Washington Terrace City is and has been maintaining the infrastructure that serves this property since its construction.
- ✚ **Understanding of the law:** Washington Terrace staff and elected officials understand that South Ogden would not be prohibited from including this land in the proposed annexation plan. However; it would be our desire that we avoid the overlap and adhere to the contract drafted in 2008.
- ✚ **Request:** At this point in time Washington Terrace City would respectfully request the City Council to discontinue the pursuit of this annexation proposal.



GARY R. HERBERT  
Governor  
SPENCER J. COX  
Lieutenant Governor

**State of Utah  
Department of Commerce**

**OFFICE OF THE PROPERTY RIGHTS OMBUDSMAN**

FRANCINE AGIAN!      BRENT N. BATEMAN  
Executive Director      Lead Attorney, Office of the Property Rights Ombudsman

January 21, 2015

Matthew J. Dixon  
South Ogden City Manager  
3950 Adams Ave.  
South Ogden, Utah 84403

Tom Hanson  
Washington Terrace City Manager  
5249 S South Pointe Dr  
Washington Terrace, UT 84405

RE: Informal Opinion concerning Annexation Policy Plan

Gentlemen:

I have received your emails requesting an informal opinion from this Office. I appreciate your requests and hope that I can be of assistance.<sup>1</sup>

The issue concerns potentially overlapping annexation policy plans (APPs) between South Ogden City and Washington Terrace City. As I understand the facts, certain property (Property) is within Washington Terrace's APP. South Ogden City is currently considering including the same Property within its APP. Also, a previous Interlocal Agreement concerning the Property exists between the parties.<sup>2</sup>

I have carefully reviewed the information you provided, including the Interlocal Agreement dated November 19, 2008. I have also researched the relevant law. After due consideration, this Office offers the following opinion.

The **Interlocal Agreement shows a clear understanding that the Property will be annexed into Washington Terrace City.** This understanding appears to have been held by both parties. Many of the obligations of both parties in the Interlocal Agreement are based upon this understanding. The language in the Agreement reflects this understanding in multiple passages. **If the property is not annexed into Washington Terrace, the Interlocal Agreement largely makes no sense.** Nevertheless, nothing can be found in that agreement that directly prohibits South Ogden City from including the Property in its APP.

<sup>1</sup> This informal opinion is provided in accordance with the Office of the Property Rights Ombudsman's statutory duties under UTAH CODE §13-43-203(1). This letter and its contents are not an Advisory Opinion under UTAH CODE §13-42-205, and the provisions of UTAH CODE §13-43206 do not apply to any part of this letter. This letter contains only a summary legal opinion. If the parties would like to discuss this opinion in more detail, please let me know.

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this letter, I assume that Private Property defined in the Interlocal Agreement is the same property that is the subject of this request. Also, I assume that the Property is contiguous to both Cities, and that no physical characteristics prevent the Property from inclusion in either City's APP.

We must consider, however, that including the property in an APP and actually annexing property are different legal acts. **The Interlocal Agreement clearly contemplates that annexation will be by Washington Terrace. Thus South Ogden City's actual annexation of the Property (as opposed to simply including the Property in its APP) appears contrary to the strong assumptions upon which the agreement was based, and could form the basis for a breach of contract claim.** However, such a claim would not arise until the property was annexed. South Ogden City could include the Property in its APP and not violate the Interlocal Agreement.

Nevertheless, another consideration is **more important.**

Overlapping annexation policy plans are not prohibited in Utah Law. In fact, the Utah Code acknowledges that overlapping APPs are possible. *See UTAH CODE § 10-2-401(4)(a):*

In developing, considering, and adopting an annexation policy plan, the planning commission and municipal legislative body shall: attempt to avoid gaps between or overlaps with the expansion areas of other municipalities.

The language of this statute acknowledges overlaps, and thus they are not prohibited. However, the specific language must be carefully considered. This statute does not merely "discourage" overlapping APPs. This statute obligates a municipality to an affirmative act. It states that the City **"shall attempt to avoid" overlaps.** This is not a mere declaration of policy. **The word "shall" is mandatory language. A City must actually make an attempt to avoid the overlap.**

Thus, were a parcel is within one City's APP, another city **cannot just decide to place the same parcel in its APP.** The second City must show an affirmative attempt to avoid the overlap. It is unknown how strong an attempt is required, but some attempt is required. In the experience of this Office, most overlaps can be easily avoided. Thus it appears that nearly any attempt to avoid an overlap under UTAH CODE § 10-2-401(4)(a) will succeed, except in the most exceptional circumstances. **Overlaps would therefore be very rare. In any event, this language prohibits casual addition of overlapping areas to an APP.**

To summarize, overlaps in annexation policy plans are not prohibited by law, nor in this case prohibited by the agreement. However, before South Ogden City includes the Property in its APP, it must show an attempt to avoid the overlap. **The City cannot simply choose to add the property to its APP without showing some attempt.**

I hope that this has been helpful, if you have any questions or would like to discuss this further, please feel free to contact me.



Brent N. Bateman, Lead Attorney  
Office of the Property Rights Ombudsman



Mayor Mark Allen  
5249 S. South Pointe Drive  
Washington Terrace, UT 84405  
Telephone: 801.393.8681

Mayor Minster and South Ogden City Council  
3950 South Adams Avenue  
South Ogden, UT 84403

May 5, 2015

South Ogden Mayor and Council Members:

It is with great concern that I communicate with you regarding your escalated attempt to include the description of the Stephens property in your annexation plan. Your disregard for our shared interlocal agreement and your indifference to our mutually beneficial settlement to annex South Ogden Junior High into South Ogden is regarded by me and our Council as disingenuous.

At the time that Washington Terrace City deannexed a portion of the city and permitted South Ogden to annex the property it was clear to both parties that future annexation by Washington Terrace would be uncontested by South Ogden. The deannexation of Washington Terrace City was allowed to move forward with the distinct understanding that South Ogden would not attempt to annex any of the Stephens property in the future.

As the Mayor of Washington Terrace City at the time of this agreement, it was my clear understanding that the South Ogden Mayor and Council intended to live up to the arrangements that were made in the interlocal agreement and honor the verbal and written contract (interlocal agreement) made between our council and yours. Washington Terrace City has up held our end of the agreement by responding positively to your request to annex a portion of Washington Terrace into South Ogden. We anticipated that you would hold up your end of the agreement as well.

At this time I respectfully invite you to be true to your end of that agreement and stop any further attempts to include the Stephens property in your annexation plan. The attempt to include this property in your annexation plan is harmful to our working relationship and undermines our ability to fully succeed as neighboring cities.

We are indeed neighbors and would like to continue our good working relationship for years to come. Our cities share resources, personnel, and programs which benefit all concerned. I encourage you to seriously reconsider the intent of this annexation plan and ask that you live up to your agreement by discontinuing your efforts for annexation.

Respectfully Yours

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark C. Allen". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Mark C. Allen, Mayor:  
Washington Terrace City

# SNOW

100748453-1

100748453-1

100748453-1

March 20, 2015

Mayor and Council  
South Ogden City Corporation  
3950 Adams Avenue, Suite 1  
South Ogden, UT 84403

Re: *Annexation Policy Plan – Uintah Highlands Improvement District*

Dear Mayor and Council:

We represent the Uintah Highlands Improvement District (the "District"), and have been asked to respond to the *South Ogden City Annexation Policy Plan 2008, Proposed Amendment 2015 Areas 1-6* (the "Plan"), which the District received pursuant to the February 23, 2015 Notice sent by South Ogden City (the "City"), to the District in its capacity as an affected entity.

Among others, the Plan identifies new Areas 3 and 6 (sometimes referred to herein collectively as the "Annexation Areas"), within which the Plan proposes that annexation requests may be considered by the City Council. The District is not in a position to speak on behalf of the citizens and property owners residing in these Annexation Areas as to whether any particular property should or should not be annexed to the City. The District, however, is in a position to speak to the issue of municipal water and sanitary sewer service to the properties identified within these Annexation Areas. The purpose of this letter is to address the "Need for Municipal Services" Sections under Area 3 and Area 6 of the Plan, as follows:

1. Assumption of Operation of the District.

(a) Area 6.

The "Need for Municipal Services" Section, under Area 6 of the Plan, currently states, in pertinent part, that:

100748453-1

“It is also assumed that South Ogden City would need to assume operation of the Uintah Highlands Water Improvement District when this area is annexed, including monitoring and upkeep of wells.”

Please be advised that whether all or any part of Area 6 is annexed into the City, the District’s board of trustees objects to the inclusion of this statement in the Plan in the strongest of terms. The City has no legal authority to take over operation of the District, and the District’s board of trustees has no intention, whatsoever, of abdicating its jurisdiction or otherwise pursuing proceedings either to withdraw any area from its boundaries or to dissolve the District and transfer its assets to the City, and the City has no legal basis or authority to require it. The statutory procedures for withdrawing land and/or dissolving an active district under Utah law are independent of any annexation procedure, and the withdrawal land or dissolution of the District becomes very difficult, if not impossible, to achieve in the case of an active district. Please consider the following:

(i) If the above quoted statement in the Plan suggests that upon annexation of the land in the Area, that said land would automatically be withdrawn from the District, that statement is without legal basis. Title 17B, Chapter 5, Part 5 governs withdrawal of lands from a local district. Section 505 of this Part specifically states that except as provided in Subsections (2) and (3), the inclusion of an area of a local district within a municipality because of a municipal annexation, does not affect the requirements under this part for the process of withdrawing that area from the local district. The stated exceptions clearly do not apply to the District. The statutory requirements make the withdrawal of land from an active district extremely difficult.

(ii) If the above quoted statement in the Plan suggests that upon annexation of the land in the Area that the District would be dissolved, that statement too is without legal basis. Annexation in no way effectuates a dissolution of an active local district operating within the annexed area. Title 17B, Chapter 1, Part 13, governs the dissolution of the District. Section 1303 of this Part provides that the only process for dissolution of an active district, such as the District, is initiated by a petition signed by *100% of the owners of the private real property within or 100% of the registered voters residing* in the district proposed to be dissolved. This statutory requirement alone makes dissolution of an active district almost impossible to achieve.

The District is a separate body corporate and politic of the State of Utah, with the full legal right and authority to continue to own and operate its municipal water and sanitary sewer systems in providing water and sanitary sewer service to all present and future customers within the District’s boundaries, as the same may be modified from time to time as provided by law, notwithstanding any City annexation.

{00748453-1 }

By virtue of the foregoing, if the Area remains in the final Plan, the District's board of trustees requests that the above-cited language be deleted and replaced with the following:

"Notwithstanding the annexation of any property within Area 6, the Uintah Highlands Water and Sewer Improvement District will continue to provide municipal water and sanitary sewer service to all properties within Area 6 and otherwise within the legal boundaries of said district."

(b) Area 3.

The "Need for Municipal Services" Section, under Area 3 of the Plan, merely references that"

"... the utilities necessary to facilitate this development are available through South Ogden City and the Uintah Highlands."

There is no statement in this Section suggesting an assumption by the City of operation of the District, as stated in Section 6. The points set forth above pertaining to Section 6 apply equally here. The District's board of trustees likewise requests that this Section under Area 3 be revised to include the following:

"Notwithstanding the annexation of any property within Area 3, the Uintah Highlands Water and Sewer Improvement District will continue to provide municipal water and sanitary sewer service to all properties within Area 3 and otherwise within the legal boundaries of said district."

2. Infrastructure Condition.

This section of the Plan also includes the following statement:

"There is concern that existing infrastructure is inadequate and does not meet the standards of South Ogden City."

To the extent this statement infers, in any way, that the District's municipal water and sanitary sewer infrastructure is not up to current standard, the District's board objects to its inclusion in the Plan on the basis that it is, at best, totally erroneous, and at worst, insulting. Please know that the District's municipal water and sanitary sewer infrastructure has been constructed and is maintained in strict conformance with, and currently meets and exceeds, all

{00748453-1 }

March 20, 2015  
Page 4

---

applicable standards and requirements of the Utah Division of Drinking Water and Division of Environmental Quality.

The District's board of trustees respectfully requests that this provision be deleted from the Plan.

3. Conclusion.

Finally, please be advised that an improvement district operating within the boundaries of a municipality is not without precedent. In the first place, by agreement, the District provides municipal water and sanitary sewer service to certain areas and properties situated within the current boundaries of the City and within the legal limits of Ogden City. Furthermore, this office represents the Granger-Hunter Improvement District which provides all municipal water and sanitary sewer services within the municipal boundaries of West Valley City. The Granger-Hunter District existed and was providing water and sewer service to the areas and properties which were incorporated into West Valley City long before the incorporation. Notwithstanding the incorporation of West Valley City, the Granger-Hunter District still provides these services and will continue to do so in the future.

We appreciate your consideration of these matters which are of the utmost importance to the District. Please contact me if you have any questions or comments with respect hereto.

Very truly yours,

**CLYDE SNOW & SESSIONS, P.C.**



D. Brent Rose

cc: Uintah Highlands Water and Sewer Improvement District Board of Trustees and Staff

{00748453-1 }

**Attachment B**  
Strategic Plan

# South Ogden Mayor and City Council 2015

## Goals & Action Plans

**Matt**  
Matt Dixon

Strategic Directives Goals Action Plans Tasks	Owner	Resources	Target Finish	Update 11/13/2014 10:11 AM	% Complete
<b>1. Promote Fiscal Responsibility of Public Funds</b>					
1.1 Make measured improvements to the capital needs of the city.	Matt Dixon	Steve, Department Heads	6/30/2016		0%
1.1.1 Determine funds available for one-time expenditures for capital equipment, property, projects	Steve Liebersbach	Matt and Department Heads	6/30/2015		0%
1.1.2 Allocate funds to respective budget areas	Steve Liebersbach	Matt and Council	6/30/2015		0%
1.2 Maintain 10% or greater general fund balance	Steve Liebersbach	Matt, Department Heads	6/30/2015	7/31/2014 Above 10% as of 12/31/2014	65%
1.3 Maintain "AA" bond rating	Steve Liebersbach	Matt Dixon, Department Heads	6/30/2015	2/24/2015 Rating maintained as of 12/31/2014	45%
1.3.1 Hold work session on performance of the ambulance fund	Steve Liebersbach	Matt, Leesa	4/30/2015 Past Due	1/28/2015 To be in March 2015.	45%
1.4 Explore and implement, if applicable, alternative service delivery options that may result in either or both improved service levels or a decrease in operational costs in providing fire/ambulance services.	Cameron West	Matt and Steve	6/30/2016		0%
<b>2. Aggressively Promote Innovative, Cohesive and Vibrant Development</b>					
2.1 Review city impact fees for commercial development in comparison to other communities.	Andrew Hyder	Matt Dixon	4/30/2015 Past Due	10/16/2014 Have reached out to the surrounding areas for information about their impact fees. Received info and in the process of comparing to South Ogden.	0%
2.1.1 Gather impact fees from cities in Davis and Weber Counties.	Andrew Hyder		8/31/2014 Past Due		0%
2.1.2 Prepare comparison chart of all cities and South Ogden.	Andrew Hyder		9/15/2014 Past Due		0%
2.1.3 Present information to City Council for review.	Andrew Hyder		9/30/2014 Past Due		0%
2.2 Purchase additional park acreage as properties come available throughout the city.	Matt Dixon	Planner, Budget, Council	12/31/2015		0%
2.2.1 Determine the desired acreage needed to meet the city's needs.	Matt Dixon	Planner, Council	7/30/2015		0%
2.2.2 Identify properties that may be desirable to develop into parks.	Matt Dixon	Planner, Council	7/31/2015		0%
2.2.3 Have draft plans prepared showing how the park may layout and be developed on the site.	Select Owner		10/31/2015		0%
2.2.4 Have properties of interest appraised				11/24/2014	

	and develop a financial plan for the acquisition of desirable properties.	<b>Matt Dixon</b>	Appraiser, Planner	<b>1/31/2016</b>	Below is an email from the District: Matt, We do not have any appraisals and probably won't until we get closer to completion of the new school and demolition of the old school. Right now all I have is the survey of the old South and Marlon Hills property. I have requested Great Basin Engineering to complete a survey of the Club Heights property, but that is a couple of weeks out. Thanks, Drew Wilson	0%
2.3	Establish an environment that promotes innovative and vibrant development.	<b>Matt Dixon</b>	Mark Vasic	<b>12/31/2015</b>		33%
2.3.1	Adopt a form-based code for commercial zones incorporating the city's new brand/log.	<b>Mark Vasic</b>	City Manager, WFRC staff	<b>4/30/2015</b> Past Due	2/18/2015 IBI is finishing their draft and will be bringing it back to the city for further review.	50%
2.3.2	Meet with property owners within NW RDA project area to: 1) Determine future development plans. 2) Discuss city's desire to participate in redevelopment projects, and 3) Report findings to RDA Board.	<b>Matt Dixon</b>		<b>12/31/2015</b>	2/18/2015 Broker continues to work on acquiring the property. Progress has been slow and many owners are dragging their feet in providing a selling price.	50%
2.3.3	Meet with property owners in Washington Blvd. RDA project area to: 1) Determine future redevelopment desires/plans. 2) Discuss city's willingness to participate. 3) Report findings to RDA Board.	<b>Matt Dixon</b>		<b>12/31/2015</b>		0%

### 3. Provide Professional and Excellent Public Services

3.1	Keep internal network and management systems (i.e. computers, network, programs) viable in order to sustain quality services to the community.	<b>Brian Minster</b>	Budget, Council	<b>6/30/2016</b>		0%
3.1.1	Upgrade network switches	<b>Brian Minster</b>		<b>12/31/2015</b>		0%
3.1.2	Purchase/lease computers where needed	<b>Brian Minster</b>	Department Heads	<b>6/30/2016</b>		0%
3.2	Maintain competitiveness within the market for employee recruitment and retention.	<b>Matt Dixon</b>	Patti, Department Heads, Council	<b>12/31/2015</b>		0%
3.2.1	Complete wage and benefits analysis	<b>Patti Randolph</b>	Department Heads	<b>4/30/2015</b> Past Due		0%
3.2.2	Update compensation philosophy and salary administration guidelines	<b>Patti Randolph</b>	Department Heads, City Council	<b>Select Date</b>		0%
3.2.3	Make necessary adjustments in pay and benefits to remain competitive within the job market	<b>Matt Dixon</b>	City Council	<b>Select Date</b>		0%
3.3	Improve transportation system via capital projects (THIS NEEDS TO BE DEFINED)	<b>Select Owner</b>		<b>Select Date</b>		0%
3.3.1	What road projects?	<b>Select Owner</b>		<b>Select Date</b>		0%
3.3.2	Other transportation-related projects? i.e. trails, bike lanes, etc.?	<b>Select Owner</b>		<b>Select Date</b>		0%

### 4. Establish an Engaged, Friendly, Safe and Inviting Place to Live, Work and Visit.

4.1	Increase our understanding of what the citizens want from us as their local government.	<b>Matt Dixon</b>	Andrew, WSU	<b>6/30/2015</b>		50%
4.1.1	Complete a community survey to understand how the residents perceive the city's ability to provide them services.	<b>Andrew Hyder</b>	Matt, WSU	<b>6/30/2015</b>	3/5/2015 Survey has been prepared and should be going out to the public within the next week.	50%
4.2	Increase walking and bike paths within designated safe routes to schools.				4/14/2015	

	Jon Andersen		6/30/2015	City Staff has applied for two grants through the safe sidewalk program. Should find out if we receive funding in the last part of May.	41%
4.2.1 WHAT SPECIFICALLY DO WE WANT TO ACCOMPLISH IN THIS SECTION?	Jon Andersen		Select Date		0%
4.2.2 Explore funding options for possible projects.	Shane Douglas		10/31/2015	4/14/2015 Denied funding through RAMP. City Staff has applied through the safe sidewalk grant (UDOT)	80%
4.2.3 Work with City Planner & Police to make sure City routes function for the City, County, and Snap plans.	Jon Andersen		6/30/2015	2/11/2015 Have reviewed with Police need to get with City Planner.	45%
4.3 Preserve the integrity and useful life of newly reconstructed and/or refurbished roads.	Jon Andersen		7/31/2015		71%
4.3.1 Demonstrate collaboration with other entities when planning road projects.	Jon Andersen		5/31/2015		83%
4.3.1.1 Develop a list of all entities to contact that would effect road projects	Bob Shafer		3/31/2015 Completed	2/11/2015 Entities all indentified.	100%
4.3.1.2 Develop form/process that will be followed by City staff on all road projects.	Shane Douglas		4/30/2015 Past Due	4/16/2015 Process has been completed. Making a form to help make sure the process is followed on each project	50%
4.3.1.3 Develop a process that ensures all entities are cleared before road project is completed	Jason Brennan		4/30/2015 Completed	2/11/2015 Letter completed will be used this construction season.	100%
4.3.2 Ensure road cut fees are sufficient to compensate city for depreciation of value.	Shane Douglas	City Engineer, Jason Brennan	4/30/2015 Past Due	4/14/2015 Collecting data to see where the City fees are.	60%
4.3.2.1 Survey surrounding Cities to make sure South Ogden fees are in line.	Jason Brennan		3/31/2015 Past Due	4/14/2015 Survey has been completed. Going to the City Council for approval in May. Change a few items all at once on the consolidated fees schedule	90%
4.3.2.2 Presentation to Mayor and Council upon completion	Jon Andersen		4/30/2015 Past Due	4/14/2015 This will completed at a Council meeting in May.	90%
4.3.2.3 Make necessary adjustments if needed to the fee.	Jon Andersen		4/30/2015 Past Due	2/11/2015 Changes will made if necessary and approved by Mayor and City Council	0%
4.4 Strengthen South Ogden's unique identity.	Matt Dixon	City Council, Finance, Planner	6/30/2016		33%
4.4.1 Develop and adopt a new community brand.	Andrew Hyder	Andrew Hyder, City Council	6/30/2015	2/18/2015 council has asked for some additional work to be done of the concept logos and then they'll decide on a new logo	75%
4.4.2 Incorporate new brand into park signage and entrances to the city, 40th & Riverdale Rd., 36th & Wash., 40th & Wash., 40th & Gramercy, Hwy. 89 & Harrison Blvd.	Mark Vlastic		7/30/2016		0%
4.4.3 Complete 40th Street Design with new branding elements.	Jon Andersen		6/30/2016	4/14/2015 need the new brand to move forward with the 40th Street design branding elements	25%
4.5 Identify and take measurable steps to improve city parks.	Jon Andersen	Park Committee, Jon Andersen, Council	12/31/2015	4/16/2015 Working with other Cities that currently have Parks & Recreation Committees in place and how they are working. Want to determine what will work best for South Ogden.	15%
4.5.1 Create a parks committee, clearly define the committee's role and mission and meeting schedule	Jon Andersen	Residents	6/30/2015	4/16/2015 Would like some more instruction on how this committee will be used and roles. Is it for new development or to replace current/future parks needs.	0%

4.5.2* Find way to increase available hours for use of city restroom facilities at city parks.	<b>Jon Andersen</b>	Committee, Budget, Council	<b>6/30/2016</b>	4/16/2015 Currently looking at auto locking systems or another options increase overtime, personal, etc.	25%
4.5.3 Revitalize park boweries (HOW? DETAIL?)	<b>Jon Andersen</b>	Committee, Budget	<b>6/30/2016</b>	4/16/2015 Working on which parks need updates	20%
4.5.4 Create 40th Street Master Plan. To include the street, park, playground, branding elements, etc.	<b>Jon Andersen</b>	Planner, Committee	<b>3/1/2016</b>	4/16/2015 working on the detention basin design, when it is completed will start the master plan design	15%

Page Generated in 0 seconds. Q=24. Q/S=0.00 - Logged In As: Matt Dixon (24299) test

**Attachment C**  
Wall Charts

<p>Fishing Pond &amp; Nature Park</p> <hr/> <p>Increase walking/bike paths within designated safe routes to schools</p> <p>4.2 (\$ )</p>	<p>Welcome Sign 89/Harrison (\$30,000 - \$100,000)</p> <p>4.4</p> <hr/> <p>Splash Pad Resurfacing (\$65,000)</p>	<p>Park Signage (\$30,000)</p> <p>4.4</p> <hr/> <p>Fleet PD (\$138,000)</p>	<p>Strengthen SO Identity Entrances to City (\$ )</p> <p>4.4</p> <hr/> <p>4.5.4 40<sup>th</sup> Master Plan - Street, park, playground</p>
<p>Landscaping Club Heights (\$150,000)</p> <hr/> <p>4.5.3 Revitalize Park Benches</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- lights - paint</li> <li>- power - ADA accessible</li> </ul> <p>[ • Madison • Club Heights ]</p> <p>[ • 40<sup>th</sup> ]</p> <p>(\$ )</p>	<p>Club Heights (5.37 acres \$500,000)</p> <p>2.2</p> <hr/> <p>Marlon Hills (2 acres \$142,000)</p> <p>2.2</p>	<p>Trails Resurfacing (\$30,000)</p> <p>3.3</p> <hr/> <p>Restrooms SOJH (\$40,000)</p>	<p>Parks Improvements (\$100,000 - \$150,000)</p> <p>↕</p> <p>Restrooms @ Nature Park ( )</p>

Strengthen SO.  
Identity  
Trances to City  
)

4.5.2 Increase availability to  
restrooms in city parks  
(\$ )

Fleet Streets  
- 10 wheeler (\$230k)  
- 3 ton (\$185k)  
- 1 ton (\$70k)

Main Pointe Drive  
Landscape  
(\$25,000)

0<sup>th</sup> Master  
lan-Street,  
rk, playground

3.3 Improve transportation  
systems (trails, roads, sidewalks)  
(\$ )

- UTV Sprayer (\$30k)  
- Asphalt Drag Box (\$18k)

Club Heights Parking  
Lot  
(\$125,000)

Improvements  
00,000 - \$150,000)

PD Body Cams  
(\$13,000)

Club Heights Lights  
(\$75,000)

Reflective Signs  
(\$14,000)

s @ Nature Park  
)

Fleet Fire  
- command trucks (\$60k)  
- engine (\$650k)  
- brush truck (\$70k)

40<sup>th</sup> Park Resurface  
BB/Tennis  
(\$25,000)

Dog "off leash" Area  
(\$16,000)

**Attachment D**  
General Fund Information

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
<b>GENERAL FUND</b>									
<b>TAX REVENUE</b>									
10-31-100	Property Tax - Current	2,008,668	2,016,929	2,087,771	2,131,017	2,083,626	2,061,866	1,636,132	2,083,516
10-31-200	Property Tax - Delinquent	69,047	91,631	107,172	64,959	67,411	63,860	37,907	63,860
10-31-250	Motor Vehicle & Personal Prop.	195,883	168,250	162,480	165,435	179,405	170,271	132,853	170,271
10-31-300	General Sales and Use Taxes	2,436,603	2,389,825	2,588,766	2,675,042	2,832,667	2,988,790	1,750,820	3,123,286
10-31-500	Franchise Tax	372,281	350,764	361,402	361,982	360,521	375,942	192,413	375,942
10-31-550	Municipal Energy Use Tax	777,147	819,138	813,935	923,938	959,249	876,515	741,267	946,183
Total TAX REVENUE:		5,859,630	5,836,537	6,121,526	6,322,372	6,482,879	6,537,244	4,491,393	6,763,058
<b>LICENSES &amp; PERMITS</b>									
10-32-100	Business Licenses - Commercial	131,199	128,372	126,054	126,673	127,866	126,673	138,105	127,866
10-32-160	Rental Business Fees	.00	.00	100	.00	26,237	21,500	16,057	26,237
10-32-200	Building Permits	60,165	57,618	59,904	90,892	161,022	57,618	53,237	50,000
10-32-300	Animal Licenses	12,074	12,104	12,658	10,800	11,275	14,091	10,957	16,000
10-32-325	Micro-Chipping Fees	840	895	850	785	875	800	1,260	875
10-32-350	Animal Adoptions	9,540	10,828	6,314	57,741	82,451	75,240	72,382	85,000
10-32-375	Animal Shelter Fees	5,091	3,180	2,554	4,163	6,613	6,190	8,739	6,613
10-32-400	Fire Permits/Reports	110	90	170	210	220	50	60	50
Total LICENSES & PERMITS:		219,019	213,086	208,603	291,265	416,558	302,162	300,798	312,641
<b>INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE</b>									
10-33-150	State Liquor Fund Allotment	17,349	19,771	19,691	19,574	20,474	19,500	19,749	19,750
10-33-600	State/Local Grants	50,293	97,368	127,085	84,650	178,961	49,427	70,214	16,500
10-33-900	Class "C" Road Fund Allotment	458,379	476,841	485,679	500,039	478,126	505,039	318,123	500,000
10-33-925	Resource Officer Contract	12,500	12,500	15,000	15,000	16,406	16,500	16,406	16,981
Total INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE:		538,521	606,480	647,455	619,263	693,968	590,466	424,493	553,231
<b>RECREATION &amp; PLANNING FEES</b>									
10-34-200	Baseball Revenue	14,288	16,988	20,228	21,512	21,471	20,283	16,970	21,471
10-34-250	Girls Basketball	2,559	2,473	2,738	235	477	2,590	4,839	4,839
10-34-300	Softball Fees	1,690	2,880	598	150	38	1,722	163	.00
10-34-350	Basketball Fees	17,458	19,161	18,021	22,825	22,702	23,000	18,561	22,702
10-34-352	Comp Youth Basketball	.00	.00	35,120	34,905	32,170	33,000	26,485	32,170
10-34-354	Comp Adult Basketball	.00	.00	6,000	10,000	4,035	3,600	10,252	4,035

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-34-356	Comp Adult Volleyball	.00	.00	.00	1,500	1,510	1,000	820	1,510
10-34-360	Comp Adult Futsal	.00	.00	250	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-34-362	Youth Futsal	.00	.00	.00	39	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-34-375	Flag Football	3,360	2,819	3,211	3,230	3,255	3,255	2,656	3,255
10-34-450	Volleyball Registration	2,195	3,583	3,661	3,605	3,345	3,780	4,840	4,710
10-34-500	Football	23,484	13,890	16,434	9,707	12,061	18,308	1,714	12,061
10-34-505	Football Apparel	5,061	5,541	5,384	4,809	4,534	5,328	4,102	4,534
10-34-550	Tennis Registration Fees	492	.00	.00	.00	368	.00	330	368
10-34-575	Concession Revenues	10,928	6,940	5,661	3,487	2,303	6,500	.00	2,303
10-34-600	Community Facility Rental Fees	.00	275	925	2,350	2,925	2,200	3,775	2,925
10-34-700	Plan Check Fee	19,545	14,612	25,308	34,914	58,566	25,340	16,150	15,000
10-34-725	Engineering Review Fees	905	213	531	1,484	6,415	5,000	312	1,500
10-34-726	Zoning/Subdivision Fees	1,190	1,165	950	2,588	2,635	2,000	915	1,500
10-34-750	Street Cut Fee	6,945	3,930	1,227	150	200	1,000	150	500
10-34-850	Bowery Rental	5,540	4,500	5,350	4,875	6,200	5,130	2,900	6,200
10-34-875	Sex Offender Registration Fee	50	550	725	550	575	600	575	575
10-34-900	Public Safety Reports	16,315	14,928	15,913	17,841	16,986	15,718	14,255	16,986
<b>Total RECREATION &amp; PLANNING FEES:</b>		<b>132,003</b>	<b>114,446</b>	<b>168,234</b>	<b>180,766</b>	<b>202,770</b>	<b>179,354</b>	<b>130,762</b>	<b>159,144</b>
<b>FINES &amp; FORFEITURES</b>									
10-35-100	Warrants Revenue	.00	45,946	32,702	10,953	1,970	.00	.00	.00
10-35-200	Fines- Regular	715,449	558,080	601,778	655,523	612,889	700,068	540,094	700,068
10-35-300	Alarm Fines/Permits	7,950	9,790	6,050	6,375	6,100	6,500	6,025	6,100
<b>Total FINES &amp; FORFEITURES:</b>		<b>723,399</b>	<b>613,816</b>	<b>640,530</b>	<b>672,851</b>	<b>620,959</b>	<b>706,568</b>	<b>546,119</b>	<b>706,168</b>
<b>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE</b>									
10-36-100	Interest	43,811	32,847	44,823	45,627	24,909	23,700	18,246	23,700
10-36-105	Cash Over/Short	294	227	38-	48-	102	.00	10-	.00
10-36-200	Sub 4 Santa	8,602	.00	959-	1	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-36-400	Sales of Fixed Assets	1,218	29,157	84,720	56,480	136,113	23,000	380	.00
10-36-500	75th Anniversary Sales	.00	530	950	480	285	.00	80	.00
10-36-600	560 39th Rental	5,400-	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	2,500	3,000
10-36-601	Donations to South Ogden City	95	1,203	4,326	4,070	4,974	15,031	21,888	.00
10-36-700	Contractual Agreement Reven	42,877	73,571	65,603	64,000	62,799	112,197	106,743	115,002
10-36-900	Misc. Revenue	19,180	32,185	11,599	20,812	162,795	28,639	55,323	20,000
10-36-901	Convenience Fee Revenue	1,397	1,654	1,856	345	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-36-950	Traffic School	125,800	54,515	2,330	1,545	835	1,000	500	835

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-36-960	Youth Council Collections	190	3,460	180	610	240	500	30	240
10-36-970	Youth Court	930	1,650	1,140	945	930	1,000	240	930
<b>Total MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE:</b>		<b>238,995</b>	<b>233,998</b>	<b>219,531</b>	<b>197,866</b>	<b>396,983</b>	<b>208,067</b>	<b>205,921</b>	<b>163,707</b>
<b>CHARGE FOR SERVICE &amp; TRANSFERS</b>									
10-39-150	Lease Financing	.00	175,582	.00	985,754	500,840	.00	.00	.00
10-39-242	Transfer in from Sewer Fund	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	350,000	350,000	.00
10-39-243	Transfer in from Garbage Fund	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	425,000	425,000	.00
10-39-250	Transfer in from Water Fund	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	600,000	.00	.00
10-39-350	Charge for Service - CDRA	25,805	26,483	23,862	24,756	24,599	14,334	11,646	19,000
10-39-400	Charge for Service - Water Fnd	145,454	152,724	153,093	107,160	117,456	122,157	91,611	127,655
10-39-410	Charge for Service - Sewer Fnd	195,002	204,744	208,224	143,316	154,704	160,888	120,663	168,128
10-39-420	Charge for Svc - Storm Dm Fnd	150,391	157,908	157,236	110,064	120,447	125,265	93,942	130,902
10-39-430	Charge for Service - Grbge Fnd	88,692	98,448	96,375	86,736	91,416	95,075	71,298	99,354
10-39-440	Charge for Service - Amb Fnd	75,598	79,368	67,110	55,548	57,228	59,513	44,631	62,192
10-39-700	Appropriated Fund Bal-Class C	.00	25,083	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-39-800	Appropriated Fund Balance	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	331,955	.00	392,280
<b>Total CHARGE FOR SERVICE &amp; TRANSFERS:</b>		<b>680,942</b>	<b>920,340</b>	<b>705,900</b>	<b>1,513,334</b>	<b>1,066,689</b>	<b>2,284,187</b>	<b>1,208,791</b>	<b>999,511</b>
<b>Total Revenue:</b>		<b>8,392,509</b>	<b>8,538,702</b>	<b>8,711,779</b>	<b>9,797,717</b>	<b>9,880,806</b>	<b>10,808,048</b>	<b>7,308,276</b>	<b>9,657,460</b>
<b>COUNCIL</b>									
10-41-110	Salaries and Wages	109,774	111,199	111,494	112,444	113,452	116,896	96,664	120,206
10-41-130	Employee Benefits	18,208	19,033	18,454	20,566	21,285	22,852	20,272	23,855
10-41-210	Books, Subscrip. & Memberships	9,064	8,734	7,723	8,092	8,422	9,225	8,876	9,225
10-41-230	Travel & Training	9,879	4,634	6,501	5,744	8,397	7,004	6,651	7,004
10-41-240	Supplies	1,382	11	360	1,600	1,168	500	180	500
10-41-280	Telephone	.00	300	825	900	900	900	825	900
10-41-300	Other Professional Services	1,370	255-	.00	59	465	500	.00	500
10-41-329	Computer Repairs	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	35	.00
10-41-700	Small Equipment	.00	.00	160	.00	300	6,139	5,407	.00
10-41-750	Capital Outlay	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
<b>Total COUNCIL:</b>		<b>149,676</b>	<b>143,657</b>	<b>145,517</b>	<b>149,405</b>	<b>154,389</b>	<b>164,016</b>	<b>138,910</b>	<b>162,190</b>
<b>LEGAL DEPARTMENT</b>									
10-42-110	Salaries and Wages	69,334	58,458	57,485	58,347	59,572	69,511	48,350	72,631

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-42-120	Temporary Employees	.00	1,500	.00	.00	.00	2,000	.00	2,000
10-42-130	Employee Benefits	12,381	12,888	12,190	13,917	14,264	16,492	13,484	17,192
10-42-210	Books, Subscriptions & Member	2,486	565	884	1,247	1,360	800	1,527	800
10-42-230	Travel & Training	.00	615	1,163	75	278	1,320	75	2,000
10-42-240	Supplies	.00	.00	129	36	240	500	.00	500
10-42-280	Telephone	480	480	480	480	900	900	825	900
10-42-320	Prosecutorial Fees	600	1,200	1,200	1,800	1,200	1,800	1,600	1,800
10-42-700	Small Equipment	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	680	680	.00
<b>Total LEGAL DEPARTMENT:</b>		<b>85,281</b>	<b>75,705</b>	<b>73,531</b>	<b>75,902</b>	<b>77,814</b>	<b>94,003</b>	<b>66,541</b>	<b>97,823</b>
<b>Court Department</b>									
10-43-110	Salaries & Wages	106,214	106,874	107,435	117,876	124,991	134,751	100,011	134,357
10-43-130	Employee Benefits	20,084	20,829	28,495	42,577	50,488	55,228	52,571	63,026
10-43-210	Books, Subscriptions, & Mbrshp	315	329	25	441	358	500	419	500
10-43-230	Travel & Training	2,195	558	1,129	571	242	750	988	900
10-43-240	Office Supplies	2,128	129	1,000	452	1,419	1,600	625	600
10-43-250	Transportation Fees	260	195	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-43-275	State Surcharge	176,504	141,571	166,784	165,849	166,503	170,000	135,045	170,000
10-43-300	Public Defender Fees	6,800	6,000	9,800	14,200	14,300	15,000	12,500	15,000
10-43-305	Wasatch Constable Contract	.00	3,752	4,996	3,073	300	2,000	100	250
10-43-310	Professional & Technical	1,009	3,055	1,571	2,335	3,680	3,500	2,071	3,500
10-43-329	Computer Repairs	.00	.00	677	390	153	250	.00	250
10-43-330	Witness Fees	1,702	999	1,277	777	1,221	1,300	777	1,400
10-43-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	.00	129	583	524	635	579	558	388
10-43-650	Lease Payments	.00	330	1,318	1,958	1,950	2,007	1,896	989
10-43-700	Small Equipment	.00	.00	965	671	665	100	723	100
10-43-750	Capital Outlay	.00	9,176	14,777	1,576	7,298	2,876	1,988	.00
<b>Total Court Department:</b>		<b>317,210</b>	<b>293,925</b>	<b>340,832</b>	<b>353,269</b>	<b>374,202</b>	<b>390,441</b>	<b>310,273</b>	<b>391,260</b>
<b>ADMINISTRATION</b>									
10-44-110	Salaries and Wages	383,917	397,447	454,592	470,105	497,841	484,122	385,698	487,329
10-44-130	Employee Benefits	130,484	147,666	167,625	186,810	195,495	244,726	174,548	240,946
10-44-210	Books, Subscriptions & Member	2,009	2,873	4,014	3,452	2,973	4,000	2,105	4,000
10-44-230	Travel & Training	7,868	10,749	11,428	15,850	15,204	17,000	11,963	17,000
10-44-240	Office Supplies & Miscell	5,939	4,980	6,329	5,536	7,684	7,500	4,740	7,500
10-44-245	Clothing Allowance	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-44-247	Car Allowance	.00	5,400	5,400	5,400	6,904	5,400	6,237	6,804

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-44-248	Vehicle Maintenance	.00	.00	20	342	112	250	2,189	250
10-44-280	Telephone	1,574	2,677	3,991	3,948	5,334	3,800	3,897	3,800
10-44-300	Gas, Oil & Tires	.00	.00	1,982	1,645	2,249	1,180	635	1,180
10-44-310	Professional & Technical	7,491	6,305	11,976	7,347	12,556	12,000	6,975	12,000
10-44-329	Computer Repairs	.00	.00	971	235	24	250	214	250
10-44-600	Service Charges	20,203	23,296	27,773	36,325	48,812	36,000	22,545	36,000
10-44-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	76	46	.00	821	1,981	1,371	1,375	686
10-44-650	Lease Payments	5,600	5,133	.00	5,994	5,439	6,050	5,884	2,154
10-44-700	Small Equipment	.00	162	255	1,432	.00	.00	1,388	1,500
10-44-750	Capital Outlay	4,587	.00	.00	23,315	.00	.00	.00	.00
<b>Total ADMINISTRATION:</b>		<b>569,748</b>	<b>606,714</b>	<b>696,358</b>	<b>768,558</b>	<b>802,608</b>	<b>823,649</b>	<b>630,395</b>	<b>821,399</b>
<b>Information Technology</b>									
10-45-110	Salaries & Wages	64,032	56,254	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-112	Overtime	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-130	Employee Benefits	27,576	16,543	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-210	Subscriptns, Books & Mbrships	538	1,127	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-310	Professional & Technical	.00	125	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-321	I/T Supplies	6,061	3,774	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-322	I/T Contracts	30,136	28,414	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-323	MDT/Computer Repairs	4,559	1,877	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-324	Telephone	23,034	27,442	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-325	Computer Repairs	.00	2,302	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-326	Radio Repairs	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	1,063	847	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-650	Lease Payments	6,677	37,291	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-700	Small Equipment	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-45-750	Capital Outlay	4,840	145,522	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
<b>Total Information Technology:</b>		<b>168,515</b>	<b>321,519</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>.00</b>
<b>NON-DEPARTMENTAL</b>									
10-49-130	Retirement Benefits	24,502	44,484	35,734	65,684	63,462	59,762	44,075	24,457
10-49-220	Public Notices	4,195	3,736	2,925	5,292	7,660	5,000	3,083	5,000
10-49-250	Unemployment	4,102	2,883	.00	96	.00	2,000	.00	2,000
10-49-255	Ogden Weber Chamber Fees	2,500	2,550	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
10-49-260	Workers Compensation	38,023	38,786	35,750	40,159	41,461	38,786	38,520	40,000
10-49-290	City Postage	43,726	43,543	31,348	40,104	41,788	42,000	40,551	42,000

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-49-291	Newsletter Printing	5,672	6,013	6,663	6,299	7,569	6,500	9,512	13,000
10-49-310	Auditors	10,197	10,707	9,500	9,500	9,500	12,100	9,750	12,100
10-49-320	Professional & Technical	1,137	3,878	3,900	16,347	9,434	32,500	4,475	32,500
10-49-321	I/T Supplies	.00	.00	79	1,026	2,116	3,000	2,350	3,000
10-49-322	Computer Contracts	.00	.00	28,985	31,874	31,143	44,850	44,143	52,000
10-49-323	City-wide Telephone	.00	.00	10,320	8,183	7,415	18,550	4,646	5,700
10-49-324	City-wide Internet	.00	.00	8,743	3,762	4,156	4,000	3,224	4,200
10-49-329	Computer Repairs	.00	.00	3,361	2,445	3,569	2,000	434	5,000
10-49-400	Unreserved	6,291	.00	.00	53	.00	5,000	.00	5,000
10-49-430	Diamond Anniversary	.00	12,685	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-49-500	City Safety/Wellness Program	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	12,000	6,824	12,000
10-49-510	Insurance	172,556	166,584	175,570	178,670	188,153	186,130	143,246	190,000
10-49-515	City Donations	.00	.00	3,600	600	4,200	4,300	4,200	4,100
10-49-520	Employee Assistance Plan	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,000	3,600
10-49-550	Sub 4 Santa	6,317	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-49-596	Holiday Dinner	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	5,500	4,941	5,500
10-49-597	Employee Recognition Prog	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	8,200	6,870	8,200
10-49-598	OFFH	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	2,200	2,054	2,200
10-49-599	Easter Egg Hunt	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	3,000	3,089	3,000
10-49-600	Community Programs	27,560	14,250	20,573	24,191	23,064	9,770	10,510	9,770
10-49-601	Community Brand	85	.00	.00	.00	.00	10,000	7,630	.00
10-49-605	Continuing Education	3,363	2,894	1,500	1,954	4,687	7,000	2,895	7,000
10-49-607	Soba	1,122	889	1,190	1,156	1,076	1,200	278	1,200
10-49-610	Government Immunity	1,836	6,080	7,090	2,000	2,149	6,500	2,582	6,500
10-49-615	SoFi - Recognition Program	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	5,000	147	5,000
10-49-620	Youth City Council	4,085	5,144	2,411	2,622	3,309	4,000	2,361	.00
10-49-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	.00	.00	465	.00	3,783	1,973	1,973	.00
10-49-650	Lease Payments	.00	.00	7,305	23,980	20,200	22,011	22,011	.00
10-49-700	Small Equipment	.00	.00	2,409	1,550	5,192	2,640	3,903	1,000
10-49-750	Capital Outlay	.00	.00	5,575	75,031	54,816	.00	11,231	47,000
Budget notes:									
~2016 network swithes - \$47,000									
<b>Total NON-DEPARTMENTAL:</b>		<b>360,870</b>	<b>368,716</b>	<b>411,094</b>	<b>548,677</b>	<b>546,005</b>	<b>573,572</b>	<b>447,009</b>	<b>554,527</b>
<b>ELECTIONS</b>									
10-50-120	Election Judges	.00	.00	2,700	.00	2,232	.00	.00	.00
10-50-240	Supplies	11,768	.00	8,656	.00	4,203	.00	.00	18,292

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
<b>Total ELECTIONS:</b>		11,768	.00	11,356	.00	6,435	.00	.00	<u>18,292</u>
<b>BUILDING AND GROUNDS</b>									
10-51-260	Senior Center Maint & Util	10,447	11,133	12,568	9,922	12,071	12,000	8,730	<u>12,000</u>
Budget notes:									
~2016 HVAC - \$25,000									
10-51-262	Old City Hall Utilities	9,769	9,114	9,841	7,501	7,066	11,000	5,552	<u>11,000</u>
10-51-263	Fire Station #82 Utilities	7,054	8,044	8,024	7,601	7,539	7,500	6,680	<u>7,500</u>
10-51-264	Station #82 Maintenance	.00	.00	1,672	1,529	1,329	2,000	638	<u>2,000</u>
10-51-265	Cleaning Contract	47,870	36,513	24,186	22,709	19,524	27,000	15,535	<u>27,000</u>
10-51-266	Elevator Maintenance	4,385	4,439	4,663	4,841	4,983	6,000	3,836	<u>6,000</u>
10-51-270	New City Hall Maintenance	32,718	24,953	56,596	21,446	47,422	37,000	22,752	<u>15,000</u>
Budget notes:									
~2016 fire HVAC - \$38,000									
parking lot crack/slurry - \$ ????									
10-51-275	New City Hall Utilities	72,452	61,743	60,796	64,245	63,431	64,500	49,333	<u>64,500</u>
10-51-280	Old City Building Repairs	19,162	1,429	1,466	1,187	661	12,000	1,747	<u>10,000</u>
Budget notes:									
~2016 HVAC/parking lot - \$ ????									
10-51-750	Capital Outlay	.00	.00	.00	30,445	3,952	.00	677	<u>.00</u>
<b>Total BUILDING AND GROUNDS:</b>		<b>203,858</b>	<b>157,368</b>	<b>179,811</b>	<b>171,425</b>	<b>167,979</b>	<b>179,000</b>	<b>115,480</b>	<b><u>155,000</u></b>
<b>PLANNING &amp; ZONING</b>									
10-52-120	Commission Allowance	2,300	.00	575	1,925	2,250	3,800	2,425	<u>3,800</u>
10-52-210	Books, Subscrip, Memberships	464	225	311	.00	.00	300	113	<u>300</u>
10-52-230	Travel & Training	240	60	66	1,106	81	1,500	.00	<u>1,500</u>
10-52-240	Commercial Form Based Zoning	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	15,000	15,000	<u>.00</u>
10-52-310	Professional & Technical Servi	17,769	4,099	4,087	40,139	62,963	150,000	114,810	<u>60,000</u>
<b>Total PLANNING &amp; ZONING:</b>		<b>20,773</b>	<b>4,384</b>	<b>5,038</b>	<b>43,170</b>	<b>65,293</b>	<b>170,600</b>	<b>132,348</b>	<b><u>65,600</u></b>
<b>DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY</b>									
10-55-110	Full time wages - Police	1,281,578	1,161,824	1,163,688	1,136,077	1,162,593	1,195,203	1,056,402	<u>1,370,825</u>
10-55-111	Part time wages - Police	94,513	92,431	94,965	82,925	41,738	44,472	37,993	<u>46,476</u>
10-55-112	Overtime wages - Police	24,831	50,517	42,307	25,292	38,046	32,213	33,182	<u>20,000</u>
10-55-113	Special Functions - Police	148,043	122,695	122,770	130,930	127,489	131,158	45,250	<u>.00</u>
10-55-114	Bailiff Wages	5,525	4,638	3,795	7,507	13,409	18,926	15,648	<u>20,376</u>

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-55-115	Animal Control Wages	36,793	37,495	37,523	39,738	46,868	58,219	49,767	60,842
10-55-116	Crossing Guards	2,860	2,650	.00	.00	12,430	27,914	10,966	27,188
10-55-117	Full time wages - Fire	496,334	475,341	538,752	526,577	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-118	Part time wages - Fire	188,298	191,576	217,086	193,655	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-119	Overtime wages - Fire	49,692	51,417	44,862	67,039	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-130	Benefits - DPS	1,040,915	985,491	993,858	1,081,106	841,555	948,907	790,192	972,323
10-55-131	WTC - A/C Contract	.00	.00	.00	28,170	31,167	32,482	22,767	33,457
10-55-132	Liquor Funds Expenditures	.00	.00	.00	8,253	7,992	32,044	27,184	19,750
10-55-150	Death Benefit Ins. - Police	399	351	351	351	351	400	351	400
10-55-210	Mbrshps, Bks & Sub - Police	1,440	1,055	3,108	1,264	3,344	4,000	831	5,000
10-55-211	Mbrshps, Bks & Sub - Fire	.00	.00	.00	1,050	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-230	Travel & Training - Police	20,716	14,809	14,032	9,177	13,079	14,940	11,847	15,000
10-55-231	Travel & Training - Fire	1,466	910	6,837	7,919	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-240	Office Supplies - Police	6,506	5,231	6,084	3,774	3,565	5,300	4,415	6,000
10-55-241	Office Supplies - Fire	.00	.00	.00	1,898	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-243	Special Dept. Supplies - Fire	.00	.00	75	8,827	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-244	Clothing Contract - Fire	.00	.00	.00	20,309	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-245	Clothing Contract - Police	35,851	47,706	33,037	12,316	10,834	15,602	8,173	20,000
10-55-246	Special Dept Supplies - Police	15,605	17,695	18,965	12,325	11,921	14,000	12,682	14,000
10-55-247	Animal Control Costs	12,010	14,039	13,140	34,033	53,085	80,579	67,487	64,000
10-55-248	Vehicle Maintenance - Police	86	.00	38,403	19,619	25,285	22,849	17,161	25,000
10-55-249	Vehicle Maintenance - Fire	.00	.00	16,038	12,304	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-250	Equipment Maintenance - Police	319	1,710	1,403	696	38	2,000	.00	2,000
10-55-252	Equipment Maintenance - Fire	4,879	11,067	5,022	4,706	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-280	Telephone/Internet - Police	41,025	28,313	33,209	27,571	28,192	27,972	20,573	29,000
10-55-281	Telephone/Internet - Fire	.00	.00	112	7,280	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-300	Gas, Oil & Tires - Police	.00	.00	76,340	85,923	74,970	85,150	47,544	68,000
10-55-301	Gas, Oil & Tires - Fire	.00	.00	15,872	13,313	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-310	Professional & Tech - Police	30,256	31,293	46,580	26,536	26,918	29,401	20,971	29,401
10-55-311	Professional & Tech. - Fire	.00	.00	.00	15,064	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-323	MDT/Radio Repairs	.00	.00	941	.00	334	.00	.00	.00
10-55-329	Computer Repairs - Police	.00	.00	1,149	807	401	3,246	1,292	3,200
10-55-330	Computer Repairs - Fire	.00	.00	.00	598	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-350	Crime Scene Investigations	25,755	26,369	27,079	31,281	30,000	31,600	31,549	34,800
10-55-400	Weber/Morgan Strike Force	.00	.00	.00	8,380	8,380	9,200	8,380	17,000
10-55-401	Emergency Mgmt. Plan	2,046	2,237	2,048	2,574	107	.00	.00	.00
10-55-450	K-9	1,408	487	485	857	3,189	3,000	765	3,000
10-55-460	Dare	2,012	2,227	1,248	1,945	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-470	Community Education - Police	1,899	4,667	2,664	824	882	2,450	319	2,400

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-55-471	Community Education - Fire	.00	.00	.00	760	34	.00	.00	.00
10-55-475	Youth Court Expenses	978	1,094	1,118	.00	1,161	1,306	59	1,300
10-55-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	1,854	941	16,143	4,635	12,601	6,352	6,342	3,730
10-55-650	Lease Payments - Police	21,606	21,522	143,105	201,486	150,081	135,564	125,374	126,441
10-55-651	Lease Payments - Fire	.00	.00	198,199	4,984	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-700	Small Equipment - Police	1,099	730	4,012	27,844	43,202	23,155	13,544	11,000
10-55-701	Small Equipment - Fire	.00	1,735	3,670	14,286	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-55-750	Capital Outlay - Police	1,500	14,129	25,469	664,006	77,355	11,127	13,049	93,000
Budget notes:									
~2016 radio replacement - \$137,000									
18 mdt units - \$50,000									
4 vehicle replacements - \$138,000									
car camera dvd burner - \$9,500									
body cameras - \$13,000									
10-55-751	Capital Outlay - Fire	.00	23,156	5,500	40,530	.00	.00	.00	.00
Total DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY:		3,600,088	3,449,548	4,021,043	4,659,332	2,902,527	3,050,731	2,502,059	3,144,909
<b>FIRE PROTECTION</b>									
10-57-110	Salaries & Wages	.00	.00	.00	.00	587,613	612,569	502,541	652,445
10-57-111	Part Time Wages	.00	.00	.00	.00	176,241	201,652	155,575	210,727
10-57-112	Overtime	.00	.00	.00	.00	58,291	43,858	70,120	43,643
10-57-130	Employee Benefits	.00	.00	.00	.00	288,442	345,783	284,417	368,552
10-57-150	Health & Wellness Program	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-57-210	Memberships, Books & Subscrptn	.00	.00	.00	.00	1,658	1,660	1,956	1,700
10-57-230	Travel & Training	.00	.00	.00	.00	6,319	8,900	5,363	9,000
10-57-240	Office Supplies & Expense	.00	.00	.00	.00	1,967	2,266	635	2,266
10-57-245	Clothing Contract	.00	.00	.00	.00	18,387	21,000	7,757	15,000
10-57-246	Special Department Supplies	.00	.00	.00	.00	5,538	12,755	6,231	8,755
10-57-250	Vehicle Maintenance	.00	.00	.00	.00	17,679	13,000	15,545	20,000
10-57-255	Other Equipment Maintenance	.00	.00	.00	.00	8,023	7,500	5,384	7,500
10-57-280	Telephone/Internet	.00	.00	.00	.00	7,002	7,250	5,967	7,250
10-57-300	Gas, Oil & Tires	.00	.00	.00	.00	9,603	12,000	6,160	10,000
10-57-310	Professional & Technical	.00	.00	.00	.00	12,123	15,000	8,543	15,600
10-57-330	Fire Prevention/ Community Edu	.00	.00	.00	.00	1,297	1,300	321	1,500
10-57-400	Emergency Management Planning	.00	.00	.00	.00	5,740	3,000	3,835	6,000
10-57-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	.00	.00	.00	.00	788	411	411	.00
10-57-650	Lease Payments	.00	.00	.00	.00	4,206	4,583	4,583	.00
10-57-700	Small Equipment	.00	.00	.00	.00	8,499	7,740	567	8,000

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-57-750	Capital Outlay	.00	.00	.00	.00	1,118	.00	1,133	36,000
	Budget notes:								
	~2016 replacement hose - \$10,000								
	4 mdt's - \$16,000								
	chief & deputy vehicles - \$60,000								
	repairs to station 82 from termite damage - \$10,000								
	brush truck - \$70,000								
	new engine - \$650,000								
	<b>Total FIRE PROTECTION:</b>	.00	.00	.00	.00	1,220,534	1,322,227	1,087,043	1,423,938
<b>INSPECTION SERVICES</b>									
10-58-110	Salaries and Wages	62,589	63,337	63,371	64,512	66,228	67,979	55,918	71,034
10-58-130	Employee Benefits	23,814	26,480	27,112	30,056	32,612	34,720	31,443	35,796
10-58-210	Books, Subscrip. & Memberships	758	946	1,267	1,095	2,500	1,575	408	1,575
10-58-230	Travel & Training	5,075	2,559	3,359	2,673	1,939	2,900	2,344	4,500
10-58-240	SUPPLIES	564	514	330	97	696	945	184	945
10-58-245	Clothing Allowance	.00	450	.00	278	145	278	.00	278
10-58-248	Vehicle Maintenance	.00	.00	317	126	135	500	.00	500
10-58-280	CELLULAR PHONE	1,570	1,240	1,265	1,134	975	1,260	695	1,260
10-58-300	Gas, Oil & Tires	.00	.00	1,828	2,903	1,410	3,000	516	3,000
10-58-315	PROFESSIONAL & TECHNICAL	.00	35	.00	14,662	14,650	340	340	.00
10-58-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	.00	.00	.00	.00	111	58	58	.00
10-58-650	Lease Payments	.00	.00	.00	703	592	645	645	.00
10-58-700	Small Equipment	.00	.00	.00	.00	150	.00	.00	.00
10-58-750	CAPITAL OUTLAY	1,653	.00	.00	2,098	.00	.00	.00	6,000
	Budget notes:								
	~2016 scanner - \$6,000								
	<b>Total INSPECTION SERVICES:</b>	96,024	95,561	98,850	120,336	122,143	114,200	92,552	124,888
<b>STREETS</b>									
10-60-110	Salaries and Wages	162,301	112,951	167,217	173,573	176,206	182,147	149,270	190,310
10-60-112	Overtime	5,832	2,983	984	2,434	1,719	7,000	1,490	7,000
10-60-130	Employee Benefits	55,643	48,225	69,594	80,152	89,125	104,346	83,858	107,372
10-60-210	Books, Subscrip. Memberships	.00	.00	648	253	925	1,500	1,022	1,500
10-60-230	Travel & Training	2,123	2,130	2,107	5,301	2,987	5,500	1,717	5,500
10-60-240	Office Supplies & Expense	.00	.00	78	20	250	1,000	.00	1,000
10-60-245	Clothing/Uniform/Equip. Allow.	1,598	1,013	5,009	2,905	2,438	4,000	1,013	4,000

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-60-248	Vehicle Maintenance	.00	.00	22,759	15,339	16,771	15,000	18,243	20,000
10-60-260	Building & Grounds Maintenance	.00	.00	549	1,447	564	16,000	707	5,000
10-60-270	Utilities	69,103	72,362	67,570	76,620	78,830	75,000	32,699	45,000
10-60-280	Telephone	592	.00	1,995	2,579	1,899	4,000	2,059	4,000
10-60-300	Gas, Oil & Tires	.00	.00	24,643	26,308	16,654	25,000	12,044	25,000
10-60-310	Professional	6,009	4,913	9,333	13,512	11,114	12,000	8,463	14,000
Budget notes:									
~2016 l-pad software - \$8,000									
10-60-329	Computer Repairs	.00	.00	100	.00	452	.00	.00	.00
10-60-400	Class C Maintenance	62,014	53,697	62,165	50,874	49,597	85,000	48,821	85,000
10-60-480	Special Department Supplies	7,272	9,446	10,970	11,510	10,973	11,000	5,550	11,000
10-60-510	Road Proj/Improvements	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	1,375,000	771,957	.00
10-60-600	Siemens Streetlight Lease	.00	.00	.00	.00	79,026	36,417	27,233	37,623
10-60-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	.00	.00	777	214	3,546	2,623	2,622	1,797
10-60-650	Lease Payments	.00	.00	12,372	79,670	70,445	69,921	64,018	69,180
10-60-700	Small Equipment	.00	.00	311	2,385	5,438	2,400	1,400	14,400
Budget notes:									
~2016 street signage - \$14,000									
tree maintenance - \$12,000									
other - \$2,400									
10-60-725	Sidewalk Replacements	.00	.00	.00	.00	6,665	14,650	9,986	25,000
10-60-730	Street Light Maintenance	.00	.00	.00	.00	16,400	5,000	3,609	10,000
10-60-750	Capital Outlay	11,334	27,956	123,587	254,839	464,273	.00	.00	.00
Budget notes:									
~2016 10 wheeler - \$220,000									
1 ton truck - \$67,000									
3 ton truck - \$165,000									
asphalt drag box - \$45,000									
UTV - \$30,000									
paint sprayer - \$5,000									
weed sprayer - \$4,500									
<b>Total STREETS:</b>		<b>383,821</b>	<b>335,675</b>	<b>582,765</b>	<b>799,933</b>	<b>1,106,298</b>	<b>2,054,504</b>	<b>1,247,781</b>	<b>683,682</b>
<b>FLEET MANAGEMENT</b>									
10-65-110	Salaries and Wages	56,046	56,701	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-112	Overtime	519	204	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-130	Employee Benefits	22,692	25,189	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-210	Books, Subscrip. & Membership	.00	43	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-65-230	Travel & Training	210	2,650	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-240	Office Supplies	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-245	Clothing/Uniform/Equip. Allow.	630	690	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-246	Speical Department Supplies	8,640	6,910	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-250	Equipment Operation & Maint	61,073	60,192	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-260	Building & Grounds Maintenance	436	357	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-280	Telephone	622	631	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-300	Gas, Oil, Tires	152,644	151,198	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-301	Class 'c' Related Items	11,387	19,417	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-310	Professional & Technical Servi	2,468	601	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-400	Lease Payments	399,508	375,167	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	45,708	29,685	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-65-750	Capital Outlay	99,448	7,295	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
<b>Total FLEET MANAGEMENT:</b>		<b>862,032</b>	<b>736,931</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>.00</b>	<b>.00</b>
<b>PARKS</b>									
10-70-110	Salaries and Wages	213,238	201,346	202,411	197,574	207,545	172,742	143,912	181,670
10-70-112	Overtime	8,416	5,712	3,849	1,852	2,727	5,000	959	5,000
10-70-120	Temporary - Parks	19,913	17,663	14,121	9,219	10,428	15,676	6,860	16,382
10-70-125	Temporary - Recreation	38,836	33,765	47,391	51,102	57,295	.00	.00	.00
10-70-130	Employee Benefits	160,190	104,546	110,639	118,515	128,367	133,571	106,117	135,209
10-70-210	Books, Subscriptions & Mbrshps	.00	.00	396	728	940	1,000	260	1,000
10-70-225	Concession Expenses	4,513	2,587	3,793	2,611	1,737	.00	.00	.00
10-70-230	Travel & Training	4,538	666	2,060	2,494	2,938	5,500	1,020	5,500
10-70-240	Special Dept. Supplies - Parks	19,169	18,591	19,228	17,924	19,830	30,000	7,956	25,000
10-70-241	Comp League Expenses	.00	.00	5,033	4,536	6,802	.00	.00	.00
10-70-242	Special Dept. Supplies - Rec.	20,174	33,715	29,281	28,802	26,934	.00	.00	.00
10-70-244	Office Supplies Expense	60	246	149	119	218	500	195	500
10-70-245	Clothing/Uniform/Equip. Allow.	1,694	2,700	1,538	3,248	2,504	3,100	1,415	3,100
10-70-248	Vehicle Maintenance	.00	.00	9,870	10,002	6,677	5,000	7,296	8,000
10-70-250	Gym Facility Utilities/Opertns	.00	.00	4,798	6,431	6,663	.00	.00	.00
10-70-260	Building Maintenance	607	839	945	519	1,499	2,500	782	2,500
10-70-270	Utilities	10,299	9,779	9,651	9,562	11,338	10,000	7,793	10,000
10-70-275	Off Leash Dog Area	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Budget notes:									
~2016 sprinkler/landscape - \$25,000									
10-70-280	Telephone/Internet	6,695	6,058	7,593	9,581	8,722	7,500	5,011	7,500
10-70-300	Gas, Oil & Tires	.00	.00	13,637	12,309	12,028	11,000	7,840	10,000

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-70-310	Professional & Technical	700	4,929	8,506	10,387	13,269	2,500	802	2,500
10-70-320	Urban Forestry Commssion	1,789	1,562	2,907	4,999	3,631	4,200	565	4,200
10-70-329	Computer Repairs	.00	.00	.00	.00	142	500	.00	500
10-70-350	Officals Fees	18,249	6,176	16,845	15,654	6,513	.00	.00	.00
10-70-450	RAMP Grant Projects	14,685	15,368	13,612	15,997	15,400	80,843	27,606	16,500
10-70-550	Parks Maintenance Projects	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Budget notes:									
~2016 splash pad surface - \$65,000									
park signs - \$28,000									
slurry seal asphalt trails - \$25,000									
club heights lights - \$75,000									
main point landscaping - \$25,000									
40th resurface court/bb - \$25,000									
10-70-600	Secondary Water Fees	10,202	10,133	10,451	12,041	13,397	14,550	14,548	15,000
10-70-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	17	.00	503	511	1,658	711	710	442
10-70-650	Lease Payments	2,530	1,995	2,028	3,513	19,992	17,488	16,115	17,013
10-70-700	Small Equipment	123	952	.00	2,078	3,518	10,000	.00	10,000
10-70-750	Capital Outlay- Parks	.00	.00	651	137,894	116,540	.00	.00	.00
Budget notes:									
~2016 friendship playground - \$90,000									
meadows playground - \$95,000									
playground upgrade @ nature park - \$80,000									
club heights parking lot - \$125,000									
10-70-752	Capital Outlay- Rec.	.00	14,165	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
<b>Total PARKS:</b>		<b>556,636</b>	<b>493,493</b>	<b>541,882</b>	<b>690,201</b>	<b>709,250</b>	<b>533,881</b>	<b>357,761</b>	<b>477,516</b>
<b>RECREATION</b>									
10-71-110	Salaries & Wages	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	41,413	32,778	43,277
10-71-125	Temporary - Recreation	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	43,675	48,391	45,641
10-71-130	Employee Benefits	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	30,198	27,366	31,871
10-71-210	Books, Subscriptions & Mbrshps	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	169	300
10-71-225	Concession Expenses	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	4,000	.00	4,000
10-71-230	Travel & Training	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	220	2,500
10-71-240	Office Supplies Expense	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	800	249	1,200
10-71-241	Comp League Expenses	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	6,000	4,359	8,000
10-71-242	Special Dept. Supplies	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	28,372	16,655	38,000
10-71-248	Vehicle Maintenance	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	1,000	.00	1,000
10-71-250	Gym Facility Utilities/Opertns	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	8,000	.00	8,000

Account Number	Account Title	2009-10 Prior year 5 Actual	2010-11 Prior year 4 Actual	2011-12 Prior year 3 Actual	2012-13 Prior year 2 Actual	2013-14 Prior year Actual	2014-15 Current year Budget	2014-15 Current year Actual	2015-16 Future year Budget
10-71-280	Telephone/Internet	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	2,500	2,223	2,500
10-71-300	Gas, Oil & Tires	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	2,000	53	2,000
10-71-310	Professional & Technical	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	5,000	6,486	5,000
10-71-350	Officials Fees	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	7,000	8,062	8,000
10-71-649	Lease Interest/Taxes	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	93	92	.00
10-71-650	Lease Payments	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	1,032	2,902	.00
10-71-700	Small Equipment	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	10,000
10-71-750	Capital Outlay	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	6,000
<b>Total RECREATION:</b>		.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	181,083	150,005	217,289
<b>TRANSFERS</b>									
10-80-230	Trans to Capital Improv Fund	56,967	.00	105,000	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
10-80-235	Trans to Capital Improve-Class	780,000	514,992	385,032	158,543	168,122	176,919	132,687	172,133
10-80-240	Transfer Class 'c' to Debt Ser	.00	.00	.00	241,457	242,272	243,120	182,340	242,867
10-80-250	Transfer to Debt Service Fund	584,973	888,492	1,030,316	644,857	1,082,120	682,682	512,010	854,147
10-80-275	Trnfr to South Ogden Days Fund	48,600	46,010	41,000	41,000	68,000	53,420	40,059	50,000
<b>Total TRANSFERS:</b>		1,470,540	1,449,494	1,561,348	1,085,857	1,560,514	1,156,141	867,096	1,319,147
<b>Total Expenditure:</b>		8,856,840	8,532,688	8,669,425	9,466,066	9,815,991	10,808,048	8,145,253	9,657,460
<b>GENERAL FUND Revenue Total:</b>		8,392,509	8,538,702	8,711,779	9,797,717	9,980,806	10,808,048	7,308,276	9,657,460
<b>GENERAL FUND Expenditure Total:</b>		8,856,840	8,532,688	8,669,425	9,466,066	9,815,991	10,808,048	8,145,253	9,657,460
<b>Net Total GENERAL FUND:</b>		464,331	6,014	42,354	331,651	64,815	.00	836,977	.00

## Fund balance analysis

6/30/2014 financial statement fund balance:	\$2,417,112.47		
Restricted balances:			
Class "c" Funds	(\$67,524.75)		
Restricted Fund Balance - 40th St.	(\$100,000.00)		
Restricted Fund Balance - leave liability	(\$466,030.40)		
6/30/2014 Unappropriated Fund balance - Beginning	\$1,783,557.32	Total Fund Balance - Everything	\$2,482,222.39
YTD Revenue over Expenditures - 1/31/2015	\$141,785.16		26.79%
12/31/2014 Unappropriated Fund balance available	\$1,925,342.48	1/31/2015 Preliminary Fund Balance	\$1,925,342.48
	20.78%	FY 2015 Budgeted Surplus	(\$50,000.00)
25% state general fund maximum:		Net Preliminary 1/31/2015 F/B	\$1,875,342.48
2015 General fund revenues = \$9,265,180.00	\$2,316,295.00	25% Limitation	\$2,316,295.00
22% general fund requirement per council resolution	\$2,038,339.60		
20% general fund requirement per council resolution	\$1,853,036.00		
18% general fund requirement per council resolution	\$1,667,732.40		
16% general fund requirement per council resolution	\$1,482,428.80	Spend down to 16%	\$949,793.59
14% general fund requirement per council resolution	\$1,297,125.20		
12% general fund requirement per council resolution	\$1,111,821.60		
11% general fund requirement per council resolution	\$1,019,169.80		
10.25% general fund requirement per council resolution	\$949,680.95		
10% general fund requirement per council resolution	\$926,518.00		
5% general fund requirement per council resolution	\$463,259.00		
25% general fund requirement per council resolution	<b>\$2,316,295.00</b>		