

1 **Cache County Council Regional**
2 **Recreation Center Feasibility Study Committee**
3 **October 5, 2026**
4 **Minutes**

5
6 The Cache County Council Regional Recreation Center Feasibility Study Committee met in
7 regular session on October 5, 2026 @ 5:00 pm, at the Marshall White Center, 222 28th St,
8 Ogden, UT 84401
9

10 **ATTENDANCE**

11
12 **Board Members Present:**

13 Keegan Garrity – County Council
14 Kathryn Beus – County Council
15 Jon-David Jorgensen – North County Municipal Representative
16 Emily Schmidt – North County Municipal Representative
17 Nathan Player – Unincorporated County Representative
18 Jay Dee Jensen – South County Municipal Representative
19 Nathan Laursen – Unincorporated County Representative
20 Melissa Dahle – County Seat Representative
21

22 **Others in Attendance:**

23 Whitney Ward – VCBO
24 Scott Carson – Ballard King & Associates
25 Andrew Erickson – Cache County
26

27 **#1 Call to Order and Roll Call**

28
29 **Garrity** called the meeting to order.
30

31 **#2 Review and Approval of the Agenda**

32
33 **ACTION: A motion was made by Schmidt to approve the agenda and was**
34 **seconded by Dahle. The vote in favor was unanimous, 8-0.**
35

36 **#3 Review and Approval of the Minutes**

37
38 3.1 September 9, 2026 – Site Tour Meeting
39 3.2 September 14, 2026 – Regular Meeting
40 3.3 September 21, 2026 – Regular Meeting
41 3.4 September 28, 2026 – Site Tour Meeting
42

43 **ACTION: A motion was made by Schmidt to approve all the listed minutes**
44 **and was seconded by Dahle. The vote in favor was unanimous, 8-0.**
45

1 **#4 Review, Discussion, and Potential Action on Current Milestones and Related**
2 **Matters:**

3
4 4.1 Review Research/Study Operational Structures (Municipality vs. District vs.
5 County)/Tour Local Facilities
6

7 **Committee** reviewed their notes and thoughts on the Ogden site tour and their robust
8 programming options, the need for outside spaces that are open to public use,
9 subsidies available to lower income citizens, the scale of aquatics based on need and
10 keeping the system similar to surrounding aquatic facilities.
11

12 4.2 October: Develop Project Priorities and Evaluation Criteria, Determine the Need for
13 a Voter Based Survey
14

15 **Committee** discussed if a voter-based survey is necessary, what type of questions
16 would be asked,
17

18 4.3 Demographic and Growth Projections
19

20 **Scott King** reviewed the demographics and growth projections of the valley.
21

22 **Committee, Ward, and King** discussed removing the university demographics,
23 operational costs, and meeting the needs of the community.
24

25 4.4 2025 Market Analysis
26

27 **King and Ward** reviewed the market analysis.
28

29 **#5 Remaining Timeline Milestones**
30

31 5.1 November: Review Concept Options (1 vs. 2 Facilities), Potential Amenities,
32 Associated Costs, Locations, Operational Approaches Against the Evaluation Criteria
33

34 5.2 December: No Meetings/Limited Meetings
35

36 5.3 January: Review the Survey Findings (as Applicable) and Select the Recommended
37 Concept and Operational Approach
38

39 5.4 February: Refine Final Recommendations and Council Presentation
40

41 **#6 Discussion of Other Miscellaneous Items of Incidental Business**
42

43 **Committee** discussed creating a document to track the criteria for a voter based
44 survey, tracking the wanted amenities, and criteria for what would make a rec center
45 successful.
46

1 **#7 Questions for VCBO or VCBO-Requested Direction or Clarification**

2

3 None.

4

5 **#8 Next Meeting**

6

7 Monday, October 19 at 5:00 pm.