

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-WORK SESSION
CITY HALL-10 EAST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE
OCTOBER 6, 2026

FINAL

Mayor Horrocks welcomed those present at 6:03 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks
Councilmember Tammy Clayton
Councilmember Suzette Jackson
Councilmember Ted Knowlton
Councilmember Heidi Smoot
Councilmember Alisa Van Langeveld

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; David Frandsen, Assistant City Manager; Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director; Jon Rueckert, Public Works Director; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Craig Black, Police Chief; Karyn Baxter, City Engineer; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Wendy Page, City Recorder.

OTHERS PRESENT: Jo Ellen Ashworth, Frances Marcus, Elaine Christensen Moss, Chris Moss, Sabrina Harman, Christopher Harman, Carri Herron, Diane Sjoherg, Carolyn Jacobson, Jennifer Graves, Gary Gatherum, Curtis Creek, Lorna Ivie, Janis Twede, Rebecca Sherman, Pat B. Nageli, Todd Summers, Dee Lalliss, Michelle Fairbanks, Brandi Wendel, Nicholas Nelson, Cindy Powell, Steve Beazer, Ryan Holbrook, Jared Wendel, Bradley Anderson, Gentry Holbrook, Amber Ivie Stringham, Peter Wirthlin, Jacob Gordon, Ashlyn Hawkins, Shandell Smoot, Eric Wood, Melou Cline, Russell Cline, Alexis Pena, James Van Langeveld, Barry Bryson, Richard, residents.

1. PUBLIC COMMENT ON PROPOSED ADDRESS CHANGES RELATED TO
PROPERTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE BOUNDARIES

Sherrie Pace provided background related to the proposed address changes related to properties within the City boundaries. She explained that on November 15, 2022 the City Council annexed the Val Verde area at the request of Davis County. She added that part of the motion related to the adoption of Ordinance 2022-09 included that address changes would not be considered until January 2024 and after the formation of a committee. She continued that there were approximately 350 properties with Bountiful addresses including 25 properties that were already in the City before the annexation took place. Ms. Pace shared a map highlighting the 350

properties including approximately 15 businesses. She said staff had drafted the proposed new house/building numbers within the City's grid system but had not drafted any street names.

Sherrie Pace continued that the City Council had requested a public comment meeting be held. She reported that staff prepared a mailing list and on September 10th mailed 498 notices for the meeting to both the property owners' mailing addresses on file with the County Recorder's Office and to current tenants for properties that appeared to be occupied by renters or tenants.

She shared that the draft resolution for the committee included nine committee members comprised of one City Councilmember, four community members including two local business owners and two neighborhood representatives, and one staff member from the following departments: community development, police, public works, and South Davis Metro Fire. She clarified that the mailed postcards contained a QR code requesting applications for community representatives. Ms. Pace said six applications had already been received and the deadline for those applications had been extended to October 13th. She noted that the community representatives would be appointed by the Mayor with consent by the City Council likely on October 20, 2026.

Sherrie Pace reviewed the draft scope of the committee including:

- Estimating associated costs
- Developing a public communications plan
- Identify potential impacts
- Create owner transition packets
- Recommendations to the City Council
 - Street names
 - Reimbursement for expenses
 - Timeline for implementation

Ms. Pace then presented the timeline and possible meeting agenda items for the committee:

Timeline

- Committee appointments 10/20/26
- 6-8 biweekly meetings from November-January 2027
- Public open house in February 2027
- Final committee meeting in February 2027
- Recommendation to the City Council March 2027

Meeting agenda items

- Review of the proposed addresses, street names, and coordinates
- Meetings with stakeholder representatives (USPS, Davis County, other City staff)
- Assessment of implementation costs to property owners and businesses
- Proposed timeline for implementation
- Management of public feedback and open house
- Final recommendations to the City Council

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if there was the possibility for more than nine committee members. Sherrie Pace replied that more members could be chosen and suggested the City Council update the resolution to reflect this and any other changes they wished to make prior to approval of the resolution. She added that the committee meetings would be public meetings which anyone could attend and that the meetings would be recorded along with written minutes.

Councilmember Jackson asked staff to explain why this item was being discussed now and the City's reasons for why this should happen. Sherrie Pace responded that the City Council had directed staff to work on this project for city wide continuity with addressing, package delivery issues with current addressing, and possible emergency service delays. She gave the example of how Orchard Drive had City addresses on the east side and Bountiful addresses on the west side of the road. She continued that these properties were now part of North Salt Lake City and the City Council could evaluate the pros and cons to make a final decision on the addressing issue.

Mayor Horrocks added that he had received comments from some residents in the annexation area that it was time to update the addresses. He also shared one case where someone had purchased a home in the annexation area and buyers did not realize they were in North Salt Lake instead of Bountiful. He stated those new owners went to Bountiful City to sign up for utility services and were told then that they were actually in North Salt Lake. He questioned how long the City should continue to perpetuate incorrect addresses.

Councilmember Jackson asked if there were issues with sales tax capture with the current addressing. Sherrie Pace replied that sales tax was tied to the four digit code at the end of the five digit zip code or each property and those had been tied to the City, so there is not issue with that.

Councilmember Knowlton requested the addition of individual household costs and benefits (pros/cons) to the proposed committee scope. He asked how Bountiful City could engage in this process. Sherrie Pace said a representative from each of the stakeholders including those from Bountiful City could be invited to a committee meeting if the committee felt there was some information that was warranted. She proposed generating a list of those stakeholders and entities

with the committee at their first meeting. Possible stakeholders would include the USPS, Davis County, County Clerk, DMV, etc.

Mayor Horrocks opened the public comment at 6:18 p.m.

Jo Ellen Ashworth, property owner, spoke on the Salt Lake valley addressing system and suggested the City change its addressing system to match Bountiful City. She said in the August 4th City Council meeting discussing readdressing a comment was made that the cost to the City would be minimal, and she believed that the cost to residents would not be minimal. She disputed that Bountiful City, the post office, or public safety entities had no concerns with the current addressing. Ms. Ashworth requested contact information for the committee members and how to be notified of the meetings. She felt there were no problems in retaining Bountiful addresses that could not be resolved.

Chris Moss, resident, expressed concern that those on the committee who did not live in the area would be making decisions for those residents who did. He requested that the City leave the residents alone and control speeding cars on the streets.

Richard Herron, resident, commented that no one in the annexation area wanted to be part of the City. He continued that services went down and taxes increased. He said people moved to the unincorporated area because they did not want to be part of North Salt Lake or Bountiful. He added that he did not understand the purpose of the committee as the City Council had already made the decision to change the addresses.

Councilmember Knowlton commented that the decision had not been made.

Richard Herron, resident, continued that he felt the same unfair process of going through the formalities occurred during the annexation. He asked that the City provide notice of when the address changes would occur so he could sell his home before it was put in place.

Michelle Fairbanks, resident, commented that while the Council stated that decision had not been made, she felt it had because staff stated that the new house numbers had already been chosen. She requested that the addressing remain the same and said there were already inconsistencies with the current City addressing. She spoke on the waste of money to update street signs and how she felt the City made decisions without serving the residents.

Sabrina Harman, resident, said while the annexed area was incorporated in North Salt Lake in 2022 that these residents had been living at their established addresses for many years prior to that. She noted that there had been no issues in the four years since the annexation and the

reasons behind the address change including Bountiful City's request and EMS concerns. She continued that she had a hard time understanding why residents had to change the address connected to their mortgages, insurance, banks, utilities, vehicle registration, etc. which would create hours of burden. She concluded that there was an issue of trust with the City and City Council for suggesting the address change without showing evidence of a real problem.

Resident, not identified, commented that she grew up in the area and said there were no address issues in West Bountiful using Bountiful addresses. She expressed distaste for the number of car dealerships on Highway 89. She forcefully asked the City to leave the addresses as is.

Steve Beazer, resident, said he had lived in the area for over 50 years and had been involved in annexation issue over the years. He spoke on trusting the elected City officials and said while the assimilation into the City had been mostly pleasant, the change itself was part of the problem. He shared that he had recently had an issue with his medical insurance due to his address being a Bountiful address but being physically in North Salt Lake caused confusion at the pharmacy. He said the purpose of the committee was to do the research, receive local input, and figure out the right thing without a predetermined decision.

Elaine Christensen Moss, resident, commented that she did not want a new address as she did not want to be associated with Salt Lake or North Salt Lake. She said she had never had issues with deliveries, pharmacies, or EMS due to her address. She mentioned her frustration with the City due to a proposal for condos near her home, rules and regulations related to easements, owning roosters, etc.

Richard Herron, resident, returned to ask the follow up question if the City Council received a raise after the area was annexed into the City.

Councilmember Jackson commented that she had not received a raise.

Councilmember Van Langeveld clarified that the City Councilmembers received about \$15,000 per year.

Richard Herron reiterated that his taxes increased and services decreased following the annexation. He said previously the County provided great service and police presence. He spoke on speeding issues and lack of sidewalks in the area. He also requested speed bumps and offered a \$10,000 donation towards installation and requested the speed limit be reduced to 20 mph.

Councilmember Knowlton asked what street he was referring to. Richard replied that it was 3500 South. He continued with his concerns related to speeding and a request for officers to patrol the area. He stated that he had video of speeders and their license plates.

Mayor Horrocks asked for a show of hands for those present who did not have sidewalks and those who would want them to raise their hand.

Resident, not identified, commented from the audience on her concerns related to sidewalks and how it would require utilizing resident's yards if they were installed on various streets in the annexation area. She also mentioned frustrations with street parking during the winter, and City plowing.

Janice Twede, resident, shared concerns related to townhomes and apartments in her area with noise and traffic and how this had changed her quiet neighborhood. She was not in favor of the proposed address change as she had lived there for 57 years.

Resident, not identified, commented that he was not surprised things were happening this way. He shared his concerns with the incorporation and the address change.

Amber Ivie Stringham, resident, shared that her parents had lived in their home for 57 years and when her father passed away two months ago, the EMS had no issues finding their address on 3800 South. She said while change was inevitable or necessary that the address change was not needed. She also suggested the City adopt Bountiful addresses to be consistent with the Salt Lake County addressing system.

Cindy Powell, resident, commented on the residents sharing their opinions and having their voice matter along with trust that the City Council would listen. She spoke on the hope that City leaders could be trusted with resident concerns. She shared parking and safety concerns related to Chesham Village. She was also against an address change.

Lorna Ivie, resident, said that she had lived on 3800 South for 57 years and had recently lost her husband. She shared her concerns with the problems associated with an address change.

Mayor Horrocks closed the public comments at 6:52 p.m.

Councilmember Knowlton thanked those in attendance for sharing their opinions. He said the City Council had not discussed this for several months beyond a brief discussion in August. He explained the purpose of the proposed committee was to obtain information for the City Council to then make a decision. He urged those in attendance to apply for the committee and ensure that

the neighborhood affected was represented. He continued that the committee would review pros and cons of a potential address change so the Council could then deliberate.

Councilmember Knowlton shared that he did not like the current name of the City, as it implies a connection to Salt Lake City, because the City has a stronger connection to our neighbors in Bountiful. He noted that he lived near many of those who had provided public comment in the previously unincorporated Davis County. He said that the City Council was part of the same community and had heard from residents on how painful the annexation was. He added that the previous elected officials for the area were the County Commissioners and that their new elected officials were now the City Council that lived in their city.

Councilmember Knowlton concluded with the desire to address the other issues raised during the public comment as well and how the City Council was not an adversary.

Mayor Horrocks commented that the unincorporated area had approximately 1,000 residents and around 75 had shown up today. He asked if that meant that they should assume that those other 900 residents were in favor of the address change. He also mentioned the number one complaint he had heard related to the annexation was the loss of utilizing the Bountiful landfill. He added that while the unincorporated area did not vote to be annexed that Davis County residents had been subsidizing services to those areas and City residents had been paying for parks and other City facilities that those in the unincorporated areas utilized.

Mayor Horrocks also added that Davis County had requested the annexation due to the cost and impracticality of providing services to the unincorporated area (snow plowing, police, etc.) and that there was not an option for the area to become part of Bountiful.

An audience member commented that promises had been made that while taxes would increase that services would be better. He said services had not been better and shared concerns about the lack of sidewalks in the area as well.

Mayor Horrocks reiterated that nothing had been decided but said that incorrect addressing was being perpetuated and should be fixed at some point.

An audience member commented that he believed someone would be hurt by EMS not being able to find an address if they were changed. He said the proposed address change would result in confusion.

Councilmember Clayton commented that she had lived in this neighborhood her entire life, including times in the Bountiful portion and the unincorporated Davis County portion, as well as now within North Salt Lake. She spoke on her love for the City and said it was a nice place to be

from. She mentioned how the committee would be a good thing and allow residents to share their opinions and work together.

Councilmember Van Langeveld thanked the residents for their comments and said the City Council was listening. She said if the addresses were changed that the comments made would help to solve some of the issues. She shared a potential idea that paperwork could be created to show that the old address equaled the new address for any legal needs (trust, end of life, housing transitions). She mentioned another idea that could be explored to determine if the new addresses could become effective upon a change of property ownership or an opt-in system.

Councilmember Van Langeveld said that other public comment had been received where residents were in favor of the address change. She also mentioned that several residents from the annexation area were now serving on the Planning Commission and other committees in the City and had been a real asset to those committees. She echoed the request that residents apply to serve on the addressing committee.

Councilmember Jackson commented she also grew up and then continued to reside in the Bountiful/North Salt Lake area. She said each of the Councilmembers ran for different reasons but primarily to represent the residents and not make high level decisions in spite of residents. She confirmed that the proposed change would affect the residents that were annexed and how the Council wanted to hear their comments before a decision was made.

Resident, not identified, commented that while the City Council may like where they lived that they made the choice to move to North Salt Lake and how those in the annexation area were not given the choice. She said they liked their addresses and community and how North Salt Lake was not their community. Councilmember Jackson replied that the City was not the adversary but their neighbors and part of the same community regardless of the boundaries. She said the City Council also represented the annexation area residents and they were open to emails and calls.

Resident asked if the residents in the annexation area could chose the two citizen representatives to be on the committee. She then asked if there could be more than two citizen representatives and what the criteria would be for the Mayor to chose those representatives. Mayor Horrocks said the criteria had not yet been determined.

2. ADJOURN

Mayor Horrocks adjourned the meeting at 7:12 p.m. to begin the regular session.

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-REGULAR SESSION
CITY HALL-10 EAST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE
OCTOBER 6, 2026

FINAL

Mayor Horrocks welcomed those present at 7:27 p.m. Tammy Clayton provided a thought and led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks
Councilmember Tammy Clayton
Councilmember Suzette Jackson
Councilmember Ted Knowlton
Councilmember Heidi Smoot
Councilmember Alisa Van Langeveld

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; David Frandsen, Assistant City Manager; Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director; Jon Rueckert, Public Works Director; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Craig Black, Police Chief; Karyn Baxter, City Engineer; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Wendy Page, City Recorder.

OTHERS PRESENT: Jo Ellen Ashworth, Frances Marcus, Elaine Christensen Moss, Chris Moss, Sabrina Harman, Christopher Harman, Carri Herron, Diane Sjoherg, Carolyn Jacobson, Jennifer Graves, Gary Gatherum, Curtis Creek, Lorna Ivie, Janis Twede, Rebecca Sherman, Pat B. Nageli, Todd Summers, Dee Lalliss, Michelle Fairbanks, Brandi Wendel, Nicholas Nelson, Cindy Powell, Steve Beazer, Ryan Holbrook, Jared Wendel, Bradley Anderson, G. Holbrook, Amber Ivie Stringham, Peter Wirthlin, Jacob Gordon, Ashlyn Hawkins, Shandell Smoot, Eric Wood, Melou Cline, Russell Cline, Alexis Pena, James Van Langeveld, Barry Bryson, Jake, residents; Marcie Clark, Davis County Health Department; Michael Romero, UDOT.

1. CITIZEN COMMENT

Mayor Horrocks invited those with comments related to the Nights Out agenda item to hold off until that item on the agenda was discussed when he would allow those comments to be shared.

There were no citizen comments given at this time.

2. PRESENTATION BY THE DAVIS COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF AVAILABLE ONLINE RESOURCES

Marcie Clark, Davis County Health Department, reported on Davis Links which was a community connector network of resources, services, and programs in Davis County. She said part of Davis Links was an online resource directory (davislinks.org) with resources, services, and programs related to health, wellbeing, basic needs, etc. She reviewed the structure and groups that were involved in the five year community health improvement plan. She continued that the priorities of the plan were to improve mental, emotional, and social wellbeing and improve access to resources and services.

Marcie Clark said Davis Links included four components including the online resources, resource forums, workgroups, and partners. She reviewed how to use the davislinks.org website including how to search the online resource directory for everything from basic needs to emergency services or through a partnership with 211 for those who would rather call and be connected with a service navigator to connect to resources. She then reviewed how many searches were done, common search terms, most searched categories, and utilization by zip code.

Ms. Clark concluded with ways to promote Davis Links include the ability to add a widget to the City's website, staff training, social media guides, and through participation in outreach events in the community.

Councilmember Van Langeveld thanked Ms. Clark for presenting and said that Davis Links was valuable resource. She noted she was a member of the City's Health and Wellness Committee and how one of the goals of this committee was to ensure that resources were not duplicated. She spoke on how the City was already promoting Davis Links on their website and that a representative from Davis County had provided outreach at a recent City Nights Out event. She provided feedback that the City was grateful to partner with Davis Links which had extensive resources and was user friendly.

3. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2026-43R: A RESOLUTION APPROVING MASTER AGREEMENT AMENDMENT 1 RELATED TO BETTERMENTS AT THE CITY'S I-15 INTERCHANGES AT 2600 SOUTH AND US89

Ken Leetham reported on the I-15 project and the steps required before the project was built including landscape betterments at the two City interchanges which were 2600 South and the future US89 location. He said a year ago UDOT approached the City about the 2600 interchange which the City shares with Woods Cross. He continued that this included a review of concept drawings by the City Council who then provided feedback to UDOT. He shared a list of material

quantities and an estimate of how much landscaping UDOT would pay for which was approximately \$100,000 per interchange.

Mr. Leetham said this agreement included establishing a baseline of how much material would be provided by UDOT for these interchanges. He continued that the next step in the process would be working with the design build team which had not yet been chosen. He noted that Michael Romero with UDOT was in attendance at this meeting. He envisioned that the City Council may want to add landscaping after reviewing the plan once it was completed.

Michael Romero, UDOT, introduced himself as the project director for the I-15 reconstruction project and noted that they had been working with City staff for over a year. He explained the design build project including creating a concept that was 30% design and allowed for the generation of cost estimates and working with cities to obtain expectations. He continued that the estimate process would include figuring out how many cubic yards of material such as rock mulch, weed barrier, or concrete curb would be needed. He shared the process from obtaining information from the cities, to selecting a design builder, and then to installation.

Mayor Horrocks commented that he had equal parts anticipation and dread for this project. He asked about the timeframe. Michael Romero said proposals were due tomorrow with a selection of the contractor to come in several weeks. He hoped to have a notice to proceed to the selected contractor in January and then finalization followed by a 24 month design period. He continued that clearing, prepping, traffic shifts, and other upfront work may begin now.

Councilmember Knowlton asked what the timeline pertained to, whether it was the entire project or just the City's portion of the improvements. Michael Romero replied that the entire project spanned from Farmington to Salt Lake and included reconstruction of every interchange in the corridor including a new service interchange at I-15 and I-215 (new Hwy 89 interchange). He said they anticipated a three or four year construction schedule depending on bids received. He spoke on the size and scale of this project and how to accomplish it at the lowest price through the design build process.

Councilmember Knowlton asked about the approximate end date of 2031. Michael Romero confirmed that the project completion date was July 2031 to July 2032 timeframe.

Mayor Horrocks confirmed that next year would entail working on the design. He asked if Legacy Highway would be completed by then. Michael Romero replied affirmatively and said construction on Legacy would wrap in November 2026. He anticipated early work on I-15 would be completed next year followed by a traffic shift on I-15 to provide room for contractors to commence work.

Councilmember Smoot noted that it was \$100,000 of landscaping per intersection and the plan that was being presented tonight, showed only eight trees. Michael Romero replied that this plan was representative and said each city took a different approach and each interchange had its own unique challenges. He continued that each city were given the option of rock mulch, native type vegetation, low water or irrigation systems. He said that each cities RFP were reflective of the input received.

Michael Romero added that each city would take on maintenance responsibilities for the aesthetically improved areas.

Councilmember Jackson asked what was needed tonight from the City Council. Michael Romero responded that they had worked with staff and the betterment agreement reflected the City's interest in participating above and beyond the baseline of the aesthetics program. He noted the City would need to fund a portion above the basic plan and the proposed quantities included this. He added that if the final design did not make sense, it could be scaled back or up.

Ken Leetham added that the Resolution 2026-43R was the specific adoption of amendment one to the master agreement. He said this resolution would give the design build team the City's goal to beautify these interchanges.

Councilmember Jackson moved that the City Council approve Resolution 2026-43R: a resolution approving Master Agreement Amendment 1 related to betterments at the City's I-15 interchange with I-215 and US89. Councilmember Knowlton seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

4. CONSIDERATION OF NIGHTS OUT EVENTS FOR 2027

Mayor Horrocks commented that this item was discussed and then tabled during the previous City Council meeting.

Ken Leetham noted that there may be residents wishing to make comment and stated that several emails had also been received which would become part of the public record.

Mayor Horrocks summarized an email from Jared Stewart who was in support of continuing the Nights Out Events in 2027. He continued with an email from Karma Nelson who had concerns that these events should bring residents together and not separate them. He concluded with an email from Barry Bryson who suggested studying each event individually based on overall community inclusiveness, total budget impact, and level of resident support.

Mayor Horrocks opened the public comment at 8:00 p.m.

Gentry Holbrook, resident, said she had attended the Latino Heritage event and mentioned many attendees expressed appreciation for the community joining them sharing in their talents both dance and culture. She commented that she had started an online petition with 123 signatures from residents who wanted to continue the Nights Out Events, specifically Juneteenth, Pride, and Latino Heritage. She noted how these events pulled the community together as people built friendships and unity. She spoke on expanding this through additional events with citizen chairs and noted that while others had been encouraged to volunteer that no one came forward at the Events Committee meeting to chair a new event for 2027. Ms. Holbrook shared the importance of hosting these events where everyone could come together to learn about other cultures and backgrounds. She mentioned how Juneteenth was not only for Black people and was about bringing people together to learn and educate on what the Black community had dealt with.

Jake Gordon, resident, commented how if the Nights Out Events were cancelled that this would be a mistake that people would look back on with regret. He was also in favor of adding additional events and knew of many residents who would also be upset if these events were canceled.

Dee Lalliss, resident, said his concern was that if the City sponsored events then they may be liable for those events. He suggested that each event had to be sanctioned and could then receive a certain amount of funds with a limit of ten events per year. He felt these events were unifying and brought diversity and helped residents to feel part of the community.

Russ Cline, resident, commented that he was a long time resident and how his children had graduated from Woods Cross High School. He noted that the school had a madrigals choir with a wide diversity of students including his son who was Polynesian. He said while he had not attended the Nights Out Events that he felt they should continue and suggested a Polynesian Night Out event. He expressed concern if any of these events included pushing an agenda or focusing on one group at the exclusion of the whole and suggested the City structure these events in a way that they were broad and inviting to all.

Fran Marcus, resident, commented that she was part of the Events Committee. She spoke on the volunteers who put in the time and effort to make these events successful. She said that in order for the City Council or others to make an informed decision that they needed to attend these events. She noted that she had seen Mayor Horrocks, Councilmembers Van Langeveld and Clayton at these events. She expressed concern that people had commented there was an agenda being pushed when there was not and cautioned those people who made a decision when they were not fully informed.

JJ Van Langeveld, resident, commented that this was a great use of taxpayer funds based on the data shared in the spreadsheet at the last City Council meeting. He noted that the data showed each individual Nights Out Event drew about 5% of the City's population and cost \$600 or less per event. He spoke on attending these events and seeing that they were inclusive of all those in attendance. He said there was no agenda being pushed other than inclusion which made him proud to be a resident of the City.

Melou Cline, resident, commented on the passion she had seen in residents including in 2004 when she stood before the City Council in regards to the medial waste incinerator, Stericycle, in Foxboro. She spoke on gathering together and was in support of reconsideration of the City's current structure of these events. She noted that a City Councilmember had received campaign funds from a Political Action Committee (PAC) group and this was concerning to her even in terms of remaining intersectional even though she felt that group was positive. She continued with providing equality for everyone and to expand the events to include a Polynesian, Japanese, or Greek, etc. and restructuring these in way to support all groups and cultures.

Ashlyn Hawkins, resident, said she appreciated the desire to help people feel welcome and connected to the community. She hoped that the City would focus less on the things that divided people into different groups and more on the things that were shared including being part of the City. She spoke on celebrating differences while creating events that brought everyone together as one community.

Councilmember Jackson spoke on the time spent considering this including the comments from residents. She said this was not a discussion about groups that the Council liked or did not like but an overarching City policy discussion. She continued that the question was "what was the policy of the City in using compulsory taxpayer dollars." She felt the policy should be to use these dollars for events that every taxpayer felt comfortable and included in.

Councilmember Jackson shared that the inundation of letters and the yearly discussion showed that this was divisive and would always be so. She said whenever small groups were singled out through funding, advertising, etc. that it was at the exclusion of other small groups and was unsustainable with tax dollars, staff time, or committee time. She added that this did not apply to the Youth City Council or the Senior Lunch Bunch as everyone had been a child and would be a senior or knew and loved a child or senior. She felt the easy policy decision related to the Nights Out Events was to take contention out and only pick events that were universal.

Councilmember Jackson stated the City Council needed to determine if the policy was to do a few events well and choosing events that everyone felt their taxpayer dollars and their feet can attend. She gave the example of the Girls Night Out and a little boy that asked if he could attend

the event or if there would be a boy's night out. She said whenever there was a small subset of society taken that inherently other subsets of society would be excluded. She noted that when you included small and promoted small that you inherently excluded.

Councilmember Jackson continued that the question was what the City should do moving forward and how none of the other cities in Davis County had chosen to take up this gauntlet and it may cause division within their cities. She said the other part of the equation was representing the residents that reached out in the small amount of time the City Councilmembers served. She shared that she had been consistent and heard from many people that did not agree with these small subsets being highlighted every year. She noted that they would like a multicultural night or an I am proud to be an American or events that everyone felt a part of.

Councilmember Jackson said the other small events like the Greek Festival should be held by volunteers at City parks without City funding or advertising. She continued that those events could be sponsored with personal funds, corporate sponsors, or by religious or other groups. She noted that she had been consistent in where she stood for three years and saw a lot of division with these events. She felt as a City that it was an easy lift to decide to just choose events that did not cause consternation within the community.

Fran Marcus, resident, asked who decided the universal events. Mayor Horrocks responded that our government was not a democracy but a representative republic and noted there were five elected representatives on the City Council who get to make the decisions. He said that hopefully the residents had elected people that reflected their values and would vote like they would. He added that the most obvious thing in this whole process is that we are not always going to agree.

Councilmember Jackson said this was a great question and was at the heart of the problem which is who decided which small groups were highlighted every year and which small groups were not highlighted. She felt it was easy to support children with Back to School Night, veterans, Flag Day which stood for America, and universal subjects such as the Kite Festival. She continued that there were many events to choose that represent everyone as a whole and expressed her point rather than picking and choosing was who gets to choose these events.

Councilmember Van Langeveld commented that the Events Committee chose the events.

Mayor Horrocks said it was his understanding the reason this came up in the Events Committee was that they wondered who decided and the answer was nobody should and there should not be events that were divisive. He stated the Committee's point was rather than recommending or deciding what Nights Out events the City would hold, none should be held. He continued that this point was lost in the last City Council meeting with the discussion on dollar amounts and

attendance. He noted that those were irrelevant and that the focus was what the City was supporting or not supporting. He felt the Events Committee no longer wanted to host these events. He added that instead the Committee suggested that any group that wanted to host an event was welcome to.

Councilmember Knowlton agreed that the first thing was that the Council would not agree or change each other's minds. He spoke on finding a solution and sticking with whatever was determined at least with the current composition of the Council. He noted that a lot of great points had been made by residents and felt the community was in earnest even with differing perspectives. He shared his thoughts that this was a welcoming community and how the people who attended the Pride and Latino Nights would feel welcome in their community but said that the majority of the City events should speak to a broader population that made sure to welcome everybody.

Councilmember Knowlton continued that taking away Latino or Pride Night from those communities would result in some level of hurt but at the same time he said the majority of the Nights Out Events should be for a broader population. He did not think that Pride and Latino nights were only for those populations and were intended to be broadly inclusive but the majority of the events should be for patriotism or veterans, etc. He suggested maintaining the current number of events due to the burden on staff and the majority of those events should be for a broad audience.

Councilmember Knowlton shared a third suggestion to open up the events for one that catered to a notable population in the community on a rotation basis. He noted that this suggestion came from former Councilmember Baskin and resident Dee Lalliss. He reiterated his suggestions of rotating the events for those that the Events Committee felt were valid, maintaining the same number of events, and that the majority of events were broadly inclusive. He mentioned that Juneteenth was for all Americans as the abolition of slavery was of huge historical importance for everybody and should be celebrated as a majority event.

Councilmember Clayton commented that she had shared her feelings and thoughts during the last Council discussion on this item. She expressed appreciation for all those who had sent emails and expressed their opinions. She noted how there were emails in support of and against the current setup. She mentioned an email related to a Citywide service project and felt this would be a good way to bring the community together.

Councilmember Clayton invited anyone who had not attended the Nights Out Event to come see what they were about. She added that the Nights Out Events helped support the Food Truck and Vendor Fair Nights to be more profitable as well.

Councilmember Smoot commented that this had weighed on the Council for three weeks and said that there was more that united the City than divided it. She did not feel like any event should be canceled and spoke on broadening the tent. She shared instances of discussing the events process with residents including an application or chairing an event. She suggested broadening the Latino Night to be a cultural heritage night so that one specific group did not have the weight of sponsoring the whole event by themselves.

Councilmember Smoot mentioned that the Governor had proposed June as Fidelity Month to celebrate loyalty and relationships and a former Utah Supreme Court Justice who spoke on the great Utah Compromise with different groups to ensure that neither side had to compromise their values. She advocated for a compromise to champion City events that were sponsored and to broaden the tent to include everything and help support little groups who may not be able to carry an event on their own. She added if there was a small group that was organized and funded that wanted to have an event that the City could provide public space such as parks for those public events.

Councilmember Van Langeveld said she was thrilled with the level of citizen engagement regardless of the point being made. She felt that these events had caused people to have conversations that may not have happened otherwise. She continued that she had been involved with the City including on the City Council for over ten years and was grateful for the engagement. She spoke on unintended negative consequences and that this was an important part of the conversation related to these Nights Out Events.

Councilmember Van Langeveld shared the reason behind why these events were created four years ago. She said the events were corrected and new iterations occurred every year by design which was good City policy. She explained that these events were always intended to be citizen lead which meant the City would not pick and choose the events. She spoke on the procedure and said there was an open invitation for any individual to come share an event idea. She continued the second part of this was that these events were intended to be small compared to some regional events the City hosted.

Councilmember Van Langeveld shared that there was a Pacific Islander event in the past but no citizen chair stepped forward to continue the event so it fell out of rotation. She gave the example of the Greek Festival in Salt Lake and noted it was a regional event that had an entrance fee. She spoke on how larger events required more funding, decreased oversight, and increased regional attendance. She said there was a regional event in the City which was not City sponsored and the outcome resulted in damage done to City property.

Councilmember Van Langeveld said these events started with Juneteenth when Governor Cox declared Juneteenth as a State holiday. She continued that when the City declared Juneteenth as a holiday that there was a discussion on how else the City could recognize the importance of this day. She noted that the City also had a dark stain on their reputation for being a racist City due to incidents with the school district and the Council at that time created opportunities to counter that. She spoke on the Wellness Survey, per the Health and Wellness Committee, that showed residents felt a lack of connection to the City and a lack of cultural opportunities.

Councilmember Van Langeveld said these events helped counter those issues. She appreciated the idea of creating City policy for these events and explained that there was already an open door for additional events to be presented to the Events Committee who made the decision. She continued that it made sense to cap the number of events to ensure that staff was not overloaded. She mentioned that she spoke with Jon Rueckert who provided the feedback that staff opted into these events and were not forced to work overtime.

Councilmember Van Langeveld shared that another discussion had centered around creating a menu of resources that the Nights Out chairs could use related to items the City would offer such as chairs or garbage cans to ensure it was uniform for all events. She felt it would be a great procedure to ask event chairs if they would like to opt into a rotation. She reiterated that these were citizen led events and not City generated. She mentioned the comment that events focused on being American and patriotism were universal but said it was not accurate to say everyone felt connected to those things.

Councilmember Van Langeveld said all events at some level were speaking to a subgroup and while she was in favor of focusing on the events that could be as large as possible that she valued having a range of events. She spoke on her dislike of Liberty Fest due to the traffic and said while this was a large event which was universal for the City, that not all residents loved it. She felt it was important to acknowledge that not all patriotic events were universal. She mentioned that while we all know seniors or children that we also all know LGBTQ (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer) or Latinos as well.

Councilmember Van Langeveld invited every person to come to these events as they were casual and no one would feel unwelcome. She was open to the idea of rotating events but did not feel like this should be done until the number of events had met capacity. She spoke on the Mayor's comment on electing people who reflected the same values. She said while this was important that it was also important to elect people who would use their best reasoned decision making to iron out policy.

Councilmember Van Langeveld also mentioned the comments related to the use of taxpayer dollars and said the \$600 budget for these events demonstrated being very careful in how those funds were spent. She said while there was criticism that the public comment was overwhelmingly in support of these events. She concluded that she would operate with values and integrity in making reasoned decisions and would continue to support these events.

Mayor Horrocks commented that this had been one of the most difficult things he had wrestled with in his 20 years in city government. He said there had been great comments and suggestions on both sides of this complex issue. He spoke on the proper role as a City and mentioned the idea of getting out of it altogether so that no choosing had to be done. He mentioned the specific events on the agenda for discussion and said in a sense the City was saying this is what we are about as a City.

Mayor Horrocks shared a concern that for every event there were groups that had the exact opposite sense and opening the City up to other event ideas such as Latino Night and then the next week some anti-immigration group would want to hold an event and the City would have to advertise it, pay for it and put the City's name behind it. He noted several alternative groups that may want to host an event in the City. He expressed concern about the City opening up the ability for all groups to host events and how he did not want to be in that position.

Mayor Horrocks suggested that while he was happy to have groups do their own thing the City could provide the facilities but did not want to endorse them. Councilmember Van Langeveld clarified that there was a procedure in place to decide that process and said the examples mentioned by the Mayor were sponsored by organizations and how the current events were generally not sponsored by specific organizations, with the exception of A Bolder Way Forward and Why I Love America. She continued that this could be a clear procedural decision that the City would not sponsor groups.

Mayor Horrocks asked if an individual Islamist came forward if that would be ok. Councilmember Van Langeveld replied if there was a demonstrated population in the City that was represented by that group, that would be a consideration.

Mayor Horrocks acknowledged he was being totally out in left field with these concerns. He spoke on picking winners and felt that was not the role of government. Councilmember Van Langeveld said this was not about picking anyone. Mayor Horrocks disagreed.

Mayor Horrocks said the suggestions included having family night to include all kinds of families including men/men or women/women families and said this would be more inclusive.

He expressed that he wanted the City to get out of the business of picking sides and said he did not feel good about any of this.

Todd Godfrey commented that these discussions had made him uncomfortable due to the expenditure of public funds as they need to honor the requirements of State law, honor the principles of the Constitution, and abide by the restrictions of the Bill of Rights. He said the City was walking a fine line when they offered public support to events with any type of decision matrix whatever it might be. He continued that while it was the City's decision to make policy that he was a legal adviser and would like to be able to help structure this in a way to ensure the City was observing Constitutional and State law restrictions on the expenditure of public funds.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked where the City was at risk. Todd Godfrey replied that any time the City expended public funds or there was a decision process whether it was first come first serve that if one group continued to get the first cut that the City ran some legal risk including being sued. He said the City could be sued by any group that felt excluded for any reason.

Councilmember Van Langeveld said there was a clear procedure for this process. She said it was in the public record per this discussion and had been discussed in the Events Committee. Todd Godfrey responded that he would like to review this procedure.

Councilmember Van Langeveld continued that while the City might get sued that reasonable justification would be the procedure related to how the decision was made. Todd Godfrey said he was not comfortable with that at this point.

Councilmember Smoot asked if she could make a motion related to finding a compromise. She said there were strong feelings and valid points on both sides and the desire to feel seen and acknowledged. She asked if there was a way to find a compromise so that no one was canceled and to enlarge the tent to celebrate what united the City. She suggested four events including a cultural heritage night, a family night or a fidelity night to celebrate what brought the residents together.

Councilmember Smoot put forth a motion to find a compromise. Councilmember Knowlton was in support of the idea of a compromise. He said the nature of the compromise was the thing for the Council to debate.

Councilmember Jackson clarified that this was an overarching City policy. She spoke on City support of these events including advertising and opening up the City to liability. She continued that these events could include any small subset of the population and how another small subset

could take recourse. She added that it took her a year to determine whether there was a procedure for choosing these events and said it did not seem like there was a clear procedure. She felt the easiest way to step out of this quagmire was to not choose these events and stick with the main City events or make the tent so broad that no subset felt like they were not chosen.

Councilmember Clayton asked if the events were so broad that it may be messier or that someone might be missed. Councilmember Jackson said the point was it would be so broad that no one would be missed.

Ken Leetham suggested a closed session discussion to understand what the City Attorney had mentioned related to legal risks. He said one outcome could be to ask the City Attorney to craft a policy that protected the City.

Todd Godfrey recommended his firm prepare a legal written memorandum for the City Council to review. He suggested this discussion return at a future date after further legal discussion.

Councilmember Jackson asked if the Nights Out Events were canceled or used universal titles if the City would no longer be open to related legal action. Todd Godfrey replied that not funding these events would be a safe harbor and the easiest route. He said creating broadly inclusive events would always have some risk associated but would be safer than an approach which required the City to make decisions that respected certain groups. He noted that steps could be taken to minimize the risk and place the City in the best position but said there were some associated risks in holding events.

Councilmember Smoot asked about allowing citizen groups to host events on public property. Todd Godfrey replied that there were some things he would counsel the City to do in that circumstance but said this could be allowed.

Councilmember Van Langeveld said even in allowing groups to host events on City property that this still opened the City up to legal liability. Todd Godfrey confirmed that if policy was structured in a way that public property was open for use by anyone, including the Ku Klux Klan, then the City could avoid legal liability.

Councilmember Van Langeveld argued that the City was allowed more oversight when the events were City sponsored and did not include partnerships with organizations. Ken Leetham strongly recommended that the Council allow legal counsel to advise them in writing.

Councilmember Van Langeveld said there were two issues at hand including approving the events for 2027 as well as continuing the discussion on creating a City policy that limited legal risk. She noted that her motion would be to address both of these items.

Councilmember Van Langeveld moved that the City Council approve the current slate of Nights Out Events as recommended by the Events Committee for 2027 and instruct the City Attorney to generate a memoranda for the City Council to consider at a future date at our legal risk of holding the Nights Out Events.

Mayor Horrocks asked if there was a second.

Mayor Horrocks asked for clarification in the motion and said if the events were approved and the legal counsel was in opposition to what had been approved that it seemed counterintuitive. Councilmember Van Langeveld replied that the City had already held these events for four years without any legal implications. She felt the conversation regarding the legal risk was valuable but did not think the City had to hold off on the decision for 2027. She added that continuing to revisit these events each year was part of the design as well to ensure improvement.

Mayor Horrocks asked again if there was a second on the motion.

Councilmember Jackson said the City had been rolling the dice and was just lucky the last four years. She continued that a lot of public awareness had been brought each year and mentioned that several of the email comments were from lawyers. She felt it would be safer to protect the City legally.

Mayor Horrocks indicated there was a motion that was offered and asked if there was a second.

Mayor Horrocks noted that the motion died for lack of a second.

Councilmember Knowlton asked if part of the intent of the motion was to help the Events Committee to move forward with planning. He asked about the proposed composition for 2027. Councilmember Van Langeveld added that the City also established an events calendar for the following year that was published in December. She noted the proposed events were Juneteenth, Pride, Back to School, Bolder Way Forward, and Latino Heritage.

Councilmember Smoot asked if there was a compromise here. Councilmember Van Langeveld said the compromise was the continued conversation.

Councilmember Smoot proposed that the City Council pick four nights and broaden the scope to be more inclusive. She cautioned against doing this at the advice of legal counsel and in being respectful of what it may expose or increase liability for the City. She felt moving forward with the status quo was not considering all the public comments that were received. She felt that the City was evenly divided on the unity events. She requested finding a compromise without giving up values or positions and that moving forward with the status quo was disingenuous.

Councilmember Smoot moved to have four City events with incredibly big umbrellas and also to wait to approve those four events until after we have counsel from the City Attorney. Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion.

Councilmember Jackson spoke on her thoughts regarding a motion of pausing these events until the City Council heard from the City Attorney on what stipulations there might be on the event titles that were broad enough.

Mayor Horrocks asked for clarification on if the Events Committee should be instructed to come up with ideas while the Council waited to receive legal advice. Councilmember Clayton replied that currently the event chairs were willing to continue the Nights Out events but if the events were broadened there may not be willing volunteers to chair them. She stated the current motion would mean the Events Committee would then be responsible for those events and felt it was a big ask and requested it be tabled instead.

Councilmembers Smoot and Clayton were in favor of tabling the discussion and pausing the Nights Out events. Councilmember Jackson was in favor of pausing the events pending legal counsel.

Mayor Horrocks commented that there had been a motion and a second so a substitute motion could be made to pause the events.

Councilmember Smoot rescinded her motion.

Councilmember Smoot moved to pause all Unity or Nights Out events until the City Council had legal counsel. Councilmember Knowlton seconded the motion.

Councilmember Van Langeveld commented that compromise was baked into the process already. She said the compromise was that the City Council discussed the events every year and the Events Committee reviewed the details. She continued that the compromise was discussing the events with staff on what Public Works would offer. She was in agreement that there should be a compromise but felt that this process was already happening.

The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, and Smoot. Councilmember Van Langeveld voted in opposition to the motion.

5. PUBLIC HEARING AND CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2026-42R: A
RESOLUTION AMENDING THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS MANUALS
FOR STREETS, WATER, STORM WATER AND PARKS

Karyn Baxter reported that the City Council was required to officially adopt the engineering regulations and standards per state law. She continued that these standards regulated construction including building sidewalks, roads, trenches, backfill, etc. She said the last adoption was a year ago and was based on American Public Works Association (APWA) with additional information customized to clarify City specific requirements. She reviewed the changes including typos and technical updates as well as the following five changes:

- Inclusion of irrigation details (Parks)
- Separation fabric between gravel and trench backfill in storm drain trenches (Storm Water)
- Updates to street light standards (Streets)
- Updates street light location map (Streets)
- Inclusion of existing concrete specifications (Streets)

Sherrie Pace added when staff chose the Town Center lighting that they were to be equipped with plant hangers. She said the intention was that the hanging baskets would be provided and maintained by the adjacent property owners. She continued that in other cities, it was the City owned the baskets and maintained them. She asked if the City Council wanted to continue equipping the town center street lights with provisions for these hanging baskets with the understanding that it may require more funding or staff.

Councilmember Smoot asked if the hanging baskets would require drip line installation to every basket. Sherrie Pace replied that a drip line is built into the interior of the pole. She said for example that the baskets in Clinton City were owned by the city and hand watered by city staff. She commented that this should be a policy decision that the City Council directed.

Mayor Horrocks was in favor of the hanging baskets and asked about the cost. Sherrie Pace suggested adopting the street light location map update without designating the streets where plant hangers would be installed and then staff could present a suggested plan for management. She said staff could research how other cities managed this and then the Council could make a decision on where or if these should be implemented.

Councilmember Jackson asked if the options were either a plant holder or a banner arm for the street lights. Sherrie Pace responded that both options were available on the same pole with the banner arm towards the street and the plant hanger facing towards the sidewalk. She said there were currently about 10 street lights with the plant hangers on Center Street and 130 East. She said those were the only Town Center lights that had been installed and noted additional proposed locations including adjacent to Hatch Park along Center Street and Main Street.

Karyn Baxter presented a drawing of the street lights and noted the location of the banner arm and the plant hanger. She said the bars could be added to existing poles but the infrastructure for the drip system would not be in place. She continued that staff recommended the adoption of the proposed standards at this time followed by further review of the plant hanger arms. She noted staff would return with a plan and cost information for the street light options.

Mayor Horrocks opened the public hearing at 9:38 p.m.

There were no public comments.

Mayor Horrocks closed the public hearing at 9:39 p.m.

Councilmember Knowlton moved that the City Council approve Resolution No. 2026-42R amending the adopted Standards and Specifications Manuals for Public Infrastructure for Streets, Water, Storm Water and Parks. Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

**6. RATIFICATION OF CITY MANAGER'S APPROVAL OF EMERGENCY REPAIRS
ON EAGLEWOOD DRIVE**

Ken Leetham shared a map detailing the location of the water line break which damaged a portion of Fairway Drive and Eaglewood Drive. He said repairs were started quickly and that he and Karyn Baxter identified a contractor, Black Forest Paving, who was available and provided competitive pricing for the repair. He continued that he then authorized the repair and was requesting the Council's ratification of this approval. He estimated the extensive repair would cover 42,300 to 50,900 square feet of repair work on both streets and would be similar to a road reconstruction project. Mr. Leetham said the total project cost was estimated at \$325,000 but noted that due to several factors it may go over that amount, so it was preferred to not include an amount in the motion.

Councilmember Jackson commended the staff on the speed of their response to address the issue.

Councilmember Smoot mentioned that she visited the incident site and the traffic issues she witnessed at Eaglewood Drive and Fairway Drive. She spoke on the earlier concerns in the annexation area and said the traffic issues she saw were everywhere and were due to reckless driving.

Councilmember Smoot moved that the City Council ratify the City Manager's approval of emergency repairs on Eaglewood and Fairway Drives. Councilmember Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

7. CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

a. CONSIDERATION OF LEASE AGREEMENT FOR OFFICE SPACE FOR THE NORTH SALT LAKE POLICE DEPARTMENT

The North Salt Lake Police Department was authorized to enter a lease agreement for office space for detectives not to exceed \$40,000 per year.

b. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2026-44R: A RESOLUTION APPOINTING COUNCILMEMBER SUZETTE JACKSON TO THE SOUTH DAVIS RECREATION DISTRICT ADMINISTRATIVE CONTROL BOARD

A resolution appointing Councilmember Jackson to the South Davis Recreation District Board was approved.

c. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2026-45R: A RESOLUTION APPOINTING MAYOR BRIAN J. HORROCKS TO THE WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT BOARD

A Resolution appointing Mayor Horrocks to the Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District Board was approved.

d. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2026-46R: A RESOLUTION APPROVING SURPLUS VEHICLES IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT

A resolution declaring surplus and authorizing disposal of five vehicles in the Police Department was approved.

- e. CONSIDERATION OF BID AWARD FOR THE REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT OF THE ROOF ON THE GARY WAY PUMP STATION BUILDING TO THE GARLAND COMPANY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$49,480

A bid award for the Gary Way Pump Station Roof Replacement Project to The Garland Company, Inc in the amount of \$49,480 was approved.

- f. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2026-47R: A RESOLUTION CREATING A NORTH SALT LAKE ADDRESSING COMMITTEE

Councilmember Van Langeveld requested an amendment be made to the addressing committee and requested this item be moved to the regular agenda.

Councilmember Van Langeveld moved that the City Council remove the address annexation committee item out of the consent agenda to the regular agenda for discussion. Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

- g. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2026-48R: A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH DAVIS COUNTY FOR PACIFIC AVENUE SIDEPATH PROJECT UTILIZING THE 4TH QUARTER COUNTY OPTION SALES AND USE TAX FOR HIGHWAYS OR PUBLIC TRANSIT FUNDING AND AUTHORIZING MATCHING FUNDS

The resolution approving an Interlocal Agreement with Davis County for the Pacific Avenue Sidepath project utilizing the 4th Quarter County Option Sales and Use Tax for Highways or Public Transit funding and authorizing matching funds was approved.

- h. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 15, 2026

The City Council minutes of September 15, 2026 were approved as written.

Councilmember Knowlton moved that the City Council approve the consent agenda as amended. Councilmember Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

8. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2026-47R: A RESOLUTION CREATING A NORTH SALT LAKE ADDRESSING COMMITTEE

Councilmember Van Langeveld commented that the only change she wanted to make to the formulation of the address committee was to stipulate that the committee include at least four community representatives and may include more. She said that rather than limiting it to four (with two businesses and two residents) that the committee include at least four committee representatives and may include more.

Councilmember Van Langeveld moved to approve Resolution 2026-47R: a resolution creating a North Salt Lake Addressing Committee with the change that the committee includes at least four community representatives and may include more. Councilmember Knowlton seconded the motion.

Councilmember Smoot was in favor of increasing representation including two businesses and two residences and asked how to ensure there was someone who was for the change and someone who was against the address change to have both perspectives.

Councilmember Jackson said there was also a suggestion to form subcommittees to tackle different aspects. She noted that this suggestion was to obtain more community engagement in the process.

Councilmember Knowlton said the purpose of the committee was to provide the City Council with pros and cons to inform the Council's decision. He was in support of additional members on the committee as it provided flexibility.

Councilmember Van Langeveld felt it would not be a bad idea to accept everybody versus trying to decide who had greater input and who did not.

Sherrie Pace commented that one of the things she envisioned with the representatives from the community would be that they could discuss this with their neighbors. She suggested two businesses and four community representatives that were equally dispersed in the area. She continued that the representatives could also be two that were supportive and two that were not.

Councilmember Jackson felt that selecting representatives based on location seemed more logical. Sherrie Pace said this option would also allow those representatives to work with their neighbors on street names. She mentioned one gentleman who wanted to name a street after a child that had recently passed away.

Councilmember Jackson clarified that this committee was not bringing back suggestions on how to move forward with readdressing but would only be providing information on the pros and cons to the City Council at this time.

Sherrie Pace responded to public comment made earlier in the meeting regarding staff having pre-determined the proposed house/building numbers, was that due to the extremely tedious process of readdressing 350 properties to ensure accuracy. She said it took the engineering interns months to go through the block grid system and assign numbers and staff several more months to ensure it was correct. She noted that the decision had not been made but that the numbers needed to be correct for review by the committee, which would not be possible if they were not created prior to the committee.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if there were duplicate numbers in the annexation area and elsewhere in the City. Sherrie Pace replied that this was an issue that staff wanted to make certain that did not happen. She explained the difficulty is how the NSL and Bountiful grids line up. For example between Orchard Drive and 850 West the current house numbers run from 600 West to 850 West, the same street on the North Salt Lake grid have numbers that run 400 East to 650 East. She noted the City would not want to reuse a house number that was used like 625 West on a home across the street that would be 625 East, because this could create some confusion if the new 625 East updated their house number before the 625 West updated theirs and we had two houses with the same number 625 posted. She shared that the issues related to ensuring that odd and even numbers on both sides of the street were correct and that unique addresses could be created and minimize confusion.

Councilmember Van Langeveld then asked if it would be possible to keep the existing addresses and just change the city from Bountiful to North Salt Lake. Sherrie Pace responded no because Bountiful's system and the City's system had different coordinates. She noted that Bountiful's 000 line would be out of line with the City's system. She said there were rules that had to be followed related to naming and numbering.

Councilmember Clayton wondered when someone sold their home if they would then become a North Salt Lake address. Sherrie Pace replied that this had not yet been decided but this could be studied by the committee and then a recommendation on the idea made to the City Council.

Mayor Horrocks noted that per real estate law, there are things had to be disclosed such as the correct address including the city, which is why it may be important to have correct addresses assigned to the properties of the city where they are located.

The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

9. DISCUSSION OF ACTION ITEMS

The action items list was reviewed. Completed items were removed from the list.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if an action item needed to be added related to a legal memoranda for the City Council about the Nights Out risk. Todd Godfrey replied that he planned to have this memoranda completed prior to the next City Council meeting.

10. COUNCIL REPORTS

Councilmember Jackson reported the South Davis Recreation District Board has a meeting scheduled for next week.

Councilmember Smoot reported on the Arts Committee and said committee member Jean Montanaro was moving forward with a mural program at Orchard Elementary. She noted that Orchard Elementary had agreed to partner and the estimated cost for the City was \$600. She continued that the water tower at the entrance to the City was also being considered for a mural but ownership had not been determined. She spoke on other locations including a Brighton building on Redwood Road, one on Center Street, a building adjacent to Lee's Market, and wrapping utility boxes around the City. She shared that the utility boxes could include historical photos or art that had been donated which would result in minimal costs to the City.

Councilmember Smoot also mentioned the possibility of partnering to advertise the graphic novel theme for NSL Reads at the new library location on Redwood Road.

Councilmember Knowlton reported on the Trails Committee and a recommendation to the City Council on the Trails Master Plan was forthcoming. He continued that the City Council would review the plan during their October 20th meeting with potential adoption on November 14th. He then spoke on the Utah Foundation which conducted an annual survey related to quality of life in Utah. He said there were seven categories and listed those items that had been ranked poor and very poor including jobs, air, water quality, public transit, traffic, cost of living, and housing affordability.

Councilmember Van Langeveld reported on the Health and Wellness Committee and discussions related to options to address air quality in the City. She said this included monitoring and said that per the Wellness Survey that air quality was a chief concern for residents. She then shared

that she taught at the Hinkley Institute of Politics today and represented the City in a campaign management class about local elections.

Mayor Horrocks asked about air quality and if the results were good, fair, or bad. Councilmember Van Langeveld replied the City was not collecting or monitoring data. She said the data from the City's Purple monitors was available on a website. She continued that the purpose was for residents to monitor the air quality themselves.

Ken Leetham added that the City was looking into an EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) grant to install some fairly sophisticated air quality monitoring devices on the west side of the City. He said this would come to the City Council for approval as there would likely be a cost share. He spoke on the benefits of knowing what was happening on the west side of the City and potentially doing more around the gravel pit area as well.

Councilmember Clayton shared that the Halloween Spooktacular would be on October 24th. She mentioned the City's photo contest and thought the winners would be presented to the City Council on October 20th.

11. CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Todd Godfrey had nothing to report.

12. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Horrocks reported that the 5th 5th Local Option Sales Tax was passed by the County and it would be a benefit for the City. He said the Sewer District had budgeted \$2 million to address the odor issue. He then mentioned a ribbon cutting for the former Red Barn Academy which was now the John Volken Academy and commended the transformation in the countenance of the individuals who participate in the program. He reported on the trail cleanup on Saturday which had included the installation of signage.

Mayor Horrocks noted that he had received comments that Eaglewood Golf Course had been watering. Councilmember Smoot stated she understood the reason was because they had water in their own ponds. Ken Leetham replied that this was correct and said the water came from two sources including the irrigation ponds which were pumped up to the golf course after the secondary water supply from Weber Basin was turned off for the season. He shared that those ponds also collected storm water detention and because it rained recently that augmented the supply available in the ponds to continue watering at the golf course.

13. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

Ken Leetham reported that he made the decision to finish the landscaping on the corner property adjacent to City Hall this fall including to continue watering with culinary water of the new landscaping for several weeks to get it established before winter. He noted that there would be plantings that needed to stay wet and may be watered for up to 30 minutes during the day. He said watering was necessary to keep the plantings alive and said if the landscaping installation had waited until next year that it would have resulted in some erosion on the site.

Mr. Leetham reported there was a Fire District event where two Deputy Fire Chiefs would be recognized and said it would be great if the Council was able to attend. He said the event would be held on October 7th at the Bountiful Main Station at 6:00 p.m.


14. ADJOURN INTO CLOSED SESSION

At 10:17 p.m. Councilmember Van Langeveld moved that the City Council hold a closed session for the purpose of discussing the legal risks regarding the Nights Out agenda item. Councilmember Knowlton seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.


15. ADJOURN

The meetings were adjourned during the closed session at 10:32 p.m.

The foregoing was approved by the City Council of the City of North Salt Lake on Tuesday October 20, 2026 by unanimous vote of all members present.



Brian J. Horrocks, Mayor



Wendy Page, City Recorder

