

1 Minutes of the Centerville **City Council Work Session** held Tuesday, September 15, 2026 at
2 5:30 pm, with participants present at City Hall, 250 North Main Street.

3
4 **MEMBERS PRESENT**

5
6 Mayor Clark Wilkinson

7
8 Council Members Robyn Mecham
9 Brian Plummer
10 Cheylynn Hayman
11 Rick Bangerter
12 Gina Hirst

13
14 **STAFF PRESENT**

15 Brant Hanson, City Manager
16 Lisa Romney, City Attorney
17 Jennifer Robison, City Recorder
18 Nate Plaizier, Finance Director
19 Bruce Cox, Parks and Recreation Director
20 Mike Carlson, Public Works Director
21 Mike Eggett, Community Development Director
22 Bryce King, Administrative Services Director
23 Sydney DeWees, Planner
24 Dave Walker, Deputy Public Works Director
25 Kevin Campbell, City Engineer

26 **DISCUSSION – CHURCH WELL**

27
28 Public Works Director Mike Carlson presented an overview of the Church Well Fill Station,
29 including the City's Source Protection Plan, operating costs, documented misuse, and potential
30 options for future operation. He explained that the well was subject to state and federal protection
31 requirements, including restrictions on chemicals near the wellhead, and noted that de-icing
32 products previously used at the site should not be applied in the well protection area. A recent
33 well repair cost approximately \$42,000, ongoing filter maintenance cost approximately \$350 per
34 month, and the facility generated an estimated \$3,500 to \$4,000 annually from its coin-operated
35 system. Staff also identified a potentially non-functional chlorination system requiring further
36 investigation. Mr. Carlson reported instances of users washing animals, bathing, filling
37 recreational vehicles, and otherwise misusing the facility, as well as frequent use by individuals
38 from outside Centerville. He emphasized that responding to well issues required staff overtime
39 and diverted resources from the City's more than 5,500 water connections.

40
41 Mr. Carlson outlined options including closing the facility to public use, limiting operation
42 to summer months, establishing designated hours, or continuing current operations. He
43 recommended maintaining the well for emergency use regardless of the decision on public
44 access. Councilmember Hirst reported concerns observed during a visit to the facility, including a
45 wet and potentially unsanitary concrete basin, water splashing onto surrounding surfaces, and
46 inadequate signage distinguishing the chlorinated and non-chlorinated taps. She recommended
47 clearer signage and disclosure of the non-chlorinated water and expressed increased concerns
48 regarding sanitation and liability. Councilmember Mecham acknowledged similar concerns while
49 noting the facility's frequent use and community interest in keeping it available.
50

1 No formal action was taken, and the matter was expected to return to the Council for
2 further consideration.

3
4 **DISCUSSION – DRAINAGE CAPITAL PROJECT PLAN**

5
6 Finance Director Nate Plaizier and Public Works staff presented an updated Drainage
7 Capital Projects Plan originally introduced in 2023. The plan prioritized storm drain and sub-drain
8 projects based on infrastructure age, inspection findings, and coordination with scheduled road
9 projects. Several projects had been reprioritized or removed, including multiple drainage culverts
10 beneath I-15 that were being replaced through the UDOT construction project. Staff estimated
11 UDOT was investing approximately \$12–\$15 million in drainage improvements beneath I-15 in
12 Centerville and clarified that drainage infrastructure beneath Main Street was UDOT's
13 responsibility. The Ariane Way sub-drain project had been moved to the top of the priority list
14 following basement flooding claims and was expected to begin within approximately six months,
15 potentially in coordination with a 2027 street project.

16
17 The updated plan estimated approximately \$28 million in total project costs, with the City's
18 anticipated share reduced to approximately \$21 million after accounting for County and developer
19 participation. Current annual drainage and sub-drain fee revenues were approximately \$1.5
20 million and \$460,000, respectively, and the drainage fund had approximately \$1 million in
21 reserves. Once the Council accepts the updated plan, Waterworth will incorporate the figures into
22 its ongoing rate study and present potential fee scenarios. Councilmember Bangerter expressed
23 concern about additional fee increases and favored extending project timelines, while
24 Councilmember Hirst emphasized the importance of proactive maintenance and adequate
25 reserves. Mr. Plaizier noted that a reserve target similar to the General Fund's approximately 30
26 percent of annual revenue would suggest maintaining approximately \$500,000–\$600,000 in the
27 drainage fund.

28
29 No formal vote was taken. The item is expected to return for formal approval at a future
30 council meeting, following completion of the Waterworth rate analysis.

31
32 **ADJOURNMENT**

33
34 At 6:39 pm, Councilmember Hirst **moved** to adjourn the work session, which passed
35 unanimously (5-0).

36
37
38
39 
40 Jennifer Robison, City Recorder

10/06/2026
Date Approved

