

MINUTES
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
FRUIT HEIGHTS CITY
910 South Mountain Road
September 1, 2026

COUNCIL BRIEFING SESSION 6:30

Present: Mayor Jeanne Groberg, Council Member Gary Anderson, David Hale, Eileen Moss, and Blake Winslow. City Manager Darren Frandsen, Deputy Recorder Hailee Ballingham.

There was no recording due to the City Council having individual conversations and discussions with residents.

WELCOME:

Mayor Jeanne Groberg called the Regular meeting to order at 7:00 pm.

PLEDGE & OPENING CEREMONY:

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Council Member Mark Cottrell with Council Member Eileen Moss conducting the opening ceremony by prayer.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mayor Jeanne Groberg, Council Member Gary Anderson, Mark Cottrell, David Hale, Eileen Moss, and Blake Winslow.

CITY STAFF PRESENT:

City Manager Darren Frandsen, Deputy Recorder Hailee Ballingham,

VISITORS:

Jennifer Barkdull, Kevin Barkdull, Tara Larkin, Mike Burnett, Mary Monsen, Frank Leaver, Anne Quintes-Bosz, Rod Larkin, Robyn Larkin, Dale Kunkel, Natalie Nielson, Ezra Nielsen, Brandon Nielsen, Jack Perry, Aimee Perry, Heather Paulsen, Kevin Paulsen, Henry Paulsen, Calvin Dewsnup, Sam Paget, Maren Paget, Elizabeth Neilson, Amanda House, Abigail Homer, Denise Rowley, Anne Batt, Mason Batt, Layla Bott, Lacey Bott, Hallie Bliss, Ella Riggs, Ciris Williams, Kira Naylor, Aleah Naylor, Wesley Lundgreen, Erin Lundgreen, London Burt, Nathan Burt, Scarlett Burt, Tammy Lloyd, Keith Lloyd, Rachel Henderson, Elisabeth Davis, Emily Pohlman, Jack Nielson, Clark Moss, Kathy Davis, Clara Davis, Jacob Williams, JD Young, Graham Williams, Kate Young

DECLARATION OF CONFLICT(S) OF INTEREST:

None

SWEARING IN 26-27 YOUTH COUNCIL

The mayor welcomed the members of the 2026–2027 Youth City Council and expressed appreciation for the students who volunteered their time to participate and serve the community. The mayor explained that the Youth City Council will work together throughout the year on service projects, leadership opportunities, and learning more about local government and civic involvement.

The mayor introduced Abigail Homer as the new Youth City Council advisor and welcomed her to the position. The mayor recognized Abigail for her volunteer service and for creating the City's Fable Forest event, which has been an excellent community activity involving the Youth City Council.

The members of the 2026–2027 Youth City Council were sworn in.

CITY COUNCIL REPORTS:

Council Member Winslow Report:

Council Member Winslow reported on discussions with the Utah League of Cities and Towns' housing subgroup regarding potential Moderate Income Housing updates and legislative changes. He noted that the group is working to address concerns from cities regarding the implementation of housing strategies. He also mentioned that the State is considering a pilot program using AI to review building drawings for compliance with local ordinances. Council Member Winslow stated that the City will continue monitoring these issues and advocating for Fruit Heights during the upcoming legislative session.

MAYOR REPORT:

The mayor provided an update regarding the State's requirement that cities allow Detached Accessory Dwelling Units (DADUs), with an October 1 deadline for compliance. The mayor expressed appreciation to the Planning Commission for their continued work on the Moderate-Income Housing strategies and other planning matters. Due to the October 1 deadline, the city is planning additional meetings to complete the DADU ordinance. The mayor thanked the Planning Commission and City Council for being willing to hold extra meetings in September to meet the deadline.

The mayor noted that DADU discussions will continue with the Planning Commission on September 8 and September 22, with the September 22 meeting anticipated to include a public hearing. The City Council will also discuss the matter and adopt the ordinance at its September 29 meeting.

The mayor encouraged residents to provide comments and ideas throughout the process and thanked everyone involved for their additional time and effort.

STAFF:

City Manager Darren Frandsen Report:

City Manager Frandsen reported that the pickleball project bid opening is scheduled for September 2, 2026 with additional information expected afterward. He also reported that the City's paving projects are expected to be completed soon. He noted that a water leak occurred on one of the recently completed roads and that repairs are being made. Staff also continues to work on the Detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (DADU) ordinance, with materials already provided to the Planning Commission for review.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Jennifer Barkdull thanked Fruit Heights City, City staff, volunteers, local businesses, and community members for their support of Saturday's Orchard Day fundraiser benefiting Manning Orchards employees. Ms. Barkdull expressed appreciation to the City for providing Nichols Park when attendance grew beyond the capacity of the original location. She also thanked the volunteers, businesses, and donors who contributed time, food, services, auction items, and financial support. Special recognition was given to the Old Guys Car Show and the Farmington Fire Department for participating in the event. Ms. Barkdull noted that despite heavy rain, volunteers and community members continued to support the event. She reported that the community raised more than \$10,000 and emphasized that the event demonstrated the generosity, unity, and community spirit of Fruit Heights residents.

Clark Moss spoke regarding the proposed letter supporting an increase in the County sales tax. He expressed concerns about the historical growth of the sales tax and what he described as the cumulative effect of increasing prices and tax rates on consumers. Mr. Moss stated that sales tax can disproportionately

affect lower-income residents because the tax is applied at the same rate regardless of income. He also questioned the argument that an increased tax is necessary to prevent residents from shopping in other counties, noting that differences in sales tax rates can influence where consumers make larger purchases. Mr. Moss expressed concern that although the proposed tax increase may initially be presented as temporary, it could ultimately become permanent. He encouraged the Council to carefully consider the long-term impact of the proposed tax increase.

Amanda House spoke regarding Detached Accessory Dwelling Units (DADUs) and the implementation of SB 284. She stated that residents may not fully understand what is required by State law, the October 1 deadline, and which decisions remain under local control. Ms. House encouraged the City to proactively identify the policy decisions that will need to be addressed, including parking, setbacks, height, privacy, owner occupancy, subdivision, rental standards, architectural compatibility, utilities, enforcement, and the conversion of existing accessory structures. She stated that while not every issue needs to be resolved by October 1, identifying the issues now would allow the City to establish a clear roadmap for implementation. Ms. House encouraged clear communication, public engagement, and coordination between City staff, the Planning Commission, and City Council. She expressed hope that the city could use the process as an opportunity to create a framework that reflects the community's values while complying with State law.

Elizabeth Nielsen spoke on behalf of Scott Heusser, who was unable to attend the meeting. Mr. Heusser expressed appreciation to Fruit Heights City and City staff for their assistance with the Orchard Day fundraiser at Nichols Park, which raised more than \$10,000 for Manning Orchards. He specifically recognized Karen and Lane for their assistance with reserving and preparing the park for the event. Mr. Heusser then expressed concerns regarding the City's implementation of the State's Detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (DADU) requirements and the October 1 deadline. He questioned when City staff became aware of the deadline, why the matter was not brought forward sooner, and whether the city would be fully compliant by October 1. He referenced previous State planning deadlines, including Moderate Income Housing requirements, and expressed concern about what he described as a recurring pattern of addressing important planning matters under time constraints. He called for greater accountability regarding City management and planning responsibilities and stated his belief that City Planner Jeff Oyler should resign or be removed from his position. Mr. Heusser emphasized that his comments were intended to address performance and accountability rather than be personal. He concluded by again thanking those who supported the Orchard Day fundraiser and expressed appreciation for the community spirit demonstrated by Fruit Heights residents.

Elizabeth Nielsen expressed appreciation for the Orchard Day event and the sense of community it created. She also expressed support for the Utah League of Cities and Towns working to address concerns related to State requirements affecting cities such as Fruit Heights. She raised concerns about what she described as a pattern of delays or unfinished City business. She referenced Planning Commission discussions from April 2025 regarding proposed changes to the R-3 zoning ordinance, stating that the matter was not brought back for a vote after meetings were canceled. She also questioned the status of a proposed ordinance that would allow City Council Members to place items on the meeting agenda, which she stated had been under discussion for several months. Ms. Nielsen further expressed concerns about the City's timeline for addressing DADU requirements and Moderate-Income Housing strategies. She encouraged the city to address important planning matters proactively and provide residents with clear information about upcoming decisions and deadlines. She also encouraged greater transparency in City communications, including providing residents with information about housing density, rezoning, Moderate Income Housing,

and other significant development issues. Ms. Nielsen stated that residents who are concerned about preserving the character of Fruit Heights want to work collaboratively with City leaders and contribute their knowledge and skills. Ms. Nielsen concluded by expressing appreciation for City staff and stated that she hopes residents and City officials can work together to preserve the community's character, transparency, and quality of life.

Frank Lever spoke regarding the Planning Commission meeting and concerns about the City's preparation for the State's DADU requirements. He stated that the Planning Commission did not adequately address the legislation or the October 1, 2026, deadline and questioned why the City had not acted sooner to develop the required ordinance and local regulations. Mr. Lever also raised concerns regarding the R-3 zoning amendment discussed in 2025, stating that the matter had not been resolved and that the proposed development density had increased significantly from earlier discussions. He questioned whether the proposed density was appropriate for Fruit Heights and encouraged the city to evaluate zoning decisions based on the needs and character of the community. He expressed broader concerns regarding delays and a lack of information concerning the General Plan, trail map, R-3 zoning, and Moderate-Income Housing strategies. He stated that these issues have contributed to frustration and mistrust among residents and emphasized that citizen participation should be viewed as an important part of local government. Mr. Lever requested that the city address the DADU ordinance before the October 1 deadline, provide opportunities for Planning Commission review and public input, and provide clear timelines for the General Plan, trail map, R-3 zoning, and Moderate-Income Housing strategies. He also submitted a proposed DADU ordinance and timeline for the record.

Sam Paget expressed concerns regarding the General Plan and the characterization of the plan as a guideline. He stated that the city should have a clear and well-defined plan that provides confidence for residents, City officials, and developers. He acknowledged that the plan should be capable of being updated as circumstances change but emphasized that there should be a solid framework establishing the City's zoning expectations and development standards. He questioned the value of spending approximately \$71,000 to update the General Plan if it is only intended to serve as a guideline and suggested that the funds could potentially be used for other meaningful projects. He also addressed the R-3 zoning issue and the proposed development projects. He referenced comments from the recent Planning Commission meeting regarding the need for the city to determine what level of residential density it is willing to allow, whether that is six to eight units per acre or a higher maximum. He stated that the City Council and residents should determine what level of density is appropriate for the community. Mr. Paget expressed opposition to allowing densities of approximately 25 units per acre, stating that he did not believe that level of development was consistent with the character he would like to see maintained in Fruit Heights. Mr. Paget also provided the city with an article from *The Salt Lake Tribune* regarding the long-term and potentially irreversible effects of development decisions. He encouraged the city to make decisions that would benefit the community as a whole and thanked the City Council and staff for their efforts.

Dale Kunkle expressed appreciation for the Mayor, City Council, City staff, and residents of Fruit Heights. He emphasized the importance of community participation and noted that he has encouraged residents to attend meetings and become involved in City matters, even when they may not agree on the issues. Mr. Kunkle stated that he had previously suggested that the City Council consider establishing a community council or similar process to encourage broader community participation and better understand the views of residents throughout the City. He expressed concern that the City has not taken sufficient steps toward this goal and stated that a well-organized group of residents has become increasingly influential in

discussions with the City Council and staff. He questioned whether the group's views represent the broader consensus of the community. Mr. Kunkle also addressed the recent Planning Commission meeting and stated that he believed comments regarding City Planner Jeff Oyler's presentation of Moderate-Income Housing strategies were inaccurate. Based on his review of the meeting recording, he stated that Mr. Oyler presented and discussed more than three strategies and provided information regarding the various options available to the city. He stated that, although he has not always agreed with Mr. Oyler, he believed the planner's comments had been mischaracterized. Mr. Kunkle then addressed the proposed Detached Accessory Dwelling Unit (DADU) ordinance. He stated that he believed the draft was a good starting point and expressed appreciation for the work that had been done. However, he noted that additional details and potential impacts need to be considered. He stated that he reviewed the draft ordinance and determined that, due to the configuration of his property, which has streets on two sides, he could potentially construct a large two-story DADU under the current draft requirements. He expressed concern that such a structure could negatively affect neighboring properties. Mr. Kunkle emphasized the need for the City to carefully review the proposed ordinance and address potential issues before the October 1 deadline. He thanked the Mayor, City Council, and staff for their efforts.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

6.1 Letter For 5th / 5th local option sales taxes Support

The Council discussed the proposed 0.2% sales tax and its potential uses, including transportation and public safety. Approximately 0.05% would be distributed to Fruit Heights, generating an estimated \$44,000–\$45,000 annually for transportation, while another 0.05% would be distributed to the County. These portions would not be subject to the three-year timeline associated with other portions of the tax and would continue for transportation purposes. The County's portion could also be used for public safety. Because Fruit Heights contracts with the Davis County Sheriff's Office for law enforcement services, the Council discussed the potential benefit of additional County funding for public safety.

The Council discussed the differences between property taxes and consumption-based sales taxes, including how the tax burden varies based on property ownership and consumer spending. Members also discussed the potential impact of additional taxes on residents during a period of inflation and the State's role in funding transportation and public services.

Sales tax rates in surrounding cities and counties were reviewed for comparison and context. The Council noted that rates vary throughout the region, with some jurisdictions having higher rates due to additional local option taxes.

The Council encouraged residents with concerns regarding the proposed tax to contact the Davis County Commissioners. Members expressed differing views on whether the city should support the proposal. Council Member Hale stated that he initially viewed the tax positively because Fruit Heights is primarily residential and residents make many taxable purchases outside the city, but after further consideration, he expressed concern that the amount returned to the city would be relatively small and stated that he was not comfortable supporting additional tax increases as a matter of principle.

Council Member Cottrell stated that the estimated \$44,000 in annual revenue could benefit the city, particularly because of the City's limited sales tax base. He also noted that, as the Council liaison to the Sheriff's Office and Fire Department, public safety funding was important to him. He expressed concern regarding increasing crime in Fruit Heights and Davis County and stated that the potential public safety benefits should be considered.

6.2 Reviewing MIH Strategies

The Council reviewed the Moderate-Income Housing (MIH) strategies recommended by the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission reviewed the full list of strategies available under State law over

several meetings and recommended seven strategies for Council consideration: Strategies A, E, F, I, O, Q, and V.

Staff explained that some strategies may involve the use of City funds and would require a legislative decision by the City Council. The Council discussed the possibility of participating in Davis County housing grant programs, entering into agreements with other governmental entities, and other strategies that could require financial contributions from the City.

The Council discussed the State requirement to report on three MIH strategies. Members expressed support for considering more than three strategies to provide flexibility if one strategy does not produce reportable results in a particular year. The Council also discussed the importance of selecting strategies that are sustainable, within the City's ability to influence, and that the city is willing and able to implement.

The Council discussed the challenges of addressing MIH requirements in Fruit Heights as a largely built-out community where future development would primarily occur through infill. Members noted that the City does not control private development decisions or factors such as land, labor, construction costs, and market conditions. Council members discussed the importance of documenting the City's efforts and communicating with State legislators regarding the challenges faced by built-out communities.

The Council discussed strategies involving increased residential density and expressed concern about whether rezoning alone would satisfy the State's MIH requirements. Staff explained that previous State guidance indicated that rezoning or increasing density may not receive MIH credit unless the resulting development meets the applicable requirements. Council members noted that State leadership and interpretations have changed and agreed that additional clarification should be obtained from State officials regarding how rezoning and other efforts will be evaluated.

The Council discussed potential considerations for higher-density zoning, including minimum lot sizes, parking requirements, graduated building heights, setbacks for taller buildings and accessory structures, open space, and compatibility with surrounding neighborhoods. Members emphasized that any potential rezoning should consider impacts on neighboring properties and the overall community.

The Council discussed Strategy Q and possible incentives to encourage the development or preservation of accessory dwelling units (ADUs) for moderate-income housing. Potential options included reducing City-controlled fees, extending application renewal periods, reducing application fees, and providing utility fee reductions for qualifying ADUs. Staff noted that the city could only modify fees under its control.

Council members discussed Strategy L, which would reduce, waive, or eliminate impact fees related to moderate-income housing. Members expressed interest in further considering the strategy, with a preference for exploring a reduction of applicable fees rather than eliminating fees entirely.

The Council discussed Strategy S, which would involve a joint acquisition agreement with another local political subdivision to combine resources for acquiring property for moderate-income housing. Members expressed interest in determining whether this could be a feasible opportunity with Davis County or a neighboring municipality.

The Council also discussed Strategy K, which addresses preserving existing and new moderate-income and subsidized housing through options such as landlord incentive programs, deed-restricted units, grant programs, or a housing loss mitigation fund. Members discussed whether preservation strategies could provide an alternative to relying solely on the creation of new housing.

Council Member Moss proposed exploring a city-funded rental assistance program for eligible public employees to encourage them to live in Fruit Heights. A potential fund of approximately \$20,000 was discussed. Staff noted that additional research would be necessary to determine whether rental assistance

would qualify under an existing MIH strategy, as some strategies address mortgage assistance rather than rental assistance.

The Council discussed the possibility of mortgage rate assistance as another potential strategy. Members noted that such assistance could potentially bring housing costs within the moderate-income range and could provide an opportunity to assist additional households over time. Staff indicated that financial analysis would be necessary to determine the feasibility, cost, and eligibility of such a program under State MIH requirements.

Council members expressed concern about committing significant City funds to housing assistance programs. Members noted the need to balance any new expenditures with existing budget priorities and essential City services, including water, sewer, and public safety. The Council generally expressed a preference for strategies that could be implemented with little or no direct financial cost to the City, while remaining open to considering financial strategies if the costs and benefits could be clearly demonstrated. Members also discussed the potential unintended effects of government-funded housing subsidies and the need to evaluate their long-term financial and economic impacts.

The Council discussed the distinction between satisfying the State's MIH requirements and determining what types of housing would be beneficial for Fruit Heights. Members noted that increasing housing diversity and providing housing opportunities for different household types could benefit the community but acknowledged that this broader housing policy discussion is separate from determining which strategies will satisfy the State's reporting requirements.

The Council expressed appreciation to the Planning Commission for its work reviewing the extensive list of available strategies. Members agreed that additional review and research should be completed before finalizing the City's strategy list. Council members were asked to review the recommended strategies and identify additional strategies they believe may be feasible, with a goal of narrowing the options to approximately five strategies for further consideration.

Staff indicated that the City's annual MIH reporting period had begun in August and would continue through the end of July. The Council was encouraged to provide direction at the next meeting so the city can finalize its plan and begin working on the selected strategies during the reporting period.

Once the Council determines the strategies it wishes to pursue, the Planning Commission will develop an implementation plan outlining how the selected strategies can be accomplished. The implementation plan will return to the City Council for consideration and approval and will ultimately be incorporated into the City's General Plan update.

6.3 UDOT easement at Nicholls Road and Main Street (Resolution 2026-14)

Staff explained that the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) is installing pedestrian lighting along Main Street as part of its Safe Sidewalks project, extending from approximately the Cherry Hill area toward Davis High School. As the project reaches the Nichols Road and Main Street area, UDOT requires a small easement on City-owned property to install a streetlight over the sidewalk.

The Council discussed the proposed light and whether it would meet Fruit Heights City lighting standards. Staff explained that UDOT will install and maintain the light and that the fixture will match the lighting UDOT is installing along its right-of-way. Staff noted that UDOT had been asked about using the City's standard lights but indicated it would use its standard fixtures.

Council members asked about viewing examples of the lights already installed along Main Street. Staff noted that UDOT had completed work at portions of the project, including improvements near Fairway Cove and Hayes Creek Drive, and that additional work would continue as the project progresses.

The Council expressed support for granting the easement and indicated that the request was reasonable and

would support pedestrian safety.

6.4 Award bids for fencing around city pumphouse

Staff presented a proposal to install fencing and a gate at the City's culinary water pump house. The project had been included in the City's budget; however, bids were higher than originally anticipated. Staff explained that the city could proceed with the fence and gate and secure the gate with a chain or use a portion of the savings from the SCADA system project, which came in under budget, to add an automatic gate opener.

Staff explained that Public Works employees access the pump house approximately four to five times per day. An automatic gate would allow the gate to be opened and closed more efficiently and would help ensure that the area remains secured when employees are not present. Staff also discussed the possibility of installing cameras directed toward the gate. The City's existing gate system at the shop has the capability of recording gate activity, although that feature has not been implemented.

Council members expressed support for the automatic gate and fencing, citing the importance of securing the City's water infrastructure and reducing the time and effort required for employees to manually open and close the gate. Members also noted the importance of protecting the City's water system as an essential service.

The Council expressed support for completing the fencing project with the automatic gate opener using available savings from the SCADA system project.

ACTION ITEMS:

7.1 Letter For 5th/ 5th local option sales taxes Support

Council Member Gary Anderson made a motion to forego sending a letter of support for the 5th/5th Local Option Sales Tax. Council Member Eileen Moss seconded the motion.

Council members noted that the County Commission ultimately has authority over the tax and encouraged residents and Council members with concerns or comments to communicate directly with the County.

The Council discussed the potential benefits of the tax, including funding that could support the County's justice system and potentially benefit local public safety services. Members also expressed concerns regarding the timing of the proposal, the lack of a specific commitment regarding how discretionary funds would be used, and the City's position on additional tax increases.

Council members discussed the importance of maintaining adequate funding for the justice system and Sheriff's Office while noting that the proposed sales tax funds are not specifically earmarked for the Sheriff's Office. Members suggested that a more specific plan or commitment from the County regarding the use of discretionary funds would provide greater confidence in supporting the proposal.

The motion was approved by the City Council at (2:27)

- Gary Anderson –YES
- Mark Cottrell—NO
- David Hale—YES
- Eileen Moss—YES
- Blake Winslow –No

Motion Carried (3-2)

6.2 Reviewing MIH Strategies

Will have actions at further meetings.

6.3 UDOT easement at Nicholls Road and Main Street (Resolution 2026-14)

Council Member Eileen Moss made a motion to approve Resolution 2026-14, UDOT easement at Nichols Road and Main Street. Council Member Gary Anderson seconded the motion.

The motion was approved by the City Council with roll call the at (2:28)

- Gary Anderson –YES
- Mark Cottrell—YES
- David Hale—YES
- Eileen Moss—YES
- Blake Winslow –YES

Motion Carried (5-0)

6.4 Award bids for fencing around city pumphouse

Council Member Gary Anderson made a motion to Award bids for fencing and operator around city pumphouse to Watts Fencing. Council Member Mark Cottrell seconded the motion.

The motion was approved by the City Council with roll call the at (2:29)

- Gary Anderson –YES
- Mark Cottrell—YES
- David Hale—YES
- Eileen Moss—YES
- Blake Winslow –YES

Motion Carried (5-0)

6.5 City Council meeting minutes for August 18,2026

Council Member Blake Winslow made a motion to approve City Council meeting minutes for August 18,2026. Council Member Mark Cottrell seconded the motion.

The motion was approved by the City Council with roll call the at (2:29)

- Gary Anderson –YES
- Mark Cottrell—YES
- David Hale—YES
- Eileen Moss—YES
- Blake Winslow –YES

Motion Carried (5-0)

TABLED ITEMS:

8.1 Rezone approximately 0.701 acres from R-S-12 (Residential-Suburban) to R-3 (Multi-Family Residential) and approximately 0.255 acres from C-2 (Commercial) to R-3 (Multi-Family Residential). Properties located at 1207 South Main Street (Tabled on 8.4.26)

8.2 Rezone approximately 0.835 acres from C-2 (Commercial) to R-3 (Multi-Family Residential) Property located at 1112 S Lloyd Road. (Tabled on 8.4.26)

8.3 LRB Cemetery Financial Proposal (Tabled on 8.18.26)

NEW BUSINESS FOR UPCOMING MEETING-NO ACTION

Council member Moss requested that the city consider revising its ordinance to allow for an alternate Planning Commission member. The request was based on past difficulties maintaining a quorum when Planning Commission members are unavailable.

Council member Moss also asked about the status of proposed provisions allowing two Council members to place an item on a City Council agenda. The mayor explained that the provision would generally be addressed as part of a broader Rules of Order and Procedure ordinance rather than as a standalone ordinance. It was indicated that the matter is being worked on but that other matters have taken priority.

CALENDAR:

September 7, 2026: Labor Day; City offices will be closed.

September 8, 2026: Special Planning Commission meeting to discuss the ADU ordinance.

September 15, 2026: Regular City Council meeting.

September 22, 2026: Planning Commission meeting, anticipated to include a public hearing on the ADU ordinance.

September 29, 2026: Special City Council meeting, anticipated to consider adoption of the ordinance before the applicable deadline.

CLOSED SESSION: None

ADJOURNMENT:

Council Member Gary Anderson made a motion to adjourn the meeting with Council Member Blake Winslow seconded. It was unanimously approved by the Council. (9:34)

Date approved by City Council: September 15, 2026

/s/ Hailee Ballingham

Hailee Ballingham, City Deputy Recorder