

MINUTES OF THE EMERY COUNTY COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT AGENCY EMERY COUNTY, UTAH

<https://zoom.us/j/4353813570?pwd=NWduRlZzRCtGNUdnd1d0NkNNdmxIZz09>

passcode:Emery

PUBLIC NOTICE is hereby given that the **EMERY COUNTY COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT AGENCY** will meet at **10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, April 21th, 2026** in the **Emery County Courthouse Commission Chambers, 75 East Main Street, Castle Dale, Utah.**

IN ATTENDANCE: Commissioner Jordan Leonard, Commissioner Keven Jensen, Commissioner Dennis Worwood, Todd Huntington (ECSD), Monroe Magnuson (EWCD), Jacob Sharp (CVSSS), Natalie Olsen, Sheri Dearden (UAC), Brandy Grace (UAC).

Online Participation:

MEETING MINUTES

1. **Discuss/Approve/Deny January 20th 2026 Meeting Minutes:**

Commissioner Jensen made a motion to approve the January 20th 2026 meeting minutes with a second from Commissioner Leonard . Voting was unanimous. Motion passed.

2. **Discuss/Approve/Deny:Participation/Service Agreement Agreement with UAC:**

Brandy Grace (UAC) presented the proposed agreement, explaining that services include:

- Preparation of CRA budgets
- Submission to the State Auditor
- Coordination of independent audits
- Assistance with compliance and administrative requirements
- Support with agreements, boundary adjustments, and developer-related negotiations

Discussion noted the increasing administrative responsibilities of the CRA and the value of UAC's support.

Commissioner Leonard made a motion to approve the Participation/Service agreement with a second from Commissioner Jensen. Voting was unanimous. Motion passed.

3. Discuss/Approve/Deny: Estoppel Certificate for Green River Energy Center

Sherry Dearden (UAC) presented the Estoppel Certificate for the Green River Energy Center (Phase 1 & 2).

- Confirms validity of participation agreements executed in 2022
- Verifies no known defaults or disputes
- Required to finalize project financing

Clarification was made that this project is located near Moore and not within Green River despite its name.

Jacob made a motion to approve the Estoppel Certificate with a second from Todd. Voting was unanimous. Motion passed.

4. Update from Brandy (UAC) on Hunter, Hornshadow and Drunkards Wash. (Solar Projects)

Hunter Project

- Addition of battery storage
- No boundary expansion requested
- No tax increment requested
- Different ownership results in separate tax treatment

Conclusion: No action required

Horn Shadow Project

- Request to expand project area by 47 acres for battery storage
- Request for tax increment similar to existing agreement
- Likely requires a new participation agreement due to separate ownership entity

Board Discussion:

- Interest in reviewing financial data before making a decision
- Consideration of county leverage in negotiations

Direction: UAC to provide financials before further action

Drunkard's Wash Project (SITLA Land)

- Proposed large-scale solar development on SITLA land near Hiawatha
- Unique due to SITLA authority, which limits county control

Key Discussion Points:

- Importance of county engagement to protect local interests
- Wildlife impacts, including deer winter range
- Grazing (AUMs) concerns

- Potential mitigation requirements
- New financing tool introduced by HB 507 (Regionally Significant Development Zones)
- Possible infrastructure contributions and energy tax opportunities

Direction:

- UAC to coordinate communication with SITLA
- Emphasis on ensuring county involvement in negotiations

5. Discuss/Approve/Deny/Presentation from Developer:

- **Ann Elliott Erwin- Asking for Affordable Housing funds for Infrastructure help with development lots in Green River.**

Anne Elliott presented a request for affordable housing funding to support a five-lot residential development in Green River.

Project Overview

- Five lots on Tidwell Avenue (fully improved with infrastructure)
- Two completed homes (1,311 sq ft, 3 bed, 2 bath, single-level)
- Three additional build-ready lots
- Homes priced at \$370,000

Funding Request

- \$50,000 per completed home (2 homes)
- \$25,000 per remaining lot (3 lots)
- Total request: \$175,000
- Majority of funds tied to infrastructure costs

Additional Details

- Focus on workforce and senior housing
- Owner financing offered to assist buyers
- Commitment to avoid short-term rentals (Airbnb)
- Emphasis on community development and long-term residents

Board Discussion

- Need for a formal policy on affordable housing fund distribution
- Importance of consistency and fairness for all applicants
- Consideration of:
 - Down payment assistance vs. developer reimbursement
 - Income-based eligibility
 - Comparable projects in other counties
 - Preventing misuse (e.g., short-term rentals)
- Discussion of affordability benchmarks:
 - Estimated range: \$300,000–\$375,000 based on area median income
- Concerns raised:

- Ensuring funds do not inflate housing prices
 - Comparability with similar homes in the market
-

No motion made

Direction:

- a. Develop a formal policy and application process
- b. Review models from other counties (e.g., Box Elder, Davis County)
- c. Consider down payment assistance framework

6. Discuss/Approve/Deny/Presentation from Developer: (Bill Knowlton, Ashley Atkinson)

Green River Partners, LLC presented a request for a \$151,000.00 loan to help them with their low income housing project in Green River.

- The project is a rental development targeting households at or below 50% of Area Median Income (AMI).
- Based on state-provided data, Emery County's AMI is approximately \$46,000, with Green River falling below that level.
- The developer indicated there is a significant demand for lower-income housing (approximately 500 units) in the county, while higher-income units are currently overbuilt and underutilized.

Board members discussed concerns related to:

- Income thresholds and how tenants qualify
- Potential tenant behavior and community impacts
- Long-term flexibility of the project

The developer explained that:

The project is highly regulated, with regular audits over a 15-year compliance period.

Income qualifications are strictly monitored, reducing the likelihood of misuse.

The development will remain income-restricted for up to 50 years.

Public Comment and Local Concerns

Public comment was received from a Green River City Council member (Guy Webster) representing a group of residents.

Key concerns included:

- A petition with 264 signatures opposing the project
- Belief that Green River does not have a need for additional low-income housing
- Concerns about:
 - Strain on local services (EMS, schools, infrastructure)
 - Potential for increased social issues

- Existing comparable units in the area remaining vacant
- Concern that the project could bring in non-local residents rather than serve the existing community

Clarification was also made that:

- The project has been reduced to approximately 25–30 units
- It is now proposed on privately owned land rather than city-owned property

Board Discussion

The Board discussed several key issues:

- The lack of a formal policy governing CRA loan decisions
- The importance of maintaining consistency, transparency, and fairness
- Whether local city support should be required before approving funding
- Concerns about acting without clear guidance or established criteria

Board members expressed that future funding decisions should be based on:

- A clearly defined policy framework
- Consistent requirements for all applicants
- Consideration of local government support

Jacob made a motion to table the funding request until a formal CRA loan policy is developed, and additional information is gathered regarding local support and community concerns with a second from Monroe. Voting was unanimous. Motion passed.

7. Discuss any other Business:

Next Steps

- The Board agreed to hold a **work meeting** to:
 - Develop a loan policy
 - Establish an application and evaluation process
- UAC will coordinate scheduling following the **UAC Conference**
- Draft materials will be prepared for Board review

8. Adjourn:

The meeting was adjourned.