

Lake Point City Council Business Meeting Minutes

Date: Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Place: Lake Point Fire Station 1528 Sunset Rd Lake Point, UT 84074

Time: 6:00 PM

1. Opening Formalities

- A. Call to Order-6:02 pm
- B. Prayer- Kirk Pearson
- C. Pledge of Allegiance- Buck Peck
- D. Presiding Officer- Kathleen VonHatten
- E. Attendance Roll Call-

Lake Point Council & Staff (C=Council)	Public	Public
Kathleen VonHatten (Chair)	Chaelea Allred (Planning Secretary & Waste Billing)	
Kirk Pearson (Vice Chair)	Jonathan Garrard	
Kirk Trimble (C.) over the phone and then in person at 6:13 pm	Buck Peck	
Ryan Zumwalt (C.) over phone and then in person at 6:10 pm	Luke Flynn	
Lori Chigbrow (C.)		
Jamie Olson (RCDR)		
Jay Springer (Attorney) excused at 9:39 pm		

2. Legal Training/Clarification (2:04 recording)

- A. City Attorney Jay Springer discussed the length of recent Council meetings and advised that during months with heavier workloads, the Council may consider scheduling an additional or special meeting rather than having multiple unusually long regular meetings. He also advised the Council of several professional conferences and commitments scheduled during October and early November that may conflict with upcoming Council meetings. Springer indicated that the conferences may also provide additional resources and information that he can bring back to the Council.

3. Staff Report (4:52 recording)

- A. City Recorder Jamie Olson presented the July monthly staff report. During July, the City issued eight building permits and four home-based business licenses. Waste activity included five new accounts, twelve new cans, one returned can, and two replacement cans. Riddle Services was identified as the home-based business that elected to be publicly recognized and was described as providing yard maintenance and assistance with maintaining and repairing yards. The Council briefly discussed the requirement that a residence remain the primary use of a property operating as a home-based business and how the City could address situations where it appears the property may primarily be used for business purposes. Springer explained that these situations can involve enforcement and permitting considerations and noted that the enforcement ordinance currently being developed is intended to provide additional procedures for addressing code and permit violations.
- B. Waste Report

- i) Chaelea Allred provided an update regarding the work completed under the Waste Manager position. She reviewed the objectives previously identified by the Council and reported the following progress:
 - 1) Additional training was completed with Trash Flow to better understand the software's capabilities and accounting functions. Training and communication with Trash Flow continue as questions arise.
 - 2) The originally identified missing waste accounts were reviewed and resolved. Staff recently received an updated account list and intends to compare the City's accounts with Ace Disposal's records quarterly to identify discrepancies and maintain accurate account information.
 - 3) User-access issues were addressed by establishing multiple users so activity within the system can be associated with individual users.
 - 4) Nineteen Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) have been created, reviewed, and tested. Staff worked through the procedures during actual billing cycles to verify that the instructions accurately reflect the City's processes.
- C. In response to a question from Trimble regarding whether additional SOPs were still needed, Allred stated that she believed the standard recurring processes had been substantially documented. The lien process has been written but has not yet been tested during the actual lien cycle, which occurs in October. Additional SOPs may be developed if other recurring processes are identified.

4. Public Comment

- A. Motion-Kathleen to open public comment. Pearson 2nd
 - i) Vote was unanimously approved
- B. Jonathan Garrard (15:10 recording)
 - i) Jonathan Garrard expressed concern regarding the availability of documents being considered by the Council. Referring to the previous meeting, Garrard stated that he had reviewed the publicly posted documents and prepared comments based on those versions but observed that some Council discussion appeared to involve revisions that were not reflected in the publicly available documents. He encouraged the City to make revised drafts available to the public as quickly as possible when substantive changes are provided to the Council so residents can provide informed comments.
 - ii) Regarding the proposed procurement policy, Garrard remained concerned about the amount of spending authority that could move from prior Council approval to administrative approval, particularly given the City's size. Regarding procurement of a City Planner, he acknowledged safeguards contained in the RFQ, including no retainer, written task orders, detailed invoices, and not-to-exceed limits, but questioned the need and potential cost of creating an ongoing professional planning function and how the City would ensure that an outside professional advises elected officials without gradually influencing City policy.
 - iii) Garrard also commented on the proposed Committee Governance Resolution. He stated that several provisions appeared consistent with appropriate safeguards but identified language in Section 3.3(A) that appeared to state that a committee "may" enter contracts, expend funds, commit resources, or represent the City unless authorized. He suggested that the intended language may have been "may not" and requested clarification.
 - iv) Regarding the Waste Department and Manager position sunset clause, Garrard asked the Council to consider what the six-month trial period had accomplished, its cost and additional staff-time requirements, what resident-level account information became accessible through the structure, and whether similar oversight could be accomplished through existing staff with aggregate reporting to the Council.
- C. Motion-Pearson to close public comment. Kathleen 2nd
 - i) Motion passed unanimously

5. Approve the Minutes (19:55 recording)

- A. 2026 08.12
- B. Motion- to postpone approval of the minutes 08.12.2026 until they are ready. Lori 2nd
 - i) Motion passed unanimously

6. Reports/Presentations (20:35 recording)

A. Treasurer Report/Budget Summary

- i) The Treasurer was not present when the agenda item was reached. The Council temporarily postponed the Treasurer Report/Summary Budget Report and proceeded to the Action/Business Items.

7. Action/Business Items (20:47 recording)

A. Consider Ordinance amending the city Procurement Policy (20:55 recording)

- i) City Recorder Jamie Olson explained that the working draft incorporated procurement thresholds discussed at the previous meeting that had inadvertently not been carried into the publicly posted version. The thresholds were identified as small purchases from \$0–\$5,000, large purchases from \$5,000.01–\$30,000, and purchases over \$30,000. Olson also clarified that the financial administration provisions were being separated from the procurement policy as previously directed by the Council.
- ii) The Council reviewed the proposed procurement policy section by section and discussed several amendments and clarifications, including:
 - 1) Adding restrictions on communications between respondents and evaluation committee members during an active procurement. The Council agreed the procurement official should manage communications with respondents and that the restriction should be incorporated into the evaluation committee provisions.
 - 2) Clarifying that the minimum solicitation period is seven calendar days. The Council noted that individual solicitations may provide longer response periods as appropriate.
 - 3) Discussing the composition of evaluation committees and confirming that they may include Councilmembers or other designated individuals with relevant expertise, provided the procurement official managing the specific procurement does not serve on its evaluation committee.
 - 4) Discussing conflict-of-interest and confidentiality requirements for evaluation committee members. The Council determined that a blanket certification requirement would not be added to the policy at this time and instead identified the issue as a consideration for an RFP/RFQ (Request for Proposal/ Request for Qualifications) procedure, checklist, or SOP.
 - 5) Adding a protest and appeal provision incorporating the applicable portions of the Utah Procurement Code governing protests and appeals for a non-adopting local government procurement unit.
 - 6) Discussing whether an exception to the City's blind-pricing evaluation procedure should be included for federal grant requirements. Following legal counsel's recommendation, the Council determined not to create a general exception without an identified grant requirement necessitating one.
 - 7) Clarifying the RFQ negotiation process so that if negotiations with the highest-ranked firm are unsuccessful, the City may proceed to the next highest-ranked firm, continuing sequentially through the rankings as necessary.
 - 8) Requiring written documentation or justification when utilizing sole-source procurement. The Council discussed the appropriate level of detail and retained discretion to determine reasonable efforts based upon the circumstances of the procurement.
 - 9) Clarifying that change-order limits apply to the cumulative value of change orders so multiple smaller change orders cannot be used to circumvent the established threshold.
- iii) The Council held substantial discussion regarding the review and potential re-solicitation of long-term City contracts. Councilmembers discussed balancing competitive pricing and accountability with continuity of service, administrative costs, and circumstances where long-term professional relationships may benefit the City. The Council ultimately agreed that all non-employment contracts must be reviewed by the City Council at least once every five

years and evaluated for re-solicitation, while retaining the City's ability to resolicit more frequently when appropriate. Related automatic-renewal provisions were adjusted to be consistent with the five-year review period.

- iv) City Attorney Jay Springer clarified that the ordinance would place the revised Municipal Procurement Code in Chapter 4.2 and move the existing non-procurement financial administration and property management provisions to Chapter 4.5. He explained that the provisions being moved were being renumbered without substantive amendment.
 - v) Motion - Kathleen to approve an ordinance revising Chapter 4.2 and enacting Chapter 4.5 of the Lake Point City Code to adopt a revised Municipal Procurement Code and renumbering non-procurement financial administration and property management provisions. Lori 2nd
 - 1) Roll Call Vote-[Trimble-Yea] [Ryan-Yea] [Lori-Yea] [Kathleen-Yea] [Pearson-Yea]
 - (a) Motion passed unanimously
- B. Consider Procurement for a City Planner (1:38:01 recording)
- i) City Attorney Jay Springer recommended changing the proposed procurement from a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to a Request for Proposals (RFP) so that cost could be included as an evaluation factor and advised that the document would also need to be revised for consistency with the newly adopted procurement policy. The Council agreed that an RFP was the appropriate procurement method.
 - ii) The Council discussed the proposed scope of services and whether contracting for on-call planning services was appropriate for the City. Pearson and Trimble expressed concern that an on-call planner could develop into an ongoing or effectively full-time planning function. They emphasized maintaining local decision-making authority, utilizing the expertise of the Planning Commission, and making greater use of existing resources available through organizations such as the Utah League of Cities and Towns before contracting for additional professional services. They also emphasized the need to establish clear parameters identifying when planning services could be requested and who would have authority to authorize their use.
 - iii) Kathleen and Lori discussed circumstances where professional planning expertise could benefit the City, particularly for larger development proposals and matters requiring more extensive analysis than could reasonably be obtained through occasional outside assistance. They emphasized that the proposed service was intended to be on-call and project-specific rather than a full-time position and would provide professional information and analysis while leaving policy and land-use decisions with the City's elected and appointed officials. Springer further clarified that any resulting contract would return to the Council for approval and that proceeding with the solicitation would not constitute final approval of a planner contract.
 - iv) Trimble and Pearson opposed proceeding with procuring a planner, and Ryan, Kathleen, and Lori supported proceeding with the process to procure a planner.
 - v) The Council reviewed and revised the proposed evaluation criteria. Direction included:
 - 1) Incorporating experience relevant to rural communities into the solicitation.
 - 2) Combining qualifications and relevant professional/municipal experience into one evaluation category.
 - 3) Combining the proposed methodology, understanding of the scope, responsiveness, communication, and similar performance considerations into a second evaluation category, with an interview incorporated as applicable.
 - 4) Removing references as a separately scored evaluation category.
 - 5) Adding cost as an evaluation factor.
 - 6) Weighting the three primary evaluation categories—qualifications/experience, demonstrated understanding and approach/interview, and cost—equally at approximately one-third each.

- 7) The Council designated the City Council to serve as the evaluation committee for the procurement.
- vi) Motion - Kathleen for the Request for Proposal, with the adjustments and direction provided by the Council, for on-call planning services for the City, with the City Council serving as the evaluation committee. Lori 2nd
 - (a) Motion Passed 4 to 1
- C. Consider bids to clean up 1200 E. (2:23:43 recording)
 - i) Kathleen reviewed bids received for removal of accumulated waste and debris along 1200 E. She explained that Pronghorn had submitted a bid of approximately \$2,720 and Jeff Cabell had submitted a bid of \$2,800 for the primary cleanup work. The bids were similar in price but differed somewhat in scope and disposal arrangements. Cabell's proposal also separately identified additional work, including excavation of a drainage trench and mowing.
 - ii) Pearson suggested obtaining an additional bid from a nearby contractor who had previously expressed interest in performing work in the area. The Council discussed obtaining the third bid while the existing bids remained available and proceeding with the lower qualifying bid rather than delaying the matter for another Council meeting.
 - iii) The Council discussed the additional mowing option and determined not to include mowing in the work at this time. The Council supported including the previously discussed drainage trench work as part of the bid comparison.
 - iv) Lori noted that although the two existing cleanup bids were very close in price, Cabell's \$2,800 proposal appeared to provide a more fixed price, while the Pronghorn proposal could increase depending upon tonnage and time. The Council agreed that pricing from the existing bidders would not be disclosed to the prospective third bidder.
 - v) Motion- Ryan to rebid for third bidder for the waste debris cleanup and the trench and then take the lower. Pearson 2nd
 - 1) Motion passed unanimously
- D. Consider the Waste Dept and Manager position Ordinance sunset clause (2:34:20 recording)
 - i) Lori reported that she had received the completed Waste Department SOPs the previous Friday and had not yet had sufficient time to fully review and integrate them. She explained that the SOPs provide step-by-step procedures for individual Trash Flow tasks, but the broader operational framework remained incomplete. Outstanding work included establishing when reconciliations occur, procedures for account changes and property transfers/title company payments, reconciliation of waste-can counts with the service provider, coordination between payment and accounting systems, reporting requirements, and ensuring necessary financial information is communicated to the City's accountant.
 - ii) Kathleen stated that the intent of the Waste Manager project was to establish a complete and sustainable operational process rather than focus on any individual employee or position. She expressed concern that the existing sunset deadline had limited collaboration and recommended allowing the Waste Manager role to continue until the operational framework was completed.
 - iii) Trimble questioned whether the Waste Manager position remained necessary now that the SOPs had been developed. He suggested allowing the position to sunset and evaluating how effectively the procedures function under the City's regular operations. Trimble expressed concern that the position duplicated responsibilities that could be addressed through the Waste Committee and existing staff, could create inefficiencies in staff workflow, and involved access to City account information and personally identifying information on a private computer. He maintained that the remaining policy and reconciliation work could be completed without retaining the Waste Manager position.
 - iv) Lori disagreed that the remaining work could effectively be completed through an advisory committee role alone. She explained that reconciliation involved multiple operational and financial systems beyond reconciling QuickBooks, including waste-can inventories, vendor records, deposits, accounts receivable, quarterly billing, remote deposits, and communication of financial information to the accountant. She stated that the underlying

- framework identifying when these processes occur, who is responsible, and how the systems interact had not yet been completed.
- v) Waste Billing Specialist Chaelea Allred discussed the distinction between her billing responsibilities and accounting functions. Allred stated that she understood her role to include generating appropriate reports for the City's accountant rather than performing accounting reconciliations herself. Lori explained that, under the City's current accounting arrangement, the accountant expects the City to provide the amounts and journal-entry information to be entered rather than independently analyzing the City's supporting reports. The Council discussed whether this identified a need for additional accounting assistance and the possibility of procuring part-time accounting services.
 - vi) The Council further clarified the distinction between the completed SOPs, which document how individual tasks are performed, and the outstanding policies or operational framework establishing when tasks are required, decision-making parameters, reporting expectations, reconciliations, and oversight responsibilities. Lori confirmed that this broader policy framework remained incomplete.
 - vii) The Council discussed possible extension periods. Pearson supported retaining a sunset date to establish a deadline for completing the remaining work and proposed extending the position through the end of February. Kathleen continued to express concern with imposing a deadline but supported an extension as a compromise. Ryan suggested using the extension period to complete the outstanding policies while also exploring accounting services and addressing data-security concerns.
 - viii) Motion- Pearson to extend the Waste Department and Manager position sunset clause until February 28, 2027, at which time the Council would reevaluate the position. Ryan 2nd
 - 1) Roll Call Vote-[Trimble-Nay] [Ryan-Yea] [Lori-Yea] [Kathleen-Yea] [Pearson-Yea]
 - (a) Motion passed 4 to 1
- E. Consider a Committee Governance Resolution (3:01:02 recording)
- i) Kathleen introduced the Committee Governance Resolution and explained that, after reviewing the original draft, she had concerns about whether it adequately addressed the more active administrative responsibilities Councilmembers perform in a small city with limited staff. She had circulated a substantially revised version shortly before the meeting that attempted to distinguish traditional committees from administrative assignments and provide greater clarity regarding the work Councilmembers may perform on behalf of the City.
 - ii) Councilmembers discussed the two versions of the proposed resolution. Several members indicated they had not had sufficient time to fully review and compare the revised version. Trimble stated that he had reviewed and was comfortable with the original version that had undergone legal review.
 - iii) City Attorney Jay Springer explained that the purpose of the original resolution was not to restrict the authority that could be delegated to a committee or Councilmember, but to ensure that the source and scope of that authority were clearly defined and traceable to the Council or other authorized official. He emphasized that the City could establish broad authority where appropriate, but the parameters should be documented so that the scope of a committee or assignment does not change depending upon the individual serving in the role. Springer also clarified that the proposed policy was not intended to affect Councilmembers serving as liaisons or representatives on outside organizations or regional boards.
 - iv) The Council discussed distinguishing between traditional advisory or community committees and administrative assignments performed by Councilmembers. Examples included Councilmembers working directly with engineers, inspectors, accountants, contractors, or other professionals; monitoring City projects; coordinating work within an assigned area; and performing other administrative responsibilities without requiring separate Council approval for each routine action. At the same time, members emphasized that delegated authority should remain subject to applicable ordinances, procurement requirements, budgets, and matters reserved to the full Council.

- v) The Council also discussed whether the appropriate approach was to establish broad authority within the governance resolution and then define or limit individual committees and assignments as necessary, or to separately define the authority of each committee. Springer recommended using the existing committee exhibit as a practical starting point to identify each committee's function and scope of authority. The Council generally supported retaining a high-level governance framework while providing sufficient flexibility for the differing functions of Council committees, community committees, and individual administrative assignments.
- vi) Springer recommended another review of the original legally reviewed draft, with specific concerns and desired additional authorities identified and incorporated rather than attempting to reconcile the substantially rewritten document line by line. The Council agreed that additional review was appropriate before taking action.
- vii) Motion - Kathleen to table the Committee Governance Resolution until the Council has an opportunity to further review and provide comments. Trimble 2nd
 - 1) Motion passed unanimously

8. Council Updates

A. Committee Updates (3:31:15 recording)

- i) Enforcement Ordinance Committee - Ryan reported that the committee anticipated holding its final meeting the following week before bringing the Enforcement Ordinance to the Council for consideration.
- ii) Roads Committee - Kathleen reported that the Rock Hollow cul-de-sac repair project had been advertised for bids, with bids due the following Monday. The work would address three areas of roadway settlement previously discussed by the Council.
- iii) Economic Development Committee - Kathleen and Lori reported meeting with a Rural Economic Development Manager serving Tooele County through the State of Utah. Discussion included potential economic development opportunities along SR-36 and Clinton Landing and infrastructure challenges involving water, wetlands, roads, sewer, and other development needs. They also discussed available economic development grants and the potential for community innovation centers or similar projects. Lori suggested considering economic development planning in advance of future grant opportunities because of the competitive application process.
- iv) Finance/Budget Committee - Ryan reported that an amended budget hearing was anticipated for October 7, 2026, after the prior fiscal year's books were closed. Proposed amendments would address necessary General Fund items and Capital Improvement Fund funding associated with the City's grant match. Councilmembers were asked to submit anticipated amendment items in advance of the hearing.
- v) Audit - Ryan reported that the annual audit process had begun. City Recorder Jamie Olson clarified that the initial meeting with the auditor was a routine staff kickoff meeting addressing policies, procedures, scheduling, and preliminary information. Additional information and interviews would be requested from staff, Councilmembers, committees, legal counsel, and other individuals as needed during the audit process.

B. Kirk Pearson (3:40:42 recording)

- i) Pearson expressed concern regarding the length of Council meetings and the impact extended meetings may have on public participation. He encouraged the Council to work toward concluding meetings at a more reasonable hour and stated that he would not remain in meetings beyond 10:00 PM.

C. Lori Chigbrow

- i) No updates

D. Kathleen VonHatten

- i) Kathleen discussed posting community events sponsored by recognized Lake Point organizations on the City's website calendar. City Recorder Olson clarified that community organizations may submit their events to the City for posting.

- ii) Kathleen announced that the proceeds from the City's raffle would be presented to White Heart Charity on September 21, 2026, at 7:00 PM near the mural and invited City representatives to attend.
- iii) Kathleen reported on a Lake Point Technical Committee presentation by the Deputy State Fire Marshal regarding emerging energy technologies and associated emergency-response considerations, including lithium battery facilities, nuclear energy, and geothermal energy. She noted particular concerns associated with lithium battery fires and the importance of appropriate regulations if such uses are considered within the City.
- E. Kirk Trimble
 - i) Trimble reiterated concerns regarding the length of Council meetings, noting that members of the public had remained for extended periods and, in some instances, left before reaching the second public comment period. The Council discussed options for improving meeting efficiency and public accessibility, including postponing non-time-sensitive matters, scheduling additional meetings when necessary, and potentially holding periodic work sessions to address priorities and allow more focused discussion.
- F. Ryan Zumwalt
 - i) Ryan reported receiving inquiries regarding potential City regulations for data centers and encouraged proactive consideration of appropriate land-use regulations. The Council discussed the City's existing land-use provisions and noted that uses not identified as permitted are currently prohibited. Data centers are not listed as an allowed use in any Lake Point zone.

9. Public Comment (3:53:05 recording)

- A. Motion - Kathleen to open public comment. Lori 2nd
 - i) Motion passed unanimously
- B. Jonathan Garrard
 - i) Jonathan Garrard expressed concern regarding whether the City, since incorporation, is maintaining a smaller and more accountable form of government reflective of Lake Point's principles or gradually creating additional governmental structures similar to those the community sought greater independence from through incorporation. He discussed several actions taken during the meeting as examples of his broader concern.
 - ii) Garrard expressed concern regarding amendments made to the Procurement Ordinance during Council deliberation and whether the public has an adequate opportunity to review and comment on the final language actually being adopted. He encouraged the Council to consider making final language available before adoption when material changes are made during a meeting, particularly for policies governing the expenditure of public funds.
 - iii) Garrard supported the Council's decision to use an RFP rather than an RFQ for City planning services but encouraged the completed RFP to return to the Council for public review before issuance to ensure it accurately reflects the Council's direction.
 - iv) Garrard distinguished between procuring professional planning assistance for a specific identified project or technical need and establishing an ongoing on-call planning function. He encouraged the City to first identify a particular planning problem or need and then procure the professional assistance necessary to address it. He further stated that professional planning expertise should support, rather than establish, City policy and should remain consistent with Lake Point's adopted principles concerning limited government, property rights, free enterprise, rural living, transparency, and community involvement.
 - v) Garrard disagreed with extending the Waste Department and Manager position and questioned what governmental responsibilities the position performs that could not otherwise be performed through the Council and existing City staff. He expressed concern that extending a temporary position could result in an additional permanent layer of government without first demonstrating that the additional structure is necessary.
 - vi) Garrard also expressed concern regarding elected officials having routine access to individual residents' waste accounts, billing, payment, collection, and other account-level information through an operational management role. He stated that Council oversight of the

waste program does not require unrestricted access to individual account information and that maintaining separation between legislative oversight and administrative access protects residents, employees, and Councilmembers.

- vii) Garrard concluded by asking the Council to consider the cumulative direction of its decisions regarding additional positions, consultants, departments, procedures, and administrative resources. He encouraged the Council to measure the City's success by the amount of government necessary to protect residents' rights, provide necessary services, and preserve the rural community envisioned through incorporation and the City's General Plan. He emphasized that limited government does not mean ineffective government, but that additional governmental positions, departments, consultants, authority, or administrative layers should respond to a clearly identified need.

C. Chaelea Allred

- i) Chaelea Allred, speaking on behalf of Lake Point Arts, announced a community breakfast scheduled for September 12, 2026, in the field with the flags. She reported that an Honor Run was planned for that morning, followed by breakfast at 9:00 AM, along with a flag-raising ceremony and musical performances. Allred encouraged Lake Point residents to attend and noted the significance of the event occurring during the 25th anniversary year of September 11, 2001.

D. Motion - Kathleen to close public comment. Pearson 2nd

- i) Motion passed unanimously

10. Closed Session- if needed for purposes listed under Utah Code 52-4-205

- A. No closed session was held

11. Adjournment- 10:05 pm

Note- The minutes may include a summary of what was discussed and are not intended to be verbatim.

All public meetings have an audio recording, time stamps are included in the minutes to help the public find where certain topics were discussed. Please see the audio recording of this meeting for a full audio record of the meeting.

Note- Additional information concerning meetings including but not limited to agendas, minutes, recordings, written/taped public comment, other distributed materials, ordinances, resolutions, public notices, and how to sign up for notifications on the Public Notification Website, can be found at <https://lakepoint.gov> under Departments-Recorder.

Note- Any "For the Record" statements included in these minutes represent the individual Council Members who made them. They do not reflect official findings or the position of the city unless adopted by majority vote.

Note - These minutes may have been prepared using AI tools (ChatGPT and Otter.ai); all facts have been reviewed and verified.

Note- This meeting may have included public comment that was written and given to the City Recorder for the record. To find the complete record please visit lakepoint.gov Departments-Recorder-Downloaded Forms- Meeting Minutes.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Council this 9th day of Sept, 2026

Kirk Pearson
Chair

ATTEST:

Jamie Olson
Jamie Olson, City Recorder