

RTP Non-Motorized Totals	
# of Applications	32
# of Applications Approved	15
Amount Requested	\$3,989,407.25
Amount Approved	\$942,872.53
Total Project Cost	\$3,019,997.56

RTP Motorized Totals	
# of Applications	12
# of Applications Approved	9
Amount Requested	\$1,279,621.70
Amount Awarded	\$842,834.78
Total Project Cost	\$1,697,232.01

Amount Approved	\$1,785,707.31
-----------------	-----------------------


Category	Non-Motorized	Motorized
Equipment	3	6
Trail Construction	1	0
Trail Work	5	3
Facilities	2	0
Signage	2	0
Education	3	0

EVALUATOR COMMITTEE BREAKDOWN

RTP Motorized Committee (57%) 8 Members



RTP Non-Motorized Committee (43%) 6 Members



TOP COMMITTEE FUNDING PRIORITIES

Increased Public Access (100%)



Trail Maintenance Projects (91%)



New Trail Development (73%)



Facility Support / Amenities (55%)



COMMITTEE PROCESS RATINGS

Appropriate Stakeholder Representation 91% YES



Digital Resources & Tracking Helpfulness 82% YES



Sufficient Time Provided for Review 82% YES



Application Questions Adequacy 64% YES



KEY EVALUATOR INSIGHTS & ACTIONS

- **Writing Quality Disparity:** Professional grant writers bias scoring over smaller volunteer groups.
- **Cycle Synchronization:** Strong demand to align the RTP and OHVR grant review timelines.
- **Partial Funding Structure:** Support introducing modular budgets or pre-defined "stretch goals".


Do you feel the RTP application questions and requested materials are adequate?

Yes (64%)



7 Votes

No (36%)



4 Votes

Recurring Mistakes & Evaluation Time Resources

Recurring Mistakes in Applications? — Yes (27%) / No (73%)



Sufficient Time & Resources Provided? — Yes (82%) / No (18%)



9 Yes / 2 No

Increased Access (100% / 11 Votes)




Trail Maintenance (91% / 10 Votes)




Trail Development (73% / 8 Votes)



Rural Assistance (45% / 5 Votes)




Trail Network Connections (45% / 5 Votes)



Facility Support / Restrooms / Kiosks (55% / 6 Votes)



Land Acquisition (27% / 3 Votes)



2026 RTP APPLICANT SURVEY

Executive Summary • Utah Division of Outdoor Recreation

8.12_{/10}

OVERALL EXPERIENCE

100%

PROGRAM LOYALTY


88%

STAFF VERY RESPONSIVE

79%

GRANT AWARD RATE
(for respondents)

APPLICANT BASE BREAKDOWN



EXPERIENCE & SATISFACTION SCORES



Trail Development (71% / 12 Votes)



Trail Maintenance (65% / 11 Votes)



Increased Access (59% / 10 Votes)



Network Connections (53% / 9 Votes)




Facility Support (47% / 8 Votes)



Are there any additional metrics or criteria that you believe should be used to identify funding/scoring priorities?

- Community need. A lot of applications were for tourist locations, but Utahns deserve access to their lands. The Healthy Places Index for Utah has a number of indexed data sources that could easily be integrated.
- It would be helpful to have more guidance on the types of projects to be prioritized. Projects that address backlogged maintenance for example should score higher than a new trail project.



Do you feel the RTP application questions and requested materials are adequate?

- No