

Balance Interests?

- flexibility
- growth with reaction to growth
- management plan
 - adaptive?
 - what is best for the land
- area to be preserved
- Nodes of economic development. Balance opportunity w/ responsibility.
- businesses have flexibility to be sustainable + resilient
- Sets Confident parameters goals that are good enough. Flexible parameters are key.
- New design. that combines recreation + Conservation.
 ↑ Balance ↓
- Gives stability in unstable times. Allows planning & expectations.
- Opportunity to show how recreation + Conservation work Side by Side. I.E. through adaptive trail mgmt. plans.

just like
with
teenagers

Top 3 reasons to Support

* Lindsay will be very happy

Progress/MOVE!

This ~~has~~ gone
on a long
time...

CW Specific Management Plan

Create stability

Security - Plan for future

Protects our home

Model Conservation next to recreation

↳ use for GSL

Other States/Land
Units

Use recreation to enforce conservation

- Learn from previous self-off attempt

develop those aligned voices -

How do we support CWNCR?

- Push Commissioner to get this done
- Community engagement - Solicit feedback
Pass it on
- Coalition building - Think in non-traditional
places/spaces
- Penning OP-EDs - RadioWest
- Identify & Cultivate State Gov allies
- Amplifying Will's campaign
- Learn from previous sell-off attempt,
develop those aligned voices - Hunters

Agree-
But who?

CHALLENGES

- Boundary conflict - Special use/interest
MTB, Climb,
- Flexibility with SKI Resorts
(expensive to expand)
- Fiscal note / approps are expensive. WSAs
Needs resources to make a mgmt plan. Bill
Ø address the fiscal need.
- Congressional Review Act - Management Plan
would be vulnerable. Can it be addressed in the bill
- Naysayers - resistance to change
- Gondola

3) Challenges

- getting ski resorts + SLCPU on the
same page with water allocations

- 1) provides predictability for users in water + land
- what would be the role of CWC should this pass?
 - will likely ~~disappear~~ not exist in current form
 - once passed, it cannot be undone
 - Does this congress have the willpower to pass this?
 - Bringing all the stakeholders together has created the balance + interconnectedness
 - What haven't we seen as a problem now that could be in the future
 - Need something to pass in D.C. but needs some give and takes in each category
 - Access doesn't change drastically?
 - specific to mountain biking
 - Trailhead facilities + ADA access could change
 - Why would people be opposed?
 - Predictability will be helpful as we face climate change

- 2) Top 3 reasons to support
- everyone gets something + loses something
 - if predictability is written in, it allows different users to be able to support
 - Want to see the Wasatch protected + preserved
 - forward-thinking risk management
 - good for ~~business~~ business
 - Good publicity

3) Challenges

- getting ski resorts + SLC PU on the same page with water allocations

Challenges cont.

- if there is a significant change to water, how will it change what flows to Great Salt Lake
 - major stakeholders backing out could be a challenge
 - educating the public is a challenge
 - The acronym!
 - Current Utah representatives
 - Mike Lee
 - If backcountry gets restricted, will lose people
- 4) How can Stakeholders support?

- Bringing local businesses in
- Non motorized adventurers
- See grander recreation opportunities that this bill creates
- Organizations to publicize the bill
- Play nicely

Q1

Requires consensus
among interest groups
which then leads to
advocacy.

Balances ~~private~~
and public interests

Q2

Protects roadless areas
and natural resources to a greater
extent.

Creates model for new
land mgmt designation that
is especially relevant to fragile
environments next to urban areas

Could be
a model
for other
places!

Q3

1. The political and environmental challenges in proposing new wilderness areas
2. Finding consensus
3. Escalates ~~the~~ and expands the restrictiveness of the forest Service lands' ~~manag~~ mgmt.

Q 4

1. To continue to influence the shape of the proposal and the rollout
2. Identify challenges to it among the groups we represent.

Yes!
Predict
opposing
arguments +
address!
3. Provide the perspectives of the groups we represent.