CITY OF
NORTH SALT LAKE
UTAH

10 East Center Street
North Salt Lake, UT 84054
801.335.8700
www.nslcity.gov

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING
NOTICE & AGENDA
SEPTEMBER 1, 2026

Notice is given that the City Council of the City of North Salt Lake will hold a regular meeting on the above noted date at City Hall, 10 East Center Street, North Salt Lake, Utah. A work session will be held at 6:00 pm followed by the regular session at 7:00 pm in the Council Chambers.

Meetings of the City Council may be conducted via electronic means pursuant to Utah Code Ann. §52-4-207 as amended. In such circumstances, contact will be established and maintained via electronic means and conducted in accordance with the City's Electronic Meetings Policy. The following items of business will be discussed; the order of business may change as time permits:

WORK SESSION – 6:00 p.m.

1. Presentation and Overview of License Plate Reading Technology in the City by Chief Black
2. Adjourn

REGULAR SESSION – 7:00 p.m.

1. Introduction by Mayor Brian Horrocks
2. Thought or Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance ~ Councilmember Smoot
3. Introduction and Swearing In of 2026-27 NSL Youth City Council Leadership
4. Presentation by Davis County Animal Care ~ Director Michelle Hicks
5. Presentation by Why I Love America ~ Taressa Earl
6. Citizen Comment – All citizen comment is welcome, but those wishing to speak should limit their comments to no more than three (3) minutes. Written comments may also be submitted to the City Recorder at wendyp@nslcity.gov.
7. Consent Agenda Items:
    a. Consideration of Resolution 2026-41R: A Resolution Amending the City's Comprehensive Fee Schedule Related to Eaglewood Golf Course and Event Center Fees Effective January 1, 2027
    b. Approval of City Council Minutes of August 18, 2026
8. Public Hearing and Consideration of Resolution 2026-40R: A Resolution Adopting Amendments to the 2026-2027 Fiscal Year Budgets
9. Financial Report for Fourth Quarter of Fiscal Year 2026

10. Review of the Proposed Town Center Urban Design Standards

11. Discussion of Action Items

12. Council Reports

13. City Attorney Report

14. Mayor's Report

15. City Manager Report

16. Adjourn

CLOSED SESSION

1. Possible closed session for the purpose of discussing the character professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual; to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation; to discuss the purchase, exchange, sale, or lease of real property; or to discuss the deployment of security personnel, devices, or systems. *Utah Code 52-4-205*

City Council meetings are open to the public. If you need special accommodation to participate in the meeting, please call (801) 335-8709 with at least 24 hours' notice. This meeting will be broadcasted live through the City's YouTube channel: https://www.youtube.com/@nslutah4909/streams

Notice of Posting:
I, the duly appointed Deputy City Recorder for the City of North Salt Lake, certify that copies of the forgoing agenda for the City Council meeting(s) were posted on the Utah Public Notice Website: https://www.utah.gov/pmn/, City's Website: https://www.nslcity.gov, and at City Hall: 10 East Center Street, North Salt Lake.

Date Posted: August 31, 2026

Wendy Page

Wendy Page, City Recorder

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE CORPORATE SEAL 1946 STATE OF UTAH

Summary Guide of City Council Agenda Items for
<u>September 1, 2026</u>

This document is provided as a way to briefly understand the most important content and purposes of the agenda items at the upcoming meeting. It is hoped that this summary guide will assist you as you study in preparation for this meeting.

<u>6:00 p.m. City Council Work Meeting</u>
Item 1: Presentation and overview of the City's use of license plat reading technology. This is a presentation by Craig Black, Police Chief, on the use of this technology, reasons why the City has it, what data is collected, how it is used and the overall purpose of the technology. No City Council action is requested.

<u>7:00 p.m. – Regular City Council Meeting</u>
Items 1-2: Introduction, Thought or Prayer and Pledge of Allegiance

Item 3: Introduction and Swearing in of YCC – No Council action required.

Item 4: Presentation and report by Michelle Hicks, Davis County Animal Care Director – No Council action required.

Item 5: Presentation by group Why I Love America – No Council action required.

Item 6: Citizen Comment

Item 7: Consent Agenda: As a reminder, these items may be approved in one City Council motion.

a. Resolution 2026-41R: A Resolution Amending the City's Comprehensive Fee Schedule Related to Eaglewood Golf Course and Event Center Fees Effective January 1, 2027. These fee changes were reviewed during the August 18, 2026 Council meeting – See attached memo for detailed fee proposals.
b. Approval of City Council minutes of August 18, 2026.

Item 8: Public hearing and consideration of Resolution 2026-40R: A resolution adopting amendments to the 2026-2027 Fiscal Year Budgets – Council action required. Several additions to actual and projected revenues are included and several increases in capital expenditures are proposed include a large addition from the previous FY26 Fiscal Year for street preservation activities which were not completed prior to June 30, 2026.

Item 9: Financial report for 4th Quarter of FY26 – No Council action required.

Item 10: Review of proposed Town Center Urban Design Standards – No Council action required. This item is an introduction to a large and complex project which the City has been working on with a grant from the Wasatch Front Regional Council. The Planning Commission has recommended the adoption of new urban design regulations for the Town Center area. Adoption of these provisions will be at a future Council meeting and this item is an initial overview only.

CITY OF
NORTH SALT LAKE
UTAH

10 East Center Street
North Salt Lake, UT 84054
801.335.8700
www.nslcity.gov

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ken Leetham, City Manager

DATE: September 1, 2026

SUBJECT: Work Session Item

The work session only has one item which is a presentation by the Chief of Police related to license plate reading technology that is currently in use by the City.

Recently, the City Council expressed concerns and raised questions about the City's use of license plate reading technology. We have also had many questions from citizens since this issue has gained national prominence in the past several weeks and months. The purpose of this item is to share with the Council and the public how the tech works, what data is collected, how and under what circumstances it is used and any other questions you may have about the technology.

This is an information item and no Council action is being requested.

CITY OF
NORTH SALT LAKE
UTAH

10 East Center Street
North Salt Lake, UT 84054
801.335.8700
www.nslcity.gov

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ken Leetham, City Manager

DATE: September 1, 2026

SUBJECT: Introduction and Swearing-In of Youth City Council Executive Committee for 2026-27

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the City Council welcome and recognize the 2026-27 Youth City Council (YCC) Executive Committee and participate in the swearing-in ceremony for the new Youth City Council leadership.

BACKGROUND

The City of North Salt Lake's Youth City Council provides local youth with an opportunity to learn about municipal government, develop leadership skills, participate in community service, and become actively involved in City events and activities.

At this meeting, Youth City Council Advisors Enos and Audrey Beebe will introduce the YCC Executive Committee for the 2026-27 term, including:

- Mayor: Caroline Golden
- City Manager: Lucy Ballard
- Recorder: Wes Ballard
- Activities Committee Chair: Grace Kirato
- Leadership Committee Chair: Zoram Beebe
- Service Committee Chair: Lily Nopper

Following the introduction, Mayor Brian Horrocks will administer the oath of office to the Youth City Council Executive Committee.

CITY OF
NORTH SALT LAKE
UTAH

10 East Center Street
North Salt Lake, UT 84054
801.335.8700
www.nslcity.gov

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ken Leetham, City Manager

DATE: September 1, 2026

SUBJECT: Presentation by Davis County Animal Care Director Michelle Hicks

---

As you know, our animal control services are provided by Davis County Animal Care. They provide services Countywide and the Director, Michelle Hicks, has requested time to report to our City Council about this important service.

Several years ago, this service was funded through interlocal agreements and payments based upon call volumes, responses and other factors. In 2023, this formula changed and Animal Care switched to a property tax formula to fund its operations. North Salt Lake and all other communities were required to reduce their tax rates by an equivalent amount so that taxpayers experienced no net change in their tax obligations related to animal control. We were previously paying approximately $66,000 as a City assessment that is now collected by property tax.

CITY OF
NORTH SALT LAKE
UTAH

10 East Center Street
North Salt Lake, UT 84054
801.335.8700
www.nslcity.gov

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Tyler Abegglen, Golf Course General Manager

DATE: August 25, 2026

SUBJECT: FY 27 Fee Schedule Changes

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the following adjustments to the City's fee schedule for the start of the Calendar Year 2027.

BACKGROUND

Eaglewood has seen strong and consistent play, with rounds and tee sheet utilization reflecting sustained demand from the community. This level of use, while a positive indicator of the course's popularity, also accelerates wear on infrastructure and equipment, reinforcing the need for reinvestment to maintain the quality of the experience golfers expect. Most notably, the course is in the process of replacing its aging gas-powered cart fleet with 90 new Club Car lithium-electric carts equipped with Visage GPS, a significant capital investment that will improve reliability, reduce long-term maintenance costs, and modernize the on-course experience. A fee adjustment is necessary to align revenue with the actual cost of doing business, sustain the level of course conditioning and service our golfers rely on, and support the debt service or budget allocation associated with the new cart fleet investment.

Proposed Rates for January 2027

  - Green Fee Base Rate - $23
  - Cart Fee Rate - $12
  - Spectator Cart Fee $30
- Full Shotgun
  - Monday = $10,500
  - Wednesday – Thursday = 12,000
  - Friday-Saturday = $13,000
  - No Tournament on Tuesdays
- Tee Time Groups
  - $85 Per Player – Does not Include the Event Center
    Tee Time Groups
- Event Center Rental
  - Increase NSL Resident Discount on Event Rental from 20% to 30%.

POSSIBLE MOTION

I move that the City Council approve Resolution 2026-41R: amending the City's Comprehensive Fee Schedule related to Section 9.1 Eaglewood Golf Course for certain green fees, cart fees, tournament fees, and event center rentals in the 2027 Calendar Year.

RESOLUTION 2026-41R

A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE CITY'S COMPREHENSIVE FEE SCHEDULE RELATED TO EAGLEWOOD GOLF FEES FOR THE 2027 CALENDAR YEAR

WHEREAS, the City of North Salt Lake has adopted a Comprehensive Fee Schedule establishing certain fees; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has the authority to set or amend fees by Resolution; and

WHEREAS, it is the responsibility of users of City services to pay for requested services; and,

WHEREAS, impact upon City services should be mitigated by those sources and users causing the impact.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of North Salt Lake, Utah as follows:

Section 1. AMENDED FEES APPROVED. The fees attached to this resolution shown as Exhibit A are hereby approved for the 2027 Calendar Year.

Section 2. EFFECTIVE DATE. This resolution shall take effect immediately and fees will go into effect at Eaglewood Golf Course and Event Center as fees during the 2027 Calendar Year.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the City of North Salt Lake, Utah, on this 16th day of June, 2026.

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
By:

____
BRIAN J. HORROCKS
Mayor

ATTEST:

____
WENDY PAGE
City Recorder

City Council Vote as Recorded:

Council Member Clayton	______
Council Member Jackson	______
Council Member Knowlton	______
Council Member Smoot	______
Council Member Van Langeveld	______

Section 9: Parks & Recreation Fees

<table>
<tr><th colspan="5">9.1 Eaglewood Golf Course
(All fees exclude Utah Sales Tax)*</th></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="8">1. Green Fees</td><td></td><td colspan="2">9-Hole/with Cart</td><td>18-Hole/with Cart</td></tr>
<tr><td>Monday thru Sunday</td><td colspan="2">**$<s>21.00</s>23.00/$<s>31.00</s>35.00</td><td>**$<s>42.00</s>46.00/$<s>62.00</s>70.00</td></tr>
<tr><td>Youth on Course (M-W only)</td><td colspan="2">$5.00/$15.00</td><td><s>$10.00/$30.00</s></td></tr>
<tr><td>Junior (17 and under)
(M-W only)</td><td colspan="2">$15.00/$25.00</td><td><s>$30.00/$50.00</s></td></tr>
<tr><td>Women’s & Men’s Association
(Wednesday only)</td><td colspan="2">$<s>25.00</s>30.00
includes cart</td><td>$<s>50.00</s>60.00
includes cart</td></tr>
<tr><td>Punch Card
(excluding holidays)</td><td colspan="2">$200.00</td><td>10-9 Hole Rounds</td></tr>
<tr><td>Corporate
Monday-Thursday Anytime
Friday-Sunday after 2:00 p.m.</td><td colspan="2">$30.00
includes cart</td><td>$60.00
includes cart</td></tr>
<tr><td>Eaglewood/PGA Comp
Monday-Thursday Anytime
Friday-Sunday after 2:00 p.m.
(No tee times more than 1 day in advance unless with paying customer)</td><td colspan="3">No Charge</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="4">2. Cart Fees</td><td></td><td colspan="2">9-Hole</td><td>18-Hole</td></tr>
<tr><td>Single Rider</td><td colspan="2">$<s>10.00</s>12.00</td><td>$<s>20.00</s>24.00</td></tr>
<tr><td>Private Cart</td><td colspan="2">$<s>10.00</s>12.00</td><td>$<s>20.00</s>24.00</td></tr>
<tr><td>Spectator Fee</td><td colspan="3">$<s>20.00</s>30.00</td></tr>
<tr><td>3. Driving Range</td><td>Range Balls</td><td colspan="3">Small Bucket - $7
Medium Bucket - $13
Large Bucket - $18</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="2">4. Simulator Fees</td><td>Hourly</td><td colspan="3">Memberships</td></tr>
<tr><td>$60/2 hours</td><td>$700.00 /
3 months</td><td>$1,200.00 /
6 months</td><td>$2,000.00 /
12 months</td></tr>
<tr><td>5. Simulator League
(8 events from Nov 1st – Feb 28th)</td><td>$40.00/entry per player without membership (2 hours)</td><td colspan="3">$20.00 entry per player with membership</td></tr>
</table>

****All fees, with the exception of the Event Center Fees, will be charged with tax excluded from the rate fee. All golf course items will have an added 7.25% tax rate added at checkout.***

***** This designates the standard or “rack rate”. The City Council authorizes the General Manager to adjust rates based on occupancy rates. Peak rates and times will be adjusted based on Dynamic Pricing.***

9.1 Eaglewood Golf Course (Continued)

(All fees exclude Utah Sales Tax)*

6. Corporate Tournaments		**Full Shotgun – ~~80~~ 88 or More Players**
	~~Tuesday~~ Monday and Wednesday	$~~8,500.00~~10,500
	Thursday and Friday	$~~10,000.00~~12,000
	Saturday	$~~12,000.00~~13,000
	Tee Time Groups 24-88 Players	
	Doesn't Include Event Center	$85.00 Per Person
7. Corporate Membership**	**Annual Fee**	$2,500.00
8. Event Center Rental and Catering	**Hourly Rate** (additional hours)	$250.00
	3 Hour Rental***	$1,500.00
	6 Hour Rental – Monday-Thursday	$1,600.00
	6 Hour Rental – Friday-Sunday	$2,800.00
	12 Hour Rental – Monday-Thursday	$2,400.00
	12 Hour Rental – Friday-Sunday	$3,600.00
	North Salt Lake Residents	~~20~~30% Discount
	Ceremony Fee	$300.00
	In-House Catering Deposit	50% of estimated service
	Rental Deposit	25% of Rental
	Alcohol Deposit	$500.00 (not refundable)
	Bartending Service	$250.00/hour

Adjustment of Fees: The Golf Director shall have the authority to reduce the posted fees at Eaglewood if deemed necessary (Adjustments to ~~Banquet~~ Event Center Facility Rental Fees shall be considered on a case-by-case basis).

All fees, with the exception of the Event Center Fees, will be charged with tax excluded from the rate fee. All golf course items will have an added 7.25% tax rate added at checkout.

***Corporate membership may be pro-rated by Golf Director between March 1 and November 30*

******The 3-hour Rental for the Event Center is only valid Monday-Thursday during off peak months (November-February). No decorations or setup time.***
No Outside Catering will be allowed at any Event Center Events or Rentals

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-WORK SESSION
CITY HALL-10 EAST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE
AUGUST 18, 2026

DRAFT

Mayor Horrocks welcomed those present at 6:10 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks
Councilmember Tammy Clayton
Councilmember Suzette Jackson
Councilmember Ted Knowlton
Councilmember Heidi Smoot
Councilmember Alisa Van Langeveld

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; David Frandsen, Assistant City Manager; Jon Rueckert, Public Works Director; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Craig Black, Police Chief; Karyn Baxter, City Engineer; Tyler Abegglen, Golf Course Director; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Wendy Page, City Recorder; Ali Avery, Long Range Planner.

Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director, was not present at this meeting.

OTHERS PRESENT: Dee Lalliss, Peter Wirthlin, Conrad Jacobson, Caroyln Jacobson, Karen Mills, Marilyn Thorne, Hunter Ensign, residents; Russ Fox, Rocky Mountain Power; Mark Anderson, Zions Public Finance; Brandon Johnson, Farnsworth Johnson; Brent Crowther, Kimley-Horn.

1. PRESENTATION AND CONSIDERATION OF ACCEPTING THE RESULTS OF THE SOUTH DAVIS GREENWAY FEASIBILITY STUDY

Ali Avery, Long Range Planner, introduced Brent Crowther with Kimley-Horn who had been working on the South Davis Greenway Feasibility study for the past 11 months. She noted that Mr. Crowther was also a member of the City's Trails and Active Transportation Committee. She continued that this study was done in collaboration with Bountiful, Centerville, Farmington, and Wasatch Front Regional Council (WFRC) as well as other project partners including the Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) and the Utah Transit Authority (UTA). She explained that the study was performed under the guidance of a project management team and a steering committee which was comprised of City staff, members of the City Council and Planning Commission, and the Trails and Active Transportation Committee.

Ms. Avery shared that the consultants worked to find the best alignment for the South Davis Greenway in South Davis County. She said this included public outreach through a project website, flyers delivered door to door, signs and sidewalk decals, a project display at the South Davis Recreation Center, and a demonstration at Bountiful City Park. She noted that the goal of the feasibility study was to find the alignment and basic cross section and did not include any financial contribution at this time. She reviewed next steps including City Council acceptance of the study results, funding for the engineered design, and then construction of the greenway.

Ali Avery added that project funding would be sought through the Utah Trail Network.

Brent Crowther, Kimley-Horn, provided a brief overview of the purpose of the South Davis Greenway Feasibility Study as a collaborative effort between the four South Davis cities to identify the alignment, feasibility, and cost of a new trail. He continued that the Greenway would fill a critical gap for a continuous and separated trail on the east side of I-15 similar to the Legacy Trail on the west side. He confirmed that the study established a route and basic concept design as well as positioned the Greenway to be funded by the Utah Trail Network. He clarified that the Greenway was a dedicated and paved shared use path designed to support walking, biking, and rolling for recreational and commuting purposes.

Mr. Crowther continued that the Greenway would be physically separated from motor vehicle traffic for a safe and low stress environment for users of all ages and abilities. He said the Greenway would have enhanced landscaping, signage, and amenities that reflected the local character of each community. He shared examples of greenways in Provo and Salt Lake cities and noted how the landscaping could be phased as funding became available. He then presented the proposed alignment for the South Davis Greenway starting on Eagleridge Drive in the City and continuing through Farmington.

Brent Crowther presented an example of the cross section which included a 12 foot wide asphalt shared use path with a 6 foot buffer. He also shared a rendering of what the path could look like along Orchard Drive. He added that no new right of way would be required and the same number of traffic lanes would be maintained. He reviewed the alignment in the City which would begin at Eagleridge Drive/US-89 and continue along the west side of Orchard Drive to Center Street where the Greenway would then move to the east side of Orchard Drive. Mr. Crowther spoke on repurposing the existing bicycle lane, potential retaining walls and railing, pocket park on the north side of Center Street at the community garden, and some improvements to curb and gutter.

Councilmember Van Langeveld commented that she was excited about this project. She asked why the Greenway would transition to the east side of Orchard Drive instead of continuing along the west side. Brent Crowther replied that the steering committee recommended the transition due to existing right of way, impacts to existing utilities and driveways, topography, etc.

Brent Crowther spoke on the public feedback that had been received and noted that the majority of the comments had been positive. He noted some changes to the alignment along Chase Lane in Centerville after public input. He continued that the project would be completed in phases:

Phase 0-Segment D: Overlap with the I-15 Farmington to Salt Lake City reconstruction project
Phase 1-Segment A: US-89/Eagleridge Drive to 2600 South
Segment E: Farmington 200 W/I-15 Frontage Road to State Street
Phase 2-Segment C: 400 N/South Davis Recreation Center to Chase Lane/I-15
Phase 3-Segment B: 2600 S to 400 N/South Davis Recreation Center

Councilmember Jackson asked about the anticipated timeline between phases. Brent Crowther replied that it would be dependent on funding and could potentially be completed in one year. He continued that this project would hopefully be funded through the Utah Trail Network who awarded $50 million in project funding each spring. He noted that it may take several years to complete the phases depending on funding through UDOT and the Utah Trail Network.

Councilmember Knowlton added that he anticipated the Utah Trail Network would look favorably on this project as the proposed Greenway would connect areas that were already anticipated to be connected and would also serve the State's biggest commuting destination in Salt Lake City.

Brent Crowther shared the anticipated cost in 2026 by phase and segment cost by jurisdiction:

- Phase 0: total construction cost: $13,110,000 ($9,182,775 NSL)
- Phase 1: total construction cost: $1,314,000 ($0 NSL)
- Phase 2: total construction cost: $15,296,000 ($0 NSL)
- Phase 3: total construction cost: $13,072,000 ($0 NSL)
- Total project construction cost: $42,792,000.00 ($9,182,775 NSL)

He added the projected costs per jurisdiction were for grant applications and accounting purposes. He clarified that the City would not need to provide $10 million as it was anticipated that funding would come from the Utah Trail Network or other grant sources. He noted the urban complexities of this project with existing curb and gutter, utilities, asphalt, grade differentials, etc.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked what would occur at the end of the trail south of North Salt Lake to Victory Road. Brent Crowther replied that the trail would go south of Victory Road on 200 West. He noted that the trail would not follow Victory Road but would cross and continue south until it connected with 200 West.

Councilmember Smoot asked where Utah Trail Network obtained the $50 million in funding per year for projects. Brent Crowther replied that it was a legislative allocation per State law. He continued that a portion of State funding for transportation was redirected into a trail specific program. He noted several other potential funding sources including UDOT TTIF, UDOT TIF-Active Transportation, Davis County Prop 1 3rd Quarter, Davis County Prop 1 4th Quarter, and federal grants.

Councilmember Clayton asked about the Greenway Trail maintenance including snow removal. Brent Crowther replied that per UDOT each trail segment would be maintained by the local agency with a commitment for snow removal to allow for year-round trail use. He added that this also included landscaping by the local agencies as well.

Councilmember Jackson clarified that this meant City staff would be responsible for snow removal and landscaping maintenance. She also asked if this trail would be on top of or superseding individual sidewalk. Brent Crowther responded that this proposed Greenway Trail would replace the existing sidewalk on the west side of Orchard Drive and then north of Center Street on the east side of Orchard Drive.

Councilmember Jackson commented that typically residents were responsible for sidewalk and park strip maintenance in front of their properties. She clarified that the maintenance of the park strip and sidewalk as part of this Greenway would now be the City’s responsibility. Brent Crowther said there would need to be municipal decision making and a higher level of City support for maintenance as it would now be a 12 foot shared path rather than a four foot sidewalk.

Councilmember Knowlton noted that maintenance was a consideration. He mentioned the currently illegal parking occurring in the existing bike lanes would no longer occur and what impacts this may have on parking for the other side of the street. Brent Crowther explained that parking would be impacted along the Greenway as the existing bike lane, which was currently used for parking, would be removed.

Karyn Baxter explained that the road would be narrowed along the Greenway as the back of the sidewalk would become the back of the trail to accommodate a 12 foot wide trail plus a park strip and curb/gutter. She continued that to fit the trail within the existing right of way that both shoulder areas (bike lanes) would be removed and there would no longer be space to park on either side of the road once the Greenway was complete. She noted that to accommodate street parking the right of way would have to be expanded along Orchard Drive.

Councilmember Van Langeveld spoke on an adjacent project to extend a greenway west out to Legacy along Center Street and 1100 North. She asked what this option would look like as a subsequent project for the City. Ali Avery commented that the City's draft General Plan would contain a concept for greenway connectors throughout the City.

Ali Avery continued that the proposed greenway concept would include a circuitous route through, in, and out of the City. She noted potential pathway connections to the Greenway including Eagleridge/Bountiful Boulevard, Legacy Trail/Jordan River Trail, and potentially 1100 North in addition to the Center Street trail. She mentioned the option for a pedestrian cyclist bridge in the industrial park area off Main Street to cross the railroad tracks.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked about a timeframe for greenway trails connecting to the west side of the City. Ali Avery replied that the General Plan timeframe was for the next 20 years but said there was not a dedicated timeline for the greenway trails. She said the General Plan did prioritize the different routes with Center Street as number one.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if the City would have to wait for approval of the General Plan draft before moving forward with additional greenway projects. She noted she would like to fast track the greenway project out west to occur with the proposed Orchard Drive Greenway project. Ali Avery said the best-case scenario for funding the City's section of the South Davis Greenway through the Utah Trails Network would include programming in January followed by funding of engineering and construction in the following year.

Brent Crowther explained how the project would have to move through the State transportation improvement program in August and would then be programmed for the following year.

Ali Avery continued that were the South Davis Greenway to be programmed for next year with engineering to begin next August, staff planned to have the draft General Plan adopted by then so she did not see conflicting timelines.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if the additional greenways in the draft General Plan would also be 12 feet like the South Davis Greenway. Ali Avery replied that the current iteration of the draft did not currently have that level of detail but anticipated the additional trails would be 10 to 12 feet in width.

Mayor Horrocks asked what would happen if one of the cities opted out of their section of the South Davis Greenway. Brent Crowther replied that this was considered and part of the phasing strategy. He said if one of the four cities opted out at this time they would work on overcoming any barriers including perceptions or funding to finish that gap. He noted that any gap would be detrimental to the overall project but spoke on how a hypothetical gap in Bountiful would not affect localized users in the City.

Ali Avery added that they were aware of this concern which was why the project was being done in collaboration with the four cities including applying jointly for funding. She said all four cities were on board with members from City Council, Planning Commission, and staff from each city on the steering committee. She noted that with the acceptance of the study tonight staff would draft a letter to be signed by the Mayor that stated the City Council had accepted and supported this project. She said similar letters would be obtained from each city to be included to the report to be delivered to UDOT/Utah Trail Network.

Mayor Horrocks said this first step tonight was a commitment even though the City did not know what the soft costs, maintenance, or impact (fiscal) may be to the City. He spoke on the hope that the funding from the Utah Trails Network would be awarded for the project but he noted that this was a step in the dark. Ali Avery replied that she personally felt it was probable the project would receive the Utah Trails Network funding. She said they had been in contact with the individual who administers the funding from the beginning. She spoke on how this type of project was typically what the grantors were looking for where different jurisdictions worked collaboratively, provided connectivity, and filled critical gaps.

Ali Avery continued that as the project was at the feasibility study level that they did not have an engineered study or the fiscal impact. She said the acceptance of the feasibility study results was not a commitment to complete the project but just continuation to the next step in the process.

Mayor Horrocks commented that worse case scenario if funding became a real issue then the City could delay their portion of the project. Ali Avery replied affirmatively.

Councilmember Jackson spoke in support of the project including increasing safety with a consistent trail network. She said this would improve quality of life and safety for residents.

Councilmember Jackson moved that the City Council accept the results of the South Davis Greenway Feasibility Study. Councilmember Knowlton seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

2. REVIEW REVISED PLANS FOR CITY OWNED PROPERTY SOUTH OF CITY HALL BETWEEN MAIN STREET AND US89

Ken Leetham commented that this project had been discussed several times in Council meetings. He continued that the previously reviewed plans were fairly extensive and staff had been tasked to provide a plan that improved the site but at a less expensive cost than what was proposed on July 21st. He then reviewed two plans provided by G Brown Design with the first plan showing a simplified design with grading from east to west which would be similar to the grade of the adjacent City Hall property. He said that the other advantage of this plan was that it would provide visibility into the property and would be less expensive without the need for a retaining system along Main Street.

Mr. Leethan shared the second concept plan which was similar but did not include grading of the property but would include a retaining wall and the same landscaping as provided in concept 1. He reviewed estimated costs for concepts 1 and 2. He said the main difference was the expense of a retaining wall. He mentioned that some additional design work would be necessary to take the plan from a concept level to construction.

Ken Leetham shared that this item had been placed on the agenda as a bid award to JLR as the project was below the $250,000 that the Council had authorized for approval without a formal bidding process. He presented the City's purchasing code for review to show the exemptions to the competitive bidding process and noted this bid could be awarded to the contractor, JLR, for up to $250,000. He added that bidding projects was expensive and required a lot of staff oversight and additional costs. For that reason, the City adopted an exception for smaller projects under $250,000. He said this award would include consulting fees for G Brown Design as well as the construction costs for JLR.

Mayor Horrocks mentioned that the City owned Main Street and UDOT controlled Highway 89. He asked about performing the work from Main Street to avoid the $7,500 permit fee for UDOT. Karyn Baxter replied that the City would have to obtain a permit with UDOT as the right of way extended a significant distance into the proposed parcel as well as any type of shoulder closure. She confirmed that if the loading was done from the downhill side then the City would not need a permit from UDOT but she was unsure that this could be done with the existing slope. She said staff would try to accomplish this without needing the UDOT permit.

Councilmember Knowlton asked if concept plan 1 showed landscaping comprised of predominantly native grasses. He asked about the user difference between concepts 1 and 2. Ken Leetham replied affirmatively. He explained that the difference between the plans was that concept 2 contained a retaining wall and would leave the property at the existing grade and noted there was a 12 foot grade difference between the sidewalk on Main Street and the surface level of the property.

Mayor Horrocks asked if either design could incorporate the train element. Ken Leetham said both plans allowed for future improvements including the potential for a train element. He confirmed that the center area of the park showed greatly reduced treatment compared to previously presented plans.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if additional grasses would be added to the middle of the park area. Karyn Baxter said the intent would be to add a native seed wildflower mix to the area.

Councilmember Van Langeveld was in favor of the native wildflower mix and suggested regular seeding of wildflower mixes in several spaces throughout the City.

Councilmember Van Langeveld mentioned that wood mulch and rock were included in the cost estimate and asked where they would be located. She noted that as this was the same contractor that was used to redo the roundabout that the glass rocks there were donated for that project. Ken Leetham confirmed the location of the wood mulch and rock on the two ends of the property.

Councilmember Jackson clarified that there was a company located in the industrial area of the City who offered approximately $50,000 worth of glass for free to the City for the Eagleridge Drive roundabout project. She noted that the contractor did not have anything to do with the glass rocks and said the company provided the glass rocks for free.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if the blue glass rocks should remain in the Eagleridge Drive roundabout. She spoke favorably on the design and said it felt like a river feel and asked if they could be replaced with wood mulch.

Mayor Horrocks said that he had not heard any negative comments. Councilmember Smoot commented that in the beginning the blue was shocking but she had since grown to like it. She continued that there were several negative comments in the beginning but the majority of the comments were in favor of the glass rocks. She was not in favor of spending time or money to replace the rocks if people liked the design or had no opinion.

Councilmember Jackson spoke on recognizing what the City would be projecting if the rocks, that had been a $50,000 gift, were replaced. She asked if the City would be willing to pay the company $50,000 if their donation was removed and they would no longer receive the recognition benefit. She suggested giving time for the shrubs and trees to grow as it would change the overall look of the roundabout.

Councilmember Knowlton was not in favor of the blue rock but felt that waiting a season for vegetation to grow was prudent.

Councilmember Smoot commented that if the blue rock was removed for some reason that she did not feel the City would need to pay the company $50,000. She commented that it was their choice to gift the rock to the City with no strings attached.

Councilmember Clayton said that she had received a comment from a resident that they had expected an eagle as the roundabout was located on Eagleridge Drive. She noted that she liked the blue rocks and was in favor of seeing what the plants looked like in a year.

Councilmember Knowlton added that it appeared that some of the grasses may be struggling. Ken Leetham replied that staff would follow up with the contractor on the landscaping at the roundabout.

Councilmember Jackson noted that the contractor shared that they would hydroseed and replace any trees and shrubbery as necessary in the fall and would come back again next fall as part of the agreement.

3. ADJOURN

Mayor Horrocks adjourned the meeting at 7:11 p.m. to begin the regular session.

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING-REGULAR SESSION
CITY HALL-10 EAST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE
AUGUST 18, 2026

DRAFT

Mayor Horrocks welcomed those present at 7:21 p.m. Ted Knowlton provided a thought and led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PRESENT: Mayor Brian Horrocks
Councilmember Tammy Clayton
Councilmember Suzette Jackson
Councilmember Ted Knowlton
Councilmember Heidi Smoot
Councilmember Alisa Van Langeveld

STAFF PRESENT: Ken Leetham, City Manager; David Frandsen, Assistant City Manager; Jon Rueckert, Public Works Director; Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director; Craig Black, Police Chief; Karyn Baxter, City Engineer; Tyler Abegglen, Golf Course Director; Todd Godfrey, City Attorney; Wendy Page, City Recorder.

Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director, was not present at this meeting.

OTHERS PRESENT: Dee Lalliss, Peter Wirthlin, Conrad Jacobson, Caroyln Jacobson, Karen Mills, Marilyn Thorne, Hunter Ensign, residents; Russ Fox, Rocky Mountain Power.

1. CITIZEN COMMENT

Dee Lalliss, resident, thanked Mayor Horrocks, Chief Black, and Christine Seamons with the Health and Wellness Committee for their kind actions related to his wife when she was unwell during the Night Out Against Crime event.

Hunter Ensign, resident, spoke on positive interactions with the Council and Chief Black. He shared a concern related to the City's use of the Flock Safety automated license plate readers (ALPRs). He said these cameras were placed around the City and photographed every passing vehicle logging the plate and other details which was then shared into a searchable network. He continued that the City paid $51,000 for a two year contract with Flock Safety. Mr. Ensign mentioned that while Chief Black monitored the usage of the cameras in the City that he had four concerns with mass surveillance including capturing data on everyone (not just criminals) and creating a detailed location history, data sharing with outside access including State and Federal agencies, potential for misuse nationally, and the cost versus benefit. He asked the City Council to consider whether the public safety benefit outweighed the privacy cost and ongoing expense of the contract.

Mayor Horrocks commented that the City Council was contemplating a future discussion on the Flock cameras and said it would be publicly noticed.

2. CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

   a. APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2026-36R: A RESOLUTION APPROVING A FRANCHISE AGREEMENT (2026-31A) WITH EMERY TELECOM

Mayor Horrocks asked if it was known where this company planned to work within the City. Karyn Baxter replied that this particular telecommunications company provided several different services included residential phone, television, and internet. She added that the work the company had applied for in the City was highspeed internet for large businesses which meant limited areas and locations.

Councilmember Van Langeveld clarified that the likely installation would be localized to the industrial area. She also asked if the franchise agreement had stipulations about above ground utility boxes. Karyn Baxter replied that this was common and gave the example of a permit for an elementary school in Foxboro. She said the agreement did address the utility boxes and said fiber could be installed completely underground while older cable had metallic parts that could not be installed underground. She noted the caveat that all utilities must be underground when possible or tied to a junction location for a utility box which was unlikely to be in a residential area.

A resolution for a franchise agreement with Emery Telecom was approved.

   b. APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2026-37R: A RESOLUTION APPROVING A REAL ESTATE PURCHASE AGREEMENT (2026-28A) FOR A TRAIL EASEMENT LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 55 WEST CENTER STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE

A resolution for a real estate purchase agreement for a trail easement located at approximately 55 West Center Street was approved.

c. APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2026-38R: A RESOLUTION APPROVING A REAL ESTATE PURCHASE AGREEMENT (2026-29A) FOR A TRAIL EASEMENT LOCATED AT APPROXIMATELY 110 SOUTH MAIN STREET, NORTH SALT LAKE

A resolution for a real estate purchase agreement for a trail easement located at approximately 110 South Main Street was approved.

d. APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2026-39R: A RESOLUTION APPROVING A FRANCHISE AGREEMENT AND GENERAL UTILITY EASEMENT (2026-32A) WITH ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER

A resolution for a franchise agreement and general utility easement with Rocky Mountain Power was approved.

e. BID AWARD TO JLR AND SONS, INC. FOR IMPROVEMENTS ON CITY OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED SOUTH OF CITY HALL BETWEEN MAIN STREET AND US-89 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED $250,000

Mayor Horrocks mentioned that there were two options and how to clarify this to staff during a motion. He was in favor of Concept 1. Councilmember Jackson was in agreement.

A bid award to JLR and Sons, Inc. for improvements to City property between Main Street and US-89 was approved for the Concept 1 option.

f. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

The City Council minutes of August 4, 2026 were reviewed and approved as written.

Councilmember Smoot moved that the City Council approve the consent agenda as presented with option 1 for the bid award to JLR and Sons, Inc. Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

3. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 2026-35R: A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF SALES TAX REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS

Ken Leetham introduced Mark Anderson with Zions Public Finance. He noted the discussion at the prior City Council meeting related to refunding the Hatch Park bonds. He mentioned that Mr. Anderson had been tasked with compiling a parameters resolution to allow the City to move forward with refunding. He said that the market was in flux and recommended the City refund these bonds as it would save significant funds annually. Mr. Leetham noted that the Council could direct staff to check in again if the market went below a certain number. He explained that City would have to expend around $30,000 to have a bond rating established and that the bond rating was only good for a 90 day period.

Mark Anderson added that the minimum threshold was 3% savings to refund the bond. He said this was the final authorization. He noted the parameters resolution was prepared by Brandon Johnson who was bond counsel for the City. He said the resolution identified the maximum borrowing amount of $15 million, the maximum term of 21 years, and the maximum interest rate of 5.5%. He anticipated 5% coupons with premium with a maximum discount of 2%.

Mr. Anderson continued that the resolution also identified the Mayor, City Manager, and Finance Director as designated officers that would ultimately make the final decision on whether the terms of refunding were acceptable and when to proceed. He clarified that this item would not return to the Council and did not require a public hearing as the bonds had already gone through the process and were now being refunded for the sake of generating savings. He mentioned that based on yesterday’s interest rates the annual net average savings would be $79,000.

Mark Anderson said the next step was to finalize the preliminary official statement that would be reviewed by Zions and City staff to ensure an accurate representation of the City’s financial abilities and economic conditions. He continued that this would also include a bond rating with S&P, followed by finalizing the final statement, and publishing notice for interested underwriters to purchase or compete for the bonds. He concluded that after the bonds were sold then Zions would finalize the transaction and all appropriate documents would be prepared and signed.

Councilmember Jackson asked about the timeline after S&P provided their decision. Mark Anderson replied that it would take three or four days to finalize the official statement once the rating was obtained.

Mark Anderson said the numbers would then be refreshed based on maturity amounts and then posted on the market for 10-14 days. He added that it would take two weeks between now and when S&P provided their decision, followed by seeking a bond rating in September, and an additional two weeks for the rating. He continued that the notice would be posted at the end of September with a closing around October 22nd. He shared that this was aggressive and the Council could abandon this schedule if necessary.

Councilmember Jackson asked if the 30 day timeline was from tonight or when S&P provided their decision. Mark Anderson replied that the rating from the agency was good for three months after the ratings were published.

Councilmember Jackson requested that once the rating was received if the Council could be advised of the rating, the current bond rates, and a seven day history of rates. Ken Leetham replied that staff could provide this information to the Council.

Ken Leetham reminded the Council that this meant a trade in the ability to call the bonds and refund in the future. He said now was the right time to make that trade and would occur in any refunding. He did not feel like the market would significantly improve in the near future and this would allow the City to trade the current bonds, which were more flexible, to a refunding that would save approximately $70,000 per year on a bond payment.

Councilmember Knowlton moved that the City Council approve Resolution 2026-35R: a resolution authorizing the issuance of sales tax revenue refunding bonds. Councilmember Clayton seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

4. CONSIDERATION OF GOLF CART EQUIPMENT PURCHASE FOR EAGLEWOOD GOLF COURSE

Tyler Abegglen reported that this fiscal year budget included the replacement of the current golf cart fleet. He reviewed the golf cart purchase proposal including golf carts, GPS units, and electrical infrastructure at the clubhouse to accommodate electric golf carts. He spoke on the benefits and savings of electric lithium golf carts including a nicer/quieter ride, more features, lighter, lower operating costs, and easier to maintain. He said the current golf carts were four and a half years old with over 400,000 nine hole rounds versus the prior carts which were five and a half years old with 300,000 nine hole rounds.

Councilmember Knowlton asked about the lifespan of a golf cart. Tyler Abegglen replied that the typical lifespan was five years but said due to use at the golf course that a four year life cycle was more feasible. He added that there was always the option to keep carts for five years if there were fewer rounds and the lithium carts held up better.

Tyler Abegglen spoke on current issues and customer complaints related to the gas powered golf carts including power to go up the hills, braking, and overall condition. He anticipated the fleet purchase would be in January or February 2027.

Councilmember Jackson spoke on switching from gas powered to lithium carts and any potential issues for maintenance staff. She also asked about reviews from golf courses that had used lithium for at least five years. Tyler Abegglen replied that the lithium carts had a four year warranty on the battery so the manufacturer/distributor would handle all repairs. He added that lithium had almost zero maintenance which would result in cost savings for parts (oil, brakes, etc.). He said the golf course mechanic could then focus on other areas of the golf course like mowers and other equipment.

Tyler Abegglen stated he called every golf course in a 20 mile radius and almost every private course or those with mountainous terrain were operating electric golf carts.

Mayor Horrocks mentioned the electric golf carts at the Salt Lake Country Club and how they could not make it up the hill. Tyler Abegglen commented that the lithium batteries could go at least 54 holes and had tested three carts from different manufacturers. He added that the GPS units would also show how much charge each cart had remaining.

Mayor Horrocks asked about the cost of the charging system and if the State or Federal government would pay for the switch to electric stations. Tyler Abegglen replied that he had reviewed several sources including the Utah Department of Air Quality and found that the golf carts did not qualify for the grant program due to the class of vehicle. He determined after obtaining electrical bids that there were worthwhile savings compared to gas carts.

Tyler Abegglen commented that he requested bids from three top golf cart manufacturer/distributors in the State including Highland Golf/Yamaha, Intermountain Cars/Club Cars, and Mountain West/EZ Go. He compared pricing including the estimated cost with trade in for 80 gas ($318,000) and electric ($484,000) golf carts. He noted that this was with premium features including tinted windshields, sand and seed bottles, club cleaners, coolers, nicer seats, etc. He recommended Club Car with the lithium battery for a total estimated cost of $484,410 and noted that these were used in Park City with glowing recommendations.

Mr. Abegglen then reviewed the Club Car Visage GPS system features including safety features such as cart speed control which would eliminate some concerns including cart repairs, cart path remodels, and injuries. He said the upfront cost was $85,500 with a monthly subscription of $1,890 compared to the current GPS cost of $2,601 per month. He mentioned these units could last long enough to be added to the next carts in four years at no cost.

Tyler Abegglen reported on infrastructure requirements for the lithium Club Car fleet with an estimated cost of $48,960 for two panels, breakers, 90 lithium fast chargers, electrical work, and miscellaneous costs.

Councilmember Knowlton mentioned the speed limit on golf carts and eliminating the need to change some golf cart paths and if this was an indefinite or long term solution. Tyler Abegglen replied affirmatively and said that one cart path project for hole 13 was part of last year's fiscal year budget which was delayed and noted how this system would alleviate the safety issues there. He also added that the speed uphill could also be increased and said this would be a good tool to utilize for both needs.

Tyler Abegglen said that this budget request could be spread across several years or as a lump sum payment for the golf carts and GPS units dependent on what made the most fiscal sense. He said the electrical infrastructure would offset what was already budgeted for the gas golf carts. He clarified that there was approximately $70,000-$80,000 in the budget over a four year golf cart lease. He continued that the fees from the golf course would cover the remaining cost.

Mr. Abegglen spoke on Eaglewood being the best public golf course in the State of Utah and said that this meant a higher level of experience. He felt that having electric golf carts helped to provide that private golf club experience. He noted that the switch to electric carts would also result in an estimated $160,000-$173,000 in savings over four years.

Councilmember Jackson asked about electricity costs and the estimated $500 a month to charge electric carts. She asked what the clubhouse spent currently on electricity in a month. Tyler Abegglen replied that peak season was $3,000-$4,500 per month in electrical costs. He added that the golf course was charged off peak charging rates on their commercial bill which was when most of the carts would be charging. He also noted that golf was seasonal and said the bills would be lower in March-May and October-December.

Councilmember Jackson asked if the cart charge diminished in extreme heat and cold. Tyler Abegglen replied that it would be similar to an electric car and would be influenced by colder weather and degradation over time.

Tyler Abegglen reviewed funding the golf cart replacements and explained that there was a built-in fee option in the golf fund to charge customers to help offset some of the cost. He recommended a fee schedule change to increase overall rates including $2 per the nine hole cart fee and $1 per nine hole green fee. He said this was in line with other golf courses that had purchased new vehicles. He recommended this schedule moving forward as the new carts justified a fee increase. Mr. Abegglen shared that the $2 increase per nine hole cart fee would provide an estimated additional $160,000 to $180,000 per year and the $1 increase per nine hole green fee would be an estimated additional $80,000 to $85,000 in revenues for 2027.

Councilmember Clayton asked about software updates for the GPS units and if these were included in the cost. Tyler Abegglen replied that they had over the air updates which were included in the monthly fee.

Councilmember Clayton also asked about charging and battery maintenance. Tyler Abegglen noted that once the battery was fully charged it would shut off and maintain that level. He noted that the manufacturer recommended they remain plugged in all winter as they did not draw a charge.

Councilmember Clayton asked if the carts could be set at different speeds. She mentioned other golf courses in the area and whether they had electric carts. Tyler Abegglen replied that the carts could be individually controlled or set at the same base speed. He said Mountain Dell Golf Course in Salt Lake purchased electric carts while Bountiful Ridge just purchased new gas Club Car carts. He mentioned that the Golf Course Committee was in support of the electric golf carts.

Ken Leetham recognized Karen Mills was in attendance from the Golf Course Committee.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked about the proposal for the increased green fee and if that would cover the cost of what was being proposed within the golf fund. Tyler Abegglen replied affirmatively and said that the Finance Director would determine the best route to purchase the requested items. He noted that the golf carts and GPS unit payments would not occur until January or February 2027.

Councilmember Van Langeveld spoke on the fee increases and continuing to see a noticeable difference on the event center rental fee for residents and non-residents. She said this was a way to makeup the difference for customers that were not residents and to highlight the cost based savings for residents to utilize a fantastic venue option. She recommended increasing the resident discount for the Event Center from 20% to 30%.

Councilmember Van Langeveld asked about the GPS and how the unit could control cart speeds. She asked if the system could be hacked into and requested that he ask the vendor if this was possible. Tyler Abegglen replied that they had a computer module that connected to each cart. He said they could not be hacked as it required a specialized tool. He added that the golf course had a geolocation around the golf course that would also turn off carts that crossed the barrier.

Councilmember Smoot commented on Tyler's goal to make Eaglewood the best public golf course in the State. She commended him on the appearance of the golf course, the reputation, and what he had accomplished in five years. She was in favor of the electric golf carts and congratulated Tyler, the employees, and the Golf Course Committee.

Councilmember Jackson was in agreement on the golf course. She commented that she was originally unsure of the electric carts for budgetary reasons until Tyler provided cost benefits and savings which made sense.

Councilmember Knowlton moved that the City Council approve the purchase of new electric golf carts and instruct staff to prepare a budget amendment for consideration on September 1, 2026 in order to formally approve these expenditures. Councilmember Jackson seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

5. CONSIDERATION OF GOLF CART INFRASTRUCTURE INSTALLATION AT EAGLEWOOD GOLF COURSE

Councilmember Clayton moved that the City Council authorize the installation of electrical charging infrastructure in an amount not to exceed $50,000 and instruct City staff to prepare a budget amendment including this expenditure. Councilmember Van Langeveld seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

6. CONSIDERATION OF GOLF CART GPS PURCHASE FOR EAGLEWOOD GOLF COURSE

Councilmember Van Langeveld moved that the City Council authorize the purchase of GPS units and instruct staff to prepare a budget amendment for consideration on September 1, 2026 in order to formally approve these expenditures. Councilmember Smoot seconded the motion. The motion was approved by Councilmembers Clayton, Jackson, Knowlton, Smoot, and Van Langeveld.

7. DISCUSSION OF ACTION ITEMS

The action items list was reviewed. Completed items were removed from the list.

Councilmember Van Langeveld mentioned the pending action item 25-35 related to investigating solar panels at City Hall. She suggested that it may be worthwhile to include solar panels at the golf course.

Councilmember Jackson commented that solar was expensive and would take decades to pay off the solar investment to equal the power savings. She said it only made sense if there was a large tax rebate which would offset some of the solar panel cost.

Councilmember Smoot noted that once the federal incentives went away that solar panels no longer made sense. She added that it could take decades to pay off solar panels.

Councilmember Knowlton said the idea was to review with detailed analysis of the costs and savings. He noted that when he requested the action item list the federal rebates were still in effect and it had been a time sensitive item. He requested that the action item include City owned properties such as the Public Works building as well.

Councilmember Van Langeveld noted in some areas with data centers that the cost for electricity was astronomical which meant solar panels there were still cost effective. She was in favor of adding solar panels at the golf course to the action item as well as buying batteries.

8. COUNCIL REPORTS

Councilmember Van Langeveld thanked Ken Leetham for responding to the email about safety and speeding on Eagleridge Drive. She requested an update on any decisions that were made after the Police Department monitored this area. She reviewed the Night Out Against Crime/Back to School Night event and the great support from local schools. She noted that representatives from both high schools, both junior highs, and two elementary schools were in attendance at the event.

Councilmember Van Langeveld mentioned that this was the third year the City had participated in a health and wellness survey. She shared some highlights from the survey including the top rated areas of wellbeing were safety and security, family life, and living standards. She noted that the score for safety and security increased each year and was a testament to the public safety officers and how this was the biggest budget item.

Councilmember Van Langeveld continued that the lowest rated items were cultural opportunities, environmental quality, education, connections with nature, and social connections. She spoke on the programs the City was engaged in to address these concerns including through the Health and Wellness, Arts, Events, and Trails Committees. She added that people had noted the number one way they were recreating in the City was walking/biking which was justification for trails and the Greenway.

Councilmember Van Langeveld also noted survey results related to economic development and putting effort and money into further supporting development. She said this included more local businesses, restaurants, and family activities. She mentioned that when residents were asked about cost of living that responses showed that child care was rated as the most difficult item to afford above housing, food, etc. She added that the City could look at ways to support child care centered businesses when reviewing economic development.

Councilmember Van Langeveld shared that when respondents were asked their number three concerns about living in the City, and had been consistent for all three years of the survey, were: air quality, water supply, and the Great Salt Lake. She said while this was a regional issue that there were ways the City could raise awareness and potentially make some changes such as the Purple air monitors. She concluded that the last question was ways to improve wellbeing with residents responding with community building, green spaces, economic development, and walkability.

Councilmember Smoot asked how many residents had participated in the survey. Councilmember Van Langeveld responded that 333 residents took the survey this year compared to 605 in the first year. She noted that there may be some fatigue from residents as the survey had been performed three times in the last four years. She added that the researchers had determined that the 333 was still a good representation of the City based on demographics of the responders.

Councilmember Jackson thanked the Mayor for attending the Senior Lunch Bunch and noted the recent 100th birthday of a resident who attended the Senior Lunch Bunch. She commented that this was a worthwhile event to support that part of the community. She also reported on attending the America 250 event at the State Capitol.

Councilmember Jackson reported on sister cities and determining a more thoughtful approach including what kind of programs to institute. She commented that she would bring this item back for review. She also thanked the City for filling in gaps in the sidewalk in the City including near Matthis Park. She said this was a main priority for the City.

Councilmember Jackson reported on the South Davis Recreation District's meeting and said approximately 100 residents attended the free City day at the Rec Center. She mentioned a report on the percentage of participation for every program from each city and said North Salt Lake was between 8-13% in almost every program. She attributed this to the distance from the City to the Rec Center and the possibility of a satellite location (ice rink, pool) in the City.

Councilmember Knowlton reflected on several items including wellness and longevity. He noted the resident who recently turned 100 and said she had lived in the City for decades. He said her longevity was a function of the quality of life in the City.

Councilmember Clayton reported on the Night Out Against Crime joint event which was well attended. She spoke on the citizen chairs including the Back to School representative Gentry Holbrook who had worked to supply free school kits and books at the event.

9. CITY ATTORNEY'S REPORT

Todd Godfrey had nothing to report.

10. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Horrocks commented that July 4th fell on a Sunday in 2027 and said it would be interesting to see what attendance was like at City events as other cities shifted their events to July 3rd. He noted that he would be out of town for a week.

11. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

Ken Leetham reported on a hard closure on Center Street that would occur on Friday, August 21st at 5 a.m. until Monday August 24th at 9 p.m. Councilmember Van Langeveld asked if the City could send out text notices to residents.

Ken Leetham then said Chief Black had been asked to prepare a presentation on license plate reader technology. He mentioned postponing this presentation as the September 1st Council meeting included a public comment meeting related to addressing changes in the City. He said the Council would be at the Foxboro North Clubhouse for their September 15th meeting. He suggested the license plate reader presentation could occur at a special meeting on September 29th.

Councilmember Jackson commented that the discussion could either be held September 1st or October 6th rather than holding a special meeting.

Councilmember Van Langeveld suggested that if the notices for the public comment for the addressing changes had not yet been sent that this item could be postponed until October 6th and the Flock camera discussion could occur on September 1st.

Sherrie Pace commented that the Town Center Urban Design Standards may also be on one of the next three upcoming Council meeting agendas. Ken Leetham said the addressing item and the Flock camera discussion could be switched.

Mayor Horrocks suggested moving forward with the Flock camera discussion on September 1st.

Ken Leetham spoke on two Night Out events in September including a Bolder Way Forward on the 14th and Latino Heritage on the 21st. He expressed concern that citizen chairs had not communicated with staff and may not be actively working on these two events. Councilmember Van Langeveld commented that she had recently spoken with the citizen chairs for both of those events.

Mayor Horrocks asked if City staff needed to attend as these events were chaired by citizens and food trucks. Councilmember Van Langeveld responded that Public Works provided the sound system, trash cans, and setting up flags/blow ups. She noted that a Civic Events Committee member was assigned to each of these events as well.

12. ADJOURN

Mayor Horrocks adjourned the meeting at 9:04 p.m.

The foregoing was approved by the City Council of the City of North Salt Lake on Tuesday September 1, 2026 by unanimous vote of all members present.

__________________________ __________________________

Brian J. Horrocks, Mayor *Wendy Page, City Recorder*

CITY OF
NORTH SALT LAKE
UTAH

10 East Center Street
North Salt Lake, UT 84054
801.335.8700
www.nslcity.gov

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Heidi Voordeckers, Finance Director

DATE: September 1, 2026

SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution 2026-40R: A Resolution approving and adopting amendments to the Fiscal Year 2026-2027 General Fund, Parks Capital Projects, Roads Capital Projects, Storm Water Capital Projects, and Golf Fund budgets.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the City Council approve Resolution 2026-40R, authorizing amendments to the fiscal year 2026-2027 Budget.

BACKGROUND

Pursuant UCA 10-6-127 and 10-6-128, municipal budgets may be modified by resolution of the City Council up until the final day of the fiscal year. Amendments incorporating increases in expenditure require that a public hearing be held prior to resolution adoption. All budget appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal year, except for any remaining appropriations for Capital Projects, which may be transferred to the new budget year without a public hearing.

SUMMARY

The requested budget changes include increases to both revenues and capital expenditures, as detailed below:

Increase in Revenues (plus $508,410)

- Increase in Operating Grants (School Resource Officer): $70,000
- Increase in Contributions (Call Foundation – Trees): $25,000
- Increase in Golf Course Green Fee and Cart Revenues: $225,000
- Increase in Proceeds from Borrowing (Gas to Electric Cart transition): $184,410
- Increase in Gain on Sale of Asset (Golf Cart trade-in): $4,000

Increase in Capital Expenditures (minus $1,277,170)

- Addition of City Hall South (Triangle) Park: $250,000
- Increase in Eagleridge Dr. Landscaping: $10,000 for construction, $13,800 for pre-const. design

- Roll forward Summer 2026 Street preservation budget from FY 26: $720,000
- Advance storm project from FY 2028: $100,000
- Golf course electrical improvements for new carts: $48,960
- Increase in Golf Equipment - Carts: $85,000 for GPS units, $49,410 in Equipment

The total requested amendments represent a net use of fund balances of $768,760, of which $720,000 is a project from the prior fiscal year. Additional details related to the budget amendment may be found in the attached Exhibit A.

POSSIBLE MOTION

I move that the City Council approve Resolution 2026-40R: A Resolution approving and adopting amendments to the 2026-2027 General Fund, Parks Capital Projects, Road Capital Projects, Storm Water, and Golf Fund Budgets.

Attachments:

1) Resolution 2026-40R
2) Resolution 2026-40R Exhibit A

RESOLUTION 2026-40R

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE APPROVING AND ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2026~2027 GENERAL FUND, PARKS CAPITAL PROJECTS, ROAD CAPITAL PROJECTS, STORM WATER, AND GOLF FUND BUDGETS

WHEREAS, the City of North Salt Lake has considered the adoption of an amendment to increase the 2026~2027 budget for the General Fund, Parks Capital Projects, Road Capital Projects, Storm Water, and Golf Funds and finds that it is in the best interest of the citizens and the City as a whole to adopt the aforesaid budgets; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was properly noticed and held on Tuesday, September 1, 2026 for the purpose of receiving public comment concerning the adoption of said budget amendments; and

WHEREAS, such action is authorized by statute.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of North Salt Lake, Utah that the amendments as set forth in Exhibit "A" which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, are hereby authorized and approved.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the City of North Salt Lake, Utah, on this 1st day of September, 2026.

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
By:

____
BRIAN J. HORROCKS
Mayor

ATTEST:

____
WENDY PAGE
City Recorder

City Council Vote as Recorded:

Council Member Clayton	______
Council Member Jackson	______
Council Member Knowlton	______
Council Member Smoot	______
Council Member Van Langeveld	______

EXHIBIT A
BUDGET AMENDMENT HEARING - SEPTEMBER 1, 2026

	ACCOUNT #	ACCOUNT TITLE	CURRENT BUDGET	BUDGET ADJUSTMENT	TOTAL BUDGET	REASON
FUND 10 - GENERAL FUND						
REV	10-1033-33201	OPERATING GRANTS - STATE	-	(70,000)	(70,000)	Recognize final year of School Resource Officer Grant.
REV	10-1038-36700	CONTRIBUTIONS	(1,000)	(25,000)	(26,000)	Recognize Tree program revenue from the Call Foundation
EXP	10-2400-41200	EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	1,917,150	2,000	1,919,150	Allocate tuition reimbursement award
EXP	10-1120-41205	TUITION REIMBURSEMENT	4,000	(2,000)	2,000	Allocate tuition reimbursement award
				95,000		**NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN FUND BALANCE**
FUND 41 - PARKS CAPITAL PROJECTS						
EXP	41-5356-52331	CITY HALL SOUTH PARK	-	250,000	250,000	As approved at the August 18, 2026 City Council Meeting
				(250,000)		**NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN FUND BALANCE**
FUND 44 - ROAD CAPITAL PROJECTS						
EXP	44-3505-51301	ANNUAL SEAL COAT C ROAD	740,000	720,000	1,460,000	Roll from FY 2026 - late start to annual summer project
EXP	44-3506-52569	EAGLERIDGE DRIVE LANDSCAPING	32,030	23,800	55,830	Increase in project budget ($10K) plus recognize initial design costs
				(743,800)		**NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN FUND BALANCE**
FUND 53 - STORM WATER FUND						
EXP	TBD	PIPE R/W RD FROM DITCH WEST OF 400W	-	100,000	100,000	Advance FY 2028 project to take advantage of cost sharing w/Albertsons.
				(100,000)		**NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN FUND BALANCE**
FUND 55 - GOLF						
REV	55-5500-34200	FEES GREEN	(1,650,000)	(100,000)	(1,750,000)	Additional revenue based on Jan 1, 2027 rate increases.
REV	55-5501-34602	RENTS AND LEASES CARTS	(850,000)	(125,000)	(975,000)	Additional revenue based on Jan 1, 2027 rate increases.
REV	55-5502-37200	PROCEEDS FROM BORROWING	(300,000)	(184,410)	(484,410)	Lease-purchase of 90 electric golf carts, with pmt beginning in FY 2028.
EXP	55-5502-37300	GAIN ON DISPOSAL OF CAP ASSET	(236,000)	(4,000)	(240,000)	Update to anticipated trade in value.
EXP	55-5588-48200	BUILDINGS - CLUB HOUSE	75,000	48,960	123,960	Electrical upgrades for golf cart transition from gas to electric.
EXP	55-5588-48500	MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT CAPITAL	-	85,000	-	Purchase of 90 new GPS units.
EXP	55-5588-48500	MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT CAPITAL	675,000	49,410	809,410	Update to reflect cost of golf cart transition from gas to electric.
				230,040		**NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN FUND BALANCE**
TOTAL ALL FUNDS				**(768,760)**		**TOTAL NET INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN FUND BALANCE**

CITY OF NORTH SALT LAKE
UTAH

10 East Center Street
North Salt Lake, UT 84054
801.335.8700
www.nslcity.gov

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Sherrie Pace, Community Development Director

DATE: September 1, 2026

SUBJECT: Town Center Urban Design Standards

RECOMMENDATION

No action is requested at this time. This agenda item will be to introduce the draft Urban Design Standards to the Council for consideration at a future meeting

BACKGROUND

Over the last year, the Planning Commission and City Staff have worked with VODA Landscape + Planning to draft a new Town Center Zoning District containing Urban Design Standards to guide any future development in the area. The intent of the new zone is to create consistent and predictable design requirements that will implement the vision for the Town Center Master Plan, creating a vibrant, walkable, and attractive Town Center for North Salt Lake. The Planning Commission has conducted three separate work sessions with VODA from February to August, and the City Council has had one previous progress update.

The standards include building design standards for materials, architectural style, façade requirements, etc. and urban design standards for block and building layouts, trail connections, shared public parking locations, future transit stops, landscaping, public art, plazas and streetscape design, etc.

In addition to the code amendments specifically contained in the new Chapter 23, Urban Design Standards, additional code amendments are needed in Chapter 19, Specific Use Standards and Chapter 1, Section 39, Definitions. The Specific Use Standards are proposed to be amended to contain requirements for Outdoor Dining, Artisan Industry, and Temporary (Itinerant) Uses. Chapter 1 adds definitions for various terms used within the Town Center Code.

The Planning Commission held a public hearing on August 25, 2026. Staff mailed approximately 700 notices of the hearing sent to every property within the Town Center boundaries and to property owners who are within 300 feet of the boundary. City staff received approximately 12-15 calls or emails to obtain additional information. The Hearing was well attended with approximately 40-50 people. Public comment was received from 16 residents or business owners. The majority of the comments were in support of the proposed Town Center Code. Other comments received voiced concern regarding maximum building height adjacent to their property and possible impact on existing businesses if Highway 89 were to be widened.

The Planning Commission made a recommendation to the City Council for the approval of the proposed Town Center Urban Design Standards and Town Center Subdistrict Zone Map with a condition to amend the proposed map to change 3 parcels on Center Street from Core District to Neighborhood Transition per a request by a property owner. They also conditioned the recommendation on correction of a clerical nature to correct typos and numbering. Those corrections are being completed by the consultant and a printed copy will be provided for the Council at the meeting.

Staff is recommending the Council review the provided draft and schedule consideration of an ordinance to adopt the Town Center Urban Design Standards at the next meeting on September 15.

City Council Action Items for September 1, 2026

Item	Staff	Description	Staff Responses
New			
Current			
26-05	Sherrie	(5-19-26 CM Smoot) Request staff pursue contacting owner (Big West Oil) of large round structure to see if there is interest for a mural.	*(6-10-26) Sherrie called and emailed Pam Metzger with Big West Oil on 5/21/26 regarding the proposed mural location and has not received any response. Follow up email sent again on 6/10/2026.*
26-04	Ken/Linda	(5-5-26 CM Knowlton) Request to increase the City's public outreach efforts regarding water conservation by launching a focused communications campaign to inform residents about existing landscaping conversion incentives and other water conservation strategies. Promote these resources across multiple communication channels for several months. All supported the idea to make the outreach effort a formal action item and mailer suggested by Ken Leetham. Ted would like City staff to also track households that make significant improvements such as trading out landscaping for xeriscape or drought tolerant materials.	*(6-11-26) City staff is working on a set of publications to inform the public about their options to replace high water use landscaping. These will include social media posts and a formal newsletter or direct mail approach to educate the public.* *(7-28-26) Staff has been working on water conservation strategies and a plan to perform consistent and timely outreach to the public regarding water conservation. We will present the draft strategies to the Council in a September work meeting.*
26-03	Ken	(4-7-26 CM Jackson) Find out the current terms for the national fitness campaign and funding availability for an outdoor Fitness Court.	
26-01	Ken/Sherrie	(1-20-26 CM Knowlton) Completion of property deed related to US89 Greenway.	*(6-10-26) Waiting on UDOT for the signed agreement (2026-01A), then staff will pursue the property transfer for the Greenway.* *(8-13-26) The fully executed agreement has been received by the City. The City has made a written request for instructions about how to effectuate the transfer of property and complete this issue.*
25-30	Ken/Sherrie	(10-21-25 CM Van Langeveld) Investigate possible ordinance amendments for entrances of City area.	

Item	Staff	Description	Staff Responses
25-12	Ken/Jon/Sherrie	(5-7-24 CM Jackson) Possibility for an app that would provide the status of possible rail blockages. (8-6-24 CM Jackson) signage notifications for rail blockages. (3-4-25 CM Van Langeveld) Interested in a City app and to know costs. This app would help residents to stay informed, report issues, and access city services – similar to SLC, Syracuse or Sandy.	*(7-15-25) This action item is two items: 1) a request to develop a mobile train crossing warning system; and, 2) investigate having a City app that would contain lots of useful information for the public about city events and services.* *Train crossing warning system: Staff is working to prepare a presentation on alternatives for a mobile train access warning system. We are looking at a system in the Midwest and a system operated by Salt Lake City where there are multiple at-grade crossings subject to delay. This part of the project will require a few more months of work and a deadline of March 1, 2026 has been established.* *(11-25-25) City Staff made a presentation to the City Council on this action item is November 18, 2025. Staff will work with vendors to come up with a proposed app and app features. It is felt that the best way to address a rail crossing alert system is to direct app users to both a traffic software such as Wayz or Google Maps where users self-report delays and a traffic camera system that allows users to visually see the rail crossings in real time.* *(2-24-26) City staff has evaluated the feasibility of installing cameras at all railroad crossings within the city. Using existing infrastructure, cameras can be installed at the Center Street and 1100 North crossings with minimal capital costs. The live feeds will be available on the City's website and future mobile app. In addition to monitoring train related delays, staff is evaluating additional applications and identifying the most appropriate equipment to support these uses.*
25-11	Ken	(5-21-24 CM Knowlton) Prepare talking points for the 2600 South / 1100 North bridge challenges.	*(1-2-25) Ken is preparing talking points and will then update City website for public awareness.* *(7-15-25) The City has not moved forward on this project and the main reason is that the bridge cannot be built unless it is approximately 2,200 feet long. That length would be a significant disruption to several properties, require the re-routing and/or disconnection of Main Street, does not have the support of Woods Cross (adjacent to the project) and cost approximately $50-60 million.*
25-08	Sherrie	(2-18-25 All) Project to evaluate readdressing all County addresses to City addresses.	*(6-11-25) Staff has met to discuss the framework for the committee, the goals or recommendations to be considered, potential committee members, timeline and review 345 properties affected. Staff will draft assigned addresses for affected properties and provide timeline for Council.* *(7-15-25) City staff is working to assemble a data base of all addresses in the City that require adjustment. That will be completed by the end of 2025.*

Item	Staff	Description	Staff Responses
			After that time, City staff will propose to the Council a working group of members of the public, staff and Council representative to review the entire scope of the project, City costs and overall impacts of the project. Estimated completion, which is City Council taking action on a plan to move forward is April 1, 2026. *(4-2-26) The draft addresses are completed and being reviewed with engineering. A work plan will be prepared for the Council (May 2026) to establish a citizen group to review and make recommendations to the City Council.* *(6-10-26) The proposed address changes have been drafted. A proposal related to the committee will be presented in the 7-21-26 work session.*
25-03	Ken/Sherrie	(5-20-25 CM Baskin) Research potential for creating a dog park at Springhill Park. (1-20-26 CM Jackson) Request to keep this on action item list.	*(10-15-25) per direction from the Council on 10/7/2025 the next steps to creating a dog park at this location will be:* *1. Contact the property owners to determine if they are willing to sell the property* *2. Obtain an appraisal and enter into purchase contracts* *3. Engage a landscape architect or engineering firm to design the park and cost estimate* *Approve the budget for the project* *(4-2-26) one property owner indicated willingness to sell property to City.* *(6-10-26) The Abel parcel was sold at Davis County Tax Sale on 5-14-26 for $42,000. The new owner has not contacted the City regarding their planned use for the property.* *(8-27-26) Staff recommends that the City NOT move forward on this project since we do not have sufficient property at this location for a dog park. Until that is resolved, we do not need to spend time creating a feasibility analysis for this item.*
25-02	Ken/David	(5-20-25 CM Knowlton) Explore options to property purchase for expanding the Public Works facilities.	*(11-12-25) The City Council reviewed options to provide more space at the existing PW facility (see 10-21-25 minutes). Further work to be done on property acquisition. CC would also like to see options for vehicle storage including rental of garage space rather than construction of new facilities at the present time.* *(7-28-26) Ken Leetham made a proposal to the City Council on July 21 to perform a needs analysis for public works facilities expansion. Use of Redwood Road CDA funds was proposed to hire professional consulting services in order to complete the analysis.*

Item	Staff	Description	Staff Responses
Future Agenda Item Discussion Requests			
26-07	Craig	(8-4-26 CM Smoot, Jackson, Van Langeveld) Requested future work session discussion about ALPR data and the use of information.	*(8-18-26) Presentation planned for the work session on September 1, 2026.*
26-06	Ken/David	(6-2-26 CM Knowlton) Discussion at future meeting exploring a NSL Green Waste program.	*(8-27-26) Ken has been working with Wasatch Integrated Waste on this item. They indicated that do not have any additional capacity at their facility for green waste. Their intention is to expand the green waste processing facility, but they do not expect to be able to add any additional curbside programs until 2029 or 2030 at the earliest.*
25-35	Ken	(12-2-25 CM Knowlton & Van Langeveld) Request for analysis / discussion at future meeting regarding solar panels on any City properties.	
25-22	Sherrie	(10-3-23 CM Knowlton) Future work session item to discuss parking (restrictions, shared parking, time of day, on street, etc.)	*(7-15-25) This project is from October of 2023. We have had some discussions about parking since that time, but the Council should clarify for the staff what is needed or wanted with this assignment.*
25-21	Sherrie/Jon	*Combined Action Items:* (1-2-24) Work session on Code amendments related to park strip landscaping and street trees. Evaluate City owned park strips and properties for recommendation on conversion to water wise landscape & review compliance notifications and processes. (3-21-23) Look into increasing tree plantings on City owned land.	*(7-15-25) This project is to landscaping, water conservation methods and tree planting on City-owned property. This project requires City staff to provide an inventory and analysis of City-owned park strips and properties which might be good candidates for conversion from turf to water wise landscaping treatments. A second minor piece of this project is to evaluate our compliance notifications and processes related to conversion of areas to low water use treatments. Deadline for this project is September 16, 2025.* *This item also includes a policy question for the City Council related to what level of investment should the City be making each year for tree planting. The current General Plan and City budgets identify tree planting as a priority in the City and this project should articulate the City's specific action plan to increase tree plantings on City property. Deadline for this project is November 11, 2025.* *(10-15-25) On 9/16/2025 Staff reviewed possible locations with the City Council and was directed to obtain bids for the City Hall park strip and return to the Council for approval and budget allocation.*

Item	Staff	Description	Staff Responses
25-19	Ken / David	(4-2-24 All) Staff will make future proposal on trees/sidewalk damage policies.	*(5-16-24) Funds have been proposed in the FY25 budget of $100k for the purpose of sidewalk repair. An ordinance relating to trees and public rights-of-way needs to be put forward.* *(7-15-25) This item is part of a larger issue of sidewalk condition and repair Citywide. Two parts of the project are: 1) creating a policy for when trees on private property damage public sidewalks (should they be removed, sidewalk relocated, etc.). This first part also includes a policy related to the obligations of the adjacent property owners to participate in the costs of repair and replacement of sidewalk or removal of trees. 2) The City must address a citywide infrastructure need to repair and replace sidewalks throughout the City. This is a policy decision by the City Council.* *Deadline for Part 1: October 21, 2025* *Deadline for Part 2: January 20, 2026* *(11-12-25) City staff presented information on October 21, 2025 and the City Council requested a draft policy (ordinance) be prepared to address sidewalk repair and the preservation of trees.*
Completed			