SALT LAKE VALLEY EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS CENTER

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING

June 17, 2026 Meeting Minutes

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Mr. Scott Harrington – Taylorsville, Chair Mr. Kevin Hicks – Riverton Mr. Korban Lee – West Jordan Mr. Mike Barker – Draper Mr. Kim Sorenson – Murray Mr. Dusin Lewis – South Jordan Mr. Josh Collins – South Salt Lake Mr. Nathan Cherpeski – Herriman Mr. Bruce Kartchner – Bluffdale Mr. Jared Gerber – Cottonwood Heights Mr. Dom Burchett – UFA Ms. Cynthia Archuleta – SLCo Mr. John Evans – West Valley City Mr. Dwayne Anjewierden – UPD
MEMBERS ABSENT:	Ms. Gina Chamness – Holladay Mr. Mike Morey – Alta Open – Midvale
OTHERS PRESENT:	Mr. Ivan Whitaker – VECC Executive Director Mr. Tyson Montoya – VECC Chief Financial Officer Ms. Elyse Haggerty – VECC Chief of Operations Ms. Shondra Young – VECC Chief of Prof. Standards & Development Mr. Brad Morris – VECC TS Manager Mr. Brice Rawlings – VECC Facility Maintenance Manager Mr. Scott Young – VECC Legal Counsel Ms. Rachel Nipper – VECC Ms. Jodi Morris – VECC Ms. Rosa Olivos – VECC Ms. Ambir Widdison – VECC Ms. Tammy Cornelison – VECC Ms. Chelsea Ridge – VECC Mr. Terry Addison – SSLFD Mr. Rich Ferguson – DRPD Mr. Derek Maxfield – WJFD Mr. Dustin Dern – UFA Mr. Jeff Carr – SJPD Mr. Jeremy Robertson – WJPD Ms. Danielle Croyle – SSLPD Mr. Shane Taylor – RVPD

The meeting was called to order by Scott Harrington at 2:00 p.m.

Scott Harrington:

Let's go ahead and call this meeting to order. Do we have any introductions of any visitors we need to introduce?

Ivan Whitaker:

No.

Scott Harrington:

All right. Review and consider the approval of the May 20th, 2026, board meeting minutes. Does anybody have questions on the minutes or changes? Seeing none, does anybody have a motion to approve?

John Evans:

Motion.

Scott Harrington:
John's got a first. Do I have a second?

Jared Gerber:

Second.

Scott Harrington:

All right, thank you. All in favor, say aye.

Members:

Aye.

Scott Harrington:
All right, passes.

Motion –
. . . by Mr. John Evans, to approve the minutes from the May 20, 2026 meeting, the motion was seconded by Mr. Jared Gerber; the motion carried unanimously.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Scott Harrington:

Public comments. Does anybody here want to do any kind of public comment? Anybody online? All right. We'll close the public comment section.

OPERATIONS BOARD REPORTS

Scott Harrington:

Operations board reports. Police Operations Board report, Chief Ferguson.

Rich Ferguson:

Good to be with you. We met as a board this last week and we discussed a few things. One thing right off the bat, Brad from VECC talked to us a little bit about the backup radio system and where he's at with things and something that caught the attention of the chiefs, and is a concern, is the funding and who he's going to contact directly at the state to assist us with funding is something that we should all probably be aware of, and that we are committed to getting behind him and sure fire is right there with us. We need a backup radio system, and so we appreciate Brad and the work that he's doing.

We talked about the proposed 10-200 training video that Rosa talked about putting out and making sure that all our officers are aware of what their 10-200 assignments are in the event that we have to have a 10-200 in this valley.

We went over patching. And Ivan, I believe, possibly talked some more about this, but as Chiefs, we talked about who we're going to patch who with, if we're going to reduce police channels. And it makes perfect sense, for instance, that an agency be patched with their neighboring agency rather than an agency that's clear across the valley. A unique situation, for instance, here in Draper, though, is that my natural partner would be Sandy, and they're not with VECC. And so, trying to figure that out is something that we're still working on.

And then we talked about a proposed meeting, and I believe it's next week or possibly later this week, to talk a little bit about silent dispatching and what that looks like for non-priority calls, bike theft, calls that are delayed, so that clears up the airtime and keeps the airtime free for priority calls.

It was a good meeting, and that's about it. Any questions for me?

Scott Harrington:

Looks like there's none. Thank you, Chief.

Rich Ferguson:

Thanks.

Scott Harrington:

All right. Fire Operations Board briefing. Chief Addison.

Terry Addison:

Yes, thank you. We also had our meeting last week. One of our biggest discussions was the deployment protocol issues that we faced last weekend in both Utah County and Tooele County, where resource requests went into central dispatch down in Utah County and Tooele, vice versa.

And in turn, resources were requested and allocated without going through VECC. Somehow, through the Forest Service, they ended up calling Unified Fire, who the battalion chief on duty ended up calling resources. VECC was out of the loop, so we had some great discussion on that to ensure that VECC is continued to stay in the loop when resources are being requested.

We also discussed the 4th of July call volume and planning. The valley will go on direct dispatch around 19:00 on July 4th to handle any type of high-priority calls that are coming in. We'll include additional channels and so forth.

We also had a presentation from Ivan regarding the dashboard that he's working on, and requested all the fire chiefs, and I would assume police chiefs, to give requests that they'd like to see for their city. And that pretty much covered up the majority of our meeting.

Scott Harrington:

Any questions for him?

Korban Lee:

Sorry. Direct dispatch on July 4th evening and the 4th only, not needed on the 3rd? Or is that an agency by agency call?

Elyse Haggerty:

Yes, it will be if the comms chief wants to let us know that they want that, we can always accommodate.

Korban Lee:

But everyone direct dispatch on the 4th?

Elyse Haggerty:

Yes.

Terry Addison:

That is correct.

Scott Harrington:

And will that be the same on the 24th or just the 4th?

Elyse Haggerty:

We have a meeting before the 24th, so we normally meet and discuss how well it goes and see if we need to mimic on the 24th.

Korban Lee:

Okay.

Scott Harrington:

Thank you. Any other questions? All right. We will move on then.

Terry Addison:

Thank you.

Scott Harrington:

Thank you, Chief Addison.

AWARDS AND RECOGNITION

Scott Harrington:

Awards and recognition, Ivan.

Ivan Whitaker:

Yes, I wanted to recognize Commander Olivos again. She was recognized by West Valley as the Dispatcher of the Year and so we appreciate West Valley; really, we appreciate all the work of Rosa. Rosa, what, you have 28 years in there?

Rosa Olivos:

Next month.

Ivan Whitaker:

Yes, almost 28 years. I can tell you everywhere we go together, just the way that she's praised and how people respect her is amazing. Thanks for everything Rosa, the praise is... You deserve it. So, thank you.

Scott Harrington:

Great job.

OPERATIONS REPORT

Scott Harrington:

Operations Board report. We have a call report for May, Elyse.

Elyse Haggerty:

Yes. We hit the May 90% within 15 seconds of 90.88%. Our 95% in 20 seconds did drop below the 93.49. When we turn in our numbers for the year, it's based on the entire year, not just every month. You don't have to hit it every month. It makes it easier. We are more than making up for last month this month. We had a point-

Dwayne Anjewierden:

Is this a staffing issue?

Elyse Haggerty:

Yes.

Dwayne Anjewierden:

Call increase or a staff issue?

Elyse Haggerty:

Both. Our calls increased, and Ivan and I have done a lot of talking about this. We've been discussing it a lot because it is something we look at daily; every day, we get reports.

Staffing, we did lose about five call takers, which sounds pretty rough, and it is, but the training department is doing an exceptional job helping people out the door when they clearly cannot do the job, long before they surpass their one-year probationary period, and then it becomes more difficult and it's a liability.

So, while we're hiring bigger classes, we have plans to hire even larger classes, knowing that only about 50% get past training.

Ivan Whitaker:

Can I go on a little bit?

Elyse Haggerty:

Yes, of course.

Ivan Whitaker:

So traditionally, we lose two people a month. This has been for years now. We knew the turnover was going to go higher when we brought Shondra in and she saw what was happening in training, we were going to lose X percent of our staff in training.

We lose about 50% of our personnel in training. The way this works, for example, we have a class of 16 that's coming up. We know that we're going to lose 50%, eight will hit the floor, but if you lose your two on a monthly basis, then by the time they hit the floor, that gap that you're trying to fill is already another gap. So, what we're finding is our recruitment has to be exceptional for us to really stay afloat.

What has turned on us is the type of candidates that we're now getting. So, one of the things that we're going to be requesting from you is to be able to post our positions on your sites. Don't know if there's anything against that, but we think that your city’s people are probably looking for jobs and probably going over to your sites to see that. We're really struggling with bringing in candidates right now, qualified candidates.

Elyse Haggerty:

We are very aware that we are struggling to get qualified candidates, people that want to come in and do this job long-term. The training department has done an excellent job. This past weekend, we had a job fair that was put together very quickly but was good. And then tonight we will all be standing outside, if anyone wants to join us, handing out flyers as the concert goers are walking through. They all try and cut through our area. So, we're very aware of the situation. This month, however, and I don't know if I've included the stats, but this morning I woke up and there were, as of right now, we're about 2,000 calls higher in June than we were in May on the same day. So, the call numbers are increasing. That's just 911s; it's about 5,000 total with non-emergency more this month so far.

So, we knew it was going to go up, and sure enough, it has. But we are at 97% for 15 seconds, and I believe either a high 97.9 or 98 point something. We recognized it was a problem, we're dealing with it, our staff is incredible. Transfers are still really low, 0.57. We have that 2% cutoff.

Korban Lee:

Elyse, sorry, on the call answer rates, when you calculate it annually, is the annual number the average of each monthly number, or is it all of the call volumes for the whole year and then the percent that did or didn't meet standards?

Elyse Haggerty:

We do it for the entire year.

Korban Lee:

Okay. It's not the average of the month.

Elyse Haggerty:

Correct.

Korban Lee:

It's the total volume.

Elyse Haggerty:

Yes. We need to see 95.47 or above and our yearly will meet the qualifications. So right now, we're looking great.

Ivan Whitaker:

Still good. So, this is the month of June. And as you can see, it's kind of small, but if you look at that 15 seconds, that has to be at 90, and we're at 96 for the month of June on the 15 seconds, and 97.68 for the 20 seconds.

We've made some provisions to hit it. Not ideal, some of the things that we're doing, our folks are really working hard for us. We are concerned about burnout as well, but we're going to make it. The good thing about this is once we get it this month, we would've met the standards for the entire year. So that would be the second year in a row. I reported to you six months ago because we have to report every six months.

Korban Lee:

So, it's fiscal year.

Ivan Whitaker:

Fiscal year. Yes.

Elyse Haggerty:

Abandoned rates, we're at 5.29% for May. Again, 5% and less are pocket dials. So, despite not hitting the 95%, we are answering calls pretty well. It did bump up, though, from April. This month we're at 2.87 when I looked at it yesterday, so improvement there.

Call count, we took 65,124 calls total in May, which was about 4,000 more and we're looking at 70-ish, 71 this month. That's all I have.

Scott Harrington:

Is the non-emergency percentage versus the regular 911 still the same, or is non-emergency growing?

Elyse Haggerty:

It stays pretty consistent with, what, 35% are 90, 30.

Ivan Whitaker:

Yes. I'm doing a prediction analysis now in AI to see how that's going to impact us from a staffing perspective and a numbers perspective so I can turn that over to you.

Scott Harrington:

Okay. Any other questions?

UCA RADIO PROJECT UPDATE

Scott Harrington:

UCA radio project, Brice.

Brice Rawlings:

there's an uptick in site maintenance for UCA on their sites this month. They’ve been doing a lot of maintenance out there. Scott Hill was offline last night due to a power outage. At the beginning of the month, they did the site maintenance on that and it's going good, but the power outage took that site out yesterday for about four hours. Jordan Commons, Draper, Shawshank, Parley's and Granite have all had their site maintenance done. Region 10 had a firewall update that they did on the 4th of June. There were no issues doing that.

A few of the console issues that we had that we got L3H looking into was an open mic and then some missed radio traffic. It did show up on our recordings, but they didn't hear it in their headset so we're working on finding CAD files to verify all that.

The only other big one was there's a dead zone in Magna for UPD that they were working on this week. I tried to give them a call today to get an update out of the second block radius. Chief Anjewierden, did you hear anything about that?

Dwayne Anjewierden:

I know they were down for about five days in that low area there. I understand that they have connectivity now. I'm not sure it's as great as it's supposed to be, but at least it's something.

Brice Rawlings:

Okay. That's the only one. We did have a hiccup on our ACU controller that the ITAC two channel and the Kennecott channel resides in. We lost the IP to that, so we had to default everything back to the original and then build it back up again, but we got that up and running on Monday. Other than that, that's all I have to report.

Scott Harrington:

Any questions for Brice? Thank you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REPORT

Scott Harrington:

Executive Director report, Ivan.

Ivan Whitaker:

Number one, TowApp Services. That RFP has come to a close. The tow committee selected some reviewers to do the scoring. The deadline for the documentation portion of the scoring is Friday. Next week on Thursday, there'll be the demonstrations with the tow vendors that have applied. The first one elected to be on site, so I think the rest probably will elect to be on site as well, but we'll have those scores in by Friday of next week.

Kevin and I will meet on the following Monday to go through the scores to take a look and figure out who won and then we'll make the announcement to the tow committee and then we'll make the announcement to the vendor. From that point, we will go into the contracting. So myself, Kevin, and Tyson will be involved in the contracting. The way it will work is if we can get the terms, then we'll go with that vendor. If we can't, then we'll go to the second vendor in line. We have it pretty laid out. There's e-forms that they're scoring on. There are keys that they have to follow when they're scoring. We've been very tight with this.

Highway patrol, they went through their tow RFP and they've been challenged and so they haven't been able to continue on with the demonstration portion. We're not in that situation. We're trying to stay out of it, but we should have an answer for you pretty soon. We're very excited.

Scott Harrington:

Do you know why they were challenged?

Ivan Whitaker:

No. They did the documentation portion, took those scores, and then eliminated those, I guess, X portion and then someone in there challenged from there.

Kevin Hicks:

Do we know, have they even released yet who were the responders? I mean, I would assume they did, but...

Ivan Whitaker:

They did. I don't have that, but from talking to them, it was about four or five that did respond.

Kevin Hicks:

I'm assuming of our three.

Dwayne Anjewierden:

Ours stayed at three?

Ivan Whitaker:

Yes.

Korban Lee:

So, we need to dot our i's and cross our t's on procurement carefully, is that what you're saying?

Kevin Hicks:

So far, from the process that we've done, I'm pretty pleased with the process and how it's laid out.

Ivan Whitaker:

Yes. I've even removed myself from the voting just because we have one of the vendors that we're using already. I'm going to stay in my position. Yes, so it's going great.

Scott Harrington:

Good.

Ivan Whitaker:

The non-emergency AI, we had a kickoff meeting today, very excited about that. The goal is to be up and live with that by September. One of the things that we will be doing is starting to reach out to municipalities on the variances. What I don't want to do is just implement exactly the way that we're doing things today because that's not going to be the value. The value is figuring out with each of you how you want things to be done because now we have a system that those nuances that you've always wanted based upon your SOPs and how you want things, we can actually implement it.

So, if your folks go home at 5:00 PM and you want something done differently, it can program it, we can program it to do it that way. When someone calls in that's Spanish speaking, it will send them whatever information they need in their native language and the thing would be very sophisticated. We could change SOPs by the hour, but we need to get with you once we get past the kickoff to see what you want those things to be.

Kevin Hicks:

So, the kickoff meeting, I assume, so it didn't happen this past Monday, it's this coming Monday?

Ivan Whitaker:

Kickoff was today.

Kevin Hicks:

Okay, so it just moved a little? Okay.

Ivan Whitaker:

Yes.

Kevin Hicks:

And you already did have it, though?

Ivan Whitaker:

Yes, we did.

Korban Lee:

Ivan, on that one, I'm grateful you want to give all of us agencies a little bit of customization, but don't give us so much customization that it becomes impossible to implement because you're constantly customizing Cottonwood Heights wishes versus West Jordan's wishes or whatever.

Ivan Whitaker:

Yes, I agree with that.

Korban Lee:

There's a balance to be struck there.

Ivan Whitaker:

Yes, I agree. The Peregrine data dashboards, we are probably three weeks in on that now. Very exciting.

We're at a point right now to where we're starting to migrate the data, Peregrine having access to the data. I've supplied the wireframes, which are the templates of what the data dashboards should look like and be. I went over those with the chiefs. They have an opportunity to provide me with info on how they want the data dashboards to be and the info.

The way this will work is everyone in this room will have access to the data dashboards. You'll each have a login. The trivial portion will be who's going to opt all in. And what I mean by that is if you opt all in, you will have access to everyone that is opt-in's data. If you don't, then you'll only have access to your data. We're building it out that way, assuming that most will opt in, but we'll see how that goes. We'll have a privacy document to sign off on based on that as well.

Scott Harrington:

And will it be only to one person in the organization or will it be multiple?

Ivan Whitaker:

One of the reasons we went with them is we can have an unlimited number of logins.

AVL project, a little bit of a twist to this, Versaterm got back with us the end of last week and let us know that adding the traffic, which is an important portion of the AVL, it's going to be about $20,000 annually. I haven't had a chance to counter or get them to negotiate this thing. That's just the initial email that I've been waiting for: if there's going to be a cost, what is going to be that cost?

There's some other dependencies. We're working on that EMD protocol. One of the things that now I have to do, now that I have the cost, is I have to get back with the fire chiefs because if we're going to change and go all AVL, like what we started, what we wanted to do, that's going to be different work for our CAD Administrator. A lot less work with the response configuration versus if we keep it the way it is, it's a lot of work.

So now that we have the cost and we know that it's a possibility to enhance the AVL, now I have to go back to the fire chiefs and see what they want to do with response. The goal here has always been all AVL, is just when. When do we want to make that transition, knowing that they just went to another response plan, seems like only two months ago.

Scott Harrington:

Questions/comment on AVL? Do they plan to have it by the end of year or?

Ivan Whitaker:

The AVL?

Scott Harrington:

Yes.

Ivan Whitaker:

That's what I'm waiting on. Now that I have the cost, I want to know what does that involve? When is it done? I want them to explain to me in detail what we get for that $20,000 and when it will be done.

Dwayne Anjewierden:

So that's their interface charged for mapping? Is that what that is?

Ivan Whitaker:

They're going through a third party called HERE.

Brad Morris:

It's a HERE subscription.

Ivan Whitaker:

Yes. I think that's what they're trying to expense to us.

Korban Lee:

Can I ask, Chief Evans, in fire, how much does the real-time traffic data matter? When you're running lights and sirens in a truck and you're doing closest unit, it's a little different than I'm commuting on I-15 and I see there's a traffic accident 10 miles up ahead. Does it matter to the fire chiefs, the real-time traffic data? Is that a big deal?

John Evans:

Bigger deal is routing. I'm not too sure on that. Routing's the biggest thing and I'll keep my comments what I think, but traffic 5:00 at night on Redwood Road, it's busy. I mean, I don't need somebody to tell me it's busy.

Tyson Montoya:

Are you asking if we even need it?

Korban Lee:

I'm trying to gauge how important it is. It's a cost and a delay and a delay in implementation. But if it's important to the fire chiefs, it matters.

Bruce Kartchner:

Doesn't it define where the closest vehicle is, though?

Ivan Whitaker:

That's what he's talking about with the...

Bruce Kartchner:

When you're talking about closest vehicle being important, if it's the closest vehicle physically, that may not be the closest vehicle because of traffic.

Korban Lee:

The closest vehicle measured time, not closest vehicle measured distance.

Kevin Hicks:

The route is the more important.

John Evans:

How many red lights are there? How many right turns, left turns? I mean, that's more important.

Terry Addison:

I agree, the routing's more important. Now, when it comes to closest vehicle, it might be like that HAAS Alert that a lot of the new fire apparatus are coming with. What vehicles are capable of being tracked? How will they be tracked? Where's that data coming from?

Because as an example, on that HAAS Alert system, only Mercedes, Volkswagens, and some of the other foreign cars are available, not the big US manufacturers, Fords, which there's a lot, Chevys, which is a lot of them. That's my big question when it comes to real-time traffic. How are they going to gather that data? So, I agree with Chief Evans, the routing is the most important for us.

Ivan Whitaker:

I need to get back with the chiefs and really get into the weeds because the important piece here is A, what are the capabilities? What is the traffic really going to solve for us? They explained it before they came out, their CEO, but now that they say they have the technology, what does it really do?

And then we look at the AVR or the routing and the AVL portion to make sure that it's everything we want it to be, then I have to go into negotiations about the 20K, so we'll get back with the board.

Scott Harrington:

Okay. Any other questions?

Ivan Whitaker:

One last thing, the backup center. I sent an email about that. It is slated to be done in 2028. I'm on a committee of five that we're walking through all of what needs to be in that building. They're not going to take care of things like a food source or anything like that. So, I'm trying to jot down any type of auxiliary cost that's going to come out of it. I don't think it's big money, but we still need to make sure that we report to the board any costs that might come out of this.

Scott Harrington:

So, they would use that as training when it's in its downtime, which would be great because that'll keep it going.

Korban Lee:

Ivan, did you cover reverse 911? Did I miss that?

Ivan Whitaker:

Sorry about that. So, Reverse 911, UFA's emergency management has a system that they're looking at that I think will satisfy what all of us need. So, we're just waiting to see where they are with that system.

Again, we're still going to keep our current system in place until we find out what they're doing. We're still going to be involved. We'll still push the button, but we won't manage the system if it goes over to the county emergency management. So, we're still waiting on an update.

Scott Harrington:

Any other questions?

FINANCIAL REPORT FOR APRIL

Scott Harrington:

Financial Report, Tyson.

Tyson Montoya:

We're closed through end of May. We likely won't see you next month because I think we typically cancel the July meeting, so I want to talk a little bit about revenues and expenses.

You can see our e-tax is at 78% of budget. We are two months behind on that. You see our actual for '25 was just over 9.1. I'm anticipating us coming in about 9.2 million on that.

We've done really well with interest income this year. I've kept our operating account balance as low as possible and kept as much in our PTIF account to get as much interest as we possibly can. If we back out that $400,000, that special assessment, you can see we're at 96% or 22.7 million. We would be at 94%. So, we're trending very well year-to-date. I mentioned this last meeting, revenue-wise, this is going to be one of VECC's best years. Any questions on that before we move to expense?

Scott Harrington:

No, don't see any.

Tyson Montoya:

On personnel, you can see we're at 87% on full-time wages. As we mentioned earlier, that's as a result of our FTE count. Just by default, we have more overtime because we're asking employees to do more, but you can see the part-time wages year-to-date amount is under budget and is going to wash out between the two. Overall, we're at 87% on personnel cost.

On admin and operations, we do have some line items here that we're running a little bit high on: the software expense, facility, maintenance, and then obviously the equipment. I'm in the process of wrapping up our year-end, so I will do some capitalization. We will move some expense out of that and onto the balance sheet but right now, as of May 31, it was at 94%. Our overall expense was at 89% or just over 21 million, leaving us with the 1.46 million in net operating revenues. Any questions on that?

I was talking with the finance committee and next year, and I'll take suggestions from you guys, if you want to see something different, we're going to include year over year, month and month, and year-to-date so we can see how we're trending next year versus this year on a year-to-date. So, I'll just add a column in there that shows current year to date versus last year. Is there anything else that you guys want to see on this report that you currently don't?

Scott Harrington:

I think it's great. It's good information.

Tyson Montoya:

The next slide is our cash position. This is going to change as well. I'm going to take out the Zions Bank Trust. We are going to add another PTIF account. We're going to move that $400,000 from the special assessment and we're going to put that into just a different PTIF account that we can earmark for certain things, and we'll keep you guys apprised of what we're going to spend that money on. You can see our beginning balance is at the top.

The net change in cash, you can see I'm keeping our operating account as low as possible. You can see we brought in $842,000 from our e-tax. We ended up using 1.55 million out of the PTIF account. So that net difference is $707,000. Our ending fund balance as of May 31st is the 4.876 million figure. When we include our operating account, we're at the 5.26 million. Any questions on that?

On the checks, as I mentioned, I'm in the process of doing year-end cleanup. We had some checks, you'll see some, beginning with Office Depot, that negative 709.20. We had a handful of checks that were just stale checks that we voided and reissued. Nothing else on the first page that's out of the ordinary that I want to talk about.

On the second page we have Versaterm, that $66,000 is a pass-through cost. $47,000 from Insight, that is a VMware. That's our three-year annual license so that'll get moved over into prepaid at year-end. The very last check is another Versaterm pass-through.

Korban Lee:

What's the Worldgate LLC?

Tyson Montoya:

That is... Where are you seeing that?

Scott Harrington:

Credit card. Six up from the bottom.

Tyson Montoya:

I'm sure it's related to software, but I can find out more and let you know.

A couple more items. We're going to be sending out the fiscal year '27 assessments next week. They'll be dated 07/01. If you want to prep your team on that and let me know if you guys don't see them.

We have our auditors that will be here the second week of July. If you have any questions on that, let me know. I think that was all I had actually.

Scott Harrington:

All right. Any other questions for Tyson?

Rachel Nipper:

Jodi commented in the meeting. Worldgate is a vendor that works with UKG.

Scott Harrington:

All right.

Tyson Montoya:

There you go.

Scott Harrington:

Did you hear that, Korban?

Tyson Montoya:

Oh yeah, they're a consulting company that works with UKG.

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CONTRACT AGREEMENT

Scott Harrington:

Next item, Resolution to approve the Executive Director contract. Kevin and I worked on the changes that we had coming out of last board meeting. Basically, I believe we got them all in there. We talked with Ivan because this is a two-way contract, so he did get a couple of small changes in there that we kind of talked about. I got this out to you last week, so you'd have plenty of time to review it. Is there any questions or comments on this resolution?

Dwayne Anjewierden:

Thanks for your work on it. Appreciate it.

Scott Harrington:

It was a good exercise. Good and bad.

Bruce Kartchner:

Also, thanks to Ivan for putting up with us for a few more years now.

Scott Harrington:

Any questions?

Bruce Kartchner:

I move that we approve the resolution to Approve Executive Director Contract Agreement.

Scott Harrington:

All right. And do I have a second?

Kevin Hicks:

Second. I think Korban had a question, though.

Korban Lee:

Question. I support it. I support the motion. I just want to mention a couple things I noticed to get it in, make sure it's our intent.

The contract references the "Executive Director" as the title of position, the salary range list listed as a "Chief Executive Officer." I think it's our intent that those terms are interchangeable, but there is a little bit of a discrepancy there.

There's a discrepancy, a little bit of a lack of clarification, I guess, in the contract, about the board has the ability to raise Ivan's pay within the range, and then a paragraph says, "The chair has the ability to raise Ivan's pay within the range." I think it's our intent that the chair has the ability to raise the pay within the range; just want to make sure that's clear.

And then the vacation payout paragraph, it says, "vacation payout of accrued hours" I think it's our intent that the accrued hours are capped per the VECC handbook, the employee handbook, and the hours listed. I don't think we need to make those changes in the contract, but I think I just want to get into the record that I think that's our intentions.

Scott Harrington:

Correct.

Korban Lee:

I just wanted to get that on the minutes before the vote.

Ivan Whitaker:

We're going to update the employee handbook and clarify it there as well.

Scott Harrington:

Right. Yes, but in the contract we don't specifically say that, but where you're an employee, it's implied. But yeah, I did have that conversation with Korban. We'll need a roll call vote on this one since it's financial, I guess.

Rachel Nipper:

Mr. Harrington, Taylorsville?

Scott Harrington:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Mr. Hicks, Riverton?

Kevin Hicks:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Mr. Lee, West Jordan?

Korban Lee:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Mr. Barker, Draper?

Mike Barker:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Mr. Sorenson, Murray?

Kim Sorenson:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Mr. Lewis, South Jordan?

Dustin Lewis:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Mr. Collins, South Salt Lake?

Josh Collins:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Mr. Cherpeski, Herriman? He was on earlier, but I don't see him now.

Rachel Nipper:
Mr. Kartchner, Bluffdale?

Bruce Kartchner:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Mr. Gerber, Cottonwood Heights?

Jared Gerber:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Chief Burchett, UFA?

Chief Burchett:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Undersheriff Archuleta, Salt Lake County?

Undersheriff Archuleta:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Chief Evans, West Valley City?

John Evans:

Yes.

Rachel Nipper:

Chief Anjewierden, UPD?

Dwayne Anjewierden:

Yes.

Scott Harrington:

All right, that motion passes.

> **Motion –**
> **. . . by Mr. Bruce Kartchner, to approve Resolution 2026-10, A resolution to continue the Executive Director Employment Agreement with Ivan Whitaker as Executive Director, the motion was seconded by Mr. Kevin Hicks; the motion carried unanimously.**

JULY BOARD MEETING CANCELLATION DISCUSSION

Scott Harrington:

July board meeting, we talked about canceling that one in our last meeting, is everybody good with that? I'm getting lots of nods, so yes. I'm going to go with it's canceled.

Korban Lee:

Do we have to take a vote on that or anything?

Scott Harrington:

I don't think so. If you want to, we can.

MOTION TO ADJOURN

Scott Harrington:

Motion to adjourn then.

Korban Lee:

Move to adjourn.

Bruce Kartchner:

Second.

Motion –
. . . by Mr. Korban Lee, to adjourn the meeting, the motion was seconded by Mr. Bruce Kartchner; the motion carried unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 2:44 p.m.