

**MINUTES OF THE CITY OF HOLLADAY
CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Thursday, June 11, 2026

6:00 p.m.

City Council Chambers

3330 South 1300 East

Millcreek, Utah

ATTENDANCE:

Paul Fotheringham, Mayor
Emily Gray
Drew Quinn
Matt Durham
Dave Sundwall
Natalie Bradley - *excused*

City Staff:

Gina Chamness, City Manager
Stephanie Carlson, City Recorder
Todd Godfrey, City Attorney
Joe Bolton, Assistant City Engineer
Holly Smith, Assistant City Manager
Christian Larsen, Finance Director

I. Welcome – Mayor Fotheringham.

Mayor Fotheringham called the City Council Meeting to order at approximately 6:06 p.m.

II. Public Comments.

Andy White. A lot of people in the community walk along the canal trails. Recently, two of those trails have been blocked off. There is one located on Fardown Avenue, and another located on Arbor Lane. Mr. White read language from Utah's Prescriptive Road Statute, which states that when something has been continuously used as a public thoroughfare for a period of 10 years, use by the public is sufficient. It is not necessary to show that the property owner intended to dedicate that roadway. Any public right-of-way may be established by public use, which includes roads for vehicle travel as well as trails used by pedestrians.

Mr. White reported that the areas he mentioned on Fardown Avenue and Arbor Lane have changed significantly in the last two months. One area had a fence installed with a padlock. He has been unable to determine who owns that land and asked the City Council to look into this matter. Mayor Fotheringham stated that the land on Arbor Lane is owned by Salt Lake City Public Utilities.

Lori Dunn – She is representing the residents who live on the canal. The gate mentioned by Mr. White has been there since she moved to Arbor Lane, which was approximately nine years ago. Someone vandalized the gate, cut the chain link fence, and moved the fence back. As someone who lives on the canal, she does not want there to be public access in the area, especially since there will be additional people moving into the Cottonwood Mall area. Those who like having that access available are those who do not live on the canal. The residents who live on the canal have seen a lot of vandalism and drug deals taking place in the area.

Kim Duffy. Reported that the canal trail has been used for 39 years. There was a period of time when there was a wooden bridge and it was possible to walk around the fence on Arbor Lane, which is something residents liked to do. She understands the City seriously considered canal

trails a few years back, but that did not move forward. Ms. Duffy suggested that the City of Holladay look at what Murray has done, as there is an extensive canal trail there that is successful. It would be interesting to hear from Murray about whether there has been an increase in crime since that canal trail opened to the public. She encouraged the City to look into data from other cities.

Patti Sansom and her backyard has direct access to the canal trail that has been discussed. The home that she lives in was purchased in 1950. The original gate was purchased by the residents of Memory Lane, and keys were given to the families in the area. She does not know when the gate was updated by Salt Lake City Public Utilities. Ms. Sansom reported that in the last few years, she has experienced dogs entering her yard, which poses safety concerns to her family. The fence that she installed is not allowed to block the telephone poles, so there is space where people can enter her backyard. It is often used as an access. She has had people use that space to walk from the canal to Memory Lane. As a result, she had to put up a temporary gate for privacy. She has experienced vandalism, graffiti, and damage to her property and the cement wall that is there.

Sally Browning. She shared comments about the trail from Fardown Avenue to 6200 South. That trail benefited the community. It was possible to walk from Fardown Avenue to 6200 South, visit a store, and walk back without having to walk along 6200 South, which can be dangerous. It has been a community-building activity to walk on the trail.

Shauni Robert. has been there for the last six years. When she first moved in, she had difficulty finding a nice place to walk her dog, because there are a lot of dead-end streets in the area. She eventually met other residents while walking her dog along the canal trail. It is a place where people socialize and establish a community. She understands the concerns that have been expressed about privacy and crime, but she has never witnessed crime taking place on the trail. A solution would be a focus on upkeep and maintenance rather than closing the trail entirely. To enjoy green space with her dog without this trail, she would need to drive somewhere else. She would like to see the canal trail open again with more maintenance.

Mike Neuberger. His lot backs up to the canal, and he has shrubs placed there. His backyard is not private, and people can see in, so he deals with a lot of noise. There are many people who walk on Memory Lane in front of his house, but he does not like the idea of additional people walking along the back of his home. The backyard is supposed to be private. He is opposed to more access in the area, because it impacts the residents near the canal.

Jeanette Baldy. She has been walking the trail between 6200 South and Fardown Avenue for the last five years. It is a safe area, and the properties on either side of the canal are fenced. The trail is used by many in the community and she used to walk it every other day. It is a wonderful trail, and she asked that it become accessible to members of the community again.

Robert Robb. He wanted to know if the City Council can influence either the closure or opening of the canal trails that have been discussed. Mayor Fotheringham clarified that during the public comment period, there is not a back and forth between the City Council and residents. This is an opportunity for residents to be heard by the City Council.

Paul Marlowe. He shared comments about the access that has been shut off to the canal trail. Those canals have been open for more than 50 years without issue. He lived in the area in the

1960s and 1970s, and those areas were not closed. Now that a few people have built new homes near the end, the access to the canal has been closed. For some reason, the police, County, and lawyers have become involved and canal access was shut off. Since it has been shut off, it is no longer possible to walk from 6200 to Fardown Avenue. This is because of two individuals who wanted a driveway put in to control the area. A gate was placed on their property so no one could enter that property but were also able to shut off access to the canal at that end. He does not understand why something has not been done by the City to address this issue.

Steve Glaser. He shared comments about the Cottonwood Mall and Holladay Hills area. Living on Arbor Lane, he is directly across the street from the new development, which has been underway for several years. Everything was fine until the last few months. More recently, it seems like there has not been compliance with the ordinances. He submitted complaints to the City, but there was no response. On April 17, 2026, he filled out a Citizen Request Form regarding the wall that was put in near the park on Memory Lane. It used to be a chain link fence, but it is now a 6-foot-tall wall. He explained that the wall poses safety concerns for residents. He read language from 13.76.710 of the City Code, which is related to fences. When something is constructed near the road, it can only be 4 feet tall. If it is considered a corner side yard, it can be 5 feet tall. In order to have a 6-foot-tall wall, it should be 2 feet back, which this is not.

Mr. Glaser reported that he submitted a request form related to construction dust and also left a voicemail for the Code Enforcement Officer. In the section of the development along Memory Lane, he has not seen active dust control. It is possible to wet down the dirt roads where vehicles drive. One major cause of dust is when vehicles are driving on those dirt roads. Mr. Glaser stated that there are two additional issues that he has not raised with the City, because he did not receive a response about the other issues that were communicated. One issue has to do with the construction start time. Based on the code, the work should not start before 8:00 a.m., but he has seen it occur as early as 6:20 a.m. The final issue has to do with the Tree Ordinance. He explained that a number of trees on Memory Lane have been cut down. There are three left, but there used to be more than a dozen. In the section to the west of Memory Lane, there was an area full of trees, and all have been cut down.

Ron Dunn It is wonderful to be able to walk in the community. There have been many comments from residents who enjoy walking in the canal area. He does not have an issue with residents walking in the area, but when something is open, it is open for everyone. There is evidence of illegal activity taking place off Arbor Lane. He has had to pick up drug paraphernalia in the past so children do not come across anything dangerous. While it is wonderful to have areas where it is possible to take a dog for a walk, there have been instances when owners do not pick up after their animals. There are health and safety concerns in the area.

Jeff Wheelwright. He stated that he has lived in the Holladay area for 48 years. His children used to walk the section from Fardown Avenue to 6200 and also used to ride their bicycles to reach the store. He also walked there with his dog and is frustrated that it is not possible to access the area anymore. Walking down 6200 South is challenging, especially when there is an animal on a leash. There has been a gate in place for a long time to keep vehicle traffic out, but people were still able to access the area for walking. Mr. Wheelwright explained that it is an enjoyable place to spend time in nature, and he would like to see it remain open for public use.

Steven. He saw a sign at the canal trail recently about the closure. The date and time of the City Council Meeting was noticed, and he made the decision to attend. He enjoys the trail and has traditionally removed trash from the area and has pulled weeds. There is a willingness to continue to remove trash and pull weeds if those are the main concerns from nearby residents. It is also possible to put a trash can in the area and then collect the trash. There were some comments made about graffiti, but he is willing to remove any inappropriate graffiti on the trail. He has not seen anything like that on the trail previously, but there is a willingness to dedicate his time.

Mayor Fotheringham reported that the City does not have any active trail projects on the immediate horizon. The properties discussed during the public comment period are not owned or controlled by the City. In addition, the gates are not owned, operated, installed, or removed by the City of Holladay.

Most of the canals in question are owned outright by Salt Lake City Public Utilities, though there are some exceptions to parts of the canal. There is no consistent ownership along the length of the canal trail. Mayor Fotheringham is confident that the gate on the Arbor Lane side is owned by Salt Lake City Public Utilities, but he is not certain when it comes to the Fardown Avenue area. He clarified that the sign posted about the City Council Meeting was not posted by the City, but residents are always welcome to attend City Council Meetings and share comments. He reiterated that the City does not have any trail projects planned for the near future. A few years ago, there was a grant received to conduct a study related to trails. This did not result in the City moving forward with a specific trails project, but the study provided the City Council with additional information. Mayor Fotheringham offered to find out who owns the property near the Fardown Avenue canal trail.

III. Motion to Ratify Ordinance 2026-03A – Restricting the Use of Personal Fireworks Within all Portions of the City Lying East of 1300 East.

Mayor Fotheringham explained that the City Council passed an Ordinance at the end of April outlining the fireworks map. There was a desire to revisit that decision before the start of the fireworks season. During the City Council Meeting in April, it was determined that all personal fireworks will be restricted throughout the City, but this would be revisited later on in case weather conditions changed.

Council Member Emily Gray explained that given the fires that have occurred in the valley, she is not inclined to make a change to what was passed in April. Council Member Matt Durham reported that his office overlooked the fire that occurred yesterday on Ensign Peak. It was interesting to watch how it developed and how first responders handled the issue. He is not inclined to change what was decided on in April for the fireworks. Mayor Fotheringham stated that he has not seen evidence that the fire risk has changed since the initial discussion about personal fireworks in the City.

Council Member Gray moved to RATIFY Ordinance 2026-03A – Restricting the Use of Personal Fireworks Within all Portions of the City Lying East of 1300 East. Council Member Quinn seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

IV. *Consideration of Ordinance 2026-07 – Amending the Zoning Map for Property Located at 6037, 6048, and 6051 Highland Drive from R-2-10 and 1995 East 6060 South from R-1-10 to Residential Multi-Family (R-M) Zone.*

Council Member Durham moved to ADOPT Ordinance 2026-07 – Amending the Zoning Map for Property Located at 6037, 6048, and 6051 Highland Drive from R-2-10 and 1995 East 6060 South from R-1-10 to Residential Multi-Family (R-M) Zone. Council Member Gray seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

V. *Consideration of Ordinance 2026-08 – Amending the Budget for Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2025, and Ending June 30, 2026.*

Council Member Sundwall moved to ADOPT Ordinance 2026-08 – Amending the Budget for the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2025, and Ending June 30, 2026. Council Member Gray seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

VI. *Consideration of Ordinance 2026-09 – Determining the Rate of Tax for 2026/2027 Tax Year and Levying Taxes Upon All Real and Personal Property Within the City of Holladay.*

Finance Director, Christian Larsen, reported that the State Legislature made a change this year, which pushed the date that the Certified Tax Rate needed to be finalized to June 13. However, the majority of the data is already available, which made it possible to calculate. In the event there is an unanticipated change, there will need to be a virtual meeting held in order to adopt the finalized Certified Tax Rate.

Mr. Larsen reported that the average home value in the City of Holladay in 2026 was \$1,084,900, but the County average is \$657,000. As property values increase, the Certified Tax Rate decreases, and the amount of revenue the City receives remains the same. However, there are situations where even if none of the taxing entities in the district implement an increase, an individual resident could see an increase on their bill. He shared example scenarios related to individual bill increases but reiterated that the City does not receive additional funds. Mr. Larsen reviewed information for 2026 and noted that the real property value increased by 5.5%. The centrally assessed value increased by 7.3%, personal property decreased by 2.7%, and there is a Board of Equalization adjustment shown at \$2.3 million.

Council Member Durham asked for additional information about centrally assessed value. City Manager, Gina Chamness, reported that it is where the value is not determined at the individual County level, but is set by the State Tax Commission. Mr. Larsen continued his presentation and outlined the total adjusted value. Every five years, the County creates its collection rate, which is the rate at which they are able to collect the property taxes, which is 97.42%. The value of new growth is removed and multiplied by the Certified Tax Rate. New growth is added back in to determine the total revenue for property tax. In this fiscal year, the total revenue is \$8,605,000.

Mr. Larsen reported that the property tax for an average home in the City of Holladay is shifting from \$754 to \$764. That means there is a \$10 increase without the City pursuing a property tax increase. It was noted that this is due to the slight change in residential value versus commercial

value. Mr. Larsen reported that the Certified Tax Rate is 0.001281. He asked that this be stated in the motion language.

City Attorney, Todd Godfrey, reported that there is a clerical correction in the heading of the Ordinance that needs to be made, as the wrong fiscal year is stated. It should read 2026-2027.

Council Member Gray moved to ADOPT Ordinance 2026-09 – Determining the Rate of Tax for 2026/2027 Tax Year and Levying Taxes Upon All Real and Personal Property Within the City of Holladay at a Rate of 0.001281. Council Member Sundwall seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

VII. *Consideration of Ordinance 2026-10 – Approving a Compensation Schedule for Elected, Executive, Appointed/Statutory, and all Other Municipal Officers.*

Council Member Durham moved to ADOPT Ordinance 2026-10 – Approving a Compensation Schedule for Elected, Executive, Appointed/Statutory, and all Other Municipal Officers. Council Member Gray seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

VIII. *Consideration of Ordinance 2026-11 – Adopting a Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2026-2027*

Mayor Fotheringham expressed appreciation for everyone who worked on the budget for this fiscal year. He took a moment to thank both the Unified Police Department (“UPD”) and Unified Fire Authority (“UFA”) since both came in under 2.4%. This is his ninth budget season, but his first as Mayor. He is pleased that there was excellent work done by both City Staff and the public safety partners.

Council Member Gray moved to ADOPT Ordinance 2026-11 – Adopting a Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2026-2027. Council Member Quinn seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

IX. *Consideration of Resolution 2026-16 – Amending the Consolidated Fee Schedule for the City Relating to the Cost to the City for Providing Certain City Services.*

Mayor Fotheringham reported that the above item relates to amendments to the Consolidated Fee Schedule. There was a study done that looked at the cost recovery for City services. Amendments have been made to capture more of the disproportionate costs. Some fees will come into effect on January 1, 2027. Council Member Dave Sundwall believed there will be notices sent out to the business community once Resolution 2026-16 has passed, which was confirmed. There is a desire to receive feedback about the fees that are proposed to come into effect on January 1, 2027. Ms. Chamness reported that most businesses will see a reduction in their annual fee. As a result, she expects that the majority of feedback that will be received about the amendments will be positive.

Council Member Durham moved to APPROVE Resolution 2026-16 – Amending the Consolidated Fee Schedule for the City Relating to the Cost to the City for Providing Certain City Services. Council Member Sundwall seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

X. *Consideration of Resolution 2026-17 – Adopting the 4th Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement with Salt Lake County for Public Works.*

Ms. Chamness reported that four years ago, the City entered into a five-year agreement with Salt Lake County to provide Public Works services. That agreement is amended each year to reflect the new budget. The amendment matches what is in the Final Budget. This will be the last amendment under the existing contract. There will be a discussion about how to proceed in the next fiscal year.

Council Member Quinn moved to APPROVE Resolution 2026-17 – Adopting the 4th Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement with Salt Lake County for Public Works. Council Member Durham seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

XI. *Consideration of Resolution 2026-18 – Granting the Advice and Consent of the City Council for the Appointment of Members to the Planning Commission.*

Ms. Chamness reported that there are two members of the Planning Commission, Brian Berndt and Angela Gong, proposed to be reappointed to the Commission with terms ending on June 30, 2029. Council Member Durham asked if there is a limit to the number of terms a Commissioner can serve. Ms. Chamness reported that it is possible to serve two terms on the Planning Commission.

Council Member Sundwall moved to APPROVE Resolution 2026-18 – Granting the Advice and Consent of the City Council for the Appointment of Members to the Planning Commission. Council Member Gray seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

XII. *Consideration of Resolution 2026-19 – Amending the City of Holladay Employee Manual.*

Ms. Chamness reviewed some of the proposed amendments. She stated that the date of Juneteenth will be finalized in the manual as June 19, and the sick leave accrual policy will change. For employees who have exceeded the new cap, there will be a deposit into either a 401K or 457(b).

Council Member Gray moved to APPROVE Resolution 2026-19 – Amending the City of Holladay Employee Manual. Council Member Durham seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

XIII. *Consideration of Resolution 2026-20 – Amending the Affordable Housing Agreement with KMW Development for the Royal Holladay Hills Project.*

Mayor Fotheringham reported that this item was discussed during the RDA Meeting. It relates to an amendment to the existing Affordable Housing Agreement. The main change has to do with the unit mix that has been proposed. There will be a more diverse mixture of units, including larger units.

Council Member Gray moved to APPROVE Resolution 2026-20 – Amending the Affordable Housing Agreement with KMW Development for the Royal Holladay Hills Project. Council Member Quinn seconded the motion. Vote on Motion: Council Member Gray-Yes; Council Member Quinn-Yes; Council Member Durham-Yes; Council Member Sundwall-Yes; Mayor Fotheringham-Yes. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

XIV. *City Manager Report – Gina Chamness.*

Ms. Chamness did not have any updates to share with the City Council.

XV. *Council Report and District Issues.*

Council Member Gray reported that she met with the Central Wasatch Commission (“CWC”) this week and found out that even after the mobility hub is built in the current gravel pit area, Utah Transit Authority (“UTA”) and the Utah Department of Transportation (“UDOT”) are planning to keep the park and ride open. Since this will be considered overflow, it might be possible to limit street parking.

Council Member Durham stated that he met with the Tree Committee last week and there was an interesting discussion about taking a more proactive approach with their social media presence.

Council Member Sundwall reported that he met with the Board of the South Salt Lake Valley Mosquito Abatement District this week. It was interesting to hear about all that is done to keep the mosquito populations down. With the unusually warm month of March and the cooler months since, the mosquito numbers are down. The hope is that this will remain over the next several months.

Mayor Fotheringham shared information about the UPD Command Staff. Chief Justin Hoyal is being promoted to Assistant Chief of UPD, and that is expected to occur at the next Board Meeting. He congratulated Chief Hoyal for this promotion but stated that the City is disappointed to lose him as the Chief of the Holladay Precinct. The job for the Chief of Holladay has been posted, and it is expected that any current Lieutenants who are interested will apply for that position. There will be interviews held on July 6, 2026. He expects a decision will be made shortly after that date. Mayor Fotheringham thanked Chief Hoyal for his years of dedicated service to the City of Holladay.

a. *Calendar*

Council Meetings – July 16, August 6 and 20, 2026.

The calendar items were reviewed and discussed. Mayor Fotheringham also reviewed the Arts Council activities, including Fiddler on the Roof from June 25, 2026, to June 30, 2026. There are also Fourth of July festivities planned, including a breakfast, concert, and drone show. The regular Summer Concert Series will take place every Saturday after that throughout July and August.

XVI. *Recess to Work Meeting.*

Council Member Durham moved to RECESS the City Council Meeting and RECONVENE in a Work Meeting. Council Member Sundwall seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council. The Work meeting convened at 7:25 pm.

a. City Hall and Spring Lane Updates and Costs.

Assistant City Engineer, Joe Bolton, shared an update about the construction on the City Hall building. Most of the demolition has been completed. He reviewed several images with the City Council that document the work that has been done. There has also been some excavation done for the vestibule addition on the south side of the building. Footings and foundation work will start tomorrow, and there will be concrete early next week. In the basement, there have been some cuts into the floor for the new footings under the structural walls. The completion date has not changed, and the work is scheduled to be done in mid-September 2027.

Mr. Bolton reported that built-in cabinetry has been added to the contractor's scope of work. Originally, it was called out to be owner-provided, but the General Contractor is able to handle this task. He reviewed the areas where this will be added.

Mr. Bolton shared information about what has stalled the demolition work. During the building demolition, it was found that there were conflicts with mechanical, electrical, and plumbing, and the location of the new seismic structural walls. He explained that these will be moved slightly, so the City is waiting to learn the cost of that additional work. However, there will be 16 inches of hallway width gained upstairs and downstairs due to this shift. He does not anticipate the additional work will impact the overall construction schedule. Mr. Bolton reported that there are some color changes proposed to the Staff lounge.

Mr. Larsen reported that in 2025, the relocation costs were estimated to be approximately \$700,000. The construction of City Hall was estimated to be approximately \$8 million, and there was a \$1 million contingency planned. Around this time, there was also an estimate received that the project would cost approximately \$8.5 million. For the Spring Lane site, the estimate was that it would cost \$8,967,000. One of the funding sources included the earmark, which continues to remain uncertain. Ms. Chamness explained that the earmark is currently on hold until the Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA") issues a Notice of Funding Opportunity. Once that has been issued by FEMA, the conversation about whether or not Holladay is still eligible for the earmark will resume.

In the 2025 LBA bond, there is \$10 million. The Tourism, Recreation, Culture, and Convention ("TRCC") grant shows \$730,000, which is for the redesign of the auditorium. Mr. Larsen referenced an HVAC Energy Efficiency grant for \$100,000, which has a \$43,000 match from the City. In addition, the current budget includes the \$5.8 million transferred in from Capital Projects. There is \$180,000 worth of use of Capital Projects Fund Balance, which is within the Capital Projects Fund. There is also \$433,000 within the General Fund, which was primarily for the lease of the temporary City Hall building as well as operating costs associated with the project. There is \$400,000 in bond interest as well. He encouraged the Council to view the bond interest monies as not available to spend. It would be prudent to maintain a certain amount in case there is an arbitrage rebate liability.

Mr. Larsen reviewed the expenditures for the City Hall renovation and reported that it is anticipated there will be approximately \$10 million in cash available for the Spring Lane development. The

majority of that will be bond proceeds and a transfer from the Capital Projects Fund to the LBA. Discussions were had about the funding available for the City Hall and Spring Lane projects.

Assistant City Manager, Holly Smith, shared the latest version of the Site Plan from the Preliminary Bridging Document. There are a lot of elements that the Council has seen before, but adjustments were made in response to the community engagement, the insights received from the dog park visits, and Council Member feedback. She reviewed the Site Plan. There is also a pavilion that will seat at least 50 people, which will be beneficial for private parties as well as City events. Ms. Smith reported that there is a maintenance and restroom structure. The aggregate trail is now located on the outside, which will be better for walkers and joggers making use of the space. There is a plaza space, and a welcome sign was added as well as a historical marker and possible public art piece. The design is reflective of the former Spring Lane Elementary School.

The dog park has been moved slightly off of the east boundary. Ms. Smith explained that during the public engagement process, a lot of feedback indicated that residents wanted more of a buffer area. MHTN Architects has added trees around those facilities and the perimeter of the park so there is more privacy and buffering. The dog park is proposed to be 0.75 acres in size and will have a lot of the amenities that were seen during the dog park visits, such as two gate entries and waste stations.

The all-ages playground is the same, but some shade sails were added. The pickleball courts have moved down slightly, but the proposal is still to have four courts. There is some landscaping and buffering planned to mitigate the noise.

Mayor Fotheringham expressed support for the Parking Agreement and the parking that is proposed. He likes the current version of the design and the additional buffering that has been provided. Something he is curious to hear professional feedback about is whether the restrooms could be east and west instead so those are closer to the playground and there are clearer sightlines. Council Member Durham asked to discuss the trellis. Ms. Smith explained that the glulam pieces that were salvaged from the building are interior materials that would need to be treated in a specialized manner to be used outside. The proposal is to honor the heritage without using the actual materials.

Council Members expressed support for what has been proposed, especially when it comes to the additional buffering. Ms. Smith reported that there is more budget available than originally anticipated since some of the costs have come under what was originally anticipated. She shared a table with some recently received cost estimates. Discussions were had about the Council priorities. Mayor Fotheringham thought it would be nice to have additional information about the pros and cons of the turf option that has been outlined. He would like to know more about the quality of the current turf and what responsible irrigation improvements could be made on the Spring Lane site. Council Member Gray stated that it is important to create a space that is water efficient. Ms. Smith stated that additional information will be provided during the July 16, 2026, City Council Meeting.

Ms. Smith reported that there are two grant applications pending for this project. The intention is to apply for more in the future as well. The more money that is brought in, the more it will be available for other items. She noted that funding will continue to be pursued for the Spring Lane development.

XVII. Closed Session for the Purposes Described in U.C.A. 52-4-204-and 205.

There was no Closed Session.

XVIII. Adjourn.

Council Member Durham moved to ADJOURN. Council Member Gray seconded the motion. The motion passed with the unanimous consent of the Council.

The City Council Meeting adjourned at approximately 7:51 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing represents a true, accurate, and complete record of the Holladay City Council Meeting held Thursday, June 11, 2026.

Stephanie N. Carlson, MMC
Holladay City Recorder

Paul Fotheringham, Mayor

Minutes approved: **July 16, 2026**