

NO FORMAL ACTIONS ARE TAKEN IN A WORK MEETING

5:30 P.M. - WORK MEETING - MULTI-PURPOSE ROOM

CALL TO ORDER

COUNCIL BUSINESS

1. Calendar

- Jul 21 - Work Study Meeting 5:30 p.m., Regular Meeting 7:00 p.m.
- Jul 24 - Observance of the Pioneer Day Holiday (Civic Center Closed Friday)
- Aug 04 - Work Study Meeting 5:30 p.m., Regular Meeting 7:00 p.m.

2. REVIEW OF THE 7:00 P.M. REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS

- a) Invocation - Councilmember Millsap
- b) Pledge of Allegiance - Councilmember Ellingson
- c) Consent Agenda
 2. Approval of the minutes for the June 16, 2026 work meeting and regular meeting and the June 25, 2026 emergency meeting.
 3. Approval of an Ordinance amending Title 11, Chapter 6 of the Springville City Code to meet the minimum requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program regulations in 44 CFR Part 60.3(d), and to formally adopt the new Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report and Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) for Utah County and Incorporated Areas - Jeff Anderson, Assistant Public Works Director
 4. Approval of the Mayor's reappointment of Craig Child to the Landmark Preservation Commission

3. WORK MEETING DISCUSSIONS/PRESENTATIONS

- a) Arts Master Plan Updates & FY27 Priorities - Emily Larsen, Museum of Art Director
- b) New National Flood Insurance Program 44 CFR Part 60.3D requirement updates - Jeff Anderson, Assistant Public Works Director
- c) TUF Capital Projects FY 2027 - Jeff Anderson, Assistant Public Works Director

MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

ADJOURNMENT

CLOSED SESSION, IF NEEDED - TO BE ANNOUNCED IN MOTION

The Springville City Council may temporarily recess the meeting and convene in a closed session as provided by UCA 52-4-205.

AMENDED JULY 06, 2026 AT 4:26 P.M.

7:00 P.M. COUNCIL MEETING - CITY COUNCIL ROOM

CALL TO ORDER

INVOCATION

PLEDGE

APPROVAL OF THE MEETING'S AGENDA

MAYOR'S COMMENTS

CEREMONIAL

1. Miss Springville/Mapleton Presentation

PUBLIC COMMENT - Audience members may bring any item, not on the agenda, to the Mayor and Council's attention. Please complete and submit a "Request to Speak" form. Comments will be limited to two or three minutes, at the mayor's discretion. State Law prohibits the Council from acting on items that do not appear on the agenda.

CONSENT AGENDA - The Consent Agenda consists of items previously discussed or that are administrative actions where no additional discussion is needed. When approved, the recommendations in the staff reports become the action of the Council. The agenda provides an opportunity for public comment. If, after the public comment, the Council removes an item from the consent agenda for discussion, the item will keep its agenda number. It will be added to the regular agenda for discussion unless otherwise specified by the Council.

2. Approval of the minutes for the June 16, 2026 work meeting and regular meeting and the June 25, 2026 emergency meeting.
3. Approval of an Ordinance amending Title 11, Chapter 6 of the Springville City Code to meet the minimum requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program regulations in 44 CFR Part 60.3(d), and to formally adopt the new Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report and Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) for Utah County and Incorporated Areas - Jeff Anderson, Assistant Public Works Director
4. Approval of the Mayor's reappointment of Craig Child to the Landmark Preservation Commission

REGULAR AGENDA

- ~~5. Consideration of a Real Estate purchase Contract to sell a piece of Land owned by Springville City in Spanish Fork - Scott Sensanbaugher, Internal Services Director-ITEM POSTPONED~~

MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

ADJOURNMENT - CLOSED SESSION, IF NEEDED - TO BE ANNOUNCED IN MOTION

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING - THIS AGENDA IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITH A MINIMUM OF 24-HOURS NOTICE - POSTED 07/01/2026
In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City will make reasonable accommodations to ensure accessibility to this meeting. If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please get in touch with the City Recorder at (801) 489-2700 at least three business days prior to the meeting.
Meetings of the Springville City Council may be conducted by electronic means pursuant to Utah Code Annotated Section 52-4-207. In such circumstances, contact will be established and maintained by telephone or other electronic means, and the meeting will be conducted pursuant to Springville City Municipal Code 2-4-102(4) regarding electronic meetings.
s/s - Kim Crane, MMC, City Recorder



SPRINGVILLE CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 2025
110 South Main Street
Springville, Utah 84663

The Springville City Council may temporarily recess the meeting and convene in a closed session as provided by UCA 52-4-205.

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING - THIS AGENDA IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITH A MINIMUM OF 24-HOURS NOTICE - POSTED 07/01/2026

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City will make reasonable accommodations to ensure accessibility to this meeting. If you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please get in touch with the City Recorder at (801) 489-2700 at least three business days prior to the meeting.

Meetings of the Springville City Council may be conducted by electronic means pursuant to Utah Code Annotated Section 52-4-207. In such circumstances, contact will be established and maintained by telephone or other electronic means, and the meeting will be conducted pursuant to Springville City Municipal Code 2-4-102(4) regarding electronic meetings.

s/s - Kim Crane, MMC, City Recorder



MINUTES
Springville City Council Work/Study Meeting - June 16, 2026

MINUTES OF THE WORK/STUDY MEETING OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY COUNCIL HELD ON
TUESDAY, JUNE 16, 2026, AT 5:30 P.M. AT THE CIVIC CENTER, 110 SOUTH MAIN STREET,
SPRINGVILLE, UTAH.

Presiding and Conducting: Mayor Matt Packard

Elected Officials in Attendance: Karen Ellingson
Logan Millsap
Jake Smith Excused
Mike Snelson
Mindi Wright

City Staff in Attendance: City Administrator Troy Fitzgerald, Assistant City Administrator/City Attorney John Penrod, Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director Bruce Riddle, City Recorder Kim Crane, Community Development Director Josh Yost, Internal Services Director Scott Sensanbaugher, Library Director Dan Mickelson, Parks and Recreation Director Stacey Child, Power Director Jason Miller, Public Works Director Brad Stapley, Public Safety Director Lance Haight, Assistant Public Works Director Jeff Anderson, and Streets Superintendent Jason Riding.

CALL TO ORDER - Mayor Packard welcomed everyone and called the Work/Study meeting to order at 5:32 p.m.

COUNCIL BUSINESS

1. Calendar

- Jul 03 - Observance of the July 4th Holiday (Civic Center Closed Friday)
- Jul 07 - Work Study Meeting 5:30 p.m., Regular Meeting 7:00 p.m.
- Jul 21 - Work Study Meeting 5:30 p.m., Regular Meeting 7:00 p.m.
- Jul 24 - Observance of the Pioneer Day Holiday (Civic Center Closed Friday)

Mayor Packard asked if there were any additions to the calendar. There were none.

2. DISCUSSION ON THIS EVENING'S REGULAR MEETING AGENDA ITEMS

- a) Invocation - Councilmember Wright
- b) Pledge of Allegiance - Councilmember Snelson
- c) Consent Agenda
 3. Approval of the minutes for the June 02, 2026 work and regular meetings
 4. Approval of renaming 1600 South between I-15 and SR 51 as the Dry Creek Parkway - Laura Thompson, Planner

5. Mayor's appointment of John Dickinson and Willis Jensen to the Landmark Preservation Commission

Mayor Packard asked if there was any discussion on the consent agenda. There were none.

3. WORK MEETING DISCUSSIONS/PRESENTATIONS

a) TUF DRAFT Study and Methodology - Jeff Anderson, Assistant Public Works Director

Assistant Public Works Director Jeff Anderson presented the Transportation Utility Fee (TUF) Study and introduced consultant Thomas McMurdy, who was in attendance. He explained that the city faces a funding gap for roadway maintenance and that a Transportation Utility Fee would provide a dedicated funding source to help address those needs.

Jeff reviewed results from the 2025 citizen survey, noting that 1,021 Springville households identified traffic as a major to extreme concern and expressed a desire for improvements to reduce traffic congestion. He stated that the proposed TUF was intended to demonstrate that resident feedback was driving efforts to reduce congestion, maintain roadway conditions, improve traffic and pedestrian safety, and expand active transportation opportunities.

He outlined the proposed fee structure, which included four commercial use categories and two public use categories. Jeff also reviewed the planned public outreach and education efforts for implementation, including a dedicated Transportation Utility Fee webpage with infographics, frequently asked questions, a fee calculator, educational materials, utility bill inserts, a public open house, social media updates, and post-adoption outreach.

In response to questions, Jeff clarified that pavement management funding would apply only to roadway surfaces, while curb and gutter improvements would be included under the safety and congestion relief category.

Mayor Packard asked how inflation had been factored into the Transportation Utility Fee. Assistant Public Works Director Jeff Anderson explained that the city's pavement management model uses a pavement degradation curve, which accounts for increasing costs over time. During the discussion, Mayor Packard also asked how the city would keep pace with construction inflation, requested a future presentation on upcoming road projects, and expressed interest in understanding how Transportation Utility Fee revenues would be allocated.

Councilmember Snelson asked whether the city could maintain a higher level of roadway service by increasing the monthly fee and inquired about the most effective long-term approach. Consultant Thomas McMurdy responded that identifying the appropriate funding level is challenging and explained that the Transportation Utility Fee would likely require periodic increases to maintain service levels and address additional roadway needs. Councilmember Snelson also requested citizen feedback regarding traffic and congestion concerns and later stated he would like to review the completed study before making a final decision on the proposed \$4 monthly fee.

City Administrator Fitzgerald explained that the proposed fee was intended to maintain the city's existing roadway system and that any expansion of services or projects would require additional funding. He also suggested holding a future work meeting to discuss an inflation strategy for the Transportation Utility Fee.

Councilmember Wright recommended identifying Transportation Utility Fee-funded projects with signage to increase public awareness and asked how residents would be informed about the proposed fee. Jeff Anderson explained that information would be provided through the city's website, social media, and a comprehensive communication plan once the City Council determined the fee amount. Councilmember Wright requested a copy of the study, stated that a \$4 monthly fee appeared reasonable,

supported developing a communication plan for residents, and recommended a future work meeting to discuss an inflation strategy.

Councilmember Millsap emphasized the importance of public communication, noting the long-term value of preserving road infrastructure and maintaining service levels. He asked whether the proposed fee should be set at a higher amount to account for inflation.

Councilmember Ellingson requested a copy of the study and stated that the proposed \$4 monthly fee appeared sufficient to address the city's current roadway maintenance needs.

At the conclusion of the discussion, Jeff Anderson asked whether the Council was comfortable with a proposed monthly Transportation Utility Fee of \$4. The Council indicated consensus in support of the proposed amount while also requesting an opportunity to review the completed study before moving forward.

MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

Mayor Packard asked if there was any additional discussion.

ADJOURNMENT CLOSED SESSION IF NEEDED - TO BE ANNOUNCED IN MOTION

The Springville City Council may temporarily recess the meeting and convene in a closed session as provided by UCA 52-4-205.

Motion: Councilmember Millsap moved to adjourn the work meeting at 6:45 p.m. **Councilmember Wright seconded** the motion. **Vote Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

This document constitutes the official minutes for the Springville City Council Work/Study Meeting held on Tuesday, June 16, 2026.

I, Kim Crane, do hereby certify that I am the duly appointed, qualified, and acting City Recorder for Springville City, Utah County, State of Utah. I do hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate, and complete record of this meeting held on Tuesday, June 16, 2026.

DATE APPROVED: _____

Kim Crane
City Recorder

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY COUNCIL HELD ON TUESDAY,
JUNE 16, 2026, AT 7:00 P.M. AT THE CIVIC CENTER, 110 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SPRINGVILLE,
UTAH.

Presiding and Conducting: Mayor Matt Packard

Elected Officials in Attendance: Karen Ellingson
Logan Millsap
Jake Smith Excused
Mike Snelson
Mindi Wright

City Staff in Attendance: City Administrator Troy Fitzgerald, Assistant City Administrator/City Attorney John Penrod, Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director Bruce Riddle, City Recorder Kim Crane, Community Development Director Josh Yost, Internal Services Director Scott Sensanbaugher, Library Director Dan Mickelson, Parks and Recreation Director Stacey Child, Power Director Jason Miller, Public Works Director Brad Stapley, and Public Safety Director Lance Haight

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Packard called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

INVOCATION AND PLEDGE

Councilmember Wright offered the invocation, and Councilmember Smith led the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF THE MEETING'S AGENDA

Motion: Councilmember Snelson moved to approve the agenda as written. **Councilmember Millsap seconded** the motion. **Voting Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

MAYORS' COMMENTS

Mayor Packard welcomed the Council, staff, and those in attendance. Mayor Packard recognized Stacey Child and the Parks and Recreation Department along with the Art City Days Committee for their work during Art City Days. There were many positive comments, and he is very grateful for all of their work.

CEREMONIAL AGENDA

1. Council Recognition of Planning Commission Member Ann Anderson

Director Josh Yost recognized Ann Anderson for her service over the past four years on the Planning Commission.

2. **CERT Graduate Recognition - Lance Haight, Public Safety Director, and JoAnna Larsen, Emergency Management**

Chief Haight recognized the CERT (Community Emergency Response Team) rehab team graduates. He explained the team plays a critical role in supporting emergency responders during significant incidents. Providing Resources: The team provides firefighters with necessary hydration and calories to sustain their energy levels during demanding operations. When fire departments strike a "second alarm," it signals that the incident will involve multiple operational periods. Firefighters rotate in and out of the scene, and the rehab team is there to ensure they are properly recovered before returning to duty. They are trained to respond at a moment's notice, often using an automated alert system, and assist at various emergency events, such as fires or technical rescues like trench collapses

Chief Haight congratulated newly certified team members. Nikolas Okhrimenko, Dimitri Okhrimenko, Ann Hribal, Mandi Peck, Katelyn Ballou, Collin Ballou, Kelly Jensen, Marci Seegmiller, and Re-certified Team Members. Denise Thompson, Donna Ferguson, Jewlyien Boyd, Steven Boyd, Eugene "Jim" Bolinder, David Witte, Ammon Thompson, Dale Harrison, and Kent Gibson

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mayor Packard introduced the Public Comment portion of the agenda and asked if there were any written requests to speak. There were none.

CONSENT AGENDA

3. Approval of the minutes for the June 02, 2026 work and regular meetings
4. Approval of renaming 1600 South between I-15 and SR 51 as the Dry Creek Parkway - Laura Thompson, Planner
5. Mayor's appointment of John Dickinson and Willis Jensen to the Landmark Preservation Commission

Mayor Packard asked for a discussion or a motion on the consent agenda.

Motion: Councilmember Wright moved to approve the consent agenda as written. Councilmember Millsap seconded the motion. Voting Yes: Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

REGULAR AGENDA

6. **Presentation of a Property Tax Increase Statement by the Budget Officer or an Executive Officer of the city - Bruce Riddle, Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director**

Finance Director Bruce Riddle reported no action was required by the council, and Mayor Packard read the property tax increase statement.

7. **Presentation of a Property Tax Impact Schedule as a separate agenda item from all other budget items and consideration of a Resolution adopting the Property Tax Impact Schedule**

Finance Director Riddle explained that a Property Tax Impact Schedule must be adopted alongside the budget. Due to a new state law, the process is tightly compressed, as the city must adopt this schedule before the county finishes assessments or the state calculates final certified tax rates.

The council inquired about how property tax revenue is proportioned across departments. Director Riddle clarified that this is not state-mandated but is done formulaically by the city, tying departments to the revenues they generate plus supplemental tax funding.

90 Mayor Packard noted that according to the Utah Taxpayer Association, 66 entities in the state
91 filed for property tax increases for the upcoming year. Springville City is among them, but notably, only
92 three entities had smaller increases, while 64 had larger ones

94 **Motion: Councilmember Snelson motioned to approve Resolution #2026-19** adopting a Property
95 Tax Impact Schedule referenced in the Property Tax Increase Statement as a separate document from
96 all other budget documents. **Councilmember Millsap seconded the motion. Roll Call Vote Yes:**
97 Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember
98 Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

100 PUBLIC HEARING

101 8. Public Hearing for consideration of adopting the Enterprise Fund Transfers for Fiscal Year 102 2026/2027 - Bruce Riddle, Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director

103 Finance Director Bruce Riddle introduced the requirement to disclose transfers from enterprise
104 funds (water, sewer, stormwater, and power) to the general fund. He explained that state law requires
105 public notice, social media advertising, and a public hearing for these transfers.

106 Director Riddle reported that approximately \$8.9 million of the General Fund's \$37,600,000 in
107 revenues comes from transfers from the City's enterprise funds (utilities and golf). Approximately 48% of
108 those transfers are administrative fees allocated to the enterprise (utility) and Street funds for services
109 they receive from the General Fund (e.g., engineering, legal, H.R., utility billing, etc.). These allocations
110 are calculated by the Finance Department and are deemed to be a reasonable cost for providing the
111 services. The remainder of the transfers are effectively dividend payments to the citizen-owners of the
112 utilities that would otherwise go to investors if the utilities were privately held. These transfers are used
113 for general governmental purposes and help keep property tax rates low. State law requires transparency
114 in reporting the transfers and also requires a public hearing.

116 Mayor Packard opened the Public Hearing for comment. No input from the public was made.

118 **Motion: Councilmember Snelson motioned to close the public hearing. Councilmember Wright**
119 **seconded the motion. Voting Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember
120 Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously,**
121 **4-0 with 1 absent.**

122 No further action was required.

123 9. Public Hearing for consideration of a Resolution and approval of setting compensation for 124 Executive Municipal Officers for FY2026/2027 - Patrick Monney, Director of Administration

125 Finance Director Bruce Riddle explained that employees are eligible for wage increases ranging
126 from 0% to 6% based on merit. These increases must be awarded within the existing budget, meaning
127 that to reward exemplary performance with a higher increase, departments must balance it against lower-
128 performing staff. The council discussed budget provisions for executive municipal officers. It was clarified
129 that while the budget covers a range of cost changes, the average merit increase would be 3% if
130 distributed equally.
132

134 Mayor Packard opened the Public Hearing for comment. No input from the public was made.

136 **Motion: Councilmember Millsap** motioned to close the public hearing. **Councilmember Wright**
137 **seconded** the motion. **Voting Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember
138 Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously,**
139 **4-0 with 1 absent.**

140
141 **Motion: Councilmember Millsap** motioned to approve **Resolution #2026-20** that outlines executive
142 municipal officers' compensation increases for Springville City officers and employees for the fiscal year
143 ending June 30, 2027. **Councilmember Snelson seconded** the motion. **Roll Call Vote Yes:**
144 Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember
145 Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

146
147 **10. Public Hearing for consideration of an Ordinance and approval of setting compensation for**
148 **Springville City Officers and Employees for FY 2026/2027 - Patrick Monney, Director of**
149 **Administration**

150 Finance Director Bruce Riddle clarified that the compensation discussions pertained to elected
151 officials (the mayor and council members) and statutory employees (appointed city positions). He noted
152 that the budget figures presented include the city's portion of required payroll taxes, meaning the actual
153 take-home wages are lower than the total compensation amounts listed in the budget

154 Mayor Packard opened the Public Hearing for comment. No input from the public was made.

155
156 **Motion: Councilmember Snelson** motioned to close the public hearing. **Councilmember Wright**
157 **seconded** the motion. **Voting Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember
158 Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously,**
159 **4-0 with 1 absent.**

160
161 **Motion: Councilmember Wright** motioned to approve **Ordinance #21-2026** that sets compensation
162 for Springville City officers and employees for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2027. **Councilmember**
163 **Millsap seconded** the motion. **Rollcall Vote Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap,
164 Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion
165 **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

166
167 **11. Public Hearing for consideration of a Resolution and adoption of the Springville City FY 2027**
168 **Interim Budget, effective July 1, 2026, until such date and time the city holds a Truth in Taxation**
169 **hearing and adopts the FY 2027 Final Budget - Bruce Riddle, Assistant City Administrator/Finance**
170 **Director**

171 Finance Director Bruce Riddle reported on the Interim Budget, noting that the city must adopt an
172 interim version until a final certified tax rate is set in August, following state-mandated truth-in-taxation
173 hearings. As required by state law, the city has produced a balanced budget exceeding \$120 million. He
174 explained the budget is primarily designed to maintain existing service levels rather than introduce new
175 expansions. Significant funds are allocated to capital maintenance to ensure city buildings, roads, and
176 equipment remain safe and functional. The budget includes a modest 0.7% increase in full-time
177 equivalents and a 3% cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) for employees. The city is proceeding with a
178 2.92% property tax increase, generating \$97,000 in additional revenue to help offset inflation-related
179 operational costs.

180 Director Riddle reported the council discussed implementing a \$4 per month Transportation Utility
181 Fee (TUF) beginning in October to address the funding gap for street and infrastructure maintenance.
182

Springville's utility rates remain competitive and generally below the average of neighboring communities. Using data from Provo, the city's energy rates were found to be roughly in the middle, or slightly below, the regional average.

Director Riddle stated the golf course is experiencing a utilization rate of over 90%. This strong performance has significantly improved the course's financial health, allowing it to move toward self-sufficiency.

Director Riddle stated that, consistent with State law, the Council is required to adopt a final budget prior to June 30 of each year for the ensuing fiscal year unless the city plans to proceed with a Truth in Taxation hearing as a result of plans to increase property tax revenue beyond the certified rate established by the County. Such is the case this year; thus, the attached budget is proposed to be adopted as an Interim Budget that will be effective July 1, 2026, and remain in place until a Truth in Taxation hearing can be held in August, at which time the Council will adopt a final budget.

Mayor Packard opened the Public Hearing for comment. No input from the public was made.

Motion: Councilmember Wright motioned to close the public hearing. **Councilmember Snelson seconded** the motion. **Voting Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

Motion: Councilmember Snelson motioned to approve **Resolution #2026-21** to adopt and operate under an Interim Budget in the amount of \$126,996,387 for expenditures and transfers in the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2026, until a final budget can be adopted following a Truth In Taxation hearing on August 18, 2026. **Councilmember Wright seconded** the motion. **Roll Call Vote Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

12. Public Hearing for consideration of a Resolution to amend the FY 2026 budget - Bruce Riddle, Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director

Finance Director Bruce Riddle presented an amendment to the current fiscal year 2026 budget to finalize end-of-year accounting. Public Safety: Moving operating funds to the capital fund for a new training smartboard, primarily funded by grants. Parks & Recreation: Reallocating funds for fencing at Jolley's Ranch and a portable stage. Infrastructure Grants: Recognizing \$2.2 million in grant funding from the Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG) for the 800 East/800 South roundabout and \$728,000 for the trailhead at 2900 East Canyon Road. Establishing a \$1 million contingency transfer from the general fund to the capital improvement fund to ensure compliance with statutory fund balance limits.

Mayor Packard opened the Public Hearing for comment. No input from the public was made.

Motion: Councilmember Millsap motioned to close the public hearing. **Councilmember Wright seconded** the motion. **Voting Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

Motion: Councilmember Millsap motioned to approve **Resolution #2026-22** to open and amend the General Fund, Streets Fund, Capital Improvement Fund, Vehicle & Equipment Replacement Fund, and Water Fund for operating and capital expenses applying to the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2026, as outlined in Exhibit A. **Councilmember Snelson seconded** the motion. **Roll Call Vote Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

REGULAR AGENDA

13. Consideration of a Resolution adopting the proposed Certified Tax Rate - Bruce Riddle, Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director

Finance Director Bruce Riddle explained a timing issue caused by new legislation, noting that the city received updated economic data after the tentative budget was already adopted. To maintain a balanced budget without redoing the entire document, the council directed him to align property tax revenue with the state-certified figures and adjust sales tax revenue estimates to compensate for the difference. Bruce provided context on how Springville's tax increases compare to other Utah County cities, noting that many other municipalities are also pursuing property tax increases. He emphasized that the city's strategy is to annually adjust for inflation to avoid the necessity of much larger, drastic tax hikes in the future. It was noted that the city's debt service burden per resident has actually decreased due to an influx of new commercial property value, which spreads the cost across a larger tax base.

Motion: Councilmember Snelson motioned to approve **Resolution #2026-23** adopting a proposed certified tax rate in accordance with Utah law for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2027, as outlined in the attached Utah State Tax Commission - Property Tax Division form PT-693. **Councilmember Millsap seconded** the motion. **Roll Call Vote Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

Mayor Packard asked for further discussions or reports. There were none.

CLOSED SESSION, AND ADJOURNMENT IF NEEDED - TO BE ANNOUNCED IN MOTION

The Springville City Council may adjourn the regular meeting and convene into a closed session as provided by UCA 52-4-205.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion: Councilmember Snelson moved to adjourn at 8:40 p.m. **Councilmember Millsap seconded** the motion. **Voting Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith ABSENT, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 4-0 with 1 absent.**

276

278

280

282 *This document constitutes the official minutes for the Springville City Council Regular Meeting held on Tuesday, June 16, 2026.*

284 *I, Kim Crane, do hereby certify that I am the duly appointed, qualified, and acting City Recorder for Springville City, Utah County, State of Utah. I do hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate, and complete record of this meeting held on Tuesday, June 16, 2026.*

286

288

DATE APPROVED: _____
Kim Crane
City Recorder

DRAFT



MINUTES
Springville City Council Emergency Meeting - June 25, 2026

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE SPRINGVILLE CITY COUNCIL HELD ON TUESDAY, JUNE 25, 2026, AT 9:00 P.M. AT THE CIVIC CENTER, 110 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SPRINGVILLE, UTAH.

Presiding and Conducting: Mayor Matt Packard

Elected Officials in Attendance: Karen Ellingson
Logan Millsap
Jake Smith
Mike Snelson
Mindi Wright

City Staff in Attendance: City Administrator Troy Fitzgerald, Assistant City Administrator/City Attorney John Penrod, Assistant City Administrator/Finance Director Bruce Riddle, City Recorder Kim Crane, Administrative Services Director Patrick Monney, Public Safety Director Lance Haight, Fire Chief Hank Clinton, and Parks and Recreation Director Stacey Child

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Packard called the meeting to order at 9:01 p.m.

Mayor Packard asked Councilmember Ellingson to give an invocation.

Mayor Packard convened the emergency meeting to discuss Governor Cox's Executive Order 2026-04 declaring a state of emergency related to wildfire risks from fireworks. He asked City Administrator Troy Fitzgerald to provide an overview of the executive order, recommendations from the State Fire Marshal, and actions being considered by neighboring communities. Throughout the discussion, he sought Council input on whether to implement a complete fireworks ban or further restrict permitted discharge areas. He questioned whether adjusting existing boundaries would adequately manage the risk.

City Administrator Troy Fitzgerald reported that the City was awaiting additional guidance from the State Fire Marshal regarding the executive order. He explained that state law allows the Mayor and Fire Chief to designate areas where fireworks may be discharged. He reviewed the City's current fire restriction maps, campfire restrictions, and fireworks restriction maps, and explained that the Council needed to determine whether to prohibit fireworks entirely or further reduce the allowable discharge areas. He also summarized actions being taken by neighboring communities and later reviewed applicable state laws governing fireworks.

Councilmember Smith asked about enforcement of a potential fireworks ban and later commented that the Governor's remarks reflected the seriousness of the current fire conditions. He asked whether a drone show could be considered as an alternative community celebration. During the Council discussion, he expressed support for a full fireworks ban, stating it would be the safest and easiest option to enforce under the current circumstances.

44 **Public Safety Director Chief Haight** explained how fireworks enforcement had been handled in
previous years and noted that enforcing designated discharge areas could be challenging. He later stated
46 that if surrounding cities continued to allow fireworks, mutual aid resources could become strained. He
emphasized that clear public messaging and enforcement information would be essential if a ban were
adopted.

48
50 **Councilmember Millsap** asked additional questions regarding enforcement and whether a
complete ban or designated discharge areas would be easier to administer. Although he stated he
52 preferred a more limited restricted area, he acknowledged that a full ban would be the simplest approach
and ultimately supported a full ban for this year. He also asked whether there were alternative ways to
provide a special community celebration.

54
56 **Councilmember Karen Ellingson** asked whether there were locations within the City that could be
designated for fireworks use. She later asked how the Council could assist the public safety departments
and inquired whether current fire conditions represented an increased risk compared to prior years. After
58 discussing concerns about fire conditions and mutual aid availability, she stated that she was leaning
toward a full ban if public safety resources were at greater risk, although she later indicated a preference
60 for maintaining more restrictive designated areas rather than implementing a complete ban.

62 **City Administrator Troy Fitzgerald** responded that hard-surfaced park areas could be considered
for designation, although fireworks are prohibited within City parks. He also explained that existing state
64 law already provides for clearly defined discharge areas.

66 **Councilmember Wright** stated that she supported a full fireworks ban because of the unusually
dry conditions and recommended extensive public communication regarding the restrictions and
68 associated penalties. She later reaffirmed her support, noting she had witnessed fireworks-related
incidents in the past.

70
72 **Councilmember Snelson** stated that he supported a full fireworks ban.

74 **Parks and Recreation Director Stacey Child** reported that professional drone shows typically cost
approximately \$15,000 and were likely already booked. She stated that staff would investigate the
availability of a drone show and could also provide residents with information about professional fireworks
76 displays in surrounding communities.

78 **Fire Chief Clinton** stated that a full fireworks ban would be safer than attempting to manage
designated discharge areas and explained that enforcing multiple permitted locations would be difficult.
80 He described current staffing practices for fire response and noted that public education and awareness
would be important. He later reported that fire conditions had reached Level 1 earlier than usual and were
82 expected to increase to Level 2 within a few days. He explained that previous years had experienced
multiple wildfire incidents and that mutual aid availability could become limited. He concluded that a full
84 ban would increase public awareness and help reduce wildfire risk.

86 **Mayor Packard** asked whether existing restrictions near the foothills could be expanded and
facilitated additional discussion regarding the advantages and disadvantages of a complete ban versus
88 further restricting allowable discharge areas. He later conducted a second poll of the Council to confirm
members' positions before concluding that a full ban was the most prudent course of action. He directed

staff to coordinate public messaging with the State Fire Marshal's guidance and thanked everyone for their participation.

CLOSED SESSION, AND ADJOURNMENT IF NEEDED - TO BE ANNOUNCED IN MOTION

The Springville City Council may adjourn the regular meeting and convene into a closed session as provided by UCA 52-4-205.

There was none.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion: Councilmember Snelson moved to adjourn at 10:11 p.m. **Councilmember Smith seconded** the motion. **Voting Yes:** Councilmember Ellingson, Councilmember Millsap, Councilmember Smith, Councilmember Snelson, and Councilmember Wright. The motion **Passed Unanimously, 5-0**

This document constitutes the official minutes for the Springville City Council Emergency Meeting held on Tuesday, June 25, 2026.

I, Kim Crane, do hereby certify that I am the duly appointed, qualified, and acting City Recorder for Springville City, Utah County, State of Utah. I do hereby certify that the foregoing minutes represent a true, accurate, and complete record of this meeting held on Tuesday, June 25, 2026.

DATE APPROVED: _____
Kim Crane
City Recorder



STAFF REPORT

DATE: June 24, 2026

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Jeffrey L. Anderson, Asst Public Works Director

SUBJECT: AMENDMENT OF TITLE 11, CHAPTER 6 – FLOOD DAMAGE PREVENTION ORDINANCE AND ADOPTION OF NEW FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY (FIS) AND FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS (FIRMS) FOR UTAH COUNTY AND INCORPORATED AREAS.

Recommended Motion:

I move to adopt Ordinance No. _____, an ordinance amending Title 11, Chapter 6 of the Springville City Code (Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance) to meet the minimum requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program regulations in 44 CFR Part 60.3(d), and to formally adopt the new Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report and Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) for Utah County and Incorporated Areas, effective June 23, 2026, as presented.

Executive Summary:

In 2018, FEMA commissioned a comprehensive update of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) and Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report for Utah County and Incorporated Areas, including Springville City. The update primarily addresses floodplain conditions associated with Utah Lake and affects properties located west of Interstate 15 within Springville City limits.

FEMA issued a Letter of Final Determination (LFD) dated December 23, 2025, declaring that the flood hazard determinations for Springville are FINAL and that the updated FIS report and FIRMs became effective on June 23, 2026. As a condition of continued eligibility in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Springville City must formally adopt the updated FIS and FIRMs and adopt floodplain management regulations that meet or exceed the minimum standards of 44 CFR Part 60.3(d) no later than August 27, 2026.

City staff coordinated with McKenzie Ranney (Goodenough), CFM, State Floodplain Management Planner with the Utah Department of Public Safety, Division of Emergency Management, to conduct a gap analysis of Springville's current Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance against the updated federal standards. Three sections of Title 11, Chapter 6 required amendment or addition. The proposed ordinance reflects those targeted changes and, if adopted, will bring Springville into full compliance well ahead of the August 27, 2026 deadline.

Focus of Action:

The City Council is asked to take the following actions:

- Formally adopt the updated Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report and Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) for Utah County and Incorporated Areas, dated June 23, 2026 (FIRM panels 534, 542, and 545 for Springville City).
- Adopt Ordinance No. _____, which amends three provisions within Title 11, Chapter 6 of the Springville City Code (Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance) to meet or exceed the minimum standards of 44 CFR Part 60.3(d) of the NFIP regulations.
- Authorize the Mayor to execute the Certification attached to the ordinance as Exhibit B, affirming the immediate need for the ordinance to preserve public peace, health, and safety.

Background:

FEMA administers the NFIP under the authority of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (P.L. 90-448, as amended). The NFIP is a voluntary program that provides federally-backed flood insurance to property owners, renters, and businesses in participating communities. In exchange for that coverage, participating communities are required to adopt and enforce floodplain management ordinances that meet or exceed the minimum federal standards set forth in 44 CFR Part 60.

Springville City has long participated in the NFIP. The City's floodplain management program covers Hobble Creek, Spring Creek, Dry Creek, portions of Utah Lake, and various other waterways within the municipal boundaries. The Floodplain Administrator is charged with administering the City's Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance (Title 11, Chapter 6) and ensuring ongoing compliance with NFIP requirements.

FEMA initiated the Utah County remapping project in 2018. The updated study incorporated new topographic data, hydrologic and hydraulic analyses, and revised flood hazard delineations, with a focus on the floodplain associated with Utah Lake. The geographic scope of the changes as they relate to Springville City is generally limited to properties situated west of Interstate 15.

On December 23, 2025, FEMA issued a Letter of Final Determination (LFD) to Springville City. The LFD:

- Declared the flood hazard determinations for Springville City to be FINAL.
- Established an effective date of June 23, 2026 for the updated FIS report and associated FIRMs.
- Required Springville City, as a condition of continued NFIP eligibility, to adopt the updated FIS and FIRMs and to adopt or demonstrate evidence of adoption of floodplain management regulations meeting or exceeding 44 CFR Part 60.3(d) no later than August 27, 2026.

City staff worked closely with McKenzie Ranney (Goodenough), CFM, State Floodplain Management Planner at the Utah Department of Public Safety, Division of Emergency Management, to systematically compare Springville's existing Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance against the updated requirements of 44 CFR Part 60.3(d). The gap analysis identified

three sections requiring amendment or addition. No other provisions of the existing ordinance were found to be deficient.

Discussion:

Section 1 – Amendment to Section 11-6-605: Basis for Establishing the Areas of Special Flood Hazard

This section is amended to update the date of the controlling Flood Insurance Study from June 19, 2020 to June 23, 2026. This change ensures the ordinance formally references the current, FEMA-approved FIS report. All FIS documents and accompanying FIRMs continue to be automatically adopted by reference and made part of the ordinance.

Section 2 – Amendment to Section 11-6-610(4): Requirement to Submit New Technical Data

This section is amended to add a critical standard required under 44 CFR Part 60.3(d): when a regulatory floodway has not been designated, no new construction, substantial improvements, or other development (including fill) shall be permitted within Zones A1-30, AE, and AH on the FIRM unless it can be demonstrated that the cumulative effect of the proposed development, when combined with all other existing and anticipated development, will not increase the water surface elevation of the base flood more than 1.00 foot. This “no-rise” standard is a foundational requirement of the NFIP and was the primary gap identified during the state-coordinated review.

Section 3 – Addition of Section 11-6-627: Severability

A severability clause is added to the ordinance. This standard provision clarifies that if any section, provision, or portion of the ordinance is adjudged unconstitutional or invalid by a court, the remainder of the ordinance shall not be affected. This clause strengthens the ordinance’s legal durability and is consistent with best practices in municipal code drafting.

Adoption of Updated FIS and FIRMs

In addition to the three code amendments, the ordinance formally adopts the new FIS report and the associated FIRM panels (534, 542, and 545) for Springville City, effective June 23, 2026. The FIS and FIRMs are incorporated as Exhibit A to the ordinance. The Mayor is also authorized to execute the Certification (Exhibit B), which declares an emergency finding to ensure the ordinance takes immediate effect, consistent with the FEMA compliance deadline.

Compliance Timeline:

- **December 23, 2025** - FEMA issues Letter of Final Determination (LFD) to Springville City
- **June 23, 2026** - Updated FIS report and FIRMs become effective
- **July 7, 2026** - City Council adoption of ordinance amendments and FIS/FIRM
- **August 27, 2026** - FEMA-mandated deadline for ordinance compliance

Alternatives:

The Council may consider the following alternatives:

- Adopt the ordinance as presented. This is the recommended action and ensures full compliance with NFIP requirements by August 27, 2026. **(Staff Recommendation)**

- Decline to adopt. Failure to adopt the updated FIS/FIRMs and compliant ordinance amendments by August 27, 2026 would place Springville City's participation in the NFIP in jeopardy. Loss of NFIP eligibility would mean that property owners within Springville would lose access to federally-backed flood insurance, which could have significant consequences for property transactions requiring federally-backed mortgage financing in Special Flood Hazard Areas. This alternative is not recommended.

Fiscal Impact:

There is no direct fiscal impact associated with adopting the ordinance amendments or formally adopting the updated FIS and FIRMs. The gap analysis and ordinance review were completed through coordination with the Utah Division of Emergency Management at no cost to the City.

Continued participation in the NFIP is fiscally beneficial to Springville City and its residents. NFIP eligibility allows property owners with federally-backed mortgages in Special Flood Hazard Areas to obtain flood insurance. Loss of NFIP eligibility could negatively affect property values and real estate transactions in affected areas of the City, particularly properties west of I-15 where the updated FIRM delineations apply.

Potential future fiscal considerations related to the updated FIRM delineations may arise as property owners in newly mapped areas seek guidance on permitting, development standards, and potential flood insurance requirements. These activities fall within the existing scope of the Floodplain Administrator's duties and are not expected to require additional appropriations at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey L. Anderson

Jeff L. Anderson, PE, CFM

Assistant Public Works Director

EXHIBIT A

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

Ordinance No. _____

ORDINANCE #__-2026

AN ORDINANCE REVISING TITLE 11 CHAPTER 6 - FLOOD DAMAGE PREVENTION ORDINANCE TO MEET THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM REGULATIONS, IN 44 CFR PART 60.3(d) and ADOPT THE NEW FIS AND FIRM FOR UTAH COUNTY AND INCORPORATED AREAS.

WHEREAS, Springville City has Hobble Creek, Spring Creek, Dry Creek and portions of Utah Lake, along with other waterways, within its boundaries; and

WHEREAS, given the many waterways within Springville, protecting against flooding hazards has always been a priority for the City; and

WHEREAS, Section 10-9a-505 of the Utah Code allows a municipality to “enact an ordinance regulating land use and development in a flood plain . . . to protect life and prevent” substantial loss or damage to property; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Emergency Management Administration (“FEMA”), under the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, manages the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), which is a voluntary program that provides flood insurance, helps improve floodplain management and develops maps of flood hazard zones; and

WHEREAS, Springville has elected to join the program, participate, and enforce this Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance and the requirements and regulations of the NFIP and the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (P.L. 90-488, as amended); and

WHEREAS, the NFIP provides that areas of the City having a special flood hazard be identified by FEMA, and that floodplain management measures be applied in such flood hazard areas; and

WHEREAS, FEMA has commissioned an update of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) and Flood Insurance Study (FIS) report for Utah County and Incorporated Areas; and

WHEREAS, FEMA recently sent Springville City a Letter of Final Determination (LFD), dated December 23, 2025 stating that the flood hazard determinations for our community are considered FINAL and the FIS report and FIRM will become effective on June 23, 2026; and

WHEREAS, Springville City, as a condition of eligibility in the NFIP, must adopt the current effective FIS report and FIRM and adopt or show evidence of adoption of floodplain management regulations that meet or exceed the minimum standards of 44 CFR Part 60.3(d) of the NFIP regulations by August 27, 2026; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopts this update to the Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance to promote and protect the public health, safety and general welfare of the City and to minimize public and private losses due to flood conditions in specific areas of Springville City.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of Springville, Utah:

SECTION 1: ADOPTION: SECTION AMENDED. Section 11-6-605 of the Springville City Code is hereby amended and adopted to read and provide as follows:

11-6-605 Basis for Establishing the Areas of Special Flood Hazard.

The areas of special flood hazard are those areas identified by FEMA in a scientific and engineering report entitled, "The Flood Insurance Study for Utah County, Utah and Incorporated Areas," dated ~~June 19, 2020~~ June 23, 2026, and on the accompanying FIRMs, and any revisions thereto. All of these described documents are hereby automatically adopted by reference and declared to be a part of this ordinance.

SECTION 2: ADOPTION: SECTION AMENDED. Section 11-6-610 of the Springville City Code is hereby amended and adopted to read and provide as follows:

11-6-610 Requirement to Submit New Technical Data.

- (1) The property owner or developer shall notify FEMA by submittal of a LOMR within six months of project completion when an applicant had obtained a CLOMR from FEMA or when development altered a watercourse, modified floodplain boundaries, or modified BFE.
- (2) The property owner or developer shall be responsible for preparing technical data to support the CLOMR or LOMR application and paying any processing or application fees to FEMA. The property owner or developer is responsible for submitting the CLOMR and LOMR to FEMA and shall provide all necessary data to FEMA if requested during the review process to ensure the CLOMR or LOMR is issued.
- (3) The Floodplain Administrator shall be under no obligation to sign the Community Acknowledgement Form, which is part of the CLOMR/LOMR application, until the applicant demonstrates that the project will or has met the requirements of this ordinance and all applicable state federal, and local laws.

- (4) When a regulatory floodway has not been designated, no new construction, substantial improvements, or other development (including fill) shall be permitted within Zones A1-30, AE, and AH on the FIRM, unless it is demonstrated that the cumulative effect of the proposed development, when combined with all other existing and anticipated development, will not increase the water surface elevation of the base flood more than 1.00* feet.

SECTION 3. SECTION ADOPTED: Section 11-6-627 of the Springville City Code is hereby adopted to read and provide as follows:

11-6-627 Severability.

If any section, provision, or portion of this ordinance is adjudged unconstitutional or invalid by a court, the remainder of the ordinance shall not be affected.

SECTION 4. FIS and FIRM ADOPTED: The scientific and engineering report entitled, "The Flood Insurance Study for Utah County, Utah and Incorporated Areas," and the associated FIRMs (panels 534, 542 and 545) for Springville City dated June 23, 2026, is adopted. The FIS and FIRMs are attached as Exhibit A.

SECTION 5. CERTIFICATION: The Mayor is authorized to execute the Certification attached to this Ordinance as Exhibit B.

SECTION 6. SEVERABILITY: If any section, provision, or portion of this ordinance is adjudged unconstitutional or invalid by a court, the remainder of the ordinance shall not be affected.

SECTION 7. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Ordinance shall take effect upon first publication.

PASSED, ADOPTED AND ORDERED POSTED by the Council of

Springville City, Utah this 7th day of July, 2026.

MAYOR Matt Packard

ATTEST:

KIM CRANE, CITY RECORDER

Exhibit A

(CERTIFICATION)

CERTIFICATION

It is hereby found and declared by Springville City that: severe flooding has occurred in the past within its jurisdiction and will certainly occur within the future; that flooding is likely to result in infliction of serious personal injury or death, and is likely to result in substantial injury or destruction of property within its jurisdiction; in order to effectively comply with minimum standards for coverage under the NFIP; and in order to effectively remedy the situation described herein, it is necessary that this ordinance become effective immediately.

Therefore, an emergency is hereby declared to exist, and this ordinance, being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and approval.

APPROVED: _____
Mayor Matt Packard

PASSED: July 07, 2026

I, the undersigned, Kim Crane, do hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of an ordinance duly adopted by the Springville City Council. at a regular meeting duly convened on July 7, 2026.

Kim Crane, Springville City Recorder
(SEAL)

EFFECTIVE: July 07, 2026

Attest:

Kim Crane, City Recorder

Exhibit B
(Springville City FIRM)