

IED 5/13/2026 - Training Application Speech/Documents

From: savehentag@aol.com (savehentag@aol.com)

To: savehentag@aol.com

Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 at 03:15 PM MDT

Good morning Chair and Board members, and thank you, Brent Bateman.

My name is Kent Singleton. Thank you for considering my training application regarding the Public Waters Access Act and related land use responsibilities.

Across Utah, there is a significant gap in interdisciplinary training where land use planning, recreation management, law enforcement, GIS interpretation, property rights, administrative procedures, and public safety intersect. In practice, these issues do not occur in isolation. They overlap in ways that often create confusion for property owners, agencies, planners, field personnel, and the public.

For example, if you received a call last week informing you that there was an emergency involving a refined gasoline pipeline on your Morgan County property, requiring shutdowns due to anomalies and exposed pipeline conditions, what would you do? Especially if concerns were raised regarding vandalism, target shooting, trespass activity, recreation use, and public safety around exposed infrastructure — not unlike the concern any homeowner would have regarding exposed or damaged gas lines near their own home. Questions immediately arise regarding jurisdiction, agency coordination, communication responsibilities, liability, GIS interpretation, easements, access rights, emergency management procedures, and property owner protections.

Likewise, when I attempted to seek mediation concerning ongoing public access conflicts, no mediation forum ultimately moved forward, leaving limited opportunities for coordinated interdisciplinary review.

These are not isolated concerns. They illustrate broader statewide training needs. Without consistent interdisciplinary education, misunderstandings persist regarding the PWAA, private property rights, agency responsibilities, field enforcement, GIS interpretation, signage, access management, administrative coordination, and emergency response responsibilities. This type of interdisciplinary education directly supports better land use decision-making, improved public understanding, and more consistent administrative practices statewide.

My goal is not simply to discuss conflict, but to help improve understanding before conflicts escalate. My proposed training is intended to improve clarity, consistency, communication, and coordination across these disciplines so that planners, elected officials, law enforcement personnel, GIS professionals, surveyors, title companies, appraisers, assessors, attorneys, recreation managers, real estate professionals, outfitters, emergency management personnel, and related professionals can better understand how these issues interact in real-world situations.

Thank you for your time, your service, and your consideration of my application. I respectfully request that my documents submitted today be recorded in the public record.



Major Utah Pipeline & Waterway Incidents

From: savehentag@aol.com (savehentag@aol.com)

To: savehentag@aol.com

Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2026 at 02:23 PM MDT

Based on historical records, here is a list of Utah waterway fires, petroleum pipe ruptures, and related explosions:

Major Utah Pipeline & Waterway Incidents

- **Red Butte Creek/Jordan River Oil Spill (June 2010):** A Chevron medium crude oil pipeline ruptured, releasing approximately 500 barrels (21,000 gallons) of oil into Red Butte Creek. The oil traveled down the creek, entered the Jordan River, and reached Liberty Park.
- **Red Butte Creek Second Oil Spill (June 2012):** A second, smaller leak occurred on the same Chevron pipeline, again impacting Red Butte Creek.
- **Moab Natural Gas Liquids Fire (December 1998):** A new pipeline was being installed when a crew hit a natural gas liquids pipeline near Moab, causing a fire near Highway 191 that injured four workers and melted the asphalt.
- **Corinne Petroleum Rupture (November 2002):** A Chevron pipeline ruptured near Corinne, Utah, causing about 450 barrels of petroleum to spill due to external corrosion.
- **Silver Eagle Refinery Explosion & Pipe Rupture (November 2009):** A 10-inch pipe failed at the Silver Eagle refinery in Woods Cross, causing a powerful explosion that damaged nearby homes.
- **Silver Eagle Refinery Flash Fire (January 2009):** A naphtha vapor cloud ignited at the Silver Eagle refinery, causing serious burns to four workers.
- **Dry Canyon Natural Gas Explosion (November 2012):** A natural gas compressor station exploded in Dry Canyon, causing injuries to two workers.
- **Moab Gas Pipeline Explosion (1950s/60s):** A 26-inch gas transmission line exploded during a pressure test near Moab, burning half a mile of the pipeline and disrupting mining operations.
- **Salt Lake City Pipeline Leak (March 1982):** A leaking 3-inch Amoco pipeline was discovered in a park in Salt Lake City.
- **South Jordan Natural Gas Explosion (November 2020s):** A natural gas-fueled explosion occurred, destroying a home, with a leak later found on a nearby pipe. [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]

Common Causes

- **Corrosion:** Many incidents (e.g., Corinne 2002) were caused by aging pipes and external corrosion.
- **Third-Party Damage:** Construction crews hitting existing pipelines (e.g., Moab 1998).
- **Electrical Hazards:** The 2010 Red Butte spill was caused by an electrical arc from a fence post striking the pipe. [4, 5, 10]

All responses may include mistakes.

[1] <https://www.ksl.com/article/news/utah/board-releases-report-on-silver-eagle-refining-explosion/29428057>

[2] [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_pipeline_accidents_in_the_United_States_\(1950%E2%80%931969\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_pipeline_accidents_in_the_United_States_(1950%E2%80%931969))

[3] <https://www.ksl.com/article/news/utah/massive-cleanup-effort-underway-after-oil-leak-in-red-butte-canyon/11142432>

[4] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Red_Butte_Creek_oil_spill

[5] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_pipeline_accidents_in_the_United_States_in_the_1990s

[6] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_natural_gas_and_oil_production_accidents_in_the_United_States

[7] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_pipeline_accidents_in_the_United_States_in_the_1980s

● **Monica Minaya**
From: mminaya@utah.gov
To: savehntag@aol.com

Mon, May 11 at 1:01 PM ☆

Kent,

DNR has gotten back to me and has declined mediation. My certification is attached for your records. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Monica

On Tue, May 5, 2026 at 9:49 AM Monica Minaya <mminaya@utah.gov> wrote:
Thank you Kent. I will contact DNR and inquire if they will consent to mediation, I'll provide with an update when I hear back.

Monica

On Mon, May 4, 2026 at 4:28 PM savehntag@aol.com <savehntag@aol.com> wrote:
Hi Monica,

Response to my appeal is attached below as "DWG CAO G...pdf", thank you.

Thank you,
Kent



State of Utah

SPENCER J. COX
Governor

DEIDRE M. HENDERSON
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Government Operations
Division of Archives and Records Service

MARVIN DODGE
Executive Director

KENNETH WILLIAMS
Division Director

Singleton V. DNR

5/11/2026

Per Utah Code §63A-12-204(3)(a) this letter serves as written certification that mediation is not taking place due to lack of consent. Mediation is a completely voluntary process where parties need to consent to enter mediation. I certify that a request for mediation was made on 4/30/2026 and mediation did not take place due to lack of consent from a party.

Monica Minaya
Government Records Ombudsman

Documentation of Pipeline, Access, Property Security, Safety, and Liability Concerns

From: [REDACTED]

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Date: Sunday, May 10, 2026 at 01:29 PM MDT

Dear Jared and Sandy,

Thank you again for the recent onsite discussions regarding the exposed pipeline area and current integrity-related excavation and stabilization activities at the Taggart property.

As discussed onsite, equipment and rock material have now been brought onto the property in connection with efforts to stabilize and protect the exposed pipeline section located on the slope area near the mountainside/train-bridge portion of the property.

I would like to formally document that I remain concerned about the long-term stability of rock material placed on the slope, particularly given the terrain conditions, erosion potential, runoff, seasonal weather conditions, and the prior exposure conditions we discussed onsite. I am not an engineer and am not attempting to direct pipeline operations or repair methods. However, as the property owner, I believe it is appropriate to document my concern regarding whether the slope stabilization measures will remain effective over time and whether additional future maintenance, monitoring, or mitigation may become necessary.

I also want the record to reflect that, as part of my cooperation regarding the current pipeline integrity excavation and emergency access activities, I requested the opportunity to meet onsite and review the mountainside/train-bridge side of the property where prior vegetation clearing activities and exposed pipeline conditions have been discussed.

As you know, I have been requesting clarification and information regarding the vegetation clearing activities in that area for approximately two years, including:

- identification of the work performed,
- the scope of clearing authorized,
- access methods utilized,
- contractor involvement,
- and related conditions surrounding the exposed pipeline area.

During our recent onsite discussions, Sandy indicated that railroad permission may be necessary to facilitate access across the trestle/bridge area so the location could be properly reviewed and discussed onsite. At the same time, I believe it is reasonable to note that river crossing capability currently appears operationally practical under existing conditions given the ongoing use of boats and river-access methods associated with the present excavation and stabilization activities.

For reference and documentation purposes, I am attaching photographs reflecting:

- the excavation and active work area, including what appear to be two separate anomaly/excavation locations in differing stages of repair or evaluation,
- portions of the exposed pipeline and surrounding terrain conditions,
- the slope stabilization area,
- one of the boats presently being utilized in connection with the work activities as an example of currently available river-access capability,
- and a plat map reflecting the property boundary configuration associated with the river corridor adjacent to the present work area.

During our onsite discussions, Sandy also indicated that contractor information relating to the previously authorized vegetation clearing activities would be provided as part of the follow-up clarification process. However, to date, I have not yet been accommodated with the requested onsite review meeting, nor have I received the requested contractor information or related clarification concerning the prior clearing activities.

I would also like to document additional concerns regarding communication, operational coordination, property security, and public safety associated with the ongoing Taggart pipeline work area.

Recently, Jeff Glum attempted to communicate regarding a previously contemplated church shooting-practice activity planned for Saturday in the Taggart area. Because no response or operational clarification was received, the activity was ultimately canceled out of concern for safety and uncertainty surrounding the ongoing work and conditions onsite.

At the same time, it appears that operational coordination and accommodation have continued with various third parties utilizing or accessing the area, while I, as the property owner, continue to experience difficulty obtaining requested information, onsite accommodation regarding the train-bridge/mountainside area, and clarification concerning prior vegetation clearing activities that I have been requesting for approximately two years.

I also believe it is important to document my concern that current operational activities have, in practical effect, resulted in Phillips 66 personnel or contractors exercising a level of operational control or security presence over portions of my property while ongoing trespass, vandalism, unauthorized outfitter-related activity, and recreational river use continue to occur around the property area.

Given the active excavation, exposed pipeline conditions, slope stabilization activities, and ongoing public recreational use surrounding the property, I have serious concerns regarding both pipeline safety and boater/public safety associated with individuals entering, exiting, or utilizing the property area during ongoing operations.

From the property-owner perspective, it is difficult to understand why accommodation and operational coordination appear to continue for recreational users or third parties accessing the area while the property owner himself continues to experience delay in obtaining requested clarification, onsite review access, and follow-up regarding prior clearing activities and exposed pipeline concerns.

Accordingly, I respectfully request that Phillips 66 and its contractors avoid accommodating or facilitating unauthorized public, outfitter-related, or recreational access activities across the

property area while active integrity-related work, security activities, and safety-sensitive conditions remain ongoing.

For additional clarity, nothing in my cooperation regarding the present pipeline integrity activities should be interpreted as authorization or consent for unauthorized public, outfitter-related, or recreational access across the property area.

In addition, given the ongoing excavation, stabilization work, contractor activity, operational control, and public safety concerns associated with the property area, I would appreciate clarification regarding operational responsibility, insurance coverage, indemnification, and liability coordination associated with both the current repair activities and any future conditions related to the exposed pipeline area, slope stabilization measures, erosion or slope movement, access activities, public safety concerns, or restoration responsibilities following completion of the work.

Given the combination of active excavation, exposed pipeline conditions, public recreational activity, repeated trespass concerns, and ongoing access issues associated with the property area, I would also appreciate discussion regarding whether temporary or longer-term fencing, barriers, controlled-access measures, warning signage, restoration measures, or other protective improvements may be appropriate during or following ongoing operations in order to help reduce pipeline safety risks, public safety concerns, trespass activity, vandalism exposure, and unauthorized access into sensitive areas.


My intention remains to cooperate reasonably and constructively while also ensuring that property conditions, access activities, prior clearing actions, exposed pipeline concerns, property-owner concerns, and safety-related communications are properly documented and understood.


Thank you again for your continued communication and cooperation.


Sincerely,

Kent Singleton

 boat.evidence.jpg
294.1 kB

 Taggart_Exposed_Pipeline_Slope.jpg
247 kB

 dangerouspipe.jpg
344.4 kB

 evidence.jpg
320.9 kB



GIS PLAT (1) (3).pdf
561.7 kB



2anomalies2.jpg
314.1 kB



2Anomalies1.jpg
332.9 kB



Rock Equipment.jpg
281.8 kB



GIScircled (1).pdf
599.6 kB